

# COUNTING MINIMAL SURFACES IN NEGATIVELY CURVED 3-MANIFOLDS

DANNY CALEGARI, FERNANDO C. MARQUES, AND ANDRÉ NEVES

ABSTRACT. We introduced an asymptotic quantity that counts area-minimizing surfaces in negatively curved closed 3-manifolds and show that quantity to only be minimized, among all metrics of sectional curvature  $\leq -1$ , by the hyperbolic metric.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical and beautiful result in geometry says that if  $(M, h_0)$  is a closed locally symmetric Riemannian manifold with strictly negative curvature and  $h$  another negatively curved Riemannian metric on  $M$  with the same volume as  $h_0$ , then the quantity

$$\delta(h) := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \#\{\text{length}_h(\gamma) \leq L : \gamma \text{ closed geodesic in } (M, h)\}}{L}$$

satisfies  $\delta(h) \geq \delta(h_0)$  and equality implies that  $h$  is isometric to  $h_0$ .

This follows from combining a theorem of Margulis [21] which identified the right hand side in the inequality above as the topological entropy for negatively curved metrics, a theorem of Manning [20] which says that the volume entropy and topological entropy coincide for negatively curved metrics, and a theorem of Besson–Courtois–Gallot [6] which says that  $g_0$  minimizes the volume entropy among all metric with the same volume.

Closed geodesics are a particular case of minimal surfaces and in the last years great progress has been made regarding existence of minimal hypersurfaces. For instance, for closed Riemannian manifold  $M$  of dimension between 3 and 7, Irie and the last two authors [14] showed that, for generic metrics, the set of all closed embedded minimal hypersurfaces is dense in  $M$ ; jointly with Song [26] the last two authors showed that, for generic metrics, there is a sequence of closed embedded minimal hypersurfaces that becomes equidistributed; Song [34] showed that for every Riemannian metric on  $M$ , there are always infinitely many distinct closed embedded minimal hypersurfaces; Zhou [37] solved the Multiplicity One Conjecture made by the last two authors, which when combined with [25] implies that, for generic metrics, there is a closed embedded minimal hypersurface of Morse index  $p$  for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

---

The second author is partly supported by NSF-DMS-1811840. The third author is partly supported by NSF DMS-1710846 and a Simons Investigator Grant.

The purpose of this paper is to study minimal surfaces in a closed orientable 3-manifold in the spirit of the entropy functional mentioned at the beginning of the introduction.

Before we state the main theorem we need to introduce some concepts. Throughout this paper,  $M$  will denote a closed orientable 3-manifold that admits an hyperbolic metric. A closed immersed genus  $g$  surface  $\Sigma \subset M$  is *essential* if the immersion  $\iota : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  injects  $\pi_1(\Sigma)$  into  $\pi_1(M)$ . In this case, the group  $G = \iota_*(\pi_1(\Sigma))$  is called a *surface subgroup of genus  $g$*  and surface subgroups of immersions homotopic to  $\iota$  are in one to one correspondence with conjugates of  $G$  by an element of  $\pi_1(M)$ .

Let  $S(M, g)$  denote the set of surfaces subgroups of genus at most  $g$  of  $\pi_1(M)$  modulo the equivalence relation of conjugacy. We abuse notation and see an element  $\Pi \in S(M, g)$  as being either all subgroups of  $\pi_1(M)$  that are conjugate to a fixed surface group of genus at most  $g$  or the set of all essential immersions of surfaces  $\iota : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  for which  $\iota_*(\pi_1(\Sigma)) \in \Pi$ . Khan and Markovic [16, 17] showed that surface subgroups exist for all large genus and estimated the cardinality of  $S(M, g)$ .

Consider a Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $M$  and denote the hyperbolic metric by  $\bar{h}$ . Given  $\Pi \in S(M, g)$  we define

$$\text{area}_h(\Pi) = \inf\{\text{area}_h(\Sigma) : \Sigma \in \Pi\},$$

where  $\text{area}_h(\Sigma)$  denotes the area computed with respect to the metric  $\iota^*h$ .

Given  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  we define  $S(M, g, \varepsilon)$  to be the conjugacy classes in  $S(M, g)$  whose limit set is a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -circle (see Definition 2.3) and set

$$S_\varepsilon(M) = \cup_{g \in \mathbb{N}} S(M, g, \varepsilon).$$

We are interested in the following geometric quantity

$$(1) \quad E(h) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \#\{\text{area}_h(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L-1) : \Pi \in S_\varepsilon(M)\}}{L \ln L}.$$

Note that if  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon'$ , then  $S_\varepsilon(M) \subset S_{\varepsilon'}(M)$  and so the limit in the  $\varepsilon$ -variable is well defined. In this paper we show

**Theorem 1.1.** *Given a Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $M$  with volume entropy denoted by  $E_{\text{vol}}(h)$  we have  $E(h) \leq 2E_{\text{vol}}(h)^2$ .*

*If the sectional curvature of  $h$  is less or equal than  $-1$  then*

$$E(h) \geq E(\bar{h}) = 2$$

*with equality if and only if  $h$  is the hyperbolic metric.*

As far as the authors know, this is the first result giving asymptotic rigidity for the areas of minimal surfaces.

One obvious challenge is that the results in [6, 20, 21] rely on the dynamical properties of the geodesic flow, which have no analogue for minimal surfaces. For this reason we restricted our asymptotic counting invariant to the homotopy classes in  $S_\varepsilon(M)$  so that the dynamical properties of the geodesic flow can be of use.

The fact that one can compute  $E(\bar{h})$  follows from [17] and from the work of Uhlenbeck in [36]. The inequality in Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Gauss-Bonnet Theorem. The uniqueness statement in Theorem 1.1 will follow in two steps. First we combine minimal surface theory with the strong rigidity properties of totally geodesic discs proven independently by Shah [33] and Ratner [30] to find, for every  $v \in T_p M$ , a totally geodesic hyperbolic disc in  $(M, g)$  containing  $(p, v)$  in its tangent space. This will occupy most of the proof. Then we use the ergodicity of the frame flow due to Brin–Gromov [9] to show that the sectional curvature of every plane is  $-1$ .

We now briefly review some previous results related to our work.

Shah [33] and Ratner [30] showed that a totally geodesic immersion of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  in a compact hyperbolic manifold has its image either dense or a closed surface. McMullen–Mohammadi–Oh recently generalized this result to the non-compact case [27].

McReynolds and Reid [28] showed that arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds which have the same (non-empty) set of totally geodesic surfaces are commensurable, i.e., covered by a common closed 3-manifold. It is not expected that the areas of all totally geodesic surfaces will determine the commensurability class of the arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds [23]. Jung [15] studied the asymptotic behavior of the areas of totally geodesic surfaces for some arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

Totally geodesic surfaces in hyperbolic manifolds have the attractive feature that they are preserved by the geodesic flow but their existence is not guaranteed. For instance, there are closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds which admit no totally geodesic immersed closed surface [19, Chapter 5.3] and even finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifolds which admit no totally geodesic immersed finite area surfaces either [10]. Recently it was shown that a closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds having infinitely many totally geodesic surfaces [3, 22] is arithmetic.

Finally, it was shown in [29] that the commensurability class of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold is determined by their surface groups.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to thank Ursula Hamenstädt for her comments and remarks.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

We set up the basic notation and then discuss several results all well-known among the experts.

There is a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3) = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  so that  $M = \mathbb{H}^3 \setminus \Gamma$  is a closed orientable 3-manifold and we fix an isomorphism between  $\pi_1(M)$  and  $\Gamma$ . A Riemannian metric on  $M$  is denoted by  $h$  and the hyperbolic metric is denoted by  $\bar{h}$ . Geometric quantities with respect to the metric  $h$  will usually have the subscript  $h$ , while the same quantities will have no subscript if computed with respect the metric  $\bar{h}$ . For instance, the distance between two points  $p, q$ , the area of an immersed surface  $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ , or

the Hausdorff distance between sets  $A, B$  with respect to the metric  $\phi^*\bar{h}$  and  $\phi^*h$ , respectively, are denoted by  $d(p, q), d_h(p, q)$ ,  $\text{area}(\Sigma)$ ,  $\text{area}_h(\Sigma)$  or  $d_H(A, B)$ ,  $d_{H,h}(A, B)$ . Note that if  $\Sigma$  is a  $k$ -cover of a surface  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , then  $\text{area}_h(\Sigma) = k \text{area}_h(\tilde{\Sigma})$ .

Let  $(B^3, h)$  denote the universal cover of  $(M, h)$  and  $S_\infty^2$  denote its sphere at infinity, which is defined as the set of all asymptote classes of geodesic rays, where two geodesic rays  $\gamma_i : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow B^3$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , define the same asymptote class, denoted by  $\gamma_1(+\infty)$ , if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d_h(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < +\infty$ . There is a natural topology on  $\bar{B}^3 := B^3 \cup S_\infty^2$ , the cone topology (see [1] for instance), for which  $\bar{B}^3$  is homeomorphic to a 3-ball. Given a set  $\Omega \subset B^3$  we denote by  $\bar{\Omega}$  its closure in  $\bar{B}^3$  and  $\partial_\infty \Omega$  stands for  $\bar{\Omega} \cap S_\infty^2$ . We follow convention and denote  $(B^3, \bar{h})$  simply by  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

An essential immersion  $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  must have genus  $\geq 2$  (by Preissman Theorem) and thus  $\phi$  admits a lift  $\bar{\phi} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  from a disc  $D$  onto  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . To ease notation, we will often identify the immersions of  $\Sigma$  or  $D$  with its images in  $M$  or  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , respectively. This will create an ambiguity when  $\Sigma$  is a  $k$ -cover of another surface  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , but it will be clear from the context whether we are referring to the immersion (when we compute area for instance) or to the image set in  $M$  (when we compute Hausdorff distances for instance). Given an essential surface  $\Sigma \subset M$  with surface group  $G < \Gamma$ , there is a lift  $D \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  that is invariant under  $G$ . Any other disc  $D' \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  lifting  $\Sigma$  is invariant under a group  $G' < \Gamma$  that is conjugate to  $G$ . Necessarily we have (with an obvious abuse of notation)  $D \setminus G = D' \setminus G' = \Sigma$ .

The Grassmanian bundle of unoriented 2-planes in  $M$  or  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is denoted by  $Gr_2(M)$  or  $Gr_2(\mathbb{H}^3)$ , respectively. An immersed surface  $\Sigma$  in  $M$  (or its lift  $D$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ) induces a natural immersion into  $Gr_2(M)$  (or  $Gr_2(\mathbb{H}^3)$ ) via the map  $p \mapsto (p, T_p \Sigma)$  (or  $p \mapsto (p, T_p D)$ ).

**2.1. Fundamental domains and Cayley graphs:** Given a subgroup  $G < \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  acting properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , a *fundamental domain*  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  for  $\mathbb{H}^3 \setminus G$  is a closed region so that

- (i)  $\cup_{\phi \in G} \phi(\Delta) = \mathbb{H}^3$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi \in G$  and  $\phi(\Delta) \cap \text{int } \Delta \neq \emptyset \implies \phi = \text{Id}$ .

Because the manifold  $M$  is compact, we can choose its fundamental domain  $\Delta$  to be a convex polyhedron with finitely many totally geodesic faces. Such domains are called *Dirichlet fundamental domain*. Each compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  intersects only finitely many elements of  $\{\phi(\Delta)\}_{\phi \in \Gamma}$ .

Given a subgroup  $G < \Gamma$ , we consider the set  $\Gamma \setminus G = \{\phi G : \phi \in \Gamma\}$  and pick a representative  $\underline{\phi}$  in each coset  $\phi G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\Delta_G = \cup_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \setminus G} \underline{\phi}^{-1}(\Delta)$  is a fundamental domain for  $\mathbb{H}^3 \setminus G$ .

*Proof.* The reader can check that  $\Delta_G$  is closed and that  $\cup_{\phi \in G} \phi(\Delta_G) = \mathbb{H}^3$ . Suppose there is  $\psi \in G$  and  $x \in \psi(\Delta_G) \cap \text{int } \Delta_G$ . Because  $x \in \text{int } \Delta_G$  we can find a finite set  $A \subset \Gamma \setminus G$  and an open set  $U$  so that  $x \in U \subset \cup_{\underline{\phi} \in A} \underline{\phi}^{-1}(\Delta)$ .

Likewise we have  $x \in \psi(\underline{\sigma}^{-1}(\Delta))$  for some  $\underline{\sigma} \in \Gamma \setminus G$ . We must have

$$\psi(\underline{\sigma}^{-1}(\text{int } \Delta)) \cap \left( \bigcup_{\underline{\phi} \in A} \underline{\phi}^{-1}(\Delta) \right) \neq \emptyset$$

and thus  $(\underline{\sigma}\psi^{-1})^{-1} = \underline{\phi}^{-1}$  for some  $\underline{\phi} \in A$ . Hence  $\underline{\sigma} = \underline{\phi}$  and  $\psi = \text{Id}$ .  $\square$

Fix  $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$ . Choosing  $R$  large enough, the set  $A = \{\phi \in \Gamma : d(p, \phi(p)) \leq R\}$  generates  $\Gamma$ . The *Cayley graph*  $\text{Gr}(\Gamma, A)$  of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $A$  is defined as having vertices  $\{\phi(p)\}_{\phi \in \Gamma}$  and two vertices  $\psi(p), \phi(p)$  are connected by an edge if  $\phi\psi^{-1} \in A$ . The graph  $\text{Gr}(\Gamma, A)$  admits a distance function  $\mathcal{d}$ , where  $\mathcal{d}(\phi, \psi)$  is the word length of  $\phi\psi^{-1}$ , and the norm of  $\phi \in \Gamma$  is given by  $|\phi| = \mathcal{d}(\phi, \text{Id})$ . The Hausdorff distance with respect to  $\mathcal{d}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{d}_H$ . We will need the following lemma

**Lemma 2.2.** *There is a constant  $c > 0$  depending on the Dirichlet domain  $\Delta$  containing  $p$  so that*

$$B_{n/c-c}(p) \subset \bigcup_{|\phi| \leq n} \phi(\Delta) \subset B_{nc+c}(p) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* The Švarc-Milnor Lemma says that the map  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3, \phi \mapsto \phi(p)$  is a quasi-isometry meaning there is a constant  $K$  so that

- i)  $\mathbb{H}^3 = \bigcup_{\phi \in \Gamma} B_K(\phi(p))$ ;
- ii) for all  $\psi, \phi \in \Gamma$ ,

$$K^{-1}d(\phi(p), \psi(p)) - K \leq \mathcal{d}(\phi, \psi) \leq Kd(\phi(p), \psi(p)) + K$$

and there are constants  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, K_1 > 0$  so that  $B_{K_1}(p) \subset \bigcup_{|\phi| \leq n_1} \phi(\Delta)$  and  $\Delta \subset B_{K_1}(p)$ . The constant  $c$  can be computed in terms of  $n_1, K, K_1$  and we leave it to the reader.  $\square$

The Švarc-Milnor Lemma mentioned above also says that choice of a generating set or different base points would give another Cayley graph that is quasi-isometric to  $\text{Gr}(\Gamma, A)$ . We abuse notation and simply denote the Cayley graph by  $\Gamma$ .

**2.2. Morse Lemma:** A curve  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  is a  $(K, c)$  *quasi-geodesic* if

$$K^{-1}d(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) - c \leq |t - s| \leq Kd(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) + c \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

A geodesic in  $B^3$  with respect to the metric  $h$  is a  $(K, 0)$  quasi-geodesic for some  $K = K(h)$ .

Morse's Lemma (see [18, Theorem 2.3] for instance) gives the existence of  $r_0 = r_0(K, c)$  such that for every  $(K, c)$  quasi-geodesic  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  there is a unique (up to reparametrization) geodesic  $\sigma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  so that the Hausdorff distance between  $\sigma(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$  is bounded by  $r_0$ .

**2.3. Limit sets and quasi-Fuchsian manifolds:** Given a discrete subgroup acting properly discontinuously  $G < \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , the *limit set*  $\Lambda(G) \subset S_\infty^2$  is defined as being the set of accumulation points in  $S_\infty^2$  of the orbit  $Gx$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$ . It is well known that the definition is independent of the point  $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$  chosen and that the limit set is closed. Elements  $\phi \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  induce conformal maps of  $S_\infty^2$  and one has that  $\Lambda(\phi G \phi^{-1}) = \phi(\Lambda(G))$ . From this one deduces  $\Lambda(G)$  is  $G$ -invariant.

A  $C^1$ -map  $F : S_\infty^2 \rightarrow S_\infty^2$  is said to be *quasiconformal* with dilation bounded by some  $K \in [1, +\infty)$  if for every point  $p$ ,  $DF_p$  sends circles into ellipses whose eccentricity (ratio between major axis and minor axis) is bounded by  $K$ . Conformal maps are quasi-conformal maps with  $K = 1$ .

If  $\Lambda(G)$  is a geometric circle, then  $G$  is called a *Fuchsian* group and if  $\Lambda(G)$  is a Jordan curve, then  $G$  is called a *quasi-Fuchsian* group. In this case, it is known [35, Proposition 8.7.2] that  $\Lambda(G)$  is a  $K$ -quasicircle, meaning there is a quasiconformal map  $F$  with dilation bounded by  $K$  that maps the equator to  $\Lambda(G)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A discrete subgroup acting properly discontinuously  $G < \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is  $\varepsilon$ -*Fuchsian* if  $\Lambda(G)$  is a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quasicircle. This notion is invariant under conjugacy.

The normal bundle of an orientable surface  $S \subset M$  is denoted by  $T^\perp S \simeq S \times \mathbb{R}$ . When the background metric is the hyperbolic metric, Uhlenbeck proved the following result in [36, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $S \subset M$  be an orientable minimal surface with principal curvatures  $|\lambda(x)| \leq \lambda_0 \leq 1$  for all  $x \in S$ . Then*

- (i) *The exponential map  $\exp : T^\perp S \rightarrow M$  is a covering map and thus  $G := \exp_*(\pi_1(S))$  is a surface group;*
- (ii)  *$G$  is a quasi-Fuchsian group and  $N := \mathbb{H}^3 \setminus G \simeq T^\perp S$  is complete hyperbolic manifold;*
- (iii)  *$S$  is embedded, area-minimizing, and the only closed minimal surface in  $N$ ;*
- (iv) *For all  $t > \tanh^{-1}(\lambda_0)$ , the region  $S \times [-t, t] \subset N$  is strictly convex and its boundary has principal curvatures bounded from above by*

$$\frac{\sinh t + \cosh t \lambda_0}{\cosh t + \sinh t \lambda_0}.$$

The last property is not explicitly stated in [36, Theorem 3.3] but from its proof one sees that the surface  $S \times \{t\} \subset N$  has principal curvatures

$$\lambda_\pm^t(x) = \frac{\sinh t \pm \cosh t \lambda(x)}{\cosh t \pm \sinh t \lambda(x)},$$

which readily implies property (iv).

**2.4. Totally geodesic planes.** Consider  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the set of all geometric circles (of varying radii) in  $S_\infty^2$ . This set is non-compact and in one-to-one

correspondence with the totally geodesic discs in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  because given any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  there is exactly one totally geodesic disc  $C(\gamma) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  such that  $\partial_\infty C(\gamma) := S_\infty^2 \cap \overline{C(\gamma)}$  is identical to  $\gamma$ .

Every  $\phi \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  induces a map from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  (still denoted by  $\phi$ ) such that  $\phi(C(\gamma)) = C(\phi(\gamma))$ . Hence, the group  $\Gamma$  acts naturally on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The following result was proven independently by Ratner [30] and Shah [33].

**Theorem 2.5.** *Given  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ , either  $C(\gamma)$  projects to a closed surface in  $M$  or its natural immersion into  $Gr_2(\mathbb{H}^3)$  projects to a dense set in  $Gr_2(M)$ .*

Given  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ , consider the orbit  $\Gamma\gamma := \{\phi(\gamma) : \phi \in \Gamma\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ .

Using the fact that  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}$  converges to  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $C(\gamma_i)$  converges to  $C(\gamma)$  on compact sets of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , we leave to the reader to check that  $\Gamma\gamma$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if the natural immersion of  $C(\gamma)$  into  $Gr_2(\mathbb{H}^3)$  projects to a dense set in  $Gr_2(M)$ .

The next theorem was essentially proven Theorem 11.1 in [27]. We provide the modifications that need to be made.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Consider  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{C}$  a closed set that is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.*

*Suppose that no element in  $\mathcal{L}$  has a dense  $\Gamma$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$  is isolated and has  $C(\gamma)$  projecting to a closed surface in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$  must have  $C(\gamma)$  projecting to a closed surface in  $M$  because otherwise Theorem 2.5 would say that  $\Gamma\gamma$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We argue by contradiction and suppose there is  $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}$  converging to  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\gamma_i \neq \gamma$ . Set

$$\Gamma^\gamma = \{\phi \in \Gamma : \phi(\gamma) = \gamma\}.$$

The action of  $\Gamma^\gamma$  preserves  $C(\gamma)$  and  $C(\gamma) \setminus \Gamma^\gamma$  corresponds to a closed surface because  $C(\gamma)$  projects to a closed surface in  $M$ .

Choose a disc  $\Omega \subset S_\infty^2$  so that  $\partial\Omega = \gamma$ . Either  $\Gamma^\gamma$  preserves  $\Omega$  or it contains a normal subgroup of index 2 that preserves  $\Omega$ . If the latter occurs, relabel  $\Gamma^\gamma$  to be that subgroup. By swapping  $\Omega$  with its complement in  $S_\infty^2$  if necessary and after possibly passing to a subsequence of  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we can assume that  $\gamma_i \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The disc  $D$  carries a natural hyperbolic metric  $h_\Omega$  conformal to the round metric in  $S_\infty^2$  and each map in  $\Gamma^\gamma$  is an orientation preserving isometry of  $\Omega$  with respect to the metric  $h_\Omega$ . Finally,  $\Omega \setminus \Gamma^\gamma$  is isometric to  $C(\gamma) \setminus \Gamma^\gamma$  and so the group  $\Gamma^\gamma$  is a nonelementary, convex, cocompact Fuchsian group as defined in [27, Section 3]. Hence we can apply Corollary 3.2 of [27] which says that if we consider the set  $\mathcal{H}(D)$  of all horocycles in  $(\Omega, h_\Omega)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}(\Omega) = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{C} : \sigma \subset \overline{\Omega}, \sigma \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset\},$$

then the closure of  $\bigcup \Gamma^\gamma \gamma_i$ , and hence  $\mathcal{L}$ , contains  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ .

From Theorem 4.1[27] there exists a dense set  $\Lambda_0 \subset S_\infty^2$  such that if  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$  intersects  $\Lambda_0$ , then  $\Gamma\sigma$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Necessarily,  $\Lambda_0$  must intersect some



element of  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  and so there is  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$  for which  $\Gamma\sigma$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $C(\sigma)$  does not project to a closed surface in  $M$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.5. Frame flow.** We denote the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of  $M$  with respect to  $\bar{h}$  or  $h$  by  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(M)(h)$  respectively.

The *frame flow*  $F_t : \mathcal{F}(M)(h) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)(h)$  is defined in the following way: given an oriented frame  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  for  $T_pM$ ,

$$F_t(p, (e_1, e_2, e_3)) = (\gamma(t), (\gamma'(t), e_2(t), e_3(t)))$$

where  $\gamma(t) = \exp_p(te_1)$ , and  $e_2(t), e_3(t)$  denote the parallel transport of  $e_2, e_3$  along  $\gamma$ . An important result which we will use, due to Brin and Gromov [9], says that when  $(M, h)$  is negatively curved the frame flow is ergodic and in particular has a dense orbit in  $\mathcal{F}(M)(h)$ .

### 3. CONVEX HULLS

In this section we assume that  $(M, h)$  has sectional curvature less than or equal to  $-1$ .

Given a closed set  $\Lambda \subset S_\infty^2$ , its *convex hull*  $C_h(\Lambda) \subset \bar{B}^3$  denotes the smallest geodesically closed set of  $\bar{B}^3$  (with respect to the metric  $h$ ) that contains  $\Lambda$ .

The goal of this section is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $S \subset M$  be a minimal surface (with respect to  $\bar{h}$ ) with principal curvatures  $|\lambda(x)| \leq \lambda_0 < 1$  for all  $x \in S$  and  $\Sigma \subset M$  a minimal surface with respect to  $h$  in the homotopy class of  $S$ . Then, denoting by  $D, \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  the lifts of  $S$  and  $\Sigma$ , respectively, that are invariant by the same surface group we have*

$$d_H(D, \Omega) \leq R$$

for some constant  $R = R(h, \lambda_0)$ .

Bangert and Lang proved similar results to the theorem above (see [4] and references therein) under the conditions that  $D$  and  $\Omega$  are quasi-minimizing. While that will be true for  $D$ , it is not necessarily true for  $\Omega$  and so the result cannot be straightforwardly applied. It is conceivable that their proof could be extended to our setting but we chose a different argument.

Given  $p \in B^3$ , the cone over  $\Lambda$  centered at  $p$  with respect to the metric  $h$  is given by

$$\text{Co}_p(\Lambda) := \text{clo}\{\gamma(t) : \gamma \text{ a geodesic with } \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(\infty) \in \Lambda, 0 \leq t < \infty\},$$

where the closure is taken with respect to the cone topology. One has  $\text{Co}_p(\Lambda) \cap S_\infty^2 = \Lambda$ .

The space  $(B^3, h)$  has sectional curvature less than or equal to  $-1$  and is thus  $\bar{\delta}$ -hyperbolic for some universal constant  $\bar{\delta}$ , meaning that a side in any geodesic triangle (with vertices possibly in  $S_\infty^2$ ) is contained in the  $\bar{\delta}$ -neighborhood of the union of the other two sides. Thus if  $p, q \in B^3$ ,  $x \in S_\infty^2$ ,



and  $\gamma, \sigma$  denote geodesic rays (with respect to  $h$ ) starting at  $p, q$  respectively with  $\gamma(\infty) = \sigma(\infty) = x$  then, with  $l$  denoting the geodesic connecting  $p$  to  $q$ , we have that  $\gamma$  is contained in the  $\bar{\delta}$ -neighborhood of the union of  $\sigma$  and  $l$ . Therefore

$$(2) \quad d_{H,h}(\text{Co}_p(\Lambda), \text{Co}_q(\Lambda)) \leq \bar{\delta} + d_h(p, q).$$

Likewise,  $\text{Co}_p(\Lambda)$  is  $\bar{\delta}$ -quasiconvex, meaning that given any  $x, y$  in  $\text{Co}_p(\Lambda)$ , the geodesic connecting  $x$  to  $y$  is contained in a  $\bar{\delta}$ -neighborhood of  $\text{Co}_p(\Lambda)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *There is  $R = R(h)$  so that given a closed set  $\Lambda \subset S_\infty^2$  then  $C_h(\Lambda) \cap S_\infty^2 = \Lambda$  and*

$$d_H(C_h(\Lambda), C_{\bar{h}}(\Lambda)) \leq R.$$

*Proof.* The key step in the proof is the following claim:

**Claim 1:** *There is  $R = R(h)$  so that for every  $p \in C_h(\Lambda)$ ,*

$$d_{H,h}(C_h(\Lambda), \text{Co}_p(\Lambda)) \leq R.$$

*In particular,  $C_h(\Lambda) \cap S_\infty^2 = \Lambda$ .*

We have at once that  $\text{Co}_p(\Lambda) \subset C_h(\Lambda)$ . In Proposition 2.5.4 of [8], Bowditch used the existence of certain convex sets constructed by Anderson in [2] and the fact that  $\text{Co}_p(\Lambda)$  is  $\bar{\delta}$ -quasiconvex to show the existence of a constant  $R = R(h)$  so that  $C_h(\Lambda)$  is contained in a  $R$ -neighborhood of  $\text{Co}_p(\Lambda)$ . This implies the claim.

If  $\gamma, \bar{\gamma}$  are two geodesics with respect to  $h$  and  $\bar{h}$  respectively that connect  $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$  (or  $y \in S_\infty^2$ ) to  $x \in S_\infty^2$ , Morse's Lemma gives the existence of a constant  $r_0$  depending only on  $h$  so that  $d_H(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) \leq r_0$ . From this we deduce that

$$\text{dist}(C_h(\Lambda), C_{\bar{h}}(\Lambda)) \leq r_0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_H(\text{Co}_p(\Lambda, h), \text{Co}_p(\Lambda, \bar{h})) \leq r_0,$$

where  $\text{Co}_p(\Lambda, h)$  denotes the cone with respect to  $h$ . Combining these inequalities with (2) and Claim 1 we deduce the desired result at once.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a quasi-Fuchsian surface group and set  $N := \mathbb{H}^3 \setminus G$ . Because  $\Lambda(G) \subset S_\infty^2$  is  $G$ -invariant,  $C_h(\Lambda(G))$  is also  $G$ -invariant and  $C_h(N) := C_h(\Lambda(G)) \setminus G$  is a compact subset of the  $N$  (see [35, Section 8.2]).

**Proposition 3.3.** *Every closed immersed minimal surface in  $(N, h)$  is contained in  $C_h(N)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{d} : N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the distance function to  $C_h(N)$ . If  $\pi$  denotes the projection from  $(B^3, h)$  to  $(N, h)$ , we have that  $\pi^{-1}(C_h(N)) = C_h(\Lambda(G))$  is a geodesically convex set and so Proposition 4.7 in [7] says that  $\tilde{d}$  is a continuous convex function (Theorem 4.7 [7] is misstated because it requires the subset of  $N$  to be geodesically convex instead of requiring the inverse image of the set under the covering map to be geodesically convex).

Given  $\Sigma$  a closed connected minimal immersion, there is  $l > 0$  so that  $\Sigma \subset \tilde{d}^{-1}[0, l)$  and set  $K = \tilde{d}^{-1}[0, l + 1]$ .

The function  $\tilde{d}$  does not have to be smooth but we can apply [11, Theorem 2] to obtain a sequence of smooth functions  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  so that  $\phi_i$  tends to  $\tilde{d}$  uniformly in  $K$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and, setting

$$\lambda(\phi_i) = \min\{D^2\phi_i(v, v) : x \in K, v \in T_x N, |v| = 1\},$$

we have  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\phi_i) \geq 0$ . Hence  $\Delta_\Sigma \phi_i \geq \lambda(\phi_i)$  on  $\Sigma$  because  $\Sigma$  is a minimal surface.

Set  $\phi_i^+ = \max\{\phi_i, 0\}$ . We have

$$\int_{\{x \in \Sigma: \phi_i \geq 0\}} |\nabla \phi_i|^2 dA_h = - \int_\Sigma \phi_i^+ \Delta \phi_i dA_h \leq -\lambda(\phi_i) \int_\Sigma \phi_i^+ dA_h$$

and so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x \in \Sigma: \phi_i \geq 0\}} |\nabla \phi_i|^2 dA_h = 0.$$

Suppose that  $\Sigma \cap \tilde{d}^{-1}\{\delta\} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $l > \delta > 0$ . Note that  $\tilde{d} \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$ , the functions  $\phi_i$  converges weakly to  $\tilde{d}$  in  $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and so

$$\int_{\tilde{d}^{-1}[\delta, l] \cap \Sigma} |\nabla d|^2 dA_h \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x \in \Sigma: \phi_i \geq 0\}} |\nabla \phi_i|^2 dA_h = 0.$$

Thus there is some  $t \geq \delta$  so that  $\Sigma \subset \tilde{d}^{-1}(t)$ . An inspection of the proof of Proposition 4.7 of [7] shows that  $\{\tilde{d} \leq t\}$  is actually geodesically strictly convex because the ambient curvature is strictly negative and so it cannot contain the minimal surface  $\Sigma$  in its boundary  $\partial\{\tilde{d} \leq t\} = \tilde{d}^{-1}(t)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $S$  is orientable.

Let  $G$  be the surface group that preserves both  $D$  and  $\Omega$  so that  $S = D \setminus G$  and  $\Sigma = \Omega \setminus G$ . Set  $\Lambda$  to be the Jordan curve  $\Lambda(G)$ . From Theorem 2.4 there is  $\bar{t} = \bar{t}(\lambda_0)$  so that

$$(3) \quad d_H(C_{\bar{h}}(\Lambda), D) \leq \bar{t}$$

and, for all  $x \in D$ , if  $\gamma_x$  denotes the unit speed hyperbolic geodesic with  $\gamma_x(0) = x$  and  $\gamma'_x(0)$  orthogonal to  $T_x D$ , we have

$$(4) \quad \text{dist}(\gamma(t), C_{\bar{h}}(\Lambda)) \geq R + 1 \quad \text{for all } |t| \geq \bar{t} + R,$$

where  $R = R(h)$  is the constant given by Proposition 3.2.

From Proposition 3.3 we have that  $\Omega \subset C_h(\Lambda)$  and thus we obtain from (3) and Corollary 3.2 that  $\Omega$  is contained in the  $\bar{t} + R$ -neighborhood of  $D$ .

To deduce the other inclusion pick  $x \in D$ . We have that  $\gamma_x(+\infty), \gamma_x(-\infty)$  lie in different connected components of  $S_\infty^2 \setminus \Lambda$ . Because  $\bar{\Omega} \subset \bar{B}^3$  is a disc with the same boundary as  $\bar{D}$ ,  $\gamma_x$  must intersect  $\Omega$  in at least one point  $\gamma(t) \in \Omega \cap \gamma$ . From (4) and Proposition 3.2 we have that  $|t| \leq \bar{t} + R$  and so  $d(x, \Omega) \leq \bar{t} + R$ .  $\square$

## 4. ALMOST-FUCHSIAN SURFACE GROUPS

Let  $s(M, g, \varepsilon)$  denote the cardinality of  $S(M, g, \varepsilon)$ , the set of  $\varepsilon$ -Fuchsian surface subgroups of genus at most  $g$ , modulo the equivalence relation of conjugacy. Recall that we defined  $S_\varepsilon(M) = \cup_{g \in \mathbb{N}} S(M, g, \varepsilon)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose we have a sequence  $\Pi_i \in S_{\delta_i}(M)$ , where  $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an essential minimal surface  $S_i$  in the homotopy class  $\Pi_i$  so that  $\text{area}(S_i) = \text{area}(\Pi_i)$  and*

$$(5) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|A\|_{L^\infty(S_i)}^2 = 0.$$

*Moreover, if  $D_i$  is a disc lifting  $S_i$  to  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that is preserved by the surface group  $G_i < \Gamma$  induced by  $S_i$  and intersecting a fixed compact set in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a totally geodesic disc  $D \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  such that, after passing to a subsequence,  $D_i$  converges smoothly to  $D$  on compact sets and  $\Lambda(G_i)$  converges in Hausdorff distance to  $\partial_\infty D$  in  $S_\infty^2$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the essential immersion  $S_i \subset M$  that minimizes area with respect to the hyperbolic metric in the homotopy class  $\Pi_i$  (using [31] for instance). If  $D_i$  is the minimal disc lifting  $S_i$  to  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that is preserved by the surface group  $G_i < \Gamma$  induced by  $S_i$  then we have from Theorem 1 in [32] that  $\|A\|_{L^\infty(D_i)}^2$  tends to zero as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . The author achieves this by showing that the convex hull of  $\Lambda(G_i)$  is contained, for all  $i$  sufficiently large, between two equidistant disks whose principal curvatures are arbitrarily small, have the same boundary at  $S_\infty^2$ , and are arbitrarily close to each other in Hausdorff distance.

Assume that all the discs  $D_i$  intersect a compact set. We now argue that, after passing to a subsequence, the discs  $D_i$  converge to a totally geodesic disc with multiplicity one. From Theorem 2.4 we know that, for all  $i$  sufficiently large,  $D_i$  is embedded,  $S_i$  is the unique closed embedded minimal surface in  $M_i = \mathbb{H}^3 \setminus G_i \simeq T^\perp S_i$  and so area-minimizing in  $M_i$  among all mod 2 cycles representing the same element in  $H_2(M_i; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . As a result,  $D_i$  is locally area-minimizing among mod 2 cycles as well. Pick  $p_i \in D_i$  which converges, after passing to a subsequence, to some  $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$ . From the fact that for all  $i$  sufficiently large, the embedded discs  $D_i$  are locally area-minimizing among mod 2 cycles, we obtain from standard compactness theory for minimal surfaces the existence of a totally geodesic disc  $D \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  containing  $p$  such that, after passing to another subsequence,  $D_i$  converges graphically to  $D$  on compact sets.

Consider  $q_i \in \Lambda(G_i)$ ,  $\sigma_i \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  the geodesic ray with  $\sigma_i(0) = p_i$ ,  $\sigma_i(+\infty) = q_i$ , and  $\gamma_i \subset D_i$  the geodesic ray (for the induced metric on  $D_i$ ) with  $\gamma_i(0) = p_i$ ,  $\gamma_i(+\infty) = q_i$ . The geodesic curvature of  $\gamma_i$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is a fixed amount below 1 for all  $i$  sufficiently large and so, using tubular neighborhoods of  $\sigma_i$  as barriers, we deduce the existence of  $r > 0$  so that  $\gamma_i$  is contained in a  $r$ -tubular neighborhood of  $\sigma_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, after passing to a subsequence, both curves converge on compact sets to the same geodesic

ray  $\sigma \subset D$ . Using this fact, the reader can deduce that  $\Lambda(G_i)$  converges in Hausdorff distance to  $\partial_\infty D$  in  $S_\infty^2$ .  $\square$

Using the above proposition we now show the following improvement to the main results of [16, 17].

**Theorem 4.2.** *There are positive constants  $c_1 = c_1(M, \varepsilon)$ ,  $c_2 = c_2(M)$ , and  $k = k(M, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all  $g \geq k$  we have*

$$(c_1 g)^{2g} \leq s(M, g, \varepsilon) \leq (c_2 g)^{2g}.$$

Moreover, there is a subset  $G(M, g, \varepsilon) \subset S(M, g, \varepsilon)$  with more than  $(c_1 g)^{2g}$  elements so that any sequence of homotopy classes  $\Pi_i \in G(M, g_i, 1/i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  has a representative  $\phi : S_i \rightarrow M$  so that

(a)  $S_i$  is a minimal immersion with  $\text{area}(S_i) = \text{area}(\Pi_i)$  and

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_i} |A| = 0;$$

(b) after passing to a subsequence, the Radon measure

$$f \in C^0(M) \mapsto \mu_i(f) = \frac{1}{\text{area}(S_i)} \int_{S_i} f \circ \phi dA$$

converges to a measure  $\nu$  which is positive on every open set of  $M$ .

*Proof.* If  $s(M, g)$  denotes the cardinality of  $S(M, g)$ , it was shown in Theorem 1.1 from [17] the existence of  $c_2 > 0$  so that  $s(M, g) \leq (c_2 g)^{2g}$  for all  $g$  large. Since  $s(M, g, \varepsilon) \leq s(M, g)$ , the upper bound is verified.

We now verify the lower bound. In [16] the authors show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a Fuchsian group  $K$  (preserving a totally geodesic plane  $C(\gamma)$  for some geodesic circle  $\gamma$ ) and a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quasiconformal map  $\Phi : S_\infty^2 \rightarrow S_\infty^2$  so that  $G = \Phi \circ K \circ \Phi^{-1}$  is a surface subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . The map  $\Phi$  admits an extension  $F : \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  that is equivariant with respect to  $K$  and  $G$  and a  $(1 + o_\varepsilon(1), o_\varepsilon(1))$ -quasi-isometry, where  $o_\varepsilon(1)$  denotes a quantity depending only on  $M$  and  $\varepsilon$  that tends to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . As a result, the essential surface  $\Sigma_\varepsilon = F(C(\gamma) \setminus K) \subset M$  induces an element of  $S_\varepsilon(M)$ .  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  has the property that geodesics with respect to the intrinsic distance are  $(1 + \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ -quasigeodesics and we denote such surfaces by  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -*quasigeodesic surfaces*.

Let  $g_0$  denote the genus of  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$ . If  $\Sigma_n$  denotes a degree  $n$  cover of  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  then its genus is  $g = n(g_0 - 1) + 1$  and so  $\Sigma_n$  induces an element in  $s(M, g, \varepsilon)$ . The Müller-Puchta's formula says that the number of index  $n$  subgroups of a genus  $g_0$  orientable surface grows like  $2n(n!)^{2g_0-2}(1 + o(1))$  and so (using Stirling's approximation) we get the estimate

$$s(M, g, \varepsilon) \geq (c_1 g)^{2g}$$

where  $c_1 > 0$  depends on  $g_0$  which in turn depends only on  $M$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

We set  $G(M, g, \varepsilon)$  to be the homotopy classes that come from finite covers of  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  and have genus less than or equal to  $g$ . We now describe in more detail the properties of  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  as they will be needed to show that item (a) and (b) of the theorem hold.

In [13] Hamenstadt extended the results of [16] to some rank one locally symmetric spaces, building on the work of Khan-Markovic. We follow the geometric description and the notation of [13].

The basic building blocks are called  $(R, \delta)$ -geometric skew-pants  $P$  (or simply just geometric skew-pants) and they are defined in Section 4 and Section 6 of [13]. The boundary of  $P$  consists of 3 closed geodesics in  $M$  and  $P$  decomposes into 5 polygon regions (two center triangles and three twisted bands using the notation in [13, Section 6]) with geodesic boundary. Each polygon is a smooth immersion whose principal curvatures depend uniformly on  $(R, \delta)$  and can be made arbitrarily small by choosing  $R$  sufficiently large and  $\delta$  sufficiently small. Regions that share a common geodesic side have the property that the corresponding co-normals make an angle as close to  $\pi$  as desired by choosing  $R$  large and  $\delta$  small. Given any  $0 < \eta < 1$  there is  $d > 0$  (independent of  $R$  and  $\delta$ ) so that the set of points  $K^P$  in  $P$  that are at an intrinsic distance less than or equal to  $d$  from one of the center triangles has  $(1 - \eta)2\pi \leq \text{area}(K^P) \leq \text{area}(P) \leq (1 + \eta)2\pi$  for all  $R$  large and  $\delta$  small. The seams of a geometric skew-pants  $P$  are three shortest geodesic arcs in  $M$  (in the homotopy class defined by  $P$ ) that connect the three boundary geodesics of  $P$ .

The quasigeodesic surface  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  is constructed by attaching several pairs of  $(R, \delta)$ -geometric skew-pants  $P, P'$  (see [13, Definition 6.1] for the rigorous definition) so that they share a common boundary geodesic  $\beta$  (with opposite induced orientations), the tangent planes of  $P$  and  $P'$  along  $\beta$  can be made uniformly close to each other as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , and the endpoints of seams at  $P$  in  $\beta$  are at a fixed distance from the endpoints of seams at  $P'$ . This last property is important to ensure that a surface constructed this way will be  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quasigeodesic if  $R$  and  $\delta$  are respectively very large and very small (see [13, Proposition 6.2]). Note that necessarily  $\text{area}(\Sigma_\varepsilon) \simeq 4\pi(g - 1)$  where  $g$  is the genus of  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  and that in [13, Lemma 3.1] it is shown that  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  is a locally CAT( $-1/2$ ) space for all  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small.

With  $0 < \eta < 1$  fixed, consider the set of points  $K$  in  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  that are at an intrinsic distance less than or equal to  $d$  (given as before) from any of the center triangles coming from the geometric skew-pants. We have

$$(6) \quad \text{area}(K) \geq (1 - \eta)(1 + \eta)^{-1} \text{area}(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$$

and there is  $\underline{r} > 0$  so that, for all  $R$  large and  $\delta$  small, any intrinsic ball  $\hat{B}_{\underline{r}}(x)$  in  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  of radius  $\underline{r}$  centered at  $x \in K$  intersects at most a finite number of the polygonal regions with geodesic boundary. In particular, by making  $R$  large and  $\delta$  small, we have  $\hat{B}_{\underline{r}}(x)$  arbitrarily close to a totally geodesic disc for all  $x \in K$ . Call that region  $K_\eta$ .

Consider the minimal representatives  $S_i$  in the homotopy class  $\Pi_i \in G(M, g_i, 1/i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , given by Proposition 4.1. Each  $S_i$  is homotopic to a  $(1 + 1/i)$ -quasigeodesic surface  $\Sigma_i$  and choose discs  $D_i, \Omega_i \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  that cover  $S_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$ , respectively, and such that  $\partial_\infty D_i = \partial_\infty \Omega_i$ . For all  $i$  sufficiently large,  $\Omega_i$  is a CAT( $-1/2$ ) space [5, Theorem II.4.1] for which every geodesic

arc can be extended [5, Proposition II.5.10]. Combining with the fact that the principal curvatures of  $S_i$  tend to zero and that geodesics in  $\Sigma_i$  lift to  $(1 + o_i(1))$ -quasigeodesics in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  we obtain

$$(7) \quad d_H(D_i, \Omega_i) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\mu_i, \nu_i$  denote the unit Radon measure of  $M$  induced by integration over  $S_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$ , respectively.

**Lemma 4.3.**  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that both measures converge. Fix  $0 < \eta < 1$ .

We saw in Proposition 4.1 that  $D_i$  is locally area-minimizing mod 2 in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and so we have from (7) that for all  $i$  sufficiently large and every geodesic ball  $B \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  of small radius

$$\text{area}(D_i \cap B) \leq (1 + \eta) \text{area}(\Omega_i \cap B)$$

and thus from the fact that  $\text{area}(S_i) \text{area}(\Sigma_i)^{-1} \rightarrow 1$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i \leq (1 + \eta) \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i.$$

Denote the set  $K_{\eta,i} \subset \Sigma_i$  simply by  $K_i$  and let  $\hat{K}_i \subset \Omega_i$  denote its pre-image. We have from (6) that for all  $i$  sufficiently large,  $\nu_i(M \setminus K_i) \leq 2\eta$ . From the definition of  $\bar{r}$ , the fact that geodesics in  $\Omega_i$  are  $(1 + o_i(1))$ -quasigeodesics, and (7) we have that for all  $i$  sufficiently large and all  $x \in \hat{K}_i$ ,  $B_{\bar{r}}(x) \cap \Omega_i$  is very close to a geodesic disc of radius  $\bar{r}$  in  $D_i$ . Thus for every geodesic ball  $B \subset M$  of radius sufficiently small we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(B) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i(B \cap K_i) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i(B) - 2\eta.$$

Making  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  we deduce the result.  $\square$

In order to prove property (b) of theorem we need to use some more properties related with the construction of  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$ . Namely the nearly equidistribution in the frame bundle  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  of  $M$  of the skew-pants that define  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$ .

An oriented  $(R, \delta)$ -skew pants is defined as being the homotopy class of some oriented  $(R, \delta)$ -geometric skew-pants immersion  $f : P \rightarrow M$ , where the homotopies preserve the image and orientation of the boundary geodesics. The space  $\mathcal{P}(R, \delta)$  of all such homotopy classes contains only finitely many elements.

Given a point  $x = (p, (e_1, e_2, e_3)) \in \mathcal{F}(M)$  we get a natural orientation in the 2-plane  $V = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\} \subset T_p M$  and an oriented ideal triangle  $T(x) \subset V$  whose vertices are the endpoints of the geodesic ray based at  $p$  with initial velocity  $e_1$  and its  $2\pi/3$  consecutive rotations in  $U$  (see [13, Section 4] for definitions: in the codimension one setting framed tripods and frames can be identified). For all  $R$  large enough and  $\delta$  small it is shown in Lemma 7.4 of [13] (combined with Lemma 4.3 [13]) that for every pair  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{F}(M)^2$  there are many  $(R, \delta)$ -geometric skew-pants (which

we denote by  $P(x, y)$ ) with the property that, except from 3 twisted bands whose area can be made very small, the rest of  $P(x, y)$  is arbitrarily close in Hausdorff distance to the two ideal triangles  $T(x), T(y)$ . One sees from the construction that these geometric skew-pants have all the same geodesic boundary and are homotopic (this is explained in [13, page 832]). Thus we get a map  $\hat{P} : \mathcal{F}(M)^2 \rightarrow P(R, \delta)$ , where  $\hat{P}(x, y)$  denotes the homotopy class of any of the  $(R, \delta)$ -geometric skew-pants  $P(x, y)$ .

Let  $\lambda^2$  denote the normalized Lebesgue measure in  $\mathcal{F}(M)^2$ . For each  $(R, \delta)$  consider the measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{F}(M)^2$  that is obtained by integrating  $d\mu$  defined in [13, page 849] along the fiber  $\mathcal{F}(M)^3$ . It is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda^2$  and its Radon-Nikodym derivative has order  $1 + O(1/R)$ . For each  $P \in P(R, \delta)$  set  $h(P) = \mu(\hat{P}^{-1}(P))$ . In Proposition 7.3 of [13] we see that the surface  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  is constructed by attaching elements of  $P(R, \delta)$  and if  $n_P$  denotes the number of times that  $P \in P(R, \delta)$  appears in  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$  then

$$(8) \quad n_P \geq \frac{h(P)}{2} \sum_{Q \in P(R, \delta)} n_Q = \frac{h(P)}{2} 2(g-1),$$

where  $g$  is the genus of  $\Sigma_\varepsilon$ .

The claim below and Lemma 4.3 proves Theorem 4.2 (b).

**Claim:** For every geodesic ball  $B \subset M$  we have  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i(B) > 0$ .

It suffices to consider the case where each  $\Sigma_i$  is one of the surfaces constructed in [13] (the finite covering case follows immediately). Choose  $\tilde{B} \subset B$  a smaller concentric ball in  $M$  so that if  $U$  denotes its preimage in  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  we can find a constant  $c_0$  (depending on  $B$  and  $\tilde{B}$ ) so that  $\text{area}(T(x) \cap B) \geq 2c_0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Thus for all  $R$  large and  $\delta$  small we have that

$$\text{area}(P(x, y) \cap B) \geq c_0$$

for all  $x \in U, y \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ . Set

$$\Lambda = \{P \in P(R, \delta) : \hat{P}^{-1}(P) \cap (U \times \mathcal{F}(M)) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Each time  $P \in \Lambda$  choose its geometric representative to be  $P(x, y)$  where  $x \in U$ . Therefore for all  $i$  sufficiently large we have using (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{area}(\Sigma_i \cap B) &\geq \sum_{P \in \Lambda} n_P \text{area}(P \cap B) \geq c_0(g-1) \sum_{P \in \Lambda} h(P) \\ &\geq c_0(g-1)\mu(U \times \mathcal{F}(M)) \geq c_0\mu(U \times \mathcal{F}(M))\text{area}(\Sigma_i)/5\pi. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. □

## 5. ASYMPTOTIC INEQUALITY

Consider  $\{S_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of minimal essential immersions given by Theorem 4.2, each inducing a surface group  $G_i < \Gamma$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  consider



as well the minimal essential immersion  $\Sigma_i \subset M$  that minimizes area with respect to the metric  $h$  in the homotopy class of  $S_i$  (using [31] for instance).

The goal of this section (and the next) is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume the metric  $h$  has sectional curvature  $\leq -1$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)}{\text{area}(S_i)} \leq 1.$$

*If equality holds then the metric  $h$  is hyperbolic.*

*Proof.* Let  $g_i$  denote the genus of  $S_i$ . From Gauss equation we have that

$$(9) \quad \text{area}_h(\Sigma_i) = 4\pi(g_i - 1) + \int_{\Sigma_i} (K_{12} + 1)dA_h - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_i} |A|^2 dA_h,$$

where  $K_{12}(x)$  is the ambient sectional curvature of  $T_x \Sigma_i$ . Using the fact that  $K_{12} \leq -1$  and (5) we have that

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)}{\text{area}(S_i)} \leq 1$$

with equality implying that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)} \int_{\Sigma_i} |A|^2 - (K_{12} + 1)dA_h = 0.$$

Consider the nonnegative smooth function  $f_i = |A|^2 - (K_{12} + 1)$  on  $\Sigma_i$ . We then have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)} \int_{\Sigma_i} |f_i| dA_h = 0.$$

In Section 6 we show (see Corollary 6.2) the existence of a group  $H_i < \Gamma$  conjugate to  $G_i$  so that if  $D_i, \Omega_i$  denote, respectively, the lifts of  $S_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$  to  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that are preserved by  $H_i$  we have, after passing to a subsequence,

- (i)  $\Lambda(H_i)$  converges in Hausdorff distance, as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , to  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\Gamma\gamma$  dense in  $\mathcal{C}$  and
- (ii) for all  $R > 0$

$$(10) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_i \cap B_R(p)} |f_i| dA_h = 0.$$

From (i) we have that all  $D_i$ 's must intersect a compact set in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and so Proposition 4.1 implies that  $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a totally geodesic disc  $D$  for the hyperbolic metric with  $\partial_\infty D = \gamma$ .

Because the ambient curvature is negative,  $\Sigma_i$  is negatively curved and so, in virtue of being essential, its injectivity radius has a uniform lower bound for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, standard stability estimates imply that the second fundamental form of  $\Sigma_i$  is uniformly bounded for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  along with all its derivatives. As a result we have from (10) that

$$(11) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|A|(x) + |K_{12}(x) + 1| : x \in \Omega_i \cap B_R(p)\} = 0, \quad \text{all } R > 0.$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *There is a totally geodesic disc  $\Omega$  in  $(B^3, h)$  with  $\partial_\infty \Omega = \gamma$  and such that the sectional curvature of  $T_x \Omega$  is  $-1$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.1 we obtain the existence of a compact set  $K$  that intersects  $\Omega_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose  $x_i \in \Omega_i \cap K$  and denote by  $B_R^i(x_i) \subset \Omega_i$  the intrinsic ball of radius  $R$  centered at  $x_i$ . Note that  $\Omega_i$  is negatively curved and thus  $B_R^i(x_i)$  is diffeomorphic to a disc for all  $i$  sufficiently large. Standard compactness of minimal surfaces with uniform bounds on the second fundamental form gives the existence of a complete minimal surface  $\Omega \subset B^3$  so that, after passing to a subsequence, intrinsic discs in  $\Omega_i$  centered at  $x_i$  converge strongly to intrinsic discs in  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, from (11), we have that  $\Omega$  is totally geodesic and the sectional curvature of  $T_x \Omega$  is  $-1$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . As a result  $\Omega$  is diffeomorphic to a disc. We have from Proposition 3.3 that  $\Omega_i \subset C_h(\Lambda(H_i))$  for all  $i$  sufficiently large and so  $\partial_\infty \Omega \subset \gamma$ . On the other hand,  $\partial_\infty \Omega$  is homeomorphic to a circle and so it must be equal to  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

Consider the following circle bundles

$$S_1^D := \{(p, v) : p \in D, v \in T_p D, \bar{h}(v, v) = 1\}$$

and

$$S_1^\Omega := \{(p, v) : p \in \Omega, v \in T_p \Omega, h(v, v) = 1\}.$$

Denote by  $S_1 M(\bar{h})$  and  $S_1 M(h)$  the unit tangent bundle of  $M$  with respect to  $\bar{h}$  and  $h$  respectively, and let  $S_1^D(M) \subset S_1 M(\bar{h})$ ,  $S_1^\Omega(M) \subset S_1 M(h)$  denote, respectively, the projection to  $S_1 M(\bar{h})$  and  $S_1 M(h)$  of  $S_1^D$  and  $S_1^\Omega$ . From (i) we have that  $S_1^D(M)$  is dense in  $S_1 M(\bar{h})$ .

We now argue that the sectional curvature of every 2-plane in  $(M, h)$  is  $-1$ .

**Claim:** *For every  $(p, v) \in S_1(M)(h)$  there is a totally geodesic hyperbolic disc  $\Omega_{(p,v)}$  in  $(B^3, h)$  whose projection in  $M$  contains the geodesic passing through  $p$  with direction  $v$ .*

From the geodesic rigidity proven in Gromov [12] there is a homeomorphism  $T$  from  $S_1 M(\bar{h})$  to  $S_1 M(h)$  that maps geodesics onto geodesics, meaning that if  $\gamma$  is a geodesic in  $(M, \bar{h})$ , there is a geodesic  $\sigma$  in  $(M, h)$  so that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  there is  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $T(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) = (\sigma(s), \sigma'(s))$ . Moreover, from its proof (see [18, Theorem 2.12] for instance),  $T$  can be chosen so that if  $\gamma(+\infty), \gamma(-\infty) \in S_\infty^2$  are the asymptotes of  $\gamma$ , then  $\sigma$  has the same asymptotes in  $S_\infty^2$ . Thus, from the fact that  $\partial_\infty \Omega = \partial_\infty D$  and that both  $D$  and  $\Omega$  are totally geodesic, we have that  $T$  is also a homeomorphism from  $S_1^D(M)$  onto  $S_1^\Omega(M)$ . Therefore, because  $S_1^D(M)$  is dense in  $S_1 M(\bar{h})$  we obtain that  $S_1^\Omega(M)$  is also dense in  $S_1 M(h)$ . As a result, for every  $(p, v) \in S_1 M(h)$  we can find a sequence of points  $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $S_1^\Omega$  whose projection to  $S_1 M(h)$  converges to  $(p, v)$  and so applying the same reasoning as in Proposition 4.1 to a suitable sequence  $\{\phi_i(\Omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\phi_i \in \Gamma$ , we obtain a totally

geodesic hyperbolic disc  $\Omega_{(p,v)} \subset B^3$  whose projection in  $M$  contains the geodesic passing through  $p$  with direction  $v$ .

Recalling the discussion in Section 2.4, choose  $(p, (e_1, e_2, e_3)) \in \mathcal{F}(M)(h)$  whose orbit under the frame flow

$$F_t((p, (e_1, e_2, e_3))) = (\gamma(t), (\gamma'(t), e_2(t), e_3(t))), \quad t \geq 0$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{F}(M)(h)$ . We abuse notation and denote the lift of  $\gamma$  to  $B^3$  by  $\gamma$ . By applying a rotation if necessary, we can prescribe the vector  $e_2$  to be any unit vector orthogonal to  $e_1$  that we still obtain a dense orbit in  $\mathcal{F}(M)(h)$ . Hence we assume that  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  span  $T_{\gamma(0)}\Omega_{(p,e_1)}$ , in which case the fact that  $\Omega_{(p,e_1)}$  is totally geodesic implies that  $\text{span}\{\gamma'(t), e_2(t)\} = T_{\gamma(t)}\Omega_{(p,e_1)}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore the set of 2-planes with sectional curvature  $-1$  is dense in  $Gr_2(M)$  and this implies the desired result.  $\square$

## 6. NEARLY TOTALLY GEODESIC MINIMAL SURFACES

We continue assuming the set up of the last section. Namely we have a sequence of minimal essential immersions  $\{S_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by Theorem 4.2, each inducing a surface group  $G_i < \Gamma$  and lifting to a disc  $D_i \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  that is preserved by  $G_i$ .

For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  consider as well the minimal essential immersion  $\Sigma_i \subset M$  that minimizes area with respect to the metric  $h$  in the homotopy class of  $S_i$  and such that there is a continuous function  $f_i : \Sigma_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$(12) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)} \int_{\Sigma_i} |f_i| dA_h = 0.$$

Let  $\Omega_i$  denote the disc lifting  $\Sigma_i$  to  $B^3$  that is preserved by  $G_i < \Gamma$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . To make notation easier, it is understood that the function  $f_i$  on  $\phi(\Omega_i)$ ,  $\phi \in \Gamma$ , means  $f_i \circ \pi_{\Omega_i} \circ \phi^{-1}$ , where  $\pi_{\Omega_i}$  is the projection from  $\Omega_i$  to  $\Sigma_i$ .

Fix  $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$ , consider for every  $\varepsilon, R > 0$

$$F_i(\varepsilon, R) = \left\{ \phi \in \Gamma : \int_{\phi(\Omega_i) \cap B_R(p)} |f_i| dA_h \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

and define  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{C}$  as

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{C} : \exists \phi_i \in F_i(\varepsilon_i, R_i) \text{ with } \varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0, R_i \rightarrow \infty \text{ so that,} \\ \text{after passing to a subsequence, } \Lambda(\phi_i G_i \phi_i^{-1}) \text{ converges to } \gamma \}.$$

The goal of this section is to show

**Theorem 6.1.**  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{C}$  and so there is  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$  so that  $\Gamma\gamma$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

This result has the following corollary

**Corollary 6.2.** *There is a conjugate group  $H_i = \phi_i G_i \phi_i^{-1}$ ,  $\phi_i \in \Gamma$ , so that, after passing to a subsequence,*

- a)  $\Lambda(H_i)$  converges in Hausdorff distance, as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , to  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\Gamma\gamma$  dense in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  
 b) for all  $R > 0$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\phi_i(\Omega_i) \cap B_R(p)} |f_i| dA_h = 0.$$

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* We start by showing

**Lemma 6.3.** *The set  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed and  $\Gamma$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* The fact that it is closed follows by extracting a diagonal subsequence.

With  $\psi \in \Gamma$ , set  $\alpha = d(p, \psi(p))$ . Using the fact that  $\psi^{-1}(B_{R-\alpha}(p)) \subset B_R(p)$  the reader can check that, for all  $R > 0$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(13) \quad \phi \in F_i(\varepsilon, R) \implies \psi\phi \in F_i(\varepsilon, R - \alpha).$$

Combining this with the fact that  $\psi(\Lambda(H)) = \Lambda(\psi H \psi^{-1})$  for every discrete subgroup  $H \subset \Gamma$ , follows at once that if  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  then  $\psi(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

Hence, it suffices to find  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$  so that  $\Gamma\gamma$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Before we provide the details we describe first the general idea. The key step is to show that for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{H}^3$  there is  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$  so that  $C(\gamma)$  intersects  $K$ . Indeed, if no dense orbit exists then every point in  $\mathcal{L}$  is isolated (Theorem 2.6) and so we can find a compact set  $K$  so that  $C(\gamma)$  never intersects  $K$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ , which is a contradiction.

Consider a Dirichlet fundamental domain  $p \in \Delta$  for  $M$  so that  $\partial\Delta$  is transverse to both  $\phi(D_i)$  and  $\phi(\Omega_i)$  for all  $\phi \in \Gamma$ . We now consider  $\Gamma^{S_i}$ ,  $\Gamma^{S_i}(K)$  to be the set of all lifts of  $S_i$  that intersect  $\Delta$ ,  $K$ , respectively,  $\Gamma^{\Sigma_i}$  to be the set of all lifts of  $\Sigma_i$  that intersect  $\Delta$ , and  $\Gamma^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R)$  to be the lifts in  $\Gamma^{\Sigma_i}$  for which the function  $|f_i|$  is small in  $L^1$  on a ball of radius  $R$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{S_i} &= \{\phi \in \Gamma : \phi(D_i) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset\}, & \Gamma^{S_i}(K) &= \{\phi \in \Gamma : \phi(D_i) \cap K \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \Gamma^{\Sigma_i} &= \{\phi \in \Gamma : \phi(\Omega_i) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset\}, & \Gamma^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R) &= F_i(\varepsilon, R) \cap \Gamma^{\Sigma_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to find  $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ ,  $R_i \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $\Gamma^{S_i}(K) \cap F(\varepsilon_i, R_i)$  is always nonempty.

The strategy is the following: The sets described above are all invariant by right multiplication with  $G_i$  because  $G_i$  preserves both  $D_i$  and  $\Omega_i$ . We denote the projection of these sets in  $\Gamma \setminus G_i$  by  $\underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}$ ,  $\underline{\Gamma}^{\Sigma_i}$ ,  $\underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}(K)$ , and  $\underline{\Gamma}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R)$ . We will see that, for all  $i$  very large,  $\#\underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}$  is proportional to  $\text{area}(S_i)$ , use the fact that  $d_H(\Omega_i, D_i)$  is bounded to conclude that  $\Gamma^{S_i}$  and  $\Gamma^{\Sigma_i}$  are at a finite Hausdorff distance from each other, deduce from Theorem 4.2 b) that  $\frac{\#\underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}(K)}{\#\underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}}$  is bounded below away from zero, and use (12) to deduce that  $\frac{\#\underline{\Gamma}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R)}{\#\underline{\Gamma}^{\Sigma_i}} \simeq 1$ . Putting all these facts together one can then conclude that  $\Gamma^{S_i}(K) \cap F(\varepsilon, R) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$  very large. We now provide the details.

Referring to the notation set in Section 2.1, we fix a representative  $\phi$  for each coset  $\phi G_i \in \Gamma \setminus G_i$ . Recall that  $\nu$  is the measure given by Theorem 4.2 b)

**Proposition 6.4.** *There are constants  $n = n(M, h) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha(M) > 0$ , and  $\beta = \beta(\nu, K) > 0$  so that for all  $i$  sufficiently large*

- a)  $\mathcal{d}_H(\Gamma^{S_i}, \Gamma^{\Sigma_i}) \leq n$ ;
- b)  $\alpha^{-1} \text{area}(S_i) \leq \#\Gamma^{S_i} \leq \alpha \text{area}(S_i)$ ;
- c)  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\Gamma^{S_i(K)}}{\#\Gamma^{S_i}} \geq \beta$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.1 we have the existence of  $c_1 = c_1(h)$  so that  $d_H(\phi(D_i), \phi(\Omega_i)) \leq c_1$  for all  $\phi \in \Gamma$  for all  $i$  sufficiently large and from Lemma 2.2 we have the existence of  $n = n(M, c_1) \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $B_{c_1}(x) \subset \cup_{|\phi| \leq n} \phi(\Delta)$  for all  $x \in \Delta$ .

Choose  $\psi \in \Gamma^{S_i}$  and pick  $x \in \psi(D_i) \cap \Delta$ . There is  $y \in \psi(\Omega_i) \cap B_{c_1}(x)$  and thus some  $\phi \in \Gamma$  with  $|\phi| \leq n$  for which  $\phi^{-1}(\psi(\Omega_i)) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\Gamma^{S_i}$  is in a  $n$ -neighborhood of  $\Gamma^{\Sigma_i}$  (for the distance  $\mathcal{d}$ ) and reversing the roles of  $\Sigma_i$  and  $S_i$  proves a).

Recall from Section 2.1 that for all  $\psi \in \Gamma$ ,  $\Delta_i = \cup_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \setminus G_i} \underline{\phi}^{-1}(\psi(\Delta))$  is a fundamental domain for  $\mathbb{H}^3 \setminus G_i$ . Thus

$$(14) \quad \text{area}(S_i) = \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \setminus G_i} \text{area}(\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \psi(\Delta)).$$

Choose  $A \subset \Gamma$  a finite set so that a neighborhood of radius 1 of  $\Delta$  is contained in the interior of  $\cup_{\psi \in A} \psi(\Delta)$ . If  $x \in \underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \Delta$  then we have from the monotonicity formula that, for some  $c_2 = c_2(M)$ ,

$$c_2 \leq \text{area}(\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap B_1(x)) \leq \sum_{\psi \in A} \text{area}(\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \psi(\Delta))$$

and so, using (14),

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 \#\Gamma^{S_i} &\leq \sum_{\psi \in A} \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma^{S_i}} \text{area}(\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \psi(\Delta)) \\ &\leq \sum_{\psi \in A} \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \setminus G_i} \text{area}(\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \psi(\Delta)) = \#A \text{area}(S_i) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 4.1 to any sequence  $\underline{\phi}_i(D_i)$  with  $\underline{\phi}_i \in \Gamma^{S_i}$  we obtain the existence of a constant  $c_3 = c_3(M)$  so that for all  $i$  sufficiently large we have

$$(15) \quad \text{area}(\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \Delta) \leq c_3 \quad \text{for all } \underline{\phi} \in \Gamma^{S_i}.$$

Thus

$$\text{area}(S_i) = \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \setminus G_i} \text{area}(\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \Delta) = \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma^{S_i}} \text{area}(\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \Delta) \leq c_3 \#\Gamma^{S_i}$$

and hence for all  $i$  sufficiently large

$$\frac{1}{c_3} \text{area } S_i \leq \#\Gamma^{S_i} \leq \frac{\#A}{c_2} \text{area } S_i.$$

This proves b).

Let  $f \in C^0(M)$  be a function with  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  and support contained in  $K$ . Using (15) we have that for all  $i$  sufficiently large

$$\int_{S_i} f dA = \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \backslash G_i} \int_{\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \Delta} f dA = \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}(K)} \int_{\underline{\phi}(D_i) \cap \Delta} f dA \leq c_3 \# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}(K)$$

which means that

$$c_3 \frac{\# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}(K)}{\# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}} \geq \frac{1}{\text{area}(S_i)} \int_{S_i} f dA$$

and this proves c). □

In light of Proposition 6.4 a) we can construct, for all  $i$  sufficiently large, a map  $P_i : \Gamma^{S_i} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\Sigma_i}$  so that

- i)  $\mathcal{d}(P_i(\phi), \phi) \leq n$  for all  $\phi \in \Gamma^{S_i}$ ;
- ii)  $P_i(\phi g) = P_i(\phi)g$  for all  $\phi \in \Gamma^{S_i}, g \in G_i$ .

Set  $\Gamma^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R) = P_i^{-1}(\Gamma^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R))$ . Because the map  $P_i$  is  $G_i$ -invariant then  $\Gamma^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R)$  is also  $G_i$ -invariant and  $P_i$  descends to map  $\underline{P}_i : \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i} \rightarrow \underline{\Gamma}^{\Sigma_i}$ .

**Proposition 6.5.** *For all  $\varepsilon > 0, R > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R)}{\# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Due to the fact that both  $S_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$  minimize area in their homotopy class, there is a constant  $c_1 = c_1(h)$  so that

$$c_1^{-1} \text{area}_h(\Sigma_i) \leq \text{area}(S_i) \leq c_1 \text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and so we deduce from Proposition 6.4 b) the existence of  $c_2 = c_2(h, M)$  so that, for all  $i$  sufficiently large,

$$(16) \quad c_2^{-1} \text{area}_h(\Sigma_i) \leq \# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i} \leq c_2 \text{area}_h(\Sigma_i).$$

Set  $L^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R) := \Gamma^{\Sigma_i} - \Gamma^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and denote its projection to  $\Gamma \backslash G_i$  by  $\underline{L}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R)$ . From Lemma 2.2 there is  $n_R = n_R(R, M)$  so that

$$B_R(p) \subset \cup_{|\psi| \leq n_R} \phi(\Delta)$$

and set  $c_3 = \#\{\psi \in \Gamma : |\psi| \leq n_R\}$ . Then, recalling that

$$\Delta_i = \cup_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \backslash G_i} \underline{\phi}^{-1}(\psi(\Delta))$$

is a fundamental domain for  $\mathbb{H}^3 \setminus G_i$  for all  $\psi \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_3 \int_{\Sigma_i} |f_i| dA_h &= \sum_{|\psi| \leq n_{R_i}} \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \setminus G_i} \int_{\underline{\phi}(\Omega_i) \cap \psi(\Delta)} |f_i| dA_h \\ &= \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \setminus G_i} \sum_{|\psi| \leq n_{R_i}} \int_{\underline{\phi}(\Omega_i) \cap \psi(\Delta)} |f_i| dA_h \geq \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \Gamma \setminus G_i} \int_{\underline{\phi}(\Omega_i) \cap B_{R_i}(p)} |f_i| dA_h \\ &\geq \sum_{\underline{\phi} \in \underline{L}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R_i)} \int_{\underline{\phi}(\Omega_i) \cap B_{R_i}(p)} |f_i| dA_h \geq \varepsilon \# \underline{L}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\# \underline{L}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R_i)}{\text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)} \leq \frac{c_3}{\varepsilon \text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)} \int_{\Sigma_i} |f_i| dA_h$$

and we deduce from (12) and (16) that

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \underline{L}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R_i)}{\# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}} = 0.$$

Set, for all  $i$  sufficiently large,  $L^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R_i) = P_i^{-1}(\underline{L}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R_i))$  which has its projection to  $\Gamma \setminus G_i$  satisfying  $\underline{L}^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R_i) = \underline{P}_i^{-1}(\underline{L}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R_i))$ .

Define  $c_4 = \#\{\phi \in \Gamma : |\phi| \leq n\}$ , where  $n$  is the constant in Proposition 6.4 a). From property i) of the map  $P_i$  we have that  $\#P_i^{-1}(\psi) \leq c_4$  for all  $\psi \in \Gamma^{\Sigma_i}$ . Hence from property ii) we deduce that  $\# \underline{L}^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R_i) \leq c_4 \# \underline{L}^{\Sigma_i}(\varepsilon, R_i)$  and we obtain

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \underline{L}^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R_i)}{\# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}} = 0.$$

The desired result follows because the reader can check that  $\underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R_i) = \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i} - \underline{L}^{S_i}(\varepsilon, R_i)$ .  $\square$

This proposition allows us to choose  $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  and  $R_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow 0$  so that

$$(17) \quad \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}(\varepsilon_i, R_i)}{\# \underline{\Gamma}^{S_i}} = 1.$$

**Lemma 6.6.** *There is a constant  $c = c(M, h)$  so that for every compact set  $K$  contained in  $\Delta$  we can find  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$  so that for all  $i$  sufficiently large  $\phi_i \in \Gamma^{S_i}(K) \cap F_i(\varepsilon_i, R_i - c)$ .*

*Proof.* From Proposition 6.4 c) and (17) we can choose  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$  so that for all  $i$  sufficiently large

$$\phi_i \in \Gamma^{S_i}(\varepsilon_i, R_i) \cap \Gamma^{S_i}(K).$$

Thus from the definition of  $P_i$  there is  $g_i \in \Gamma$  with  $|g_i| \leq n$  so that  $g_i \phi_i \in F_i(\varepsilon_i, R_i)$ . Set  $c = \max\{d(p, \phi(p)) : |\phi| \leq n\}$ . Then from (13) we have that  $\phi_i \in F_i(\varepsilon_i, R_i - c)$  for all  $i$  sufficiently large.  $\square$



Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  has no element with a dense  $\Gamma$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then Theorem 2.6 implies that every point in  $\mathcal{L}$  is isolated and so the set

$$\{\gamma \in \mathcal{L} : C(\gamma) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite. Thus, because every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$  has  $C(\gamma)$  projecting to a closed surface in  $M$ , we can choose a compact set  $K \subset \Delta$  so that  $C(\gamma) \cap K = \emptyset$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ . On the other hand, applying Theorem 4.1 to  $\phi_i(D_i)$ , where the sequence  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$  is the one given by Lemma 6.6, we obtain  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$  for which  $C(\gamma) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. Recall that given a closed Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$ , the volume entropy is defined as

$$E_{vol}(g) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \text{vol}(\widehat{B}_R(x))}{R} = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \#\{\gamma \in \pi_1(N) : \hat{d}(x, \gamma(x)) \leq R\}}{R},$$

where  $\widehat{B}_R(p)$  and  $\hat{d}$  denote, respectively, the geodesic balls and distance function induced by  $g$  in the universal cover  $\widehat{N}$  of  $N$ . The fact that the first limit exists was first observed in [20] and it is standard to check the second identity.

Suppose we have an essential immersion  $\Sigma \subset M$  which lifts to a disc  $\Omega$  in the universal cover  $\widehat{M}$  of  $M$ . In this case  $\pi_1(\Sigma)$  acts naturally by isometries in  $\widehat{M}$  and if  $\hat{d}_\Omega$  denotes the intrinsic distance in  $\Omega$  we have  $\hat{d}(x, y) \leq \hat{d}_\Omega(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \Omega$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma) : \hat{d}_\Omega(x, \gamma(x)) \leq R\} &\leq \#\{\gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma) : \hat{d}(x, \gamma(x)) \leq R\} \\ &\leq \#\{\gamma \in \pi_1(M) : \hat{d}(x, \gamma(x)) \leq R\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $E_{vol}(h_\Sigma) \leq E_{vol}(h)$ . From [6] we have  $E_{vol}(h_\Sigma)^2 \text{area}_h(\Sigma) \geq 4\pi(g-1)$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $\Sigma$  and so by minimizing area in the homotopy class  $\Pi$  of  $\Sigma$  we deduce that

$$\text{area}_h(\Pi) \geq E_{vol}(h)^{-2} 4\pi(g-1).$$

Thus, denoting by  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  the integer part of  $x$ ,

$$\text{area}_h(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L-1) \implies \Pi \in S(M, \lfloor E_{vol}(h)^2 L \rfloor),$$

and so, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $L$  sufficiently large, we have from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \#\{\text{area}_h(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L-1) : \Pi \in S_\varepsilon(M)\} &\leq \ln s(M, \lfloor E_{vol}(h)^2 L \rfloor, \varepsilon) \\ &\leq 2E_{vol}(h)^2 L \ln(c_2 E_{vol}(h)^2 L), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $E(h) \leq 2E_{vol}(h)^2$ . Next we compute  $E(\bar{h})$ .

Given  $\Pi \in S_\varepsilon(M)$ , consider the essential minimal surface  $S \in \Pi$  so that  $\text{area}(S) = \text{area}(\Pi)$ . From Theorem 4.1 we have  $|A|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 = o_\varepsilon(1)$ , meaning

that if  $\varepsilon$  is very small then the quantity on the left side will also be small. Let  $g$  be the genus of  $S$ . The integrated form of Gauss's equation (9) gives

$$\text{area}(S) = 4\pi(g - 1) + o_\varepsilon(1)\text{area}(S)$$

and so for all  $\varepsilon$  uniformly small we have

$$(18) \quad \text{area}(S) = 4\pi(g - 1)(1 + o_\varepsilon(1)).$$

One immediate consequence is that, given  $\delta > 0$ , for all  $\varepsilon$  small and all  $L$  large (depending on  $\delta$  but independently of  $\Pi$ ) we have both

$$\begin{aligned} \text{area}(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L - 1) \text{ and } \Pi \in S_\varepsilon(M) &\implies \Pi \in S(M, \lfloor (1 + \delta)L \rfloor, \varepsilon), \\ \Pi \in S(M, \lfloor (1 - \delta)L \rfloor, \varepsilon) &\implies \text{area}(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and so, recalling the notation set in Section 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln s(M, \lfloor (1 - \delta)L \rfloor, \varepsilon) &\leq \ln \#\{\text{area}(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L - 1) : \Pi \in S_\varepsilon(M)\} \\ &\leq \ln s(M, \lfloor (1 + \delta)L \rfloor, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with Theorem 4.2 we deduce that for all  $\varepsilon$  small

$$2(1 - \delta) \leq \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \#\{\text{area}(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L - 1) : \Pi \in S_\varepsilon(M)\}}{L \ln L} \leq 2(1 + \delta).$$

The arbitrariness of  $\delta$  shows that  $E(\bar{h}) = 2$ .

Suppose now that the sectional curvature of  $h$  is less than or equal to  $-1$ . From the integrated form of Gauss's equation (9) we have that every genus  $g$  minimal surface has  $\text{area}_h(\Sigma) \leq 4\pi(g - 1)$ . Thus  $\Pi \in S(M, \lfloor L \rfloor, \varepsilon)$  implies that  $\text{area}_h(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L - 1)$ . Hence

$$\#\{\text{area}_h(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L - 1) : \Pi \in S_\varepsilon(M)\} \geq s(M, \lfloor L \rfloor, \varepsilon)$$

and so Theorem 4.2 implies that  $E(h) \geq 2 = E(\bar{h})$ .

Suppose now that  $E(h) = 2$ . Consider the set  $G(M, g, \varepsilon) \subset S(M, g, \varepsilon)$  given by Theorem 4.2.

**Claim:** For all  $\delta > 0$ , there is  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all  $i \geq j$  we can find  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Pi \in G(M, g, 1/i)$  so that

$$\text{area}_h(\Pi) > 4\pi((1 + \delta)^{-1}g - 1).$$

Suppose not. In that case there is an increasing sequence of integers  $\{i_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  so that for all  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Pi \in G(M, g, i_j^{-1})$  we have

$$\text{area}_h(\Pi) \leq 4\pi((1 + \delta)^{-1}g - 1)$$

and hence, for all  $L \geq 0$

$$\Pi \in G(M, \lfloor (1 + \delta)L \rfloor, i_j^{-1}) \implies \text{area}_h(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L - 1).$$

Thus, for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \#\{\text{area}_h(\Pi) \leq 4\pi(L-1) : \Pi \in S_{i_j^{-1}}(M)\}}{L \ln L} \\ \geq \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \#G(M, \lfloor (1+\delta)L \rfloor, i_j^{-1})}{L \ln L} \geq 2(1+\delta), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts  $E(h) = 2$ .

Therefore we can find an increasing sequence of integers  $\{j_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a sequence  $\Pi_i \in G(M, g_i, j_i^{-1})$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$(19) \quad \text{area}_h(\Pi_i) \geq 4\pi((1-1/i)g_i - 1) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Denote by  $S_i, \Sigma_i$  the minimal surfaces that minimize area in the homotopy class  $\Pi_i$  with respect to  $h$  and  $\bar{h}$  respectively. We have  $\text{area}(S_i) \leq 4\pi(g_i - 1)$  and so we deduce from (19) that

$$(20) \quad \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{area}_h(\Sigma_i)}{\text{area}(S_i)} \geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4\pi((1-1/i)g_i - 1)}{4\pi(g_i - 1)} = 1.$$

The rigidity follows from Theorem 5.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Anderson, *Complete minimal varieties in hyperbolic space* Invent. Math. 69 (1982), 477–494.
- [2] M. Anderson, *The Dirichlet problem at infinity for manifolds of negative curvature* J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983), 701–721.
- [3] U. Bader, D. Fisher, N. Miller, and M. Stover. *Arithmeticity, Superrigidity and Totally geodesic submanifolds*, arXiv:1903.08467, (2019)
- [4] V. Bangert and U. Lang, *Trapping quasiminimizing submanifolds in spaces of negative curvature* Comment. Math. Helv. 71 (1996), no. 1, 122–143.
- [5] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Springer, Grundlehren 319 (1999).
- [6] G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot, *Entropies et rigidités des espaces localement symétriques de courbure strictement négative.*, GAFA. 5 (1995), 731–799.
- [7] R. Bishop and B. O’Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Transactions Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (1969), 1–49.
- [8] B. Bowditch, *Geometrical finiteness with variable negative curvature*. Duke Math. J. 77 (1995), 229–274.
- [9] M. Brin and M. Gromov, *On the ergodicity of frame flows*, Invent. Math. 60 (1980), 1–7.
- [10] D. Calegari, *Real places and torus bundles*, Geom. Dedicata 118 (2006), 209–227.
- [11] R. Greene and H. Wu, *On the Subharmonicity and Plurisubharmonicity of Geodesically Convex Functions*. Indiana University Mathematics Journal 22, (1973) 641–53.
- [12] M. Gromov, *Three remarks on geodesic dynamics and fundamental group* Enseign. Math. (2) 46 (2000), 391–402.
- [13] U. Hamenstädt. *Incompressible surfaces in rank one locally symmetric spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 25 (3) 815–859, 2015.
- [14] K. Irie, F. C. Marques, and A. Neves, *Density of minimal hypersurfaces for generic metrics*, Ann. of Math. 187, (2018) 963–972.
- [15] J. Jung, *On the growth of the number of primitive totally geodesic surfaces in some hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Number Theory 202 (2019), 160–175

- [16] J. Kahn and V. Markovic, *Immersing almost geodesic surfaces in a closed hyperbolic three manifold*, Ann. of Math. 175 (2012), 1127–1190.
- [17] J. Kahn and V. Markovic, *Counting essential surfaces in a closed hype*, Geom. Topol. 16 (2012), 601–624.
- [18] G. Knieper, *Hyperbolic dynamics and Riemannian geometry*. Handbook of dynamical systems, Vol. 1A, 453–545, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [19] C. Maclachlan and A. Reid, *The arithmetic of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 219, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [20] A. Manning, *Topological entropy for geodesic flows*, Ann. Math. 110 (1979), 567–573.
- [21] G. Margulis *Applications of ergodic theory to the investigation of manifolds of negative curvature*, Fund. Anal. Appl. 3 (1969), 335–336.
- [22] G. Margulis and A. Mohammadi, *Arithmeticity of hyperbolic 3-manifolds containing infinitely many totally geodesic surfaces*, arXiv:1902.07267 (2019).
- [23] B. Linowitz, D. McReynolds, and N. Miller *Areas of totally geodesic surfaces of hyperbolic 3-orbifolds*, arXiv:1707.03079, (2017)
- [24] Y. Liu and V. Marković, *Homology of curves and surfaces in closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), no. 14, 2723–2808.
- [25] F. C. Marques and A. Neves, *Morse index of multiplicity one min-max minimal hypersurfaces*, arXiv:1803.04273, (2018).
- [26] F. C. Marques, A. Neves, and A. Song, *Equidistribution of minimal hypersurfaces for generic metrics*, Invent. Math., 216. (2019) 421–443.
- [27] C. McMullen, A. Mohammadi, and H. Oh, *Geodesic planes in hyperbolic 3-manifolds*. Invent. Math. 209 (2017), 425–461.
- [28] D. McReynolds and A. Reid, *The genus spectrum of a hyperbolic 3-manifold*, Math. Res. Lett. 21 (2014), 169–185.
- [29] D. McReynolds and A. Reid, *Determining hyperbolic 3-manifolds by their surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2019), 443–450.
- [30] M. Ratner, *Raghunathan’s topological conjecture and distributions of unipotent flows*. Duke Math. J. 63 (1991), 235–280.
- [31] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, *Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of three dimensional manifolds of non-negative scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. 110 (1979), 127–142.
- [32] A. Seppi, *Minimal discs in hyperbolic space bounded by a quasicircle at infinity*, Comment. Math. Helv. 91 (2016), 807–839.
- [33] N. Shah, *Closures of totally geodesic immersions in manifolds of constant negative curvature*. Group theory from a geometrical viewpoint (Trieste, 1990), 718–732, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [34] A. Song, *Existence of infinitely many minimal hypersurfaces in closed manifold*. arXiv:1806.08816, (2018).
- [35] W. Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*, Princeton University Notes.
- [36] K. Uhlenbeck, *Closed minimal surfaces in hyperbolic 3-manifolds* Seminar on minimal submanifolds, volume 103 of Ann. of Math. Stud., pages 147–168. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.
- [37] X. Zhou, *On the multiplicity one conjecture in min-max theory*. arXiv:1901.01173, (2019).

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHICAGO IL 60637, USA  
*E-mail address:* aneves@math.uchicago.edu

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, FINE HALL, PRINCETON NJ 08544, USA  
*E-mail address:* coda@math.princeton.edu

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHICAGO IL 60637, USA  
*E-mail address:* [aneves@math.uchicago.edu](mailto:aneves@math.uchicago.edu)