Symmetry and compact embeddings for critical exponents in metric-measure spaces

Michał Gaczkowski¹, Przemysław Górka¹*and Daniel J. Pons^{2†}

¹Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Warsaw University of Technology,

Ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland.

 $2F$ acultad de Ciencias Exactas, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Andres Bello,

República 498, Santiago, Chile.

February 4, 2020

Abstract

We obtain a compact Sobolev embedding for H-invariant functions in compact metric-measure spaces, where H is a subgroup of the measure preserving bijections. In Riemannian manifolds, H is a subgroup of the volume preserving diffeomorphisms: a compact embedding for the critical exponents follows. The results can be viewed as an extension of Sobolev embeddings of functions invariant under isometries in compact manifolds.

Keywords: Sobolev spaces, metric-measure spaces, compact embedding

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 46E35; 30L99.

1 Introduction

Arising in the Calculus of Variations and PDE's, the study of Sobolev spaces in Euclidean domains, and the embeddings between them, has been an active area of research for more than a century (see [\[1\]](#page-12-0) for the classical results, and [\[29\]](#page-14-0) for an overview on history). In the last fifty years, motivated by problems in Geometric Analysis, Physics and Topology, those studies have been generalized to functions on manifolds, with the extension to sections of vector bundles over those spaces, see [\[4,](#page-12-1) [15,](#page-13-0) [16,](#page-13-1) [24,](#page-13-2) [30\]](#page-14-1). More recently, the study of metric-measure spaces^{[1](#page-0-0)} demands, whenever it is possible, similar studies in this context, see the books $[2, 18, 19]$ $[2, 18, 19]$ $[2, 18, 19]$.

A fundamental ingredient in Sobolev spaces is the the concept of weak or distributional gradient. In metricmeasure spaces there are at least two notions that provide a valid generalization of the usual gradient in \mathbb{R}^n :

- An upper gradient, see [\[6,](#page-12-3) [19\]](#page-13-4).
- The one used in this work, nowadays called a Hajlasz gradient, see $[2, 12]$ $[2, 12]$ and Section [2](#page-2-0) below.

Both notions of gradient have advantages and disadvantages with respect to each other, see [\[18,](#page-13-3) [19,](#page-13-4) [20\]](#page-13-6).

[∗]Email: p.gorka@mini.pw.edu.pl

[†]Email: dpons@unab.cl ; pons.dan@gmail.com

¹See [\[11\]](#page-13-7) for an interesting perspective.

If X is a set, μ is a measure on X, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, denote by $L^p(X, \mu)$ the vector space of μ -measurable functions such that

$$
||f||_{L^p(X,\mu)} := (\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x))^{1/p}
$$

is finite. In particular, if X is either a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n or a compact Riemannian n-manifold, with $\mu = V_g$ the volume measure associated to the Euclidean or Riemannian line element g, respectively, $L_1^p(X, \mu)$ refers to the subspace of $L^p(X, \mu)$ made up of those functions such that the norm of their distributional gradient (with respect to g) is in $L^p(X, \mu)$. In those cases one has the embedding

$$
L_1^p(X,\mu) \hookrightarrow L^q(X,\mu)
$$

whenever $p \le q \le p^* := np/(n-p)$, where $1 \le p < n$. If $q < p^*$, the embedding is compact, and one writes

$$
L_1^p(X, \mu) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^q(X, \mu), \tag{1}
$$

see [\[1,](#page-12-0) [4,](#page-12-1) [16\]](#page-13-1). The non-compactness of the embedding in the limit case $q = p^*$ is a phenomena that arises from sequences of transformations that induce substantial changes in the functions, transformations that nonetheless leave the norm of functions unchanged. With such information, it is tempting to look for subspaces of $L_1^p(X, \mu)$ whose elements are invariant under an appropriate subgroup of $\text{Diff}(X)$, and see if the compact embedding (1) , when restricted to these subspaces, can be extended to higher values of q: let H be a subgroup of $\text{Diff}(X)$, and denote by $L_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$ the subspace of $L_1^p(X,\mu)$ made up of H-invariant functions.

The best result in this context is due to E. Hebey and M. Vaugon, who consider H as being a subgroup of $\text{Isom}(X, q)$, the group of isometries of (X, q) :

Theorem 1.1. (Hebey-Vaugon [\[17\]](#page-13-8), also Theorem 9.1 in [\[16\]](#page-13-1)) Suppose (X, g) is a compact Riemannian nmanifold, and H is a compact subgroup of $Isom(X, g)$. If $H(x)$ denotes the orbit of the point x under the action of H, require that $H(x)$ is uncountable for every x in X. If $k := min \{ \dim H(x) : x \in X \}$, then

$$
L_{1,H}^p(X, V_g) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^q(X, V_g) \tag{2}
$$

whenever $1 \leq p < n-k$ and $1 \leq q < \frac{(n-k)p}{n-k-p}$.

In a metric-measure space (X, d, μ) conditions for the metric d and the measure μ are sometimes required, leading to synthetic extensions of analytic Riemannian concepts, like curvature, volume, and dimension (see [\[34\]](#page-14-2) for a friendly introduction to these ideas). We will use the doubling condition for the metric space (X, d) and the lower Ahlfors s-regularity of the metric-measure space (X, d, μ) .^{[2](#page-1-1)} For instance, if (X, g) is a compact n-manifold with induced distance d_g , then (X, d_g, V_g) is a lower n-regular metric-measure space, and (X, d_g) is doubling.

As aforementioned, we use Hajlasz gradients: denote by $M_1^p(X, \mu)$ the vector space of functions in $L^p(X, \mu)$ such that their Hajlasz gradient is also in $L^p(X, \mu)$. In Section [4,](#page-9-0) Theorem [4.1,](#page-9-1) we see that when (X, d_g, V_g) is the natural metric-measure space induced from a compact n-manifold (X, g) , then $M_1^p(X, V_g)$ coincides with $L_1^p(X, V_g)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

In the *analytic* context of Riemannian geometry, symmetry groups are subgroups of $\text{Diff}(X)$. Instead, in the *synthetic* context of metric-measure spaces, symmetry groups are subgroups of $Aut(X)$, the group of automorphisms or bijections of X: let H be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\mu}(X)$, the group of μ -preserving automorphisms of X; denote by $M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$ the subspace of $M_1^p(X,\mu)$ made up of H-invariant functions. The main result in this work is:

Theorem 1.2. Assume that (X, d, μ) is a metric-measure space that is compact, Ahlfors lower s-regular, with (X,d) doubling, and such that $M_1^p(X,\mu)$ is reflexive. If H is a subgroup of $Aut_\mu(X)$ such that for every x in X the set $H(x)$ is uncountable, then

$$
M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^q(X,\mu) \tag{3}
$$

whenever $1 < p < s$ and $1 \le q \le p^* = \frac{sp}{s-p}$.

²See Section [2](#page-2-0) for definitions.

Remark. In contrast with classical Sobolev spaces, there are situations where $M_1^p(X, \mu)$ is not reflexive for $1 < p < \infty$: some examples of this unexpected phenomena are self similar Cantor sets, see [\[31\]](#page-14-3). On the other hand, a discussion about sufficient conditions on (X, d, μ) for $M_1^p(X, \mu)$ to be reflexive can be found in [\[10,](#page-13-9) [13\]](#page-13-10).^{[3](#page-2-1)}

To highlight the contributions of this work, we make some remarks:

- 1. Concerning the groups appearing in Theorems [1.1](#page-1-2) and [1.2:](#page-1-3) In the context of metric-mesure spaces arising from Riemannian manifolds, the group H in Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) is a subgroup of $\text{Diff}_{V_g}(X)$, the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms of (X, g) ; in Theorem [1.1](#page-1-2) the group H is a compact subgroup of the smaller group Isom(X, g). A classical result of S. Myers and N. Steenrod, see [\[23\]](#page-13-11), provides Isom(X, g) with the structure of a finite dimensional Lie group, that is compact if X is compact. In contrast, if X is compact, H. Omori provided the larger group $\mathrm{Diff}_{V_g}(X)$, and $\mathrm{Diff}(X)$ as well, with the structure of an Inverse Limit of Hilbert manifolds, see [\[7,](#page-13-12) [23\]](#page-13-11). The Lie algebras of both groups are represented by vector fields: those in the *formal* Lie algebra of $\text{Diff}_{V_g}(X)$ are free of divergence; those in the Lie algebra of $\text{Isom}(X,g)$ are Killing, a stronger condition. In every Riemannian manifold there are non-trivial vector fields free of divergence; on the other hand, the sign of the Ricci curvature imposes restrictions for Killing vector fields: if the Ricci tensor is non-positive and negative definite at some point, there are no non-trivial Killing vector fields, and the group $\text{Isom}(X, q)$ is finite [\[5,](#page-12-4) [23\]](#page-13-11).
- 2. Concerning the proofs of Theorems [1.1](#page-1-2) and [1.2:](#page-1-3) Roughly speaking, Theorem [1.1](#page-1-2) uses local charts compatible with the dimension reduction under the Riemannian submersion induced by the isometries, reducing the compact embedding of functions to a Sobolev inequality in the space orthogonal to the H-orbits, providing a convenient setup for specific results obtained by H. Berestycki, E. Lieb, P. L. Lions and others, see [\[25\]](#page-13-13). The proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) is different: the dimension reduction compatible with isometries used in Theorem [1.1](#page-1-2) is not always compatible with volume preserving diffeomorphisms.[4](#page-2-2) Some ingredients in the proof are a Sobolev-Hajlasz inequality [\[12\]](#page-13-5), and variations of the Concentration-Compactness principle, see [\[26\]](#page-13-14).

In Section [2](#page-2-0) we provide definitions and results that will be used in Section [3,](#page-5-0) where a detailed proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) is given. In Section [4](#page-9-0) we see that Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) can be applied in the Riemannian context, and discuss necessary and sufficient conditions for its applicability when the dimension of the H-orbits is 1.

For Sobolev embeddings in non-compact spaces using symmetry, see [\[9,](#page-13-15) [10\]](#page-13-9), and the references there.

2 Preliminaries

In this work (X, d, μ) is a metric-measure space equipped with a metric d and a Radon measure μ . We assume that the measure of every open non-empty set is positive, and that the measure of every bounded set is finite.

In most parts of our paper we will assume that the metric-measure space (X, d, μ) is lower Ahlfors s-regular: this means that there exists a constant b such that

$$
bR^s \le \mu\left(B_R(x)\right)
$$

for all balls $B_R(x)$ in X with $R <$ diamX.

A metric space is said to be *doubling* if there exists a constant C such that for every ball of radius R , there exist C balls of radius $R/2$ that cover the original ball. It not difficult to see that if (X, d, μ) is a dou-bling metric-measure space,^{[5](#page-2-3)} then (X, d) is a doubling metric space (see [\[11\]](#page-13-7), Appendix B_+). Conversely, J. Luukkainen and E. Saksman in [\[27\]](#page-13-16) prove that every complete doubling metric space carries a doubling measure.

³For instance, $M_1^p(X, V_g)$ is reflexive for every compact (X, g) .

⁴The quotient space might not be Hausdorff.

⁵A metric-measure space (X, d, μ) is said to be *doubling* if the measure μ is *doubling*, namely if there exists a constant $C_{\mu} > 1$ such that for every ball $B_R(x)$ one has $\mu(B_{2R}(x)) \leq C_{\mu} \mu(B_R(x))$.

If (X, d, μ) is a metric-measure space, say that a function f in $L^p(X, \mu)$ belongs to the Hajlasz-Sobolev space $M_1^p(X,\mu)$ if there exists some $g \in L^p(X,\mu)$, called a *Hajlasz gradient*, such that

$$
|f(x) - f(y)| \le d(x, y) (g(x) + g(y))
$$
\n(4)

for μ almost every x and y in X. In this context, given f in $M_1^p(X, \mu)$, we denote by g_f any Hajlasz gradient for f, to endow the space $M_1^p(X, \mu)$ with the norm

$$
||f||_{M_1^p(X,\mu)} := ||f||_{L^p(X,\mu)} + \inf_{g_f} ||g_f||_{L^p(X,\mu)},
$$
\n(5)

and then $M_1^p(X,\mu)$ is a Banach space.

In the same context, say that $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ belongs to $m_1^p(X, \mu)$ if there exists some $g \in L^p(X, \mu)$, called a local Hajlasz gradient, such that for every z in X there exists an open set U_z and some $E_z \subset U_z$ with $\mu(E_z) = 0$, such that for every pair of points $\{x, y\}$ in $U_z \sim E_z$ the inequality [\(4\)](#page-3-0) holds. As in [\(5\)](#page-3-1) one defines

$$
||f||_{m_1^p(X,\mu)} := ||f||_{L^p(X,\mu)} + \inf_{g_f} ||g_f||_{L^p(X,\mu)},
$$
\n(6)

where now the infimum is over all those g_f that are local Hajlasz gradients for f. Then $m_1^p(X,\mu)$ is also a Banach space. It is obvious that Hajlasz gradients for a function f are local Hajlasz gradients; the converse is not true in general, see [\[20\]](#page-13-6) for an example.

For a detailed exposition of some basic properties of these spaces, we refer to $[2, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]$ $[2, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]$ $[2, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]$ $[2, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]$ $[2, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]$ $[2, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]$ $[2, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]$ $[2, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22]$.

The next result will be useful:

Proposition 2.1. (See [\[12\]](#page-13-5)) Suppose (X, d, μ) is an Ahlfors lower s-regular metric-measure space of finite diameter. If $1 < p < s$, then

$$
M_1^p(X,\mu) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(X,\mu),
$$

where $p^* = \frac{sp}{s-p}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C = C(s, p, b)$, depending on s, p, b, such that for each f in $M_1^p(X,\mu)$

$$
||f||_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)} \leq C \left(||f||_{L^p(X,\mu)} + ||g_f||_{L^p(X,\mu)} \right)
$$

whenever g_f is a Hajlasz gradient for f.

We use Proposition [2.1](#page-3-2) to infer:

Proposition 2.2. Assume that (X, d, μ) is an Ahlfors lower s-regular compact metric-measure space, with (X, d) doubling. If $1 < p < s$, then for every $q < p^*$

$$
M_1^p(X,\mu) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^q(X,\mu),
$$

where $p^* = \frac{sp}{s-p}$.

Proof. By Proposition [2.1](#page-3-2) have that $M_1^p(X, \mu) \hookrightarrow L^q(X, \mu)$ for every $q \in [1, p^*]$. Moreover, since (X, d) is doubling, by Theorem 2 in [\[21\]](#page-13-19) we have the compact embedding

$$
M_1^p(X,\mu) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^p(X,\mu). \tag{7}
$$

Hence if $q \in [1, p]$, then

$$
M_1^p(X,\mu) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^p(X,\mu) \hookrightarrow L^q(X,\mu).
$$

Next, consider the case when $p < q < p^*$. We will prove that the ball $\mathfrak{B} = \{f : ||f||_{M_1^p(X,\mu)} \leq 1\}$ is precompact in $L^q(X,\mu)$. Fix θ in $(0,1)$ so that

$$
\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{p^*}
$$

,

and use [\(7\)](#page-3-3) to note that \mathfrak{B} is precompact in $L^p(X,\mu)$. Hence for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $\tilde{\varepsilon} = 2C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{\theta}}/(2C)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$ net^{[6](#page-4-0)} of \mathfrak{B} in $L^p(X,\mu)$, say $\{f_k\}_{k\in\{1,\ldots,N\}}$, where C is the constant from Proposition [2.1.](#page-3-2) Now it is enough to prove that $\{f_k\}_{k\in\{1,\ldots,N\}}$ is an ϵ net of \mathfrak{B} in $L^q(X,\mu)$; indeed, by the interpolation inequality we have

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} \|f - f_k\|_{L^q(X,\mu)} & \leq & \|f - f_k\|_{L^p(X,\mu)}^\theta \|f - f_k\|_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)}^{1-\theta} \\ & \leq & C^{1-\theta} \|f - f_k\|_{L^p(X,\mu)}^\theta \|f - f_k\|_{M_1^p(X,\mu)}^{1-\theta} \\ & \leq & 2^{1-\theta} C^{1-\theta} \|f - f_k\|_{L^p(X,\mu)}^\theta \leq \epsilon \end{array}
$$

for some k in $\{1, ..., N\}$.

Remark. Proposition [2.2](#page-3-4) highlights the fact that in general one cannot expect that $M_1^p(X, \mu) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(X, \mu)$. Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) ensures that some proper subset of $M_1^p(X,\mu)$ is relatively compact in $L^{p^*}(X,\mu)$.

2.1 Auxiliary Lemmata

The next lemma seems to be well known, however we give a detailed proof due to its role in Section [3.](#page-5-0)

Lemma 2.1. Here (Ω, d, μ) is a separable metric-measure space with a finite Borel measure μ . Suppose that there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that for every measurable set A, either $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) > \delta$. Then there exists a finite set $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ of points in Ω and a finite set of numbers $\{\mu_i\}_{i\in I}$ not smaller than δ such that

$$
\mu = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}.
$$

Proof. Consider the set $A_{\delta} := \{x \in \Omega : \mu(x) \ge \delta\}$. Since $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, the set A_{δ} must be finite. We will show that $\mu(\Omega \sim A_{\delta}) = 0$. Since $\Omega \sim A_{\delta}$ is open, we have

$$
\Omega \sim A_{\delta} = \bigcup_{x \in \Omega \sim A_{\delta}} B_{R_x}(x).
$$

Moreover, since there are no atoms in $\Omega \sim A_{\delta}$, for every x in $\Omega \sim A_{\delta}$ we can choose R_x in such a way that

$$
\mu(B_{R_x}(x))=0.
$$

Furthermore, since Ω is separable, Lindelöf's lemma yields

$$
\Omega \sim A_{\delta} = \bigcup_{x \in A} B_{R_x}(x),
$$

where A is a countable subset of $\Omega \sim A_{\delta}$, and $\mu(\Omega \sim A_{\delta}) = 0$ follows.

To state the next lemma, given some space $F(\Omega)$ of functions on some set Ω , denote by

$$
F_c(\Omega) := \{ \phi \in F(\Omega) : \operatorname{spt} \phi \subset \subset \Omega \}
$$

the subset of $F(\Omega)$ consisting of those functions whose support is a compact subset of Ω .

Lemma 2.2. Here (X, d) is a locally compact metric space with two Radon measures μ and ν , and $\Omega \subset X$ is a precompact open set. Then for every p and r in $[1,\infty)$ the set $Lip_c(\Omega)$ is equidense both in $L^r(\Omega,\mu)$ and in $L^p(\Omega,\nu)$. This means that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $f \in L^r(\Omega,\mu) \cap L^p(\Omega,\nu)$ there exists some ϕ in $Lip_c(\Omega)$ such that

$$
||f - \phi||_{L^r(\Omega, \mu)} \le \epsilon \quad and \quad ||f - \phi||_{L^p(\Omega, \nu)} \le \epsilon.
$$

Remark. By Urysohn's lemma $C_c(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^r(\Omega, \mu)$ and in $L^p(\Omega, \nu)$.

 \Box

⁶This means that for each f in \mathfrak{B} there exists some k in $\{1, ..., N\}$ such that $||f - f_k||_{L^p(X, \mu)} < \tilde{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. To prove the lemma it is sufficient to check that for every measurable set A the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_A$ can be approximated both in $L^r(\Omega,\mu)$ and in $L^p(\Omega,\nu)$ by functions in $\text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$. The regularity of the measures ensures that there exists a sequence $\{K_n\}_n$ of compact sets and a sequence $\{U_n\}_n$ of open sets such that $K_n \subset A \subset U_n$, with

$$
\mu(U_n \sim K_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}
$$
 and $\nu(U_n \sim K_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $U_n \subset \Omega$. Moreover, since the space is locally compact, for every n there exists an open precompact set V_n such that

$$
K_n \subset V_n \subset \overline{V}_n \subset U_n.
$$

Introduce the sequence of functions $\{\psi_n\}_n$ given for each n by

$$
\psi_n := \mathbf{1}_{K_n} : K_n \cup (\Omega \sim V_n) \to [0,1],
$$

and denote by $\tilde{\psi}_n$ the extension of ψ_n to all Ω defined as

$$
\tilde{\psi}_n(x) := \sup_{y \in K_n \cup (\Omega \sim V_n)} (\psi_n(y) - L_n d(x, y)),
$$

where $L_n = 1/\text{ dist } (K_n, \Omega \sim V_n)$. Such functions are Lipschitz on Ω , with $\tilde{\psi}_n = \psi_n$ on $K_n \cup (\Omega \sim V_n)$, and with $\tilde{\psi}_n \leq 1$. Finally, define

$$
\phi_n = \max\{0, \tilde{\psi}_n\},\
$$

and note that $\phi_n \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$. Then it is easy to see that

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\phi_n(x) - \mathbf{1}_A(x)|^r d\mu(x) \le 2^r \mu(U_n \sim K_n) \le \frac{2^r}{n},
$$

and similarly

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\phi_n(x) - \mathbf{1}_A(x)|^p d\nu(x) \le \frac{2^p}{n}.
$$

 \Box

3 Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3)

In this section we prove Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-3) our main result. To prove such a theorem, we will need Theorem [3.1,](#page-7-0) which in turn requires Lemma [3.1,](#page-5-1) Lemma [3.2](#page-7-1) and Lemma [3.3.](#page-7-2) We start with Lemma [3.1:](#page-5-1)

Lemma 3.1. (Reverse Hölder) Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open precompact subset of the metric space (X,d) , and let μ and v be Radon measures on Ω . Assume that $1 \leq p < r$. If there exists a positve real number C such that for every Lipshitz ϕ with compact support

$$
\|\phi\|_{L^r(\Omega,\mu)} \le C \|\phi\|_{L^p(\Omega,\nu)},\tag{8}
$$

then there exists a countable set of points $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ in Ω and a countable set $\{\mu_i\}_{i\in I}$ of positive real numbers such that

$$
\mu = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}.
$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. Assume that $\mu = \nu$, choose any measurable set A, and assume that [\(8\)](#page-5-2) holds; by Lemma [2.2](#page-4-1)

$$
||\mathbf{1}_A||_{L^r(\Omega,\mu)} \leq C||\mathbf{1}_A||_{L^p(\Omega,\mu)},
$$

and then

$$
\mu(A)^{\frac{1}{r}} = ||\mathbf{1}_A||_{L^r(\Omega,\mu)} \le C ||\mathbf{1}_A||_{L^p(\Omega,\mu)} = C\mu(A)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

Hence either $\mu(A) = 0$, or $\mu(A) \geq 1/C^{\frac{pr}{r-p}}$. Then by Lemma [2.1](#page-4-2) there exists finite set $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ of points in Ω and a finite set $\{\mu_i\}_{i\in I}$ of real numbers with $\mu_i \geq 1/C^{\frac{pr}{r-p}}$ such that

$$
\mu = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}.
$$

Step 2. Now assume that μ and ν are arbitrary; the Lebesgue Decomposition theorem ensures that

$$
\nu = \mu \Box \theta + \sigma \tag{9}
$$

for some non-negative θ in $L^1(\Omega,\mu)$, where $\mu \in \theta(A) := \int_A \theta \, d\mu$, and σ is a positive measure singular with respect to μ . For every positive integer n consider the function

$$
\phi_n := \theta^{\frac{1}{r-p}} \mathbf{1}_{\theta \leq n} \psi,
$$

where ψ is Lipschitz with compact support, and also the measure

$$
\mu_n := \mu \llcorner (\theta^{\frac{r}{r-p}} \mathbf{1}_{\theta \leq n}).
$$

Assuming [\(8\)](#page-5-2) and recalling Lemma [2.2,](#page-4-1) use the decomposition [\(9\)](#page-6-0) to obtain

$$
\|\phi_n\|_{L^r(\Omega,\mu)} \le C \|\phi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega,\nu)} = C \|\phi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mu \cup \theta + \sigma)} = C \|\phi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mu \cup \theta)}.
$$
\n
$$
(10)
$$

However

$$
\|\phi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mu\cup\theta)}^p = \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^p \, \theta^{\frac{p}{r-p}} \mathbf{1}_{\theta \le n} \theta d\mu = \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^p \, \theta^{\frac{r}{r-p}} \mathbf{1}_{\theta \le n} d\mu = \|\psi\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mu_n)}^p, \tag{11}
$$

and similarly

$$
\|\psi\|_{L^r(\Omega,\mu_n)} = \|\phi_n\|_{L^r(\Omega,\mu)}.
$$
\n(12)

Then use (11) and (12) in (10) to infer that

$$
\|\psi\|_{L^r(\Omega,\mu_n)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{L^p(\Omega,\mu_n)}
$$

for every n.

Hence by Step 1

$$
\mu_n = \sum_{i \in I_n} \mu_{n,i} \delta_{x_{n,i}}
$$

for every n. Recall the definition of the measures μ_n , and note that $\text{spt}\mu_n \subset \text{spt}\mu_{n+1}$, in particular $I_n \subset I_{n+1}$. Let $I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ and define $x_i := x_{n,i}|_{I_n}$; one can write

$$
\mu_n = \sum_{i \in I_n} \mu_{n,i} \delta_{x_i}.
$$

Since $\mu_{n,i} = \mu_n(\{x_i\}) \leq \mu_{n+1}(\{x_i\}) = \mu_{n+1,i}$, it follows that for each i the number $\mu_{n,i}$ is non decreasing with respect to n.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ the set of measures on Ω endowed with the weak-* topology. Let $\tilde{\mu}_n = \mu_{n} \cup (\theta^{-\frac{r}{r-p}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta > 0\}}),$ and observe that $\tilde{\mu}_n \to \mu \perp 1_{\{\theta > 0\}}$ in $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$. We claim that

 $\tilde{\mu}_n \to \mu$.

To prove that, it suffices to show that $\mu({\theta = 0}) = 0$. Since μ is singular with respect to σ , there exist subsets A and B with $A \cap B = \emptyset$, such that for every measurable set E we have $\mu(E) = \mu(A \cap E)$ and $\sigma(E) = \sigma(B \cap E)$. Therefore

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta=0\}} d\nu = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta=0\}} \theta d\mu + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta=0\}} d\sigma = 0,
$$

hence $\nu(A \cap {\theta = 0}) = 0$, and using [\(8\)](#page-5-2)

$$
||\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\theta=0\}}||_{L^r(\Omega,\mu)} \leq C||\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\theta=0\}}||_{L^p(\Omega,\nu)}=0.
$$

Thus $\mu({\theta = 0}) = \mu(A \cap {\theta = 0}) = 0$, as required.

Now we continue with Lemma [3.2:](#page-7-1)

Lemma 3.2. (Hajlasz-Leibniz) If $v \in M_1^p(X, \mu)$ and $\phi \in Lip(X)$, then $f = v\phi \in M_1^p(X, \mu)$. Moreover,

$$
g_f = g_v |\phi| + |v| ||\phi||_{Lip}
$$

is a Haj \textit{hasz} gradient for $v\phi$.

Proof. The result follows from the string of inequalities

$$
|v(x)\phi(x) - v(y)\phi(y)| \leq |v(x) - v(y)| \min\{|\phi(x)|, |\phi(y)|\} + \max\{|v(x)|, |v(y)|\} |\phi(x) - \phi(y)|
$$

\n
$$
\leq (g_v(x) + g_v(y)) \min\{|\phi(x)|, |\phi(y)|\} d(x, y) + \max\{|v(x)|, |v(y)|\} ||\phi||_{\text{Lip}} d(x, y)
$$

\n
$$
\leq (g_v(x)|\phi(x)| + g_v(y)|\phi(y)|) d(x, y) + (|v(x)| + |v(y)|) ||\phi||_{\text{Lip}} d(x, y)
$$

\n
$$
= d(x, y) (g_{v\phi}(x) + g_{v\phi}(y)),
$$

with $g_{v\phi} := g_v |\phi| + |v| ||\phi||_{\text{Lip}}.$

Finally, before stating Theorem [3.1,](#page-7-0) we recall the following Lemma attributed to H. Brézis and E. Lieb: **Lemma 3.3.** Let $p \in [1,\infty)$. If $f_n \to f$ weakly in $L^p(X,\mu)$ and $f_n \to f$ μ -almost everywhere, then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\int_X |f_n|^p d\mu - \int_X |f_n - f|^p d\mu \right) = \int_X |f|^p d\mu.
$$

With those results at hand, we have:

Theorem 3.1. If (X, d, μ) is an Ahlfors lower s-regular compact metric-measure space, and $1 < p < s$, then for every sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $M_1^p(X, \mu)$ such that $u_n \to u$ weakly in $M_1^p(X, \mu)$ and $u_n \to u$ strongly in $L^p(X, \mu)$, there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ and a countable set I such that

$$
\mu L |u_n|^{p^*} \to \mu L |u|^{p^*} + \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}
$$
\n(13)

in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, where $x_i \in X$ for every $i \in I$.

Proof. We begin with two observations:

1. Let $v_n := u_n - u$, and fix some ϕ in $\text{Lip}_c(X)$. The hypotheses, Proposition [2.1](#page-3-2) and Lemma [3.2](#page-7-1) when applied to $f_n := v_n \phi$ give

$$
||v_n\phi||_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)} \leq C \left(||v_n\phi||_{L^p(X,\mu)} + ||g_{v_n}\phi||_{L^p(X,\mu)} + ||\phi||_{\text{Lip}} ||v_n||_{L^p(X,\mu)} \right). \tag{14}
$$

- 2. The hypotheses also imply that
	- $||v_n\phi||_{L^p(X,\mu)} \to 0$ and $||v_n||_{L^p(X,\mu)} \to 0$,
	- $\mu \llcorner |v_n|^{p^*} \to \bar{\mu}$ and $\mu \llcorner |g_{v_n}|^p \to \nu$ for some $\bar{\mu}$ and ν in $\mathcal{M}(X)$.

Those observations yield the reverse Hölder inequality

$$
\|\phi\|_{L^{p^*}(X,\bar{\mu})} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^p(X,\nu)},
$$

and Lemma [3.1](#page-5-1) ensures that the mesure $\bar{\mu}$ has the form

$$
\bar{\mu} = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}.\tag{15}
$$

Now use Lemma [3.3](#page-7-2) when $f_n = u_n \phi^{\frac{1}{p^*}}$, where ϕ is a non-negative function in $C_c(X)$, and

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\int_X \phi |u_n|^{p^*} d\mu - \int_X \phi |v_n|^{p^*} d\mu \right) = \int_X \phi |u|^{p^*} d\mu
$$

follows. Then recall that $\mu \llcorner |v_n|^{p^*} \to \bar{\mu}$ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, to infer

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X \phi |u_n|^{p^*} d\mu = \int_X \phi \ d\bar{\mu} + \int_X \phi |u|^{p^*} d\mu. \tag{16}
$$

Since every continuous function of compact support, say ϕ , can be written as $\phi = \phi_+ - \phi_-,$ where ϕ_+ and $\phi_-\$ are non-negative with compact support, one concludes that [\(16\)](#page-8-0) holds for every ϕ in the dual of $\mathcal{M}(X)$.
Now use (15), to obtain (13). Now use (15) , to obtain (13) .

Now we can prove Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-3) the main result in this work.

Proof. By the hypotheses, whenever $h \in H$ one has $h_{\#}\mu = \mu$. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in $M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$, namely a bounded sequence in $M_1^p(X, \mu)$ such that $h^{\#}u_n = u_n$ for each n and each h in H. Then the sequence of measures $\{\mu_n\}$ defined by ∗

$$
\mu_n := \mu \llcorner |u_n|^{p^*}
$$

is also H-invariant.

On the other hand, if the sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges weakly to some u in $M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$, then^{[7](#page-8-1)} by Theorem [3.1](#page-7-0) there exists a subsequence^{[8](#page-8-2)} of $\{\mu_n\}$ such that

$$
\mu_n \to \mu \llcorner |u|^{p^*} + \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i} \tag{17}
$$

in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, where I is at most countable.

In addition, it is not difficult to see that if $\{\mu_n\}$ is a sequence of H-invariant measures converging to some ν in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, then ν is also H-invariant; therefore from [\(17\)](#page-8-3) the measure

$$
\mu\llcorner |u|^{p^*}+\sum_{i\in I}\mu_i\delta_{x_i}
$$

is H-invariant. Moreover, since $\mu \llcorner |u|^{p^*}$ is H-invariant, then $\sigma := \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}$ is H-invariant as well.

Choose some k in I, and let $y = h(x_k)$ be some element in $H(x_k)$. Then

$$
\mu_k = \sigma(x_k) = \sigma(h^{-1}(y)) = h_{\#}\sigma(y) = \sigma(y) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}(y),
$$

hence $x_i = y$ for some $i \in I$. This gives a contradiction, since I is at most countable, meanwhile the orbit of each point in X is uncountable by hypothesis. It follows that

$$
\mu \llcorner |u_n|^{p^*} \rightarrow \mu \llcorner |u|^{p^*}
$$

in $\mathcal{M}(X)$; but this is equivalent to say that

$$
\|\phi u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)} \to \|\phi u\|_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)}\tag{18}
$$

 \Box

whenever $\phi \in C_c(X)$.

Since X is compact, we can use $\phi = 1$ in [\(18\)](#page-8-4), to conclude that if $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$ converging weakly to some u in $M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$, then

$$
||u_n||_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)} \to ||u||_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)}
$$

for some subsequence. But $L^{p^*}(X,\mu)$ is uniformly convex, hence $u_n \to u$ in $L^{p^*}(X,\mu)$.

A useful consequence of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) is:

 $\binom{7}{0}M_1^p(X,\mu)$ is reflexive in the hypotheses of the theorem.

⁸We use the same subindex for sequences and the pertinent subsequences.

Corollary 3.1.1. Using the same hypotheses as in Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-3) define the constant C by

$$
C:=\inf\{\ A>0\ :\ \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)}\leq A\|u\|_{M^p_1(X,\mu)}\ \hbox{ whenever }u\in M^p_{1,H}(X,\mu)\ \}.
$$

Then there exists some u_0 in $M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$ such that

$$
C = ||u_0||_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)} / ||u_0||_{M^p_{1,H}(X,\mu)}.
$$

Proof. Define the functional $\mathcal{I}: M_{1,H}^p(X, \mu) \sim \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\mathcal{I}[u] := \|u\|_{M_1^p(X,\mu)},
$$

and set

$$
D := \inf \{ \mathcal{I}[u] \ : \ u \in M_{1,H}^p(X, \mu) \ , \ ||u||_{L^{p^*}(X, \mu)} = 1 \ \}.
$$

Let $\{u_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence, i.e. such that $u_n \in M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$ and $||u_n||_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)} = 1$ for every n, with $\mathcal{I}[u_n] \to D$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$, by Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) there is a subsequence^{[9](#page-9-2)} of $\{u_n\}$ and some w in $M_{1,H}^p(X,\mu)$ such that

$$
u_n \to w
$$
 weakly in $M_{1,H}^p(X, \mu)$,

and $u_n \to w$ strongly in $L^{p^*}(X, \mu)$.

But $||w||_{L^{p^*}(X,\mu)} = 1$ by strong convergence in $L^{p^*}(X,\mu)$, hence

$$
D = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{I}[u_n] = \liminf_{n \to \infty} ||u_n||_{M_1^p(X, \mu)} \ge ||w||_{M_1^p(X, \mu)} = \mathcal{I}[w] \ge D.
$$

Therefore $\mathcal{I}[w] = D$, and it follows that $C = 1/D$, with $u_0 = w$.

4 Riemannian applications

The next result is not surprising and probably not new, however we could not find it in the literature. To satisfy the interested reader, and justify the discussion in Section [4.1](#page-12-5) below, we give a proof of it with some details.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose (X, g) is a compact Riemannian n-manifold. Then for every p such that $1 < p < \infty$ the spaces $L_1^p(X, V_g)$ and $M_1^p(X, V_g)$ coincide with equivalent norms.

Proof. By Proposition 10.1 from [\[14\]](#page-13-17)

$$
M_1^p(X, V_g) \hookrightarrow L_1^p(X, V_g),
$$

hence we need the opposite inclusion. Since X is compact, there exists a finite number of charts

$$
\{(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}) \; : \; \alpha \in A\},\
$$

such that for every α the components g_{ij}^{α} of g in $(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$ satisfy

$$
\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} \le g_{ij}^{\alpha} \le 2\delta_{ij}
$$

as bilinear forms. Let $\{\eta_{\alpha}\}\$ be smooth partition of unity subordinate to covering $\{U_{\alpha}\}\$. We proceed in two steps.

Step 1. Let \mathcal{L}^n be the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure, and fix u in $C^{\infty}(X)$. Since $M_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n)$ and $L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{L}^n)$ are equivalent, see [\[12\]](#page-13-5) for example, there exists a constant $C>1$ such that for every α in A

$$
\frac{1}{C} \|(\eta_{\alpha} u) \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1} \|_{L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n)} \le \| (\eta_{\alpha} u) \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1} \|_{M_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n)} \le C \| (\eta_{\alpha} u) \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1} \|_{L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n)}.
$$
\n(19)

⁹As in Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-3) we use the same subindex for the sequence and the pertinent subsequence.

Furthermore

$$
\int_X |\eta_\alpha u|^p dV_g = \int_{U_\alpha} |\eta_\alpha u|^p dV_g = \int_{\phi_\alpha(U_\alpha)} \sqrt{\det g_{ij}^\alpha} |\eta_\alpha u|^p \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}(x) d\mathcal{L}^n(x),
$$

hence

$$
2^{-\frac{n}{2p}} \|\eta_{\alpha} u\|_{L^p(X, V_g)} \le \|(\eta_{\alpha} u) \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n)} \le 2^{\frac{n}{2p}} \|\eta_{\alpha} u\|_{L^p(X, V_g)}.
$$
\n(20)

On the other hand, we estimate the gradient locally by

$$
\int_X |\nabla(\eta_\alpha u)|^p dV_g = \int_{\phi_\alpha(U_\alpha)} \sqrt{\det g_{ij}^\alpha} \left| \sum_{k,j=1}^n g_\alpha^{kj} D_k((\eta_\alpha u) \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}) D_j((\eta_\alpha u) \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}) \right|^p d\mathcal{L}^n
$$

$$
\geq 2^{-\frac{n+p}{2}} \int_{\phi_\alpha(U_\alpha)} |\nabla ((\eta_\alpha u) \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1})|^p d\mathcal{L}^n,
$$

therefore

$$
\|\nabla(\eta_{\alpha}u)\circ\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{L}^n)}\leq 2^{\frac{n+p}{2p}}\|\nabla(\eta_{\alpha}u)\|_{L^{p}(X,V_g)}
$$

for each α in A.

Set $C_0 := \max_{\alpha \in A} ||\nabla \eta_\alpha||_\infty + 1$. Then

$$
\|\nabla(\eta_{\alpha}u)\circ\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},\mathcal{L}^{n})}\leq 2^{\frac{n+p}{2p}}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(X,V_{g})}+C_{0}\|u\|_{L^{p}(X,V_{g})}\right)\leq 2^{\frac{n+p}{2p}}C_{0}\|u\|_{L_{1}^{p}(X,V_{g})}.
$$
\n(21)

Fix some $\epsilon > 0$; then there exists a Hajlasz gradient h_α for $(\eta_\alpha u) \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}$ in $\phi_\alpha(U_\alpha)$, so that

$$
\|(\eta_{\alpha}u)\circ\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},\mathcal{L}^{n})}+\|h_{\alpha}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},\mathcal{L}^{n})}-\epsilon\leq \|(\eta_{\alpha}u)\circ\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}\|_{M_{1}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},\mathcal{L}^{n})}.
$$
\n(22)

Gather inequalities (19) , (20) , (21) and (22) to get

$$
\begin{aligned} \|(\eta_{\alpha} u) \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1} \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n)} + \|h_{\alpha}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n)} - \epsilon &\leq C \|(\eta_{\alpha} u) \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}\|_{L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n)} \\ &\leq C \left(2^{\frac{n+p}{2p}} C_0 + 2^{\frac{n}{2p}}\right) \|u\|_{L_1^p(X, V_g)}. \end{aligned}
$$

Observe that for each α the function $\sqrt{2}h_{\alpha} \circ \phi_{\alpha} =: \tilde{h}_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Hajlasz gradient for $(\eta_{\alpha}u)|_{U_{\alpha}}$. Indeed, since h_{α} is a Hajlasz gradient for $(\eta_{\alpha} u) \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha})}$, there exists a subset $E_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{n}(E_{\alpha}) = 0$, and such that for every pair $x, y \in \phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha}) \sim E_{\alpha}$

$$
|\eta_\alpha u(\phi_\alpha^{-1}(x)) - \eta_\alpha u(\phi_\alpha^{-1}(y))| \le (h_\alpha(x) + h_\alpha(y)) |x - y|.
$$

Therefore, for each pair x, y in $U_{\alpha} \sim \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(E_{\alpha})$

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n|\eta_{\alpha}(x)u(x)-\eta_{\alpha}(y)u(y)| & = & |\eta_{\alpha}u(\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\phi_{\alpha}(x))) - \eta_{\alpha}u(\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\phi_{\alpha}(y)))| \\
& \leq & (h_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}(x)) + h_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}(y))) \, |\phi_{\alpha}(x) - \phi_{\alpha}(y)| \\
& \leq & \left(\tilde{h}_{\alpha}(x) + \tilde{h}_{\alpha}(y)\right) d_{g}(x, y).\n\end{array} \tag{23}
$$

Our next goal is to prove that

$$
h:=\sum_{\alpha\in A}\tilde{h}_{\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{U_{\alpha}}
$$

is a local Hajlasz gradient for u .

Fix $z \in X$ and define

• $I_z := \{ \alpha \in A : z \in U_\alpha \},\$

- $J_z := \{ \alpha \in A : z \in \partial U_\alpha \}$, and
- $K_z := \{ \alpha \in A : z \in X \sim \bar{U}_\alpha \}.$

Then I_z, J_z, K_z are pairwise disjoint, with $I_z \cup J_z \cup K_z = A$ for each z in X.

Define $R > 0$ such that

- For all α in I_z , the ball $B_R(z) \subset U_\alpha$,
- For all α in J_z , $\eta_{\alpha}(B_R(z)) = \{0\}$, and
- For all α in K_z , $B_R(z) \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$.

Note that if $x, y \in B_R(z)$ and $\eta_\alpha(x) \neq 0$, then $y \in U_\alpha$; indeed, α can not belong to $K_z \cup J_z$, therefore $\alpha \in I_z$, and then $y \in B_R(z) \subset U_\alpha$. Hence for $x, y \in B_R(z) \sim \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$, taking [\(23\)](#page-10-3) into account, the inequality

$$
|u(x) - u(y)| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} |\eta_{\alpha}(x)u(x) - \eta_{\alpha}(y)u(y)| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} (\tilde{h}_{\alpha}(x) + \tilde{h}_{\alpha}(y)) d_{g}(x, y),
$$

follows, and this proves that h is a local Hajlasz gradient for u .

Recalling [\(6\)](#page-3-5), collect previous estimates to infer

$$
||u||_{m_1^p(X,V_g)} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} ||\eta_{\alpha}u||_{L^p(X,V_g)} + ||h||_{L^p(X,V_g)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq 2^{\frac{n}{2p}} \sum_{\alpha \in A} ||(\eta_{\alpha}u) \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{L}^n)} + 2^{\frac{n}{2p}} \sum_{\alpha \in A} ||h_{\alpha}||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{L}^n)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq 2^{\frac{n}{2p}} |A| C \left(2^{\frac{n+p}{2p}} C_0 + 2^{\frac{n}{2p}}\right) ||u||_{L_1^p(X,V_g)} + 2^{\frac{n}{2p}} |A|\epsilon,
$$

where |A| denotes the cardinality of the set A. Hence if $\epsilon \to 0$

$$
||u||_{m_1^p(X, V_g)} \le C_1 ||u||_{L_1^p(X, V_g)},
$$
\n(24)

where $C_1 := 2^{\frac{n}{2p}} |A| C \left(2^{\frac{n+p}{2p}} C_0 + 2^{\frac{n}{2q}} \right)$.

Step 2. Choose u in $L_1^p(X, V_g)$. By the density of $C^{\infty}(X)$ in $L_1^p(X, V_g)$ there exists a sequence of smooth functions $\{u_n\}$ converging to u in $L_1^p(X, V_g)$. Therefore using [\(24\)](#page-11-0) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some N such that for $m, n \geq N$

$$
||u_m - u_n||_{m^{1,p}(X, V_g)} \leq C_1 ||u_m - u_n||_{L_1^p(X, V_g)} \leq \epsilon.
$$

On the other hand, by the completeness of $m_1^p(X, V_g)$ the sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges to some v in $m_1^p(X, V_g)$. By the definitions of $L_1^p(X, V_g)$ and $m_1^p(X, V_g)$ the sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges to both u and v in $L^p(X, V_g)$. Thus $u = v$, and using (24)

$$
||u||_{m_1^p(X,V_g)} \leq C_1 ||u||_{L_1^p(X,V_g)},
$$

therefore $L_1^p(X, V_g) \hookrightarrow m_1^p(X, V_g)$.

Finally, by Corollary 3.5 from [\[20\]](#page-13-6) the spaces $m_1^p(X, V_g)$ and $M_1^p(X, V_g)$ are equivalent, hence

$$
L_1^p(X, V_g) \hookrightarrow M_1^p(X, V_g),
$$

as required.

4.1 Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) for flows

We use Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) to apply Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) in closed Riemannian manifolds when the H-orbits have dimension one. In this setup we see that Theorem [1.2](#page-1-3) can be applied if and only if the Euler characteristic of the manifold is equal to zero; this condition is restrictive only in even dimensional manifolds.

Consider a closed orientable Riemannian n-manifold (X, g) whose Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ is equal to 0. A result attributed to H. Hopf, see [\[28\]](#page-13-20), ensures that there exists a non-vanishing^{[10](#page-12-6)} vector field τ on X, or equivalently a non-vanishing $(n-1)$ -form ω_{τ} , related with τ through the bijection $TX \leftrightarrow \wedge^{n-1}TX$ given by

$$
\tau \leftrightarrow \omega_\tau = \tau \lrcorner\ \Omega_g,
$$

where Ω_g is the volume *n*-form induced from g giving the orientation of X. The form ω_τ is closed if and only if the vector field τ is free of divergence; indeed:

$$
(\text{div}\cdot\tau)\ \Omega_g = L_{\tau}\Omega_g = d(\tau \Box \ \Omega_g),
$$

where L_{τ} is the Lie derivative along τ . Denote by $H = \{h_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}\$ the subgroup of Diff(X) associated to the flow of τ : if ω_{τ} is a non-vanishing closed $(n-1)$ -form on X, then H is a subgroup of $\text{Diff}_{V_g}(X)$, and the orbit of every point in X under H is uncountable.

In this spirit, D. Asimov proved in $[3]$ that if n is at least 4, and if the first Betti number of X is different from zero, then every non-vanishing vector field is homotopic through a family of non-vanishing vector fields to a non-vanishing vector field that preserves Ω_q , see also [\[33\]](#page-14-4). Shortly afterwards, M. Gromov using Convex Integration^{[11](#page-12-8)} proved that if n is at least 3, then every non-vanishing $(n - 1)$ -form can be homotoped through non-vanishing forms to a non-vanishing exact form, with no restrictions on the first Betti number of X. Note that when $n = 2$ the only possible manifold is the 2-torus, and then the required vector fields are constant slope fields [\[3\]](#page-12-7).

With those facts, Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) and Corollary [3.1.1](#page-9-4) provide simple and concrete applications:

Corollary 4.1.1. Suppose (X, g) is an orientable closed Riemannian manifold with $\chi(X) = 0$. If τ is a non-vanishing solenoidal vector field, the problem

Min
$$
\{ \int_X \left(|\nabla u|_g^p + |u|^p \right) dV_g : u \in L^p_{1,H}(X, V_g) \text{ and } \int_X |u|^{p^*} dV_g = 1 \}
$$

has a solution whenever $1 < p < n$, where H is the group associated to the flow of τ .

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, J. Fournier, Sobolev spaces, Second Edition, Elsevier, 2005.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, P. Tilli, Topics on analysis in metric spaces. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 25. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [3] D. Asimov, Homotopy to divergence-free vector fields, Topology 15 (1976), 349-352.
- [4] T. Aubin, Non-linear Analysis on Manifolds, Monge Ampére Equations, Springer Verlag, 1982.
- [5] P. Bérard, From vanishing theorems to estimating theorems: the Bochner technique revisited, Bull. of the AMS 19 (1988), 371-406.
- [6] J. Cheeger, Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric-measure spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), 428-517.

¹⁰Non-vanishing, or vanishing no-where.

 11 See [\[8\]](#page-13-21) for a detailed exposition.

- [7] D. Ebin, J. Marsden, Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incrompessible fluid, Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 102-163.
- [8] Y. Eliashberg, N. Mishachev, Introduction to the h-principle, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 48, AMS, 2002.
- [9] M. Gaczkowski, P. Górka, D. J. Pons, Sobolev spaces with variable exponents on complete manifolds, J. Funct. Anal., 270 (2016), 1379-1415.
- [10] P. Górka, Looking for compactness in Sobolev spaces on noncompact metric spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 43 (2018), 531-340.
- [11] M. Gromov, Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces, Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [12] P. Hajlasz, Sobolev spaces on an arbitrary metric space, Potential Anal. 5 (1996), 403-415.
- [13] P. Haj lasz, Sobolev spaces on metric-measure spaces. Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002), Contemp. Math. 338 (2003), 173-218.
- [14] P. Hajłasz, P. Koskela, Sobolev met Poincaré, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 688 (2000), 1-101.
- [15] S. W. Hawking, G. F. Ellis, The large scale structure of space-time, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [16] E. Hebey, Non-linear Analysis on Manifolds: Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities, Courant Lecture Notes, Vol 5, AMS, 2000.
- [17] E. Hebey, M. Vaugon, Sobolev spaces in the presence of symmetries, J. Math. Pures Appl. 76 (1997), 859-881.
- [18] J. Heinonen, Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces, Universitext, 2001.
- [19] J. Heinonen, P. Koskela, N. Shanmugalingam, J. T. Tyson, Sobolev spaces on metric-measure spaces. An approach based on upper gradients, New Mathematical Monographs, 27, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [20] R. Jiang, N. Shanmugalingam, D. Yang, W. Yuan, Hajlasz gradients are upper gradients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 422 (2015), no. 1, 397-407.
- [21] A. Kałamajska, On compactness of embedding for Sobolev spaces defined on metric spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 24 (1999), 123-132.
- [22] J. Kinnunen, O. Martio, The Sobolev capacity on metric spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 21 (1996), 367-382.
- [23] S. Kobayashi, Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry, Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [24] H. B. Lawson, The Theory of Gauge Fields in Four Dimensions, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Number 58, AMS, 1985.
- [25] P. L. Lions, Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev, Journal of Functional Analysis 49 (1982), 315-334.
- [26] P. L. Lions, The Concentration-Compactness Principle in the Calculus of Variations. The limit case, Part 1, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana 1 (1985), 145-201.
- [27] J. Luukkainen, E. Saksman, Every complete doubling metric space carries a doubling measure, Proc. AMS 126 (1998), 531-534.
- [28] J. Milnor, Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint, Revised Edition. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, 1997.
- [29] J. Naumann, Remarks on the prehistory of Sobolev spaces, Preprint Humbolt Universität, Berlin. DOI: 10.18452/2615 (2002).
- [30] R. S. Palais, Foundations of Global Non-linear Analysis, W. A. Benjamin, Inc, 1968.
- [31] J. Rissanen, Wavelets on self-similar sets and the structure of the spaces $M^{1,p}(E,\mu)$, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. 125 (2002), 46 pp.
- [32] N. Shanmugalingam, Newtonian spaces: an extension of Sobolev spaces to metric-measure spaces, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana 16 (2000), 243-279.
- [33] D. Sullivan, Cycles for the Dynamical Study of Foliated Manifolds and Complex Manifolds, Inventiones Math. 36 (1976), 225-255.
- [34] C. Villani, Optimal transport, old and new, Springer Verlag, 2009.