

Mixed integer programming formulation of unsupervised learning

Arturo Berrones-Santos

Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León

Facultad de Ingeniería Mecánica y Eléctrica

Posgrado en Ingeniería de Sistemas

Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas

Posgrado en Ciencias con Orientación en Matemáticas

AP 126, Cd. Universitaria, San Nicolás de los Garza, NL 66450, México

arturo.berronesn@uanl.edu.mx

January 22, 2020

Abstract

A novel formulation and training procedure for full Boltzmann machines in terms of a mixed binary quadratic feasibility problem is given. As a proof of concept, the theory is analytically and numerically tested on XOR patterns.

Keywords: Boltzmann machines; Mixed integer programming; Unsupervised learning.

1 Introduction

A central open question in machine learning is the effective handling of unlabeled data [1, 2]. The construction of balanced representative datasets for supervised machine learning for the most part still requires a very close and time consuming human direction, so the development of efficient learning from data algorithms in an unsupervised fashion is a very active area of research [1, 2]. A general framework to deal with unlabeled data is the Boltzmann machine paradigm, in which is attempted to learn a probability distribution for the patterns in the data without any previous identification of input and output variables. In its most general setups however, the training of Boltzmann machines is computationally intractable [2, 3, 4]. In this contribution is established a relation, which to the best of my knowledge was previously unknown, between Mixed Integer Programming (MIP) and the full Boltzmann machine in binary variables. It is hoped that this novel formulation opens the road to more efficient learning algorithms by taking advantage of the great variety of techniques available for MIP.

2 Full Boltzmann machine with data as constraints

Consider a network of units with binary state space. Each unit depends on all the others by a logistic-type response function,

$$x_i = \text{round} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \exp \left(- \sum_{j \neq i} q_{j,i} x_j - b_i \right)} \right] \equiv f_i, \quad (1)$$

where the “round” indicates the nearest integer function, the q 's are pairwise interactions between units and the b 's are shift parameters. As will later be clear, the proposed model supports both supervised and unsupervised learning and leads to a full Boltzmann machine in its classical sense.




Figure 1: Full Boltzmann machine with five units.

Suppose a data set of D visible binary vectors, $\{\vec{v}_d\}$, $d = 1, 2, \dots, D$ with I components each and a collection of M hidden units u_m , $m = 1, \dots, M$. The total number of units in the system is $N = I + M$. If the connectivity and shift parameters are given, each sample fixes the binary vector $\vec{x}_d = \{\vec{v}_d, \vec{u}_d\}$ and

therefore the data set imposes the following ND constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{v_{d,i}} \left(\sum_{j \neq i}^I q_{i,j} v_{d,j} + b_i \right) &\leq 0, \\ (-1)^{u_{d,m}} \left(\sum_{j \neq m}^M q_{m,j} u_{d,j} + b_m \right) &\leq 0, \\ d = 1, 2, \dots, D; i = 1, 2, \dots, I; m = 1, 2, \dots, M. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

A posterior distribution for the parameters $P(\{q_{i,j}, b_i\}|D)$, can be constructed by the maximum entropy principle, which gives the less biased distribution that is consistent with a set of constraints [5, 6]. This is done by the minimization of the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \int P \ln P d\vec{w} + \sum_{r=1}^{ND} \lambda_r \langle \text{constraint}(r) \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where the brackets represent average under the posterior, \vec{w} is a vector that contains the connectivity and shift parameters and the λ 's are positive Lagrange multipliers. Due to the linearity of the system of inequalities (2), the average of the constraints under P with fixed unit values is simply given by the same set of inequalities with the coefficients $q_{j,i}$'s and b_i 's substituted by their averages $\langle q_{j,i} \rangle$'s, $\langle b_i \rangle$'s. The maximum entropy distribution for the parameters is therefore given by

$$P(\{q_{i,j}, b_i\}|D) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[- \sum_{\{d,i\}} \lambda_{\{d,i\}} \left[(-1)^{x_{d,i}} \left(\sum_{j \neq i}^N q_{i,j} x_{d,j} + b_i \right) \right] \right], \quad (4)$$

where Z is a normalization factor. So due to the linearity of the constraints, P is a tractable (i. e. an easy to sample) product of independent two parameter exponential distributions:

$$P(\{q_{i,j}, b_i\}|D) = P(\vec{w}|D) = \prod_{n=1}^N \alpha_n e^{-\alpha_n (w_n - \beta_n)}, \quad (5)$$

where $\langle w_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_n} + \beta_n$ [7]. Therefore a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the above distribution is the existence of the averages $\langle w_n \rangle$, which is determined by the satisfaction of the inequalities (2).

The representation of the posterior by its two parameter exponential form Eq. (5) gives a codification of the training data in terms of a tractable distribution for the parameters that in conjunction with Eq. (1) is in fact a distribution for new unlabeled binary strings of data. For fully connected topologies, this is what is usually understood by an equilibrium distribution of a full Boltzmann machine [2].




Figure 2: Three different architectures for the XOR problem.

2.1 Illustrative example 1: Supervised XOR

The theoretical soundness of the proposed approach is now shown through the XOR logical table, $D = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0)\}$. Let's consider first a restricted architecture with only two directed arcs that connect two inputs with an output unit, as represented in Figure 2A. The inequalities (2) in this case read,

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &\leq 0, & -q_{0,2} - b_2 &\leq 0, \\ -q_{1,2} - b_2 &\leq 0, & q_{0,2} + q_{1,2} + b_2 &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

There are no values for b_2 , $q_{0,2}$ and $q_{1,2}$ that satisfy all the inequalities. This is reflected in the maximum entropy distribution,

$$P = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\lambda_1(b_2)} e^{-\lambda_2(-q_{0,2}-b_2)} e^{-\lambda_3(-q_{1,2}-b_2)} e^{-\lambda_4(q_{0,2}+q_{1,2}+b_2)} \quad (7)$$

which to be a properly normalized product of two-parameter exponential distributions must satisfy the contradictory conditions $b_2 < 0$, $q_{0,2} > 0$, $q_{1,2} > 0$, $|b_2| < q_{0,2}$, $|b_2| < q_{1,2}$, $|b_2| > q_{0,2} + q_{1,2}$. A valid model is however attainable by the addition of a single hidden unit. Consider the architecture represented in Figure 2B. This leads to a two stage constraint satisfaction problem. The first

stage is given by the data evaluated on the visible units,

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{3,2}f_3(0,0) + b_2 &\leq 0, \\
-q_{0,2} - q_{3,2}f_3(1,0) - b_2 &\leq 0, \\
-q_{1,2} - q_{3,2}f_3(0,1) - b_2 &\leq 0, \\
q_{0,2} + q_{1,2} + q_{3,2}f_3(1,1) + b_2 &\leq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for which solutions certainly exist. Take for instance,

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_2| < q_{0,2}, \quad |b_2| < q_{1,2}, \quad |b_2 + q_{3,2}| > q_{0,2} + q_{1,2}, \\
f_3(0,0) = f_3(1,0) = f_3(0,1) = 0, \quad f_3(1,1) = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The second stage is consequently given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_3 &\leq 0, \\
q_{0,3} + b_3 &\leq 0, \\
q_{1,3} + b_3 &\leq 0, \\
-q_{0,3} - q_{1,3} - b_3 &\leq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for which solutions exist under the conditions $b_3 < -C$, $|b_3| > |q_{0,3}|$, $|b_3| > |q_{1,3}|$, $|b_3| < |q_{0,3} + q_{1,3}|$, where C is a positive constant. Therefore, the maximum entropy distribution for the parameters of the model represented in the Figure 2B exists. Equivalently, this result shows that the classical XOR supervised learning problem can be solved by the proposed MIP feasibility formulation.

2.2 Illustrative example 2: Unsupervised XOR

A model capable of unsupervised learning is sketched in Figure 2C. The system of inequalities should be now extended to consider inputs to nodes x_0 and x_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{3,0}f_3(0,0) + b_0 &\leq 0, \\
-q_{2,0} - q_{3,0}f_3(1,0) - b_0 &\leq 0, \\
q_{1,0} + q_{2,0} + q_{3,0}f_3(0,1) + b_0 &\leq 0, \\
-q_{3,0}f_3(1,1) - q_{1,0} - b_0 &\leq 0, \\
q_{3,1}f_3(0,0) + b_1 &\leq 0, \\
q_{0,1} + q_{2,1} + q_{3,1}f_3(1,0) + b_1 &\leq 0, \\
-q_{2,1} - q_{3,1}f_3(0,1) - b_1 &\leq 0, \\
-q_{0,1} - q_{3,1}f_3(1,1) - b_1 &\leq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

which has indeed solutions, as discussed in the following section.

3 Sampling from the posterior distribution

The equilibrium posterior distribution of patterns can be sampled by taking an arbitrary solution of the MIP feasibility problem and using it to define the

averages $\langle w_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha_n} + \beta_n$. The standard deviation of each two-parameter exponential distribution is given by $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{\alpha_n}$, which can be at first instance assigned to some positive value related to the constant C . If y is an uniform random deviate in the interval $[0, 1]$, then $w_n = -\frac{1}{\alpha_n} \ln(1 - y) + \beta_n$ is a deviate from the two-parameter exponential distribution associated to w_n . In this way, the vector of visible units can be sampled in a computation time that is quadratic in the number of total (visible and invisible) units.

Algorithm 1 (Pseudo-code for sampling from the maximum entropy posterior.)

- 1: Initialize: β_n from a solution of the MIP feasibility problem and $\frac{1}{\alpha_n} \leftarrow \epsilon C$ (ϵ arbitrary positive real number).
 - 2: Assign value to *size* (desired number of samples).
 - 3: Generate y_τ , ($\tau = 1, \dots, \text{size} \times N$) uniform and independent random deviates in the $[0, 1]$ interval.
 - 4: **for** $s = 1$ **to** *size* **do**
 - 5: $w_{n,s} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_{n,s}} \ln(1 - y) + \beta_{n,s}$, $n = 1, \dots, N$
 - 6: Generate \vec{x}_s by inserting \vec{w}_s in Eq. (1)
 - 7: **end for**
-

The step 6 of the algorithm above is made by starting with an initial random binary vector \vec{x} at each s . The self-consistent system Eq. (1) is then iterated. No more than 10 iterations are needed to achieve convergence.

The sampling procedure Algorithm 1 is now shown through the XOR example. Take an arbitrary solution of the MIP feasibility problem, say

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_3(0,0) = f_3(1,0) = f_3(0,1) = 0, f_3(1,1) = 1, & \quad (12) \\
 -b_0 = -b_1 = -b_2 = -b_3 = C, & \\
 q_{0,3} = q_{1,3} = \frac{3}{4}C, & \\
 q_{2,0} = q_{0,2} = q_{1,2} = q_{2,1} = -q_{0,1} = -q_{1,0} = 2C, & \\
 q_{3,0} = q_{3,1} = -q_{3,2} = 4C. &
 \end{aligned}$$

Due to the rounding operator in Eq. (1), any $C > 0$ can work. In the following experiments the value $C = 100$ is used with sample sizes of 1500. Some of the samples drawn for each C are shown.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) : \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_n} = 0.1C \quad \forall \quad n : \\
 [011], [000], [110], [101], [000], [011], [000], \\
 [000], [110], [110], [000], [011], [000], [000], [110]...
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) : \frac{1}{\alpha_n} = 0.5C \quad \forall \quad n :$$

[011], [000], [000], [111], [110], [000], [000],
[000], [000], [000], [111], [000], [101], [000], [011]...

$$(c) : \frac{1}{\alpha_n} = 2.0C \quad \forall \quad n :$$

[100], [001], [111], [011], [000], [000], [101],
[000], [001], [000], [000], [001], [000], [100], [101]...

The resulting ratios of XOR patterns relative to non-XOR patterns over the entire 1500 samples for each case are, (a) : 1, (b) : 0.9 and (c) : 0.6

4 Discussion

In the author’s view, this paper presents a formalism that has the potential not only to give more efficient learning algorithms but to improve the understanding of the learning from data itself. Particularly, datasets explicitly constrain the parameters of the learning model by a set of feasibility mixed binary inequalities. For fixed binary values, the system is linear and continuous. For fixed model parameters, it’s a linear constraint satisfaction problem in binary variables. The author together with collaborators is now working in different ways to exploit these structures in order to scale the framework to solve realistic large scale unsupervised learning problems. In such problems, a measure proportional to the number of satisfied constraints might be used to guide the learning procedure and to assign sensible values to the $\frac{1}{\alpha_n}$ hyperparameter.

acknowledgements

The author acknowledge partial financial support from UANL and CONACyT.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that he have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Oliver, A., Odena, A., Raffel, C. A., Cubuk, E. D., & Goodfellow, I. Realistic evaluation of deep semi-supervised learning algorithms. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 3235-3246, (2018).

- [2] Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A., Deep learning. MIT press, (2016).
- [3] Fischer, A., & Igel, C., Training restricted Boltzmann machines: An introduction. *Pattern Recognition*, 47(1), 25-39, (2014).
- [4] Li, R. Y., Albash, T., & Lidar, D. A., Improved Boltzmann machines with error corrected quantum annealing. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01283, (2019).
- [5] Jaynes, E. T., Information theory and statistical mechanics. *Physical review*, 106(4), 620, (1957).
- [6] Shore, J., & Johnson, R., Axiomatic derivation of the principle of maximum entropy and the principle of minimum cross-entropy. *IEEE Transactions on information theory*, 26(1), 26-37, (1980).
- [7] Kececioglu, D., Reliability engineering handbook (Vol. 1). DEStech Publications, Inc., (2002).