

A comment on paper of Kim et al. on mechanisms of hysteresis in human brain networks: comparing with theoretical m -adic model

Giuseppe Iurato and Andrei Khrennikov
International Center for Mathematical Modeling
in Physics, Engineering, Economics and Cognitive Science
Linnaeus University, S-35195, Växjö, Sweden

Abstract

This comment is aimed to point out that the recent work due to Kim, et al. in which the clinical and experiential assessment of a brain network model suggests that asymmetry of synchronization suppression is the key mechanism of hysteresis has coupling with our theoretical hysteresis model of unconscious-conscious interconnection based on dynamics on m -adic trees.

1 Introduction

This is a comment on the recent work [22]. In this paper, the clinical and experiential assessment of a brain network model suggests that asymmetry of synchronization suppression is the key mechanism of hysteresis observed during loss and recovery of consciousness in general anesthesia. This study has indirectly provided empirical confirmation of the theoretical model outlined in [8] based on a possible implementation of an hysteretic pattern into a formal model of unconscious-conscious interconnection worked out on the basis of representations of mental entities by m -adic numbers.

One of the main assumptions done by the authors of [22], is that (physical) hysteresis (of their brain network model took into account) observed during anesthetic state transitions shares the same underlying mechanism as that observed in non-biological networks. This makes licit to put into comparative relations [8] and [22].

2 M -adic (ultrametric) model of conscious-unconscious interrelation

First, rigorous attempts to formalize, through p -adic mathematics, the construct pair conscious-unconscious of psychology have been undertaken by Andrei Yu. Khrennikov since the late 1990s ([6], [12]-[20]). This formalization via p -adic analysis was based on the use of concepts, tools and techniques drawn from dynamical systems theory and this route is very promising. One of the central points of this theoretical framework, which lays out the basic concepts and notions of psychology and psychoanalysis, is the use of p -adic dynamical systems and related theory, thanks to which it has been possible to take into account the chief elements of Freudian psychoanalysis, among which the crucial relationships conscious-unconscious, which may be formalized through discrete dynamical system theory and represent the nodal points of the whole psychoanalytic framework.

Mathematically, it is fruitful to proceed with the fields of p -adic numbers, where $p > 1$ is a prime number. These fields play an important role in theoretical physics, string theory, quantum mechanics and field theory, cosmology – see, e.g., [1], [4], [5] for recent reviews. However, in cognitive and psychological applications there are no reasons to restrict models to prime number bases. It is more natural to work with the rings of p -adic numbers, where $p > 1$ is an arbitrary natural number. In general, the language of ultrametric spaces covers completely tree-like representations of information in cognitive studies and psychology ([16]). However, up to now not so much has been done on general ultrametric spaces. Finally, we also remark that methods of p -adic and more generally ultrametric analysis, have been used in modeling cognition and unconscious processing of information by R. Lauro-Grotto ([24]) and F. Murtagh ([25]-[28]).

Therefore, the psychological construct pair conscious-unconscious, say $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{UC}$, is the keystone of every formalization attempt of psychoanalysis. In [8], the authors have simply taken into account a first elementary formal model of hysteretic phenomena (regarding physical context), implemented into the p -adic dynamical model of the $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{UC}$ pair. In doing so, the authors of [8] have tried to use hysteretic phenomena (belonging to physics) to analogically transfer memory retaining effects into the phenomenology involved in the pair $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{UC}$. Indeed, hysteretic effects have been considered in attempts to mechanically formalize memory features of implicit memories of neurophysiology ([7], [23]), so the authors of [8] have thought to extend this idea to $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{UC}$ pair, trying to shed light upon a formal issue raised by the m -adic dynamical model. The model outlined in [8] has been then applied to formalize other aspects of human psyche ([9]) as well as to deduce a p -adic version of the Weber-Fechner law ([10]) and some of its possible applications to economics and sociology ([11]).

3 Hysteresis

Hysteresis has a large range phenomenology, and may be understood from either the psychological and the physical standpoint. A possible concep-

tion of hysteresis belonging to psychological context may be drawn from the *APA Dictionary of Psychology* which defines hysteresis as an effect in which the perception of a stimulus is influenced by any other stimulus immediately preceding such a perception. It can be detected, for instance, in experiments making successive changes to a certain stimulus which is varying along some dimension, hence asking to the participant to describe her or his perception. When such values along the given dimension are steadily increased, then it will be reached a point in which the participant will begin to place the related percept into a different category (e.g., a sound is loud rather than quiet¹), but, when values along the dimension are decreased, then the crossover point will occur at a different point along such a dimension. In particular, in vision, hysteresis may stand out with the tendency for a perceptual state to persist under gradually changing conditions: this is, for example, the case when stereoscopic fusion may persist, so producing the appearance of depth even when binocular disparity (i.e., the slight difference between the right and left retinal images) between the two images becomes so great that they would normally not be able to be merged together.

This last phenomenology of hysteresis (to be meant according to psychology) related to vision may be also correlated analogically ([3]) with certain aspects of the physical phenomenology discussed first in [21], and dealing with conscious-unconscious visual recognition, hence reconsidered in [3] where the authors have then pointed out the possible analogical identification of hysteresis effects in visual recognition experiments performed in [2]. Indeed, in such a context, H. von Helmholtz unconscious inferences, which play a crucial role in the passage from sensation to perception, are considered in relation to a quantum-like pattern of sensation-perception dynamics – quantally treated, in that not based on classical logics – so providing a concrete model for unconscious and consciousness processing of information and their interaction. To be precise, in the cognitive modeling worked out in [21] and [3], if S represents the unconscious information processing and S' the conscious one, then, in the concrete instance of von Helmholtz's unconscious inference, S represents just the processing of sensation (its unconscious nature having been emphasized as early by Hermann von Helmholtz) and S' represents processing of perception-conscious representation of sensation. The related experiment performed in [2], then theoretically analyzed in [21] and [3], concerned the bistable perception (of the type $S \rightarrow S'$) of the rotation of an ambiguous figure (i.e., the *Schröder stair*), which turned out to be different, for each of the three groups of persons chosen to form statistical test samples, due to the diversity of data's contextuality (suitably treatable just by quantum formalism) entailing optical illusions affected by memory biases, and put into relation with hysteresis effects in [3].

On the other hand, following [22], there already existed a wide literature on computational biology works which, since the late of 1990s and the beginnings of 2000s, have put attention to possible hysteresis phenomena (to be meant according to physics and network systems) oc-

¹This just resembles that typical phenomenology involved in sound experiences called into question in explaining Weber-Fechner law ([10]).

curing in a large-scale brain network modelled with simple oscillatory patterns, in particular during state transitions of consciousness and unconsciousness (like in general anesthesia and sleep), with hysteresis observed during the loss and recovery of consciousness mediated by patterns of synchronization meant, according to general network systems, as a pathway discontinuous transition between incoherent (unconsciousness) and synchronized (consciousness) states of a network² that is, the asymmetry between the synchronization and desynchronization paths is just the key network mechanism of hysteresis. The decreasing/increasing of long-range network synchronization is considered as a basic neural mechanism during the loss/recovery of consciousness³. Furthermore, network mechanism of hysteresis is not as a regional brain activity but rather is a globally conceived mechanism ([22]). This is a remarkable outcome as it proves that (physical) hysteresis is a phenomenon concerning the general psychic mechanisms of human brain. In particular, in [22], it has been proved that hysteresis occurs above all during state transitions around a lower level of consciousness. This justifies the theoretical implementation of a formal model of hysteretic phenomena (regarding physical context) into the p -adic dynamical model of the $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{UC}$ pair, as done in [8], where the authors have supposed that hysteresis mechanism roles functionally unconscious realm⁴ and the related consciousness processes coming from it.

References

- [1] S. Albeverio, R. Cianci, A.Yu. Khrennikov, "P-adic valued quantization", P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications, **1** (2), 91-104 (2009).
- [2] M. Asano, A.Yu. Khrennikov, M. Ohya, Y. Tanaka, I. Yamato, "Violation of contextual generalization of the Leggett-Garg inequality for recognition of ambiguous figures", Physica Scripta (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1088/00318949/2014/t163/014006>
- [3] M. Asano, A. Khrennikov, M. Ohya, Y. Tanaka, "A Hysteresis Effect on Optical Illusion and Non-Kolmogorovian Probability Theory", White Noise Analysis and Quantum Information, 201-213 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1142/97898132254660015>

²As authors themselves point out in [22], consciousness and unconsciousness cannot be trivially reduced to, respectively, synchronized and incoherent networks, as it is temporal coordination, rather than synchrony, to be critical for consciousness. This is also in agreement with (and provides partial empirical evidence to) what we have stated in [9].

³Indeed, in [22], it has been ascertained that functional brain networks become more modular during general anesthesia, in that coordinated and synchronized interactions across the cerebral cortex break down. So, for the aims of large-scale modeling, authors of [22] assume that the conscious brain will have, in aggregate, more synchronized interactions with respect to the unconscious brain.

⁴This is in agreement with the hypothesis, assumed in [22], for which a stronger anesthetic (hence, a deeper unconscious state) induces a larger hysteresis.

- [4] B. Dragovich, S.V. Kozyrev, I.V. Volovic, "The workshop on p-adic methods for modeling of complex systems", *P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **5** (3), 246-248 (2013).
- [5] B. Dragovich, A.Yu. Khrennikov, S.V. Kozyrev, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov, "P-Adic Mathematical Physics: The First 30 Years", *P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **9** (2), 87-121 (2017).
- [6] D. Dubischar, M. Gundlach, O. Steinkamp, A.Yu. Khrennikov, "A p -adic model for the process of thinking disturbed by physiological and information noise", *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **197**, 451-467 (1999).
- [7] R.S.J. Frackowiak, K.J. Friston, C.D. Frith, R.J. Dolan, C.J. Price, S. Zeki, J.T. Ashburner, W.D. Penny (Eds.), *Human Brain Function* (2nd Edition, Elsevier Science & Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 2004).
- [8] G. Iurato, A.Yu. Khrennikov, "Hysteresis model of unconscious-conscious interconnection: exploring dynamics on m -adic trees", *P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **7** (4) 312-321 (2015).
- [9] G. Iurato, A.Yu. Khrennikov, F. Murtagh, "Formal foundations for the origins of human consciousness", *P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **8** (4) 249-279 (2016).
- [10] G. Iurato, "A possible p-adic Weber-Fechner law", *P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **10** (4), 299-304 (2018).
- [11] G. Iurato, "Hysteresis, unconscious and economics", *P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **11** (4), 270-279 (2019).
- [12] A.Yu. Khrennikov, *Non-Archimedean Analysis: Quantum Paradoxes, Dynamical Systems and Biological Models* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, NL, 1997).
- [13] A.Yu. Khrennikov, "Human subconscious as the p-adic dynamical system", *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **193**, 179-196 (1998).
- [14] A.Yu. Khrennikov, S. Yamada, A. van Rooij, "The measure-theoretical approach to p -adic probability theory", *Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal*, **6** (1), 21-32 (1999).
- [15] A.Yu. Khrennikov, *Classical and Quantum Mental Models and Freud's Theory of Unconscious Mind* (Växjö University Press, Växjö, SWE, 2002).
- [16] A.Yu. Khrennikov, *Information Dynamics in Cognitive, Psychological, Social and Anomalous Phenomena* (Springer-Science + Business Media, B.Y., Dordrecht, NL, 2004).
- [17] A.Yu. Khrennikov, M. Nilson, *P-adic Deterministic and Random Dynamics* (Springer Science + Business Media, B.Y., Dordrecht, NL, 2004).
- [18] A.Yu. Khrennikov, "Toward theory of p-adic valued probabilities", *Studies in Logic, Grammar and Rethoric*, **14** (27), 137-154 (2008).

- [19] A.Yu. Khrennikov, "Modelling of psychological behavior on the basis of ultrametric mental space: Encoding of categories by balls", *P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **2**, 1-20 (2010).
- [20] A.Yu. Khrennikov, "Cognitive processes of the brain: An ultrametric model of information dynamics in unconsciousness", *P-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **6**, 293-302 (2014).
- [21] A.Yu. Khrennikov, "Quantum-like model of unconscious-conscious dynamics", *Frontiers in Psychology*, August 3, 2015, 1/13, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00997>
- [22] H. Kim, J-Y. Moon, G.A. Mashour, U. Lee, "Mechanisms of hysteresis in human brain networks during transitions of consciousness and unconsciousness: theoretical principles and empirical evidence", *PLOS Computational Biology*, August 30, 2018, 1/22, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006424>
- [23] M.L. Latash, *Neurophysiological Basis of Movement* (2nd Edition, Human Kinetics, Champaign, IL, 2008).
- [24] R. Lauro-Grotto, "The unconscious as an ultrametric set", *American Imago*, **64**, 535-543 (2007).
- [25] F. Murtagh, "On ultrametricity, data coding, and computation", *Journal of Classification*, **21**, 167-184 (2004).
- [26] F. Murtagh, "Ultrametric model of mind, I: Review", *P-adic numbers, Ultrametric Analysis and Applications*, **4**, 193-206 (2012).
- [27] F. Murtagh, "Ultrametric model of mind, II: Application to text content analysis", *P-adic numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, **4**, 207-221 (2012).
- [28] F. Murtagh, "The new science of complex systems through ultrametric analysis: Application to search and discovery, to narrative and to thinking", *p-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis and Applications*, **5** (4), 326-337 (2013).