

# **An Analytical Process of Spatial Autocorrelation Functions Based on Moran's Index**

Yanguang Chen

(Department of Geography, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University,  
100871, Beijing, China. Email: [chenyg@pku.edu.cn](mailto:chenyg@pku.edu.cn) )

**Abstract:** A number of spatial statistic measurements such as Moran's  $I$  and Geary's  $C$  can be used to make spatial autocorrelation analysis. The spatial autocorrelation modeling proceeded from the 1-dimension autocorrelation of time series analysis, however, the time lag has been replaced by spatial weights so that the autocorrelation functions degenerated to autocorrelation coefficients. This paper is devoted to developing 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation functions based on Moran's index. Using the relative staircase function as a weight function to yield a spatial weight matrix with displacement parameter. The displacement bears analogy with time lag of time series analysis. Based on the spatial displacement parameter, two types of spatial autocorrelation functions are constructed for 2-dimensional spatial analysis. Then the spatial autocorrelation functions are generalized to the autocorrelation functions based on Geary's coefficient and Getis' index. As an example, the new analytical framework are applied to the spatial autocorrelation modeling of Chinese cities. A conclusion can be reached that it is an effective method to build spatial autocorrelation function based on relative step function. The spatial autocorrelation functions can be employed to reveal deep geographical information and seek characteristic scales, and lay the foundation for the scaling analysis of spatial correlation.

**Key words:** Spatial Autocorrelation Function (SACF); Spatial Partial Autocorrelation Function (SPACF); Moran's Index; Geary's Coefficient; Spatial Weights Matrix; Spatial Analysis; Spatial Process

# 1 Introduction

Spatial autocorrelation is one of important methods of quantitative analyses in geography. This method can be treated as the cornerstone of spatial statistics. However, present spatial autocorrelation analysis has two significant shortcomings, which hinder its application scope and effect. First, in the theoretical aspect, the scaling property of geographical spatial distributions has been ignored for a long time. Conventional mathematical modeling and quantitative analysis depend on characteristic scales. If and only if we find the valid characteristic scales such as determinate length, eigenvalue, and mean, we will be able to make effective mathematical models. If a geographical distribution is a scale-free distribution, no characteristic scale can be found, and the conventional mathematical methods will be ineffective. In this case, the mathematical tools based on characteristic scales should be replaced by those based on scaling analysis (Chen, 2013a). Second, in the methodological aspect, the spatial displacement parameter has been neglected for a long term. Generalizing Pearson's simple cross-correlation coefficient to time series analysis yielded 1-dimensional temporal auto-correlation function (TACF) based on time lag parameter (Bowerman and O'Connell, 1993; Box *et al*, 1994). Generalizing temporal auto-correlation function (TACF) to ordered space series and substituting the time lag with spatial displacement yielded 1-dimensional spatial auto-correlation function (SACF) (Chen, 2013a). Generalizing the 1-dimensional spatial auto-correlation function to 2-dimensional spatial dataset and replacing the displacement parameters with spatial weight matrix yielded a 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation coefficient, which is termed Moran's index, or Moran's  $I$  for short, in literature (Haggett *et al*, 1977). In principle, a time lag parameter corresponds to a spatial displacement parameter, which in turn corresponds to weight matrix. Where the 1-dimensional autocorrelation analysis is concerned, a series of time lag parameters correspond to a series of spatial displacement parameters. However, only one spatial weight matrix can be taken into account in the conventional autocorrelation modeling.

If we introduce a set of ordered spatial weight matrixes into the spatial autocorrelation models, we will be able to construct 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation function. Based on the 2-dimensional autocorrelation function, spatial scaling analysis may be made for spatial correlation processes. This paper is devoted to developing 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation functions based on Moran's index and the corresponding analytical process, and laying the foundation for

scaling analysis based on spatial autocorrelation. The rest parts are organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), two types of spatial autocorrelation functions based on Moran's index are established by using the relative staircase function as a weight function. In [Section 3](#), the autocorrelation functions based on Moran's index are generalized to the autocorrelation functions based on Geary's coefficient and Getis's index. Finally, the main points of this study are summarized. The chief academic contribution of this paper is to extend the spatial autocorrelation coefficient to the spatial autocorrelation function, thus developing the spatial analysis method.

## 2 Theoretical results

### 2.1 Simplified expression of Moran's index

The first measurement of spatial autocorrelation is the well-known Moran's index, which is in fact a spatial autocorrelation coefficient. The formula of Moran's index bears a complicated form, but the expression can be simplified by means of matrix and vector. The formulae and expressions are not new in this subsection, but they are helpful for us to understand the new mathematical process shown in next subsection. Suppose there are  $n$  elements (e.g., cities) in a system (e.g., a network of cities) which can be measured by a variable (e.g., city size),  $x$ . In literature, global Moran's index can be expressed as below

$$I = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij} (x_i - \mu)(x_j - \mu)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $I$  denotes Moran's  $I$ , i.e., the most common spatial autocorrelation coefficient,  $x_i$  is a size measurement of the  $i$ th element in a geographical spatial system ( $i=1,2,\dots,n$ ),  $\mu$  represents the mean of  $x_i$ ,  $v_{ij}$  refers to the elements in a spatial contiguity matrix (SCM),  $V$ . The symbols can be developed as follows

$$x = [x_1 \quad x_2 \quad \cdots \quad x_n]^T, \quad (2)$$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad (3)$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} & \cdots & v_{1n} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} & \cdots & v_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{n1} & v_{n2} & \cdots & v_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = [v_{ij}]_{n \times n}. \quad (4)$$

Using the knowledge of algebra, we can simplify the formula of Moran's index and re-express it as below (Chen, 2013b)

$$I = z^T W z, \quad (5)$$

in which  $z$  denotes the standardized size vector based on population standard deviation,  $W$  is the unitized spatial contiguity matrix (USCM), i.e., a spatial weight matrix (SWM), the superscript  $T$  indicates matrix or vector transposition. The standardized size vector is as follows

$$z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\sigma$  refers to population standard deviation, which can be expressed as

$$\sigma = \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right]^{1/2} = \left[ \frac{1}{n} (x - \mu)^T (x - \mu) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

The spatial weight matrix,  $W$ , can be expressed as

$$W = \frac{V}{V_0} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & \cdots & w_{1n} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & \cdots & w_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{n1} & w_{n2} & \cdots & w_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = [w_{ij}]_{n \times n}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$V_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij}, \quad (9)$$

represents the summation of the numeric value of matrix elements, and

$$w_{ij} = \frac{v_{ij}}{V_0} = \frac{v_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij}}, \quad (10)$$

denotes the unitized value of the  $i$ th row and the  $j$ th column in the weight matrix. Apparently, the matrix  $W$  satisfies the following relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} = 1, \quad (11)$$

which is termed normalization condition and  $W$  is termed normalization matrix in literature (Chen,

2013b). In fact, the normalization process is based on range, namely, the difference between the maximum and minimum values, rather than based on summation (Chen, 2012). Besides the unitization indicated by equation (11), the matrix has another two characteristics. One is symmetry, i.e.,  $w_{ij}=w_{ji}$ ; the other is zero diagonal elements, namely,  $|w_{ii}|=0$ , which implies no self-correlation of an element itself. Spatial contiguity matrix comes from spatial distance matrix, which is a symmetric hollow matrix. The distance axioms influence the properties of spatial weight matrixes (Chen, 2016).

Scientific description always relies heavily on a characteristic scale of a geographical system. In mathematics, characteristic scales include radius, side length, eigenvalue, average values, and standard deviation. For a transform  $\mathbf{T}$ , if a function  $f(x)$  is an eigen function if it satisfies the following relation

$$\mathbf{T}(f(x)) = \lambda f(x), \quad (12)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the corresponding eigenvalue of the function. This relation can be generalized to matrix equations. It can be proved that Matrix's index is the eigenvalue of generalized spatial correlation matrixes. Based on the inner product of the standardized size vector, a Real Spatial Correlation Matrix (RSCM) can be defined as

$$M = nW = z^T zW, \quad (13)$$

where  $n=z^T z$  represents the *inner product* of  $z$ . Thus we have

$$Mz = nWz = z^T zWz = Iz, \quad (14)$$

which indicates that  $I$  is the largest characteristic root of the polynomial equation proceeding from the determinant of the matrix  $nW$ , and  $z$  is just the corresponding characteristic vector. Based on the outer product of  $z$ , a Ideal Spatial Correlation Matrix (ISCM) can be defined as

$$M^* = zz^T W, \quad (15)$$

where  $zz^T$  represents the *outer product* of the standardized size vector. Then we have

$$M^* z = zz^T Wz = Iz, \quad (16)$$

which implies that  $I$  is the largest eigen value the generalized spatial correlation matrix  $zz^T W$ , and  $z$  is just the corresponding eigenvector of  $zz^T W$ . This suggests that geographers have been taking advantage of the characteristic parameter to make the spatial analyses based on autocorrelation.

## 2.2 Standard spatial autocorrelation function based on Moran's *I*

The conventional mathematical modeling and quantitative analysis are based on characteristic scales. A good mathematical model of a system is usually involved with three scales, and thus includes three levels of parameters. The first is the macro-scale parameter indicating environmental level, the second is the micro-scale parameter indicating the element level, and the third is the characteristic scale indicating the key level (Hao, 1986). A characteristic scale is often expressed as a characteristic length due to it is always a 1-dimensional measure. In geometry, a characteristic length may be the radius of a circle or the side length of a square; in algebra, a characteristic length may be the eigen values of a square matrix or characteristic roots of a polynomial; in probability theory and statistics, a characteristic length may be the mean value and standard deviation of a probability distribution. As demonstrated above, Moran's index is the maximum eigen values of the generalized spatial correlation matrixes. Although the characteristic scales are expressed as radius, length of a side, eigenvalue, mean value, standard deviation, and so on, the reverse is not necessarily true. In other words, the radius, the side length, the eigenvalue, the mean value and the standard deviation do not necessarily represent a characteristic scale. If and if a quantity can be objectively determined and its value does not depend on the scale of measurement, the quantity can be used to represent a characteristic length. Can Moran's index be evaluated uniquely and objectively under given spatio-temporal conditions? This is still a pending question in theoretical and quantitative geographies, needing answer to it. To find the answer, we should calculate the Moran's index by means of different spatial scales.

Moran's index is a spatial autocorrelation coefficient (SACC), but it can be generalized to spatial autocorrelation function (SACF). A spatial autocorrelation function is a set of a series of ordered autocorrelation coefficients. The spatial autocorrelation function can be derived from the proper spatial weight functions. Four types of spatial weight functions can be used to generate spatial contiguity matrixes: inverse power function, negative exponential function, absolute staircase function, and relative staircase function (Chen, 2012; Chen, 2015; Chen, 2016; Cliff and Ord, 1973; Getis, 2009; Odland, 1988). Among these spatial weight function, only the relative staircase function can be used to construct a spatial autocorrelation function. A relative staircase function can be expressed as

$$v_{ij} = f(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < d_{ij} \leq r \\ 0, & d_{ij} > r, d_{ij} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where  $d_{ij}$  denotes the distance between location  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $r$  represents the threshold value of spatial distance. In literature, the threshold value  $r$  is always represented by an average value, and is treated as a constant. However, a complex system often has no effective average value. In other word, complex systems are scale-free systems and have no characteristic scales. In this case, the quantitative analysis based on characteristic scale should be replaced by scaling analysis. Suppose that  $r$  is a variable rather than a constant. The spatial contiguity matrix, [equation \(4\)](#), should be rewritten as

$$V(r) = \begin{bmatrix} v_{11}(r) & v_{12}(r) & \cdots & v_{1n}(r) \\ v_{21}(r) & v_{22}(r) & \cdots & v_{2n}(r) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{n1}(r) & v_{n2}(r) & \cdots & v_{nn}(r) \end{bmatrix} = [v_{ij}(r)]_{n \times n}. \quad (18)$$

Accordingly, the spatial weight matrix, [equation \(8\)](#), can be re-expressed as

$$W(r) = \frac{V(r)}{V_0(r)} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11}(r) & w_{12}(r) & \cdots & w_{1n}(r) \\ w_{21}(r) & w_{22}(r) & \cdots & w_{2n}(r) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{n1}(r) & w_{n2}(r) & \cdots & w_{nn}(r) \end{bmatrix} = [w_{ij}(r)]_{n \times n}. \quad (19)$$

where

$$V_0(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij}(r) = e^T V(r) e, \quad (20)$$

$$w_{ij}(r) = \frac{v_{ij}(r)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij}(r)}, \quad (21)$$

In [equation \(20\)](#),  $e = [1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]^T$  refers to the special vector that including  $n$  elements, 1. The unitization property of spatial weight matrices remain unchanged, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}(r) = 1. \quad (22)$$

The global spatial autocorrelation function (GSACF) can be defined as

$$I(r) = z^T W(r) z, \quad (23)$$

which comes from the global Moran's index and relative staircase function, [equations \(5\) and \(17\)](#).

The distance threshold is a type of displacement parameter, which correspond to the time lag parameter in the temporal autocorrelation models of time series analysis. In this framework, Moran's index is no longer a spatial autocorrelation coefficient. It becomes a function of spatial displacement  $r$ . By means of the spatial autocorrelation function, we can make quantitative analyses of geographical spatial dynamics. The distance threshold can be defined as

$$r = kr_0, \quad (24)$$

where  $k=1, 2, 3, \dots, m$  represent natural number, and  $r_0$  is a constant. Empirically, we have  $r_0 \geq \min(d_{ij})$  and  $m \leq \max(d_{ij})/r_0$ . This implies that the distance threshold comes between the minimum distance and the maximum distance, namely,  $\min(d_{ij}) \leq r \leq \max(d_{ij})/r_0$ .

### 2.3 Generalized spatial autocorrelation function based on Moran's $I$

In the above defined spatial autocorrelation function, each value represents an autocorrelation coefficient. In other word, if a distance threshold value  $r$  is given, then we have a standard Moran's index. Spatial autocorrelation analysis originated from time series analysis. However, this kind of autocorrelation function does not bear the same structure with the temporal autocorrelation function in time series analysis. If we construct a "weight matrix" to compute the autocorrelation function of a time series, the "weight matrix" is a quasi-unitized matrix instead of a strict unitized matrix. Actually, by analogy with the temporal autocorrelation function, we can improve the spatial autocorrelation function by revising the spatial weight matrix. The key lies in [equation \(20\)](#). According to the property of the spatial contiguity matrix based on the relative staircase function, the maximum of  $V_0(r)$  is

$$\max(V_0(r)) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \max(d_{ij})} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij}(r) = n(n-1). \quad (25)$$

Thus the spatial weight matrix, [equation \(19\)](#), can be revised as

$$W^*(r) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} V(r) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11}^*(r) & w_{12}^*(r) & \cdots & w_{1n}^*(r) \\ w_{21}^*(r) & w_{22}^*(r) & \cdots & w_{2n}^*(r) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{n1}^*(r) & w_{n2}^*(r) & \cdots & w_{nm}^*(r) \end{bmatrix} = [w_{ij}^*(r)]_{n \times n}. \quad (26)$$

Based on [equation \(26\)](#), the spatial autocorrelation function can be re-defined as

$$I^*(r) = z^T W^*(r) z = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} z^T V(r) z, \quad (27)$$

which bears a strict analogy with the temporal autocorrelation function of time series analysis. The difference between [equation \(23\)](#) and [equation \(27\)](#) is as follows, for  $I(r)$ ,  $V_0(r)$  is a variable which depends on the distance threshold  $r$ , while for  $I^*(r)$ ,  $V_0(r)$  is a constant which is independent of  $r$ . In this case, the weight matrix does not always satisfy the unitization condition, and we have an inequality as below

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}^*(r) \leq 1. \quad (28)$$

This implies that the summation of the elements in  $W^*(r)$  is equal to or less than 1.

## 2.4 Partial spatial autocorrelation function based on Moran's $I$

Autocorrelation coefficients reflect both direct correlation and indirect correlation between the elements in a sample or spatial dataset. If we want to measure the pure direct autocorrelation and neglect the indirect autocorrelation, we should compute the partial autocorrelation coefficients. A set of ordered partial autocorrelation coefficients compose an autocorrelation function. In fact, we transform the spatial autocorrelation functions into the partial autocorrelation functions by means of the Yule-Walker recursive equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_3 \\ \vdots \\ I_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & I_1 & I_2 & \cdots & I_{m-1} \\ I_1 & 1 & I_1 & \cdots & I_{m-2} \\ I_2 & I_1 & 1 & \cdots & I_{m-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I_{m-1} & I_{m-2} & I_{m-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \\ \vdots \\ H_m \end{bmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

where  $I_k$  denotes the  $k$ th order autocorrelation coefficient, and the parameter  $H_k$  is the corresponding auto-regression coefficients. Yule-Walker equation associates autocorrelation and auto-regression equation. The last auto-regression coefficient,  $H_m$ , is equal to the  $m$ th order partial autocorrelation coefficient ( $k=1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ ). If  $m=1$ , we have the first-order partial autocorrelation coefficient, which can be given by

$$[J_1] = [1] \cdot [H_1] = [H_1], \quad (30)$$

in which  $J_1=H_1$  is the first-order partial autocorrelation coefficient. If  $m=2$ , we have the second-order partial autocorrelation coefficient, which can be given by the following matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & I_1 \\ I_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

where  $J_2=H_2$  is the second-order partial autocorrelation coefficient. If  $m=3$ , we have the third-order partial autocorrelation coefficient, which can be given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & I_1 & I_2 \\ I_1 & 1 & I_1 \\ I_2 & I_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (32)$$

in which  $J_3=H_3$  is the third-order partial autocorrelation coefficient. Among these matrix equations, [equation \(30\)](#) is a special case. It suggests that the first-order autocorrelation coefficient equals the first-order partial autocorrelation coefficient, which in turn equals the first-order auto-regression coefficient. For [equations \(31\) and \(32\)](#), we can calculate the autoregressive coefficient by means of finding the inverse matrix of the autocorrelation coefficient matrix. The last autoregressive coefficient gives the partial autocorrelation coefficient value. The others can be obtained by analogy.

### 3 Discussion

#### 3.1 Spatial autocorrelation functions based on Geary's $C$ and Getis' $G$

This work is devoted to make two aspects of innovation in spatial modeling and analysis. First, in theory, the idea of spatial scaling is introduced into spatial autocorrelation modeling. The conventional spatial autocorrelation analysis is based on fixed distance threshold and characteristic scales. Moran's  $I$  is actually an eigenvalue of generalized spatial correlation matrix. In this paper, spatial autocorrelation modeling is based on variable distance threshold and scaling. Moran's  $I$  can be associated with spatial correlation dimension. Second, in methodology, the spatial correlation coefficients were generalized to spatial autocorrelation functions. Using these functions, we can make analyses of spatial dynamics of complex geographical systems.

In order to carry out more comprehensive spatial autocorrelation analysis, the spatial autocorrelation functions may be extended to more spatial statistical measurements. Besides Moran's index, the common spatial autocorrelation measurements include Geary's coefficient and Getis-Ord's index ([Geary, 1954](#); [Getis and Ord, 1992](#)). The former is often termed Geary's  $C$ , and the latter is also termed Getis's  $G$  for short in literature. It is easy to generalize the 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation functions to Geary's coefficient according to the association of Moran's index with Geary's coefficient. In theory, Geary's coefficient is equivalent to Moran's index, but in practice, the former is based on sample, while the latter is based on population ([Chen, 2013b; 2016](#)). Geary's coefficient can be expressed in the following form ([Geary, 1954](#)):

$$C = \frac{(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij} (x_i - x_j)^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} (x_i - x_j)^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}. \quad (33)$$

Based on matrix and vector, [equation \(33\)](#) can be simplified to the following form

$$C = \frac{n-1}{n} (e^T W z^2 - z^T W z) = \frac{n-1}{n} (e^T W z^2 - I), \quad (34)$$

where  $e=[1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]^T$ ,  $z^2=[z_1^2 \ z_2^2 \ \dots \ z_n^2]^T$ . [Equation \(34\)](#) gives the exact relation between Moran's index  $I$  and Geary's coefficient  $C$ . Introducing the spatial displacement parameter into [equation \(33\)](#) yields two autocorrelation functions as follows

$$C(r) = \frac{n-1}{n} [e^T W(r) z^2 - I(r)] = \frac{n-1}{n} [e^T W(r) z^2 - z^T W(r) z], \quad (35)$$

$$C^*(r) = \frac{n-1}{n} [e^T W^*(r) z^2 - I^*(r)] = \frac{n-1}{n} [e^T W^*(r) z^2 - z^T W^*(r) z]. \quad (36)$$

Clearly, [equation \(35\)](#) is based on the standard unitized spatial weight matrix, corresponding to [equation \(23\)](#), while [equation \(36\)](#) is based on the quasi-unitized spatial weight matrix, corresponding to [equation \(27\)](#).

Further, the analytical process of spatial autocorrelation functions can be generalized to Getis' index. Based on the unitized size vector, the formula of Getis' index can be simplified to the form similar to the new expression of Moran's index, [equation \(5\)](#). Generally speaking, Getis's index,  $G$ , is expressed as below ([Getis and Ord, 1992](#)):

$$G = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} x_i x_j}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i x_j}. \quad (37)$$

The notation is the same as those in [equation \(1\)](#). Using unitized matrix and unitized vector, we can rewritten [equation \(37\)](#) in the following simple form

$$G = y^T W y, \quad (38)$$

where  $y=x/S=[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^T$  represents the unitized vector of  $x$ . The elements of  $y$  is defined as below:

$$y_i = \frac{x_i}{S} = x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{x_i}{n\bar{x}}, \quad (39)$$

where the sum of  $x$  is

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i . \quad (40)$$

Now, introducing the spatial displacement parameter  $r$  into [equation \(37\)](#) produces two autocorrelation functions as below

$$G(r) = y^T W(r) y , \quad (41)$$

$$G^*(r) = y^T W^*(r) y . \quad (42)$$

Similar to [equations \(35\) and \(36\)](#), [equation \(41\)](#) is based on the standard unitized spatial weight matrix, corresponding to [equation \(23\)](#), while [equation \(42\)](#) is based on the quasi-unitized spatial weight matrix, corresponding to [equation \(27\)](#).

### 3.2 Merits and demerits

Spatial autocorrelation analysis has gone through two stages. The first stage is reflected in biometrics. At this stage, spatial autocorrelation measurements are mainly used as auxiliary means of traditional statistical analysis. The prerequisite or basic guarantee of statistical analysis is that the sample elements are independent of each other. To measure the independence of spatial sampling results, Moran's index (Moran's  $I$ ) was presented by analogy with Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient and autocorrelation coefficient in time series analysis ([Moran, 1948](#); [Moran, 1950](#)). Moran's  $I$  is based on spatial populations (universes) rather than spatial samples ([Chen, 2013b](#)). As an addition, Geary's coefficient (Geary's  $C$ ) was proposed by analogy with Durbin-Watson statistic ([Geary, 1954](#)), and this index is for spatial sampling analysis ([Chen, 2013b](#)). The second stage is reflected in human geography. At this stage, spatial autocorrelation becomes one of the leading tools of geospatial modeling and analysis. In the period of geographical quantitative revolution (1953-1976), the autocorrelation measurements were introduced into geography ([Haining, 2009](#); [Haggett \*et al\*, 1977](#)). Geographers have found that few types of geospatial phenomena do not have spatial correlations, so traditional statistical analysis often fails in geographical research. Geographers changed their thinking and decided to develop a set of analytical process based on spatial autocorrelation ([Cliff and Ord, 1973](#); [Cliff and Ord, 1969](#); [Cliff and Ord, 1981](#); [Griffith, 2003](#); [Odland, 1988](#); [Wang, 2006](#)). A number of new measurements and methods such as Getis-Ord's index (Getis's  $G$ ) ([Getis, 2009](#); [Getis and Ord, 1992](#)), local Moran's indexes and Moran's scatterplot ([Anselin, 1995](#); [Anselin, 1996](#)), and spatial auto-regression models

emerged. At the same time, spatial autocorrelation analysis continued to develop in biometrics (Sokal and Oden, 1978; Sokal and Thomson, 1987). At present, autocorrelation analysis seems to enter the third stage. Based on spatial autocorrelation measures and analytical processes, spatial statistics has been developed rapidly and applied to many areas (e.g., Beck and Sieber, 2010; Benedetti-Cecchi *et al.*, 2010; Bivand *et al.*, 2009; Braun *et al.*, 2012; Deblauwe *et al.*, 2012; Li *et al.*, 2007; Tiefelsdorf, 2002; Weeks *et al.*, 2004). However, if the spatial statistics is confined to autocorrelation coefficients and related measures, the function and uses of spatial modeling is difficult to develop further.

The above results demonstrate that the 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation coefficients and the related statistics can be generalized to the 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation functions and the related functions. A preliminary framework of spatial analysis based on autocorrelation functions are put forward. The main contributions of this study to academy can be outlined as three aspects. **First, construction of 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation functions.** Based on Moran's index and the relative staircase function with spatial displacement parameter, two sets of spatial autocorrelation functions are constructed. **Second, definition of spatial partial autocorrelation functions.** By means of Yule-Walker recursive equation, the calculation approach of partial autocorrelation functions are proposed. **Third, generalization of the spatial autocorrelation functions.** The 2-dimensional spatial autocorrelation function are generalized to Geary's coefficient and Getis' index and the extended autocorrelation functions are established. The main mathematical expressions can be tabulated for comparison (Table 1). The significance of developing this mathematical framework for spatial autocorrelation lies in three respects. **First, spatial information mining of geographical systems.** The spatial autocorrelation functions can be used to reveal more geographical spatial information and express more complex dynamic processes than the spatial autocorrelation coefficients. **Second, foundation of scale and scaling analysis.** If a geographical system bears characteristic scales, the spatial autocorrelation functions can be used to bring to light the characteristic length; if a geographical system has no characteristic scale, the spatial autocorrelation functions can be employed to make scaling analysis. **Third, future development of spectral analysis.** Autocorrelation functions and power/wave spectral density represents two different sides of the same coin. Based on the spatial autocorrelation functions, the method of 2-dimensional spectral analysis can be developed for geographical research in the future.

**Table 1** Collections of two types of spatial autocorrelation functions and the extended results

| Type               | Base                  | Standard SACF                                | Generalized SACF                                   |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Basic functions    | Moran's $I$ :<br>SACF | $I(r) = z^T W(r) z$                          | $I^*(r) = z^T W^*(r) z$                            |
|                    | SPACF                 | $J(r) = f(I(r))$                             | $J^*(r) = f(I^*(r))$                               |
| Extended functions | Geary's $C$           | $C(r) = \frac{n-1}{n} [e^T W(r) z^2 - I(r)]$ | $C^*(r) = \frac{n-1}{n} [e^T W^*(r) z^2 - I^*(r)]$ |
|                    | Getis's $G$           | $G(r) = y^T W(r) y$                          | $G^*(r) = y^T W^*(r) y$                            |
| Difference         | SWM                   | $W(r) = V(r) / V_0(r)$                       | $W^*(r) = V(r) / (n(n-1))$                         |

The spatial weight function is based on relative staircase function. Staircase function can be regarded as a special case of negative exponential function. The exponential function has been employed to make spatial autocorrelation analysis (Chen, 2013b; Chen, 2016). It can be expressed as below

$$v_{ij} = f(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{d_{ij}}{\bar{d}}\right), \quad (43)$$

where  $\bar{d}$  denotes the mean value of distance  $d_{ij}$ . Substituting the average distance in equation (43) with a threshold distance  $r$  yields

$$v_{ij} = f(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{d_{ij}}{r}\right), \quad (44)$$

where  $r$  refers to the threshold value of distance  $d_{ij}$ . In theory, the  $r$  value varies from 0 to infinity ( $\infty$ ), and the contiguity value ranges from 0 to 1. That is, we have

$$v_{ij} = f(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{d_{ij}}{r}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \rightarrow \infty \\ 0, & r \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}, \quad (45)$$

which suggests that the  $v_{ij}$  values are normalized values. The staircase function gives a set of discrete values of spatial weight, while the exponential function gives a set of continuous values of spatial values. The question is when to use discrete weights and when to use continuous weights. This problem remains to be solved in future theoretical and empirical studies.

The new development of a theory or a method always gives rise to a series of new problems. New problems will lead to further exploration about the theory or the method. The main shortcomings of this work are as follows. **First, the local spatial autocorrelation functions have not been taken into consideration.** Moran's index, Geary's coefficient, and Getis' index can be used to measure local spatial autocorrelation. However, local spatial coefficients have not been generalized to local spatial autocorrelation functions. **Second, the auto-regression models have not been built.** Autocorrelation and auto-regression represent two different sides of the same coin. How to make the auto-regression models, which can give the partial autocorrelation coefficients? This is a pending question. **Third, the scaling analysis has not been introduced into spatial autocorrelation functions.** In principle, spatial autocorrelation functions can be associated with spatial correlation dimension. However, the strict mathematical relationships between spatial correlation dimension and spatial autocorrelation functions remain to be demonstrated. Limited to the length of the article, the above questions will be reported in subsequent research papers.

## 4 Conclusions

A new analytical framework of based on a series of spatial autocorrelation functions have been demonstrated with mathematical derivation. Next step, we can further develop the related spatial analytical methods based on spatial autocorrelation functions, including spatial cross-correlation functions, spatial auto-regression modeling, spatial wave-spectral analysis. The main points of this work can be summarized as follows. **First, new spatial analytical process can be developed by spatial autocorrelation functions based on the relation staircase function.** Introducing spatial displacement into spatial weight functions, we can transform the spatial autocorrelation coefficients such as Moran's index into spatial autocorrelation functions. An autocorrelation function is a set comprising a series of autocorrelation coefficients. A spatial autocorrelation coefficient can be used to characterize the simple spatial correlation and structure, while a spatial autocorrelation function can be employed to describe the complex spatial correlation and dynamics. **Second, spatial partial autocorrelation functions can be used to assist spatial autocorrelation function analysis.** Using Yule-Walker recursive equation, we can convert the spatial autocorrelation function based on Moran's index into spatial partial autocorrelation functions. Spatial autocorrelation functions reflect both direct and indirect spatial autocorrelation processes in a system, while spatial partial

autocorrelation functions can be employed to display the pure direct autocorrelation process. **Third, the spatial autocorrelation functions can be extended by means of more spatial autocorrelation measurements.** The spatial autocorrelation functions can be generalized to the autocorrelation functions based on Geary's coefficient and Getis' index. Different autocorrelation functions have different uses in spatial analysis. Using the spatial autocorrelation functions, we can mine more geographical spatial information, seek the characteristic scales for spatial modeling and quantitative analysis, or reveal the hidden scaling in complex geographical patterns and processes.

### **Acknowledgement:**

This research was sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41671167. See: <http://isisn.nsf.gov.cn/egrantweb/>). The support is gratefully acknowledged.

### **References**

- Anselin L (1995). Local indicators of spatial association—LISA. *Geographical Analysis*, 27(2): 93-115
- Anselin L (1996). The Moran scatterplot as an ESDA tool to assess local instability in spatial association. In: M. Fischer, H.J. Scholten, D. Unwin (Eds). *Spatial Analytical Perspectives on GIS*. London: Taylor & Francis, pp111-125
- Beck J, Sieber A (2010). Is the spatial distribution of mankind's most basic economic traits determined by climate and soil alone? *PLoS ONE*, 5(5): e10416
- Benedetti-Cecchi L, Iken K, Konar B, Cruz-Motta J, Knowlton A, *et al.* (2010). Spatial relationships between polychaete assemblages and environmental variables over broad geographical scales. *PLoS ONE*, 5(9): e12946
- Bivand RS, Müller W, Reder M (2009). Power calculations for global and local Moran's *I*. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 53: 2859-2872
- Bowerman BL, O'Connell RT (1993). *Forecasting and Time Series: An Applied Approach (Third Edition)*. Pacific Grove, CA: Thomson Brooks
- Box GEP, Jenkins GM, Reinsel GC (1994). *Time Series Analysis Forecasting and Control (Third Edition)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall
- Braun A, Auerswald K, Geist J (2012). Drivers and spatio-temporal extent of hyporheic patch variation:

- Implications for sampling. *PLoS ONE*, 7(7): e42046
- Chen YG (2012). On the four types of weight functions for spatial contiguity matrix. *Letters in Spatial and Resource Sciences*, 5(2): 65-72
- Chen YG (2013a). Fractal analytical approach of urban form based on spatial correlation function. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 49(1): 47-60
- Chen YG (2013b). New approaches for calculating Moran's index of spatial autocorrelation. *PLoS ONE*, 8(7): e68336
- Chen YG (2015). A new methodology of spatial cross-correlation analysis. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5): e0126158
- Chen YG (2016). Spatial autocorrelation approaches to testing residuals from least squares regression. *PLoS ONE*, 11(1): e0146865
- Cliff AD, Ord JK (1969). The problem of spatial autocorrelation. In: A.J. Scott (Ed.). *London Papers in Regional Science I, Studies in Regional Science*. London: Poin, pp25-55
- Cliff AD, Ord JK (1973). *Spatial Autocorrelation*. London: Pion
- Cliff AD, Ord JK (1981). *Spatial Processes: Models and Applications*. London: Pion
- Deblauwe V, Kennel P, Couteron P (2012). Testing pairwise association between spatially autocorrelated variables: A new approach using surrogate lattice data. *PLoS ONE*, 7(11): e48766
- Geary RC (1954). The contiguity ratio and statistical mapping. *The Incorporated Statistician*, 5(3): 115–145
- Getis A (2009). Spatial weights matrices. *Geographical Analysis*, 41 (4): 404–410
- Getis A, Ord JK (1992). The analysis of spatial association by use of distance statistic. *Geographical Analysis*, 24(3):189-206
- Griffith DA (2003). *Spatial Autocorrelation and Spatial Filtering: Gaining Understanding Through Theory and Scientific Visualization*. New York: Springer
- Haggett P, Cliff AD, Frey A (1977). *Locational Analysis in Human Geography*. London: Edward Arnold
- Haining RP (2009). Spatial autocorrelation and the quantitative revolution. *Geographical Analysis*, 41 (4): 364-374
- Hao BL (1986). Fractals and fractal dimensions. *Science*, 38 (1): 9-17 (in Chinese)
- Li H, Calder CA, Cressie N (2007). Beyond Moran's *I*: Testing for spatial dependence based on the spatial autoregressive model. *Geographical Analysis*, 39(4): 357-375
- Moran PAP (1948). The interpretation of statistical maps. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series*

*B*, 37(2): 243-251

Moran PAP (1950). Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. *Biometrika*, 37(1-2): 17-33

Odland J (1988). *Spatial Autocorrelation*. London: SAGE Publications

Sokal RR, Oden NL (1978). Spatial autocorrelation in biology. 1. Methodology. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 10(2): 199-228

Sokal RR, Thomson JD (1987). Applications of spatial autocorrelation in ecology. In: P. Legendre, L. Legendre (Eds). *Developments in Numerical Ecology, NATO ASI Series, Vol. G14*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp431-466

Tiefelsdorf M (2002). The saddle point approximation of Moran's  $I$  and local Moran's  $I_i$  reference distributions and their numerical evaluation. *Geographical Analysis*, 34(3): 187-206

Wang JF (2006). *Spatial Analysis*. Beijing: Scientific Press [In Chinese]

Weeks JR, Getis A, Hill AG, Gadalla MS, Rashed T (2004). The fertility transition in Egypt: Intraurban patterns in Cairo. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 94(1): 74-93