

σ -LACUNARY ACTIONS OF POLISH GROUPS

JAN GREBÍK^{1, 2, 3}

ABSTRACT. We show that every essentially countable orbit equivalence relation induced by a continuous action of a Polish group on a Polish space is σ -lacunary. In combination with [4] we obtain a straightforward proof of the result from [3] that every essentially countable equivalence relation that is induced by an action of abelian non-archimedean Polish group is Borel reducible to \mathbb{E}_0 , i.e., it is essentially hyperfinite.

We say that an equivalence relation E on a Polish space X is *Borel reducible* to an equivalence relation F on a Polish space Y , and write $E \leq_B F$, if there is a Borel map $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow (\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in F$$

for every $x, y \in X$. An equivalence relation F on Y is *countable* if $|\llbracket y \rrbracket_F| \leq \aleph_0$ for every $y \in Y$. We follow [10] and say that an equivalence relation E on a Polish space X

- (A) is *essentially countable* if there is a countable Borel equivalence relation F on some Polish space Y such that $E \sim_B F$, i.e., $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$,
- (B) admits a Borel *countable complete section* if there is a Borel set $B \subseteq X$ such that $|B]_E = X$ and $|B \cap [x]_E| \leq \aleph_0$ for every $x \in X$.

If we assume that E is a Borel equivalence relation, then (B) \Rightarrow (A) by the Lusin–Novikov Theorem, see [7, Theorem 18.10].

Let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a continuous action of a Polish group G on a Polish space X . We denote as E_G^X the orbit equivalence relation defined as $(x, y) \in E_G^X \Leftrightarrow (\exists g \in G) g \cdot x = y$. If we have such an action, then we say that X is a Polish G -space. It follows from [10, Theorem 3.6] that if E_G^X satisfies (A), then E_G^X satisfies (B). It is natural to ask if we can find a Borel countable complete section with additional properties. Following [12] we say that E_G^X is

- (C) *σ -lacunary* if there are sequences of Borel sets $\{B_n\}_{n < \omega}$ and $\{V_n\}_{n < \omega}$ such that $\bigcup_{n < \omega} B_n$ is a countable complete section of E_G^X , $V_n \subseteq G$ is an open neighbourhood of 1_G and B_n is V_n -lacunary for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., if $g \cdot x = y$ for some $g \in V_n$ and $x, y \in B_n$, then $x = y$.

It follows from [9] that in the case when G is a locally compact Polish group, then (A) and (C) are equivalent. Main result of this paper is the following statement.

Theorem 0.1. *Let G be a Polish group, X be a Polish G -space and suppose that E_G^X is essentially countable. Then E_G^X is σ -lacunary.*

The author was supported by the GACR project 17-33849L and RVO: 67985840. The research was conducted during the author's visit at Cornell University that was partially funded by the grant GAUK 900119 of Charles University.

There are some other similar concepts in the literature. Following [10], we say that

- (D) E_G^X is *reducible to countable* if there is a countable Borel equivalence relation F on some Polish space Y such that $E_G^X \leq_B F$,
- (E) E_G^X admits *countable invariants* if there is a Polish space Y and a Borel map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $|\varphi([x]_{E_G^X})| \leq \aleph_0$ for every $x \in X$ and $\varphi([x]_{E_G^X}) \cap \varphi([y]_{E_G^X}) = \emptyset$ whenever $(x, y) \notin E_G^X$ (see [6, Section 7.6.]).

Next we summarize what is known about these concepts. It is easy to see that (A) \Rightarrow (D) \Rightarrow (E) and (C) \Rightarrow (B). Moreover, (A) and (D) implies that E_G^X is Borel. If we suppose that E_G^X is a Borel equivalence relation, then (E) \Rightarrow (D) by [6, Lemma 7.6.1.]. Altogether, combination of Theorem 0.1 and the discussion above yields that, if E_G^X is Borel, then all the concepts are equivalent.

In fact, we show that if E_G^X satisfies (E), then E_G^X is Borel and satisfies (C). As a corollary we get

$$(A) \Leftrightarrow (D) \Leftrightarrow (E) \Rightarrow (C) \Rightarrow (B)$$

without assuming that E_G^X is Borel.

1. APPLICATION

Let G be a Polish group and X be a Polish G -space. Suppose that E_G^X satisfies (A). It is natural to ask if there is a connection between properties of G and the position of E_G^X in the Borel reducibility among countable Borel equivalence relations. For example, we say that E_G^X is *essentially hyperfinite* if $E_G^X \sim_B F$ where F is a countable Borel equivalence relation induced by a Borel action of \mathbb{Z} . Variations of the following question appeared in [3, Conjecture 8.4] or [6, Question 5.7.5].

Question 1.1. *Let E_G^X be an essentially countable orbit equivalence relation induced by a continuous action of an **abelian** Polish group G on a Polish space X . Is it true that E_G^X is essentially hyperfinite?*

The answer is affirmative in the case when the abelian Polish group G is discrete, see [4], non-archimedean, see [3], and locally compact, see [2].

Next result is derived directly from Theorem 0.1, we note that it is a variation on [5, Theorem 7.3].

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a non-archimedean Polish group that admits two-sided invariant metric and X be a Polish G -space. Suppose that E_G^X is essentially countable. Then there is a sequence of open normal subgroups $\{N_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a continuous actions $H_n = G/N_n \curvearrowright X_n$ where X_n is a Polish space such that*

$$E_G^X \leq_B \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{H_n}^{X_n}.$$

First we need a variation of an unpublished result of Conley and Dufloux, see [10, Theorem 3.11]. They considered locally compact groups but not necessarily with two-sided invariant metric.

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be a Polish group that admits a two-sided invariant metric and X be a Polish G -space such that E_G^X is a Borel equivalence relation. Let $V \subseteq G$ be an open symmetric conjugacy-invariant neighbourhood of 1_G and $B \subseteq X$ be a Borel V -lacunary complete section of E_G^X , i.e., $g \cdot x = y$ for $g \in V$ and $x, y \in B$ implies that $x = y$. Then there is $C \supseteq B$ a Borel V -lacunary complete section of E_G^X such that $V^2 \cdot C = X$.*

Proof. Fix some countable dense subset $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq G$. Let $C_0 = B$ and define inductively $C_{i+1} = C_i \cup (g_i \cdot C_i \setminus V \cdot C_i)$. We claim that $C = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i$ works as required.

First note that C_i is a countable section for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We show by induction that C_i is Borel for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $i = 0$, then it follows from the assumption on B . Suppose that C_i is Borel.

Claim. *The set $T_i = \{(g \cdot x, x) \in X \times X : x \in C_i \text{ \& } g \in V\}$ is Borel .*

Proof. The assumption that E_G^X is Borel together with [1, Theorem 7.1.2] gives that the assignment $y \mapsto \text{stab}(y) = \{g \in G : g \cdot y = y\}$ is Borel. Note that $\text{stab}(y)$ is non-empty closed subset of G and by [7, Theorem 12.13] there is a Borel map $y \mapsto (g_{j,y})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(g_{j,y})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is dense subset of $\text{stab}(y)$ for every $y \in X$. We claim that the relation

$$R_V = \{(y, x) \in X \times X : \exists g \in V \ g \cdot x = y\} = \{(g \cdot x, x) \in X \times X : x \in X \text{ \& } g \in V\}$$

is Borel. It is clearly analytic by the definition. We show that the complement is analytic as well, we have

$$(y, x) \notin R_V \Leftrightarrow (y, x) \notin E_G^X \vee (\exists h \in G \ h \cdot x = y \wedge \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \ g_{j,y} \cdot h \notin V).$$

Finally, note that $T_i = X \times C_i \cap R_V$. □

It is easy to see that T_i has countable vertical sections. This is because $(T_i)_y = \{x \in X : (y, x) \in T_i\} \subseteq C_i \cap [y]_{E_G^X}$ for every $y \in X$ and we know that C_i is a countable section. By Lusin–Novikov Theorem [7, Theorem 18.10] we have that $V \cdot C_i$, which is equal to the projection of T_i to the first coordinate, is a Borel set and so is the set C_{i+1} . This gives immediately that C is Borel.

Suppose that $x \in X$. Then there is $y \in C_0$, $h \in G$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h \cdot y = x$ and $h^{-1} \cdot g_i \in V$. Let $z = g_i \cdot y$. Then either $z \in C_{i+1}$ and therefore $x \in V \cdot z$, or there is $z_0 \in C_i$ such that $z \in V \cdot z_0$ and then we have $x \in V^2 \cdot z_0$. This shows that $X = V^2 \cdot C$.

It remains to show that C is V -lacunary. We show by induction that C_i is V -lacunary for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It clearly holds for $i = 0$. Let $x, y \in C_{i+1}$ and suppose that $y \in V \cdot x$. If $x, y \notin C_i$, then there is $x_0, y_0 \in C_i$ such that $g_i \cdot x_0 = x$ and $g_i \cdot y_0 = y$. Then we have $y_0 \in g_i^{-1} \cdot V \cdot g_i \cdot x_0 = V \cdot x_0$ because V is conjugacy invariant and therefore $x = y$ by the inductive assumption. If $x \in C_i$, then $y \in C_i$ by the definition of C_{i+1} . Again, the inductive assumption gives $x = y$ and that finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Using Theorem 0.1 we get sequences $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where B_n is V_n -lacunary Borel section and V_n is an open neighbourhood of identity. By the assumption on G we find $N_n \subseteq V_n$ an open normal subgroup and by Lemma 1.3 $X_n \supseteq B_n$ an N_n -lacunary Borel section such that $N_n^2 \cdot X_n = N_n \cdot X_n = [B_n]_{E_G^X}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(gN_n) \in H_n = G/N_n$ and $x \in X_n$ define $(gN_n) \star x$ to be the unique element of X_n in $g \cdot N_n \cdot x$. It follows from the maximality and N_n -lacunarity of X_n that this is a well-defined map and it can be easily verified that it is an action $H_n \curvearrowright X_n$. Moreover, it follows from Lusin–Novikov Theorem [7, Theorem 18.10] that the action is Borel for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Another use of Lusin–Novikov Theorem [7, Theorem 18.10] gives the desired reduction. \square

Corollary 1.4. [3] *Let G be an abelian non-archimedean Polish group and X be a Polish G -space such that E_G^X is essentially countable. Then E_G^X is essentially hyperfinite.*

Proof. This is a combination of Theorem 1.2 and [4, Corollary 8.2]. \square

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.1

Let X be a Polish space and F an equivalence relation on X . We denote as $[x]_F$ the F -equivalence class of $x \in X$. Let G be a Polish group that acts continuously on a Polish space X and E_G^X be the corresponding orbit equivalence relation on X . We denote the σ -ideal of meager subsets of G as \mathcal{M}_G . For $A \subseteq X$ we define $G(x, A) = \{g \in G : g \cdot x \in A\}$ and $E_G^A = E_G^X \upharpoonright A \times A$.

We say that $C \subseteq X$ is a G -lg (G -locally globally) comeager set if $G \setminus G(x, C) \in \mathcal{M}_G$ for every $x \in X$. Using the category quantifier \forall^* , for comeager many, this can be equivalently stated as

$$\forall x \in X \forall^* g \in G (g \cdot x \in C).$$

Note that the collection of G -lg comeager sets is closed under supersets and countable intersections. If G is countable, then the only G -lg comeager set is X . Even though we do not need it here, we remark that it follows from [7, Theorem 8.41] that if $C \subseteq X$ is a Borel G -lg comeager set, then C is comeager in X . This might serve as an explanation for the word “globally” in the definition. More generally, a Borel set $C \subseteq X$ is G -lg comeager if and only if it is comeager in every finer Polish topology on X such that the action of G is continuous.

Next we collect the technical statements that we need in the proof.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $C \subseteq X$ be a Borel G -lg comeager set. Then $E_G^C \sim_B E_G^X$.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let $F \subseteq E_G^X$ be a Borel equivalence relation on X such that each E_G^X -class contains at most countably many F -classes. Then there is a Borel G -lg comeager set $C \subseteq X$ such that $G(x, C \cap [x]_F)$ is relatively open in $G(x, C)$ for every $x \in C$, i.e., for every $x \in C$ there is $V \subseteq G$ open neighbourhood of 1_G such that $V \cdot x \cap C \subseteq [x]_F \cap C$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $F \subseteq E_G^X$ be a Borel equivalence relation on X such that each E_G^X -class contains at most countably many F -classes. Then E_G^X is Borel.*

Proposition 2.4. [7, Theorem 18.6][8, Theorem 18.6*][11, Proof of Lemma 3.7] *Let Y, X be standard Borel spaces and $P \subseteq Y \times X$ be Borel with $A = \text{proj}_Y(P)$. Let $y \in A \mapsto I_y$ be a map assigning to each $y \in A$ a σ -ideal of subsets of P_y such that:*

(i) For each Borel $R \subseteq P$, there is a Σ_1^1 set $S \subseteq Y$ and a Π_1^1 set $T \subseteq Y$ such that

$$y \in A \Rightarrow [R_y \in I_y \Leftrightarrow y \in S \Leftrightarrow y \in T],$$

(ii) $y \in A \Rightarrow P_y \notin I_y$.

Then there is a Borel uniformization of P and, in particular, A is Borel.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Suppose that E_G^X satisfies (E). We show that E_G^X is Borel and satisfies (C).

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be as in (E). Define $F = (\varphi^{-1} \times \varphi^{-1})(=_Y)$, i.e., $(x, y) \in F$ if and only if $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$. Then it follows from (E) that F is a Borel equivalence relation and every E_G^X -class contains at most countably many F -classes. By Proposition 2.3 we have that E_G^X is Borel and by Proposition 2.2 we find a Borel G -lg comeager set $C \subseteq X$ such that $G(x, C \cap [x]_F)$ is relatively open in $G(x, C)$ for every $x \in C$.

Next we want to apply Proposition 2.4. Define $P \subseteq Y \times X$ as $P = \{(\varphi(x), x) : x \in C\}$, $A = \text{proj}_Y(P)$ and the assignment $\varphi(x) \in A \mapsto I_{\varphi(x)}$ as

$$B \in I_{\varphi(x)} \Leftrightarrow G(x, B \cap C \cap [x]_F) \in \mathcal{M}_G$$

where $x \in C$ and $B \subseteq C \cap [x]_F$.

We verify the assumptions of Proposition 2.4. It is easy to see that P is a Borel set because it is just the reversed graph of the Borel function $\varphi \upharpoonright C : C \rightarrow Y$. Let $x, y \in C$ such that $(x, y) \in F$, i.e., $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$. Especially, there is $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot x = y$. Let $B \subseteq C \cap [x]_F$. Note that $G(y, B \cap C \cap [x]_F) \cdot g = G(x, B \cap C \cap [x]_F)$. This implies that the assignment $\varphi(x) \in A \mapsto I_{\varphi(x)}$ is well-defined and it is easy to see that $I_{\varphi(x)}$ is an σ -ideal of subsets of $P_{\varphi(x)}$ for every $x \in C$. Moreover, since $V \cdot x \cap C \subseteq C \cap [x]_F = P_{\varphi(x)}$ for some open set $1_G \in V \subseteq G$ and C is G -lg comeager we have that $P_{\varphi(x)} \notin I_{\varphi(x)}$ for every $x \in C$. It remains to show that (ii) in Proposition 2.4 holds as well. To this end pick a Borel set $R \subseteq P$. Define the set R' as

$$R' = \{(r, s) \in X \times X : r, s \in C \ \& \ (\varphi(r), s) \in R\}.$$

Note that R' is Borel and we have $R'_{r_0} = R'_{r_1}$ whenever $r_0, r_1 \in C$ such that $(r_0, r_1) \in F$. Then for $r \in C$ we have

$$(*) \quad R_{\varphi(r)} \in I_{\varphi(r)} \Leftrightarrow G(r, R_{\varphi(r)} \cap C \cap [r]_F) \in \mathcal{M}_G \Leftrightarrow G(r, R'_r) \in \mathcal{M}_G$$

because $R_{\varphi(r)} = R'_r \subseteq C \cap [r]_F$. It follows from [7, Theorem 16.1] together with (*) that the sets

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = \{r \in C : G(r, R'_r) \in \mathcal{M}_G\} \ \& \ \mathcal{Z}_1 = \{r \in C : G(r, R'_r) \notin \mathcal{M}_G\}$$

are Borel and $F \upharpoonright C \times C$ -invariant. Set $S = \varphi(\mathcal{Z}_0)$ and $T = Y \setminus \varphi(\mathcal{Z}_1)$. Then $S \subseteq Y$ is Σ_1^1 and $T \subseteq Y$ is Π_1^1 because φ is a Borel map and the rest follows again from (*).

Having verified the assumptions of Proposition 2.4, we get that the set A is Borel and there is a Borel map $f : A \rightarrow C$ such that $(y, f(y)) \in P$ for every $y \in A$. It is easy to see that $(f(y), f(z)) \notin F$ for every $y \neq z \in A$ because $\varphi(f(y)) = y$ for every $y \in A$ by the definition of P . Especially, f is injective and $\varphi \circ f : A \rightarrow A$ is the identity on A . It follows

that $D = f(A) \subseteq C$ is a Borel countable complete section of E_G^X and a transversal of the equivalence relation $F \upharpoonright C \times C$ on C .

Pick any decreasing sequence $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open neighbourhoods of 1_G such that $\{1_G\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$. Define

$$B_n = \{x \in D : V_n \cdot x \cap C \subseteq [x]_F\}.$$

We claim that $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence from (C). It follows from the fact that $G(x, C \cap [x]_F)$ is relatively open in $G(x, C)$ for every $x \in C$ together with the fact that $D \subseteq C$ that $D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$. The definition of B_n together with the fact that D is a transversal of $F \upharpoonright C \times C$ implies that if $g \cdot x = y$ for some $g \in V_n$ and $x, y \in B_n$, then $x = y$. It remains to show that B_n is Borel for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To see this first note that the set

$$C_n = \{(x, g) \in D \times V_n : (x, g \cdot x) \in F\}$$

is Borel because F and D are Borel sets. Then we have

$$B_n = \{x \in D : (\forall^* g \in V_n)(x, g) \in C_n\}$$

and the set on the right-hand side is Borel by [7, Theorem 16.1]. This finishes the proof. \square

3. TECHNICAL PROOFS

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Define $D = \{(x, g) \in X \times G : g \cdot x \in C\}$. Then D is a Borel set, $\text{proj}_X(D) = X$ and $D_x \notin \mathcal{M}_G$ for every $x \in X$. By [7, Theorem 18.6] or Proposition 2.4 there is a Borel function $f : X \rightarrow G$ such that $(x, f(x)) \in D$ for every $x \in X$. The function

$$F(x) = f(x) \cdot x$$

is the desired Borel reduction from E_G^X to E_G^C . \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an open basis at 1_G made of symmetric sets such that $V_{n+1} \cdot V_{n+1} \subseteq V_n$. Define

$$C = \{x \in X : (\exists n \in \mathbb{N})(\forall^* g \in V_n) (x, g \cdot x) \in F\}.$$

It follows from [7, Theorem 16.1] that C is a Borel set. Let $x \in C$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x, g \cdot x) \in F$ for comeager many $g \in V_n$. Take $g \in G(x, C) \cap V_{n+1}$. Then we have that $V_{n+1} \cdot g \subseteq V_n$ and therefore $(x, h \cdot g \cdot x) \in F$ for comeager many $h \in V_{n+1}$. By the choice of g we have that $g \cdot x \in C$ and by the definition of C we find $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(g \cdot x, h' \cdot g \cdot x) \in F$ for comeager many $h' \in V_{n'}$. This shows that $(x, g \cdot x) \in F$ and as a consequence that $G(x, C \cap [x]_F)$ is relatively open in $G(x, C)$.

It remains to show that C is G -lg comeager in G . Suppose that there is $x \in X$ such that $G(x, C)$ is not comeager. By [7, Theorem 8.26] there is an open set $U \subseteq G$ such that $G(x, C)$ is meager in U . Let $\{\mathfrak{f}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of the F -classes that are subset of $[x]_{E_G^X}$. Define $D_i = G(x, \mathfrak{f}_i)$. It follows that D_i has the Baire property for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $U \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i$. Another use of [7, Theorem 8.26] gives an open set $V \subseteq U$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that D_i is comeager in V . In another words $h \cdot x \in \mathfrak{f}_i$ for comeager many $h \in V$. Pick $g \in (V \cap D_i) \setminus G(x, C)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V_n \cdot g \subseteq V$. Then we have that D_i is comeager in $V_n \cdot g$ and $g \cdot x \in \mathfrak{f}_i$. This shows that there are comeager many $h \in V_n$ such

that $(g \cdot x, h \cdot g \cdot x) \in F$. That is a contradiction with $g \notin G(x, C)$ and that finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $C \subseteq X$ be as in Proposition 2.2. We claim that

$$(1) \quad (x, y) \in E_G^C \Leftrightarrow (\exists^*(a, b) \in G \times G) (a \cdot x, b \cdot y) \in F$$

for every $x, y \in C$.

Let $x, y \in C$. If x, y satisfies the right-hand side of (1), then $(x, y) \in E_G^C$ because $F \subseteq E_G^X$. Suppose, on the other hand, that $(x, y) \in E_G^C$. By the definition of E_G^C and C we find an open set $1_G \in V \subseteq G$ and $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot x = y$ and $V \cdot y \cap C \subseteq [y]_F \cap C$. Note that $W = G(y, V \cdot y \cap C) = V \cap G(y, C)$ is nonmeager and $a \cdot y \in [y]_F$ for every $a \in W$. The set $W \cdot g \times W$ is nonmeager in $G \times G$. Let $(a \cdot g, b) \in W \cdot g \times W$. Then we have $a \cdot g \cdot x = a \cdot y \in [y]_F \cap C$ and $b \cdot y \in [y]_F \cap C$ by the definition of W . This shows that x, y satisfies the right-hand side of (1).

It remains to show that the right-hand side of (1) defines a Borel set. The set

$$R = \{(x, y, g, h) \in C \times C \times G \times G : (g \cdot x, h \cdot y) \in F\}$$

is Borel because F is a Borel equivalence relation and C is a Borel set. This implies by [7, Theorem 16.1] that E_G^C is a Borel equivalence relation and Proposition 2.1 finishes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Becker, A. S. Kechris. *The descriptive set theory of Polish group actions*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 232. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [2] M. Cotton. Abelian group actions and hypersmooth equivalence relations. *PhD thesis* 2019.
- [3] L. Ding, S. Gao. Non-archimedean abelian Polish groups and their actions. *Adv. Math.* 307, 312–343, 2017.
- [4] S. Gao, S. Jackson. Countable abelian group actions and hyperfinite equivalence relations. *Invent. Math.* 201 (1), 309–383, 2015.
- [5] G. Hjorth., A. S. Kechris. Recent developments in the theory of Borel reducibility. *Fund. Math.* 170 (1–2), 21–52, 2001.
- [6] V. Kanovei. *Borel equivalence relations. Structure and classification*. University Lecture Series, 44. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. ISBN 978-0-8218-4453-3.
- [7] A. S. Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [8] A. S. Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory—Corrections*. <http://www.math.caltech.edu/~kechris/papers/CDST-corrections>
- [9] A. S. Kechris. Countable sections for locally compact group actions. *Ergodic theory and dynamical systems* 12 (2), 283–295, 1992.
- [10] A. S. Kechris. The theory of countable Borel equivalence relations. *preprint*.
- [11] A. S. Kechris, H. R. Macdonald. Borel equivalence relations and cardinal algebras. *Fund. Math.* 235 (2), 183–198, 2016.
- [12] B. D. Miller. Lacunary sets for actions of tsi groups. *preprint*.

¹ MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY, CV4 7AL, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: jan.grebik@warwick.ac.uk

² INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 609/25, 110 00 PRAHA 1-NOVÉ MĚSTO, CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: grebikj@gmail.com

³ DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, MFF UK, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: grebikj@gmail.com