

IMMEDIATE EXTENSIONS OF VALUATION RINGS AND ULTRAPOWERS

DORIN POPESCU

ABSTRACT. We describe the immediate extensions of a one dimensional valuation ring V which could be embedded in some separation of a ultrapower of V with respect to a certain ultrafilter. For such extensions holds a kind of Artin's approximation.

Key words : immediate extensions, pseudo convergent sequences, pseudo limits, ultrapowers, smooth morphisms, Artin approximation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13F30, Secondary 13A18, 13L05, 13B40.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and \tilde{R} the ultrapower of R with respect of a non principal ultrafilter on \mathbf{N} . Then $\bar{R} = \tilde{R} / \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathfrak{m}^n \tilde{R}$ is a Noetherian complete local ring which is flat over R (see [10, Proposition 2.9], or [13, Theorem 2.5]). Here we try to find an analogue result in the frame of valuation rings.

Let V be a valuation ring with value group Γ containing its residue field k , K its fraction field and $\tilde{V} = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} V$ the ultrapower of V with respect to an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on a set U (see [4], [18], [2]). Then

$$\bar{V} = \tilde{V} / \bigcap_{z \in V, z \neq 0} z \tilde{V}$$

is a valuation ring extending V , a kind of separation of \tilde{V} . Indeed, $q = \bigcap_{z \in V, z \neq 0} z \tilde{V}$ is a prime ideal because if $x_1 x_2 \in q$ for some $x_i \in \tilde{V}$ then $\text{val}(x_1 x_2) \geq \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and so one of $\text{val}(x_i)$ $i = 1, 2$ must be bigger than all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, that is one of x_i belongs to q .

The goal of this paper is to describe the valuation subrings of \bar{V} (given for some special ultrafilters), which are immediate extensions of V when $\dim V = 1$. If the characteristic of V is > 0 then there exist some immediate extensions which cannot be embedded in \bar{V} (see Remark 10).

We owe thanks to the referee who hinted us some mistakes in a preliminary version of this paper.

An inclusion $V \subset V'$ of valuation rings is an *immediate extension* if it is local as a map of local rings and induces isomorphisms between the value groups and the residue fields of V and V' . V has some maximal immediate extensions (see [6]). If the characteristic of the residue field of V is zero then there exists an unique maximal immediate extension of V .

Let λ be a fixed limit ordinal and $v = \{v_i\}_{i < \lambda}$ a sequence of elements in V indexed by the ordinals i less than λ . Then v is *pseudo convergent* if

$\text{val}(v_i - v_{i''}) < \text{val}(v_{i'} - v_{i''})$ (that is, $\text{val}(v_i - v_{i'}) < \text{val}(v_{i'} - v_{i''})$) for $i < i' < i'' < \lambda$ (see [6], [17]). A *pseudo limit* of v is an element $z \in V$ with

$\text{val}(z - v_i) < \text{val}(z - v_{i'})$ (that is, $\text{val}(z - v_i) = \text{val}(v_i - v_{i'})$) for $i < i' < \lambda$. We say that v is

- (1) *algebraic* if some $f \in V[T]$ satisfies $\text{val}(f(v_i)) < \text{val}(f(v_{i'}))$ for large enough $i < i' < \lambda$;
- (2) *transcendental* if each $f \in V[T]$ satisfies $\text{val}(f(v_i)) = \text{val}(f(v_{i'}))$ for large enough $i < i' < \lambda$,
- (3) *fundamental* if for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exist $i < i'$ large enough such that $\text{val}(v_i - v_{i'}) > \gamma$, Γ being the value group of V .

We need [14, Proposition A.6], which is obtained using [4, Theorem 6.1.4] and says in particular the following:

Proposition 1. *Let U be an infinite set with $\text{card } U = \tau$. Then there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on U such that for any valuation ring V any system of polynomial equations $(g_i((X_j)_{j \in J}))_{i \in I}$ with $\text{card } I \leq \tau$ in variables $(X_j)_{j \in J}$ with coefficients in the ultrapower $\tilde{V} = \Pi_{\mathcal{U}} V$ has a solution in \tilde{V} if and only if all its finite subsystems have.*

The above proposition is trivial when $U = \mathbf{N}$. In general the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is very special given by [4, Theorem 6.1.4].

Lemma 2. *Let U be an infinite set with $\text{card } U = \tau$, V a valuation ring with value group Γ and $\text{card } \Gamma \leq \tau$ and λ be an ordinal with $\text{card } \lambda \leq \tau$. Let \mathcal{U} be the ultrafilter on U given by the above proposition, $\tilde{V} = \Pi_{\mathcal{U}} V$ the ultrafilter of V with respect to \mathcal{U} and \bar{V} its separation introduced above. Then any pseudo convergent sequence $\bar{v} = (\bar{v}_i)_{i < \lambda}$ over V has a pseudo limit in \bar{V} .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be the system of polynomial equations over \bar{V}

$$S_i := X - \bar{v}_i - Y_i(\bar{v}_{i+1} - \bar{v}_i); Y_i Y'_i - 1, \quad i < \lambda.$$

For each $\gamma \in \Gamma_+$ choose an element $z_\gamma \in V$ with $\text{val}(z_\gamma) = \gamma$ and lift \bar{v}_i to some elements $\tilde{v}_i \in \tilde{V}$. Let \mathcal{S}' be the system of polynomial equations

$$S'_{i\gamma} := X - \tilde{v}_i - Y_i(\tilde{v}_{i+1} - \tilde{v}_i) - z_\gamma Z_\gamma;$$

$$Y_i Y'_i - 1, \quad \text{for } i < \lambda, \gamma \in \Gamma_+,$$

and some variables X, Y_i, Y'_i, Z_γ .

Then \mathcal{S}' has a solution in \tilde{V} if and only if \mathcal{S} has a solution modulo $z_\gamma \tilde{V}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, that is if \mathcal{S} has a solution in \bar{V} , which happens if and only if $(\tilde{v}_i)_{i < \lambda}$ has a pseudo limit in \tilde{V} . Note that the cardinal of the system \mathcal{S}' is $\leq \tau$. By the above proposition, \mathcal{S}' has solutions in \tilde{V} if and only if every finite subsystem \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{S}' has a solution in \tilde{V} . We may enlarge \mathcal{T} such that it has the form

$$(S'_{i\gamma})_{i=i_1, \dots, i_e; \gamma=\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_e}$$

for some $i_1 < \dots < i_e < \lambda$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_e \in \Gamma_+$. But then $x = \tilde{v}_{i_e+1}$ induces a solution of \mathcal{T} in \tilde{V} because

$$\text{val}(\tilde{v}_{i_e+1} - \tilde{v}_{i_j}) = \text{val}(\tilde{v}_{i_j+1} - \tilde{v}_{i_j})$$

for $1 \leq j \leq e$ and so there exist some units $y_j \in \tilde{V}$ such that

$$\tilde{v}_{i_{e+1}} - \tilde{v}_{i_j} - y_j(\tilde{v}_{i_{j+1}} - \tilde{v}_{i_j}) \in \cap_{z \in V, z \neq 0} z\tilde{V},$$

for $1 \leq j \leq e$. Thus $(\tilde{v}_i)_{i < \lambda}$ has a pseudo limit x in \bar{V} . \square

Remark 3. Let K be the fraction field of V . If in the above proof v is transcendental then $\text{val}(x) \in \Gamma$ and even the extension $K \subset K(x)$ is immediate (see [6, Theorem 2]). If v is algebraic then $\text{val}(x)$ could be in $\tilde{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma$, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ being the value group of \tilde{V} .

Lemma 4. Let $U, \tau, \mathcal{U}, V, \Gamma$ be as in Lemma 2. Then the extension $V \subset \bar{V}$ factors through the completion of V .

Proof. By the above lemma any fundamental sequence over V has a limit in \bar{V} . The limits of the fundamental sequences over V form a valuation subring \hat{V} which must be separate because $\cap_{z \in V, z \neq 0} z\bar{V} = 0$. Hence \hat{V} is the completion of V . \square

Lemma 5. Let $V, \Gamma, \mathcal{U}, U, \tau\tilde{V}, \bar{V}$ be as in Lemma 2, a an element of V with $\text{val}(a) > 0$ and B a finitely presented V -algebra. Assume V is Henselian and the completion inclusion $V \subset \hat{V}$ is separable. Then any V -morphism $B \rightarrow \bar{V}$ could be lifted modulo $a\bar{V}$ to a V -morphism $B \rightarrow \tilde{V}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [10, Corollary 2.7] or part of the proof of [13, Theorem 2.9] (see also [3]). Let $B \cong V[Y]/(f)$, $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and $\bar{w} : B \rightarrow \bar{V}$ given by $Y \rightarrow \bar{y} \in \hat{V}^n$, let us say that \bar{y} is induced by $\tilde{y} = [(y_u)_{u \in U}] \in \tilde{V}$. Set $\gamma = \text{val}(a)$. By [8, Theorem 1.2] applied to V , there exist a positive integer N and $\nu \in \Gamma_+$ such that if $z \in V$ and $\text{val}(f(z)) \geq N\gamma + \nu$ then there exists $z' \in V$ such that $f(z') = 0$ and $\text{val}(z - z') \geq \gamma$.

By construction we have in particular $\text{val}(f((y_u))) \geq N\gamma + \nu$ for all u from a set $\delta \in \mathcal{U}$. So there exists $y'_u \in V$ such that $f(y'_u) = 0$ and $\text{val}(y_u - y'_u) > \gamma$. Define $y'_t = 0$ if $t \notin \delta$ and let $\tilde{y}' = [(y'_u)_u \in \tilde{V}]$. Then $f(\tilde{y}') = 0$ in \tilde{V} and the V -morphism $B \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ given by $Y \rightarrow \tilde{y}'$ lifts \bar{w} modulo $a\hat{V}$. \square

Lemma 6. Let $V, \Gamma, \mathcal{U}, U, \tau\tilde{V}, \bar{V}$ be as in Lemma 2 and $V' \subset \bar{V}$ a valuation subring, which is an immediate extension of V . Assume V is Henselian and the completion inclusion $V \subset \hat{V}$ is separable. Then any algebraic pseudo convergent sequence of V which has a pseudo limit in V' has one also in V .

Proof. Let $v = (v_j)_{j < \lambda}$ be an algebraic pseudo convergent sequence of V which has a pseudo limit x in V' . Let $h \in V[X]$ be a polynomial of minimal degree among the polynomials $f \in V[Y]$ such that $\text{val}(f(v_i)) < \text{val}(f(v_j))$ for large $i < j < \lambda$. Set $h^{(i)} = \partial^i h / \partial X^i$, $0 \leq i \leq \deg h$ with $h^{(i)} \neq 0$. By [12, Proposition 6.5] there exists an ordinal $\nu < \lambda$ such that an element $z \in V$ is a pseudo limit of v if and only if $\text{val}(h^{(i)}(z)) = \text{val}(h^{(i)}(v_\nu))$ where $h^{(i)} \neq 0$ and $\text{val}(h(z)) > \text{val}(h(v_\rho))$ for $\nu \leq \rho < \lambda$. In particular, we have $\text{val}(h^{(i)}(x)) = \text{val}(h^{(i)}(v_\nu))$ where $h^{(i)} \neq 0$ and $\text{val}(h(x)) > \text{val}(h(v_\rho))$ for $\nu \leq \rho < \lambda$. Thus if $z \in V$ satisfies $\text{val}(h^{(i)}(z)) = \text{val}(h^{(i)}(x))$ for all $0 \leq i \leq \deg h$ with $h^{(i)} \neq 0$ then z is a pseudo limit of v .

Let $d_i \in V$ such that $\text{val}(d_i) = \text{val}(h^{(i)}(x))$ for $0 \leq i \leq \deg h$ with $h^{(i)} \neq 0$, let us say $h^{(i)}(x) = d_i t_i$ for some invertible $t_i \in V'$, and g the system of equations

$h^{(i)}(Z) - d_i U_i, U_i U_i' - 1$. If $z, (u_i)_i$ is a solution of g in V then z is a pseudo limit of $(v_j)_{j < \lambda}$. But the map $B := V[Z, (U_i)_i]/(g) \rightarrow \bar{V}$ given by $(Z, (U_i), (U_i')) \rightarrow (x, (t_i), (t_i^{-1}))$ could be lifted by Lemma 5 to a map $B \rightarrow \tilde{V}$, that is g has a solution in \tilde{V} and so in V as well. This ends the proof. \square

Remark 7. If V is Henselian and V' is a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras we get as above that any algebraic pseudo convergent sequence of V which has a pseudo limit in V' has also one in V . Indeed, let $x, (v_j)_{j < \lambda}, h, (d_i), (t_i), g$ as above. Then the solution $(x, (t_i), (t_i^{-1}))$ of g in V' comes from a solution of g in a smooth V -algebra C . But there exists a V -morphism ρ from C to V because V is Henselian. Thus we get a solution of g in V via ρ , so $(v_j)_{j < \lambda}$ has a pseudo limit in V .

Lemma 8. *Let $V, \Gamma, \mathcal{U}, U, \tau\tilde{V}, \bar{V}$ be as in Lemma 2 and $V'' \subset V' \subset \bar{V}$ some valuation subrings such that $V \subset V'', V'' \subset V'$ are immediate extensions. Assume V'' is Henselian and the completion inclusion $V'' \subset \hat{V}''$ is separable. Then any algebraic pseudo convergent sequence of V'' which has a pseudo limit in V' has one also in V'' .*

Proof. Let \bar{V}'' be given from V as \bar{V} from V and $(v_j)_j$ an algebraic pseudo convergent sequence over V'' which has a pseudo limit in $V' \subset \bar{V} \subset \bar{V}''$. By Lemma 6 applied to V'' it has one in V'' . Note that the construction of \bar{V}, \bar{V}'' are done with the same U and \mathcal{U} . \square

Theorem 9. *Let $V \subset V'$ be an immediate extension of one dimensional valuation rings and $\Gamma, \mathcal{U}, U, \tau, \tilde{V}, \bar{V}$ be as in Lemma 2. Assume V' is complete and $\text{card } U \geq \text{card } \Gamma$. The following statements are equivalents:*

- (1) *the extension $V \subset \bar{V}$ factors through V' ,*
- (2) *for any valuation subring $V'' \subset V'$ such that $V \subset V''$ and $V'' \subset V'$ are immediate extensions any algebraic pseudo convergent sequence of V'' which is not fundamental and has a pseudo limit in V' has one also in V'' .*

Moreover, if $V \subset V'$ is separable and one from (1), (2) holds then V' is a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras.

Proof. Suppose (1) holds and let V'' be as in (2). Then the completion \hat{V}'' of V'' is contained in \bar{V}'' by Lemma 4. An algebraic pseudo convergent sequence v over V'' which has a pseudo limit in $V' \subset \bar{V} \subset \bar{V}''$ must have a pseudo limit in \hat{V}'' by Lemma 8 because \hat{V}'' is Henselian since $\dim V'' = 1$. Then v has a pseudo limit in V'' too by [12, Lemma 2.5] for example.

Assume (2) holds. Let $V'' \subset V'$ be a valuation subring such that $V \subset V''$ and $V'' \subset V'$ are immediate and K'' its fraction field. Applying Zorn's Lemma we may suppose that V'' is maximal for inclusion among those immediate extensions $W \subset V'$ of V such that $V \subset \bar{V}$ factors through W . Assume that $V'' \neq V'$. Let $x \in V' \setminus V''$ and v be a pseudo convergent sequence over V'' having x as a pseudo limit but with no pseudo limit in V'' (see [6, Theorem 1]). Then v is either fundamental or transcendental by (2). If v is transcendental then $K'' \subset K''(x)$ is the extension constructed in [6, Theorem 2] for v . By Lemma 2 we see that v has a pseudo limit z in \bar{V}'' . Actually, the proof of Lemma 8 gives that z could be taken in the completion

\hat{V}'' of V'' . Then the unicity given by [6, Theorem 2] shows that $K''(x) \cong K''(z)$ and so the extension $V'' \subset \bar{V}$ factors through $V_1 = V' \cap K''(x)$ because $z \in \hat{V}'' \subset V' \subset \bar{V}$ since V' is complete. If v is fundamental then as above $x \in \hat{V}'' \subset \bar{V}$. In both cases the extension $V'' \subset \bar{V}$ factors through $V_1 = V' \cap K(x)$. These contradict that V'' is maximal by inclusion, that is V'' must be V' .

Now suppose $V \subset V'$ is separable and (2) holds. We reduce to the case when the fraction field extension $K \subset K'$ of $V \subset V'$ is of finite type because V' is a filtered direct union of $V' \cap L$ for some subfields $L \subset K'$, which are finite type field extensions of K . By induction on the number of generators of L over K we may reduce to the case when $K' = K''(x)$ for some element $x \in V'$, K'' being the fraction field of a valuation subring $V'' \subset V'$ as in (2). As K'/K is separable of finite type we may arrange that K'/K'' is still separable. Then x is a pseudo limit of a pseudo convergent sequence v from V'' which has no pseudo limit in K'' (see [6, Theorem 1]). Assume that v is not a fundamental sequence. Then v is transcendental by (2) and so V' is a filtered direct union of localizations of polynomial V'' -subalgebras of V' in one variable by [11, Theorem 3.2] (see also [14, Lemma 15]). If v is fundamental then $V'' \subset V'$ is dense and separable and we may apply a theorem of type Néron-Schappacher (see e.g. [12, Theorem 4.1]).

□

Remark 10. If $V \subset V'$ is the valuation ring extension given in [12, Example 3.13] then V' is not a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras (see [12, Remark 6.10]) and so cannot be embedded in \bar{V} by Theorem 9.

The following corollary is a kind of Artin approximation (see [1], [13], [5], [16]) in the frame of valuation rings. Its statement extends the idea of [7, Corollary 8, Theorem 11] and [15, Theorem 14] replacing the order by the valuation.

Corollary 11. *Let $V \subset V'$ be a separable immediate extension such that for any valuation subring $V'' \subset V'$ such that $V \subset V''$ and $V'' \subset V'$ are immediate extensions any algebraic pseudo convergent sequence of V'' which has a pseudo limit in V' has one also in V'' . Let f be a finite system of polynomials equations from $V[Y]$, $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, which has a solution in V' . Assume V is complete and $\dim V = 1$. Then f has a solution in V . Moreover, if $y' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_n)$ is a solution of f in V' then there exists a solution $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of f in V such that $\text{val}(y_i) = \text{val}(y'_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Let y' be a solution of f in V' , $B = V[Y]/(f)$ and $w : B \rightarrow V'$ be the map given by $Y \rightarrow y'$. Let $\Gamma, \mathcal{U}, U, \tilde{V}, \bar{V}$ be as in Theorem 9. Then the extension $V \subset \bar{V}$ factors through V' and \bar{w} the composite map $B \xrightarrow{w} V' \rightarrow \bar{V}$ could be lifted to a map $\tilde{w} : B \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ by Lemma 5. Thus f has in \tilde{V} the solution $\tilde{w}(Y)$ and so it has also a solution in V .

Now, let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V^n$ be such that $\text{val}(a_i) = \text{val}(y'_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then there exists an unit $z'_i \in V'$ such that $y'_i = a_i z'_i$ and the system g obtained by adding to f the equations $Y_i - a_i Z_i, Z_i T_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$ has in V' the solution $y', z' = (z'_1, \dots, z'_n), t' = (t'_1, \dots, t'_n)$, the last ones are given by the inverses of (z'_i) . So g has a solution y, z, t in V and it follows that $\text{val}(y_i) = \text{val}(y'_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$. □

Remark 12. Another proof of the above corollary could be done using that V' is a filtered direct limit of smooth V -algebras (see Theorem 9).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*. Pub. Math. Inst. Des. Hautes. Scientif. **36(1)**, (1969), 23–58.
- [2] M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries, J. van der Hoeven, *Asymptotic differential algebra and model theory of transseries*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **195**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2017.
- [3] J. Becker, J. Denef, L. Lipshitz, L. van der Dries, *Ultraproducts and approximation in local rings I*, Inventiones Math., **51**, (1979), 189-203.
- [4] C. C. Chang, H. J. Keisler, *Model theory*, 3rd ed., Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 73, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990.
- [5] H. Hauser, *The classical Artin approximation theorems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **54**, (2017), 595-633;
- [6] I. Kaplansky, *Maximal fields with valuations*, Duke Math. J. **9** (1942), 303-321.
- [7] Z. Kosar, D. Popescu, *Nested Artin Strong Approximation Property*, J. of Pure Algebra and Applications, **222 (4)**, (2018), 818-827.
- [8] L. Moret-Bailly, *An extension of Greenberg’s theorem to general valuation rings*, Manuscripta Math. **139** (2012), no. 1-2, 153-166.
- [9] A. Ostrowski, *Untersuchungen zur arithmetischen Theorie der Körper*, Math. Z. **39** (1935), no. 1, 321-404.
- [10] D. Popescu, *Algebraically pure morphisms*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., **24**, (1979), 947-977.
- [11] D. Popescu, *On Zariski’s uniformization theorem*, in Algebraic geometry, Bucharest 1982 (Bucharest, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1056, Springer, Berlin, 1984, 264-296.
- [12] D. Popescu, *Algebraic extensions of valued fields*, J. Algebra **108**, (1987), 513-533.
- [13] D. Popescu, *Artin approximation*, in Handbook of Algebra, vol. **2**, Ed. M.Hazewinkel, (2000), Elsevier Science, 321-356.
- [14] D. Popescu, *Néron desingularization of extensions of valuation rings with an Appendix by Kęstutis Česnavičius*, to appear in Proceedings of Transient Transcendence in Transylvania 2019, Eds. Alin Bostan, Kilian Raschel (possible in Springer Collection PROMS), arxiv/AC:1910.09123v4.
- [15] D. Popescu, G. Rond, *Remarks on Artin Approximation with constraints*, Osaka J. Math. **56**, (2019), 431–440.
- [16] G. Rond, *Artin Approximation*, J. of Singularities, **17**, (2018), 108-192.
- [17] O. F. G. Schilling, *The theory of valuations*, Mathematical Surveys, Number IV, American Math. Soc., (1950).
- [18] H. Schoutens, *The use of Ultraproducts in Commutative Algebra*, Lect. Notes in Math., Springer, 1999.

SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, RESEARCH
UNIT 5, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, P.O. BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA