

On estimation of expectation of simultaneous renewal time of time-inhomogeneous Markov chains using dominating sequence

Vitaliy Golomoziy

*Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv,
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Department of Probability Theory, Statistics and Actuarial Mathematics,
60 Volodymyrska Street, City of Kyiv, Ukraine, 01033*

vitaliy.golomoziy@gmail.com (V. Golomoziy)

Received: 16 March 2019, Revised: 14 August 2019, Accepted: 14 August 2019,
Published online: 14 October 2019

Abstract The main subject of the study in this paper is the simultaneous renewal time for two time-inhomogeneous Markov chains which start with arbitrary initial distributions. By a simultaneous renewal we mean the first time of joint hitting the specific set C by both processes. Under the condition of existence a dominating sequence for both renewal sequences generated by the chains and non-lattice condition for renewal probabilities an upper bound for the expectation of the simultaneous renewal time is obtained.

Keywords Coupling, renewal theory, Markov chain, random walk

2010 MSC [60J10](#), [60K05](#)

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

In this paper, we are focused on studying a simultaneous renewal time for two time-inhomogeneous, discrete-time Markov chains on a general state space. By simultaneous renewal we mean visiting some set C by both chains at the same time.

While general renewal theory is well developed, properties of the renewal sequences generated by time-inhomogeneous Markov chains are not studied so seriously. The literature available for this topic is scant. Some generalizations of the gen-

eral homogeneous theory could be found, for example, in [21] or [23]. At the same time, the problem under consideration is important from both theoretical and practical perspectives. Some of the existing results can be found in the paper [8], dedicated to investigating continuous-time renewal processes generated by a sequence of independent but not necessary identically distributed random variables. The paper shows that a general renewal equation is solvable using numerical methods and demonstrates an application of this result in actuarial science. Another paper related to the renewal theory (of geometrically ergodic time-homogeneous Markov chains) is [2].

Simultaneous renewal plays an essential role in investigation of the stability of Markov chains, particularly in the time-inhomogeneous case. In the papers [9, 10] a particular coupling construction has been introduced to derive a stability estimate. A key point of the whole stability research is to construct a stochastic dominating sequence for a series of coupling times and estimate the coupling time expectation using that sequence. Later, the stability estimates obtained in [17] were applied to the widow pension actuarial model which allows calculating variables related to this actuarial schema, such as net-premiums and others. In the papers [15, 16, 19, 20] stability estimates are obtained for discrete-space Markov chains in both time-homogeneous and time-inhomogeneous case using the so-called Maximal Coupling technique.

Estimates for the expectation of a simultaneous renewal time obtained in this paper and stability estimates derived from them have many practical applications. In particular, we may point out applications in the actuarial mathematics similar to the widow pension mentioned above. Other examples could be: obtaining premium in actuarial models that are represented by a perturbed Markov chain, such as seasonal factors. Another area of application is the queuing theory. Markov chains stability results enable us to study non-homogeneous queuing models or models affected by some small non-homogeneous perturbation.

There are many works related to stability estimates which use coupling methods. For example stability estimates for different versions of the same time-homogeneous chain started with different initial distributions, using standard coupling technique could be found in the papers [4, 5]. In [10] we have extended results from [4] and [5] to the stability of two different (but close in some sense) time-inhomogeneous Markov chains by introducing a modified coupling technique which allows chains to couple and de-couple. Such construction leads us to the necessity of investigating properties of the renewal processes generated by time-inhomogeneous Markov chains. Many works of other authors are using similar coupling techniques to obtain stability estimates or convergence rates, see for example [1, 7] where subgeometrical Markov chains are studied.

Simultaneous renewal has been studied in other works as well. In the article [18] an estimate for a coupling moment (what we call simultaneous renewal in the present article) for time-homogeneous processes was obtained under the existence condition of the second moment of the renewal sequence. The critical feature of the proof there was the Daley inequality (see [3]) which gives an estimate for average time of the excess of a renewal process in the homogeneous case. Later, in the paper [13] the Daley inequality was extended to the time-inhomogeneous case which allows obtaining an estimate for simultaneous renewal in the case of a square-integrable dominating

sequence for a time-inhomogeneous process (see [12]). This estimate involves the second moment of the domination sequence, and in the present paper, we relax that condition and only require the existence of the first moment. We will compare the results of the present paper and [12].

In the paper [14] there is a theorem that shows finiteness of the expectation of the simultaneous renewal time but a computable estimate is not available. There are also examples of how to check the non-lattice regularity condition for a renewal sequence which is involved in all the results related to the time-inhomogeneous case.

We also ask the reader to pay attention to the classical books [22, 24], devoted to the coupling method.

This paper consists of 4 sections. Section 1 contains introduction and main definitions.

The main result is stated in Section 2. It also includes a detailed explanation of the conditions of the main theorem.

An example of an application of the main result concerning a birth-death process can be found in Section 3.

Section 4 is just the conclusion.

1.2 Definitions and notations

The main object of the study is a pair of time-inhomogeneous, independent, discrete time Markov chains defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$, with the values in the general state space (E, \mathcal{E}) . We will denote these chains as $X_n^{(1)}$ and $X_n^{(2)}$, $n \geq 0$, respectively.

We will use the following notation for the one-step transition probabilities

$$P_{lt}(x, A) = \mathbb{P}\{X_{t+1}^{(l)} \in A | X_t^{(l)} = x\}, \tag{1}$$

for any $x \in E$, $l \in \{1, 2\}$, and any $A \in \mathcal{E}$.

Our primary goal is to obtain an upper bound for the expectation of simultaneous hitting a given set C by both chains. An application usually dictates Applications usually dictate the choice of the set C. For a discrete state space consisting of integers the very typical choice is $C = \{0\}$, although this is not a single possible choice. Moreover, we do not assume that the set C consists of a single element. We will also allow arbitrary initial conditions for the chains, and assume that each chain has a finite expectation for a first time hitting the set C for a given initial state.

We will continue to use the definitions and notations originated in [14] and [12].

Define the renewal intervals

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0^{(l)} &= \inf\{t \geq 0, X_t^{(l)} \in C\}, \\ \theta_n^{(l)} &= \inf\{t > \theta_{n-1}^{(l)}, X_t^{(l)} \in C\} - \theta_{n-1}^{(l)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $l \in \{1, 2\}$, $n \geq 1$, and renewal times

$$\tau_n^{(l)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \theta_k^{(l)}, \quad l \in \{1, 2\}, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{3}$$

Then, for each $x \in C$, we can define the renewal probabilities

$$g_n^{(t,l)}(x) = \mathbb{P}\{\theta_k^{(l)} = n | \tau_{k-1}^{(l)} = t, X_t^{(l)} = x\}, \quad l \in \{1, 2\}, n \geq 1, \quad (4)$$

and the sequence

$$g_n^{(t,l)} = \sup_{x \in C} g_n^{(t,l)}(x), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

We will also need a notation for sums of $g_n^{(t,l)}$:

$$G_n^{(t,l)} = \sum_{k > n} g_k^{(t,l)}. \quad (6)$$

$G_n^{(t,l)}$ can be interpreted as an estimate for the probability that renewal has not happened up to the time n .

The time of the simultaneous hitting the set C is defined as

$$T = \inf\{t > 0 : \exists m, n, t = \tau_m^{(1)} = \tau_n^{(2)}\}. \quad (7)$$

2 Main result

First, we introduce a condition that guarantees finiteness of the expectation of the renewal times for both chains. In this paper, we use a dominating sequence for this purpose.

Condition A

There is a non-increasing sequence $G_n, n \geq 0$, such that:

$$G_n^{(t,l)} \leq G_n, \\ \sum_{n \geq 0} G_n = m < \infty.$$

To make the further reasoning simpler, we allow the index n in G_n to be negative. In this case we assume: $G_n = G_0, n < 0$.

We do not require this dominating sequence to be probabilistic and allow $G_0 \geq 1$. Condition A will enable us to ascertain that both chains $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}$ will have a finite expectation of the renewal time, which is a necessary condition for having a finite expectation of simultaneous renewal.

Oposing the paper [12] we do not require the existence of the second moment for a dominating sequence.

Next, we need some regularity condition on $u_n^{(t,l)}$ which guarantees its separation from 0.

Condition B

There is a constant $\gamma > 0$ and a number $n_0 \geq 0$, such that for all $t \geq 0, l \in \{1, 2\}$, and $n \geq n_0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{n+t}^{(l)} \in C | X_n^{(l)} \in C\} \geq \gamma. \quad (8)$$

It is essential that such condition also guarantees certain ‘‘regularity’’ and non-periodicity of a chain. The periodic chains do not satisfy it.

There are various results which allow checking the condition (A) practically. See, for example [11], Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3. We will use some of them later.

Before we state the main theorem, we should introduce some definitions, which are used in the proof, and the auxiliary lemma.

The notations below are the same as in [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0 &:= \min\{j \geq 1 : \tau_j^1 > n_0\}, \\ B_0 &:= \tau_{\nu_0}^1, \\ \nu_1 &:= \min\{j \geq \nu_0 : \tau_j^2 - \tau_{\nu_0}^1 > n_0, \text{ or } \tau_j^2 - \tau_{\nu_0}^1 = 0\}, \\ B_1 &:= \tau_{\nu_1}^2 - \tau_{\nu_0}^1, \end{aligned}$$

and further on,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{2m} &:= \min\{j \geq \nu_{2m-1} : \tau_j^1 - \tau_{\nu_{2m-1}}^2 > n_0, \text{ or } \tau_j^1 - \tau_{\nu_{2m-1}}^2 = 0\}, \\ B_{2m} &:= \tau_{\nu_{2m}}^1 - \tau_{\nu_{2m-1}}^2, \\ \nu_{2m+1} &:= \min\{j \geq \nu_{2m} : \tau_j^2 - \tau_{\nu_{2m}}^1 > n_0, \text{ or } \tau_j^2 - \tau_{\nu_{2m}}^1 = 0\}, \\ B_{2m+1} &:= \tau_{\nu_{2m+1}}^2 - \tau_{\nu_{2m}}^1. \end{aligned}$$

We will call ν_k renewal trials. Let's define $\tau = \min\{n \geq 1 : B_n = 0\}$ and put $B_t = 0$, if $t \geq \tau$.

For ease of use, we will introduce the variable

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n B_k.$$

Note, that $S_{2n} = \tau_{\nu_{2n}}^1$, $S_{2n+1} = \tau_{\nu_{2n+1}}^2$, however, the use of the variable S_n makes the text much more readable.

Theorem 1. Assume that chains $(X_n^{(l)})$, $l \in \{1, 2\}$, start with some initial distributions λ_1 and λ_2 and that expectations of the first time each process hits the set C are finite. Denote them as $m_1(\lambda_1)$ and $m_2(\lambda_2)$ respectively. Assume also, that conditions (A) and (B) introduced above hold true. In this case, there exists a dominating sequence \hat{S}_n for the simultaneous renewal time T :

$$\mathbb{P}(T > n) \leq \hat{S}_n,$$

such that

$$\mathbb{E}[T] \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{S}_n \leq m_1(\lambda_1) + m_2(\lambda_2) + (n_0 G_0 + m)(1 + \gamma)/\gamma. \tag{9}$$

Proof. First, we assume that $\theta_0^{(2)} = 0$, which means that the second chain starts from the set C .

Next, the upper bound for T was introduced in [12] (it trivially follows from definitions):

$$T \leq \theta_0^{(1)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\tau} B_n = \theta_0^{(1)} + \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n \mathbb{1}_{\tau > n}. \tag{10}$$

We introduce the variable

$$T' = \sum_{n=0}^{\tau} B_n.$$

Then $\theta_0^{(1)} + T'$ is pointwise bigger than T , and so it stochastically dominates T .

So we will focus on building a dominating sequence for T' and estimating its expectation.

Let us consider

$$\mathbb{P}\{T' > n\} = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_k \leq n < S_{k+1}\} = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=k}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, B_{k+1} > n - j\}.$$

Note that some terms are equal to 0 in the latter sum because each renewal trial takes at least n_0 steps, but this fact does not affect our reasoning.

Lemma 1 gives us the inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, B_{k+1} > n - j\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, \tau \geq k\} G_{n-j-n_0},$$

which allows us to build the upper bound for $\mathbb{P}\{T' > n\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{T' > n\} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=k}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, \tau \geq k\} G_{n-j-n_0} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n G_{n-j-n_0} \sum_{k=0}^j P(S_k = j, \tau \geq k). \end{aligned}$$

So we can put

$$\hat{S}'_n = \sum_{j=0}^n G_{n-j-n_0} \sum_{k=0}^j P(S_k = j, \tau \geq k),$$

and so the dominating sequence for $\mathbb{P}\{T' > n\}$ is built.

Let's now estimate its expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T'] &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{P}\{T' > n\} \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{S}'_n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n G_{n-j-n_0} \sum_{k=0}^j P(S_k = j, \tau \geq k) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} G_{n-n_0} \right) \times \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq n} \mathbb{P}\{S_n = k, \tau \geq n\} \right) \\ &= (n_0 G_0 + m) \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{P}\{\tau \geq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.5 from [12] gives us the inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau > n\} \leq (1 - \gamma)^n$$

which yields

$$\mathbb{E}[T'] \leq (n_0G_0 + m)(1 + 1/\gamma) = (n_0G_0 + m)(1 + \gamma)/\gamma. \tag{11}$$

Now, we have to get rid of the assumption $\theta_0^{(2)} = 0$. Following the same calculations as in [14] after formula (20) we may estimate T :

$$T \leq \theta_0^{(1)} + \theta_0^{(2)} + T',$$

which entails the existence of a dominating sequence $\hat{S}_n \geq \mathbb{P}\{T > n\}$ and the estimate

$$\mathbb{E}[T] \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{S}_n \leq m_1(\lambda_1) + m_2(\lambda_2) + (n_0G_0 + m)(1 + \gamma)/\gamma. \quad \square$$

Lemma 1. *Assume that the chain $X^{(2)}$ starts from $x \in C$. Then, for all $k, j, t \geq 0$ the following inequality holds true:*

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, B_{k+1} > t\} \leq P(S_k = j, \tau \geq k)G_{t-n_0}.$$

Proof. We start with the assumption that k is even. We can write then:

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, B_{k+1} > t\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, X_n^{(2)} \notin C, n \in \{j, j + n_0, \dots, j + t\}\}.$$

Let's denote as η the last renewal of the chain $X^{(2)}$ before the time $j + n_0$. By the construction of the sequence B_k ,

$$\eta \geq S_k - B_k = S_{k-1}.$$

Indeed, if $k > 0$, this means that $S_{k-1} = S_k - B_k > 0$ is a renewal time for the chain $X^{(2)}$. If $k = 0$, then we should put $S_{-1} = S_0 - B_0 = 0$, but the chain $X^{(2)}$ has started in C , so $\eta > 0$ by the condition of the lemma. So by construction, we have:

$$S_k - B_k \leq \eta < j + n_0.$$

Let us denote the sets

$$A_l(s, t) = \{X_m^{(l)} \notin C, m \in \{s, \dots, t\}\}, \quad l \in \{1, 2\},$$

and inspect the sample path of the chain $X^{(2)}$ after the moment η :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, B_{k+1} > t\} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, X_j^{(2)} \notin C, A_2(j + n_0, j + t)\} \\ &= \sum_{u, v} \mathbb{P}\{S_{k-1} = u, \tau \geq k, A_1(u + n_0, j - 1), \\ &\quad X_j^{(1)} \in C, X_v^{(2)} \in C, A_2(v + 1, j + t)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $u < j$, and $v \geq u$ is a value of η . Note that

$$\{S_{k-1} = u, X_u^{(1)} \notin C\} = \{S_{k-1} = u, \tau > k - 1\} = \{S_{k-1} = u, \tau \geq k\}.$$

Let us recall that the chains $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ are independent and so we can split the probability in the formula (12) into the product:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\{S_{k-1} = u, \tau \geq k, A_1(u + n_0, j - 1), X_j^{(1)} \in C, X_v^{(2)} \in C, A_2(v + 1, j + t)\} \\ &= \int_{E \times C} \mathbb{P}\{S_{k-1} = u, A_1(u + n_0, v), (X_v^{(1)}, X_v^{(2)}) = (dx, dy)\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{P}\{A_1(v + 1, j - 1), X_j^{(1)} \in C, A_2(v + 1, j + t) | (X_v^{(1)}, X_v^{(2)}) = (x, y)\} \\ &= \int_{E \times C} \mathbb{P}\{S_{k-1} = u, \tau \geq k, A_1(u + n_0, v), (X_v^{(1)}, X_v^{(2)}) = (dx, dy)\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{P}\{A_1(v + 1, j - 1), X_j^{(1)} \in C | X_v^{(1)} = x\} \mathbb{P}\{A_2(v + 1, j + t) | X_v^{(2)} = y\}. \end{aligned}$$

But $\mathbb{P}\{A_2(v + 1, j + t) | X_v^{(2)} = y\}, y \in C$, is just the probability that the next renewal after v will not happen until the moment $j + t$. So we have

$$\sup_{y \in C} \mathbb{P}\{A_2(v + 1, j + t) | X_v^{(2)} = y\} = G_{j+t-v}^{(v,2)} \leq G_{j+t-v}, \tag{13}$$

where the latest inequality follows from the Condition A.

The above reasoning is valid in the case $\eta < j$, however, the case $\eta \in \{j + 1, j + n_0 - 1\}$ yields the same result. The maximal possible value of v is $j + n_0$, so, using the fact that sequence G_n is non-increasing, it follows from (13) that

$$\sup_{y \in C} \mathbb{P}\{A_2(v + 1, j + t) | X_v^{(2)} = y\} \leq G_{j+t-v} \leq G_{t-n_0}, \tag{14}$$

which gives the estimate that does not depend on v . Taking into account the inequality (14) we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, B_{k+1} > t\} \\ & \leq \sum_{u,v} \int_{E \times C} \mathbb{P}\{S_{k-1} = u, \tau \geq k, A_1(u + n_0, v), (X_v^{(1)}, X_v^{(2)}) = (dx, dy)\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{P}\{A_1(v + 1, j - 1), X_j^{(1)} \in C | X_v^{(1)} = x\} G_{t-n_0} \leq \mathbb{P}\{S_k = j, \tau \geq k\} G_{t-n_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

To complete the proof, we should consider the case when k is odd. In this case, $k > 0$ which means $k - 1 \geq 0$ and we don't have a problem with $k = 0$ like in the even case. So, similar reasoning will yield the same inequality for odd k . \square

3 Application to the birth-death processes

In the paper [12] we had derived an estimate for a simultaneous renewal of two time-inhomogeneous birth-death processes $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ with the following transition probabilities on the t -th step:

$$P_t = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{t0} & 1 - \alpha_{t0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \alpha_{t1} & 0 & 1 - \alpha_{t1} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{t2} & 0 & 1 - \alpha_{t2} & \dots \\ \dots & & & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

and

$$Q_t = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{t0} & 1 - \beta_{t0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \beta_{t1} & 0 & 1 - \beta_{t1} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{t2} & 0 & 1 - \beta_{t2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

The set C is equal to 0:

$$C = \{0\}.$$

An estimate from [12] involves the second moment of the dominating sequence. Let us compare an estimate from [12] with the one that follows from Theorem 1.

We will start with building γ . As in [12] we notice that for every $t > 0$, $g_1^{(t)} = \alpha_{t0} > 0$, and assume

$$\gamma_0 = \inf_t \{\alpha_{t0}, \beta_{t0}\} > 0. \tag{18}$$

As it has been shown in the [12] γ can be chosen in the following way:

$$\gamma = \exp(\hat{\mu} \ln(\gamma_0) / \gamma_0) = \gamma_0^{\hat{\mu} / \gamma_0} \tag{19}$$

with the $n_0 = 0$.

We will use the same dominating sequence as in [12]. To do this, we consider a standard random walk with a parameter $p > 1/2$ and a probability f_n of a first return to 0 in n steps. It has been shown in [6], Chapter XIII, that the generating function $F(z)$ for f_n looks like

$$F(z) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p(1-p)z^2}}{2(1-p)}. \tag{20}$$

We have shown in the [12] that $G_n = \sum_{k>n} f_k/p$ is a dominating sequence (non-probabilistic) for renewal sequences generated by $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$, if

$$p(1-p) \geq \sup_{t,j} \{\alpha_{tj}(1 - \alpha_{tj}), \beta_{tj}(1 - \beta_{tj})\} \tag{21}$$

The estimate for the expectation of the simultaneous renewal time in case of both chains started at C looks like

$$E_1 = \mathbb{E}[T] \leq \hat{\mu}_2/\gamma + \hat{\mu}_1/\gamma^2, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_1 &= 2/(2p - 1) + 1, \\ \hat{\mu}_2 &= (2p - 1)^{-1} \left(2 + \frac{8(1-p)}{1-4p} \right) + 2/(2p - 1) + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

In our case the estimate looks like

$$E_2 = \mathbb{E}[T] \leq \hat{\mu}_1(1 + \gamma)/\gamma.$$

So, we can see that

$$E_2 = (E_1 - \hat{\mu}_2/\gamma)(1 + \gamma),$$

for all $\gamma \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2})$, $\gamma(1 + \gamma) < 1$ which means that E_2 is a better estimate than E_1 . In the same time, γ_0 is typically a small number, which makes γ to be a very small number. So, the improvement in estimate can be significant for practical applications.

4 Conclusions

In this article, we obtained an estimate for the expectation of the simultaneous renewal time for two time-inhomogeneous, discrete-time Markov chains on a general state space. By the simultaneous renewal of two Markov chains X and X' we understand the first moment of hitting a specific set C by both chains.

We have shown that under conditions (A) and (B) an estimate has the form

$$\mathbb{E}[T] \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{S}_n \leq m_1(\lambda_1) + m_2(\lambda_2) + (n_0 G_0 + m)(1 + \gamma)/\gamma. \quad (24)$$

We have shown how the parameters $\gamma, n_0, G_0, m_1(\lambda_1), m_2(\lambda_2)$, and the sequence \hat{S}_n included in the estimate can be calculated.

This result allows us to refine the stability estimate for two time-inhomogeneous Markov chains, which is a subject of a further investigation.

References

- [1] Andrieu, C., Fort, G., Vihola, M.: Quantitative convergence rates for subgeometric Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **52**, 391–404 (2015) [MR3372082](#). [10.1239/jap/1437658605](#)
- [2] Baxendale, P.: Renewal theory and computable convergence rates for geometrically ergodic Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **15**, 700–738 (2005) [MR2114987](#). [10.1214/105051604000000710](#)
- [3] Daley, D.: Tight bounds for the renewal function of a random walk. *Ann. Probab.* **8**, 615–621 (1980) [MR0573298](#)
- [4] Douc, R., Moulines, E., Soulier, P.: Practical drift conditions for subgeometric rates of convergence. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **14**, 1353–1377 (2004) [MR2071426](#). [10.1214/105051604000000323](#)
- [5] Douc, R., Moulines, E., Soulier, P.: Quantitative bounds on convergence of time-inhomogeneous Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **14**, 1643–1665 (2004) [MR2099647](#). [10.1214/105051604000000620](#)
- [6] Feller, W.: *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 1. John Wiley and Sons (1957) [MR0088081](#)
- [7] Fort, G., Roberts, G.O.: Subgeometric ergodicity of strong Markov processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **15**, 1565–1589 (2005) [MR2134115](#). [10.1214/105051605000000115](#)
- [8] Gismondi, F., Janssen, J., R., M.: Non-homogeneous time convolutions, renewal processes and age-dependent mean number of motorcar accidents. *Ann. Actuar. Sci.* **9**, 36–57 (2015)
- [9] Golomoziy, V.: A subgeometric estimate of the stability for time-homogeneous Markov chains. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **81**, 35–50 (2010) [MR2667308](#). [10.1090/S0094-9000-2010-00808-8](#)
- [10] Golomoziy, V.: An estimate of the stability for nonhomogeneous Markov chains under classical minorization condition. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **88**, 35–49 (2014) [MR3112633](#). [10.1090/S0094-9000-2014-00917-5](#)

- [11] Golomoziy, V.: An inequality for the coupling moment in the case of two inhomogeneous Markov chains. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **90**, 43–56 (2015) [MR3241859](#). [10.1090/tpms/948](#)
- [12] Golomoziy, V.: An estimate for an expectation of the simultaneous renewal for time-inhomogeneous Markov chains. *Mod. Stoch. Theory Appl.* 315–323 (2016) [MR3593115](#). [10.15559/16-VMSTA68](#)
- [13] Golomoziy, V.: An estimate of the expectation of the excess of a renewal sequence generated by a time-inhomogeneous Markov chain if a square-integrable majorizing sequence exists. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **94**, 53–62 (2017) [MR3553453](#). [10.1090/tpms/1008](#)
- [14] Golomoziy, V., Kartashov, M.: On the integrability of the coupling moment for time-inhomogeneous Markov chains. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **89**, 1–12 (2014) [MR3235170](#). [10.1090/S0094-9000-2015-00930-3](#)
- [15] Golomoziy, V., Kartashov, M.: Maximal coupling and stability of discrete non-homogeneous Markov chains. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **91**, 17–27 (2015) [MR2986452](#). [10.1090/S0094-9000-2013-00891-6](#)
- [16] Golomoziy, V., Kartashov, M.: Maximal coupling and v-stability of discrete nonhomogeneous Markov chains. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **93**, 19–31 (2016) [MR3553437](#). [10.1090/tpms/992](#)
- [17] Golomoziy, V., Kartashov, M., Kartashov, Y.: Impact of the stress factor on the price of widow's pensions. proofs. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **92**, 17–22 (2016) [MR3330687](#)
- [18] Kartashov, M., Golomoziy, V.: Average coupling time for independent discrete renewal processes. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **84**, 77–83 (2011) [MR2857418](#). [10.1090/S0094-9000-2012-00855-7](#)
- [19] Kartashov, M., Golomoziy, V.: Maximal coupling procedure and stability of discrete Markov chains. i. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **86**, 93–104 (2013) [MR3241447](#). [10.1090/S0094-9000-2014-00905-9](#)
- [20] Kartashov, M., Golomoziy, V.: Maximal coupling procedure and stability of discrete Markov chains. ii. *Theory Probab. Math. Stat.* **87**, 65–78 (2013) [MR3241447](#). [10.1090/S0094-9000-2014-00905-9](#)
- [21] Kluppelberg, C., S., P.: Renewal theory for functionals of a Markov chain with compact state space. *Ann. Probab.* **3**, 2270–2300 (2003) [MR2016619](#). [10.1214/aop/1068646385](#)
- [22] Lindvall, T.: *Lectures on Coupling Method*. John Wiley and Sons (1991) [MR1180522](#)
- [23] Melfi, V.: Nonlinear Markov renewal theory with statistical applications. *Ann. Probab.* **20**, 753–771 (1992) [MR1159572](#)
- [24] Thorisson, H.: *Coupling, Stationarity, and Regeneration*. Springer, New York (2000) [MR1741181](#). [10.1007/978-1-4612-1236-2](#)