# Nonparametric Hedging of Volatility Swaps with Variance Swaps in Stochastic Volatility Models

Frido Rolloos\*

January 8, 2023

#### Abstract

In this paper the zero vanna implied volatility approximation for the price of freshly minted volatility swaps is generalised to seasoned volatility swaps. We also derive how volatility swaps can be hedged using only variance swaps without making use of a specific stochastic volatility model. As dynamically trading variance swaps is in general cheaper and operationally less cumbersome compared to dynamically rebalancing a continuous strip of options, our result makes the hedging of volatility swaps both practically feasible and robust. Within the class of stochastic volatility models our pricing and hedging results are model-independent and can be implemented at almost no computational cost.

<sup>\*</sup>frolloos@yahoo.com

#### 1 Introduction

In Rolloos and Arslan [2017] it is proved that for general stochastic volatility (SV) models the price of a volatility swap at trade inception can be read directly from the market smile of European vanilla options, and is given by the following succinct formula:

$$\mathcal{V}_{t,T}(t) = E_t \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{T-t} \int_t^T \sigma_u^2 du} \right] \approx \Sigma_-$$
(1.1)

Here  $\Sigma_{-}$  denotes the implied volatility of the vanilla option at the strike where the Black-Merton-Scholes (BMS) vanna of the option is zero. We recall that the BMS vanna of an option is zero when

$$d_{-} = \frac{\ln S_t / K - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^2 (T - t)}{\Sigma \sqrt{T - t}}$$
(1.2)

is zero. The approximation (1.1) is accurate and valid for a large set of SV models, independent of the specific model parameters. Moreover, it has been demonstrated in Alos et al. [2019] that for SV models driven by fractional noise, the zero vanna implied volatility approximation remains robust.

Except for at trade inception, however, a volatility swap is 'seasoned', meaning that it will have a realised volatility component. To be able to price a volatility swap throughout its life we must therefore be able to calculate

$$\mathcal{V}_{0,T}(t) = E_t \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 du + \frac{1}{T} \int_t^T \sigma_u^2 du} \right]$$
(1.3)

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Furthermore, if we are not able to price seasoned volatility swaps we will not know how to hedge volatility swaps as the change in the value of a volatility swap is the change in the seasoned volatility swap price.

To introduce notation which will later be used in our discussion on hedging of volatility swaps, the price of a variance swap is given the notation

$$V_{0,T}^{2}(t) = E_{t} \left[ \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{u}^{2} du + \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{T} \sigma_{u}^{2} du \right]$$
(1.4)

Variance swaps are clearly far easier to price and hedge compared to volatility swaps. In some markets, trading variance swaps is in fact more liquid than trading the theoretical replicating portfolio for variance swaps, which is a continuous strip of options. From that perspective it would be attractive to be able to hedge volatility swaps directly with variance swaps, and if possible in an as model-independent manner as possible.

# 2 The historically adjusted spot process

As in Rolloos and Arslan [2017] we will work under the premise that the market implied volatility surface is generated by the following general SV model

$$dS_t = \sigma_t S_t \left( \rho dW_t + \bar{\rho} dZ_t \right) \tag{2.1}$$

$$d\sigma_t = a(\sigma_t, t)dt + b(\sigma_t, t)dW_t$$
(2.2)

where  $\bar{\rho} = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$ ,  $dW_t$  and  $dZ_t$  are independent standard Brownian motions, and the functions  $a(\sigma_t, t)$  and  $b(\sigma_t, t)$  are deterministic functions of time and volatility. The results derived in this paper are valid for any SV model satisfying (2.1) and (2.2), which includes among others the Heston model, the lognormal SABR model, and the 3/2 model.

Let us introduce now an auxiliary geometric Brownian motion *H* with constant volatility *c*,

$$dH_t = cH_t dB_t \tag{2.3}$$

In addition, we require that the process *H* is independent of *S* and  $\sigma$ , which means that  $dB_t dW_t = dB_t dZ_t = 0$ . We shall later see that *c* is related to the historically realised volatility of *S*. Define the 'historically adjusted' spot process as

$$S_t^H = S_t H_t \tag{2.4}$$

The SDE for  $S_t^H$  reads

$$dS_t^H = S_t^H (cdB_t + \rho \sigma_t dW_t + \bar{\rho} \sigma_t dZ_t)$$
(2.5)

Equation (2.5) can be rewritten in the following two equivalent ways:

$$dS_t^H = S_t^H \left( \sqrt{c^2 + \bar{\rho}^2 \sigma_t^2} \, dW_t^\perp + \rho \sigma_t dW_t \right) \tag{2.6}$$

$$dS_t^H = S_t^H (cdB_t + \sigma_t dB_t^{\perp})$$
(2.7)

where

$$dW_t^{\perp} = \frac{cdB_t + \bar{\rho}\sigma_t dZ_t}{\sqrt{c^2 + \bar{\rho}^2 \sigma_t^2}}, \quad dB_t^{\perp} = \frac{\rho\sigma_t dW_t + \bar{\rho}\sigma_t dZ_t}{\sigma_t}$$
(2.8)

Integrating the SDE (2.6) gives

$$S_T^H = \widetilde{S}_T^H M_T \tag{2.9}$$

with

$$\widetilde{S}_{T}^{H} = S_{t}^{H} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \left(c^{2} + \bar{\rho}^{2} \sigma_{u}^{2}\right) du + \int_{t}^{T} \sqrt{c^{2} + \bar{\rho}^{2} \sigma_{u}^{2}} dW_{u}^{\perp}\right\}$$
(2.10)

$$M_T = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\int_t^T \rho^2 \sigma_u^2 du + \int_t^T \rho \sigma_u dW_u\right\}$$
(2.11)

Similarly we can integrate (2.7) to obtain

$$S_T^H = S_T H_T \tag{2.12}$$

with

$$S_T = S_t \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\int_t^T \sigma_u^2 du + \int_t^T \sigma_u dB_u^\perp\right\}$$
(2.13)

$$H_{T} = H_{t} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} c^{2} du + \int_{t}^{T} c dB_{u}\right\}$$
(2.14)

In the remainder of the paper we will set the initial value of *H* to one, i.e.  $H_t = 1$  and hence  $S_t^H = S_t$ .

# 3 The historically adjusted volatility smile

What we mean with the historically adjusted smile is the volatility smile  $\Sigma^H$  of vanilla options on the process  $S^H$ . Although this process is not directly traded, we can express options on  $S^H$  in terms of options on *S* by making use of (2.12) and the independence of dB and  $dB^{\perp}$ . To construct  $\Sigma^H$  note that by conditioning we can write

$$E_{t}\left[\left(S_{T}^{H}-K\right)_{+}\right] = E_{t}\left[\left(S_{T}H_{T}-K\right)_{+}\right]$$
  
=  $E_{t}\left[H_{T}C(S_{t},t,K/H_{T},T)\right]$   
=  $\int_{0}^{\infty}hC(S_{t},t,K/h,T)q(h)dh$  (3.1)

where q(h) is the lognormal distribution because we have taken *c* as constant:

$$q(h) = \frac{1}{h\nu\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ln h - \mu}{\nu}\right)^2\right\}, \ \nu = c\sqrt{T - t}, \ \mu = -\frac{1}{2}c^2(T - t)$$
(3.2)

The options prices  $C(S_t, t)$  under the integral are market prices of vanilla options on *S* and are available for all strikes. Thus

$$C(S_t, t, K, T) = \int_0^\infty hC(S_t, t, K/h, T)q(h)dh$$
(3.3)

where the *C* left of the equality sign denotes the price of options on  $S^H$  (recall we set  $H_t = 1$ ). In terms of BMS prices we have the following equivalent expression:

$$C^{BS}(S_t, t, K, T, \Sigma^H) = \int_0^\infty h C^{BS}(S_t, t, K/h, T, \Sigma) q(h) dh$$
(3.4)

As all quantities on the right hand side of (3.4) are known the numerical integration can be carried out to back out  $\Sigma^{H}$ . It is important to remember that for each *h* the implied volatility  $\Sigma$  on the right hand side of (3.4) is the implied volatility corresponding to the strike *K*/*h* and not the implied volatility corresponding to *K*.

# 4 Seasoned volatility swaps pricing

In the previous section we have priced options on  $S^H$  making use of (2.12). However, options on  $S^H$  can also be priced using (2.9) and conditioning on M. We shall see that equating the two naturally leads us to the fair strike of seasoned volatility swaps. Indeed,

$$E_t \left[ \left( S_T^H - K \right)_+ \right] = E_t \left[ \left( \widetilde{S}_T^H M_T - K \right)_+ \right]$$
$$= E_t \left[ C^{BS} \left( S_t M_T, t, K, T, \sigma_{t,T}^H \right) \right]$$
(4.1)

with

$$\sigma_{t,T}^{H} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T-t} \int_{t}^{T} \left(c^{2} + \bar{\rho}^{2} \sigma_{u}^{2}\right) du}$$

$$(4.2)$$

Hence,

$$C^{BS}(S_t, t, K, T, \Sigma^H) = E_t \left[ C^{BS} \left( S_t M_T, t, K, T, \sigma_{t,T}^H \right) \right]$$

$$(4.3)$$

Now we are home-free: the left hand side of (4.3) is available through (3.4). So, following the method introduced in Rolloos and Arslan [2017] the right hand side of (4.3) can be Taylor expanded around  $\rho = 0$  and around the historically adjusted implied volatility  $\Sigma^{H}_{-}$  where the BMS vanna of a vanilla option on  $S^{H}$  is zero. This will lead us to the following expression:

$$E_t \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{T-t} \int_t^T \left( c^2 + \sigma_u^2 \right) du} \right] \approx \Sigma_-^H$$
(4.4)

Define the constant *c* as

$$c^{2} = \frac{1}{T-t} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{u}^{2} du$$
 (4.5)

and we obtain our desired result:

$$\mathcal{W}_{0,T}(t) = E_t \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 du + \frac{1}{T} \int_t^T \sigma_u^2 du} \right] \approx \Sigma_-^H \sqrt{\frac{T-t}{T}}$$
(4.6)

By slight abuse of notation we will henceforth also write

$$\Sigma_{-} = \Sigma_{-}^{H} \sqrt{\frac{T-t}{T}}$$
(4.7)

It should be clear from the context when we write  $\Sigma_{-}$  whether the freshly minted or seasoned volatility swap price is meant.

We summarize the steps required to calculate the price of a seasoned volatility swap at time *t* with a realized volatility given by (4.5): Given a market smile which is assumed to be generated by a process of the type (2.1) - (2.2), introduce an adjusted spot process defined by (2.3) - (2.4). Options on the adjusted spot process of maturity corresponding to the maturity of the volatility swap can be priced with (3.4). Once options on the adjusted process have

been priced the adjusted implied volatility can be backed out. The final step is to find the adjusted implied volatility where an option on the adjusted process has zero BMS vanna. Equation (4.6) then gives the approximate value of the seasoned volatility swap.

Note that at time t' = t + dt we will update the value of the 'constant'  $c^2$  to  $c'^2$  to take into account of the new historically realised volatility, and set  $H_{t'} = 1$  again in order to calculate the updated seasoned volatility swap price. The aforementioned quantities should therefore be treated only as a tool to calculate and not as actual physical processes. What we mean with c is constant in this context is that at time t we treat it as if it will be constant until maturity date in order to be able get the historical volatility 'under the square root sign'. In Appendix A we show that the pricing as described above gives the exact seasoned volatility swap price in a BMS setting.

# 5 Hedging volatility swaps

Now that we have found a model independent formula for the price of a volatility swap throughout its life, given by equation (4.6), the natural question to ask is whether there is also a simple robust hedge for volatility swaps. It is well-known that Carr and Lee [2009] derive nonparametric replicating portfolios for general volatility derivatives. However, the replicating portfolios, even for volatility swaps, involve a continuous rebalancing of an infinite strip of options. In practice this will be quite costly and may not be executable.

In this section we will show that volatility swaps can in fact be dynamically hedged with variance swaps and that the hedge is independent of the specifics of the SV model. Although dynamically trading variance swaps is more expensive than dynamically trading a single option, it is more feasible than dynamically rebalancing an infinite strip of options. Furthermore, in certain markets there is actually more liquidity in the variance swap than in the low strike puts and high strike calls.

We will first relate the correlation sensitivity of an option to its SV vanna. Next, we argue that the SV vanna is approximately zero at the strike where the corresponding BMS vanna of the option is zero. Using this information, we carry out a Taylor expansion of the SV vanna to order two around the zero BMS vanna implied volatility and from this expansion we can estimate the required number of variance swaps to hedge a volatility swap in terms only of the variance swap price and our model independent approximation for the volatility swap price. In the following we will continue to add the prefix 'SV' if we mean the SV vanna. The BMS vanna will not be given a prefix.

Recall that under (2.1) - (2.2) the price of a claim *C* satisfies the following partial differential equation (PDE):

$$\partial_{\tau}C = \mathcal{A}C \tag{5.1}$$

where  $\tau = T - t$  and

$$\mathcal{A} = a\partial_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \partial_{SS}^2 + \frac{1}{2}b^2 \partial_{\sigma\sigma}^2 + \rho b\sigma S \partial_{S\sigma}^2$$
(5.2)

is the generator.  $\partial_x$  stands for the partial differential with respect to the parameter or variable x,  $\partial_{xy}^2$  denotes repeated differentiation and so forth.

The formal solution to (5.1) is

$$C(\tau) = e^{\tau \mathcal{A}} C(0) \tag{5.3}$$

with C(0) denoting the initial condition. Let us differentiate the formal solution with respect to the correlation parameter:

$$\partial_{\rho}C(\tau) = b\sigma S \partial_{S\sigma}^2 C(\tau) \tag{5.4}$$

The correlation sensitivity of the option is therefore directly proportional to its SV vanna.

Next, note that since we can equate the SV price of an option to its BMS price, we get

$$\partial_{\rho}C = \partial_{\Sigma}C^{BS}\partial_{\rho}\Sigma \tag{5.5}$$

since the implied volatility is the only parameter or variable in the BMS formula that can depend on correlation. Now, we know that the zero vanna implied volatility is first order immune to correlation (after all it is the volatility swap strike, which is a volatility derivative and hence immune to correlation within the family of models (2.1) - (2.2)). This entails that at the zero vanna strike  $K_{-}$  corresponding to the zero vanna implied volatility  $\Sigma_{-}$  we can write

$$\partial_{\rho}\Sigma|_{K_{-},\Sigma_{-}} \approx 0 \Rightarrow \partial_{S\sigma}^{2}C|_{K_{-},\Sigma_{-}} \approx 0 \tag{5.6}$$

We can also express the SV vanna in terms of BMS greeks directly:

$$\partial_{S\sigma}^2 C = \partial_{S\Sigma}^2 C^{BS} \partial_{\sigma} \Sigma + \partial_{\Sigma\Sigma}^2 C^{BS} \partial_{S} \Sigma \partial_{\sigma} \Sigma + \partial_{\Sigma} C^{BS} \partial_{S\sigma}^2 \Sigma$$
(5.7)

Since vanna and volga are zero at the zero vanna strike, an additional insight is

$$\partial_{S\sigma}^2 \Sigma|_{K_-, \Sigma_-} \approx 0 \tag{5.8}$$

Consider the Hull-White formula again. As we will be looking at the zero vanna implied volatility and volatility derivatives, it is sufficient to work directly in a world where correlation is zero (correlation does not affect pure volatility derivatives and has little impact on the zero vanna implied volatility),

$$C(S_t, t, K, T, \sigma_t) = E_t[C^{BS}(S_t, t, K, T, \sigma_{t,T})]$$
(5.9)

with  $\sigma_t$  denoting the instantaneous stochastic volatility and  $\sigma_{t,T}$  the realised volatility over the period [t, T]. The SV vanna is then

$$\partial_{S\sigma_t}^2 C = E_t [\partial_{S\sigma_{t,T}}^2 C^{BS} \partial_{\sigma_t} \sigma_{t,T}]$$
(5.10)

Let us Taylor expand the above expression to order two around the zero vanna implied volatility. Then,

$$\partial_{S\sigma_t}^2 C \approx \partial_{S\Sigma\Sigma}^3 C^{BS} E_t [(\sigma_{t,T} - \Sigma_-) \partial_{\sigma_t} \sigma_{t,T}] + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{S\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma}^4 C^{BS} E_t [(\sigma_{t,T} - \Sigma_-)^2 \partial_{\sigma_t} \sigma_{t,T}] \approx 0$$
(5.11)

Using the fact that

$$E_t[\partial_{\sigma_t}\sigma_{t,T}] = \partial_{\sigma_t}\mathcal{V}_{t,T}(t) \approx \Sigma_-$$
(5.12)

$$E_t[\sigma_{t,T}\partial_{\sigma_t}\sigma_{t,T}] = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\sigma_t}V_{t,T}^2(t)$$
(5.13)

which are the sensitivities of the freshly minted volatility swap and variance swap to the instantaneous stochastic volatility, we arrive at the following:

$$\partial_{S\Sigma\Sigma}^{3} C^{BS} \left[ \partial_{\sigma_{t}} V^{2} - \partial_{\sigma_{t}} \mathcal{V}^{2} \right] + \partial_{S\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma}^{4} C^{BS} \left[ \frac{1}{3} \partial_{\sigma_{t}} E_{t} [\sigma_{t,T}^{3}] - \mathcal{V} \partial_{\sigma_{t}} V^{2} + \frac{1}{3} \partial_{\sigma_{t}} \mathcal{V}^{3} \right] \approx 0$$
(5.14)

If we know the value of  $E_t[\sigma_{t,T}^3]$  we may be able to arrive at an equation consisting only of derivatives of the volatility swap and variance swap with respect to the instantaneous volatility, and therefore relate the two sensitivities to each other. Once we have the relation then we have the hedge.

To find the third moment of realised volatility, recall that in Rolloos and Arslan [2017] the zero vanna approximation for the volatility swap was derived by expanding the Hull-White formula to order two around the zero vanna implied volatility. Thus, implicitly the Taylor expansion terms of higher order have been set to zero. There are two ways that the remainder can be zero: either the sum of all the higher order terms are zero, or each term is zero. We will take the second approach, namely make the assumption that each term is zero, for this will give us a very simple expression for the third moment. Indeed, under this approximation, it is easy to derive that

$$E_t[\sigma_{t,T}^3] \approx \mathcal{V}_{t,T}(t) \left[ 3V_{t,T}^2(t) - 2\mathcal{V}_{t,T}^2(t) \right]$$
(5.15)

Note that we can apply the same procedure to all higher order Taylor terms and derive all the higher moments of realised volatility. For our purposes, though, the third moment is sufficient.

Substituting (5.15) into (5.14), then after some tedious but straightforward manipulations, and owing to the fact that  $\partial_{S\Sigma\Sigma}^3 C^{BS}$  and  $\partial_{S\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma}^4 C^{BS}$  have a simple form at the zero vanna strike, we get

$$\partial_{\sigma_t} \mathcal{V}_{t,T}(t) \approx \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{t,T}(t) + V_{t,T}^2(t)/\mathcal{V}_{t,T}(t)} \partial_{\sigma_t} V_{t,T}^2(t)$$
(5.16)

where we known that  $\mathcal{V}_{t,T}(t) \approx \Sigma_{-}$ . Although the above was derived for freshly minted volatility swaps and variance swaps, nothing changes in the general seasoned case as again the seasoned volatility swap zero vanna implied volatility is immune to correlation. The whole argument above can be repeated with options on the historically adjusted process and lead to the same conclusion, namely that in general

$$\partial_{\sigma_t} \mathcal{V}_{0,T}(t) \approx \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{0,T}(t) + V_{0,T}^2(t)/\mathcal{V}_{0,T}(t)} \partial_{\sigma_t} V_{0,T}^2(t)$$
(5.17)

Equation (5.17) gives the hedge because the instantaneous stochastic volatility is the only and common risk factor driving volatility swaps and variance swaps prices. Furthermore since seasoned volatility swaps and variance swaps are martingales, it will be the case that

$$d\mathcal{V}_{0,T}(t) \approx \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{0,T}(t) + V_{0,T}^2(t)/\mathcal{V}_{0,T}(t)}\right] dV_{0,T}^2(t)$$
(5.18)

In the next section we shall see that the above equation, which is a new result in the literature on volatility swaps pricing and hedging, is robust and accurate.

Before moving on to numerical experiments, we would like to remark that equation (5.18) also removes the need for pricing volatility swaps at every time step. Indeed, assuming that the hedging formula is accurate as we shall see that it is from the distribution of hedging errors, it is sufficient to price the volatility swap only when it is taken on the books. Thereafter, we can use the hedging formula to update the value of the replicating portfolio in order to calculate the required hedge ratio for the next hedging interval.

#### 6 Numerical experiments

As base model we will take the Heston model to test our approximations against. The parameters that will be used are initial variance  $\sigma_0^2 = 0.04$ , long term variance  $\theta = 0.04$ , mean reversion  $\lambda = 1.15$  and volatility of volatility  $\eta = 0.39$ . These are the same parameters used in Rolloos and Arslan [2017].

We have done 1000 simulations of daily hedging of a volatility swap from inception to maturity. The tenor will be taken to be 1 year. Simulations are done for correlation values  $\rho = -0.5$  and  $\rho = 0$ . As initial volatility swap value we take the values from Rolloos and Arslan [2017] for these parameter values, respectively 18.62% and 18.74%. The true volatility swap price at inception is 18.74%. As mentioned at the end of the previous section, we will not actually recalculate the zero vanna implied volatility value at each time step, but simply update the replicating portfolio value. The terminal value of the replicating portfolio is then compared to the actual realised volatility which gives the hedging error.

As we can see from the charts below, the hedging formula is pleasingly accurate. The distribution of hedging errors is limited, and very close to normally distributed. Furthermore, the deviation of the initial volatility swap price approximation from the true volatility swap price, is not enlarged by the hedging. At maturity, whatever initial price discrepancy there was is maintained. For instance, for correlation -0.5 the initial price discrepancy is -0.12%. The histogram shows that at the 50-th percentile the hedging profit and loss is indeed very close to -0.12% and in fact slightly better. Hence, a premium can be added to the zero vanna approximation at inception and this premium will be maintained.





# 7 Summary

We have generalised the Rolloos-Arslan formula for the price of freshly minted volatility swaps to seasoned volatility swaps. The generalisation enables the pricing and hedging of volatility swaps from trade inception to expiry. We have shown that volatility swaps can be hedged using variance swaps only, with a particularly simple form for the hedge ratio. Within the class of stochastic volatility models our results are independent of the specific model and its parameters.

# References

- E. Alos, F. Rolloos, and K. Shiraya. On the difference between the volatility swap strike and the zero vanna implied volatility, 2019.
- P. Carr and R. Lee. Robust replication of volatility derivatives, 2009. Working Paper, New York University.
- D. B. Owen. A table of normal integrals. *Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation*, 1980.
- F. Rolloos and M. Arslan. Taylor-made volatility swaps. Wilmott, 2017.

### A The Black-Merton-Scholes case

It is clear that equation (3.4) is the key to pricing (seasoned) volatility swaps. In general it cannot be solved analytically and although approximations are possible, we would like to limit the number of approximations made in the pricing. Numerical integration is therefore preferable to analytical approximations when evaluating (3.4). In this section we will demonstrate that when we limit ourselves to a Black-Scholes world with deterministic term structure an analytical solution can be obtained and we recover the exact price of a seasoned volatility swap.

In what follows we will need to evaluate a double integral involving the normal probability density function and its cumulative distribution function. Let

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right\}$$
(A.1)

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \phi(y) dy \tag{A.2}$$

Then, for constants *a* and *b*, it can be shown that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(a+bx)\phi(x)dx = \Phi\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{1+b^2}}\right)$$
(A.3)

For the above and other Gaussian integrals we refer the reader to Owen [1980].

In a Black-Scholes world with deterministic term structure of volatility, the implied volatilities  $\Sigma$  and those of the adjusted process  $\Sigma^H$  will not depend on the strike of the option. Instead of trying to solve (3.4) directly, we make the observation that the zero vanna implied volatility is equivalently characterized by the point where the price of a binary option is one-half (in the presence of a skew this is not the case anymore). Thus, for the option on the adjusted process we must have

$$-\left(\frac{\partial C(S_t^H, t, K, T, \Sigma^H)}{\partial K}\right)_{\Sigma^H = \Sigma^H, K = K_-} = \frac{1}{2}$$
(A.4)

Now we can differentiate the right-hand side of (3.4) and find the strike *K* and corresponding implied volatility  $\Sigma$  such that the integral is equal to one-half:

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial K}\int_{0}^{\infty}hC^{BS}(S_{t},t,K/h,T,\Sigma)q(h)dh = \frac{1}{2}$$
(A.5)

Introduce a new variable  $y = \ln h$ , then

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial K}\int_0^\infty hC^{BS}(S_t, t, K/h, T, \Sigma)q(h)dh = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \Phi(a+by)\frac{1}{\nu\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\nu}\right)^2\right\}dy \quad (A.6)$$

where

$$a = \frac{\ln S_t / K - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^2 (T - t)}{\Sigma \sqrt{T - t}}, \ b = \frac{1}{\Sigma \sqrt{T - t}}$$
(A.7)

Define now the variable  $y' = (y - \mu)/v$  and we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(a+by) \frac{1}{\nu\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y-\mu}{\nu}\right)^2\right\} dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(a+\mu b+\nu by')\phi(y')dy'$$
$$= \Phi\left(\frac{a+\mu b}{\sqrt{1+\nu^2 b^2}}\right)$$
(A.8)

where we have used (A.3). This immediately gives the strike where the vanna of the option on the adjusted process  $S^H$  is zero:

$$\Phi\left(\frac{a+\mu b}{\sqrt{1+\nu^2 b^2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \iff a = -\mu b \tag{A.9}$$

Since  $\mu = -\frac{1}{2}c^2(T - t)$ , the above condition gives

$$\ln S_t / K_- - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^2 (T - t) = \frac{1}{2} c^2 (T - t)$$
(A.10)

which means that the zero vanna strike for the option on the adjusted process is

$$\ln S_t / K_- = \frac{1}{2} \left( c^2 + \Sigma^2 \right) (T - t)$$
 (A.11)

The zero vanna adjusted implied volatility  $\Sigma^{H}_{-}$  satisfies

$$\ln S_t / K_- = \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_-^H)^2 (T - t)$$
(A.12)

and so,

$$\Sigma_{-}^{H} = \sqrt{c^2 + \Sigma^2} \tag{A.13}$$

In the Black-Scholes model with deterministic volatility term structure  $\sigma(t)$ ,

$$c^{2} = \frac{1}{T-t} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{2}(u) \, du, \ \Sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{T-t} \int_{t}^{T} \sigma^{2}(u) \, du$$
(A.14)

and so (??) gives us

$$K_{vol}^{BS} \approx \Sigma_{-}^{H} \sqrt{\frac{T-t}{T}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{2}(u) du + \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{T} \sigma^{2}(u) du}$$
(A.15)

but of course the approximate result is in fact exact in the Black-Scholes case.

We could have written down equation (A.15) without carrying out the previous calculations since in a Black-Scholes world there is no uncertainty about realized volatility. Nevertheless, the fact that we arrive at the result using our method which is applicable to general stochastic volatility models, shows that equation (4.6) is correct.