

# Artificial Intelligence for Social Good: A Survey

Zheyuan Ryan Shi, Claire Wang, Fei Fang

Carnegie Mellon University  
ryanshi@cmu.edu , clairew1@andrew.cmu.edu , feif@cs.cmu.edu

First draft: January 2020

## Abstract

Artificial intelligence for social good (AI4SG) is a research theme that aims to use and advance artificial intelligence to address societal issues and improve the well-being of the world. AI4SG has received lots of attention from the research community in the past decade with several successful applications. Building on the most comprehensive collection of the AI4SG literature to date with over 1000 contributed papers, we provide a detailed account and analysis of the work under the theme in the following ways. (1) We quantitatively analyze the distribution and trend of the AI4SG literature in terms of application domains and AI techniques used. (2) We propose three conceptual methods to systematically group the existing literature and analyze the eight AI4SG application domains in a unified framework. (3) We distill five research topics that represent the common challenges in AI4SG across various application domains. (4) We discuss five issues that, we hope, can shed light on the future development of the AI4SG research.

## 1 Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is in many ways an important source of change in people’s lives in the 21st century. Its impact is drastic and real: Youtube’s AI-driven recommendation system would present sports videos for days if one happens to watch a live baseball game on the platform [1]; email writing becomes much faster with machine learning (ML) based auto-completion [2]; many businesses have adopted natural language processing based chatbots as part of their customer services [3]. AI has also greatly advanced human capabilities in complex decision-making processes ranging from determining how to allocate security resources to protect airports [4] to games such as poker [5] and Go [6]. All such tangible and stunning progress suggests that an “AI summer” is happening. As some put it, “AI is the new electricity” [7].

Meanwhile, in the past decade, an emerging theme in the AI research community is the so-called “AI for social good” (AI4SG): researchers aim at developing AI methods and tools to address problems at the societal level and improve the well-being of the society. Over the years, there have been several successful AI4SG projects, such as guiding municipal water pipe replacement [8], protecting wildlife from poaching [9], and spreading HIV prevention information among homeless youth [10]. AI4SG has received recognition from both within and outside the academic community. Major AI conferences have featured various special tracks and workshops dedicated to AI4SG, with over 1000 papers on AI4SG topics formally published. Large companies are expanding their investments on AI4SG initiatives. The need for collaboration among researchers, governments, companies, and non-profit organizations to solve AI4SG problems has never been more widely appreciated.

**What exactly is AI for social good?** As we celebrate the progress of AI and AI4SG, let us take a step back to ponder what AI4SG really means. AI4SG is a vague concept, given that neither AI nor social good has a widely accepted definition. Some of the recent efforts try to define AI4SG based on the realized or potential social impact and non-profit nature. However, there is no consensus on such a definition. One can argue that “social impact” is both too exclusive and inclusive: lots of AI4SG research has not (yet) achieved any tangible social impact, and AI research that does have some social impact may not be *doing good*. The “non-profit nature” is similarly debatable since for-profit industry companies arguably contribute a lot to AI4SG, and the majority of research on AI and transportation, which most people would count in AI4SG, is not always intended for non-profit applications. Currently, the most popular set of efforts is to describe AI4SG by listing the application domains of AI technologies, or even simpler, referring to “societal challenges, which have not yet received significant attention by the AI community”.<sup>1</sup> As Berendt points out, social good (common good) is referred to as a goal, but not defined [11].

We do not attempt to propose any new definition of AI4SG. In this survey, we adopt the widely used approach by enumerating several application domains. This is not perfect, as we will unavoidably miss some works. However, by doing this we are able to provide a systematic overview of the field, while the community continues to discuss and iterate on a better definition. In fact, we believe that the lack of precise definition might be more of a strength for the development of AI4SG than a problem. Just like the lack of common definition for AI helped the field to grow and innovate beyond its boundary, we think an inclusive boundary of AI4SG could encourage more researchers to contribute to this area.

**Why write this survey** AI4SG as a research theme has grown tremendously in the past decade. We believe this is the time to summarize the progress and identify the research and non-technical challenges so far. The existing surveys or survey-like papers are inadequate in the following four aspects which we attempt to address.

First, the literature lacks a comprehensive survey of this domain. Berendt provides a survey of 99 AI4SG papers, focusing on publications from a few (mostly data science) workshops [11]. The CCC report [12] and Cowls et al. [13] cover a few successful projects from the highly regarded venues, yet lack the breadth of coverage. Chui et al. [14] built a collection of 160 AI4SG projects, yet these projects are not explicitly discussed in the paper. We cover over 1000 AI4SG publications at major AI venues in the past 12 years and provide a detailed account of the research in eight major application domains.

Second, we provide the first quantitative analysis of the AI4SG research projects. We show the trend of each application domain and AI technique in terms of the number of papers published. We also identify the hot spots that have attracted the most research effort in the current AI4SG community.

Third, most of the current literature discusses the AI4SG literature according to application domains without a systematic approach to analyze and categorize AI4SG research. We use three conceptual methods to group the existing works and to dissect each application domain. These categorizations yield insights into how one can identify concrete “social good” problems that AI can be used to address.

Fourth, existing works do not adequately draw the two-way interaction between the technical advances in AI research and the applications. In addition to using advances in AI for societal applications, we emphasize that applications in many different domains also motivated advances in some common meaningful research directions. We distill several technical research topics stemmed from the nature of AI4SG research.

Nonetheless, we leave out a few aspects of AI4SG which are already covered in previous works. The interested reader might refer to the work of Berendt [11] for a more detailed discussion on “what is social

---

<sup>1</sup><https://aaai.org/Symposia/Spring/sss17symposia.php>

good, are we really doing good, and how to ensure that we do good?”. Chui et al. [14] have more discussion on the action plan for those outside the academic research community.

**Target audience** This survey is primarily targeted at researchers in the AI research community who desire to make a positive and tangible impact in the social good domains.

AI4SG has a wide range of stakeholders: AI researchers who aspire to make positive social impact, researchers in other domains who hope to leverage the promise of AI, practitioners who provide the “infrastructure” in place and who make everything in this community possible, funding agencies which decide on grant and resources allocations for AI4SG projects, companies which want to utilize their talent and resources in-house to promote corporate social responsibility, media that shape the public discourse of AI and its positive (and negative) impact on our society, and most importantly, everyone who will be affected by the AI4SG projects, and that is, everyone.

Our survey is mainly tailored to the AI research community. However, we believe that the present paper will also be informative to other stakeholders of AI4SG. In particular, we show in the paper the current development of AI4SG, the application domains and specific problems that have been studied, and the AI4SG projects that have been successfully deployed.

**The scope of this survey** In this survey, we focus on the AI technologies which are developed within the AI communities with the primary purpose of producing *positive* outcomes for inherent societal problems.

As AI technologies become increasingly powerful and democratized, we note several unfortunate cases where AI is developed for outright malicious use, e.g. DeepNude [15], or for highly dubious purposes [16]. We believe such cases represent an urgent and complex problem that the AI community, and much more beyond the AI community, need to address together. Such malicious use of AI is equally important as using AI to produce positive outcomes. In this survey, we focus on the latter and refer the reader to the work of Brundage et al. [17] for detailed coverage of the malicious use of AI.

We leave out the emerging literature on the fairness, accountability, transparency, and ethics problems of AI solutions to social good problems, which is surveyed in a few recent papers [18, 19, 20]. In the present work, we focus on inherent societal problems (including, e.g. inequality and fairness) but not the “second-order problems” about the AI solutions. However, we do believe these issues are critical to the successful delivery of AI4SG solutions and we encourage the community to make more progress.

AI and public policy is another important area related to AI4SG. The reader may refer to the AI Now report [21] for a detailed treatment of the current topics.

The majority of works surveyed in this paper originate from (or partially from) the AI community. We recognize that the use of AI techniques has a growing presence in disciplines other than computer science. For each application domain, we will briefly discuss the relevant literature from within the domain, where applicable.

**Outline** This survey is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide an overview of the AI4SG literature. We characterize the distribution and trend of AI4SG research over application domains and AI techniques. In Section 3, we review the eight main application domains of AI4SG research. For each domain, we introduce the key topics and challenges to which AI has been applied, and a case study on a successfully deployed AI4SG project. In addition to applying AI techniques to societal problems, it is also part of AI4SG research that the experience from application motivates and leads to advances in several key AI research directions. In Section 4, we distill five such AI research topics which have their root across multiple application domains. Section 5 features a discussion of several important aspects of AI4SG research such as evaluation and deployment. We summarize the paper in Section 6.

## 2 Overview of the AI for Social Good Literature

### 2.1 Literature Selection

For this survey, we selected 1176 papers from the AAAI,<sup>2</sup> IJCAI,<sup>3</sup> IAAI,<sup>4</sup> AAMAS,<sup>5</sup> KDD,<sup>6</sup> and COMPASS<sup>7</sup> conferences from the years 2008-2019, to analyze the trend of AI4SG research. Starting from Section 3, we discuss over 400 papers in detail, introducing the problems they address and the AI techniques being used. AAAI and IJCAI are general conferences on AI, which capture most of the relevant sub-topics in AI. AAMAS and KDD feature works in multi-agent systems and data mining, two sub-topics of AI that have lots of AI4SG related works. Each of these four conferences has some application-related track or session, which is exactly the type of paper we wish to focus on. IAAI is a dedicated venue for the deployed or emerging applications of AI in the real world. We chose COMPASS for its focus on “computing and sustainable societies”. Although it is not an exclusively AI-focused venue, it nevertheless has an ample amount of AI- and AI4SG-related work. In a future version, we also plan to include AI4SG-related works at conferences on other sub-topics of AI, such as natural language processing (e.g. ACL<sup>8</sup>) and computer vision (e.g. CVPR<sup>9</sup>).

For each of AAAI, IJCAI, and AAMAS, we went through their proceedings and programs on their respective websites for the years 2008-2019 and looked for any relevant sections to the topic of AI4SG. Specifically, we looked for sections with titles matching any of the following: Multidisciplinary Applications, Applications, Machine Learning Applications, Computational Sustainability, AI for Social Impact/Social Good, AI and Humans, or AI for Improving Human Well-being. For other venues, including KDD, IAAI, and COMPASS, we took all papers from the proceedings.

After collecting all the papers, we then came up with initial sets of 20 keywords or phrases for each of the eight following domains: agriculture, education, environmental sustainability, healthcare, combating information manipulation, social care and urban planning, public safety, and transportation. In particular, information manipulation includes challenges such as misinformation and reputation fraud; social care and urban planning include topics such as public services and crisis management. We also created similar keyword sets for each of the 16 following AI sub-topics: computer vision (CV), robotics, planning, routing and scheduling, multiagent systems, natural language processing (NLP), knowledge representation and reasoning, human computation and crowdsourcing, constraint satisfaction and optimization, game playing and interactive entertainment, game theory and economic paradigms, heuristic search and optimization, cognitive modeling, cognitive systems, reasoning under uncertainty, human-AI collaboration, and machine learning (ML). We chose these 16 sub-topics according to the keywords of AAAI-19.<sup>10</sup> We then tagged each paper with any domain areas and techniques for which the title or abstract contained a keyword corresponding to those domains/techniques, making use of `nltk.stem`.

After performing this keyword matching once for all papers collected, we manually looked through the abstracts of all papers that were not tagged with any domain area and, if we deemed them relevant to one of our AI4SG domains, added some salient keywords from the abstract to our collection of keywords. After this manual review, we re-ran the keyword matching using the updated keyword base. (We also briefly did

---

<sup>2</sup>The AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence

<sup>3</sup>International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence

<sup>4</sup>Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence

<sup>5</sup>International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems

<sup>6</sup>ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining

<sup>7</sup>ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies

<sup>8</sup>Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics

<sup>9</sup>IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition

<sup>10</sup><https://aaai.org/Conferences/AAAI-19/aaai19keywords/>

the same manual inspection with papers without a technique tagging, though for such papers it became clear that they simply did not reference any technical attributes in the abstract or title.) After the updated keyword matching, we manually inspected the matched papers and remove those that are not actually relevant to the domain. The collection of papers and the script can be found in our GitHub repository.<sup>11</sup>

## 2.2 Distribution and Trend

First, we summarize our findings in Fig. 1. In general, across all domains and techniques, the number of papers pertaining to any of our listed AI4SG domains increased over time. In 2008, there were 18 papers on AI4SG and in 2019 we have 246, suggesting that research interest in AI4SG has been generally increasing in recent years.

As shown in Fig. 1a, all domains have generally witnessed an upwards-tending trend in the number of papers published. Healthcare has received the most attention among all application domains. The difference between it and other domains appears to be widening, with the works on healthcare count 32% of the total literature on AI4SG in 2019. Transportation has a steady increase in publications and ranks second in the total number of papers in the past years. On the other hand, agriculture, education, and social care and urban planning have been the topics with the smallest literature across the years. This trend is likely due to the fact that both transportation and healthcare are well-established disciplines even outside of the computational realm. Many research topics within these areas are already mature without the presence of AI techniques. It is also relatively easier to gather data in these domains, with existing architecture such as electronic health records and traffic monitoring systems. Furthermore, the data pertaining to transportation and healthcare are usually in a precise, structured format that can be fed directly to an AI algorithm. On the other end of the spectrum, data pertaining to realms like social care and education are often in forms like interviews and questionnaires. Such data are harder to both collect and parse. Further, these two domains, along with that of agriculture, do not have well-established existing frameworks for data collection to begin with, further exacerbating the lack of data for analysis and usage with AI algorithms.


Up until 2016, environmental sustainability was among the most popular domains in AI4SG research, until transportation surpassed environmental sustainability. This could potentially be explained by a tendency for research done in the transportation domain being ultimately motivated by sustainability and energy efficiency. Interestingly, around 2013 the domains of healthcare, combating information manipulation, and transportation all had roughly the same frequencies; after that, healthcare saw a steep increase in frequency, perhaps explained by recent advancements in the industry.

In Fig. 1b we show the trend of AI techniques in the AI4SG literature. ML has been a consistently dominant technique in AI4SG papers, and its usage has surpassed that of other techniques even more in recent years (since 2013). This comes with little surprise, especially as keywords relating to ML techniques are quite generic and may encompass other, more specific techniques. For example, most of the recent work in computer vision (CV) and natural language processing (NLP) is built upon ML techniques. Planning, routing, and scheduling and constraint satisfaction and optimization have both been on a slower and somewhat unsteady upwards trajectory in the number of papers through the years. On the other hand, techniques like cognitive modeling, game-playing and interactive entertainment, and knowledge representation have stayed nearly stagnant in terms of the rate of publication over the years.


Fig. 2 shows a heatmap that visualizes the connection between application domains and AI techniques, in which we plot the number of papers falling into each (technique, domain) pair. We note that the numbers

---

<sup>11</sup><https://github.com/AIandSocialGoodLab/AI4SG-Survey>



(a) Evolution of AI4SG application domains



(b) Evolution of AI4SG AI techniques

Figure 1: Trend of AI4SG publications in different domains and with different techniques.




Figure 2: Heatmap of AI4SG paper domains and techniques.

for each application or technique are not equal to the summation of numbers in the respective column/row since each paper might belong to multiple application domains and techniques.

The heatmap again reveals the prevalence of ML techniques, regardless of the domain. Techniques like game-playing and interactive entertainment, cognitive modeling, and knowledge representation are very infrequently utilized. We also see again that application domains like transportation, healthcare, public safety, and environmental sustainability are the most frequently studied in AI4SG papers.

The hottest combination is unsurprisingly machine learning in healthcare with 174 papers which surpasses all other combinations by a large margin. Within the transportation domain, planning and constraint satisfaction are frequently utilized techniques. This makes sense given transportation’s natural domain properties of being constrained by a number of dynamic variables and needing to plan sequences of actions. Within public safety, game theory is a popular approach since it characterizes the adversarial nature of many problems in this domain. Another hot combination that stands out is the usage of human computation techniques towards combating information manipulation. This is another natural pairing, may be explained by the ease of analyzing information in mass media via the many consumers of such information.

### 3 Research Progress in AI for Social Good

We survey eight application domains where the AI4SG literature has made significant progress. In addition to introducing the existing work, we attempt to address a broader question in the AI4SG research: what is a concrete social good problem which AI can help to address.

We propose to use three conceptual methods to systematically think about this question: in terms of the topic structure of the domain, the scope of the problem with respect to the agents involved, and functionality of the AI intervention. First and foremost, one can adopt the domain’s perspective by tracing the supply chain and identify pain points along the way, thereby determining the topics of social good problems worth investigating in this domain. Using agriculture as an example, we consider two sequential topics: crop management and the agricultural produce market. One can further break down crop management into the following steps (sub-topics): crop planning, crop maintenance, crop yield prediction, and crop disease mitigation. In this way, we can identify the topics on AI for agriculture.

As the second approach, we propose a uniform structured AEC (agent – environment – community) framework to classify the current efforts in all AI4SG application domains based on the scope of the problem with respect to the agents involved, and to structure thoughts on identifying new problems to work on.

An agent is the smallest effect unit that has a direct interest in the output of the AI solution, e.g. a patient with some disease, a driver traveling from location A to location B, a farmer growing certain crop. A problem is in the “agent” category if we can discuss all the factors and the solution of the problem restricted to a single agent. Problems in this category include choosing the right crop for a farmer to grow and disease diagnosis based on X-ray images.

A problem is in the “environment” category if the problem conceptually involves multiple agents, and those agents are considered as a population without interactions and/or heterogeneity. For example, when predicting the traffic flow, typically one does not consider how the drivers interact and instead studies the system-level behavior.

A problem is in the “community” category if the problem conceptually involves multiple heterogeneous agents with explicit consideration of their interactions. For example, when designing the pricing mechanism of a ride-sharing platform using AI, we can take into account the drivers and riders’ different incentives and preferences and their interactions.

A specific research topic might have multiple types of problems. Citizen science is scientific research conducted in part by nonprofessional (citizen) scientists who, for example, contribute data and observation to the research effort. Correcting the bias in citizen science data might belong to “environment”. Incentivizing citizen scientists to collect better data might belong to “community”.

The third approach is to consider the functionality of the AI intervention, and we propose to use the DPP (descriptive – predictive – prescriptive) framework. Descriptive analysis aims to provide insight into the current and previous phenomenon, like using animal images to get an animal census in a conservation area. Predictive analysis focuses on predicting what might happen in the future, like predicting whether a patient will be readmitted based on electronic health records. Prescriptive analysis emphasizes providing advice on what to do next, like how to allocate security resources in an airport.

In the sequel, we discuss one application domain in each subsection. For each domain, we first provide a table listing a few example problems by the AEC and DPP frameworks. Then, we discuss the current research efforts bundled by the topic structure. Towards the end of each subsection, we include a case study of a representative AI4SG project. We select this project from all the AI4SG projects in this domain that have been deployed/piloted in the real world. We analyze this project using a framework adapted from NetHope’s AI for good guide [22]. For each project, we introduce the target problem, the previous practice (before the AI intervention) and explain why AI could help. After providing an overview of the



AI intervention, we identify the key data and resources required for such a project. In addition, we discuss the current status of the project, especially after the paper publication. We also analyze in retrospect what part of the AI solution worked and what part did not work. Finally, we are aware that the literature we surveyed does not contain all the work on this topic. Therefore, for each application domain, we point the interested reader to surveys of the AI methods used in the domain which are published in the application domain’s research community, where applicable.

### 3.1 AI for Agriculture

Agent	Descriptive	Crop disease diagnosis [23]
	Predictive	Crop yield prediction [24]
	Prescriptive	Crop choice recommendation [25]
Environment	Descriptive	Crop growth modeling (Modeling crop disease [23])
	Predictive	
	Prescriptive	Growing conditions prediction (Drought prediction [26])
Community	Descriptive	
	Predictive	Produce price forecasting [27]
	Prescriptive	Alternate market designs (Electronic agriculture marketplace [28])

Table 1: AEC and DPP categorization of research on AI for agriculture.

The United Nations estimates that the world needs to feed two billion additional people by 2050 [29], which presents a significant challenge to the global agriculture capacity. Meanwhile, currently 45% of the world’s population lives in rural areas and 26.7% sustains their living through agriculture [30]. Thus, to improve agriculture is to address both today’s and tomorrow’s problems. AI has lots of potential in this domain and we believe that much more work could be done. Table 1 shows some example works on AI for agriculture and we classify them under the AEC and DPP structure. In this subsection, we follow the agriculture supply chain and group the existing works into two topics, crop management and agricultural marketplace.

#### 3.1.1 Crop management

The most intuitive and fundamental goal of AI for agriculture is to improve crop management and boost crop yield. The progress and commercialization of UAVs, satellite images, and IoT have rendered data that are unprecedented in both quantity and precision. These data sources enable AI to boost the efficiency of farming, promoting the so-called “precision agriculture”. With them, it is possible to address the various aspects of crop management, such as crop planning, maintenance, yield prediction, mitigating crop disease, and agricultural information gathering.

**Crop planning** Deciding on what crop to grow and when to grow could be seen as the first step of crop management. [25] uses multi-objective evolutionary algorithms to determine, based on the soil information, the optimal crop to grow for sustainable land use. The optimization criteria include the costs of fertilizing and liming, cultivation, and the expectation and variation of total return. Other works explore selecting the best time to sow based on additional factors such as the weather information. A pilot study in India instructed farmers to delay planting by three weeks using predictive models based on climate and weather data and increased the yield by 30% [31].

**Crop maintenance** Second, crop maintenance is critical to the yield. There are many aspects where AI can help. On the one hand, advanced sensing technologies show much promise for AI. On the other hand, these headline technologies are still far from reaching an average farmer. AI can also help to leverage existing tools and resources for farmer’s use. For example, crop evapotranspiration information is useful for optimizing irrigation plans, yet its accurate measurement is limited due to the sparse evapotranspiration network. [32] propose using the Gaussian Process to estimate this information with data from ordinary weather stations, and the approach has been demonstrated to work well in Texas.

**Crop yield prediction** Third, being able to predict the crop yield can often help farmers plan for the coming year and help the government determine agricultural policy. While lots of work have developed the key features to make this prediction, these features are often costly to compute in practice. Remote sensing products, specifically satellite images, provide a cheap alternative. [24] not only use deep learning models to predict the crop yield from satellite images but also design a compact representation of the images to address the scarcity of labeled data and use a Gaussian Process model to address the spatial-temporal variation.

**Mitigating crop disease** Crop disease is a major cause of poverty in developing countries. Quinn et al. [23] propose several approaches to address problems related to crop disease, including using Gaussian Process ordinal regression to estimate disease distribution, using this model for survey planning, and using CV techniques including scale-invariant feature transform on photos for crop disease diagnosis. A mobile app based on [23] and its follow-up works is now available [33].

**Agricultural information gathering** At a macro level, it is also valuable to gather data on which crops are grown where. [24] makes use of deep Gaussian processes to infer agriculture information from satellite imagery. [34] enables farmers to monitor their crops at low cost via aerial imaging, which relies on CV and path-planning algorithms.

### 3.1.2 Agriculture produce market

Aside from dealing with crops in the field, the agriculture market is also vital to a farmer’s life. AI techniques such as time series analysis and algorithmic mechanism design have much potential in this domain. Being able to predict the price movement can greatly benefit the farmers, as the fluctuation of produce price directly determines a farmer’s income. An agricultural market, especially in developing countries, is different from, say, a stock market in its lack of information and infrastructure. [27] use the nearest neighbor model to predict the agricultural market price in India.

However, even if we can predict the produce prices accurately, the farmers may still face difficulties on the market. These markets have limited information flow and high transaction costs and pose a significant requirement to the mobility of the farmers. Thus, other works have explored setting up a better market to connect the farmers. [28] used over five years to set up a text message-based agricultural market in Uganda. We discuss this work in more detail in the following case study.

### 3.1.3 Case study: Kudu – an agricultural market in Uganda

**Target problem** Farmers in Uganda often lack adequate information about the agricultural market in the country, which puts themselves at a disadvantage when they sell their produces. Newman et al. attempt to set up, Kudu, an electronic agricultural market to facilitate the information flow [28]. The problem fits into “community – prescriptive” in the AEC – DPP framework.

**Previous practice** There are markets in both cities and rural areas, but they are quite different in terms of the scale, price, and participants. These markets often feature inconsistent availability of produce and arbitrage opportunities. For example, the country has lots of tomato growers but occasionally one cannot find any tomato at the roadside markets. One can do temporal and spatial arbitrage without much difficulty. A main reason is that individual farmers in the rural areas often do not have sufficient information about the national market, and as a result the farmers also miss lots of opportunities.

**Why is AI needed** The primary problem is to make it easier for individual farmers to get access to the national market. Uganda has excellent cell phone coverage which makes an electronic marketplace possible. However, it is too much cognitive burden for a human to match the sellers and buyers, while AI could automate the process and ensure that the new marketplace functions properly. In addition, the electronic marketplace has great value in itself, because it saves the farmers from point-to-point face-to-face communication and makes the transactions more efficient.

**Intervention overview** The authors proposed and implemented a text message-based agricultural market using mechanism design. Both buyers and sellers can post to the market. There is a central clearing-house and the authors have attempted an AI-based clearing mechanism which runs a maximum weight matching algorithm as well as a hybrid system that combines AI-based and manual clearing. Once a bid and ask are matched, the bidder and the seller will travel to meet in person and the transaction happens offline.

**Data used** To propose a match, the authors used Uganda’s road network data to incorporate the transportation cost into consideration, which can be readily obtained online. Other values core to the market are not data-driven and are estimated based on heuristics. However, the local farmers are reluctant to adopt the system unless the authors also provide the average market price. These data are easy to obtain once the authors have collaborated with a local partner to obtain these data.

**Resources needed** Beyond doubt, any implementation and maintenance of a market like this need continued funding. The authors collaborated with several local agencies, who are vital to the deployment of any kind, in terms of local knowledge, social connections, local outreach, etc.

**Deployment status** Since its initial deployment in 2013, Kudu has attracted over 21000 users and facilitated over 850 verified transactions, with a total transaction value of over 1.9 million USD. In comparison, the agricultural share of Uganda’s GDP was around 6.5 billion USD. Thus, there is no doubt that Kudu has obtained a significant scale and reach. In the paper [28], it is stated that the grant funding for this project ended in March 2018. This is also the time that Kudu’s website<sup>12</sup> stopped updating. Nevertheless, the authors report that their local partners planned to continue the operation.

**AI in retrospect** The core of a designed market is its market-clearing algorithm. Some of the desired properties of a market are motivated by the difficulties in their previous pilots. For example, too sparse clearing frequency drives the farmers away from the platform because people do not want to wait that long, and a continuous clearing thus might be desirable. Yet it is hard to analyze whether some other properties are effective in practice. For example, one desired property in mechanism design is to maximize the social welfare, and in this case, the total gain from trade. However, not all trades matched on the platform actually

---

<sup>12</sup><https://kudu.ug/>

happened due to the primitive trade execution situation. Thus, maximizing welfare at clearing might not be useful in practice. In general, the work suggests that human clearing cannot be substituted by algorithmic clearing in Uganda and a hybrid approach might be more reasonable. Furthermore, the authors choose to base the market primarily on text messages, as they observed that text messages are much more accessible than, say, computer and the Internet. However, during deployment, most of the transactions happened through phone calls with human coordinators, an arguably low-tech intervention compared to what the authors intended. That said, this is one of the very few attempts from the community to build a non-trivial market from scratch. Understanding all the practical constraints introduces to the research community many valuable problems to ponder.

### 3.1.4 Other works

Outside the AI community, there have been lots of attempts to apply AI/ML techniques to address agricultural issues. We refer the interested reader to [35] for a survey of these works.

## 3.2 AI for Education

Agent	Descriptive	Predictive evaluation (Modeling student learning state [36])
	Predictive	Individual performance prediction [37]
	Prescriptive	Problem and solution generation [38]
Environment	Descriptive	Modeling student engagement [39]
	Predictive	Student performance prediction (Identifying at-risk students [40])
	Prescriptive	Online education platforms [41]
Community	Descriptive	
	Predictive	Problem difficulty prediction [42]
	Prescriptive	Administration improvement [43]

Table 2: AEC and DPP categorization of research on AI for education.

For many, education represents and enables future growth and opportunity. Literacy in language, math, and sciences, and other essential skills empower individuals to participate in today’s global economy and perhaps break cycles of poverty. However, the United Nations reports that in low-income countries, 91% of students in primary school fail to achieve minimum reading proficiency, and 87% fail to achieve basic math proficiency [44]. The application of AI to this domain has the potential to provide equal opportunities to all students worldwide, thereby investing in future innovation and global development. We believe much more work could be done in this domain. We classify some sample works on AI for education in Table 2 using the AEC and DPP structure. In this subsection, we introduce the existing works on both teaching a specific skill and education issues in a community environment.

### 3.2.1 Students and a (virtual) teacher

Personalized education has long been an ideal for families around the world. Although technology has permeated into many aspects of education, the fundamental ways in which the teachers teach and the students learn have not changed much. The progress of AI is now starting to bring us a little bit closer to this ideal. The first step is to develop AI which functions as an assistant to, or even a substitute for,

teachers. We discuss problem-level and curriculum-level problems, two types of tasks that AI has been used to address, which differ by the length of interaction with the student.

**Problem-level AI for education** In this category, AI is developed to facilitate a concrete procedure in the student’s learning process, including problem generation, problem-solving, grading, and difficulty prediction. These works necessarily focus on a specific discipline and leverage the special structure of that domain. For example, Wang and Su propose to use natural language generation aided with dimensional units to generate math exercise problems [45]. They also developed automated reasoning techniques to generate problem solutions [38]. Similar tasks have been attempted in logic problems [46]. When the problem has a definitive solution, works on grading focus on giving proper credit to partial solutions. Without a definitive correct answer [47], such as essay writing, one could use natural language processing to assess the quality and provide feedback [48]. Computer programming is a key area that has seen lots of development in terms of generating pattern programs [49] and program error checking [50, 51, 52]. One level above, there is also work that explore generating an exam with the right combination of problems against a student population [53], and predicting the difficulty of the problems [42].

**Curriculum-level AI for education** Intuitively, helping a student master a curriculum is intrinsically different from grading a student’s essay since it takes time and repeated effort to master a skill which could have many building blocks. The tasks in this category typically feature repeated interaction with the student. Intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), which use AI techniques to provide step-by-step tutoring, monitor student’s progress, and offer instant feedback, are not a new concept [54]. Recent works integrate a data-driven approach like graphical models [55, 36], factorization machines [56], or recurrent neural networks [37] into ITS to model the student’s learning state. In addition, Valentine et al. employ sketch recognition techniques to assist instructors in homework assignments [57].

Researchers also tried to develop AI technologies to assist student learning beyond the well-established ITS framework. For example, Park et al. developed a social bot companion for early literacy education [58]. They use reinforcement learning to find an optimal policy to engage with each given student. Zhang et al. use logic programming to facilitate the pedagogy of computer programming in the STEM subjects [59].

### 3.2.2 Students in an educational environment

In addition to the specific setting of learning a particular skill, the environment in which education happens is also important. On this front, we cover two topics. First, we consider the online education platforms which are gaining more presence. Second, we introduce the work on student’s long-term success in an education system like high school or college.

**Education on online education platforms** The advent of online education platforms such as Coursera and EdX brings about a new dimension of education that differs from the abovementioned works. Specifically, it has a social aspect: students interact, collaborate, and social with millions of anonymous learners through the Internet. Strictly speaking, this is not new to education, as most people have classmates throughout their schooling career. Yet, the public, structured, and logged interactions allow the AI researchers to dive deeper. For example, He et al. use the students’ online discussions in MOOCs to measure student attributes such as attitude, ability, and intelligence [60]. In a classroom environment, this may only be assessed by assignments and exams. A serious problem in MOOCs is student dropout. Recent works combine graphical models with first-order logic to analyze students’ engagement patterns [39], and

use logistic regression to help decide intervention on students at risk of dropout [40]. Roy et al. study the problem of inferring pre-requisites for MOOCs [41].

Traditional classrooms have also adopted some features in MOOCs such as online discussion forums. Kim and Shaw investigated how to organize and retrieve past discussions to help the current students learn [61].

**Student success in the education system** In addition to helping a student master a skill or a course, AI is also a useful tool to study the student’s overall success (or failure) over an education stage. Researchers have shown that lots of factors could be used to predict education outcomes, such as previous academic performance, family background, and even Internet usage [62]. Xu et al. use an ensemble learning method to predict students’ college performance continuously as the student proceeds in the program [63]. In such predictive analysis, typical metrics like precision and recall may not be the best performance indicator of the model. Lakkaraju et al. propose a few evaluation metrics in the context of preventing high school dropouts [64].

### 3.2.3 Case study: scaffolding student online discussions using past discussions

**Target problem** At many universities, instructors use online discussion platforms as an auxiliary tool to facilitate collaborative learning among students. However, these platforms are often either underutilized or fail to achieve their potential of fostering peer-to-peer interactions (only delivering the student-to-teacher interactions). Kim and Shaw attempted to facilitate student interaction using past discussions [61, 65]. This problem fits into “environment – descriptive” in the AEC – DPP framework.

**Why is AI needed** At the time of their paper [61], online discussion platforms had very few features that directly foster their usage and usability. The authors hypothesized that, if students, when they post a question, are presented with related discussions on the same topic from the previous iterations of the course, such information can prompt them to reflect and use the discussion platform more actively. AI is required to achieve this information retrieval task.

**Intervention overview** The authors use existing information retrieval techniques like tf-idf to compute the similarity between the student’s new post and previous posts on the platform. The most relevant previous posts are then selected to display to the student. The authors also attempted topic modeling techniques which accommodate multi-word domain terms and acronyms as well as latent semantic analysis transformations yet they reported that these techniques did not yield satisfactory performance. The authors have deployed the tool in three computer science courses at the University of Southern California.

**Data used** The AI intervention uses message corpus from the discussion platform during the historical offerings of the same course, as well as some text from the course textbook. The AI intervention directly selects from these data as its output. Intuitively, in the university environment, obtaining these data should not pose significant difficulty.

**Resources needed** Evidently as an educational tool in a university environment, the research received the support from the course instructor and the university. As a live system during a course offering, the researchers needed to pay close attention throughout. For example, some students mentioned that the names were not attached to the retrieved messages so they could not identify the instructor’s response from students’ posts. This was fixed during the deployment.

**Deployment status** The AI intervention was deployed in a computer science course for three semesters at the University of Southern California. It reached a total of 469 students and witnessed over 2000 messages posted on the discussion platform. In some of the semesters deployed, the tool facilitated significantly longer threads of discussion compared to not using the tool. Online discussion platforms have evolved quickly since this project. Today’s similar platforms have many additional tools to facilitate collaborative learning, yet the very function that this project explored is missing from today’s mainstream platforms.

**AI in retrospect** In terms of the original motivation of the research, the AI intervention did lead to an increased usage of discussion platforms in some experiments. However, the relevance of Pedabot’s retrieved discussions could be improved, as commented by several students who used the system. This perhaps hindered the further deployment of the research.

### 3.2.4 Other works

The AI for education community has studied the potential of AI in education for a long time and has developed a rich literature. The interested readers could refer to the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education<sup>13</sup> (AIED) for more research in this community. For a survey of intelligent tutoring systems, please refer to [54, 66].

## 3.3 AI for Environmental Sustainability

Agent	Descriptive	Energy usage modeling [67]
	Predictive	Energy usage predictions (Forecasting Multi-Appliance Usage [68])
	Prescriptive	Smart homes (Sustainable temperature tuning [69])
Environment	Descriptive	Biodiversity information gathering (Acoustic monitoring of the African forest elephant [70])
	Predictive	Climate prediction (Predicting temperature volatility [71])
	Prescriptive	Wildlife protection (Defense against poaching and illegal fishing [72])
Community	Descriptive	Complex ecosystem modeling (Modeling interaction between species [73])
	Predictive	Smart grid prediction (Forecasting clear skies for power grid [74])
	Prescriptive	Energy distribution (Smart grid energy distribution marketplace [75])

Table 3: AEC and DPP categorization of research on AI for environmental sustainability.

The long-lasting and alarmingly novel effects of human activity on Earth have been known for decades: global temperatures have risen to unprecedented levels, amounts of ice have diminished, and species the world over have been driven to extinction. Immediate action must be taken lest we allow the planet to become uninhabitable for ourselves and other species, and AI can help to take such action in an informed and efficient manner. In fact, this is one of the most popular domains studied by the AI4SG community. In Table 3, we include some sample works on AI for environmental sustainability, classifying them under the AEC and DPP structure. We introduce the existing works on three main topics in environmental sustainability: climate, resources, and biodiversity.

<sup>13</sup><https://iaied.org/conferences>

### 3.3.1 Climate monitoring and prediction

Slowing the progress of climate change and/or mitigating its effects are at the forefront of AI for sustainability goals. A basic step is to use AI to monitor and predict climate and weather conditions. There is a rich literature on predicting various climate variables, such as temperature and precipitation. A few works approach this task as (some variants of) a LASSO problem [71, 76, 77], which is a linear regression model with  $l^1$ -regularization. Others leverage the connection between different climate variables at neighboring locations to provide combined predictions [78, 79]. Gaussian process is another commonly used tool for climate monitoring and prediction [80, 81]. Rather than using typical environment predictors, Vu and Parker extract urban microclimates from electricity consumption data [81].

The degradation of air quality is a major threat in a number of the world's developing regions. It has also received rising attention from the AI community. Existing works use both Gaussian process [82] and deep learning methods [83, 84] to monitor and predict air quality. Community sensing is an attractive alternative to the traditional sensor-based measurement and prediction [85, 86]. For example, Zenonos et al. propose a participatory sensing network based on local greedy search which assigns each human participant to air pollution measurements that need to be taken [85].

### 3.3.2 Resource conservation

Conservation of natural resources such as water, land, air, and energy is crucial to environmental sustainability, ensuring that those from all parts of the planet and future generations have the resources needed to survive. In particular, energy conservation has received the most attention and in the sequel we introduce the relevant works on smart home, smart grid, and electric vehicles. We also describe the works on other resource conservation towards the end.

**Smart home energy management** Firstly, modern homes are often equipped with intelligent, connected devices which aid homeowners in fine-tuning their households towards efficient utility usage. For example, a smart dishwasher might be able to record how much energy it has consumed in a month, and a smart laundry unit might turn itself on during off-peak hours. Various novel applications have been shown to effectively model and predict energy usage among households [87]. Li and Zha model energy usage behavior of singular appliances within a household using Hawkes processes [67]. Truong et al. similarly model domestic usage of multiple appliances, taking into account habitual routines and interdependence usage of different appliances [68]. Through Safe Contextual Bayesian Optimization, Fiducioso et al. optimize the temperature control in rooms to minimize energy consumption while maintaining inhabitant comfort and safety [69]. Based on these results, AI applications can then make recommendations for optimizing energy usage within singular buildings or households in an efficient manner.

**Smart grid** Smart home energy management plays an important role in the bigger picture of optimizing energy distribution through a city or state at the electricity grid level. The smart grid, as the vision of future electricity grid, features a two-way interaction between the producers and consumers in a sustainable, economic and secure manner [88]. For example, a smart grid can more reliably handle distributed (consumer) energy generation, while the traditional electricity grid was designed for one-way electricity flow. On the consumer side, energy consumption fluctuates greatly, and thus it is valuable to predict future consumption in order to conserve energy and avoid incidents. A few works predict the power consumption on a power grid [89, 90]. In particular, in the work of Salem et al. [90], a prototype was being developed to be tested in the Norwegian state grid. On the producer side, other works formulate the energy supply restoration problem as an optimization problem [91, 92]. Finally, it is natural to take a multi-agent system



perspective on the smart grid [93]. Cerquides et al. [75], for instance, proposes a model for a novel decentralized marketplace to trade and distribute energy in an electricity network. The market’s allocation rule uses dynamic programming techniques and has been shown in empirical results that it outperforms benchmark optimization problem solvers in this setting.

**Electric vehicles** As electric/hybrid vehicles make their way into more people’s lives, they also received special attention from the AI community. For example, Vogel et al. studied improving hybrid vehicle fuel efficiency by predicting the driver’s route choice using inverse reinforcement learning [94]. Lots of works have studied the placement of electric vehicle charging stations [95], and the charging mechanisms as the current power grid is not designed for large-scale charging load [96, 97, 98]. Kahlen and Ketter consider the two-way energy transaction between electric vehicles and electricity grid [99].

**Other resource conservation** Aside from energy, water conservation has been studied from multiple angles, such as the management of water resource systems [100], water consumption disaggregation [101], water-efficient landscape design using plant facilitation [102]. Kanters et al. use online planning to optimize pumping station control in the Netherlands [103]. It is also important to note that resource conservation is usually intertwined with other environmental and social indicators, and thus researchers might find themselves solving a multi-objective optimization problem. For example, Wu et al. developed dynamic programming and mixed integer programming algorithms for approximating the Pareto frontier in the problem of hydropower dam placement in the Amazon basin [104].

### 3.3.3 Biodiversity

Biological diversity provides ecosystems resilience and is integral to their survival and recovery (after incidents like natural disasters). Because humans are ultimately part of the same ecosystem as all plants and animal species on a macroscopic scale, biodiversity benefits our quality of life and reinforces our survival as well.

**Biodiversity monitoring** There are a few ways to gather information about the distribution of animals and plants, which is fundamental to conservation efforts. Citizen science projects involve the general public in the process by having people report their observations. Based on the eBird project which gathers observations from the bird watchers, Hutchinson et al. model the birds’ distribution as a classification problem with class-conditional noise [105]. The Wildbook project uses computer vision on photos provided by citizen scientists to identify each individual animal, and thereby providing an animal census [106]. A few works use acoustic information to detect the presence of certain animals such as cicadas [107], elephants [70], and birds [108]. These works leverage different techniques, including hidden Markov models [107], deep neural networks [70], and unsupervised segmentation of birdsong recordings and multiple instance classification [108]. All above works output information about a single species. There are also a few works that try to characterize the distributions of multiple species simultaneously [109, 110].

**Habitat planning** Recognizing and managing habitats are important aspects of conservation. Zheng et al. use generative adversarial networks (GAN) to map animal habitats in the Qinghai Lake breeding ground in China based on remote sensing imagery [111]. A number of works have focused on the habitat planning problems, such as using constraint programming for the partitioning of conservation areas [112] and for the habitat restoration effort [113], and using submodular optimization for sequential resource allocation to different habitats [114]. Faced with the increasing fragmentation of habitats, a few researchers consider

the connectivity of habitats in conservation efforts [115, 116, 117]. These works typically formulate a mathematical program and solve it using techniques from MIP and heuristic search.

**Species conservation** Every natural ecosystem is delicately balanced by the coexisting species of plants and animals which live in it. The endangerment and extinction of species in the wild, often due to human activity such as poaching and deforestation, disrupts this homeostasis. Game theory is a natural tool to model the adversarial interaction between the conservation agency and the poachers. A large body of work on the Stackelberg security games has studied the game-theoretic model as well as been deployed in the real world. The green security game addresses the domain of wildlife conservation [72, 118]. Its algorithm, PAWS, has been deployed in a number of conservation sites [9]. There is also literature on security games being applied to protecting forests [119, 120], fisheries [121], and coral reefs [122].

**Invasive species management** Invasive species, or species which are introduced to a new environment where they are not native, can similarly cause ecological harm and threaten the balance of that environment’s natural ecosystem. Gupta et al. [123] intervene with the spread of invasive species by first modeling the spread using Hawkes processes, then deriving and optimizing closed-form expressions as an integer program. Peron et al. use a Markov decision process (MDP) to compute the optimal intervention plan to stop the invasive species from spreading to some location [124]. Another work takes the perspective from using biological control agents as a countermeasure, where Spencer considers a graph vaccination problem [125] and then solves it using submodular maximization algorithms.

### 3.3.4 Case study: protection assistant for wildlife security

**Problem to be solved** Wildlife poaching is a great threat to the biodiversity of our planet. Because of the high profit of poaching, the poachers have become increasingly sophisticated to outsmart the patrollers. Thus, it is important to design patrol routes in a strategic way. In a line of works [126, 9, 127, 128], researchers have developed and deployed a suite of game-theoretic tool PAWS to combat poaching. This problem falls into “community – prescriptive” under the AEC – DPP framework.

**Why is AI needed** Patrollers in wildlife conservation areas have lots of experience conducting patrols. They design the patrol routes based on their knowledge and experience in the area. However, since the poachers are highly strategic in evading the patrollers, these patrol routes are very susceptible to gaming. In addition, while experience can help the patrollers, it may also keep the patrollers from going to under-explored areas where the poachings might be frequent. A game-theoretic planner for patrol routes can be useful in addressing these issues.

**Intervention overview** The authors propose PAWS (Protection Assistant for Wildlife Security), which is based on several existing algorithms for the Stackelberg security games.<sup>14</sup> PAWS models a two-player zero-sum game between an attacker (the poacher) and a defender (the patroller). The authors use ML to learn poacher’s behavior patterns from historical data. The ML methods used have gone through several stages, from the subjective utility quantal response model [126], to dynamic Bayesian networks [118], to a variant of decision tree ensembles [129], to a hybrid model of decision trees and Markov random fields [127], and to Gaussian Processes [128]. Some of the works also leverage domain expert’s input, using them to augment the dataset [130]. Solving for the equilibrium of this game through mathematical programming gives an

---

<sup>14</sup>For more discussion on Stackelberg security games, see Section 4.3.

optimal patrol strategy for the patroller [131]. Further developments provide coordinated patrol plans for both human patrollers and conservation unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) [132], and use online learning to design patrols to trade off exploitation and exploration [133]. PAWS takes the topographic information into account, so that the patrol routes that PAWS outputs are compatible with the terrain.

**Data used** PAWS uses the animal activity data to estimate the animal density which plays a role in determining the payoff of each patrol route. PAWS also uses the poacher activity data to aid the poacher modeling. All these data and previous patrol tracks are obtained from the collaborating conservation agency. To consider the terrain and elevation information, PAWS also uses the topographical data.

**Resources needed** The development of PAWS spans multiple years and multiple publications, and multiple researchers' human resources. All the work which add to PAWS the bounded rationality model predictive analysis, and the incorporation of UAV planning contributed to a research product that has the potential to wide deployment today. Throughout this work, the collaboration with conservation agencies is key to identifying new research problems and putting the results in the field.

**Deployment status** In the series of work mentioned above, PAWS has been field tested in multiple conservation sites in Uganda, Cambodia, Malaysia, and China. The authors claim that PAWS proved to be effective in all these deployments, often leading the patrollers to patrol routes never used before but discovering poacher activities on those routes. The initial effort for commercialization did not prove successful.<sup>15</sup> Nevertheless, the development of PAWS did not stop with these papers. In 2019, PAWS started the partnership with SMART<sup>16</sup> (a spatial monitoring and reporting tool commonly used by wildlife rangers) and Microsoft. This partnership will allow PAWS to be used at over 800 wildlife conservation sites worldwide in the near future.

**AI in retrospect** The initial version of PAWS did not take the terrain and elevation into account when designing patrol routes. The collaborating conservation agency invited the authors to go for a patrol themselves to experience in person the impact of terrain and elevation. The authors then successfully addressed this issue by explicitly using "feasible routes" in the security game model.

### 3.3.5 Other works

A recent article by Gomes et al. contains an overview of the research efforts in computational sustainability [134], which is more general than the environmental sustainability we covered in this section and has some overlapping with our other sections.

## 3.4 AI for Healthcare

According to the World Health Organization, the state of global health has been generally on the rise. However, there remain areas of improvement, e.g. infant mortality or global healthcare, for which further developments will enhance human well-being. AI has incredible potential in the medical domain, and we believe that a great amount of work has already been done. We showcase some example works in Table 4, categorized with the AEC and DPP structure. In this subsection we discuss how AI has augmented the many sides of both clinical health and public health.

<sup>15</sup>AMOURWAY (now named Avata AI). <https://www.avata.ai/>

<sup>16</sup><https://smartconservationtools.org/>

Agent	Descriptive	Disease diagnosis (Automated glaucoma diagnosis [135])
	Predictive	Disease development predictions (Early prediction of diabetes complications [136])
	Prescriptive	Treatment recommendation (Adaptive epilepsy treatment [137])
Environment	Descriptive	Drug development (Drug similarity integration [138])
	Predictive	Epidemic prediction (Surveillance on epidemic dynamics [139])
	Prescriptive	Preventing spread of illness (Preventing foodborne illness [140])
Community	Descriptive	Patient subtyping [141]
	Predictive	Predictive phenotyping [142]
	Prescriptive	Transplant matching [143]

Table 4: AEC and DPP Categorization of research on AI for healthcare.

### 3.4.1 Clinical health

The majority of research efforts in AI for healthcare are focused on clinical health. The wide availability of electronic health records (EHR) and medical images have directly facilitated the AI research in disease diagnosis, clinical treatment, and clinical prediction. We also survey a few other specialty areas to which AI has made significant contributions, including hospital management, organ transplant matching, drug development, mental health, and well-being.

**Disease diagnosis** Disease diagnosis is the topic in healthcare that has seen the most applications of AI. Recent advances in the image processing capabilities of deep learning methods are readily applicable to medical images such as radiology results. Researchers have used X-ray, MRI, and CT images for the diagnosis of brain disease [144, 145] like Alzheimer’s Disease [146, 147, 148], prostate disease [149, 150], and various types of cancer [151]. Several new problems accompany these advances. Irvin et al. propose a chest radiograph dataset with expert comparison [152], since despite the seemingly abundant data, well-curated realistic datasets are still a craving of the researchers. Chen et al. address the domain adaptation problem which is common in medical treatment, for example, when a classifier trained for MRI image is used for CT image [153].

Besides radiology images, AI, in particular CV, can assist in diagnosis through several other means, such as electrocardiograms [154, 155, 156], retinal imaging [157, 158, 159, 160, 161], and staining [162].

In addition to using AI for disease diagnosis, there is also work which uses hierarchical probabilistic models to better understand physicians’ diagnostic decision-making and reduce misdiagnoses in the clinical setting [163].

**Clinical treatment** Treatment recommendations for various diseases and conditions have also been made readily available by AI. At the most micro level is medication prescription. Shang et al. utilize graph neural networks (GNN) and Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT), which is a state-of-the-art NLP pre-training model, to make medication recommendations [164]. Dual to medicine is the important problem of prescription surveillance. The misuse of antibiotics, for example, has become a severe problem worldwide. Beaudoin et al. design a prescription surveillance system that has been deployed at the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke [165]. Going one level up, treatment protocols often include multiple steps, for which reinforcement learning becomes a natural tool. Guez et al. use fitted Q-iteration and randomized tree techniques to optimize deep-brain stimulation strategies for reducing seizure frequency and duration [137]. There have been attempts to steer immune system adaptation using

Monte Carlo Tree Search [166], and to control propofol anesthesia using Q-learning [167]. Often in the treatment procedure, doctors order repeated clinical laboratory tests, but the timing and number of these tests are often open to personal judgement. This has also received some attention recently [168].

The use of AI in clinical treatment also encompasses treatment preparation. IBM develops a tool to automatically generate a list of the patient’s medical problems from historical EHR using NLP methods [169]. New treatment protocols must be tested extensively before being used on patients. Arandjelovic uses statistical inference methods to adaptively reduce the time needed for the trials by sample size adjustment [170], so that effective protocols can be used in practice sooner.

Also important in clinical treatment is counterfactual reasoning. When choosing between several treatment protocols based on historical data, we often want to know how each treatment would have worked on each individual. Since these data are typically unavailable, it is necessary to use causal inference to estimate the treatment effects. There is a rich literature on this topic [171, 172, 173]. For example, Has-sanpour and Greiner propose an importance sampling re-weighting scheme with a representation learning model to estimate the individual treatment effects [174]. In the work of Atan et al., the proposed algorithm first learns an auto-encoder network to remove the bias in the original data, and then use another neural network to learn the optimal treatment policy [175].

**Clinical prediction** Being able to predict clinical events can greatly assist the treatment of patients and improve operation efficiency in practice. Such prediction is made possible by the availability of EHR. The tasks in this direction can be roughly divided into diagnosis and disease progression prediction [176, 177] and patient subtyping. The problem is often formulated as sequential prediction on EHR data and the recently proposed approaches often involve some type of recurrent neural networks (RNN).

Diagnosis prediction might improve the quality of medical procedures [178]. This is also similar to the prediction of disease progression. The RETAIN model aims to build an interpretable RNN model which resembles the way human doctors using EHR to make diagnosis [179]. Ma et al. use attention mechanisms to leverage the relationship between multiple visits and diagnosis prediction [180]. Qiao et al. incorporate textual data such as clinical notes for diagnosis prediction using multimodal attentional neural networks [181]. Esteban et al. study the clinical endpoint of kidney transplantation and use RNN to predict if the kidney will be rejected or the patient will die [182]. Fu et al. use dictionary learning to make use of both labeled data and unlabeled data [142]. Choi et al. also predict the time duration to the next visit [183].

Patient subtyping attempts to group patients with similar disease progression together, so that a more personalized treatment plan can be carried out. Baytas et al. propose a time-aware long short-term memory (LSTM) network to address the heterogeneity of time intervals in patients’ EHR data [141].

**Hospital management** There are a lot of operational challenges in hospital management that AI can help to address. For example, Rosemarin et al. propose an online deep learning-based scheduling algorithm for matching caregivers to patients in the emergency department [184]. Also in the emergency department setting, recent work used MIP to optimize the ambulance allocation [185]. As a routine practice, hospitals need to maintain an inventory of medical supplies and often need to share these supplies among them during large outbreaks. Researchers have used game-theoretic models to reason about the best sharing strategies [186].

**Transplant matching** The compatibility of donors and recipients in organ transplant procedures is crucial to the survival of patients and success of the operation, and recent data-driven approaches have helped

to assess this compatibility and make transplant matching recommendations. Yoon et al. propose a classification algorithm called ConfidentMatch [143], which predicts organ transplant success based on clinical and demographic traits of donor and recipient, ConfidentMatch divides the feature space into clusters and train classifiers for each cluster. It was shown to surpass benchmark algorithms by several hundred patients in predicting transplant success.

When a patient needs an organ transplant, a donation from their relatives is sometimes the easiest option. However, the relatives often find themselves unable to do it due to incompatibility. This gives rise to the popularity of organ exchange platforms, where a patient and their incompatible donor can be matched with another patient-donor pair, if one patient's donor happens to be compatible with the other patient and vice versa. Although such matching problem has been studied in economics for a long time [187, 188], national-level matching was practically infeasible until the work of Abraham et al. [189], whose algorithm was tested at the Alliance for Paired Donation, one of the leading kidney exchanges. This research spurred a series of works on the computational aspects of organ matching markets (e.g., [190, 191]). They have led to more efficient algorithms deployed on the kidney exchange platform at the United Network for Organ Sharing, which manages a US national organ transplant system that includes 69% of the transplant centers in the country.

**Drug development** AI can be used to model the chemical interactions and properties relating to drug intake, which ultimately contributes to the development of new drugs that aid the general public. [138] utilizes multi-view graph auto-encoders to learn similarity measures between different drugs. This is useful for inferring novel drug properties like side effects and interactions. In addition, both adverse reactions and adverse drug-drug interactions have been more explicitly studied [192, 193], using latent Dirichlet allocation and multitask regression, respectively. Drug repurposing, a popular technique, aims to find new functions of existing drugs, which is sometimes more cost-efficient than developing new drugs. Leveraging EHR and biomedical knowledge, some works discover drug families which were previously unknown for the target disease using knowledge graphs or solving LASSO problems [194, 195].

**Mental health** The importance of one's mental health on their physiology and general well-being has recently come to the forefront of medical focus. Various new AI applications bring similar techniques applied to other components of human health and physiology to mental afflictions and treatments, which were previously understudied. Chung et al. encode the fixation sequences captured from the visual scanning of patient's faces, and use LSTM on them to differentiate bipolar and unipolar patients [196]. Brown et al. propose a sparse combined regression and classification formulation for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder diagnosis based on peripheral physiology [197]. More generally, there have been efforts to predict suicide risk based on medical history using a set of ordinal classifiers [198] and to detect depression from social media posts using multimodal dictionary learning and transfer learning [199, 200] and mobile phone usage [201].

**Well-being** In today's fast-paced society, fatigue and other chronic symptoms are growing more and more common, even though these may not be clinically treatable symptoms. AI can also help in promoting the general well-being of the population. For example, a few works study and predict the sleep quality and sleepiness from daily logs and respiratory information using off-the-shelf classifiers as well as LSTMs [202, 203]. Aggarwal et al. use an unsupervised representation learning technique on daily physical activity and sleep patterns to predict health disorders [204].

### 3.4.2 Public health

Public health is an important component of the healthcare system, which concerns itself with the disease prevention and health promotion of the whole community. Although it has a much wider definition, in this survey we focus on the epidemics monitoring, forecasting, and control, which have received the most attention from the AI community.

**Epidemics monitoring** Dealing with epidemics is a top challenge in public health. The very first step is often the surveillance of epidemics, which lays the foundation for other tasks. Pei et al. propose an active surveillance method that actively selects areas to monitor in order to deal with the limited resources in practice [139]. Being able to detect disease outbreaks can often save enormous efforts and resources of the public health authority. For example, Sadilek et al. mine Twitter data and use support vector machines (SVM) to detect events that may pose a public health hazard such as venues that lack permits or have contagious kitchen staff in an attempt to reduce foodborne illness [140]. The system was deployed in Las Vegas. A follow-up work uses a similar supervised classifier on Google search history to identify potential users with foodborne illness and the source of the problem [205].

**Epidemics forecasting** Assuming such data, the decision-maker might wish to predict the spread of the disease. A few works directly consider this as time series prediction, which uses the previous states of epidemics to predict the future [206, 207, 208]. Other works use network epidemiology models to explicitly reason about the spread of disease across a social network, or an abstraction of a social network [209, 210].

**Epidemics control** The epidemics control problem is frequently studied as the allocation of scarce resources, which reflects the unfortunate fact of the general lack of funding in the public health domain. A few works study how to counter epidemics by intelligently choosing some network nodes to protect [211]. Some other works, such as that of Yadav et al. develop strategies to selectively educate homeless youth in Los Angeles to spread HIV-related information in order to reduce the risk of HIV infection [10]. In another work, Killian et al. focus on the drug adherence problem in tuberculosis control in India [212]. Healthcare providers need to follow up with patients to make sure they adhere to the medication. Since the human resource is relatively scarce compared to the patient population, it is important to prioritize intervention to the “riskiest” patients, which Killian et al. attempt to do using an end-to-end decision-focused learning algorithm.

### 3.4.3 Case study: raising awareness about HIV among homeless youth

**Target problem** HIV brings a serious threat to public health. Homeless youth is a population particularly susceptible to HIV spread because of injection drugs and unsafe sexual activities. Therefore, raising awareness about HIV among homeless youth is a pressing problem. A few recent works [10, 213] used techniques from sequential decision making and influence maximization to raise the awareness of HIV prevention among homeless youth. This problem falls into “community – prescriptive” under the AEC – DPP framework.

**Why is AI needed** Community service providers routinely launch “peer leader” programs to teach selected youth about HIV prevention, hoping that these peer leaders will spread the information to other homeless youth. Since these programs are constrained by funding and human resources and cannot effectively reach out to every homeless youth, it is important to select the “right” set of peer leaders. In practice,

service providers use degree centrality which essentially selects the most popular youth. However, this is not necessarily the best selection method. The AI intervention can directly optimize the information spread.

**Intervention overview** The authors formulate a planning problem in terms of a partially observable MDP (POMDP), with the goal of influence maximization on a social network. Since the existing POMDP solvers do not scale to the size of the problem, Yadav et al. propose a hierarchical approach which decompose the POMDP into smaller ones. They solve these smaller POMDPs using Tree Aggregation for Sequential Planning which is based on a variant of the UCT algorithm (Upper Confidence Bounds applied to Trees) and then aggregate the results [213]. At each time step, the planning algorithm selects a small set of homeless youth as the “peer leader” who will participate in the program at the service provider.

**Data used** The key parameters in this application are the social network connectivity, i.e. which homeless youths are friends with each other. This information was gathered in two ways. First, the authors developed a Facebook application which parses the youth’s Facebook contact list to determine the friendship status. The authors also relied on the reports from the collaborating service partner who does interviews with the homeless youths.

**Resources needed** The authors collaborated with the homeless youth service providers, who are central to the success of the pilot study, as they recruited the youth and implemented the program for the peer leaders. Working with social work researchers also provides the necessary context and skills to communicate with the service providers and the youth.

**Deployment status** Starting in the spring of 2016, the authors launched three pilot studies in Los Angeles for a seven-month period, involving 173 homeless youths. The experiment compared two AI algorithms [213, 214] and the current practice. The result of the pilot studies showed that the AI interventions achieved 184% more HIV prevention information spread than the current practice, and are also significantly better than the current practice in inducing behavioral changes in homeless youth. This suggests the great promise of future deployment. That said, we were not able to find any public information about their continued deployment.

**AI in retrospect** The pilot study illustrates that the AI intervention effectively improves the spread of HIV awareness over the current practice. A prominent reason is that the AI algorithm can capture more global information in the network while the current practice is a greedy approach which leads to more redundant efforts. However, the study also showed that the AI algorithm has to be flexible enough to deal with the frequent incidents in human studies. If the AI algorithm outputs a certain youth to be the peer leader yet that youth was not able to show up to the program, then the algorithm needs to generate an alternative plan. The authors first address this problem by using heuristic solutions [213]. This problem, along with the uncertainty of social network, is more systematically addressed in the follow-up work [214].

#### 3.4.4 Other works

The reader is referred to the survey by Yu et al. for an overview of the AI for clinical health outside the major AI literature [215].



### 3.5 AI for Combating Information Manipulation

Agent	Descriptive	Personalized sentiment analysis (Emotion inference from social media [216])
	Predictive	Link prediction with personalized social influence [217]
	Prescriptive	Trustworthiness evaluation [218]
Environment	Descriptive	Political ideology detection [219]
	Predictive	Misinformation prediction [220]
	Prescriptive	Improving robustness of trust systems [221]
Community	Descriptive	Modeling planned protest [222]
	Predictive	Blame detection [223]
	Prescriptive	Coverage Centrality Maximization in Undirected Networks [224]

Table 5: AEC and DPP categorization of research on AI for combating information manipulation.

Combating information manipulation has become a prominent issue in recent years with the rise of new media channels. AI can play a significant role in ensuring that citizens around the world are utilizing trustworthy information and preventing systematic dispersion of false news. We believe there is much work to be done in this domain. We show several example works according to the AEC and DPP structure in Table 5. In this subsection, we discuss the works on two major challenges to information validation: misinformation and fraud.

#### 3.5.1 Combating misinformation

Much of AI for combating information manipulation aims at spreading awareness of misleading information. This has become an increasingly prominent issue in browsing the web in recent years, with the rise of fake news and the creation of potentially malicious technologies like Deepfakes. In the sequel, we first discuss the misinformation detection which has been the center of attention in the literature. We also introduce the research on clickbait detection as a specialized topic and the detection of social bots which are an important means of fake news campaigns.

**Misinformation detection** As a preventative measure against the spread of false information on the Internet, the first step is to detect its presence on pages like news channels and social media platforms. Since the seminal work of Castillo et al. on the information credibility on Twitter [225], social media rumor detection has received wide attention from the literature [226, 227, 228], leveraging classifiers like decision tree, SVM, and random forest. Recent works [229, 220, 230] use RNNs and convolutional neural networks (CNN) to automatically extract key features from social media posts to identify misinformation, and have achieved practical early detection of misinformation in experimental results on large datasets from Twitter and Weibo. These works typically rely on the dynamics of the social media posts, such as the post’s popularity, the source’s credibility, and the timing of retweets, to perform classification.

In the natural language processing community, lots of works focus on analyzing the text of the fake news itself [231], with well-received public benchmark datasets [232, 233]. The CSI model proposed by Ruchansky et al., which consists of three modules Capture, Score, and Integrate, combines network features and textual features to detect fake news [234]. In a recent work, Zellers et al. present a system which can generate fake news which appears more credible than human-written news using deep neural network-

based language models [235]. Their system can also detect fake news with higher accuracy than other existing classifiers.

**Clickbait headlines** Another type of misinformation is clickbait headlines, which attract the reader’s attention with exaggerated or false information. There have been a few attempts to detect clickbait headlines [236, 237, 238]. Yoon et al. use a deep hierarchical encoder to detect incongruity between the news headline and the body text [239]. Liu et al. use a neural matching model to explain ambiguous headlines of online news [240].

**Bot detection** Of particular significance in the disinformation campaigns is social bots. These are social media accounts managed by algorithms that automatically post or re-post information. In the hands of disinformation campaigners, these bots are a powerful tool to spread and reinforce the distorted information. Detecting such bots has been a popular topic in AI research recently [241, 242]. As we will study in Section 3.5.3, Varol et al. developed the first public bot detection tool based on classification algorithms with carefully engineered features [243, 244]. Different from these supervised approaches, Chavoshi et al. use a warped correlation finder to detect bot accounts that have correlated activities [245].

### 3.5.2 Combating fraud

In addition to fake news, AI has also been applied to detect misleading or dishonest information, also known as fraud detection. We review two most notable forms of fraud: reputation fraud and financial fraud.

**Reputation fraud** Reputation fraud aims to distort the public opinion on some targets such as goods and services. It usually takes the form of posting online malicious ratings, reviews, and knowledgebase entries. It is also related to the shilling attacks in recommender systems [246], and trustworthiness evaluation [247]. The initial attempt by Jindal and Liu [248], who used logistic regression to detect spam reviews, spurred a flurry of works on reputation fraud detection. The first line of work relies on the textual review to classify malicious reviews. For example, Ott et al. use naive Bayes and SVM to find deceptive opinion spams [249]. Another approach classifies spam information based on the user’s historical behavior, e.g. previous reviews, trajectories, and average ratings [248, 250, 251], using similar off-the-shelf classifiers as well as techniques like learning to rank [252]. Some works combine the two approaches [253]. Mukherjee et al. investigate the spam review detector on Yelp with the aforementioned learning algorithms, and discover that the implementation is closer to the latter approach [254]. Finally, a third approach is to detect fake reviews based on the interactions among reviewers as well as the review objects [255, 256] using techniques from topics such as graph mining and probabilistic graphical models. For example, Xu et al. use online learning to detect reputation fraud campaigns and characterize the interactions between the campaigned spammers [257]. Rayana and Leman combine the three approaches together in a unified framework to detect suspicious users and reviews using inference methods on graphical models [258].

**Financial fraud** Financial fraud is the dishonest and covert attempt to escape the oversight of law or other regulations in the pursuit of monetary gains. There have been existing works to fight against business procurement fraud using a number of techniques from NLP, social network analysis and online learning [259], real money trading in online games using multi-view attention networks [260], and cash-out detection in financial services using neural networks with hierarchical attention mechanism [261]. Some of these have even been deployed [259, 260]. In healthcare, fraud in insurance claims has been a central

topic for years. Chandola et al. use methods from topic modeling, social network analysis, and statistical process control to classify fraudulent claims [262]. A graph-based approach for detecting healthcare fraud has been put into use [263]. Recent work exploits the temporal nature of fraud identification, which, combined with human-engineered features, yields a high rate of fraud detection [264].

### 3.5.3 Case study: detecting social bots on Twitter

**Target problem** Social networking platforms have become a main news and information source for many users. Some users, however, abuse these platforms to spread misinformation in accordance with some potentially malicious agenda. A subset of such users create automated or “bot” accounts that automatically post content and form false social relationships. This produces an exigence for automated detection of social media bots, which is crucial to helping the general public make more informed decisions about which information sources to trust. One such tool is Botometer, which was introduced and developed in a number of works [265, 243, 266]. This problem falls into “community – descriptive” under the AEC – DPP framework.

**Why is AI needed** Prior to the existence of the authors’ solution, Botometer, the standard approach to detection of malicious bots on social media platforms was manual validation from either the platform’s users or administrators. Botometer reduces the amount of manual inspection required of human users in analyzing Twitter posts, and significantly out-scales the manual approach in terms of accuracy.

**Intervention overview** Botometer, first gathers data from the social media site Twitter via its public API. It then extracts over one thousand features relating to the user in question’s account, friends, network, content, and sentiment. Botometer finally employs a random forest classifier model trained on a public dataset (a subset of which is manually annotated as human or bot) with thousands of verified bot accounts, their posted tweets, and tweets mentioning them. The classifier outputs a probability value representing how likely the given account is a bot.

Public usage of the deployed application involves inputting the screen name of a specific Twitter user, and the system subsequently outputs a bot likelihood score for that account. This is all done by interacting with their web application at <https://botometer.iuni.iu.edu>.

**Data used** Gathering of Twitter data is the lifeblood of this project. As such, Botometer collects tweets on 14 million user accounts satisfying a certain activity threshold, relying on the Twitter Search API. Twitter user datasets give the authors current predictions about the percentage of active Twitter accounts which are bots, as well as the interactions between bots with other accounts (whether human or bot).

**Resources needed** During the Botometer classifier’s training process, the authors utilized an annotated dataset created by four volunteers who manually assessed the bot status of each user in a subset of the original dataset of users. Other than this, the project’s implementation and maintenance are fairly self-contained, mainly relying upon the Twitter API.

**Deployment status** Since its release in 2014, Botometer has been open to public use and is now available at the aforementioned site. The authors report that the tool serves hundreds of thousand requests daily [267]. Due to its automated nature and method of usage, the current tool does not require significant maintenance of the authors. However, the authors are still developing and improving upon the Botometer classifier, and users of the application can continuously provide feedback for the system regarding the

accuracy of specific classifications. The tool is also used in other projects such as Hoaxy<sup>17</sup>, a visualization and fact-checking website.

**AI in retrospect** The AI intervention in general was quite accurate, achieving strong consistency with the manually validated dataset. However, some aspects of Botometer’s classification were not so successful. For instance, some Twitter accounts are run by both human and automated software. In these boundary cases exhibiting behaviors of both human and bot activity, it was more difficult for Botometer to accurately and confidently predict whether the account is a bot or not. Another area of weakness of the AI intervention was its susceptibility to false positive classifications. For instance, Botometer tended to classify human accounts who post frequently from externally connected applications, or in multiple languages as bots. To tackle the latter case, the authors modified the classifier to ignore language-dependent features.

### 3.5.4 Other works

The reader may refer to the work of West and Bhattacharya for a survey of AI in fraud detection [268].

## 3.6 AI for Social Care and Urban Planning

Agent	Descriptive	Informing citizens (Increasing financial literacy among Bangalore drivers [269])
	Predictive	
	Prescriptive	Public service allocation to individuals (SMS public forum platform [270])
Environment	Descriptive	Poverty mapping [271]
	Predictive	Disaster forecasting (Severe hail prediction [272])
	Prescriptive	Public infrastructure improvement (Optimizing water pipe maintenance [273])
Community	Descriptive	Urban statistic collection (Urban resident recognition to study migration [274])
	Predictive	Inference of social ties (Learning social structure of hidden populations [275])
	Prescriptive	Public service optimization (Improving homelessness services allocation [276])

Table 6: AEC and DPP categorization of research on AI for social care and urban planning.

Social care and urban planning provide the services and infrastructure that citizens around the globe rely on in a functioning and supportive community. Unfortunately, these public sectors are sometimes restricted in their resources, rendering their residents unprepared for daily life and work. AI is a promising tool to intelligently save or allocate the scarce program resources, e.g. helping put an end to such humanitarian crises as the Flint water crisis, or providing more wide-reaching rehabilitation services to the homeless. Table 6 shows several sample works on AI for social care and urban planning, categorized using the AEC and DPP structure. In this subsection, we outline two major areas – public services and crisis management – which have been improved with the application of AI.

<sup>17</sup><https://hoaxy.iuni.iu.edu/>

### 3.6.1 Public services

A primary goal of AI for social care and urban planning is the provision of adequate public services for members of a community. Most of the existing works in the AI community can be grouped into the following three categories: collecting urban data for urban planning, optimizing public infrastructure in major metropolitan areas, as well as establishing more basic services to underserved communities.

**Urban data collection** Urban planners must gather various statistics on the community they are serving, to better infer how to improve the lives of community members. The wealth of data affords city planners with a wide variety of possibilities. For example, check-in locations on social media and online map search queries are useful for identifying points of interest and planning urban planning and investments [277, 278]. Data are also helping cities pay more attention to the neighborhoods that need it the most [279]. Recent work in urban data collection aims at gathering more unbiased data, and extracting more useful information from smaller amounts of data (e.g., avoiding usage of tools like CCTV in favor of satellite imagery). Xie et al., for instance, utilize transfer learning to extract features from satellite imagery which can predict poverty, aggregating poverty data in developing countries [271]. Transfer learning is also useful when the target city’s service or infrastructure is just built but abundant data are available at similar cities [280]. [274] attempts to model migration patterns via a cross-domain deep learning model.

**Infrastructure optimization** The design and maintenance of urban infrastructure are a main functionality of city governance. In the AI literature, partly due to the availability of systematic records and data, there have been some works concerning water consumption and provision [281, 282]. Yan et al. aim to optimize water pipe replacement and rehabilitation by examining more novel approaches using binary classifiers and self-exciting stochastic processes for predicting water pipe failure [273]. The Flint water crisis is a well-known problem in the US. Some attempt to use data science to understand the water contamination in Flint [283]. In the case study of this section, we will detail the subsequent effort of replacing the water pipes in Flint [8].

**Social work** On top of infrastructure, public workers are also interested in the optimal allocation of public resources and the provision of such services to underserved populations. Predictive modeling is a major technique used by the literature along this line. Existing works span from using ML models such as gradient boosting to help vulnerable renters [284], to combining learning with integer programming for peer-to-peer rental recommendation [285] to modeling population migration with XGBoost and neural networks [286] to using random forests to predict a poverty heat map which guides cash transfer campaigns for poverty relief [287]. In a recent work of Kube et al., the authors attempt to choose the optimal allocation of various homelessness services using counterfactual inference, and specifically Bayesian additive regression trees [276].

A specific topic that has received much attention is food insecurity, which has its presence in the least developed regions as well as the wealthiest countries. Optimizing the efficiency and fairness of food bank and food rescue operations is a step towards mitigating this issue. Shi et al. use predictive modeling such as the stacking model to predict the success or failure of a food rescue, and use data-driven optimization to improve the operation of a food rescue organization in Pittsburgh, US [288]. Aleksandrov et al., in collaboration with a food bank in Australia, propose two online fair division mechanisms which address how food bank might allocate the received food to charities [289]. Silvis et al. developed a mobile app that uses reinforcement learning to select users to invite to events that have leftover food [290].

### 3.6.2 Crisis management

In addition to public services, citizens in urban environments also rely on their community’s general preparedness for crises, such as natural disasters. We introduce the research efforts in two major directions: disaster forecasting and disaster response.

**Disaster forecasting** The first step toward preparedness is predicting when natural disasters may strike. For example, Gagne et al. [272] use standard machine learning models like gradient boosting trees and linear regression to predict upcoming hail storms and their sizes. Chen et al [291] focus on the problem of hurricane prediction. Radke et al. [292] use CNN to predict the spread of wildfire. Disaster forecasting is typically studied as extreme or rare event prediction in the literature [293, 291]. In this front, Ding et al. [293] improve the prediction performance of deep neural networks by using a novel loss function called the extreme value loss. [294] makes use of NLP techniques to mine Twitter feeds real-time as a source of sensor data, to predict the occurrence of earthquakes.

**Disaster response** Preparing measures such as search, rescue, and evacuation in response to the inevitable occurrence of disasters is equally important as being prepared for when they strike. With the forecasting mentioned above, governments can plan for evacuation by solving a graph flow problem [295]. This is often coupled with the preparation in transportation infrastructure network about which link to enhance, solved as a stochastic optimization problem [296, 297] or using Benders decomposition [298]. This is also related to how to dispatch emergency response vehicles, as studied by Ghosh and Varakantham using a mathematical programming approach [299].

Such planning often relies on the information about the emergency situation and human mobility following the disaster. As the very first step, the decision-maker requires immediate knowledge of the mere occurrence of the disaster. Avvenuti et al. use NLP techniques to mine Twitter feeds in real-time as a source of sensor data, to detect the occurrence of earthquakes [294]. Second, another important piece of information is damage estimation. Rudner et al. propose a novel CNN-based approach to use satellite images to detect and segment affected buildings after flooding [300]. Third, human mobility data is crucial to public management. Leveraging data from the global positioning system, Song et al. simulate the human mobility pattern following large disasters such as earthquakes in Japan [301, 302]. Parikh et al. model the human behavior after a nuclear detonation [303].

Recently, the use of UAVs has become more commonplace in a number of social service sectors. In disaster response, UAVs can be programmed to collect information about an unknown environment [304], and collaborate with and crowdsource from humans to guide their actions [305]. Advancing from the full information scenario, Wu et al. [306] model the problem of coordinating human-UAV teams in disaster response as a POMDP, which integrates information gathering with task planning to guide both humans and UAVs in disaster response.

### 3.6.3 Case study: the search for lead pipes in Flint, Michigan

**Target problem** The water crisis in Flint, Michigan is a widely known man-made urban disaster that has hit the headlines since 2015. Throughout the city of Flint, the lead water pipes suffered from corrosion, which led to the contamination of drinking water and serious public health issue. The city decided to replace the affected lead water pipes. A group of researchers at the University of Michigan used predictive modeling and active learning methods to guide the replacement procedure [283, 8]. This problem falls into “community – prescriptive” under the AEC – DPP framework.

**Why is AI needed** The default option is to inspect each household's water pipes and replace them if needed. However, such inspection, by digging into the ground, requires too much funding if carried out on all housings in the city. Meanwhile, it is also desired that the housings with unaffected water pipes never get inspected, because they do not require replacement and thus the inspection cost is wasted. Therefore, it is important to identify the "high-risk" housings in advance so that the limited budget can be applied to the housings that need it the most. This is the goal of the authors' AI intervention.

**Intervention overview** The authors first build a predictive model which, given certain features of the household, predicts the likelihood that this household has contaminated water pipes. This predictive model consists of an XGBoost classifier and a hierarchical Bayesian model. The latter is a relatively novel component which resolves the dilemma that each region in Flint has different amount of information. The Bayesian model allows the authors to leverage the data from all regions and makes the result of regions with less information closer to the city average.

Based on this predictive model, the authors develop an active learning framework to guide which household should be inspected in a sequential fashion. The algorithm is a variant of the importance weighted active learning, which adaptively samples data from regions with high uncertainty and incorporates the acquired data into the predictive model.

**Data used** In this project, the quantity and quality of data are essential to the result of the AI intervention. Both of them posed a significant challenge and the authors took initiative to address this challenge. The predictive model needs the water pipe status of as many households as possible, yet at the beginning the authors only have 250 data points from the city of Flint. One reason for such scant data is that other data sources proved to be unreliable. Even the 250 available data points are not sampled (nearly) randomly. The authors worked with the city to use a cheaper method of collecting data, which entails working with other contractors in a way that the authors can have autonomy in which data points to collect.

**Resources needed** The authors worked closely with the city government, the various contractors who do the actual work of replacing pipes, and researchers in related domains. The authors also made technical efforts to facilitate the collaboration. For example, they developed a mobile and web app to help the contractors log the data.

**Deployment status** The proposed AI-enhanced replacement plan was adopted by the city of Flint, and was successful. As reported by Madrigal, in 2007 the city of Flint inspected 8833 homes and replaced the pipes of 6228 of them, which means the algorithm achieved an impressive 70% accuracy in practice [307]. There have been some setbacks after the AI intervention's initial success in 2016 - 2017. However, the setbacks were largely due to factors beyond the researchers' control. Fortunately, the AI intervention is back to running in 2019. The reader can refer to the piece by Madrigal [307] for more detailed information.

**AI in retrospect** The accuracy of the predictive model validated in the deployment during 2016 - 2017. In addition, the low performance of an alternative brute force method that the city of Flint used in 2018 further corroborated the efficacy of the AI intervention. However, one reason of the setback in 2018 is that the selective inspection caused confusion in the neighborhood: "you did my neighbor's pipe but didn't do mine, why is that?" The AI intervention could have considered making the algorithm more explainable to address the issue regarding the perception of fairness.

### 3.7 AI for Public Safety

Agent	Descriptive	
	Predictive	Identifying risk for police officers [308]
	Prescriptive	
Environment	Descriptive	
	Predictive	Crime network prediction (Predicting offender networks [309])
	Prescriptive	Improving law enforcement deployment [310]
Community	Descriptive	Investigation datasets (Hotel recognition dataset for trafficking investigations [311])
	Predictive	Crime detection (Detecting pickpocketing suspects [312])
	Prescriptive	Violence reduction [313]

Table 7: AEC and DPP categorization of research on AI for public safety.

With criminals becoming increasingly sophisticated and crimes still prevalent in many regions around the world [314], public safety poses a major challenge for the modern society. Thus, there is an urgent need to improve the efficacy and trustworthiness of law enforcement systems, for which AI could be part of the solution. As shown in Table 7, the research efforts on AI for public safety have mostly focused on the environment and community categories. According to whether the AI intervention is facing the public, criminals, or itself, we cluster the existing works into three topics: crime and event detection, combating crimes, and improving law enforcement operations.

#### 3.7.1 Crime and event detection

Public surveillance as a law enforcement approach is embroiled in controversy. We share a lot of concerns about it, but as we mentioned in the introduction, we focus on its use in good hands. The person re-identification problem, that is, matching the images of the same person in different settings has received much attention from the vision community [315, 316, 317]. Albanese et al. consider finding unexplained events in the video [318]. Crowd prediction is closely related to public surveillance and has important implications to transportation and urban planning in addition to public safety. Most works use CNN-based architecture to capture the spatial relationship. As for the temporal dependency, some directly use a mixed architecture of CNN and RNN [319], while others attempt to encode temporal information in the input to the pure convolutional network [320, 321] because a mixed architecture is typically difficult to train.

Surveillance is not only about video cameras and images. The public and social media, for example, provide a rich source of information. Khandpur et al. use news and Twitter data to predict airport threats [322]. The EMBERS system, a similar system to [322], predicts civil unrest events from news and social media data [323]. EMBERS has been deployed in 10 countries since 2012. Finally, in today’s society everyone inevitably leaves their digital traces in many places. Du et al. [312] detect pickpocketing suspects by extracting features from large-scale public transit records using both supervised and unsupervised techniques.

#### 3.7.2 Combating crimes

The natural next step in safety enforcement is to prevent the occurrence of crimes after their detection and to reduce the severity of their impact on innocent citizens. One recent work constructs a dataset of



1 million images of 50000 hotels worldwide, in an effort to identify the location of the victims of human trafficking [311]. In the sequel, we elaborate on two directions that the literature has focused on: the strategic interaction with criminals and the study of the criminal network.

**Strategic interactions** Zhang et al. [324] attempt to reduce the frequency of violent crimes in urban environments with their proposed defender-adversary model, NEST, and an incremental strategy generation algorithm. The approach’s effectiveness is experimentally shown for real networks up to the size of Manhattan. The defender-adversary model used in this work, known as the security game, has been studied extensively in a number of works. Existing works include strategically countering attacks on ferries [325], cargo ships [326, 327], ports [328], public infrastructure [329, 4], border control [330], traffic and transportation [331, 332, 333, 334].

**Criminal network** Gang violence poses a direct threat to public safety in a number of countries, but it exhibits a strong criminal network not commonly seen in other types of crimes. Tayebi et al. utilize supervised learning techniques to extract social and geographical features to classify and predict potential networks of offenders who have committed crimes together [309]. Also focusing on co-offender networks, Shaabani et al. use the network information to identify criminals [335]. Based on such networks, Shakarian et al. leverage techniques from influence maximization to encourage gang members to leave their gang [313].

### 3.7.3 Improving law enforcement operations

Optimizing law enforcement makes police operations as a whole more efficient and capable in keeping communities safe. Carton et al. [308] attempt to augment the existing Early Intervention System (EIS), which is used for identifying police officers who are at risk of adverse events. The work could potentially reduce not only police casualties in events like shootings, but also police misconduct against civilians, like racial profiling or incidents of brutality. The work of Chase et al. [310] aims to minimize response times of law enforcement by optimizing response time failure risk as a MILP and using Sample Average Approximation and Iterated Local Search.

### 3.7.4 Case study: identifying police officers at risk of adverse events

**Target problem** Police adverse incidents such as the misuse of force pose an enormous challenge to the police reputation and effective operations in today’s political climate. A group of researchers used predictive modeling to develop an early intervention system that can predict such adverse incidents so that proper intervention can be carried out to prevent them from happening [308]. This problem falls into “agent – predictive” under the AEC – DPP framework.

**Why is AI needed** Recognizing the severity of the problem, most police departments in the US have an EIS in place. However, these EISs are typically rule-based with rules designed by some experts, experienced yet admittedly arbitrary. It is not easy for a particular police department to adjust the rules according to its specific situation. The simple rule-based systems are also prone to gaming. A machine learning-based EIS could alleviate these shortcomings by making the model more accurate, customizable, and more robust to gaming. However, we would like to argue that whether the AI-based EIS can deliver these benefits is subject to doubt. Nevertheless, it is worthwhile to study this project to see how AI can function in this setting.

**Intervention overview** The authors formulated the problem as a binary classification task: whether a police officer will have an adverse event within one year from now. Most of the technical effort was focused on feature generation. A total of 432 features include information on the historical frequencies of adverse incidents, the training history, and the patrol neighborhood of a given officer. Several time series, normalized, or high-order features based on the aforementioned features are also included. The authors used several off-the-shelf classifiers such as AdaBoost, random forests, logistic regression, and SVM, and found that random forests performed the best. The authors also performed an exploratory predictive analysis about which dispatch event might lead to adverse events, and a similar random forest approach was used.

**Data used** The authors obtained data on adverse incidents from the collaborating police departments. Also obtained from the police department include other data such as dispatch events, complaints, arrests, employee records, etc. They are used as input features in the predictive model.

**Resources needed** This project was conceived under the White House’s Police Data Initiative. Having a high-level endorsement probably ensured the resources for the collaboration with not just the partnering police department in [308] but also several police departments across the country.

**Deployment status** The researchers on the project reported that such data-driven EIS was implemented or is currently being used at the police departments in multiple cities including Charlotte-Mecklenburg, Nashville, San Francisco and so on. The authors have also licensed the work to a commercial company to scale up deployment.<sup>18</sup>

### 3.8 AI for Transportation

Agent	Descriptive	Real-time parking availability [336]
	Predictive	Predict arrival time [337]
	Prescriptive	Transportation recommendation [338]
Environment	Descriptive	Traffic detection [339]
	Predictive	Traffic forecast [340]
	Prescriptive	Bike-share rebalancing [341]
Community	Descriptive	Multi-agent transportation representation (Testbed for air traffic controllers [342])
	Predictive	Bike-share demand prediction []
	Prescriptive	Route optimization [343]

Table 8: AEC and DPP categorization of research on AI for transportation.

The number of vehicles operating on public roads increases each day, and with it, the amount of congestion and vulnerability to fatal accidents or injury. Many developments in transportation have served to improve efficiency of existing transportation methods, thereby mitigating the aforementioned issues. AI can be utilized to help accomplish this, which has already been done to a great extent. We categorize some

<sup>18</sup>Benchmark Analytics. <https://www.benchmarkanalytics.com/>

example works in table 8 using the AEC and DPP structure. In this subsection, we discuss traffic management from a macro-level and travel optimization from an individual’s perspective. We also discuss the new challenges that arise from shared mobility.

### 3.8.1 Traffic management

Traffic management concerns the monitoring, regulation, and improvement of the traffic network, which is vital to the proper functionality of the city. We discuss two problems for which AI is a natural fit: traffic detection and traffic prediction.

**Traffic detection** Two problems that go hand in hand are traffic detection and traffic prediction. Accurately detecting the current traffic condition lays the groundwork for all aspects of prediction and decision-making in transportation. At the smallest scale, a few works have explored vehicle detection from camera [344] and satellite [345] images using computer vision techniques. Going to traffic condition detection, Wang et al. attempt to infer the real-time traffic condition at locations without cameras based on video at nearby intersections using probabilistic inference [346]. Other authors combine images with social media data using time series methods like linear dynamical systems [347] or acoustic (traffic noise) data using audio extraction algorithms [339] to infer traffic information. [348] and [347] focus on anomaly detection in urban traffic. At a conceptual level, the above-mentioned works use some form of raw data to infer the traffic volume or its correlation with other events. In contrast, Krumm and Horvitz strive to reduce the quantity of raw data needed by having only a subset of measuring stations and vehicles report the data [349], leveraging inference methods on the Markov random field. This could be useful in mitigating the privacy concern of data-sharing.

**Traffic prediction** A natural step after traffic detection is to predict the traffic variable using historical data. Traffic forecasting is a time series prediction problem with a spatial component that can be naturally modeled as a graph. Therefore, a lot of works have considered using graph neural networks with recurrent architecture [340, 350, 351, 352]. Song et al. use deep multi-task LSTMs to build a simulation model for urban traffic and human mobility [353].

### 3.8.2 Travel and route optimization

Based on traffic detection and prediction, there has been considerable progress in travel prediction and optimization. To predict the travel time given a sequence of GPS or grid locations, researchers have attempted using RNN/CNN-based deep learning methods [337, 354] as well as dynamic Bayesian networks [355]. Liu et al. study and deployed a transportation recommendation system given a user-specified origin-destination pair [338]. While the vehicle routing problem has been extensively studied, a few works explore new aspects by planning the safest route [356] and suggesting routes in a multi-agent setting where the algorithm aims to maximize the chance of arrival on time for all users [343].

### 3.8.3 Shared mobility

In the past few years, shared mobility has become more and more common in many countries. The most prominent ones include ride-sharing services such as Uber and Lyft and bike-sharing services such as CitiBike and Mobike. These new forms of transportation introduce many new research challenges.

**Bike-sharing** For bike-sharing systems, rebalancing is a key operational challenge to preserve a reasonable distribution of bikes. O’Mahony and Shmoys studied and deployed an overnight rebalancing scheme in New York City [341]. In [357] the authors propose a dynamic rebalancing strategy that reasons about the uncertain demand. Both works feature a (mixed) integer linear program as the main algorithmic contribution, while others take a reinforcement learning approach [358]. Singla et al. [359] design a mechanism to incentivize users to balance the bikes themselves. All these works assume a dock-based bike-sharing system. Pan et al. attempt to address the rebalancing problem in a dockless bike-sharing system using deep reinforcement learning [360]. Rather than rebalancing decisions, there is also work on dock-level demand prediction using clustering [361] or graph embedding and RNN [362].

**Ride-sharing** AI is currently being used to improve several aspects of the operation of ride-sharing platforms such as Uber and Lyft. The first aspect is the dispatching system. A number of recent works use reinforcement learning to match passengers and drivers [363, 364, 365]. For example, Xu et al. propose a learning and planning framework, where the offline learning component uses historical data to learn an MDP characterizing the dispatching dynamics and the online planning component solves a combinatorial optimization problem to match the driver and passenger in real-time [365]. Another aspect is the pricing scheme [366, 367, 368]. Drivers and passengers are both autonomous agents, and thus even if they are matched, a trip will happen only if the pricing is reasonable. Ma et al. design a spatio-temporal pricing mechanism such that it is a subgame-perfect equilibrium for the driver to accept the dispatch [369].

### 3.8.4 Case study: data analysis and optimization for bike-sharing

**Target problem** Bike-sharing (with docks) services are common in many cities. A user of such a bike-sharing system has two central considerations. First, can the user always find a bike when they need it? Second, can the user always return a bike when they need to? Underlying these two questions is the management of the docks. The system operator needs to make sure that docks do not run out of bikes and are not completely full of bikes by rebalancing the system. In a line of works [341, 370, 371], researchers partner with CitiBike in New York City to tackle this problem. This problem falls into “community – prescriptive” under the AEC – DPP framework.

**Why is AI needed** Bike-sharing operators typically have trucks and bike trailers to transport bikes from one (full) dock to another (empty dock). However, AI, in this case, optimization aided with plenty of real-world data, can provide a systematic way of scheduling these rebalancing actions.

**Intervention overview** The authors first analyze the data to identify the flow of bikes at each dock, classifying them into producers, consumers, and self-balanced docks. Then, the authors propose mathematical program formulations to two distinct scenarios: mid-rush balancing and overnight balancing. For the mid-rush balancing, the authors formulate a MIP which aims to pair up  $k$  producer and consumer docks that are close to each other, so that bikes can be moved from the producer dock to the consumer dock. A bisecting search can solve the MIP efficiently in practice. The overnight balancing problem is to find truck (which moves the bikes) routes that rebalance the system as much as possible. The authors first formulate a MIP as well, yet it cannot scale to the problem at hand. Thus, they formulate the problem as a covering problem which admits the greedy heuristic of submodular maximization.

**Data used** The authors partnered with CitiBike, from whom they obtain the data. The relevant data include the real-time availabilities of each bike dock, which allow the authors to analyze the different

usage patterns at each dock.

**Deployment status** The researchers have been working with CitiBike since 2013. The rebalancing algorithm is currently being used or integrated into the operation of CitiBike in New York City. As the researchers quote the Citibike director of operations, their approaches provide an “overarching vision for how we like our system to look” [341].

**AI in retrospect** The design of the algorithms did take into account some practical operational features. For example, the mathematical program for overnight rebalancing only allows for the transportation of a full truckload from one dock to another. More flexible logistics are harder to implement in practice. However, the overnight rebalancing did not achieve as much rebalancing as one would like. One reason is that there are not enough trucks which transport the bikes overnight. This led the authors to focus on whether the docks are placed at the optimal locations in their subsequent work. While CitiBike did relocate a limited number of docks, further relocation is limited by nontechnical factors such as community politics.

### 3.8.5 Other works

The literature in transportation has also increasingly leveraged AI techniques. We refer interested readers to [372] for a survey of this literature.

## 4 Common Research Challenges in AI for Social Good

The wide variety of AI4SG applications has, in turn, motivated a number of interesting AI research topics. As we will see, most of these questions are not specific to any application domain, but are challenges shared by AI4SG researchers in many different domains, based on their distinct but similar struggles.

### 4.1 Learning from Limited Data

Many, though not all, areas of AI are inherently data-driven. However, AI4SG researchers often find themselves working with collaborators who do not have enough data that are required by the modern AI techniques. A wildlife conservation site with only a handful of patrol teams can collect limited amount of data about poaching activities; a community service organization serving a few thousand residents only have social care program data in the order of thousands; an education non-profit engaging with students in a mid-size town might only collect at most a few thousand learning trajectories. Even in seemingly data-rich domains like healthcare, high-quality data that fit the task are often still not readily available. Thus, dealing with limited data is a common research challenge in AI4SG.

At a high level, in a typical machine learning problem, we want to learn a model  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  using training dataset  $D = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y\}$  sampled from some distribution. Learning from limited data means that the training set  $D$  is small, and as a result, the learned model may not generalize to unseen data. AI4SG projects have extended existing methods and also contributed to developing new methods for handling this challenge, with some of them tailored to a specific application and others applicable to a wide range of domains even beyond AI4SG projects.

First, in the work of You et al. [24] on crop yield prediction with Deep Gaussian Process, the authors use dimensionality reduction to deal with the limited dataset. With less than 10000 satellite images, which is already a larger dataset than many AI4SG applications, it is hard to use deep learning directly. The

authors realize that the exact position of a pixel on the image does not matter and thus use some low-dimensional statistics such as the count of different pixel types as inputs instead. Zhou et al. employ a method similar in spirit [156]. When using electrocardiograph data to predict Atrial Fibrillation, Zhou et al. use the slide-and-cut method to cut a long sequence into several shorter sequences, thereby augmenting the dataset.

Second, Ma et al. use graph-based semi-supervised learning to predict drug-drug interactions, because labeled data are rare but unlabeled data are abundant for new drugs [138]. A similar approach is used by Fan et al. to predict opioid use with Twitter posts [373].

Third, when trying to predict water pipe failures, Yan et al. discovered that many data points have missing features [273]. Such scenario is not uncommon in many datasets manually collected by government agencies and NGOs. Instead of dropping these data points  $\tilde{D}$ , Yan et al. leverage the underlying connections between these points based on domain knowledge and use a stochastic process to directly model the correlations without using the missing features.

Fourth, Holman et al. use the data from normal weather stations to estimate reference evapotranspiration, when the dedicated evapotranspiration station network is too sparse and thus cannot provide satisfactory data [32]. Shen et al. use the similar idea of transfer learning to analyze the Chinese microblogging site Weibo for depression detection while the only available data are about Twitter [199]. Twitter data are not as desirable as a substitute because of the vast differences in language and culture, and hence a transfer learning approach is justified and shown to perform well.

## 4.2 Tackling Biased Data

Even if we have a sizable dataset  $D$ , there might still be bias in every aspect of the data. For example, datasets of disease diagnosis based on images might contain noisy labels, environmental survey data might be scarce at remote locations and rich in easily accessible areas, and social service data may be the result of unlogged social interventions. These different problems from different domains can be summarized as a common research challenge in AI4SG: tackling biased data. Below we discuss three types of problems on bias in the dataset and how the community addresses them.

First, under the assumption that noisy data points lead to low-confidence prediction outcomes, Zhou et al. use a margin-based algorithm to rule out the noisy examples from the training procedure [156]. In this case, the noise is inherent to the data generation process. In other occasions, the noise might be from the measurement of the data, and Shankar et al. [374] propose ways to denoise observational data from measurement error.

Second, Chen and Gomes propose the Shift Compensation Network to address the distribution shift problem in citizen science data [375], which is a common problem in applied machine learning. Distribution shift means that the model is developed using data  $D$  sampled from some distribution  $p(x)$  but will be evaluated on data  $D'$  following some other distribution  $q(x)$ . For example, citizen scientists might only collect data at locations that are easily accessible, but the AI tool developed from these data is supposed to be used at all locations. Chen and Gomes factor the distribution shift  $q(x)/p(x)$  into the model construction phase, by changing the loss from  $\mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim q}[\mathcal{L}]$  to  $\mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim p}[\mathcal{L} \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}]$ . When the researcher can influence the data collectors, Xue et al. develop game-theoretic mechanisms to incentivize better data collection [376]. Fan et al. use techniques from recommendation systems to augment data points based on similarities where the dataset is sparse [377].

Third, Kube et al. use causal inference methods such as the Bayesian additive regression tree to allocate social services to the homeless people [276]. Causal inference is important in such applications because the historical data of service allocation could contain selection bias. In general, we may observe in historical

data  $D$  outcome  $Y_i(a)$  for some individual  $i$  who received treatment  $a$ , and we would like to estimate the outcome  $Y_i(b)$  had they received treatment  $b$ . When doing prescriptive analysis about interventions, the rich literature on causal inference on observational data could be useful [175, 174]. However, a more difficult but unfortunately common situation in AI4SG applications is where the interventions  $a$  and  $b$  are not logged in the dataset. Standard causal inference techniques often have limited applicability. In this case, Killian et al. work closely with the practitioners to construct reasonable counterfactual estimates specific to the problem of allocating medication adherence resources to the patients at highest risk [212].

### 4.3 Stackelberg Leadership Models

The leader-follower model, also known as the Stackelberg leadership model or the Stackelberg game, was first proposed as an economic model of the market competition between firms [378]. The problem is also a case of bi-level optimization problem which was studied in the operations research community. Strategic leader-follower interactions are common in several AI4SG applications. Typically, the project’s collaborating partner acts as the “leader” that implements some mechanism. Then, the partner interacts with strategic “followers” who often have conflicting interest with the partner. In public safety, for example, the law enforcement agency designs some dispatch strategy and the potential adversaries will react to this strategy. Similarly, in anti-poaching, the conservation agency decides on a patrol plan and the poachers will try to evade the patrollers. The shared research problem is to compute an optimal leader strategy in these applications.

Inspired by these application domains mentioned above, researchers have focused on a special type of Stackelberg models called the Stackelberg security game (SSG). In an SSG, the defender (leader) carries out the routine protection practice. The attacker conducts reconnaissance in order to learn the defender’s protection strategy and designs the optimal attack plan. Since its initial introduction [379], SSG has received extensive theoretical research and has led to several influential deployment cases in public safety and environmental sustainability, such as coast guard patrolling [328], airport security [4], and wildlife conservation [9].

The SSG characterizes the adversarial interaction between a defender and an attacker. There is a set of targets  $N$  that the defender wants to protect and the attacker tries to attack. The defender has  $r$  defensive resources. Using these resources, the defender can protect target  $i$  with probability  $p_i$  – this is the defender’s strategy. The attacker’s strategy is to choose a target to attack. When target  $i$  is attacked, the defender’s utility is  $U^d(i, p) = R_i^d p_i + P_i^d (1 - p_i)$  and the attacker’s utility is  $U^a(i, p) = R_i^a (1 - p_i) + P_i^a p_i$ , where  $R^d, P^d, R^a, P^a$  are the reward and penalty parameters for the two players. The typical solution concept is the strong Stackelberg equilibrium, where the attacker best responds to the defender strategy by attacking target  $i^* = BR(p^*)$ , and the defender, knowing the attacker will best respond, chooses a coverage strategy  $p^*$  that maximizes their own utility. That is,

$$p^* = \arg \max_p U^d(BR(p), p)$$

$$\text{where } BR(p) = \arg \max_i U^a(i, p).$$

This is a bi-level optimization problem and is hard to solve in general [380]. However, some simpler SSG structures allow for efficient algorithms such as multiple linear programs [381] and ORIGAMI [382]. Algorithms like cutting plane [383] and double oracle [384] are often used to handle real-world scale SSG problems.

Over the years, the deployment experience of SSG models has inspired plenty of further research on top of the basic SSG model. Attackers are humans who are not always rational, and some works have

considered bounded rationality models such as (subjective utility) quantal response [385, 386]. Since it is often impossible to know the attacker’s utilities exactly, some researchers have proposed algorithms which allow for payoff uncertainty [387], and to learn the utilities from data [388]. Moreover, real-world scenarios motivated the work on multiple attackers with collusion [389], payoff manipulation [390], and repeated interactions [391]. Due to space limitations, we introduce the most basic setup and the main application-inspired research questions of SSG. Interested readers may refer to [392] for a more complete survey of the SSG literature.

Beyond the SSGs which have been used in public safety and environmental sustainability domains, Stackelberg models have also found other applications in sustainability. The citizen scientists often collect data at convenient locations which leads to bias in the dataset. Xue et al. design a leader-follower game, with the leader being the organization and followers being the citizen scientists, to incentivize the followers to collect better data [376].

#### 4.4 Privacy-preserving ML

A common scenario in AI4SG projects is that an organization that has sensitive data  $D$  collaborates with a researcher. The researcher needs access to the data, while the organization wants to protect the privacy as much as possible. Indeed, privacy is often a deal-breaker. Patients are sensitive about sharing their EHR data; households receiving social care are sensitive about sharing income data; the general public has lots of concerns with sharing surveillance videos from public cameras. Governments, companies, and other organizations would not share any data if privacy is not taken care of, since usually privacy is tied to legal liability. The most common privacy practice is that the organization removes certain personally-identifying information from the dataset before sending the data to the researchers. However, this cannot actually protect the identities, with several famous linkage attacks successfully identified individuals in public anonymous datasets [393, 394, 395]. Therefore, a promising way of dealing with the privacy concern and improving the adoption of AI4SG work is to develop privacy-preserving ML.

Chen et al. propose to follow the framework of differential privacy (DP), which is one of the most popular methods in privacy-preserving ML, to deal with data privacy in transportation [396]. A few subsequent works focus on the privacy of human mobility data using the DP framework [397, 398]. Using a similar technique, Yu et al. release genome-wide association studies dataset while preserving privacy [399].

Differential privacy is a privacy concept with a rigorous mathematical formulation and relatively few assumptions about the attacker capability [400, 401]. At a high level, a randomized mechanism  $M$  is differentially private if its output on the dataset  $D$  is roughly the same as its output on the dataset  $\tilde{D}$  which differs from  $D$  by only one entry. More precisely, with small parameters  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  and any event  $S$  in the probability simplex,  $\mathbb{P}[M(D) \in S] \leq e^\epsilon \mathbb{P}[M(\tilde{D}) \in S] + \delta$ . The mechanism is applied to a statistical query. In fact, many common ML algorithms can be learned in a differentially private way, if they can be adapted to be based on statistical queries [402, 403, 404]. Recently, Papernot et al propose an ensemble learning framework that can work with arbitrary ML algorithms [405]. However, all the above works assume that the ML algorithm runs on the clean and sensitive dataset  $D$  (just that the trained ML model has privacy guarantee). In practice, this is sometimes insufficient, since the organization still needs to deliver the sensitive data to the researchers, and once this happens the organization has (practically) no control over the data. To remedy this problem, an ideal goal is to generate synthetic data that follow a similar distribution as the true data. This is hard in the worst case and significant restriction of query space needs to be imposed to preserve the privacy guarantee [406]. There have been some works that deal with such restrictions and aim to release privacy-preserved datasets [396, 407]. Some recent works, such as those of Xie et al. [408] and Jordon et al. [409] use GANs to generate DP synthetic datasets, as the GAN’s generator is a natural



tool for this task.

Orthogonal to the DP framework, some other works on ML for healthcare use homomorphic encryption [410] to preserve privacy. For example, Bos et al. predict the probability for suffering cardiovascular disease [411]. Using homomorphic encryption, the organization can encrypt the original data  $D$  before handing them to the researchers. The researchers build models  $\tilde{f}(\cdot)$  on the encrypted data  $\tilde{D}$ . When the model  $f(\cdot)$  is delivered back to the organization, the organization can decrypt the model and apply it to the original data  $D$ . Compared to differential privacy which trades privacy for accuracy, homomorphic encryption trades privacy for computation efficiency.

We believe that there is a lot of potential for the AI4SG community to further contribute to this line of research, as AI4SG researchers often have first-hand experience dealing with privacy concerns and the privacy problem is closely connected with the AI algorithm that is used on the data.

## 4.5 Human in the Loop

AI4SG is meant to work with humans, not in place of humans. A medical diagnosis algorithm is not to replace the doctors, a social care allocation algorithm will not replace the social workers, and a sketch-based tutoring system will not replace the teachers. The doctors, social workers, and teachers have lots of knowledge and experience that the most powerful AI algorithm today cannot barely match. In these applications, and in almost all AI4SG applications, it is an important and common research challenge in AI4SG to study how humans and AI algorithms can work together in synergy.

The interaction between human and algorithms has been at the center of HCI research since its inception [412, 413]. The renaissance of AI in the past decade, for the first time ever, brings AI into the work and life of the public. As a result, we observe a growing emphasis of human-compatible AI from both the HCI [414] and AI [415] communities.

Humans can be incorporated into the algorithmic decision loop in several roles. In the work on Bansal et al., humans take the AI algorithm’s suggestion and form the final decision in recidivism prediction, in-hospital mortality prediction, and credit risk assessment [416]. Lee et al. study the matching of donor and recipient in the food rescue setting [417]. The authors use the learned human preference models to vote for different allocation options, thereby incorporating the humans into the AI algorithm itself. In general, humans could be the experts that handle “hard cases” that the algorithm is not confident about. A large body of work is dedicated to user studies on AI-based tools that are developed to assist tasks and decision-making in healthcare [418, 419, 420].

## 5 Discussion

We now discuss a few issues in the AI4SG research. These might not be “technical” research topics as described in the previous section. However, they are arguably more important to the existence and development of the AI4SG community.

### 5.1 Types of AI for Social Good Problems

We used two domain-agnostic ways to classify AI4SG projects. For each project, we consider whether it is descriptive, predictive, or prescriptive. Based on the definition at the beginning of Section 3, we also consider whether it falls into agent, environment, or community category. As we demonstrated throughout Section 3, different application domains feature different distribution of these categories. For example, social care and urban planning have few works in the agent category, while education has few descriptive

works, which is not unreasonable given the nature of these domains. However, in general, prescriptive analysis in the community category, i.e., analysis on decision-making in a multi-agent setting, is less common despite that this is potentially the most impactful direction in many domains. One possible reason is that prescriptive projects are more inclined to receiving pushbacks in deployment with the collaborating partner, especially when the work needs to consider the preferences of multiple agents and the interaction between them.

From the perspective of AI and human’s capabilities, there are two types of problems that AI has been used to solve. First, some tasks are not hard for humans but AI can speed up the process, e.g. image recognition. Second, there are some tasks that humans cannot do well, or do not know if we are doing well, and we hope AI to do better than us [421]. We have seen multiple commercial successes with the former [422, 423]. The latter is harder, yet for more or less, AI4SG relies on the latter. For the majority of social good problems, we as a society have not yet found an effective solution. Of course, one should beware of the “AI solutionism” approach since AI, or even technology in general, is almost always not the panacea. It is thus important to study AI4SG to explore whether and how AI can be a piece to the puzzle. Towards this end, it is important to consider evaluation (Section 5.2) and human compatibility (Section 4.5).

## 5.2 Evaluation of Work on AI for Social Good

The evaluation of AI4SG projects is necessarily more complicated than ordinary AI research. Since AI4SG research is driven by real-world social good problems, it is important that it is evaluated in the context of its intended application domain. There are at least three levels of evaluation for an AI4SG project, as we elaborate below.

First, in addition to standard performance metrics such as accuracy, running time, and resource consumption, the evaluation of AI4SG projects should leverage domain-specific performance metrics. For example, when designing ML models to predict high school dropouts, Lakkaraju et al. [64] used “precision/recall at top  $K$ ” to report metrics that are directly relevant to the educator’s decision-making process. However, a lot of works in AI4SG do not reach this level of evaluation, and almost none of the existing AI4SG research move beyond this step.

Second, to demonstrate that an AI4SG project improves over the current practice, it is important to evaluate the project in the field. Some people believe that an ideal solution is to run randomized control trials (RCT). For example, Delle Fave et al. [424] conducted an RCT with Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department to evaluate the security game algorithms on the metro system in Los Angeles. Indeed, RCTs present lots of practical challenges to the researcher and are in many cases infeasible. However, conducting non-randomized field trials is still much better than merely evaluating AI algorithms on real (or even synthetic) data.

Third, when evaluating an AI4SG project one needs to be aware of its impact beyond the most direct problem that AI is being developed for, as social issues are inherently multi-faceted [425]. For example, combating poaching activities might lead to an increase of other types of illegal activities as the poachers lose their income sources. Societal problems, and the alleviation thereof, are almost never represented by a single metric. The decline in one metric might also accompany the improvement in another. As a distant example, with the prevalence of digital libraries, the circulation at public libraries is decreasing, but libraries are increasingly functioning as a trusted public space for community and education events [425]. Thus, the measurement of AI4SG projects needs to account for the different facets of the problem, including the unintended negative consequences.

### 5.3 Sustainable Deployment of AI for Social Good Projects

The principal motivation for AI4SG research is to address social problems. An algorithm that stays only in the lab does not fulfill its purpose. Unfortunately, the deployment still lags far behind. For most papers, the connection to real-world usage is established only via experiments on real datasets. Very few papers feature field tests/pilot studies, and even fewer have the AI contribution been actually deployed as a routine operation.

Furthermore, of the few works that have been deployed, sustaining the work poses a significant challenge. The website of the agricultural marketplace in Uganda [28], as studied in Section 3.1, stopped updating in March 2018, which is roughly the time of the paper publication. The online discussion forum for lectures [61], as studied in Section 3.2, was used in one additional semester after the paper’s publication, yet has not been used again since then. Of course, there are some projects that have sustained for a reasonable amount of time. After some initial pilot study, the wildlife conservation tool PAWS (Section 3.3) recently collaborated with the SMART conservation software and Microsoft which will enable it to be deployed in over 600 conservation sites in the world. Despite some setbacks, the active learning method for water pipe replacement in Flint (Section 3.6) is now put back to use.

We emphasize that whether a project gets to the deployment stage depends on not just the AI researchers. Lots of other factors could play a role, such as the partner organization’s willingness and the project’s funding status. A few works identified some key factors that lead to successful deployment and the lessons learned. However, we need to be cautious about how these lessons are derived. As corroborated by Baier et al. [426], oftentimes only the challenges that are overcome are reported in the paper. These challenges are only a tip of the iceberg considering the low rate of deployment of AI4SG research. To offer such constructive suggestions, one needs to learn the projects throughout and talk to stakeholders and measure their impact. Fortunately, a few recent works have started to take on this direction [427, 14], and we refer interested readers to them for a detailed discussion.

Sustainable deployment is not the only gold standard to judge the impact of an AI4SG project. A project can be considered a success if it has impacted and transformed the existing solution to the social problem, even if the AI project itself is not being continually deployed. In practice, the relationship between deployment and social impact may become even more nuanced. While we believe that everyone contributing to AI4SG has good faith in doing good, people also inevitably have other motivations, which are likely diverse. In some cases, the domain organization of an AI4SG project might care more about being able to tell an “AI story” than the actual problem which the AI tool is developed to address. This is not completely unwarranted, since a better story might lead to, e.g., more funding, which might be even more valuable to the organization than solving the original problem. After all, for a social care provider, between streamlining the data-to-decision process and securing a grant to hire five additional social workers, which is more beneficial to the society? Thus, if we are true to our core value of AI4SG, it is imperative to acknowledge these issues as well as factor them into the evaluation process.

### 5.4 Lessons from ICTD for AI for Social Good

AI4SG is not the first research community that seeks to address social problems with technological approaches. The community of Information & Communication Technologies and Development (ICTD) started to form in the 1990s and organized its first main conference in 2006.<sup>19</sup> Arguably, ICTD has gone farther than AI4SG in bringing research into the field. As a key lesson from ICTD, it is the people, not the technology, that is central to any impact of a project. People are generally resistant to external intervention,

<sup>19</sup><https://www.ischool.berkeley.edu/events/2006/ictd-2006-intl-conference-information-and-communication-technologies-and-development>

and a large portion of the researcher’s job is to work with the domain collaborators or research subjects to identify the proper intervention that is acceptable. Without the local buy-in, it is unlikely that the project will be sustainable [428]. The AI4SG community has come to realize this issue. For example, the TAHMO project,<sup>20</sup> which aims to build a network of weather stations across Africa, although conceived in the global north, is now owned and operated by Africans.

Furthermore, it is not enough just to acknowledge that humans are at the center of technological interventions. In fact, technology only amplifies the underlying human forces, rather than creates them, as Toyama advocates in *Geek Heresy* [429], a book about ICTD. Toyama argues that social media facilitated political revolutions in countries like Egypt where local organizational capacity was already strong, but failed to do so in other countries like Saudi Arabia where such human forces were not present. Most of the deployed AI4SG projects which we highlighted in the case studies also illustrate this point. Even without the AI intervention, the wildlife rangers will still conduct patrols, the bike-sharing docks will still be re-balanced, the contaminated water pipes in Flint will still be replaced. In these cases, AI does not create a new program. Instead, it is developed to improve the efficacy or efficiency of the existing program.

In addition, the “human forces” include not only tangible movements or programs, but also the incentives. The kidney exchange serves as a perfect example. The early works on the kidney exchange focused on solving the matching problem as efficiently as possible, as we introduced in Section 3.4. However, the transplant centers have their own incentives which are different from those of the patients and the general public. In particular, the centers want as many transplants to happen internally as possible for profit and logistic reasons. Thus, they tend not to publish all of their patient/donor information to the national exchange. This could significantly limit the function of the national exchange and the AI algorithms running on it, since most cases that get sent to the exchange are hard-to-match ones. Realizing this issue, researchers started to develop mechanisms that incentivize the transplant centers to reveal all their patients to the exchange [430, 431].

## 5.5 Who Gets to Study AI for Social Good?

To conclude this section, we discuss a seemingly simple question: who gets to study AI4SG? To a lot of us, the answer might be obvious: everyone! Beyond doubt, we welcome and encourage everyone interested in developing AI for socially relevant problems to contribute to this fast-growing field. However, the question we ask here is slightly different: who “has the opportunity to” study AI4SG, not who “should” study AI4SG.

Most of the papers that we surveyed, and all of the “successful” projects that we studied in detail, are led by academic institutions in collaboration with organizations or government agencies in the relevant application domain. In such cases, the project’s funding typically depends on research grants, which is unsustainable for continued deployment. Because of the tight budget, even pilot studies can pose significant challenges. Recently, many industry companies have launched AI4SG initiatives and some of these AI4SG projects have reached the deployment stage.<sup>21</sup> Due to the more generous funding situation, the massive public relations vehicle, and simply the company’s presence in local communities, these AI4SG projects tend to reach a larger community. While academia-centered AI4SG projects and industry-centered AI4SG projects have slightly different motivations, they share the common enthusiasm about social good. It is important to find a way for academic researchers to leverage the industry’s financial and infrastructure power and for the industry to leverage the most cutting-edge research advances in academia. Industry research grants might be helpful,<sup>22</sup> but they are not wildly different from the de facto funding model in

---

<sup>20</sup><https://tahmo.org/>

<sup>21</sup>Some examples include Microsoft AI for Earth, IBM Science for Social Good, and Google AI for Social Good.

<sup>22</sup>Some examples include Microsoft AI for Earth Grant and Google AI Impact Challenge.

academia. Some more novel form of partnerships might be promising, as mentioned in Section 3.3 for PAWS.

Another serious problem is the geographic imbalance of AI4SG research. Although we attempted to include more works from less well-known institutions and/or research groups in our case studies, eventually all of them are based at leading universities in North America. In fact, a few of them feature researchers from North America studying problems in other places like Africa. A field dominated by people from a certain culture will at best fail to recognize some key problems and considerations in the culture that the research is applied to, and at worst form an exclusive and biased climate within the field. This problem is not unique to AI4SG, yet since it is AI4SG's central belief to affect and work with people, this problem could be a deal-breaker in the promise of AI4SG. We hope that more researchers from these developing regions, who have a much better understanding of the local society, could have the chance to make their voices heard. The situation is improving, though, as can be seen in their rising presence at several AI4SG workshops at recent AI conferences.

Finally, for researchers who are not in the few "big groups" with rich social connections, it is still hard for them to work on good AI4SG problems. On the one hand, building connections takes significant effort and has been traditionally less emphasized and rewarded in the AI research community. Yet it is a valuable aspect of AI4SG research. As remarked by Felten [432],

*If you are the person that a decision-maker or their staff thinks to call when a complicated question comes up, then you are in the position to do some good. But you don't get to be that person just by volunteering – you get to be that person by being continually helpful and constructive in dealing with them over time.*

The lack of continuous engagement harms not only the project at hand, but also the whole AI4SG community, as it is no news that a small portion of AI researchers treat their collaborating partners as nothing but a mine of data and disconnect themselves once a paper is accepted. This negatively impacts the partner's willingness to collaborate with any researcher in the future.

On the other hand, it is also undesirable if connections become a hard threshold of entry. Researchers without significant prior experience could start with local, small-scale problems. There have been multiple information platforms for AI4SG projects, where people could post problems and others could seek problems to solve, such as DSSG Solve<sup>23</sup> and AI Commons<sup>24</sup>. However, these platforms have yet to show any scale of operation. DataKind<sup>25</sup> is a similar platform with more active management that has successfully facilitated multiple AI4SG projects. There are also competition platforms like DrivenData<sup>26</sup>. For students, fellowship programs such as Data Science for Social Good at multiple universities<sup>27</sup> provide a short-term experience working with stakeholders that have an existing partnership with the programs.

## 6 Conclusion

Over the past decade, AI for social good has evolved from a concept in a handful of research papers to a full-blown research theme that has received recognition from the academics, industry, government, and other organizations. In this paper, we attempt to record this historic progress from multiple aspects. We provide a quantitative overview of the AI4SG research efforts categorized by application domains and AI

---

<sup>23</sup><https://www.solveforgood.org/>

<sup>24</sup><https://aicommons.com/>

<sup>25</sup><https://www.datakind.org/>

<sup>26</sup><https://www.drivendata.org/>

<sup>27</sup>Some examples include the University of Chicago, the University of Washington, and the University of British Columbia.

techniques. We introduce three approaches to systematically analyze AI4SG research and illustrate them by a detailed account of the AI4SG research in eight application domains. From all these research efforts, we distill five common research challenges. We also discuss a few aspects of the AI4SG research as a result of analyzing the literature.

Standing at the start of a new decade, we believe the future of AI4SG lies in the deployment. By no means do we intend to belittle the intrinsic scientific value of research that stays in the lab. However, without measurable short-to-medium term real-world impact, AI4SG would fail to deliver its promise. Should this happen, it is not just the research community that would bear the loss. This is an arduous task. Fortunately, we are in an unprecedented era where the importance of AI has become a societal consensus. The numerous souls who make a lifelong commitment to societal problems deserve our respect, curiosity, time, and life. The technical contributions and empirical lessons from several disciplines (e.g. program evaluation, ICTD, HCI) are valuable toolboxes and opportunities for meaningful new research. We hope this survey will inspire the community to take on new challenges and proceed creatively.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NSF grant IIS-1850477.

## References

- [1] Paul Covington, Jay Adams, and Emre Sargin. Deep neural networks for youtube recommendations. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM conference on recommender systems*, pages 191–198. ACM, 2016.
- [2] Mia Xu Chen, Benjamin N. Lee, Gagan Bansal, Yuan Cao, Shuyuan Zhang, Justin Lu, Jackie Tsay, Yinan Wang, Andrew M. Dai, Zhifeng Chen, and et al. Gmail smart compose: Real-time assisted writing. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, KDD '19, page 2287–2295, New York, NY, USA, 2019. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [3] Oriol Vinyals and Quoc Le. A neural conversational model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.05869*, 2015.
- [4] James Pita, Manish Jain, Janusz Marecki, Fernando Ordóñez, Christopher Portway, Milind Tambe, Craig Western, Praveen Paruchuri, and Sarit Kraus. Deployed armor protection: the application of a game theoretic model for security at the los angeles international airport. In *Proceedings of the 7th international joint conference on Autonomous agents and multiagent systems: industrial track*, pages 125–132. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2008.
- [5] Noam Brown and Tuomas Sandholm. Superhuman ai for heads-up no-limit poker: Libratus beats top professionals. *Science*, 359(6374):418–424, 2018.
- [6] David Silver, Thomas Hubert, Julian Schrittwieser, Ioannis Antonoglou, Matthew Lai, Arthur Guez, Marc Lanctot, Laurent Sifre, Dhharshan Kumaran, Thore Graepel, et al. A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, shogi, and go through self-play. *Science*, 362(6419):1140–1144, 2018.
- [7] Shana Lynch. Andrew ng: Why ai is the new electricity, 2017. <https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/insights/andrew-ng-why-ai-new-electricity>.

- [8] Jacob Abernethy, Alex Chojnacki, Arya Farahi, Eric Schwartz, and Jared Webb. Activeremediation: The search for lead pipes in flint, michigan. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 5–14. ACM, 2018.
- [9] Fei Fang, Thanh H Nguyen, Rob Pickles, Wai Y Lam, Gopalasamy R Clements, Bo An, Amandeep Singh, Milind Tambe, and Andrew Lemieux. Deploying paws: Field optimization of the protection assistant for wildlife security. In *Twenty-Eighth IAAI Conference*, 2016.
- [10] Amulya Yadav, Bryan Wilder, Eric Rice, Robin Petering, Jaih Craddock, Amanda Yoshioka-Maxwell, Mary Hemler, Laura Onasch-Vera, Milind Tambe, and Darlene Woo. Influence maximization in the field: The arduous journey from emerging to deployed application. In *Proceedings of the 16th conference on autonomous agents and multiagent systems*, pages 150–158. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2017.
- [11] Bettina Berendt. Ai for the common good?! pitfalls, challenges, and ethics pen-testing. *Paladyn, Journal of Behavioral Robotics*, 10(1):44–65, 2019.
- [12] Gregory D Hager, Ann Drobnis, Fei Fang, Rayid Ghani, Amy Greenwald, Terah Lyons, David C Parkes, Jason Schultz, Suchi Saria, Stephen F Smith, et al. Artificial intelligence for social good. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.05406*, 2017.
- [13] Josh Cowsls, Thomas C King, Mariarosaria Taddeo, and Luciano Floridi. Designing ai for social good: Seven essential factors. 2019.
- [14] Michael Chui, James Manyika, Mehdi Miremadi, N Henke, R Chung, P Nel, and S Malhotra. Notes from the ai frontier: Insights from hundreds of use cases. *McKinsey Global Institute (Retrieved from McKinsey online database)*, 2018.
- [15] DeepNude, 2019. <https://www.deepnude.com/>.
- [16] Ze Yang, Can Xu, Wei Wu, and Zhoujun Li. Read, attend and comment: A deep architecture for automatic news comment generation. 2019.
- [17] Miles Brundage, Shahar Avin, Jack Clark, Helen Toner, Peter Eckersley, Ben Garfinkel, Allan Dafoe, Paul Scharre, Thomas Zeitzoff, Bobby Filar, et al. The malicious use of artificial intelligence: Forecasting, prevention, and mitigation. 2018.
- [18] Ninareh Mehrabi, Fred Morstatter, Nripsuta Saxena, Kristina Lerman, and Aram Galstyan. A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.09635*, 2019.
- [19] Leilani H Gilpin, David Bau, Ben Z Yuan, Ayesha Bajwa, Michael Specter, and Lalana Kagal. Explaining explanations: An overview of interpretability of machine learning. In *2018 IEEE 5th International Conference on data science and advanced analytics (DSAA)*, pages 80–89. IEEE, 2018.
- [20] M. Kearns and A. Roth. *The Ethical Algorithm: The Science of Socially Aware Algorithm Design*. Oxford University Press, Incorporated, 2019.
- [21] Kate Crawford, Roel Dobbe, Theodora Dryer, Genevieve Fried, Ben Green, Elizabeth Kaziunas, Amba Kak, Varoon Mathur, Erin McElroy, Andrea Nill Sánchez, Deborah Raji, Joy Lisi Rankin, Rashida Richardson, Jason Schultz, Sarah Myers West, and Meredith Whittaker. Ai now 2019 report. 2019.

- [22] NetHope. Ai for good framework and guide, 2019. <https://solutionscenter.nethope.org/resources/key-takeaways-from-the-ai-discussions-at-the-nethope-global-summit-2019>.
- [23] John Alexander Quinn, Kevin Leyton-Brown, and Ernest Mwebaze. Modeling and monitoring crop disease in developing countries. In *Twenty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2011.
- [24] Jiaxuan You, Xiaocheng Li, Melvin Low, David Lobell, and Stefano Ermon. Deep gaussian process for crop yield prediction based on remote sensing data. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [25] Christian Von Lücken and Ricardo Brunelli. Crops selection for optimal soil planning using multi-objective evolutionary algorithms. In *AAAI*, pages 1751–1756, 2008.
- [26] Kristian Kersting, Zhao Xu, Mirwaes Wahabzada, Christian Bauckhage, Christian Thureau, Christoph Roemer, Agim Ballvora, Uwe Rascher, Jens Leon, and Lutz Pluemer. Pre-symptomatic prediction of plant drought stress using dirichlet-aggregation regression on hyperspectral images. 2012.
- [27] Wei Ma, Kendall Nowocin, Niraj Marathe, and George H Chen. An interpretable produce price forecasting system for small and marginal farmers in india using collaborative filtering and adaptive nearest neighbors. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development*, page 6. ACM, 2019.
- [28] Neil Newman, Lauren Falcao Bergquist, Nicole Immorlica, Kevin Leyton-Brown, Brendan Lucier, Craig McIntosh, John Quinn, and Richard Ssekibuule. Designing and evolving an electronic agricultural marketplace in uganda. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies*, page 14. ACM, 2018.
- [29] United Nations. World population prospects: The 2015 revision, 2015.
- [30] FAO. World food and agriculture statistical pocketbook. 2018.
- [31] ICRISAT. Microsoft and icrisat’s intelligent cloud pilot for agriculture in andhra pradesh increase crop yield for farmers, 2017. <https://www.icrisat.org/microsoft-and-icrisats-intelligent-cloud-pilot-for-agriculture-in-andhra-pradesh-increase-crop-yield-for-farmers/>.
- [32] Daniel Ellis Holman, Mohan Sridharan, Prasanna Gowda, Dana Porter, Thomas Marek, Terry Howell, and Jerry Moorhead. Estimating reference evapotranspiration for irrigation management in the texas high plains. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [33] mCrops, 2016. <http://34.242.164.142/mcrops/>.
- [34] Aditya Jain, Zerina Kapetanovic, Akshit Kumar, Vasuki Narasimha Swamy, Rohit Patil, Deepak Vasisht, Rahul Sharma, Manohar Swaminathan, Ranveer Chandra, Anirudh Badam, Gireeja Ranade, Sudipta N. Sinha, and Akshay Uttama Nambi. Low-cost aerial imaging for small holder farmers. In *COMPASS '19*, 2019.
- [35] Konstantinos G Liakos, Patrizia Busato, Dimitrios Moshou, Simon Pearson, and Dionysis Bochtis. Machine learning in agriculture: A review. *Sensors*, 18(8):2674, 2018.



- [36] Ishaan Grover, Hae Won Park, and Cynthia Breazeal. A semantics-based model for predicting children’s vocabulary. In *IJCAI*, 2019.
- [37] Yu Su, Qingwen Liu, Qi Liu, Zhenya Huang, Yu Yin, Enhong Chen, Chris Ding, Si Wei, and Guoping Hu. Exercise-enhanced sequential modeling for student performance prediction. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [38] Ke Wang and Zhendong Su. Automated geometry theorem proving for human-readable proofs. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [39] Arti Ramesh, Dan Goldwasser, Bert Huang, Hal Daume III, and Lise Getoor. Learning latent engagement patterns of students in online courses. In *Twenty-Eighth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2014.
- [40] Jiazhen He, James Bailey, Benjamin IP Rubinstein, and Rui Zhang. Identifying at-risk students in massive open online courses. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [41] Sudeshna Roy, Meghana Madhyastha, Sheril Lawrence, and Vaibhav Rajan. Inferring concept prerequisite relations from online educational resources. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 9589–9594, 2019.
- [42] Zhenya Huang, Qi Liu, Enhong Chen, Hongke Zhao, Mingyong Gao, Si Wei, Yu Su, and Guoping Hu. Question difficulty prediction for reading problems in standard tests. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [43] Hadrien Cambazard, Barry O’Sullivan, and Helmut Simonis. The deployment of a constraint-based dental school timetabling system. In *Twenty-Fifth IAAI Conference*, 2013.
- [44] United Nations. More than one-half of children and adolescents are not learning worldwide. *United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization*, (46):16, 2017.
- [45] Ke Wang and Zhendong Su. Dimensionally guided synthesis of mathematical word problems. In *IJCAI*, pages 2661–2668, 2016.
- [46] Umair Z Ahmed, Sumit Gulwani, and Amey Karkare. Automatically generating problems and solutions for natural deduction. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [47] Rajeev Alur, Loris D’Antoni, Sumit Gulwani, Dileep Kini, and Mahesh Viswanathan. Automated grading of dfa constructions. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [48] Haoran Zhang, Ahmed Magooda, Diane Litman, Richard Correnti, Elaine Wang, LC Matsmura, Emily Howe, and Rafael Quintana. erevise: Using natural language processing to provide formative feedback on text evidence usage in student writing. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 9619–9625, 2019.
- [49] Sunbeom So and Hakjoo Oh. Synthesizing pattern programs from examples. In *IJCAI*, pages 1618–1624, 2018.

- [50] Rahul Gupta, Aditya Kanade, and Shirish Shevade. Deep reinforcement learning for syntactic error repair in student programs. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 930–937, 2019.
- [51] Rahul Gupta, Soham Pal, Aditya Kanade, and Shirish Shevade. Deepfix: Fixing common c language errors by deep learning. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [52] Mike Wu, Milan Mosse, Noah Goodman, and Chris Piech. Zero shot learning for code education: Rubric sampling with deep learning inference. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 782–790, 2019.
- [53] Omer Geiger and Shaul Markovitch. Algorithmic exam generation. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [54] Hugh L Burns and Charles G Capps. Foundations of intelligent tutoring systems: An introduction, 1988.
- [55] Derek T Green, Thomas J Walsh, Paul R Cohen, and Yu-Han Chang. Learning a skill-teaching curriculum with dynamic bayes nets. In *Twenty-Third IAAI Conference*, 2011.
- [56] Jill-Jènn Vie and Hisashi Kashima. Knowledge tracing machines: Factorization machines for knowledge tracing. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 750–757, 2019.
- [57] Stephanie Valentine, Francisco Vides, George Lucchese, David Turner, Hong-hoe Kim, Wenzhe Li, Julie Linsey, and Tracy Hammond. Mechanix: A sketch-based tutoring system for statics courses. In *Twenty-Fourth IAAI Conference*, 2012.
- [58] Hae Won Park, Ishaan Grover, Samuel Spaulding, Louis Gomez, and Cynthia Breazeal. A model-free affective reinforcement learning approach to personalization of an autonomous social robot companion for early literacy education. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 687–694, 2019.
- [59] Yuanlin Zhang, Jianlan Wang, Fox Bolduc, William G Murray, and Wendy Staffen. A preliminary report of integrating science and computing teaching using logic programming. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 9737–9744, 2019.
- [60] Jiazhen He, Benjamin IP Rubinstein, James Bailey, Rui Zhang, Sandra Milligan, and Jeffrey Chan. Moocs meet measurement theory: a topic-modelling approach. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [61] Jihie Kim and Erin Shaw. Pedagogical discourse: connecting students to past discussions and peer mentors within an online discussion board. In *Twenty-First IAAI Conference*, 2009.
- [62] Ruangsak Trakunphutthirak, Yen Cheung, and Vincent CS Lee. A study of educational data mining: Evidence from a thai university. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 734–741, 2019.
- [63] Jie Xu, Yuli Han, Daniel Marcu, and Mihaela Van Der Schaar. Progressive prediction of student performance in college programs. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.

- [64] Himabindu Lakkaraju, Everaldo Aguiar, Carl Shan, David Miller, Nasir Bhanpuri, Rayid Ghani, and Kecia L Addison. A machine learning framework to identify students at risk of adverse academic outcomes. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1909–1918. ACM, 2015.
- [65] Jihie Kim and Erin Shaw. Scaffolding student online discussions using past discussions: Pedabot studies. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 41(1):97–112, 2014.
- [66] Ali Alkhatlan and Jugal Kalita. Intelligent tutoring systems: A comprehensive historical survey with recent developments. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 975:8887.
- [67] Liangda Li and Hongyuan Zha. Energy usage behavior modeling in energy disaggregation via marked hawkes process. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [68] Ngoc Cuong Truong, James McInerney, Long Tran-Thanh, Enrico Costanza, and Sarvapali Ramchurn. Forecasting multi-appliance usage for smart home energy management. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [69] Marcello Fiducioso, Sebastian Curi, Benedikt Schumacher, Markus Gwerder, and Andreas Krause. Safe contextual bayesian optimization for sustainable room temperature pid control tuning. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-19*, pages 5850–5856. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, 7 2019.
- [70] Johan Bjorck, Brendan H. Rappazzo, Di Chen, Richard Bernstein, Peter H. Wrege, and Carla P. Gomes. Automatic detection and compression for passive acoustic monitoring of the african forest elephant. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33:476–484, Jul 2019.
- [71] Arash Khodadadi and Daniel J McDonald. Algorithms for estimating trends in global temperature volatility, 2018.
- [72] Fei Fang, Peter Stone, and Milind Tambe. When security games go green: Designing defender strategies to prevent poaching and illegal fishing. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [73] Neo D Martinez, Perrine Tonnin, Barbara Bauer, Rosalyn C Rael, Rahul Singh, Sangyuk Yoon, Ilmi Yoon, and Jennifer A Dunne. Sustaining economic exploitation of complex ecosystems in computational models of coupled human-natural networks. In *Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2012.
- [74] Kartik Palani, Ramachandra Kota, Amar Prakash Azad, and Vijay Arya. Blue skies: A methodology for data-driven clear sky modelling. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-17*, 2017.
- [75] Jesús Cerquides, Gauthier Picard, and Juan Antonio Rodríguez-Aguilar. Designing a marketplace for the trading and distribution of energy in the smart grid. 2015.
- [76] Sijie He, Xinyan Li, Vidyashankar Sivakumar, and Arindam Banerjee. Interpretable predictive modeling for climate variables with weighted lasso. 2019.
- [77] Soumyadeep Chatterjee, Stefan Liess, Arindam Banerjee, and Vipin Kumar. Understanding dominant factors for precipitation over the great lakes region. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.

- [78] André R Gonçalves, Arindam Banerjee, and Fernando J Von Zuben. Spatial projection of multiple climate variables using hierarchical multitask learning. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [79] Scott McQuade and Claire Monteleoni. Global climate model tracking using geospatial neighborhoods. In *Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2012.
- [80] Sahil Garg, Amarjeet Singh, and Fabio Ramos. Learning non-stationary space-time models for environmental monitoring. In *Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2012.
- [81] Thuy Vu and D Stott Parker. Extracting urban microclimates from electricity bills. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [82] Vitor Campanholo Guizilini and Fabio Tozeto Ramos. A nonparametric online model for air quality prediction. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [83] Yuxuan Liang, Songyu Ke, Junbo Zhang, Xiuwen Yi, and Yu Zheng. Geoman: Multi-level attention networks for geo-sensory time series prediction. In *IJCAI*, pages 3428–3434, 2018.
- [84] Weiyu Cheng, Yanyan Shen, Yanmin Zhu, and Linpeng Huang. A neural attention model for urban air quality inference: Learning the weights of monitoring stations. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [85] Alexandros Zenonos, Sebastian Stein, and Nicholas R. Jennings. Coordinating measurements for air pollution monitoring in participatory sensing settings. In *AAMAS*, 2015.
- [86] Jiang Bian, Haoyi Xiong, Yanjie Fu, and Sajal K Das. Cswa: Aggregation-free spatial-temporal community sensing. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [87] Frederik Aufferberg, Sebastian Stein, and Alex Rogers. A personalised thermal comfort model using a bayesian network. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [88] SRA Smart Grids. 2035-strategic research agenda european technology platform smart grids european commission tech. *Rep. Mar*, 2012.
- [89] Pudi Chen, Shenghua Liu, Chuan Shi, Bryan Hooi, Bai Wang, and Xueqi Cheng. Neucast: Seasonal neural forecast of power grid time series. In *IJCAI*, pages 3315–3321, 2018.
- [90] Tárik S Salem, Karan Kathuria, Heri Ramampiaro, and Helge Langseth. Forecasting intra-hour imbalances in electric power systems. In *AAAI*, 2019.
- [91] Pritee Agrawal, Akshat Kumar, and Pradeep Varakantham. Near-optimal decentralized power supply restoration in smart grids. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pages 1275–1283. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2015.
- [92] Sylvie Thiébaux, Carleton Coffrin, Hassan Hijazi, and John Slaney. Planning with mip for supply restoration in power distribution systems. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.

- [93] Georgios Chalkiadakis, Valentin Robu, Ramachandra Kota, Alex Rogers, and Nicholas R Jennings. Cooperatives of distributed energy resources for efficient virtual power plants. In *The 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 2*, pages 787–794. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2011.
- [94] Adam Vogel, Deepak Ramachandran, Rakesh Gupta, and Antoine Raux. Improving hybrid vehicle fuel efficiency using inverse reinforcement learning. In *Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2012.
- [95] Yanhai Xiong, Jiarui Gan, Bo An, Chunyan Miao, and Ana LC Bazzan. Optimal electric vehicle charging station placement. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [96] Keiichiro Hayakawa, Enrico H Gerding, Sebastian Stein, and Takahiro Shiga. Online mechanisms for charging electric vehicles in settings with varying marginal electricity costs. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [97] Enrico H Gerding, Valentin Robu, Sebastian Stein, David C Parkes, Alex Rogers, and Nicholas R Jennings. Online mechanism design for electric vehicle charging. In *The 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 2*, pages 811–818. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2011.
- [98] Konstantina Valogianni, Wolfgang Ketter, and John Collins. A multiagent approach to variable-rate electric vehicle charging coordination. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pages 1131–1139. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2015.
- [99] Micha Kahlen and Wolfgang Ketter. Aggregating electric cars to sustainable virtual power plants: The value of flexibility in future electricity markets. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [100] Francesco Amigoni, Andrea Castelletti, and Matteo Giuliani. Modeling the management of water resources systems using multi-objective dcops. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pages 821–829. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2015.
- [101] Haili Dong, Bingsheng Wang, and Chang-Tien Lu. Deep sparse coding based recursive disaggregation model for water conservation. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [102] Rhonda Hoenigman, Elizabeth Bradley, and Nichole Barger. Water conservation through facilitation on residential landscapes. In *Twenty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2011.
- [103] Timon V Kanters, Frans A Oliehoek, Michael Kaisers, Stan R van den Bosch, Joep Grispén, and Jeroen Hermans. Energy-and cost-efficient pumping station control. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [104] Xiaojian Wu, Jonathan Gomes-Selman, Qinru Shi, Yexiang Xue, Roosevelt Garcia-Villacorta, Elizabeth Anderson, Suresh Sethi, Scott Steinschneider, Alexander Flecker, and Carla Gomes. Efficiently approximating the pareto frontier: hydropower dam placement in the amazon basin. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.

- [105] Rebecca A Hutchinson, Liqiang He, and Sarah C Emerson. Species distribution modeling of citizen science data as a classification problem with class-conditional noise. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [106] Tanya Y Berger-Wolf, Daniel I Rubenstein, Charles V Stewart, Jason A Holmberg, Jason Parham, Sreejith Menon, Jonathan Crall, Jon Van Oast, Emre Kiciman, and Lucas Joppa. Wildbook: Crowdsourcing, computer vision, and data science for conservation. In *Bloomberg Data for Good Exchange Conference*, 2019.
- [107] Davide Zilli, Oliver Parson, Geoff V Merrett, and Alex Rogers. A hidden markov model-based acoustic cicada detector for crowdsourced smartphone biodiversity monitoring. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [108] Jose F Ruiz-Muñoz, Mauricio Orozco Alzate, and Germán Castellanos-Domínguez. Multiple instance learning-based birdsong classification using unsupervised recording segmentation. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [109] Di Chen, Yexiang Xue, Daniel Fink, Shuo Chen, and Carla P Gomes. Deep multi-species embedding. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3639–3646. AAAI Press, 2017.
- [110] Luming Tang, Yexiang Xue, Di Chen, and Carla P Gomes. Multi-entity dependence learning with rich context via conditional variational auto-encoder. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [111] Ruobing Zheng, Ze Luo, and Baoping Yan. Exploiting time-series image-to-image translation to expand the range of wildlife habitat analysis. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 825–832, 2019.
- [112] Dimitri Justeau-Allaire, Philippe Vismara, Philippe Birnbaum, and Xavier Lorca. Systematic conservation planning for sustainable land-use policies: A constrained partitioning approach to reserve selection and design. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-19*, pages 5902–5908. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, 7 2019.
- [113] Tommaso Urli, Jana Brotánková, Philip Kilby, and Pascal Van Hentenryck. Intelligent habitat restoration under uncertainty. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [114] Daniel Golovin, Andreas Krause, Beth Gardner, Sarah J Converse, and Steve Morey. Dynamic resource allocation in conservation planning. In *Twenty-fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2011.
- [115] Yexiang Xue, Xiaojian Wu, Dana Morin, Bistra Dilkina, Angela Fuller, J Andrew Royle, and Carla P Gomes. Dynamic optimization of landscape connectivity embedding spatial-capture-recapture information. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [116] Xiaojian Wu, Akshat Kumar, Daniel Sheldon, and Shlomo Zilberstein. Robust optimization for tree-structured stochastic network design. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.

- [117] Ronan Le Bras, Bistra Dilkina, Yexiang Xue, Carla Gomes, Kevin McKelvey, Michael Schwartz, and Claire Montgomery. Robust network design for multispecies conservation. In *Twenty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [118] Thanh H Nguyen, Arunesh Sinha, Shahrzad Gholami, Andrew Plumptre, Lucas Joppa, Milind Tambe, Margaret Driciru, Fred Wanyama, Aggrey Rwetsiba, Rob Critchlow, et al. Capture: A new predictive anti-poaching tool for wildlife protection. In *Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Autonomous Agents & Multiagent Systems*, pages 767–775. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2016.
- [119] Matthew Paul Johnson, Fei Fang, and Milind Tambe. Patrol strategies to maximize pristine forest area. In *Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2012.
- [120] Sara Marie Mc Carthy, Milind Tambe, Christopher Kiekintveld, Meredith L Gore, and Alex Killion. Preventing illegal logging: Simultaneous optimization of resource teams and tactics for security. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [121] William Haskell, Debarun Kar, Fei Fang, Milind Tambe, Sam Cheung, and Elizabeth Denicola. Robust protection of fisheries with compass. In *Twenty-Sixth IAAI Conference*, 2014.
- [122] Yue Yin and Bo An. Efficient resource allocation for protecting coral reef ecosystems. In *IJCAI*, pages 531–537, 2016.
- [123] Amrita Gupta, Mehrdad Farajtabar, Bistra Dilkina, and Hongyuan Zha. Discrete interventions in hawkes processes with applications in invasive species management. In *IJCAI*, pages 3385–3392, 2018.
- [124] Martin Péron, Kai Helge Becker, Peter Bartlett, and Iadine Chadès. Fast-tracking stationary momdps for adaptive management problems. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [125] Gwen Spencer. Robust cuts over time: Combatting the spread of invasive species with unreliable biological control. In *Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2012.
- [126] Rong Yang, Benjamin Ford, Milind Tambe, and Andrew Lemieux. Adaptive resource allocation for wildlife protection against illegal poachers. In *Proceedings of the 2014 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems*, pages 453–460. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2014.
- [127] Shahrzad Gholami, Benjamin Ford, Fei Fang, Andrew Plumptre, Milind Tambe, Margaret Driciru, Fred Wanyama, Aggrey Rwetsiba, Mustapha Nsubaga, and Joshua Mabonga. Taking it for a test drive: a hybrid spatio-temporal model for wildlife poaching prediction evaluated through a controlled field test. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, pages 292–304. Springer, 2017.
- [128] Shahrzad Gholami, Lily Xu, Sara Mc Carthy, Bistra Dilkina, Andrew Plumptre, Milind Tambe, Rohit Singh, Mustapha Nsubuga, Joshua Mabonga, Margaret Driciru, et al. Stay ahead of poachers: Illegal wildlife poaching prediction and patrol planning under uncertainty with field test evaluations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.06669*, 2019.

- [129] Debarun Kar, Benjamin Ford, Shahrzad Gholami, Fei Fang, Andrew Plumptre, Milind Tambe, Margaret Driciru, Fred Wanyama, Aggrey Rwetsiba, Mustapha Nsubaga, et al. Cloudy with a chance of poaching: Adversary behavior modeling and forecasting with real-world poaching data. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems*, pages 159–167. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2017.
- [130] Swaminathan Gurusamy, Lantao Yu, Chenyan Zhang, Yongchao Jin, Weiping Li, Xiaodong Zhang, and Fei Fang. Exploiting data and human knowledge for predicting wildlife poaching. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies*, page 29. ACM, 2018.
- [131] Haifeng Xu, Benjamin Ford, Fei Fang, Bistra Dilikina, Andrew Plumptre, Milind Tambe, Margaret Driciru, Fred Wanyama, Aggrey Rwetsiba, Mustapha Nsubaga, et al. Optimal patrol planning for green security games with black-box attackers. In *International Conference on Decision and Game Theory for Security*, pages 458–477. Springer, 2017.
- [132] Elizabeth Bondi, Hoon Oh, Haifeng Xu, Fei Fang, Bistra Dilikina, and Milind Tambe. To signal or not to signal: Exploiting uncertain real-time information in signaling games for security and sustainability. 2020.
- [133] Shahrzad Gholami, Amulya Yadav, Long Tran-Thanh, Bistra Dilikina, and Milind Tambe. Don’t put all your strategies in one basket: Playing green security games with imperfect prior knowledge. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems*, pages 395–403. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2019.
- [134] Carla Gomes, Thomas Dietterich, Christopher Barrett, Jon Conrad, Bistra Dilikina, Stefano Ermon, Fei Fang, Andrew Farnsworth, Alan Fern, Xiaoli Fern, Daniel Fink, Douglas Fisher, Alexander Flecker, Daniel Freund, Angela Fuller, John Gregoire, John Hopcroft, Steve Kelling, Zico Kolter, Warren Powell, Nicole Sintov, John Selker, Bart Selman, Daniel Sheldon, David Shmoys, Milind Tambe, Weng-Keen Wong, Christopher Wood, Xiaojian Wu, Yexiang Xue, Amulya Yadav, Abdul-Aziz Yakubu, and Mary Lou Zeeman. Computational sustainability: Computing for a better world and a sustainable future. *Commun. ACM*, 62(9):56–65, August 2019.
- [135] Rongchang Zhao, Wangmin Liao, Zailiang Chen, and Shuo Li. Weakly-supervised simultaneous evidence identification and segmentation for automated glaucoma diagnosis. 2019.
- [136] Bin Liu, Ying Li, Zhaonan Sun, Soumya Ghosh, and Kenney Ng. Early prediction of diabetes complications from electronic health records: A multi-task survival analysis approach. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [137] Arthur Guez, Robert D. Vincent, Massimo Avoli, and Joelle Pineau. Adaptive treatment of epilepsy via batch-mode reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 20th National Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence - Volume 3*, 2008.
- [138] Tengfei Ma, Cao Xiao, Jiayu Zhou, and Fei Wang. Drug similarity integration through attentive multi-view graph auto-encoders. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3477–3483. AAAI Press, 2018.
- [139] Hongbin Pei, Bo Yang, Jiming Liu, and Lei Dong. Group sparse bayesian learning for active surveillance on epidemic dynamics. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.



- [140] Adam Sadilek, Henry Kautz, Lauren DiPrete, Brian Labus, Eric Portman, Jack Teitel, and Vincent Silenzio. Deploying nemesis: Preventing foodborne illness by data mining social media. volume 38, Mar. 2017.
- [141] Inci M Baytas, Cao Xiao, Xi Zhang, Fei Wang, Anil K Jain, and Jiayu Zhou. Patient subtyping via time-aware lstm networks. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 65–74. ACM, 2017.
- [142] Tianfan Fu, Trong Nghia Hoang, Cao Xiao, and Jimeng Sun. Ddl: Deep dictionary learning for predictive phenotyping. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2019.
- [143] Jinsung Yoon, Ahmed M. Alaa, Martin Cadeiras, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Personalized donor-recipient matching for organ transplantation. 2016.
- [144] Mingliang Wang, Jiashuang Huang, Mingxia Liu, and Daoqiang Zhang. Functional connectivity network analysis with discriminative hub detection for brain disease identification. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 1198–1205, 2019.
- [145] Bo Xin, Lingjing Hu, Yizhou Wang, and Wen Gao. Stable feature selection from brain smri. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [146] Shandian Zhe, Zenglin Xu, Yuan Qi, and Peng Yu. Sparse bayesian multiview learning for simultaneous association discovery and diagnosis of alzheimer’s disease. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [147] Jie Xu, Cheng Deng, Xinbo Gao, Dinggang Shen, and Heng Huang. Predicting alzheimer’s disease cognitive assessment via robust low-rank structured sparse model. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3880–3886. AAAI Press, 2017.
- [148] Shuo Xiang, Lei Yuan, Wei Fan, Yalin Wang, Paul M Thompson, and Jieping Ye. Multi-source learning with block-wise missing data for alzheimer’s disease prediction. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 185–193. ACM, 2013.
- [149] Lequan Yu, Xin Yang, Hao Chen, Jing Qin, and Pheng Ann Heng. Volumetric convnets with mixed residual connections for automated prostate segmentation from 3d mr images. In *Thirty-first AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2017.
- [150] Xin Yang, Lequan Yu, Lingyun Wu, Yi Wang, Dong Ni, Jing Qin, and Pheng-Ann Heng. Fine-grained recurrent neural networks for automatic prostate segmentation in ultrasound images. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [151] Hao Chen, Qi Dou, Xi Wang, Jing Qin, and Pheng Ann Heng. Mitosis detection in breast cancer histology images via deep cascaded networks. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [152] Jeremy Irvin, Pranav Rajpurkar, Michael Ko, Yifan Yu, Silvana Ciurea-Ilcus, Chris Chute, Henrik Marklund, Behzad Haghgoo, Robyn Ball, Katie Shpanskaya, et al. Chexpert: A large chest radiograph dataset with uncertainty labels and expert comparison. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2019.

- [153] Cheng Chen, Qi Dou, Hao Chen, Jing Qin, and Pheng-Ann Heng. Synergistic image and feature adaptation: Towards cross-modality domain adaptation for medical image segmentation. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33:865–872, Jul 2019.
- [154] Shenda Hong, Cao Xiao, Tengfei Ma, Hongyan Li, and Jimeng Sun. Mina: Multilevel knowledge-guided attention for modeling electrocardiography signals. *IJCAI*, 2019.
- [155] Tomer Golany and Kira Radinsky. Pgens: Personalized generative adversarial networks for ecg synthesis to improve patient-specific deep ecg classification. 2019.
- [156] Yuxi Zhou, Shenda Hong, Junyuan Shang, Meng Wu, Qingyun Wang, Hongyan Li, and Junqing Xie. K-margin-based residual-convolution-recurrent neural network for atrial fibrillation detection. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-19*, pages 6057–6063. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, 7 2019.
- [157] Gilbert Lim, Zhan Wei Lim, Dejiang Xu, Daniel SW Ting, Tien Yin Wong, Mong Li Lee, and Wynne Hsu. Feature isolation for hypothesis testing in retinal imaging: an ischemic stroke prediction case study. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 9510–9515, 2019.
- [158] Rongchang Zhao, Wangmin Liao, Beiji Zou, Zailiang Chen, and Shuo Li. Weakly-supervised simultaneous evidence identification and segmentation for automated glaucoma diagnosis. 2019.
- [159] Yuhao Niu, Lin Gu, Feng Lu, Feifan Lv, Zongji Wang, Imari Sato, Zijian Zhang, Yangyan Xiao, Xunzhang Dai, and Tingting Cheng. Pathological evidence exploration in deep retinal image diagnosis. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 33, pages 1093–1101, 2019.
- [160] Peng Tian, Yuan Guo, Jayashree Kalpathy-Cramer, Susan Ostmo, John Peter Campbell, Michael F Chiang, Jennifer Dy, Deniz Erdogmus, and Stratis Ioannidis. A severity score for retinopathy of prematurity. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 1809–1819. ACM, 2019.
- [161] Hiroki Sugiura, Taichi Kiwaki, Siamak Yousefi, Hiroshi Murata, Ryo Asaoka, and Kenji Yamanishi. Estimating glaucomatous visual sensitivity from retinal thickness with pattern-based regularization and visualization. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 783–792. ACM, 2018.
- [162] Bingzhe Wu, Xiaolu Zhang, Shiwan Zhao, Lingxi Xie, Caihong Zeng, Zhihong Liu, and Guangyu Sun. G2c: a generator-to-classifier framework integrating multi-stained visual cues for pathological glomerulus classification. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 1214–1221, 2019.
- [163] Xuan Guo, Rui Li, Qi Yu, and Anne R. Haake. Modeling physicians’ utterances to explore diagnostic decision-making. In *IJCAI*, 2017.
- [164] Junyuan Shang, Tengfei Ma, Cao Xiao, and Jimeng Sun. Pre-training of graph augmented transformers for medication recommendation. In *IJCAI*, 2019.
- [165] Mathieu Beaudoin, Froduald Kabanza, Vincent Nault, and Louis Valiquette. An antimicrobial prescription surveillance system that learns from experience. *AI Magazine*, 35(1):15–15, 2014.

- [166] Christian Kroer and Tuomas Sandholm. Sequential planning for steering immune system adaptation. In *IJCAI*, pages 3177–3184, 2016.
- [167] Brett L Moore, Periklis Panousis, Vivek Kulkarni, Larry D Pyeatt, and Anthony G Doufas. Reinforcement learning for closed-loop propofol anesthesia: A human volunteer study. In *Twenty-Second IAAI Conference*, 2010.
- [168] Thomas A Lasko. Nonstationary gaussian process regression for evaluating repeated clinical laboratory tests. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [169] Murthy Devarakonda and Ching-Huei Tsou. Automated problem list generation from electronic medical records in ibm watson. In *Twenty-Seventh IAAI Conference*, 2015.
- [170] Ognjen Arandjelovic. Sample-targeted clinical trial adaptation. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [171] Uri Shalit, Fredrik D Johansson, and David Sontag. Estimating individual treatment effect: generalization bounds and algorithms. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning-Volume 70*, pages 3076–3085. JMLR. org, 2017.
- [172] Fredrik Johansson, Uri Shalit, and David Sontag. Learning representations for counterfactual inference. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 3020–3029, 2016.
- [173] Adith Swaminathan and Thorsten Joachims. The self-normalized estimator for counterfactual learning. In *advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 3231–3239, 2015.
- [174] Negar Hassanpour and Russell Greiner. Counterfactual regression with importance sampling weights. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-19*, pages 5880–5887. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, 7 2019.
- [175] Onur Atan, James Jordon, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Deep-treat: Learning optimal personalized treatments from observational data using neural networks. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [176] Marzyeh Ghassemi, Marco AF Pimentel, Tristan Naumann, Thomas Brennan, David A Clifton, Peter Szolovits, and Mengling Feng. A multivariate timeseries modeling approach to severity of illness assessment and forecasting in icu with sparse, heterogeneous clinical data. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [177] Zhi Qiao, Shiwan Zhao, Cao Xiao, Xiang Li, Yong Qin, and Fei Wang. Pairwise-ranking based collaborative recurrent neural networks for clinical event prediction. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [178] Basit Chaudhry, Jerome Wang, Shinyi Wu, Margaret Maglione, Walter Mojica, Elizabeth Roth, Sally C Morton, and Paul G Shekelle. Systematic review: impact of health information technology on quality, efficiency, and costs of medical care. *Annals of internal medicine*, 144(10):742–752, 2006.
- [179] Edward Choi, Mohammad Taha Bahadori, Jimeng Sun, Joshua Kulas, Andy Schuetz, and Walter Stewart. Retain: An interpretable predictive model for healthcare using reverse time attention mechanism. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 3504–3512, 2016.

- [180] Fenglong Ma, Radha Chitta, Jing Zhou, Quanzeng You, Tong Sun, and Jing Gao. Dipole: Diagnosis prediction in healthcare via attention-based bidirectional recurrent neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1903–1911. ACM, 2017.
- [181] Zhi Qiao, Xian Wu, Shen Ge, and Wei Fan. Mnn: multimodal attentional neural networks for diagnosis prediction. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 5937–5943. AAAI Press, 2019.
- [182] Cristóbal Esteban, Oliver Staeck, Stephan Baier, Yinchong Yang, and Volker Tresp. Predicting clinical events by combining static and dynamic information using recurrent neural networks. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI)*, pages 93–101. IEEE, 2016.
- [183] Edward Choi, Mohammad Taha Bahadori, Andy Schuetz, Walter F Stewart, and Jimeng Sun. Doctor ai: Predicting clinical events via recurrent neural networks. In *Machine Learning for Healthcare Conference*, pages 301–318, 2016.
- [184] Hanan Rosemarin, Ariel Rosenfeld, and Sarit Kraus. Emergency department online patient-caregiver scheduling. 2019.
- [185] Sandhya Saisubramanian, Pradeep Varakantham, and Hoong Chuin Lau. Risk based optimization for improving emergency medical systems. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [186] Eric Lofgren and Anil Vullikanti. Hospital stockpiling problems with inventory sharing. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [187] Alvin E Roth, Tayfun Sönmez, and M Utku Ünver. Kidney exchange. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(2):457–488, 2004.
- [188] Alvin E Roth, Tayfun Sönmez, and M Utku Ünver. Efficient kidney exchange: Coincidence of wants in markets with compatibility-based preferences. *American Economic Review*, 97(3):828–851, 2007.
- [189] David J Abraham, Avrim Blum, and Tuomas Sandholm. Clearing algorithms for barter exchange markets: Enabling nationwide kidney exchanges. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM conference on Electronic commerce*, pages 295–304. ACM, 2007.
- [190] Pranjal Awasthi and Tuomas Sandholm. Online stochastic optimization in the large: Application to kidney exchange. In *Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2009.
- [191] John P Dickerson, Ariel D Procaccia, and Tuomas Sandholm. Failure-aware kidney exchange. In *Proceedings of the fourteenth ACM conference on Electronic commerce*, pages 323–340. ACM, 2013.
- [192] Cao Xiao, Ping Zhang, W Art Chaovalitwongse, Jianying Hu, and Fei Wang. Adverse drug reaction prediction with symbolic latent dirichlet allocation. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [193] Bo Jin, Haoyu Yang, Cao Xiao, Ping Zhang, Xiaopeng Wei, and Fei Wang. Multitask dyadic prediction and its application in prediction of adverse drug-drug interaction. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.

- [194] Galia Nordon, Gideon Koren, Varda Shalev, Eric Horvitz, and Kira Radinsky. Separating wheat from chaff: Joining biomedical knowledge and patient data for repurposing medications. 2019.
- [195] Zhaobin Kuang, James Thomson, Michael Caldwell, Peggy Peissig, Ron Stewart, and David Page. Computational drug repositioning using continuous self-controlled case series. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 491–500. ACM, 2016.
- [196] Jonathan Chung, Moshe Eizenman, Uros Rakita, Roger McIntyre, and Peter Giacobbe. Learning differences between visual scanning patterns can disambiguate bipolar and unipolar patients. In *AAAI*, 2018.
- [197] Sarah Marie Brown, Andrea Webb, Rami Mangoubi, and Jennifer Dy. A sparse combined regression-classification formulation for learning a physiological alternative to clinical post-traumatic stress disorder scores. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [198] Truyen Tran, Dinh Phung, Wei Luo, Richard Harvey, Michael Berk, and Svetha Venkatesh. An integrated framework for suicide risk prediction. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1410–1418. ACM, 2013.
- [199] Tiancheng Shen, Jia Jia, Guangyao Shen, Fuli Feng, Xiangnan He, Huanbo Luan, Jie Tang, Thanassis Tiropanis, Tat-Seng Chua, and Wendy Hall. Cross-domain depression detection via harvesting social media. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 1611–1617. AAAI Press, 2018.
- [200] Guangyao Shen, Jia Jia, Liqiang Nie, Fuli Feng, Cunjun Zhang, Tianrui Hu, Tat-Seng Chua, and Wenwu Zhu. Depression detection via harvesting social media: A multimodal dictionary learning solution. In *IJCAI*, pages 3838–3844, 2017.
- [201] Bokai Cao, Lei Zheng, Chenwei Zhang, Philip S Yu, Andrea Piscitello, John Zulueta, Olu Ajilore, Kelly Ryan, and Alex D Leow. Deepmood: modeling mobile phone typing dynamics for mood detection. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 747–755. ACM, 2017.
- [202] Sungkyu Park, Cheng-Te Li, Sungwon Han, Cheng Hsu, Sang Won Lee, and Meeyoung Cha. Learning sleep quality from daily logs. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD '19*, pages 2421–2429, New York, NY, USA, 2019. ACM.
- [203] Kazuhiko Shinoda, Masahiko Yoshii, Hayato Yamaguchi, and Hirotaka Kaji. Daytime sleepiness level prediction using respiratory information. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 5967–5974. AAAI Press, 2019.
- [204] Karan Aggarwal, Shafiq Joty, Luis Fernandez-Luque, and Jaideep Srivastava. Adversarial unsupervised representation learning for activity time-series. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 834–841, 2019.
- [205] Adam Sadilek, Stephanie Caty, Lauren DiPrete, Raed Mansour, Tom Schenk, Mark Bergtholdt, Ashish Jha, Prem Ramaswami, and Evgeniy Gabrilovich. Machine-learned epidemiology: real-time detection of foodborne illness at scale. *npj Digital Medicine*, 1(1):36, 2018.

- [206] Bijaya Adhikari, Xinfeng Xu, Naren Ramakrishnan, and B Aditya Prakash. Epideep: Exploiting embeddings for epidemic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 577–586. ACM, 2019.
- [207] Logan C Brooks, David C Farrow, Sangwon Hyun, Ryan J Tibshirani, and Roni Rosenfeld. Flexible modeling of epidemics with an empirical bayes framework. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(8):e1004382, 2015.
- [208] Lijing Wang, Jiangzhuo Chen, and Madhav Marathe. Defsi: Deep learning based epidemic forecasting with synthetic information. *Proceedings of the 30th innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence (IAAI)*, 2019.
- [209] Richard Beckman, Keith R Bisset, Jiangzhuo Chen, Bryan Lewis, Madhav Marathe, and Paula Stretz. Isis: A networked-epidemiology based pervasive web app for infectious disease pandemic planning and response. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1847–1856. ACM, 2014.
- [210] Jingyuan Wang, Xiaojian Wang, and Junjie Wu. Inferring metapopulation propagation network for intra-city epidemic control and prevention. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 830–838. ACM, 2018.
- [211] Bryan Wilder, Sze-Chuan Suen, and Milind Tambe. Preventing infectious disease in dynamic populations under uncertainty. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [212] Jackson A. Killian, Bryan Wilder, Amit Sharma, Vinod Choudhary, Bistra Dilkina, and Milind Tambe. Learning to prescribe interventions for tuberculosis patients using digital adherence data. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, KDD '19, pages 2430–2438, New York, NY, USA, 2019. ACM.
- [213] Amulya Yadav, Hau Chan, Albert Xin Jiang, Haifeng Xu, Eric Rice, and Milind Tambe. Using social networks to aid homeless shelters: Dynamic influence maximization under uncertainty. In *Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Autonomous Agents & Multiagent Systems*, pages 740–748. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2016.
- [214] Bryan Wilder, Amulya Yadav, Nicole Immorlica, Eric Rice, and Milind Tambe. Uncharted but not uninfluenced: Influence maximization with an uncertain network. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems*, pages 1305–1313. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2017.
- [215] Kun-Hsing Yu, Andrew L Beam, and Isaac S Kohane. Artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Nature biomedical engineering*, 2(10):719–731, 2018.
- [216] Yang Yang, Jia Jia, Shumei Zhang, Boya Wu, Qicong Chen, Juanzi Li, Chunxiao Xing, and Jie Tang. How do your friends on social media disclose your emotions? 2014.
- [217] Pinghua Xu, Wenbin Hu, Jia Wu, and Bo Du. Link prediction with signed latent factors in signed social networks. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, 2019.
- [218] Hui Fang, Yang Bao, and Jie Zhang. Misleading opinions provided by advisors: Dishonesty or subjectivity. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.

- [219] Wei Chen, Xiao Zhang, Tengjiao Wang, Bishan Yang, and Yi Li. Opinion-aware knowledge graph for political ideology detection. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [220] Feng Yu, Qiang Liu, Shu Wu, Liang Wang, and Tieniu Tan. A convolutional approach for misinformation identification. pages 3901–3907, 2017.
- [221] Dongxia Wang, Tim Muller, Athirai A. Irissappane, Jie Zhang, and Yang Liu. Using information theory to improve the robustness of trust systems. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, 2015.
- [222] Sathappan Muthiah, Bert Huang, Jaime Arredondo, David Mares, Lise Getoor, Graham Katz, and Naren Ramakrishnan. Planned protest modeling in news and social media. In *Twenty-Seventh IAAI Conference*, 2015.
- [223] Shuailong Liang, Olivia Nicol, and Yue Zhang. Who blames whom in a crisis? detecting blame ties from news articles using neural networks. 2019.
- [224] Gianlorenzo D’Angelo, Martin Olsen, and Lorenzo Severini. Coverage centrality maximization in undirected networks. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 501–508, 2019.
- [225] Carlos Castillo, Marcelo Mendoza, and Barbara Poblete. Information credibility on twitter. In *Proceedings of the 20th international conference on World wide web*, pages 675–684. ACM, 2011.
- [226] Fan Yang, Yang Liu, Xiaohui Yu, and Min Yang. Automatic detection of rumor on sina weibo. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD Workshop on Mining Data Semantics*, page 13. ACM, 2012.
- [227] Sejeong Kwon, Meeyoung Cha, Kyomin Jung, Wei Chen, and Yajun Wang. Prominent features of rumor propagation in online social media. In *2013 IEEE 13th International Conference on Data Mining*, pages 1103–1108. IEEE, 2013.
- [228] Zhe Zhao, Paul Resnick, and Qiaozhu Mei. Enquiring minds: Early detection of rumors in social media from enquiry posts. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web*, pages 1395–1405. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee, 2015.
- [229] Jing Ma, Wei Gao, Prasenjit Mitra, Sejeong Kwon, Bernard J Jansen, Kam-Fai Wong, and Meeyoung Cha. Detecting rumors from microblogs with recurrent neural networks. In *Ijcai*, pages 3818–3824, 2016.
- [230] Feng Qian, Chengyue Gong, Karishma Sharma, and Yan Liu. Neural user response generator: Fake news detection with collective user intelligence. In *IjCAI*, pages 3834–3840, 2018.
- [231] Verónica Pérez-Rosas, Bennett Kleinberg, Alexandra Lefevre, and Rada Mihalcea. Automatic detection of fake news. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 3391–3401, 2018.
- [232] William Yang Wang. “liar, liar pants on fire”: A new benchmark dataset for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 422–426, 2017.

- [233] Andreas Vlachos and Sebastian Riedel. Fact checking: Task definition and dataset construction. In *Proceedings of the ACL 2014 Workshop on Language Technologies and Computational Social Science*, pages 18–22, 2014.
- [234] Natali Ruchansky, Sungyong Seo, and Yan Liu. Csi: A hybrid deep model for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 797–806. ACM, 2017.
- [235] Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Hannah Rashkin, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, Franziska Roesner, and Yejin Choi. Defending against neural fake news. In *NeurIPS*, 2019.
- [236] Abhijnan Chakraborty, Bhargavi Paranjape, Sourya Kakarla, and Niloy Ganguly. Stop clickbait: Detecting and preventing clickbaits in online news media. In *2016 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM)*, pages 9–16. IEEE, 2016.
- [237] Prakhar Biyani, Kostas Tsioutsoulouklis, and John Blackmer. "8 amazing secrets for getting more clicks": Detecting clickbaits in news streams using article informality. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [238] Wei Wei and Xiaojun Wan. Learning to identify ambiguous and misleading news headlines. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4172–4178. AAAI Press, 2017.
- [239] Seunghyun Yoon, Kunwoo Park, Joongbo Shin, Hongjun Lim, Seungpil Won, Meeyoung Cha, and Kyomin Jung. Detecting incongruity between news headline and body text via a deep hierarchical encoder. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 791–800, 2019.
- [240] Tianyu Liu, Wei Wei, and Xiaojun Wan. Learning to explain ambiguous headlines of online news. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4230–4236. AAAI Press, 2018.
- [241] Zhi Yang, Christo Wilson, Xiao Wang, Tingting Gao, Ben Y Zhao, and Yafei Dai. Uncovering social network sybils in the wild. *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data (TKDD)*, 8(1):2, 2014.
- [242] Xia Hu, Jiliang Tang, and Huan Liu. Online social spammer detection. In *Twenty-Eighth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2014.
- [243] Onur Varol, Emilio Ferrara, Clayton A Davis, Filippo Menczer, and Alessandro Flammini. Online human-bot interactions: Detection, estimation, and characterization. In *Eleventh international AAAI conference on web and social media*, 2017.
- [244] Emilio Ferrara, Onur Varol, Clayton Davis, Filippo Menczer, and Alessandro Flammini. The rise of social bots. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(7):96–104, 2016.
- [245] Nikan Chavoshi, Hossein Hamooni, and Abdullah Mueen. Debot: Twitter bot detection via warped correlation. In *ICDM*, pages 817–822, 2016.
- [246] Zhiang Wu, Junjie Wu, Jie Cao, and Dacheng Tao. Hysad: A semi-supervised hybrid shilling attack detector for trustworthy product recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 985–993. ACM, 2012.



- [247] Hui Fang, Yang Bao, and Jie Zhang. Misleading opinions provided by advisors: Dishonesty or subjectivity. In *IJCAI*, 2013.
- [248] Nitin Jindal and Bing Liu. Opinion spam and analysis. In *Proceedings of the 2008 international conference on web search and data mining*, pages 219–230. ACM, 2008.
- [249] Myle Ott, Yejin Choi, Claire Cardie, and Jeffrey T Hancock. Finding deceptive opinion spam by any stretch of the imagination. In *Proceedings of the 49th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics: Human language technologies-volume 1*, pages 309–319. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2011.
- [250] Yuming Lin, Tao Zhu, Hao Wu, Jingwei Zhang, Xiaoling Wang, and Aoying Zhou. Towards online anti-opinion spam: Spotting fake reviews from the review sequence. In *2014 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM 2014)*, pages 261–264. IEEE, 2014.
- [251] Arjun Mukherjee, Abhinav Kumar, Bing Liu, Junhui Wang, Meichun Hsu, Malu Castellanos, and Riddhiman Ghosh. Spotting opinion spammers using behavioral footprints. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 632–640. ACM, 2013.
- [252] Tie-Yan Liu et al. Learning to rank for information retrieval. *Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval*, 3(3):225–331, 2009.
- [253] Srijan Kumar, Robert West, and Jure Leskovec. Disinformation on the web: Impact, characteristics, and detection of wikipedia hoaxes. In *Proceedings of the 25th international conference on World Wide Web*, pages 591–602. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee, 2016.
- [254] Arjun Mukherjee, Vivek Venkataraman, Bing Liu, and Natalie Glance. What yelp fake review filter might be doing? In *Seventh international AAAI conference on weblogs and social media*, 2013.
- [255] Bryan Hooi, Hyun Ah Song, Alex Beutel, Neil Shah, Kijung Shin, and Christos Faloutsos. Fraudar: Bounding graph fraud in the face of camouflage. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 895–904. ACM, 2016.
- [256] Geli Fei, Arjun Mukherjee, Bing Liu, Meichun Hsu, Malu Castellanos, and Riddhiman Ghosh. Exploiting burstiness in reviews for review spammer detection. In *Seventh international AAAI conference on weblogs and social media*, 2013.
- [257] Chang Xu, Jie Zhang, and Zhu Sun. Online reputation fraud campaign detection in user ratings. In *IJCAI*, 2017.
- [258] Shebuti Rayana and Leman Akoglu. Collective opinion spam detection: Bridging review networks and metadata. In *Proceedings of the 21th acm sigkdd international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 985–994. ACM, 2015.
- [259] Amit Dhurandhar, Rajesh Ravi, Bruce Graves, Gopikrishnan Maniachari, and Markus Ettl. Robust system for identifying procurement fraud. In *Twenty-Seventh AAAI Conference*, 2015.

- [260] Jianrong Tao, Jianshi Lin, Shize Zhang, Sha Zhao, Runze Wu, Changjie Fan, and Peng Cui. Mvan: Multi-view attention networks for real money trading detection in online games. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 2536–2546. ACM, 2019.
- [261] Binbin Hu, Zhiqiang Zhang, Chuan Shi, Jun Zhou, Xiaolong Li, and Yuan Qi. Cash-out user detection based on attributed heterogeneous information network with a hierarchical attention mechanism. AAAI, 2019.
- [262] Varun Chandola, Sreenivas R Sukumar, and Jack C Schryver. Knowledge discovery from massive healthcare claims data. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1312–1320. ACM, 2013.
- [263] Juan Liu, Eric Bier, Aaron Wilson, Tomo Honda, Sricharan Kumar, Leilani Gilpin, John Guerra-Gomez, and Daniel Davies. Graph analysis for detecting fraud, waste, and abuse in healthcare data. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3912–3919. AAAI Press, 2015.
- [264] Maleeha Qazi, Srinivas Tunuguntla, Peng Lee, Teja Kanchinadam, Glenn Fung, and Neeraj Arora. Discovering temporal patterns from insurance interaction data. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33:9573–9580, 07 2019.
- [265] Clayton Allen Davis, Onur Varol, Emilio Ferrara, Alessandro Flammini, and Filippo Menczer. Botornot: A system to evaluate social bots. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference Companion on World Wide Web*, pages 273–274. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee, 2016.
- [266] Kai-Cheng Yang, Onur Varol, Pik-Mai Hui, and Filippo Menczer. Scalable and generalizable social bot detection through data selection. In *Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2020.
- [267] Chengcheng Shao, Giovanni Luca Ciampaglia, Onur Varol, Kai-Cheng Yang, Alessandro Flammini, and Filippo Menczer. The spread of low-credibility content by social bots. *Nature communications*, 9(1):4787, 2018.
- [268] Jarrod West and Maumita Bhattacharya. Intelligent financial fraud detection: a comprehensive review. *Computers & security*, 57:47–66, 2016.
- [269] Apurv Mehra, Sambhav Satija, and Jacki O’neill. Prayana: A journey towards financial inclusion. 2017.
- [270] Prem Melville, Vijil Chenthamarakshan, Richard D Lawrence, James Powell, Moses Mugisha, Sharad Sapra, Rajesh Anandan, and Solomon Assefa. Amplifying the voice of youth in africa via text analytics. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1204–1212. ACM, 2013.
- [271] Michael Xie, Neal Jean, Marshall Burke, David Lobell, and Stefano Ermon. Transfer learning from deep features for remote sensing and poverty mapping. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.

- [272] David John Gagne II, Amy McGovern, Jerald Brotzge, Michael Coniglio, James Correia Jr, and Ming Xue. Day-ahead hail prediction integrating machine learning with storm-scale numerical weather models. In *Twenty-Seventh IAAI Conference*, 2015.
- [273] Junchi Yan, Yu Wang, Ke Zhou, Jin Huang, Chunhua Tian, Hongyuan Zha, and Weishan Dong. Towards effective prioritizing water pipe replacement and rehabilitation. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [274] Jingyuan Wang, Xu He, Ze Wang, Junjie Wu, Nicholas Jing Yuan, Xing Xie, and Zhang Xiong. Cd-cnn: a partially supervised cross-domain deep learning model for urban resident recognition. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [275] Lin Chen, Forrest W Crawford, and Amin Karbasi. Seeing the unseen network: Inferring hidden social ties from respondent-driven sampling. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [276] Amanda Kube, Sanmay Das, and Patrick J. Fowler. Allocating interventions based on predicted outcomes: A case study on homelessness services. 2019.
- [277] Xiao Zhou, Anastasios Noulas, Cecilia Mascolo, and Zhongxiang Zhao. Discovering latent patterns of urban cultural interactions in wechat for modern city planning. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 1069–1078. ACM, 2018.
- [278] Ying Sun, Hengshu Zhu, Fuzhen Zhuang, Jingjing Gu, and Qing He. Exploring the urban region-of-interest through the analysis of online map search queries. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 2269–2278. ACM, 2018.
- [279] Ben Green, Alejandra Caro, Matthew Conway, Robert Manduca, Tom Plagge, and Abby Miller. Mining administrative data to spur urban revitalization. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 1829–1838. ACM, 2015.
- [280] Ying Wei, Yu Zheng, and Qiang Yang. Transfer knowledge between cities. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 1905–1914. ACM, 2016.
- [281] Einat Kermany, Hanna Mazzawi, Dorit Baras, Yehuda Naveh, and Hagai Michaelis. Analysis of advanced meter infrastructure data of water consumption in apartment buildings. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1159–1167. ACM, 2013.
- [282] Avishek Kumar, Syed Ali Asad Rizvi, Benjamin Brooks, R Ali Vanderveld, Kevin H Wilson, Chad Kenney, Sam Edelstein, Adria Finch, Andrew Maxwell, Joe Zuckerbraun, et al. Using machine learning to assess the risk of and prevent water main breaks. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 472–480. ACM, 2018.
- [283] Alex Chojnacki, Chengyu Dai, Arya Farahi, Guangsha Shi, Jared Webb, Daniel T Zhang, Jacob Abernethy, and Eric Schwartz. A data science approach to understanding residential water contamination in flint. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 1407–1416. ACM, 2017.

- [284] Teng Ye, Rebecca Johnson, Samantha Fu, Jerica Copeny, Bridgit Donnelly, Alex Freeman, Mirian Lima, Joe Walsh, and Rayid Ghani. Using machine learning to help vulnerable tenants in new york city. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Computing & Sustainable Societies*, pages 248–258. ACM, 2019.
- [285] Yanjie Fu, Guannan Liu, Mingfei Teng, and Charu Aggarwal. Unsupervised p2p rental recommendations via integer programming. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 165–173. ACM, 2017.
- [286] Caleb Robinson and Bistra Dilkina. A machine learning approach to modeling human migration. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies*, page 30. ACM, 2018.
- [287] Brian Abelson, Kush R Varshney, and Joy Sun. Targeting direct cash transfers to the extremely poor. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1563–1572. ACM, 2014.
- [288] Zheyuan Ryan Shi, Yiwen Yuan, Kimberly Lo, Leah Lizarondo, and Fei Fang. Improving efficiency of volunteer-based food rescue operations. In *Thirty-Second IAAI Conference*, 2020.
- [289] Martin Damyanov Aleksandrov, Haris Aziz, Serge Gaspers, and Toby Walsh. Online fair division: analysing a food bank problem. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [290] Mark Silvis, Anthony Sicilia, and Alexandros Labrinidis. Pittgrub: A frustration-free system to reduce food waste by notifying hungry college students. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 754–763. ACM, 2018.
- [291] Zhengzhang Chen, Yusheng Xie, Yu Cheng, Kunpeng Zhang, Ankit Agrawal, Wei-keng Liao, Nagiza F Samatova, and Alok Choudhary. Forecast oriented classification of spatio-temporal extreme events. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [292] David Radke, Anna Hessler, and Dan Ellsworth. Firecast: leveraging deep learning to predict wildfire spread. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4575–4581. AAAI Press, 2019.
- [293] Daizong Ding, Mi Zhang, Xudong Pan, Min Yang, and Xiangnan He. Modeling extreme events in time series prediction. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, KDD '19, pages 1114–1122, New York, NY, USA, 2019. ACM.
- [294] Marco Avvenuti, Stefano Cresci, Andrea Marchetti, Carlo Meletti, and Maurizio Tesconi. Ears (earthquake alert and report system): a real time decision support system for earthquake crisis management. In *KDD*, 2014.
- [295] Julia Romanski and Pascal Van Hentenryck. Benders decomposition for large-scale prescriptive evacuations. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [296] Hermann Schichl and Meinolf Sellmann. Predisaster preparation of transportation networks. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.

- [297] Xiaojian Wu, Daniel Sheldon, and Shlomo Zilberstein. Optimizing resilience in large scale networks. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [298] Kunal Kumar, Julia Romanski, and Pascal Van Hentenryck. Optimizing infrastructure enhancements for evacuation planning. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [299] Supriyo Ghosh and Pradeep Varakantham. Dispatch guided allocation optimization for effective emergency response. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [300] Tim GJ Rudner, Marc Rußwurm, Jakub Fil, Ramona Pelich, Benjamin Bischke, Veronika Kopačková, and Piotr Biliński. Multi3net: segmenting flooded buildings via fusion of multiresolution, multi-sensor, and multitemporal satellite imagery. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 702–709, 2019.
- [301] Xuan Song, Quanshi Zhang, Yoshihide Sekimoto, and Ryosuke Shibasaki. Intelligent system for urban emergency management during large-scale disaster. In *AAAI*, pages 458–464, 2014.
- [302] Xuan Song, Quanshi Zhang, Yoshihide Sekimoto, Ryosuke Shibasaki, Nicholas Jing Yuan, and Xing Xie. A simulator of human emergency mobility following disasters: Knowledge transfer from big disaster data. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [303] Nidhi Parikh, Samarth Swarup, Paula E Stretz, Caitlin M Rivers, Bryan L Lewis, Madhav V Marathe, Stephen G Eubank, Christopher L Barrett, Kristian Lum, and Youngyun Chungbaek. Modeling human behavior in the aftermath of a hypothetical improvised nuclear detonation. In *Proceedings of the 2013 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems*, pages 949–956. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2013.
- [304] Francesco Maria Delle Fave, Alessandro Farinelli, Alex Rogers, and Nick Jennings. A methodology for deploying the max-sum algorithm and a case study on unmanned aerial vehicles. In *Twenty-Fourth IAAI Conference*, 2012.
- [305] Sarvapali D Ramchurn, Trung Dong Huynh, Yuki Ikuno, Jack Flann, Feng Wu, Luc Moreau, Nicholas R Jennings, Joel E Fischer, Wenchao Jiang, Tom Rodden, et al. Hac-er: A disaster response system based on human-agent collectives. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pages 533–541. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2015.
- [306] Feng Wu, Sarvapali D. Ramchurn, and Xiaoping Chen. Coordinating human-uav teams in disaster response. In *IJCAI*, 2016.
- [307] Alexis C Madrigal. How a feel-good ai story went wrong in flint. *The Atlantic*, Jan 2019. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2019/01/how-machine-learning-found-flints-lead-pipes/578692/>.
- [308] Samuel Carton, Jennifer Helsby, Kenneth Joseph, Ayesha Mahmud, Youngsoo Park, Joe Walsh, Crystal Cody, CPT Estella Patterson, Lauren Haynes, and Rayid Ghani. Identifying police officers at risk of adverse events. In *Proceedings of the 22Nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2016.
- [309] Mohammad A. Tayebi, Martin Ester, Uwe Glässer, and Patricia L. Brantingham. Spatially embedded co-offence prediction using supervised learning. 2014.

- [310] Jonathan Chase, Duc Thien Nguyen, Haiyang Sun, and Hoong Chuin Lau. Improving law enforcement daily deployment through machine learning-informed optimization under uncertainty. 2019.
- [311] Abby Stylianou, Hong Xuan, Maya Shende, Jonathan Brandt, Richard Souvenir, and Robert Pless. Hotels-50k: A global hotel recognition dataset, 2019.
- [312] Bowen Du, Chuanren Liu, Wenjun Zhou, Zhenshan Hou, and Hui Xiong. Catch me if you can: Detecting pickpocket suspects from large-scale transit records. 2016.
- [313] Paulo Shakarian, Joseph Salmento, William Pulleyblank, and John Bertetto. Reducing gang violence through network influence based targeting of social programs. 2014.
- [314] Crime data.
- [315] Zheng Wang, Ruimin Hu, Yi Yu, Junjun Jiang, Chao Liang, and Jinqiao Wang. Scale-adaptive low-resolution person re-identification via learning a discriminating surface. In *IJCAI*, pages 2669–2675, 2016.
- [316] Kai Li, Zhengming Ding, Sheng Li, and Yun Fu. Discriminative semi-coupled projective dictionary learning for low-resolution person re-identification. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [317] Wenjun Huang, Chao Liang, Yi Yu, Zheng Wang, Weijian Ruan, and Ruimin Hu. Video-based person re-identification via self paced weighting. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [318] Massimiliano Albanese, Cristian Molinaro, Fabio Persia, Antonio Picariello, and VS Subrahmanian. Finding "unexplained" activities in video. In *Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2011.
- [319] Ali Zonoozi, Jung-jae Kim, Xiao-Li Li, and Gao Cong. Periodic-crn: A convolutional recurrent model for crowd density prediction with recurring periodic patterns. In *IJCAI*, pages 3732–3738, 2018.
- [320] Junbo Zhang, Yu Zheng, and Dekang Qi. Deep spatio-temporal residual networks for citywide crowd flows prediction. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [321] Ziqian Lin, Jie Feng, Ziyang Lu, Yong Li, and Depeng Jin. Deepstn+: Context-aware spatial-temporal neural network for crowd flow prediction in metropolis. *AAAI*, 2019.
- [322] Rupinder P Khandpur, Taoran Ji, Yue Ning, Liang Zhao, Chang-Tien Lu, Erik R Smith, Christopher Adams, and Naren Ramakrishnan. Determining relative airport threats from news and social media. In *Twenty-Ninth IAAI Conference*, 2017.
- [323] Naren Ramakrishnan, Patrick Butler, Sathappan Muthiah, Nathan Self, Rupinder Khandpur, Parang Saraf, Wei Wang, Jose Cadena, Anil Vullikanti, Gizem Korkmaz, et al. 'beating the news' with embers: forecasting civil unrest using open source indicators. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1799–1808. ACM, 2014.
- [324] Youzhi Zhang, Qingyu Guo, Bo An, Long Tran-Thanh, and Nicholas R. Jennings. Optimal interdiction of urban criminals with the aid of real-time information. In *AAAI*, 2019.

- [325] Fei Fang, Albert Xin Jiang, and Milind Tambe. Optimal patrol strategy for protecting moving targets with multiple mobile resources. In *Proceedings of the 2013 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems*, pages 957–964. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2013.
- [326] Michal Jakob, Ondřej Vaněk, Ondřej Hrstka, and Michal Pěchouček. Agents vs. pirates: multi-agent simulation and optimization to fight maritime piracy. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 1*, pages 37–44. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2012.
- [327] Xinrun Wang, Bo An, Martin Strobel, and Fookwai Kong. Catching captain jack: Efficient time and space dependent patrols to combat oil-siphoning in international waters. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [328] Eric Shieh, Bo An, Rong Yang, Milind Tambe, Craig Baldwin, Joseph DiRenzo, Ben Maule, and Garrett Meyer. Protect: A deployed game theoretic system to protect the ports of the united states. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 1*, pages 13–20. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2012.
- [329] Sara Marie McCarthy, Phebe Vayanos, and Milind Tambe. Staying ahead of the game: Adaptive robust optimization for dynamic allocation of threat screening resources. In *IJCAI*, pages 3770–3776, 2017.
- [330] Qingyu Guo, Bo An, Yair Zick, and Chunyan Miao. Optimal interdiction of illegal network flow. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 2507–2513. AAAI Press, 2016.
- [331] Ariel Rosenfeld and Sarit Kraus. When security games hit traffic: Optimal traffic enforcement under one sided uncertainty. In *IJCAI*, pages 3814–3822, 2017.
- [332] Zhengyu Yin, Albert Xin Jiang, Matthew P Johnson, Christopher Kiekintveld, Kevin Leyton-Brown, Tuomas Sandholm, Milind Tambe, and John P Sullivan. Trusts: Scheduling randomized patrols for fare inspection in transit systems. In *Twenty-Fourth IAAI Conference*, 2012.
- [333] Pradeep Varakantham, Hoong Chuin Lau, and Zhi Yuan. Scalable randomized patrolling for securing rapid transit networks. In *Twenty-Fifth IAAI Conference*, 2013.
- [334] Matthew Brown, Sandhya Saisubramanian, Pradeep Varakantham, and Milind Tambe. Streets: game-theoretic traffic patrolling with exploration and exploitation. In *Twenty-Sixth IAAI Conference*, 2014.
- [335] Elham Shaabani, Ashkan Aleali, Paulo Shakarian, and John Bertetto. Early identification of violent criminal gang members. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 2079–2088. ACM, 2015.
- [336] Yuecheng Rong, Zhimian Xu, RuiBo Yan, and Xu Ma. Du-parking: Spatio-temporal big data tells you realtime parking availability. 2018.
- [337] Dong Wang, Junbo Zhang, Wei Cao, Jian Li, and Yu Zheng. When will you arrive? estimating travel time based on deep neural networks. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.

- [338] Hao Liu, Ting Li, Renjun Hu, Yanjie Fu, Jingjing Gu, and Hui Xiong. Joint representation learning for multi-modal transportation recommendation. In *AAAI*, 2019.
- [339] Vikas Joshi, Nithya Rajamani, Takayuki Katsuki, Naveen Prathapaneni, and L Venkata Subramaniam. Information fusion based learning for frugal traffic state sensing. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [340] Bing Yu, Haoteng Yin, and Zhanxing Zhu. Spatio-temporal graph convolutional networks: a deep learning framework for traffic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3634–3640. AAAI Press, 2018.
- [341] Eoin O’Mahony and David B Shmoys. Data analysis and optimization for (citi) bike sharing. In *Twenty-ninth AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2015.
- [342] Nathan Schurr, Richard Good, Amy Alexander, Paul Picciano, Gabriel Ganberg, Michael Therrien, Bettina Beard, and Jon Holbrook. A testbed for investigating task allocation strategies between air traffic controllers and automated agents. 2010.
- [343] Zhiguang Cao, Hongliang Guo, Jie Zhang, and Ulrich Fastenrath. Multiagent-based route guidance for increasing the chance of arrival on time. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [344] Feng Zheng, Xin Miao, and Heng Huang. Fast vehicle identification via ranked semantic sampling based embedding. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3697–3703. AAAI Press, 2018.
- [345] Liujuan Cao, Rongrong Ji, Cheng Wang, and Jonathan Li. Towards domain adaptive vehicle detection in satellite image by supervised super-resolution transfer. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [346] Yang Wang, Yiwei Xiao, Xike Xie, Ruoyu Chen, and Hengchang Liu. Real-time traffic pattern analysis and inference with sparse video surveillance information. In *IJCAI*, pages 3571–3577, 2018.
- [347] Pramod Anantharam, Krishnaprasad Thirunarayan, Surendra Marupudi, Amit Sheth, and Tanvi Banerjee. Understanding city traffic dynamics utilizing sensor and textual observations. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [348] Mingyang Zhang, Tong Li, Hongzhi Shi, Yong Li, and Pan Hui. A decomposition approach for urban anomaly detection across spatiotemporal data. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 6043–6049. AAAI Press, 2019.
- [349] John Krumm and Eric Horvitz. Traffic updates: Saying a lot while revealing a little. In *AAAI*, 2019.
- [350] Cen Chen, Kenli Li, Sin G Teo, Xiaofeng Zou, Kang Wang, Jie Wang, and Zeng Zeng. Gated residual recurrent graph neural networks for traffic prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 485–492, 2019.
- [351] Zulong Diao, Xin Wang, Dafang Zhang, Yingru Liu, Kun Xie, and Shaoyao He. Dynamic spatial-temporal graph convolutional neural networks for traffic forecasting. In *AAAI*, 2019.



- [352] Shengnan Guo, Youfang Lin, Ning Feng, Chao Song, and Huaiyu Wan. Attention based spatial-temporal graph convolutional networks for traffic flow forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 922–929, 2019.
- [353] Xuan Song, Hiroshi Kanasugi, and Ryosuke Shibasaki. Deeptransport: Prediction and simulation of human mobility and transportation mode at a citywide level. In *IJCAI*, volume 16, pages 2618–2624, 2016.
- [354] Hanyuan Zhang, Hao Wu, Weiwei Sun, and Baihua Zheng. Deeptravel: a neural network based travel time estimation model with auxiliary supervision. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3655–3661. AAAI Press, 2018.
- [355] Avinash Achar, Venkatesh Sarangan, Rohith Regikumar, and Anand Sivasubramaniam. Predicting vehicular travel times by modeling heterogeneous influences between arterial roads. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [356] John Krumm and Eric Horvitz. Risk-aware planning: Methods and case study for safer driving routes. In *Twenty-Ninth IAAI Conference*, 2017.
- [357] Supriyo Ghosh, Jing Yu Koh, and Patrick Jaillet. Improving customer satisfaction in bike sharing systems through dynamic repositioning. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-19*, pages 5864–5870. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, 7 2019.
- [358] Yexin Li, Yu Zheng, and Qiang Yang. Dynamic bike reposition: A spatio-temporal reinforcement learning approach. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 1724–1733. ACM, 2018.
- [359] Adish Singla, Marco Santoni, Gábor Bartók, Pratik Mukerji, Moritz Meenen, and Andreas Krause. Incentivizing users for balancing bike sharing systems. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [360] Ling Pan, Qingpeng Cai, Zhixuan Fang, Pingzhong Tang, and Longbo Huang. A deep reinforcement learning framework for rebalancing dockless bike sharing systems. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 1393–1400, 2019.
- [361] Junming Liu, Leilei Sun, Qiao Li, Jingci Ming, Yanchi Liu, and Hui Xiong. Functional zone based hierarchical demand prediction for bike system expansion. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 957–966. ACM, 2017.
- [362] Youru Li, Zhenfeng Zhu, Deqiang Kong, Meixiang Xu, and Yao Zhao. Learning heterogeneous spatial-temporal representation for bike-sharing demand prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 1004–1011, 2019.
- [363] Kaixiang Lin, Renyu Zhao, Zhe Xu, and Jiayu Zhou. Efficient large-scale fleet management via multi-agent deep reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 1774–1783. ACM, 2018.
- [364] Xiaocheng Tang, Zhiwei Tony Qin, Fan Zhang, Zhaodong Wang, Zhe Xu, Yintai Ma, Hongtu Zhu, and Jieping Ye. A deep value-network based approach for multi-driver order dispatching. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 1780–1790. ACM, 2019.

- [365] Zhe Xu, Zhixin Li, Qingwen Guan, Dingshui Zhang, Qiang Li, Junxiao Nan, Chunyang Liu, Wei Bian, and Jieping Ye. Large-scale order dispatch in on-demand ride-hailing platforms: A learning and planning approach. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 905–913. ACM, 2018.
- [366] Siddhartha Banerjee, Daniel Freund, and Thodoris Lykouris. Pricing and optimization in shared vehicle systems: An approximation framework. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*, pages 517–517. ACM, 2017.
- [367] Siddhartha Banerjee, Carlos Riquelme, and Ramesh Johari. Pricing in ride-share platforms: A queueing-theoretic approach. *Available at SSRN 2568258*, 2015.
- [368] Kostas Bimpikis, Ozan Candogan, and Daniela Saban. Spatial pricing in ride-sharing networks. *Operations Research*, 2019.
- [369] Hongyao Ma, Fei Fang, and David C Parkes. Spatio-temporal pricing for ridesharing platforms. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*, pages 583–583. ACM, 2019.
- [370] Daniel Freund, Shane G Henderson, and David B Shmoys. Minimizing multimodular functions and allocating capacity in bike-sharing systems. In *International Conference on Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization*, pages 186–198. Springer, 2017.
- [371] Hangil Chung, Daniel Freund, and David B Shmoys. Bike angels: An analysis of citi bike’s incentive program. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies*, page 5. ACM, 2018.
- [372] Li Zhu, Fei Richard Yu, Yige Wang, Bin Ning, and Tao Tang. Big data analytics in intelligent transportation systems: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 20(1):383–398, 2018.
- [373] Yujie Fan, Yiming Zhang, Yanfang Ye, and Xin Li. Automatic opioid user detection from twitter: Transductive ensemble built on different meta-graph based similarities over heterogeneous information network. In *IJCAI*, pages 3357–3363, 2018.
- [374] Shiv Shankar, Daniel Sheldon, Tao Sun, John Pickering, and Thomas G. Dietterich. Three-quarter sibling regression for denoising observational data. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-19*, pages 5960–5966. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, 7 2019.
- [375] Di Chen and Carla P Gomes. Bias reduction via end-to-end shift learning: Application to citizen science. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 493–500, 2019.
- [376] Yexiang Xue, Ian Davies, Daniel Fink, Christopher Wood, and Carla P Gomes. Avicaching: A two stage game for bias reduction in citizen science. In *Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Autonomous Agents & Multiagent Systems*, pages 776–785. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2016.
- [377] Zipei Fan, Ayumi Arai, Xuan Song, Apichon Witayangkurn, Hiroshi Kanasugi, and Ryosuke Shibasaki. A collaborative filtering approach to citywide human mobility completion from sparse call records. In *IJCAI*, pages 2500–2506, 2016.

- [378] Heinrich Von Stackelberg. *Market structure and equilibrium*. 1934.
- [379] Praveen Paruchuri, Jonathan P Pearce, Milind Tambe, Fernando Ordonez, and Sarit Kraus. An efficient heuristic approach for security against multiple adversaries. In *Proceedings of the 6th international joint conference on Autonomous agents and multiagent systems*, page 181. ACM, 2007.
- [380] Dmytro Korzhyk, Vincent Conitzer, and Ronald Parr. Complexity of computing optimal stackelberg strategies in security resource allocation games. In *Twenty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2010.
- [381] Vincent Conitzer and Tuomas Sandholm. Computing the optimal strategy to commit to. In *Proceedings of the 7th ACM conference on Electronic commerce*, pages 82–90. ACM, 2006.
- [382] Christopher Kiekintveld, Manish Jain, Jason Tsai, James Pita, Fernando Ordóñez, and Milind Tambe. Computing optimal randomized resource allocations for massive security games. In *Proceedings of The 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 1*, pages 689–696. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2009.
- [383] Rong Yang, Albert Xin Jiang, Milind Tambe, and Fernando Ordonez. Scaling-up security games with boundedly rational adversaries: A cutting-plane approach. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [384] Manish Jain, Dmytro Korzhyk, Ondřej Vaněk, Vincent Conitzer, Michal Pěchouček, and Milind Tambe. A double oracle algorithm for zero-sum security games on graphs. In *The 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 1*, pages 327–334. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2011.
- [385] Rong Yang, Fernando Ordonez, and Milind Tambe. Computing optimal strategy against quantal response in security games. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 2*, pages 847–854. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2012.
- [386] Thanh Hong Nguyen, Rong Yang, Amos Azaria, Sarit Kraus, and Milind Tambe. Analyzing the effectiveness of adversary modeling in security games. In *Twenty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2013.
- [387] Christopher Kiekintveld, Towhidul Islam, and Vladik Kreinovich. Security games with interval uncertainty. In *Proceedings of the 2013 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems*, pages 231–238. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2013.
- [388] Avrim Blum, Nika Haghtalab, and Ariel D Procaccia. Learning optimal commitment to overcome insecurity. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1826–1834, 2014.
- [389] Shahrzad Gholami, Bryan Wilder, Matthew Brown, Dana Thomas, Nicole Sintov, and Milind Tambe. Divide to defend: Collusive security games. In *International Conference on Decision and Game Theory for Security*, pages 272–293. Springer, 2016.
- [390] Zheyuan Ryan Shi, Ziyi Tang, Long Tran-Thanh, Rohit Singh, and Fei Fang. Designing the game to play: optimizing payoff structure in security games. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 512–518. AAAI Press, 2018.

- [391] Maria-Florina Balcan, Avrim Blum, Nika Haghtalab, and Ariel D Procaccia. Commitment without regrets: Online learning in stackelberg security games. In *Proceedings of the sixteenth ACM conference on economics and computation*, pages 61–78. ACM, 2015.
- [392] Arunesh Sinha, Fei Fang, Bo An, Christopher Kiekintveld, and Milind Tambe. Stackelberg security games: Looking beyond a decade of success. In *IJCAI*, pages 5494–5501, 2018.
- [393] Latanya Sweeney. Weaving technology and policy together to maintain confidentiality. *The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 25(2-3):98–110, 1997.
- [394] Yaniv Erlich and Arvind Narayanan. Routes for breaching and protecting genetic privacy. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 15(6):409–421, 2014.
- [395] Arvind Narayanan and Vitaly Shmatikov. Robust de-anonymization of large sparse datasets. In *2008 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, SP*, pages 111–125, 2008.
- [396] Rui Chen, Benjamin Fung, Bipin C Desai, and Néria M Sossou. Differentially private transit data publication: a case study on the montreal transportation system. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 213–221. ACM, 2012.
- [397] Yonghui Xiao and Li Xiong. Protecting locations with differential privacy under temporal correlations. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, pages 1298–1309. ACM, 2015.
- [398] Darakhshan J Mir, Sibren Isaacman, Ramón Cáceres, Margaret Martonosi, and Rebecca N Wright. Dp-where: Differentially private modeling of human mobility. In *2013 IEEE international conference on big data*, pages 580–588. IEEE, 2013.
- [399] Fei Yu, Stephen E Fienberg, Aleksandra B Slavković, and Caroline Uhler. Scalable privacy-preserving data sharing methodology for genome-wide association studies. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 50:133–141, 2014.
- [400] Cynthia Dwork, Frank McSherry, Kobbi Nissim, and Adam Smith. Calibrating noise to sensitivity in private data analysis. In *Theory of cryptography conference*, pages 265–284. Springer, 2006.
- [401] Cynthia Dwork. Differential privacy. In *Proceedings of the 33rd international conference on Automata, Languages and Programming-Volume Part II*, pages 1–12. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [402] Michael Kearns. Efficient noise-tolerant learning from statistical queries. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 45(6):983–1006, 1998.
- [403] Avrim Blum, Cynthia Dwork, Frank McSherry, and Kobbi Nissim. Practical privacy: the sulq framework. In *Proceedings of the twenty-fourth ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGART symposium on Principles of database systems*, pages 128–138. ACM, 2005.
- [404] Zhanglong Ji, Zachary C Lipton, and Charles Elkan. Differential privacy and machine learning: a survey and review. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.7584*, 2014.
- [405] Nicolas Papernot, Martín Abadi, Ulfar Erlingsson, Ian Goodfellow, and Kunal Talwar. Semi-supervised knowledge transfer for deep learning from private training data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.05755*, 2016.

- [406] Jonathan Ullman and Salil Vadhan. Pcps and the hardness of generating private synthetic data. In *Theory of Cryptography Conference*, pages 400–416. Springer, 2011.
- [407] Noman Mohammed, Rui Chen, Benjamin Fung, and Philip S Yu. Differentially private data release for data mining. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 493–501. ACM, 2011.
- [408] Liyang Xie, Kaixiang Lin, Shu Wang, Fei Wang, and Jiayu Zhou. Differentially private generative adversarial network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06739*, 2018.
- [409] James Jordon, Jinsung Yoon, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Pate-gan: generating synthetic data with differential privacy guarantees. In *ICLR*, 2019.
- [410] Raphael Bost, Raluca Ada Popa, Stephen Tu, and Shafi Goldwasser. Machine learning classification over encrypted data. In *NDSS*, volume 4324, page 4325, 2015.
- [411] Joppe W Bos, Kristin Lauter, and Michael Naehrig. Private predictive analysis on encrypted medical data. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 50:234–243, 2014.
- [412] Eric Horvitz. Principles of mixed-initiative user interfaces. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 159–166. ACM, 1999.
- [413] Donald A Norman. How might people interact with agents. *Communications of the ACM*, 37(7):68–71, 1994.
- [414] Saleema Amershi, Dan Weld, Mihaela Vorvoreanu, Adam Fourney, Besmira Nushi, Penny Collisson, Jina Suh, Shamsi Iqbal, Paul N Bennett, Kori Inkpen, et al. Guidelines for human-ai interaction. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, page 3. ACM, 2019.
- [415] Dylan Hadfield-Menell, Anca Dragan, Pieter Abbeel, and Stuart Russell. The off-switch game. In *Workshops at the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2017.
- [416] Gagan Bansal, Besmira Nushi, Ece Kamar, Daniel S Weld, Walter S Lasecki, and Eric Horvitz. Updates in human-ai teams: Understanding and addressing the performance/compatibility tradeoff. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 2429–2437, 2019.
- [417] Min Kyung Lee, Daniel Kusbit, Anson Kahng, Ji Tae Kim, Xinran Yuan, Allissa Chan, Daniel See, Ritesh Noothigattu, Siheon Lee, Alexandros Psomas, and Ariel D. Procaccia. Webuildai: Participatory framework for algorithmic governance. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, 3(CSCW):181:1–181:35, November 2019.
- [418] Carrie J Cai, Samantha Winter, David Steiner, Lauren Wilcox, and Michael Terry. Hello ai: Uncovering the onboarding needs of medical practitioners for human-ai collaborative decision-making. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW):104, 2019.
- [419] Qian Yang, Aaron Steinfeld, and John Zimmerman. Unremarkable ai: Fitting intelligent decision support into critical, clinical decision-making processes. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, page 238. ACM, 2019.

- [420] Dakuo Wang, Justin D Weisz, Michael Muller, Parikshit Ram, Werner Geyer, Casey Dugan, Yla Tausczik, Horst Samulowitz, and Alexander Gray. Human-ai collaboration in data science: Exploring data scientists' perceptions of automated ai. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW):211, 2019.
- [421] Rayid Ghani. Presentation at Carnegie Mellon University, 2019.
- [422] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [423] I Sutskever, O Vinyals, and QV Le. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. *Advances in NIPS*, 2014.
- [424] Francesco Maria Delle Fave, Albert Xin Jiang, Zhengyu Yin, Chao Zhang, Milind Tambe, Sarit Kraus, and John P Sullivan. Game-theoretic patrolling with dynamic execution uncertainty and a case study on a real transit system. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 50:321–367, 2014.
- [425] Joi Ito. The world is complex. measuring charity has to be too, 2019. <https://joi.ito.com/weblog/2019/08/30/the-world-is-co.html>.
- [426] Lucas Baier, Fabian Jöhren, and Stefan Seebacher. Challenges in the deployment and operation of machine learning in practice. 2019.
- [427] Jennifer Goerg. *Impact and implementation of data science for social good projects*. PhD thesis, 2019.
- [428] NetHope. Organizational guide to ict4d, 2014. <https://solutionscenter.nethope.org/resources/organizational-guide-to-ict4d>.
- [429] Kentaro Toyama. *Geek Heresy: Rescuing Social Change from the Cult of Technology*. BusinessPro collection. PublicAffairs, 2015.
- [430] Chen Hajaj, John P Dickerson, Avinatan Hassidim, Tuomas Sandholm, and David Sarne. Strategy-proof and efficient kidney exchange using a credit mechanism. In *Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2015.
- [431] Itai Ashlagi, Felix Fischer, Ian A Kash, and Ariel D Procaccia. Mix and match: A strategyproof mechanism for multi-hospital kidney exchange. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 91:284–296, 2015.
- [432] Edward W. Felten. Machine learning meets public policy: What to expect and how to cope. Talk at NeurIPS, 2018.