

Finite Time Blowup of Solutions to SPDEs with Bernstein Functions of the Laplacian

Chang-Song Deng

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
Email: dengcs@whu.edu.cn

Wei Liu

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China
Email: weiliu@shnu.edu.cn

Erkan Nane

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
Email: ezn0001@auburn.edu

Abstract

The blowup in finite time of solutions to SPDEs

$$\partial_t u_t(x) = -\phi(-\Delta)u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x))\dot{\xi}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is investigated, where $\dot{\xi}$ could be either a white noise or a colored noise and $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a Bernstein function. The sufficient conditions on σ , $\dot{\xi}$ and the initial value that imply the non-existence of the global solution are discussed. The results in this paper generalise those in [15], where the fractional Laplacian case was considered, i.e. $\phi(-\Delta) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ ($1 < \alpha < 2$).

Keywords: stochastic partial differential equations, Bernstein function, blowup, space-time white noise, space colored noise.

1 Introduction

The finite time blowup or the non-existence of the global solutions for stochastic equations has been receiving interests of many scholars. For stochastic ordinary differential equations, a sufficient condition for almost sure explosion for one-dimensional equations was given by Feller in [10]. The result was generalised to the multi-dimensional case by Khasminskii in [16]. A different approach based on the Lyapunov function to study the explosion of the solutions was discussed by Chow and Khasminskii in [7, 8]. When the driving noise is a Lévy process, Xing and Li in [32] obtained some results about the explosive solutions of stochastic differential equations.

When the equations under investigation are stochastic partial differential equations, Mueller in [27] and Mueller and Sowers in [28] studied stochastic heat equations driven by the space-time white noise with Dirichlet boundary condition and revealed the critical value for the blowup in finite time in the pathwise sense. A series of papers by Chow [3, 4, 5] obtained results of explosive solutions for different types of stochastic partial differential equations. Bonder and Groisman in [11] took a drift term into consideration and discussed the sufficient condition on the drift term that leads to the almost surely finite time blowup of solutions to stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with the space-time white noise on the spatial domain $(0, 1)$. Lv and Duan in [25] studied similar equations with the higher dimension of

the spatial domain in the moment sense and found the interplay between the drift and diffusion for the explosion. When the driving noise is a jump process, Bao and Yuan in [2] investigated the blowup in the L^p sense for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations. Li, Peng and Jia extended the results to stochastic partial differential equations driven by a class of Lévy processes in [23]. When the delay effects are taken into consideration, Chow and Liu in [6] discussed the explosive solutions to a class stochastic functional parabolic equations of retarded type. Lv, Wang and Wang studied a class of stochastic delayed evolution equations and showed that the delay term can induce the explosion in [24]. Li in [22] generalised the result to the case of the Lévy noise.

More recently, stochastic partial differential equations with fractional operators have been received increasingly attentions. Foondun and Parshad studied the non-existence of global random field solutions and finite energy solutions to stochastic heat equations with the fractional Laplacian driven by white noise in [14]. Wang in [31] investigated similar problems under different conditions. Foondun, Liu and Nane obtained some non-existence results for fractional stochastic heat equations driven by colored noise on the multi-dimensional spatial domain in [15]. Asogwa, Mijena and Nane in [1] considered the blowup of solutions to more general fractional stochastic partial differential equations, where the temporal operator was also fractional.

In this paper, we investigate the non-existence of global solutions to SPDEs of the following form

$$\partial_t u_t(x) = -\phi(-\Delta)u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x))\dot{\xi}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\dot{\xi}$ could be either a white noise or a colored noise, $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a Bernstein function, i.e. a C^∞ -function such that $\phi \geq 0$ and with alternating derivatives $(-1)^n \phi^{(n)} \leq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies

Assumption 1.1. σ is locally Lipschitz satisfying the following growth condition: there exists some $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\sigma(x) \geq |x|^{1+\gamma} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

It is clear that equation (1.1) recovers those equations discussed in [15] when $\phi(s) = s^{\alpha/2}$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$. In addition, by choosing different Bernstein functions, several other different types of SPDEs can be covered by equation (1.1).

It is well known, see e.g. [29, Theorem 3.2], that every Bernstein function enjoys a unique Lévy–Khintchine representation

$$\phi(s) = \phi(0+) + bs + \int_{(0, \infty)} (1 - e^{-sx}) \nu(dx), \quad s > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\phi(0+) \geq 0$ is the killing term, $b \geq 0$ is the drift parameter, and ν is a Lévy measure, that is, a Radon measure on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying $\int_{(0, \infty)} (1 \wedge x) \nu(dx) < \infty$. Let S_t be a subordinator (without killing) whose characteristic (Laplace) exponent is the Bernstein function ϕ with $\phi(0+) = 0$; it is a non-decreasing Lévy process on $[0, \infty)$ with $S_0 = 0$, and its Laplace transform is of the form

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-rS_t} = e^{-t\phi(r)}, \quad r > 0, t \geq 0.$$

We will use the following assumptions on the Bernstein function ϕ .

Assumption 1.2. The Bernstein function ϕ satisfies

$$\int_{0+} \frac{ds}{s^\delta \phi(s)} = \infty \quad \text{for some } \delta < 1/2.$$

Assumption 1.3. The Bernstein function ϕ satisfies

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(s)}{\log s} > 0.$$

Assumption 1.4. *The Bernstein function ϕ satisfies*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(\lambda r)}{\phi(r)} > 1 \quad \text{for some (hence, all) } \lambda > 1.$$

Remark 1.5. *Since Assumption 1.4 implies that ϕ grows at least like a (fractional) power (cf. [9, Lemma 2.3 (i)]), Assumption 1.4 implies Assumption 1.3*

Example 1.6. *We list here some examples of ϕ that satisfy the above assumptions. We refer the reader to [29] for more examples of such Bernstein functions.*

- a) (**Stable subordinators**) *Let $\phi(s) = s^{\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha < 2$. Then Assumption 1.2 holds iff $\alpha > 1$, and Assumptions 1.3-1.4 always hold.*
- b) (**Relativistic stable subordinators**) *Let $\phi(s) = (s + m^{2/\alpha})^{\alpha/2} - m$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, $m > 0$. Then Assumptions 1.2-1.4 always hold.*
- c) (**Gamma subordinators**) *Let $\phi(s) = \log(1 + s)$. Then Assumptions 1.2-1.3 hold, but Assumption 1.4 does not hold.*
- d) (**Geometric stable subordinators**) *Let $\phi(s) = \log(1 + s^{\alpha/2})$, $0 < \alpha < 2$. Then Assumption 1.2 hold iff $\alpha > 1$, Assumption 1.3 always hold, and Assumption 1.4 does not hold.*
- e) *Let $\phi(s) = \log\left(1 + s + \sqrt{(1+s)^2 - 1}\right)$. Then Assumption 1.3 holds, but Assumptions 1.2 and 1.4 do not hold.*
- f) *Let $\phi(s) = \log^2\left(1 + s + \sqrt{(1+s)^2 - 1}\right)$. Then Assumptions 1.2-1.4 hold.*
- g) *Let $\phi(s) = s^{\alpha/2} \log^{\beta/2}(1 + s)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, $0 < \beta \leq 2 - \alpha$. Then Assumption 1.2 holds iff $\alpha + \beta > 1$, and Assumptions 1.3-1.4 always hold.*
- h) *Let $\phi(s) = s^{\alpha/2} \log^{-\beta/2}(1 + s)$, $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < 2$. Then Assumption 1.2 holds iff $\alpha - \beta > 1$, and Assumptions 1.3-1.4 always hold.*
- i) *Let $\phi(s) = s(1 + s)^{-\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha < 2$. Then Assumptions 1.2-1.4 always hold.*

With suitable requirements imposed on ϕ , we discuss the finite time blowup of the solutions of (1.1) in L^2 sense where the driving noise is white or colored in space. We also investigate the influence of the initial values. For such a general setting with the Bernstein function, new ideas and techniques are needed for proofs of results in our paper. Other related results concerning Bernstein functions of the Laplacian can be found in [18, 19, 21].

This paper is organized as follows. The main results are presented in Section 2, where the case of white noise goes to Subsection 2.1 and the case of colored noise is discussed in Subsection 2.2. In order to prove our results, we make some preparations in Section 3. The proofs of main theorems are given in Section 4. Section 5 concludes this paper and discusses some possible future research directions.

Throughout the paper, we denote by $B(\zeta, R)$ the open Euclidean ball of radius $R > 0$ centered at $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

2 Main results

Main theorems of this paper are stated in this section which is divided into two parts for cases of the white noise and the space colored noise. In each part, we discuss two different conditions on the initial values.

2.1 Case I: white noise

Consider

$$\partial_t u_t(x) = -\phi(-\Delta)u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x))\dot{W}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is a white noise, and $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a Bernstein function.

A mild solution to (2.1) in the sense of Walsh [30] is given by

$$u_t(x) = (\mathcal{G}u)_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t p_{t-s}(x-y)\sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$(\mathcal{G}u)_t(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x-y)u_0(y) dy, \quad (2.3)$$

and $p_t(x-y) = p_t(x, y)$ denotes the heat kernel of $-\phi(-\Delta)$. If we further have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^k < \infty \quad \text{for all } T > 0, k \geq 2, \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.4)$$

then we say that u is a *random field* solution to equation (2.1). A sufficient condition of the existence of solutions when σ is globally Lipschitz is the Dalangs condition (see [12]) that boils down to the following in our case

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1 + \phi(|\xi|^2)} d\xi < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

Since we investigate the finite time blowup, the global existence of the solution is not expected. By using the technique of stopping times, it is clear to see the existence and uniqueness of a local solution [17, 30] given Assumption 1.1. So from now on, by saying $u_t(x)$ is the solution to equations investigated in this paper, we mean the local solution up to a stopping time.

Throughout this paper, the initial condition u_0 will always be a non-negative bounded deterministic function.

We first assume that the initial condition is bounded below by a positive constant.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $d = 1$ and u_t be the solution to (2.1). Suppose that the Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2 hold, and that $\kappa := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u_0(x) > 0$. Then there exists a $t_0 > 1$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 = \infty.$$

Remark 2.2. *The above result states that provided that the initial function is bounded below, the second moment will eventually cease to be finite for equations driven by (space-time) white noise*

Next, we remove the assumption that the initial condition is bounded below by a constant, i.e. $\kappa > 0$, and impose the following requirement on the initial values instead.

Let

$$K_{u_0} := \int_{B(0, 1)} u_0(x) dx. \quad (2.6)$$

We have taken $B(0, 1)$ as a matter of convenience.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that u_t is a solution of (2.1), and Assumptions 1.1 and 1.3 hold. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 = \infty \quad \text{whenever } K_{u_0} \geq K.$$

2.2 Case II: colored noise

In this section, we study equations driven by space colored noise $\dot{F}(t, x)$. Consider

$$\partial_t u_t(x) = -\phi(-\Delta)u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x))\dot{F}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.7)$$

The corresponding mild solution in the sense of Walsh [30] is given by

$$u_t(x) = (\mathcal{G}u)_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t p_{t-s}(x-y)\sigma(u_s(y))F(ds dy). \quad (2.8)$$

Here, we are interested in the random field solution as well. But we need to impose some conditions on the noise term:

$$\mathbb{E}[\dot{F}(s, x)\dot{F}(t, y)] = \delta_0(t-s)f(x, y),$$

where $0 < f(x, y) \leq g(x-y)$ and g is a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d with a possible singularity at 0 and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\hat{g}(\xi)}{1 + \phi(|\xi|^2)} d\xi < \infty,$$

where \hat{g} denotes the Fourier transform of g . Since the σ in this paper is allowed to grow polynomially, the equations discussed here only have unique local random field solutions as we have already mentioned at the beginning of Subsection 2.1.

For equations with colored noise, our results need more conditions on the spatial correlation of the noise.

Assumption 2.4. *There exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$K_{R,f} := \inf_{x,y \in B(0,R)} f(x, y) > 0.$$

This assumption is quite mild. It is not hard to see that all the following examples of $f(x, y)$ satisfy Assumption 2.4.

- Riesz kernel:

$$f(x, y) = g(x-y) = \frac{1}{|x-y|^\beta}.$$

Here we require $0 < \beta < d$ and, since $\hat{g}(\xi) = C|\xi|^{\beta-d}$ for some constant $C = C(\beta, d) > 0$, we also require that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{\beta-1}}{1 + \phi(r^2)} dr < \infty. \quad (2.9)$$

When $\phi(s) = s^{\alpha/2}$ with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, the inequality (2.9) holds if $0 < \beta < d \wedge \alpha$.

- The exponential-type kernel: $f(x, y) = \exp[-(x \cdot y)]$.
- The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-type kernel: $f(x, y) = \exp[-|x-y|^\alpha]$ with $\alpha \in (0, 2]$.
- Poisson kernel:

$$f(x, y) = g(x-y) = \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^2 + 1} \right)^{(d+1)/2}.$$

In this case, since $\hat{g}(\xi) = C e^{-|\xi|}$ for some constant $C = C(d) > 0$, the Dalang condition boils down to the requirement that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{d-1} e^{-r}}{1 + \phi(r^2)} dr < \infty.$$

- Cauchy kernel:

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{1}{1 + (x_j - y_j)^2} \right).$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let u_t be the solution to (2.7) and suppose that Assumption 2.4 holds. For any $t_0 > 0$, there exists a positive number $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0(t_0)$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 = \infty, \quad \text{whenever } \kappa := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u_0(x) \geq \kappa_0.$$

Similar to the case of white noise in Section 2.1, we remove the assumption that the initial condition is bounded below by a constant now.

Theorem 2.6. *Let u_t be the solution to (2.7). Then, under Assumptions 2.4, there exists a $t_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 = \infty \quad \text{whenever } K_{u_0} \geq K,$$

where K_{u_0} has the same format as that in (2.6) with $B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ in this case and K is a positive constant.

So far, all the equations discussed in Subsections 2.1 and 2.2 are in the whole spatial domain. As mentioned in Section 1, many previous works investigated equations with some boundary conditions. For fixed $R > 0$, the final theorem of our paper investigates the equation in the ball $B(0, R)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Theorem 2.7. *Assume that ϕ is given by (1.2) with $\phi(0+) = b = 0$ and satisfies Assumption 1.4, fix $R > 0$ and consider*

$$\partial_t u_t(x) = \mathcal{L}u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x))\dot{F}(t, x) \quad t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \in B(0, R). \quad (2.10)$$

Here \mathcal{L} is the generator of a Lévy process corresponding to $-\phi(-\Delta)$ killed upon exiting the ball $B(0, R)$. The noise \dot{F} is taken to be spatially colored with correlation function satisfying all the conditions stated above. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $t_0 > 0$ and $\kappa_0 > 0$, such that if $\inf_{x \in B(0, R/2)} u_0(x) > \kappa_0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 = \infty \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \in B(0, R - \epsilon).$$

3 Some preparations

3.1 Bernstein function and the corresponding subordinator

The following definition is taken from [20, Definition 1.1 (1)].

Definition 3.1. *We say that $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies the lower scaling condition if there exist $a \geq 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $C_L \in (0, 1]$ such that*

$$\frac{g(\lambda r)}{g(r)} \geq C_L \lambda^\gamma \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq 1 \text{ and } r > a.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- i) $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(\lambda_0 r)}{g(r)} > 1$ for some $\lambda_0 > 1$;

ii) g satisfies the lower scaling condition.

Proof. First, choosing $\lambda_0 > 1$ large enough such that $C_L \lambda_0^\gamma > 1$, we get the direction ii) \Rightarrow i). Conversely, suppose that i) holds true for some $\lambda_0 > 1$. For $\lambda \geq 1$, let $k := \lfloor \log_{\lambda_0} \lambda \rfloor + 1$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of a non-negative real number $x \geq 0$. Since i) implies that there exist $c_1 > 1$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$g(\lambda_0 r) \geq c_1 g(r), \quad r > c_2,$$

we find that for all $r > c_2$ and $\lambda \geq 1$

$$g(\lambda r) \geq g\left(\lambda_0^{k-1} r\right) \geq c_1^{k-1} g(r) > c_1^{\log_{\lambda_0} \lambda - 1} g(r) = \frac{g(r)}{c_1} \lambda^{\log_{\lambda_0} c_1}.$$

This means that g satisfies the lower scaling condition with $a = c_2$, $\gamma = \log_{\lambda_0} c_1$, and $C_L = c_1^{-1}$. \square

Combining Lemma 3.2 and [9, Lemma 2.2 (i)], we get the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- i) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r) = \infty$ and $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g^{-1}(\lambda_0 r)}{g^{-1}(r)} < \infty$ for some $\lambda_0 > 1$;
- ii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r) = \infty$ and $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g^{-1}(\lambda r)}{g^{-1}(r)} < \infty$ for all $\lambda > 1$;
- iii) $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(\lambda_0 r)}{g(r)} > 1$ for some $\lambda_0 > 1$;
- iv) g satisfies the lower scaling condition;

If g is concave, then i)–iv) are also equivalent to:

- v) $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(\lambda r)}{g(r)} > 1$ for all $\lambda > 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that Assumption 1.3 holds. Then for any $\beta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t^{-\beta} = 0.$$

Proof. Using the identity

$$x^{-\beta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\infty e^{-rx} r^{\beta-1} dr, \quad x \geq 0,$$

and Tonelli's theorem,

$$\mathbb{E} S_t^{-\beta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-r S_t} r^{\beta-1} dr \right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t \phi(r)} r^{\beta-1} dr.$$

By Assumption 1.3, there exist $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 1$ such that

$$\phi(r) \geq c_1 \log r \quad \text{for all } r \geq c_2.$$

This implies that for $t \geq 2\beta/c_1$,

$$e^{-t \phi(r)} r^{\beta-1} \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{r < c_2\}} r^{\beta-1} + \mathbf{1}_{\{r \geq c_2\}} r^{-c_1 t + \beta - 1} \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{r < c_2\}} r^{\beta-1} + \mathbf{1}_{\{r \geq c_2\}} r^{-\beta-1}.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} S_t^{-\beta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\infty \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t \phi(r)} r^{\beta-1} dr = 0. \quad \square$$

The following lemma is essential due to [26, Proposition 2.4]. We include a simple proof for completeness.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $t > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{t} \right) \right]^{-1} \leq S_t \leq \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{-1} \right) \geq \frac{e^{3/2} - 2e + 1}{e(e-1)} > 0.$$

Proof. It follows from the Chebyshev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{t} \right) \right]^{-1} \leq S_t \leq \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{-1} \right) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(S_t > \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{-1} \right) - \mathbb{P} \left(S_t < \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{t} \right) \right]^{-1} \right) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(1 - e^{-\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})S_t} > 1 - e^{-1} \right) - \mathbb{P} \left(e^{-\phi^{-1}(\frac{2}{t})S_t} > e^{-1} \right) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-1}} \mathbb{E} \left[1 - e^{-\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})S_t} \right] - e \cdot \mathbb{E} e^{-\phi^{-1}(\frac{2}{t})S_t} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-1/2}}{1 - e^{-1}} - e \cdot e^{-2} \\ &= \frac{e^{3/2} - 2e + 1}{e(e-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Using the same argument, it is easy to get the following estimate.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $t > 0$ and $c \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(S_t \leq \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{t} \right) \right]^{-1} \right) \geq \frac{e^{1-c} - 1}{e - 1}.$$

Proof. By the Chebyshev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(S_t \leq \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{t} \right) \right]^{-1} \right) = 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(1 - \exp \left[-\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{t} \right) S_t \right] > 1 - e^{-1} \right) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-1}} \mathbb{E} \left(1 - \exp \left[-\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{t} \right) S_t \right] \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-1}} \left(1 - \exp \left[-t\phi \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{t} \right) \right) \right] \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-c}}{1 - e^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{e^{1-c} - 1}{e - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3.2 Some estimates of the heat kernel

As a transition density function of subordinate Brownian motion, the heat kernel of $-\phi(-\Delta)$ is given by

$$p_t(x - y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(4\pi s)^{1/2}} e^{-|x-y|^2/(4s)} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds),$$

where S_t is the subordinator associated with Bernstein function ϕ . Then it is easy to see the monotonicity:

$$p_t(x) \geq p_t(y) \quad \text{whenever } |x| \leq |y|. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.7. *If $p_t(0) \leq 1$ and $\tau \geq 2$, then*

$$p_t\left(\frac{x-y}{\tau}\right) \geq p_t(x)p_t(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof. Noting that

$$\frac{|x-y|}{\tau} \leq \frac{|x|+|y|}{\tau} \leq \frac{2(|x| \vee |y|)}{\tau} \leq |x| \vee |y|,$$

it holds from the monotonicity (3.1) that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$p_t\left(\frac{x-y}{\tau}\right) \geq p_t(|x| \vee |y|) \geq p_t(|x|) \wedge p_t(|y|) \geq p_t(|x|)p_t(|y|),$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact that

$$p_t(|x|) \vee p_t(|y|) \leq p_t(0) \leq 1, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.8. *There exists $c = c(d) > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$,*

$$p_t(x) \geq c \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right) \right]^{d/2} \quad \text{provided } |x| \leq \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{t}\right) \right]^{-1/2}.$$

Proof. First, for any $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(x) &\geq (4\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{[\phi^{-1}(\frac{2}{t})]^{-1}}^{[\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1}} s^{-d/2} e^{-|x|^2/(4s)} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) \\ &\geq (4\pi)^{-d/2} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right) \right]^{d/2} e^{-|x|^2 \phi^{-1}(\frac{2}{t})/4} \mathbb{P}\left(\left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{t}\right) \right]^{-1} \leq S_t \leq \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right) \right]^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $|x| \leq \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{t}\right) \right]^{-1/2}$, it holds from Lemma 3.5 that

$$p_t(x) \geq (4\pi)^{-d/2} e^{-1/4} \frac{e^{3/2} - 2e + 1}{e(e-1)} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right) \right]^{d/2}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.9. *There exists $c = c(d) > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$,*

$$\int_{|y| \leq \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right) \right]^{-1/2}} p_t(y) dy \geq c.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.6 with $c = 1/2$, it is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|y| \leq [\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1/2}} p_t(y) \, dy \\
&= (4\pi)^{-d/2} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{|y| \leq [\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1/2}} e^{-|y|^2/(4s)} \, dy \right) s^{-d/2} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{d-1}\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^{[\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1/2}} r^{d-1} e^{-r^2/(4s)} \, dr \right) s^{-d/2} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{4s}[\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1}} r^{-1/2} e^{-r} \, dr \right) \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^\infty r^{-1/2} e^{-r} \mathbb{P}\left(S_t \leq \frac{1}{4r} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right]^{-1}\right) \, dr \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(d/2)} \mathbb{P}\left(S_t \leq \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right]^{-1}\right) \int_0^{1/4} r^{-1/2} e^{-r} \, dr \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(d/2)} \frac{\sqrt{e}-1}{e-1} \int_0^{1/4} r^{-1/2} \, dr = \frac{1}{\Gamma(d/2)} \frac{\sqrt{e}-1}{e-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.10. *There exists $c = c(d) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(y)^2 \, dy \geq c \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right]^{d/2}, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. By the Jensen inequality and Tonelli's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right]^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(y)^2 \, dy \\
&\geq \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right]^{-d/2} (4\pi)^{-d} \int_{|y| \leq [\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1/2}} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{-d/2} e^{-|y|^2/(4s)} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) \right)^2 \, dy \\
&\geq \frac{d\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} (4\pi)^{-d} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{|y| \leq [\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1/2}} e^{-|y|^2/(4s)} \, dy \right) s^{-d/2} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{2d}{4^d \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^{[\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1/2}} e^{-r^2/(4s)} r^{d-1} \, dr \right) s^{-d/2} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{d}{2\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{4s}[\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{-1}} e^{-z} z^{d/2-1} \, dz \right) \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{d}{2\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-z} z^{d/2-1} \mathbb{P}\left(S_t \leq \frac{1}{4z} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right]^{-1}\right) \, dz \right)^2 \\
&\geq \frac{d}{2\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \left(\mathbb{P}\left(S_t \leq \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right]^{-1}\right) \int_0^{1/4} e^{-z} z^{d/2-1} \, dz \right)^2 \\
&\geq \frac{d}{2\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{e}-1}{e-1} \int_0^{1/4} e^{-z} z^{d/2-1} \, dz \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used Lemma 3.6 with $c = 1/2$. This implies the desired lower bound. \square

Alternate proof of Lemma 3.10. By Plancharel's theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(y)^2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{p}_t(\xi)^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2t\phi(|\xi|^2)} d\xi = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-2t\phi(r^2)} r^{d-1} dr.$$

Since ϕ is an increasing function, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(y)^2 dy &\geq \int_0^{[\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{1/2}} e^{-2t\phi(r^2)} r^{d-1} dr \\ &\geq \exp\left[-2t\phi \circ \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right] \int_0^{[\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2t})]^{1/2}} r^{d-1} dr \\ &= \frac{1}{ed} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)\right]^{d/2}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Suppose that Assumptions 1.3 holds. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ and $c = c(d) > 0$ such that*

$$(\mathcal{G}u)_{t+t_0}(x) \geq cK_{u_0} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, t_0] \text{ and } x \in B(0, 1).$$

Proof. Since

$$p_t(0) = (4\pi)^{-d/2} \int_0^\infty s^{-d/2} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in ds) = (4\pi)^{-d/2} \mathbb{E}S_t^{-d/2},$$

it follows from Assumption 1.3 and Lemma 3.4 that we can pick up $t_0 \geq \frac{2}{\phi(1/4)}$ (and so, $[\phi^{-1}(2/t_0)]^{-1/2} \geq 2$) such that $p_{t_0}(0) < 1$. By Lemma 3.7,

$$p_{t_0}(x-y) = p_{t_0}\left(\frac{2x-2y}{2}\right) \geq p_{t_0}(2x)p_{t_0}(2y),$$

which, together with Lemma 3.8, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{G}u)_{t_0}(x) &\geq p_{t_0}(2x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t_0}(2y)u_0(y) dy \\ &\geq p_{t_0}(2x) \int_{B(0,1)} p_{t_0}(2y)u_0(y) dy \\ &\geq c \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t_0}\right)\right]^{d/2} p_{t_0}(2x)K_{u_0} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c = c(d) > 0$. By the monotonicity (3.1) and the semigroup property,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{G}u)_{t_0+t}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x-z)(\mathcal{G}u)_{t_0}(z) dz \\ &\geq cK_{u_0} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t_0}\right)\right]^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x-z)p_{t_0}(2z) dz \\ &\geq cK_{u_0} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t_0}\right)\right]^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(2x-2z)p_{t_0}(2z) dz \\ &= c_1K_{u_0}2^{-d} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t_0}\right)\right]^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(2x-y)p_{t_0}(y) dy \\ &= cK_{u_0}2^{-d} \left[\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2t_0}\right)\right]^{d/2} p_{t+t_0}(2x). \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: Illustration of the proof of Lemma 3.12

For $x \in B(0, 1)$ and $t \in (0, t_0]$,

$$|2x| \leq 2 \leq \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{t_0} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \leq \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{t+t_0} \right) \right]^{-1/2},$$

which, together with Lemma 3.8, yields that

$$p_{t+t_0}(2x) \geq c \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2(t+t_0)} \right) \right]^{d/2} \geq c \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{4t_0} \right) \right]^{d/2}.$$

Thus, for all $x \in B(0, 1)$ and $t \leq t_0$,

$$(\mathcal{G}u)_{t_0+t}(x) \geq c^2 K_{u_0} 2^{-d} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t_0} \right) \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{4t_0} \right) \right]^{d/2}. \quad \square$$

Denote by $|\mathcal{A}|$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $0 < r \leq R/2$, $x \in B(0, R)$, and $\mathcal{A} := B(0, R) \cap B(x, r)$. Then there exist $c_i = c_i(d) > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, such that*

$$c_1 r^d \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq c_2 r^d.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A} \subset B(x, r)$, the upper bound is clear. It remains to prove the lower bound. If $|x| < R/2$, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A} = B(x, r)$ and the claim follows. If $R/2 \leq |x| < R$, taking ζ on the line segment between 0 and x such that $|x - \zeta| = r/2$, then we have $B(\zeta, r/2) \subset \mathcal{A}$ and thus $|\mathcal{A}| \geq |B(\zeta, r/2)| = c r^d$ for some $c = c(d) > 0$; see Figure 3.2 for the illustration. \square

Let $\Phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be the strictly increasing function defined by

$$\Phi(r) := \frac{1}{\phi(r^{-2})}, \quad r > 0.$$

Proposition 3.13. *Suppose that Assumptions 1.4 and 2.4 hold for some $R > 0$. Then there exists $c = c(d, R) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{B(0, R) \times B(0, R)} p_{t-s}(x_1 - y_1) p_{t-s}(x_2 - y_2) f(y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \geq c K_{R, f}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in B(0, R)$ and $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 2\Phi(R/2)$, where R is the same as that in Assumption 2.4.

Proof. First, Assumption 2.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(0, R) \times B(0, R)} p_{t-s}(x_1 - y_1) p_{t-s}(x_2 - y_2) f(y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \geq K_{R, f} \int_{B(0, R) \times B(0, R)} p_{t-s}(x_1 - y_1) p_{t-s}(x_2 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 2\Phi(R/2)$. For $i = 1, 2$, set

$$\mathcal{A}_i := B(0, R) \cap B\left(x_i, [\phi^{-1}(2/(t-s))]^{-1/2}\right).$$

Noting that

$$\frac{1}{2(t-s)} \geq \frac{1}{2t} \geq \frac{1}{4\Phi(R/2)} = \frac{1}{4}\phi((2/R)^2), \quad (3.2)$$

one has

$$[\phi^{-1}(2/(t-s))]^{-1/2} \leq \frac{R}{2}.$$

By Lemma 3.12, there exists $c_1 = c_1(d) > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{A}_i| \geq c_1 [\phi^{-1}(2/(t-s))]^{-d/2}.$$

Then it follows from the heat kernel estimate given in Lemma 3.8 that for some $c_2 = c_2(d) > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(0, R) \times B(0, R)} p_{t-s}(x_1 - y_1) p_{t-s}(x_2 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \geq \int_{\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2} p_{t-s}(x_1 - y_1) p_{t-s}(x_2 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \geq c_2 [\phi^{-1}(1/(2(t-s)))]^d |\mathcal{A}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{A}_2| \\ & \geq c_1^2 c_2 \left[\frac{\phi^{-1}(1/(2(t-s)))}{\phi^{-1}(2/(t-s))} \right]^d. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, there exists $c_3 = c_3(R) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\phi^{-1}(4r)}{\phi^{-1}(r)} \leq c_3 \quad \text{for all } r \geq \frac{1}{4}\phi((2/R)^2),$$

which, together with (3.2), implies

$$\frac{\phi^{-1}(1/(2(t-s)))}{\phi^{-1}(2/(t-s))} \geq \frac{1}{c_3}.$$

Combining all the estimates above, we get the required inequality. \square

For an open subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $p_{D,t}(x, y)$ denote the heat kernel of the process (associated with the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$) killed upon exiting D . According to Lemma 3.2, the following lemma is a particular case of [20, Proposition 3.4].

Lemma 3.14. *Suppose that ϕ is given by (1.2) with $\phi(0+) = b = 0$ and satisfies Assumption 1.4. For any $R > 0$, if Then for any $R > 0$, there exists $c = c(d, R) > 0$ such that*

$$p_{B(0,R),t}(x, y) \geq c [\Phi^{-1}(t)]^{-d}$$

for all $0 < t \leq \Phi(R/8)$ and $x, y \in B(0, R/2)$ with $|x - y| \leq \Phi^{-1}(t)$.

For $R > 0, t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let

$$(\mathcal{G}_{B(0,R)}u)_t(x) := \int_{B(0,R)} p_{D,t}(x, y)u_0(y) dy.$$

Proposition 3.15. *Suppose that ϕ is given by (1.2) with $\phi(0+) = b = 0$ and satisfies Assumption 1.4. Let $R > 0$ and $\varrho := \inf_{x \in B(0, R/2)} u_0(x) > 0$. Then there exists $c = c(d, R) > 0$ such that for all $x \in B(0, R/2)$ and $t \leq \Phi(R/8)$,*

$$(\mathcal{G}_{B(0,R)}u)_t(x) \geq c.$$

Proof. The proof is quite straightforward. We use Lemma 3.14 to see that for $t \leq \Phi(R/8)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{G}_{B(0,R)}u)_t(x) &\geq \int_{B(0, R/2)} p_{B(0,R),t}(x, y)u_0(y) dy \\ &\geq \varrho \int_{\{y \in B(0, R/2) : |x-y| \leq \Phi^{-1}(t)\}} p_{B(0,R),t}(x, y) dy \\ &\geq \varrho c [\Phi^{-1}(t)]^{-d} \cdot |B(0, R/2) \cap B(x, \Phi^{-1}(t))| \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c = c(d, R) > 0$. It remains to use Lemma 3.12 to complete the proof. \square

Proposition 3.16. *Suppose that Assumptions 2.4 holds with some $R > 0$ and that ϕ is given by (1.2) with $\phi(0+) = b = 0$ and satisfies Assumption 1.4. Then there exists $c = c(d, R) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{B(0, R/2) \times B(0, R/2)} p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_1 - y_1)p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_2 - y_2)f(y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \geq cK_{R,f}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in B(0, R/2)$ and $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \Phi(R/8)$.

Proof. Assumption 2.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B(0, R/2) \times B(0, R/2)} p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_1 - y_1)p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_2 - y_2)f(y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\geq K_{R,f} \int_{B(0, R/2) \times B(0, R/2)} p_{D,t-s}(x_1 - y_1)p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_2 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 \leq s \leq t \leq \Phi(R/8)$. We now use Lemma 3.12 to observe that if for $i = 1, 2$, we set

$$\mathcal{A}_i := B(0, R/2) \cap B(x_i, \Phi^{-1}(t - s)),$$

then $|\mathcal{A}_i| \geq c_1 [\Phi^{-1}(t - s)]^d$ for some $c_1 = c_1(d) > 0$. We therefore obtain from Lemma 3.14 that for some $c_2 = c_2(R) > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B(0, R/2) \times B(0, R/2)} p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_1 - y_1)p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_2 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\geq \int_{\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2} p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_1 - y_1)p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x_2 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\geq c_2^2 [\Phi^{-1}(t - s)]^{-2d} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2| \\ &\geq c_2^2 c_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimates above, we have the required inequality. \square

3.3 Comparison results for nonlinear renewal type inequalities

Lemma 3.17. *Suppose that Assumption 1.2 holds. Let A and B be two positive constants. If g is a nonnegative function satisfying*

$$g(t) \geq A + B \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{1/2} \int_0^t g(s)^{1+\varepsilon} ds, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a constant, then there exists $t_0 = t_0(A, B, \varepsilon, \delta) > 1$ such that $g(t) = \infty$ for all $t \geq t_0$.

Proof. Let

$$h(t) := g(t) \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{-1/2}.$$

Since ϕ is increasing, for $t \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &\geq A \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{-1/2} + B \int_0^t h(s)^{1+\varepsilon} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} ds \\ &\geq A \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} + B \int_1^t h(s)^{1+\varepsilon} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)^{1+\varepsilon}} = B \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}, \quad t \geq 1$$

with initial value

$$f(1) = A \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{-1/2},$$

we get

$$f(t) = \left(A^{-\varepsilon} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{\varepsilon/2} - B\varepsilon \int_1^t \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} ds \right)^{-1/\varepsilon}, \quad t \geq 1.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^t \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} ds &= \int_1^\infty \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} r^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \frac{\phi'(r)}{\phi(r)^2} dr \\ &= \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} \left(\int_0^r s^{(\varepsilon-1)/2} ds \right) \frac{\phi'(r)}{\phi(r)^2} dr \\ &= \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} \left(\int_s^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\phi'(r)}{\phi(r)^2} dr \right) s^{(\varepsilon-1)/2} ds \\ &= \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(s)} - 2 \right) s^{(\varepsilon-1)/2} ds \\ &= \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{ds}{s^{(1-\varepsilon)/2} \phi(s)} - \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} s^{(\varepsilon-1)/2} ds \\ &= \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{ds}{s^{(1-\varepsilon)/2} \phi(s)} - \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Case 1: First, we consider the case that $\varepsilon \leq 1 - 2\delta$. Then by Assumption 1.2 and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^t \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} ds &\geq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^{1 \wedge \phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{ds}{s^{(1-\varepsilon)/2} \phi(s)} - \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \\ &\geq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^{1 \wedge \phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{ds}{s^\delta \phi(s)} - \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists $t_0 = t_0(A, B, \varepsilon) > 1$ such that

$$B\varepsilon \int_1^{t_0} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right) \right]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} ds = A^{-\varepsilon} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{\varepsilon/2},$$

and hence $f(t_0) = \infty$. By the comparison principle, we know that $g(t) = \infty$ for all $t \geq t_0$.

Case 2: It remains to consider the case that $\varepsilon > 1 - 2\delta$. Since $g(t) \geq A$ for all $t > 0$, it holds from the assumption that

$$g(t) \geq A + BA^{\varepsilon-(1-2\delta)} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{1/2} \int_0^t g(s)^{1+(1-2\delta)} ds, \quad t > 0.$$

Applying the result in **Case 1** with B replaced by $BA^{\varepsilon-(1-2\delta)}$, and ε replaced by $1 - 2\delta$, we conclude that there exists $t_0 = t_0(A, B, \varepsilon, \delta) > 1$ such that $g(t) = \infty$ for all $t \geq t_0$. \square

Lemma 3.18. *Let $T > 0$ and $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfy*

$$g(t) \geq A + B \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2T} \right) \right]^d \int_0^t g(s)^{1+\gamma} ds \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T],$$

where A, B, γ are positive numbers. Then for any $t_0 \in (0, T]$, there exists $A_0 = A_0(t_0) > 0$ such that for $A > A_0$,

$$g(t) = \infty \quad \text{whenever } t \geq t_0.$$

Proof. The solution to the integral equation

$$f(t) = A + B \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2T} \right) \right]^d \int_0^t f(s)^{1+\gamma} ds, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

is given by

$$f(t) = \left(A^{-\gamma} - \gamma B \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2T} \right) \right]^d t \right)^{-1/\gamma}.$$

The blowup occurs at $t = \gamma^{-1} A^{-\gamma} B^{-1} \left[\phi^{-1} (1/(2T)) \right]^{-d}$. For $t_0 \in (0, T]$, take $A_0 = [\gamma t_0 B]^{-1/\gamma} \left[\phi^{-1} (1/(2T)) \right]^{-d/\gamma}$. If $A > A_0$, then we get blow-up for $f(t)$ before t_0 . Finally, it remains to apply the comparison principle to finish the proof. \square

4 Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By the Walsh isometry, Assumption 1.1, and Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 &= |(\mathcal{G}u)_t(x)|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 \mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s(y))|^2 dy ds \\ &\geq \kappa^2 + \int_0^t \left(\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|u_s(x)|^2 \right)^{1+\gamma} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 dy \right) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$F(t) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2,$$

it follows from Lemma 3.10 (with $d = 1$) that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}F(t) &\geq \kappa^2 + c \int_0^t F(s)^{1+\gamma} \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2(t-s)} \right) \right]^{1/2} ds \\ &\geq \kappa^2 + c \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \right]^{1/2} \int_0^t F(s)^{1+\gamma} ds.\end{aligned}$$

This, together with Lemma 3.17, implies the desired assertion. \square

The following proposition is essential for the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that Assumptions 1.1, 1.3 and 2.4 hold. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$*

$$\inf_{x \in B(0,1)} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 = \infty \quad \text{whenever } K_{u_0} \geq K.$$

Proof. Let t_0 be as in Proposition 3.11. By the Walsh isometry,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|u_{t+t_0}(x)|^2 &= |(\mathcal{G}u)_{t+t_0}(x)|^2 + \int_0^{t+t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t+t_0-s}(x-y)^2 \mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s(y))|^2 dy ds \\ &\geq |(\mathcal{G}u)_{t+t_0}(x)|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 \mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_{s+t_0}(y))|^2 dy ds \\ &=: I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

For $x \in B(0,1)$ and $t \leq t_0$, by Proposition 3.11, $I_1 \geq c_1 K_{u_0}^2$ for some $c_1 = c_1(d) > 0$. By Assumption 1.1 and the Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &\geq \int_0^t \int_{B(0,1)} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 (\mathbb{E}|u_{s+t_0}(y)|^2)^{1+\gamma} dy ds \\ &\geq \int_0^t \left(\inf_{x \in B(0,1)} \mathbb{E}|u_{s+t_0}(x)|^2 \right)^{1+\gamma} \int_{B(0,1)} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 dy ds.\end{aligned}$$

For $x, y \in B(0,1)$ and $0 \leq s < t \leq t_0$,

$$|x-y| \leq 2 \leq \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{t_0} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \leq \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{t-s} \right) \right]^{-1/2},$$

which, together with Lemma 3.8, implies that for some $c_2 = c_2(d) > 0$,

$$\int_{B(0,1)} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 dy \geq c_2 \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2(t-s)} \right) \right]^d \geq c_2 \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t_0} \right) \right]^d.$$

Setting

$$G(t) := \inf_{x \in B(0,1)} \mathbb{E}|u_{t+t_0}(x)|^2,$$

we find that

$$G(t) \geq c_1 K_{u_0}^2 + c_2 \left[\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2t_0} \right) \right]^d \int_0^t G(s)^{1+\gamma} ds \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, t_0].$$

Combining this with Lemma 3.18, we complete the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 &= |(\mathcal{G}u)_t(x)|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 \mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s(y))|^2 dy ds \\ &\geq |(\mathcal{G}u)_t(x)|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 (\mathbb{E}|u_s(y)|^2)^{1+\gamma} dy ds \\ &\geq |(\mathcal{G}u)_t(x)|^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{B(0,1)} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 (\mathbb{E}|u_s(y)|^2)^{1+\gamma} dy ds \\ &\geq |(\mathcal{G}u)_t(x)|^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \inf_{z \in B(0,1)} (\mathbb{E}|u_s(z)|^2)^{1+\gamma} \int_{B(0,1)} p_{t-s}(x-y)^2 dy ds, \end{aligned}$$

where t_0 is from Proposition 4.1. Now the desired claim follows immediately by Proposition 4.1 \square

A new idea is required for the proof of Theorem 2.5. Briefly speaking, the non-linear renewal inequality on the second moment is not applicable here. We need to look at a different quantity, which is presented and proved as follows.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a $t_0 > 0$ and $\kappa_0 > 0$ such that whenever $\kappa := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u_0(x) > \kappa_0$, then for all $x, y \in B(0, R)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)u_t(y)| = \infty \quad \text{for all } t \in \left(t_0, (\phi((2/R)^2))^{-1} \right),$$

where R is the same as that in Assumption 2.4.

Proof. We start with the mild solution given in equation (2.8) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)u_t(y)| \\ &\geq \mathcal{G}u_t(x)\mathcal{G}u_t(y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(x-z)p_{t-s}(y-w)f(z,w) (\mathbb{E}|u_s(z)u_s(w)|)^{1+\gamma} dz dw ds \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that the initial condition is bounded below by κ gives

$$I_1 \geq \kappa^2.$$

We now assume that $t < (\phi((2/R)^2))^{-1}$ and use Proposition 3.13 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\geq \int_0^t \left(\inf_{z, w \in B(0, R)} \mathbb{E}|u_s(z)u_s(w)| \right)^{1+\gamma} \int_{B(0, R) \times B(0, R)} p_{t-s}(x-z)p_{t-s}(y-w)f(z,w) dz dw ds \\ &\geq c_1 K_{R,f} \int_0^t \left(\inf_{z, w \in B(0, R)} \mathbb{E}|u_s(z)u_s(w)| \right)^{1+\gamma} ds. \end{aligned}$$

We now set

$$G(s) := \inf_{x, y \in B(0, R)} \mathbb{E}|u_s(x)u_s(y)|.$$

We combine the estimates above to obtain

$$G(t) \geq \kappa^2 + c_1 K_{R,f} \int_0^t G(s)^{1+\gamma} ds \quad \text{for } t \leq (\phi((2/R)^2))^{-1}.$$

The blow-up is implied by taking κ big enough and we can make sure that t_0 is as small as we wish. The proof is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. We use the above proposition to prove the theorem. Indeed, from the mild formulation, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 \geq \kappa^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{B(0, R) \times B(0, R)} p_{t-s}(x-y)p_{t-s}(x-w)f(y, w) (\mathbb{E}|u_s(y)u_s(w)|)^{1+\gamma} dy dw ds.$$

The result now follows from the fact that all the functions involved on the right of the last inequality are strictly positive. \square

We state the following proposition before the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Proposition 4.3. *Let u_t be the solution to (2.7). Suppose that Assumption 2.4 holds. Then there exists a $\tilde{t} > 0$ such that for all $t \geq \tilde{t}$, we have*

$$\inf_{x, y \in B(0, R)} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)u_t(y)| = \infty,$$

whenever $K_{u_0} > K$ for some positive constant K .

Proof. Our starting point is the mild formulation from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)u_t(y)| &\geq (\mathcal{G}u)_t(x)(\mathcal{G}u)_t(y) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(x-z)p_{t-s}(y-w)f(z-w)\mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s(z))\sigma(u_s(w))| dz dw ds. \end{aligned}$$

The proof here essentially follows the same idea as in the previous proofs. So, we take t_0 as in Proposition 3.11 and set

$$G(s) := \inf_{x, y \in B(0, R)} \mathbb{E}|u_{s+t_0}(x)u_{s+t_0}(y)|.$$

Using the ideas in Proposition 4.2, we obtain that

$$G(t) \geq c_1 K_{u_0}^2 + c_2 K_{R,f} \int_0^t G(s)^{1+\gamma} ds,$$

for a suitable range of t . It is easy to see that this finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6. With the proposition above, the proof of the theorem is now very similar to that of Theorem 2.3 and is therefore omitted. \square

The proof of Theorem 2.7 follows a similar pattern to the proofs of the previous results. We emphasize that in the case of (2.10), the mild solution is given by

$$u_t(x) = (\mathcal{G}_{B(0, R)}u)_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t p_{B(0, R), t-s}(x-y)\sigma(u_s(y)) F(ds dy).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.7. As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)u_t(y)| &\geq (\mathcal{G}_{B(0,R)}u)_t(x)(\mathcal{G}_{B(0,R)}u)_t(y) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{B(0,R) \times B(0,R)} p_{B(0,R),t-s}(x-z)p_{B(0,R),t-s}(y-w)f(z-w)(\mathbb{E}|u_s(z)u_s(w)|)^{1+\gamma} dz dw ds \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.15, if $x, y \in B(0, R/2)$ and $t \leq \Phi(R/8)$, we have $I_1 \geq c_1$ for some $c_1 = c_1(d, R) > 0$. We now estimate the second term I_2 . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\geq \int_0^t \left(\inf_{x, y \in B(0, R/2)} \mathbb{E}|u_s(x)u_s(y)| \right)^{1+\gamma} \\ &\quad \times \int_{B(0, R/2) \times B(0, R/2)} p_{B(0, R), t-s}(x-z)p_{B(0, R), t-s}(y-w)f(z-w) dz dw ds. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Proposition 3.16, we get that for $t \leq \Phi(R/8)$,

$$I_2 \geq c_2 K_{R,f} \int_0^t \left(\inf_{x, y \in B(0, R/2)} \mathbb{E}|u_s(x)u_s(y)| \right)^{1+\gamma} ds$$

for some $c_2 = c_2(d, R) > 0$. By combining the above inequalities and setting

$$G(s) := \inf_{x, y \in B(0, R/2)} \mathbb{E}|u_s(x)u_s(y)|,$$

we have

$$G(t) \geq c_1 + c_2 K_{R,f} \int_0^t G(s)^{1+\gamma} ds, \quad t \leq \Phi(R/8).$$

We see that for any $t_0 < \Phi(R/8)$, there exists a κ_0 such that if $\inf_{x \in B(0, R/2)} u_0(x) > \kappa_0$, then $F(s) = \infty$ for all $s \geq t_0$. We finish the proof by the following observation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2 &\geq |(\mathcal{G}u)_t(x)|^2 \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \int_{B(0, R/2) \times B(0, R/2)} p_{B(0, R), t-s}(x-z)p_{B(0, R), t-s}(x-w)f(z-w)(\mathbb{E}|u_s(z)u_s(w)|)^{1+\gamma} dz dw ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the positivity of all the relevant terms involved, we obtain the result using the fact that $F(s) = \infty$ for all $s \geq t_0$. \square

5 Conclusion and future research

In this paper, we studied the non-existence of the global solutions to a class of stochastic partial differential equations with a Bernstein function of the Laplacian operator acting on the space variable. Both the cases of (space-time) white noise and (space) colored noise were discussed. In each of the cases, we studied the blowup of the solution in L^2 sense with two different assumptions on the initial values. We also considered blowup for equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

By choosing certain forms of the Bernstein functions, the theorems in this paper cover several existing results. In addition, the general setting of the Bernstein function allows our results to include some equations that have not been studied yet.

In the future, we are interested in the finite time blowup of stochastic partial differential equations with some time fractional operators and fractional noise as well as equations with a drift term. Another topic that we will investigate is the blowup of SPDEs in the almost sure sense.

Acknowledgement

Wei Liu would like to thank the Natural Science Foundation of China (11701378, 11871343, 11971316), Chenguang Program supported by both Shanghai Education Development Foundation and Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (16CG50), and Shanghai Gaofeng & Gaoyuan Project for University Academic Program Development for their supports.

References

- [1] Asogwa, S. A., Mijena, J. B. and Nane, E. Blow-up results for space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equations. *Potential Anal.*, Online first, (2019), DOI: 10.1007/s11118-019-09772-0.
- [2] Bao, J. and Yuan, C. Blow-up for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with jumps. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 29 (2) (2016), 617–631.
- [3] Chow, P-L. Unbounded positive solutions of nonlinear parabolic Itô equations. *Commun. Stoch. Anal.*, 3 (2) (2009), 211–222.
- [4] Chow, P-L. Explosive solutions of stochastic reaction-diffusion equations in mean L^p -norm. *J. Differential Equations*, 250 (5) (2011), 2567–2580.
- [5] Chow, P-L. Nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear stochastic wave equations in mean L^p -norm. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, 30 (3) (2012), 543–551.
- [6] Chow, P-L. and Liu, K. Positivity and explosion in mean L^p -norm of stochastic functional parabolic equations of retarded type. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122 (4) (2012), 1709–1729.
- [7] Chow, P-L. and Khasminskii, R. Method of Lyapunov functions for analysis of absorption and explosion in Markov chains. *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, 47 (2011), 19–38.
- [8] Chow, P-L. and Khasminskii, R. Almost sure explosion of solutions to stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 124 (1) (2014), 639–645.
- [9] Deng, C.-S., Schilling, R. L. and Song, Y.-H. Subgeometric rates of convergence for Markov processes under subordination. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 49 (2017), 162–181.
- [10] Feller, W. Diffusion processes in one dimension. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 77 (1954), 1–31.
- [11] Fernández Bonder, J. and Groisman, P. Time-space white noise eliminates global solutions in reaction-diffusion equations. *Phys. D*, 238 (2) (2009), 209–215.
- [12] Foondun, M. and Khoshnevisan, D. Intermittence and nonlinear parabolic stochastic partial differential equations. *Electron. J. Probab.* 14 (2009), no. 21, 548–568.
- [13] Foondun, M. and Khoshnevisan, D. On the stochastic heat equation with spatially-colored random forcing. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 365 (2013), no. 1, 409–458.
- [14] Foondun, M. and Parshad, R. D. On non-existence of global solutions to a class of stochastic heat equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143 (9) (2015), 4085–4094.
- [15] Foondun, M., Liu, W. and Nane, E. Some non-existence results for a class of stochastic partial differential equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 266 (5) (2019), 2575–2596.

- [16] Khasminskii, R. Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes and stabilization of the solutions of the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 5 (1960), 196–214.
- [17] Khoshnevisan, D. Analysis of stochastic partial differential equations. *CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math.*, 2014.
- [18] Hiroshima, F., Ichinose, T. and Lőrinczi, J. Path integral representation for Schrödinger operators with Bernstein functions of the Laplacian. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 24 (2012), no. 6, 1250013, 44 pp.
- [19] Hiroshima, F. and Lőrinczi, J. Lieb-Thirring bound for Schrödinger operators with Bernstein functions of the Laplacian. *Commun. Stoch. Anal.*, 6 (2012), no. 4, 589–602.
- [20] Kim, P. and Mimica, A. Estimates of Dirichlet heat kernels for subordinate Brownian motions. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 23 (2018), Paper No. 64, 45 pp.
- [21] Kwaśnicki, M. and Mucha, J. Extension technique for complete Bernstein functions of the Laplace operator. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 18 (2018), no. 3, 1341–1379.
- [22] Li, K. Blow-up of solutions for semilinear stochastic delayed reaction-diffusion equations with Lévy noise. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 75 (2) (2018), 388–400.
- [23] Li, K., Peng, J. and Jia, J. Explosive solutions of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 37 (10) (2017), 5105–5125.
- [24] Lv, G., Wang, L. and Wang, X. Positive and unbounded solution of stochastic delayed evolution equations. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, 34 (5) (2016), 927–939.
- [25] Lv, G. and Duan, J. Impacts of noise on a class of partial differential equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 258 (6) (2015), 2196–2220.
- [26] Mimica, A. Heat kernel estimates for subordinate Brownian motions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 113 (3) (2016), no. 5, 627–648.
- [27] Mueller, C. The critical parameter for the heat equation with a noise term to blow up in finite time. *Ann. Probab.*, 28 (4) (2000), 1735–1746.
- [28] Mueller, C. and Sowers, R. Blowup for the heat equation with a noise term. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 97 (3) (1993), 287–320.
- [29] Schilling R.L., Song R. and Vondraček Z. *Bernstein Functions. Theory and Applications* (2nd Edn). De Gruyter, *Studies in Mathematics* **37**, Berlin 2012.
- [30] Walsh, J. B. *An Introduction to Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, École d’été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIV|1984, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1180, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 265–439.
- [31] Wang, X. Blow-up solutions of the stochastic nonlocal heat equations. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 19 (2) (2019), 1950014, 12 pp.
- [32] Xing, J. and Li, Y. Explosive solutions for stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 454 (1) (2017), 94–105.