BERRY-ESSEEN BOUNDS FOR RANDOM PROJECTIONS OF ℓ_p^n -BALLS

SAMUEL JOHNSTON AND JOSCHA PROCHNO

ABSTRACT. In this work we study the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for the Euclidean norm of random orthogonal projections of vectors chosen at random from an ℓ_p^n -ball which has been obtained in [Alonso-Gutiérrez, Prochno, Thäle: Gaussian fluctuations for high-dimensional random projections of ℓ_p^n -balls, Bernoulli 25(4A), 2019, 3139–3174]. More precisely, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let E_n be a random subspace of dimension $k_n \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, P_{E_n} the orthogonal projection onto E_n , and X_n be a random point in the unit ball of ℓ_p^n . We prove a Berry-Esseen theorem for $\|P_{E_n}X_n\|_2$ under the condition that $k_n \to \infty$. This answers in the affirmative a conjecture of Alonso-Gutiérrez, Prochno, and Thäle who obtained a rate of convergence under the additional condition that $k_n/n^{2/3} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. In addition, we study the Gaussian fluctuations and Berry-Esseen bounds in a 3-fold randomized setting where the dimension of the Grassmannian is also chosen randomly. Comparing deterministic and randomized subspace dimensions leads to a quite interesting observation regarding the central limit behavior. In this work we also discuss the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem of [Kabluchko, Prochno, Thäle: High-dimensional limit theorems for random vectors in ℓ_p^n -balls, Commun. Contemp. Math. (2019)] for general ℓ_q -norms of non-projected vectors chosen at random in an ℓ_p^n -ball.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The last decades have shown the power of probability theory in the study of high-dimensional convex bodies. Although high dimensions typically increase the complexity of problems, it has become apparent that convexity enforces a certain regularity in the geometry of the underlying space and in the behavior of random objects. The area dealing with random phenomena as the space dimension tends to infinity is also known as high-dimensional probability theory and it combines powerful methods from probability theory, functional analysis, and convex geometry. One of the first results in this direction is a high-dimensional central limit theorem commonly known as the Poincaré-Maxwell-Borel Lemma (see [6]), showing that the first k coordinates of a point uniformly distributed over the *n*-dimensional Euclidean ball or sphere are independent standard normal variables in the limit as $n \to \infty$ with k fixed. Later Stam studied the central limit behavior when k is allowed to vary with the dimension n [15]. Probably the best example of the last decade is a celebrated result of Klartag. He proved a central limit theorem for high-dimensional convex bodies, showing that most k-dimensional marginals of a random vector uniformly distributed in an isotropic convex body are approximately Gaussian, provided that $k = k_n$ is smaller than n^{κ} for some absolute constant $\kappa \in (0,1)$ known to satisfy $\kappa < 1/14$ [11, 12]. Other than Klartag's central limit theorem there are only a few random geometric quantities that have been shown to satisfy a central limit theorem. For instance, central limit phenomena for the log-volume of random simplices in high dimensions have recently been studied by Grote, Kabluchko, and Thäle in [7]. Then there is the central limit theorem for the volume of k-dimensional random projections of the n-dimensional cube obtained by Paouris, Pivovarov, and Zinn in [13], which in the case k = 1 was obtained independently by Kabluchko, Litvak, and Zaporozhets [8]. In fact, when k = 1 we can reformulate the result into a central limit theorem for the ℓ_1^n -norm $\|\theta\|_1$, where θ is a random vector uniformly distributed on the Euclidean sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . This has recently been extended by Kabluchko, Prochno, and Thäle to a central limit theorem for arbitrary ℓ_p^n -balls, $1 \le p \le \infty$ [9, 10]. Gaussian fluctuation in the Grassmannian setting were then studied by Alonso-Gutiérrez, Prochno, and Thäle in [2]. They proved a central

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 60F05, 41A25, 52A20 Secondary: 52A23, 52A22.

Key words and phrases. Berry-Esseen bound, central limit theorem, Grassmannian manifold, uniform distribution, cone measure, orthogonal projection, ℓ_p^n -ball, random vector.

limit theorem for the Euclidean norm of random orthogonal projections and also obtained Berry-Esseen bounds on the rate of convergence in their central limit theorem whenever the subspace dimensions grow fast enough as the dimension n of the ambient space tends to infinity.

In this paper we investigate the rate of convergence in the Grassmannian setting studied in [2]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by E_n a random k_n -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , by P_{E_n} the orthogonal projection onto E_n , and by X_n a random point in the unit ball of ℓ_p^n . Alonso-Gutiérrez, Prochno, and Thäle proved a Berry-Esseen theorem for the sequence $||P_{E_n}X_n||_2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of random variables under the condition that the subspace dimensions k_n tend to infinity and satisfy $k_n/n^{2/3} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Here we weaken the assumption considerably by showing that the condition $k_n/n^{2/3} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ may be lifted. Contrary to the more analytic approach pursued in [2], we use a probabilistic one based on the geometry of Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances and the relationship between different Gaussian densities under this metric. One of the ingredients in our approach is giving a bound on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between different Gaussians in terms of the variances. This can be seen as a version of a result appearing in a paper of Brehm and Voigt [5]. This tool teams up with basic properties of Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances and the classical Berry-Esseen theorem in allowing us to establish convergence in the Grassmannian setting studied in [2].

We also discuss a related problem to the one studied in [2], where the dimension K_n of the Grassmannian is chosen randomly according to a binomial distribution. Here an underlying probabilistic structure makes certain aspects of this 3-fold randomized problem simpler than its "deterministic" counterpart: the sum of K_n random variables may be represented as a sum of n random variables multiplied by indicator functions, and as a result the key random variables involved lend themselves more easily to use in the Berry-Esseen theorem.

In addition to the Grassmannian setting, we provide a Berry-Esseen type central limit theorem for the ℓ_q^n -norm of a random vector in an ℓ_p^n ball, where $0 and <math>q \ne p$ with $0 < q < \infty$. This setting has been investigated by Kabluchko, Prochno, and Thäle in [9, 10]. For q = 2 the Berry-Esseen bound already follows from [2, Theorem 1.2], when the subspace dimensions k_n are chosen to be n (and so $k_n/n^{2/3}$ tends to infinity as n does).

1.1. The distributions on the ℓ_p^n -balls. Before stating our main results, we need to introduce our class of probability measures on unit balls of ℓ_p^n , following the description in [2, 3]. Let $||x||_p := (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ be the *p*-norm, and let \mathbb{B}_p^n be the unit ball of ℓ_p^n . Let $\mathbb{U}_{n,p}$ be the uniform distribution on \mathbb{B}_p^n and let $\mathbb{C}_{n,p}$ be the cone probability measure on its boundary. (We give a full definition for the cone measure on Section 2.2.) Let \mathbb{W} be any Borel probability measure on $[0, \infty)$. In this paper we consider distributions on \mathbb{B}_p^n of the form

$$\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}} := \mathbb{W}\left(\{0\}\right) \mathbb{C}_{n,p} + H\mathbb{U}_{n,p},$$

where $H:\mathbb{B}_p^n\to\mathbb{R}$ is given by $H(x)=h(||x||_p)$ with

$$h(r) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{p})} \frac{1}{(1-r^p)^{1+n/p}} \int_0^\infty s^{n/p} e^{-r^p s/(1-r^p)} \mathbb{W}(\mathrm{d}s), \qquad r \in [0,1].$$
(1)

In other words this means that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_p^n} f(x) \mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{W}\left(\{0\}\right) \int_{\mathbb{S}_p^{n-1}} f(x) \mathbb{C}_{n,p}(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{\mathbb{B}_p^n} f(x) H(x) \mathbb{U}_{n,p}(\mathrm{d}x)$$

for all non-negative measurable functions $f : \mathbb{B}_p^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

It is a plain that when \mathbb{W} is the Dirac mass at 0 the measure $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ coincides with the cone probability measure $\mathbb{C}_{n,p}$. On the other hand, the choice

$$\mathbb{W}(\mathrm{d}s) := p^{-1}e^{-s/p}\mathrm{d}s$$

yields that $H \equiv 1$ and $\mathbb{W}(\{0\}) = 0$, and hence in this case $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ is equal to the uniform measure on \mathbb{B}_p^n . Let us also mention here that when \mathbb{W} is the gamma distribution with a certain parameter, a random vector with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ may be understood as an *n* dimensional projection of a random element of \mathbb{B}_p^{n+m} [2, 3]. With these special cases in mind, for the remainder of this article we will work in full generality, with the probability measure \mathbb{W} at hand.

Define

$$M_p(r) := \frac{p^{r/p}}{r+1} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{r+1}{p})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{p})}.$$

As we will see below (Lemma 2.1), the constants $M_p(r)$ correspond to the moments of the socalled *p*-Gaussian distribution, and will feature in all of our results. Furthermore, in all three of our main results, Theorem A, Theorem B, and Theorem C we will encounter the quantity

$$\sigma^{2}(p,q) := \frac{1}{q^{2}} \left(\frac{M_{p}(2q)}{M_{p}(q)^{2}} - 1 \right) - \frac{2}{pq} \left(\frac{M_{p}(p+q)}{M_{p}(q)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{p^{2}} \left(M_{p}(2p) - 1 \right).$$
(2)

which is the variance of the centered random variable $\frac{|Z|^q - M_p(q)}{qM_p(q)} - \frac{|Z|^p - 1}{p}$ where Z is p-Gaussian. We emphasize that $\sigma^2(p,q)$ is not symmetric in p and q.

Finally, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between a pair of random variables is given by the sup-norm distance between their respective distribution functions. Namely, if X and Y are real-valued random variables, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between X and Y is simply

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(X,Y) := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(X \le t) - \mathbb{P}(Y \le t) \right|.$$
(3)

With these definitions at hand, we are now equipped to state our main results.

1.2. The size of random projections of random elements of \mathbb{B}_p^n . We denote by $\mathbb{G}_{n,k}$ the Grassmannian manifold of k-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . $\mathbb{G}_{n,k}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{O}(n)/(\mathcal{O}(k) \times \mathcal{O}(n-k))$, where $\mathcal{O}(m)$ stands for the orthogonal group in dimension m. There exists a unique probability measure $\nu_{n,k}$ on $\mathbb{G}_{n,k}$ that is invariant under the action of the orthogonal group. The measure $\nu_{n,k}$ has the following construction. Let $\{e_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ be the standard unit vector basis for \mathbb{R}^n , and let E_k denote the span of $\{e_i\}_{1\leq i\leq k}$. Now let T be a Haar distributed element of $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Then the (random) image TE_k of the subspace E_k under T has distribution $\nu_{n,k}$.

We now recall the main result of [2], concerning the large-*n* asymptotics of the Euclidean sizes of projections of random variables with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ onto random subspaces with law $\nu_{n,k}$. Let $p \neq 2$ and k_n be a sequence of integers such that $1 \leq k_n \leq n$ and $k_n \to \infty$. Assume that $\lambda_n := \frac{k_n}{n}$ converges to some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ as $n \to \infty$. Let X_n be a random vector with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$, and let E_n be a random subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with law ν_{n,k_n} , independent of X_n . Define the random variable

$$Y_n := \frac{n^{1/p}}{\sqrt{M_p(2)}} ||P_{E_n} X_n||_2 - \sqrt{k_n}.$$
(4)

Then [2, Theorem 1.1] states that Y_n converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable G with variance $\lambda \sigma^2(p, 2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)$, where $\sigma^2(p, 2)$ is obtained by setting q = 2 in (1.1). The authors of [2] also provide a Berry-Esseen type result. Namely, [2, Theorem 1.2] states that there are constants $c_p, C_p \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on p such that

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \le C_p \max\left\{\frac{\log(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}}, \frac{n}{k_n^{3/2}}, |\lambda_n - \lambda|, \mathbb{P}\left[W > c_p \frac{n\log(k_n)}{k_n}\right]\right\},\tag{5}$$

where W is a non-negative random variable distributed according to W. We remark that the efficacy of the bound (1.2) breaks down in the case where $\lambda = 0$ and k_n grows to infinity slower than $n^{2/3}$. The first main result of the present article is a considerable strengthening of (1.2) and states that the condition $k_n/n^{2/3} \to \infty$ can be removed.

Theorem A. Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and $p \ne 2$. Let Y_n be a random variable defined as in (1.2) where $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_n := k_n/n$ converges to $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \le C_p \max\left\{\frac{\log(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}}, |\lambda_n - \lambda|, \mathbb{P}\left[W > c_p \frac{n\log(k_n)}{k_n}\right]\right\},\$$

where W is a non-negative, independent random variable with distribution \mathbb{W} and $c_p, C_p \in (0, \infty)$ are constants depending only on p.

1.3. Random projections onto subspaces of random dimension. We will also consider subspace-valued random variables of random dimension. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{0, ..., n\}$, and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ consider the probability measure $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ on the set $\mathbb{G}_n := \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathbb{G}_{n,k}$ of all subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n defined as

$$\mu_{n,\lambda}(\mathcal{E}_{n,k}) = \binom{n}{k} \lambda^k (1-\lambda)^{n-k} \nu_{n,k}(\mathcal{E}_{n,k}),$$

whenever $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$ is a measurable subset of $\mathbb{G}_{n,k}$. In particular, if E_n is distributed according to $\mu_{n,\lambda}$, then the dimension of E_n is binomially distributed with parameters n and λ .

The measure $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ may be constructed as follows. Let $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be the standard unit vector basis of \mathbb{R}^n , and let I_{λ} be the random subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ generated by independently including each element $1 \leq i \leq n$ in I_{λ} with probability λ , and not including *i* with probability $1 - \lambda$. Now consider the random subspace

$$E_{\lambda} := \operatorname{span} \{ e_i : i \in I_{\lambda} \}$$

of \mathbb{R}^n with random dimension $\#I_{\lambda}$, where # denotes the cardinality of a finite set. Finally, let T be a Haar distributed element of the orthogonal group of dimension n. Then the image TE_{λ} of E_{λ} under T is distributed according to $\mu_{n,\lambda}$.

The following theorem concerns the asymptotic Euclidean size of a random vector with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ projected onto a random subspace E_n of distribution μ_{n,λ_n} . The sequence $(\lambda_n n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ appearing in the theorem may be interpreted as the average dimension of a subspace with distribution $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ we project onto.

Theorem B. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $p \neq 2$. Let λ_n be a sequence of elements of (0,1] such that λ_n converges to some $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Let X_n be a random variable with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$, and let E_n be a random subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with law μ_{n,λ_n} which is independent of X_n . Define the random variable

$$Y_n := \frac{n^{1/p}}{\sqrt{M_p(2)}} ||P_{E_n} X_n||_2 - \sqrt{\lambda_n n}.$$

Then, as $n \to \infty$, the random variables Y_n converge in distribution to a centered Gaussian random variable G with variance $\lambda \sigma^2(p,2) + \frac{3}{4}(1-\lambda)$. Moreover,

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \le C_p \max\left\{\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}, |\lambda_n - \lambda|, \mathbb{P}\left[W > c_p \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \log(n)\right]\right\},\$$

where W is a non-negative, independent random variable with distribution \mathbb{W} and $c_p, C_p \in (0, \infty)$ are constants depending only on p.

We conclude this subsection with two interesting observations that arise from a comparison of Theorem A and Theorem B.

Remark 1.1. Comparing the variances that occur in Theorem A and Theorem B, we notice that the one in the latter is larger. This however seems natural given the increased randomness in that setting.

Moreover, we find it particularly interesting and surprising that the additional randomness gives rise to a term $-\frac{3}{4}\lambda$ in the variance, because exactly such an additional term also arises in the comparison of a central limit theorem for random projections and deterministic projections in [10] (see discussion after Theorem E in that paper).

Remark 1.2. Theorem A together with Theorem B leads us to the observation that we have the same central limit theorem regardless of whether we project onto uniform random subspaces of dimensions k_n or onto random subspaces of random dimension, provided their dimensions are sufficiently large, i.e., $\lambda_n \to 1$ and $k_n/n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. On the other hand, if λ_n coincides with the sequence k_n/n in Theorem A and $\lambda_n \to \lambda \in [0, 1)$, then we still have central limit theorems with the same centering, but this time with different limiting variances.

norm of a random element of \mathbb{B}_p^n as the dimension n tends to infinity. To this end, let us first recall an abbreviated version of the main result in [9, Theorem 1.1 (a), d = 1]. Assume that $1 \leq p \neq q < \infty$ and let X_n be a random vector uniformly distributed on \mathbb{B}_p^n , and define the random variable

$$Y_n := \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n^{1/q - 1/p}}{M_p(q)^{1/q}} ||X_n||_q - 1 \right).$$
(6)

Then, as $n \to \infty$, Y_n converges in distribution to G, a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $\sigma^2(p,q)$ as given in (1.1). (The statement we give here is abbreviated in the sense that the authors of [9] work in a multivariate setting, considering the simultaneous convergence for different values of q.) Our final result, Theorem C, establishes an upper bound on the rate at which Y_n converges to G in terms of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance. In fact, we opt to work in a slightly more general setting, letting the random vector X_n have distribution $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ for a general choice of \mathbb{W} as was considered recently in [10].

Theorem C. Let $1 \le p \ne q < \infty$. Let X_n be a random vector with distribution $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$, Y_n be defined as in (1.4), and let G be a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $\sigma^2(p,q)$. Then,

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \le \frac{C_{p,q} \log n}{\sqrt{n}} + \mathbb{P}\left[W > c_{p,q} \sqrt{n \log n}\right]$$

where W is a non-negative, independent random variable with distribution \mathbb{W} and $c_{p,q}, C_{p,q} \in (0,\infty)$ depend only on p and q. In particular, the sequence Y_n converges in distribution to G.

Since the choice $\mathbb{W}(ds) = p^{-1}e^{-s/p} ds$ results in $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ being the uniform distribution on the unit ball \mathbb{B}_p^n , Theorem C implies the central limit theorem of Kabluchko, Prochno, and Thäle in [9] and in [10] for $W_n \equiv W$ there. Here we refrain from working in this slightly more general setting where W may depend on n.

1.5. **Overview.** The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we introduce our notation, as well as some preliminary facts about the geometry of ℓ_p^n -balls – including several probabilistic representations for random vectors that will be integral to our approach. We also initiate here our study of Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances, developing some basic facts like a triangle inequality as well as a Lipschitz continuity result for the variances of different Gaussians. These general facts will be used in the remainder of the article in Section 3, Section 4 and Section 5, which are dedicated to proving Theorem A, Theorem B, and Theorem C respectively.

2. Preliminaries and notation

In this section we shall briefly present the necessary background material together with the notation we use throughout the text.

2.1. Notation. In this article we work with \mathbb{R}^n equipped with the standard Euclidean structure. Given a subset A of \mathbb{R}^n and a subset I of the real line, we define

$$IA := \{ ra \in \mathbb{R}^n : r \in I, a \in A \}.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

For $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we will denote by $\mathbb{G}_{n,k}$ the Grassmannian of k-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . For k = 0, $\mathbb{G}_{n,0}$ is simply the set containing the trivial vector space $\{0\}$, where 0 is the origin. It is possible to endow $\mathbb{G}_{n,k}$ with a unique rotationally invariant probability measure $\nu_{n,k}$, the Haar probability measure on $\mathbb{G}_{n,k}$. For a subspace E of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote by P_E the orthogonal projection of \mathbb{R}^n onto E (with respect to the standard Euclidean structure).

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define the ℓ_p -norm of an element $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of \mathbb{R}^n by

$$||x||_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

For $p \ge 1$, whenever \mathbb{R}^n is endowed with the norm $|| \cdot ||_p$ it becomes a Banach space which we shall denote ℓ_p^n . The unit ball of ℓ_p^n is given by $\mathbb{B}_p^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_p \le 1\}$. We shall write $\mathbb{S}_p^{n-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_p = 1\}$ for the unit sphere in ℓ_p^n .

Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and a probability measure μ on a measurable space (E, \mathscr{E}) , we shall indicate by $X \sim \mu$ that the random object $X : \Omega \to E$ has distribution μ . Given any pair of random variables X and Y, we denote by

 $X \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} Y$

their equality in distribution.

Finally, we adopt the following convention for constants. We will write c_p, C_p and $c_{p,q}, C_{p,q}$ to denote positive constants depending only on p and on p, q respectively. Within subsections we will distinguish between constants by writing C_p, C'_p, C''_p etc, but two instances of C_p or C'_p occurring in different sections will denote different constants. Constants $c, C \in (0, \infty)$ etc. without any subindex are absolute constants.

2.2. The *p*-Gaussian distribution. We say a real-valued random variable has the *p*-Gaussian distribution if its density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} is given by

$$\phi_p(s) := \frac{e^{-|s|^p/p}}{2p^{1/p}\Gamma(1+1/p)} \mathrm{d}s$$

In the case p = 2, we say that the random variable has the standard Gaussian distribution.

The following Lemma, lifted from [2] (see also [9, Lemma 4.1]), gives the absolute moments of p-Gaussian random variables in terms of the gamma function.

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 3.1 [2]). Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $r \ge 0$. Let Z be p-Gaussian distributed. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|Z|^r\right] = M_p(r) := \frac{p^{r/p}}{r+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{r+1}{p})}{\Gamma(1+\frac{1}{p})}$$

and for $q \geq 0$,

$$\operatorname{Cov}\left[|Z|^{q}, |Z|^{r}\right] = M_{p}(q+r) - M_{p}(q)M_{p}(r)$$

In light of Lemma 2.1, it is straightforward to prove that with $\sigma^2(p,q)$ as in (1.1), if Z is p-Gaussian distributed, then

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{|Z|^{q} - M_{p}(q)}{qM_{p}(q)} - \frac{|Z|^{p} - 1}{p}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{|Z|^{q} - M_{p}(q)}{qM_{p}(q)} - \frac{|Z|^{p} - 1}{p}\right)^{2}\right] = \sigma^{2}(p,q)$$

2.3. Probabilistic representations for measures on \mathbb{B}_p^n . We now consider probability measures on the unit ball \mathbb{B}_p^n and its boundary \mathbb{S}_p^{n-1} . Let $\mathbb{U}_{n,p}$ be the uniform measure on \mathbb{B}_p^n . We define the cone measure $\mathbb{C}_{n,p}$ on the boundary \mathbb{S}_p^{n-1} to be the unique probability measure with the property that

$$\mathbb{C}_{n,p}(A) := \mathbb{U}_{n,p}\left([0,1]A\right),\,$$

for measurable subsets A of \mathbb{S}_p^{n-1} , where [0,1]A is defined as in (2.1). Recall that we defined the class of probability measures $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ on the unit ball given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}} := \mathbb{W}\left(\{0\}\right) \mathbb{C}_{n,p} + H\mathbb{U}_{n,p},$$

where H is a *p*-radial function given by (1.1).

The first key result of this section is a lemma from [3], stating that a random vector distributed according to $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ may be represented in terms of independent *p*-Gaussian random variables.

Lemma 2.2 ([3], Theorem 3). Let $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ be a random vector whose entries are independent and identically distributed p-Gaussians, and let W be a non-negative real-valued random variable with law \mathbb{W} . Then the n-dimensional random vector

$$\frac{Z}{\left(||Z||_p^p + W\right)^{1/p}}$$

We remark that in particular, Lemma 2.2 clarifies that the measure $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ is in fact a probability measure—a property that is not immediately obvious from the definition.

Alonso-Gutiérrez, Prochno, and Thäle [1] used Lemma 2.2 in conjunction with the rotational invariance of standard Gaussian random variables to give the following probabilistic representations for the Euclidean norm of orthogonal projections of random vectors onto random subspaces. Proposition 2.3 first appeared as [1, Theorem 3.1], though the precise formulation we use is [2, Proposition 2.7].

Proposition 2.3. Let X_n be a random vector in \mathbb{R}^n with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$, and let E_n in $\mathbb{G}_{n,k}$ be a random subspace distributed according to $\nu_{n,k}$, independent of X_n . Then we have the distributional equality

$$||P_{E_n}X_n||_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g_i^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |Z_i|^p + W\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^2\right)^{1/2}},\tag{8}$$

where Z_i are a collection of independent and identically distributed p-Gaussian random variables, and g_i are a collection of standard Gaussian random variables.

Recall that in Section 1.3 we introduced the probability measure $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ defined on the set of all subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . We require an analogue of Proposition 2.3 where the random subspace is chosen according to the probability measure $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ rather than the Grassmannian measure $\nu_{n,k}$. Lemma 2.4 gives us such a representation.

Lemma 2.4. Let X_n be a random vector in \mathbb{R}^n with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$, and let E_n be a random subspace of \mathbb{R}^n distributed according to $\mu_{n,\lambda}$, independent of X_n , where $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Then we have the distributional identity

$$||P_{E_n} X_n||_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |Z_i|^p + W\right)^{1/p}} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_i^{(\lambda)} g_i^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^2\right)^{1/2}},\tag{9}$$

where Z_i are independent p-Gaussians, g_i are independent standard Gaussians, and $I_i^{(\lambda)}$ are independent Bernoulli random variables with probability λ of taking the value 1 and probability $1 - \lambda$ of taking the value 0.

Proof. By definition, the random subspace measure $\mu_{n,\lambda}$ is equal to the random measure ν_{n,K_n} , where K_n is binomially distributed with parameters n and λ . The result follows by noting that the if K_n is independent of the g_i and Z_i , and is binomially distributed with parameters n and λ , then we have the equality in law

$$\sum_{i=1}^n I_i^{(\lambda)} g_i^2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{K_n} g_i^2.$$

Comparing (2.3) with (2.4), this implies the result.

In the next section we introduce the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between random variables, and develop some first properties of this distance.

2.4. Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances. Recall that the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between two real-valued random variables X and Y is given by

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(X,Y) := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(X \le t \right) - \mathbb{P} \left(Y \le t \right) \right|.$$
(10)

In the cases that F and G are distribution functions associated with X and Y, or f and g are the probability densities of X and Y, we will abuse notation and we will write $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(F,G)$ and $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(f,g)$ for $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(X,Y)$, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between X and Y. (The meaning will always be clear from context.)

We now elaborate on some properties of Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance. First we note that if X and Y have continuous densities f and g, then the supremum is attained at a point $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f(t) = g(t). (There may be more than one such point.)

The total variation distance between real-valued random variables X and Y is defined as

$$d_{\mathsf{TV}}(X,Y) := \sup_{A} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(X \in A \right) - \mathbb{P} \left(Y \in A \right) \right|, \tag{11}$$

where the supremum is taken over all Borel subsets of the real numbers. Again, we will write $d_{\mathsf{TV}}(F,G)$ and $d_{\mathsf{TV}}(f,g)$ for the total variation distance between distribution functions and densities. We will also use the fact below that the total variation distance between two densities f and g may also be written

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathsf{TV}}(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s) - g(s)| \,\mathrm{d}s.$$

In any case, by considering Borel subsets of the form $A_t := \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s \leq t\}$ in (2.4) and comparing with (2.4), it is plain that

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(f,g) \le d_{\mathsf{TV}}(f,g). \tag{12}$$

Equality holds in (2.4) whenever f and g are continuous and the equation f(t) = g(t) has exactly one real solution.

We also remark that the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance is invariant under rescaling of the random variables. Namely, if X and Y are random variables and λ is a non-zero real number, then

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\lambda X, \lambda Y) = d_{\mathsf{KS}}(X, Y).$$
(13)

Moreover, it is immediate that Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances satisfy the triangle inequality

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(X,Z) \le d_{\mathsf{KS}}(X,Y) + d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y,Z).$$
(14)

2.4.1. Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances between different Gaussian densities. Now we take a moment to consider the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances between centered Gaussian random variables with different variances σ and τ . We remark that by (2.4), when N_{σ} and N_{τ} are Gaussian random variables of variance σ^2 and τ^2 respectively, the quantity $d_{\text{KS}}(N_{\sigma}, N_{\tau})$ only depends on the ratio τ/σ .

Lemma 2.5. For
$$\nu \in (0, \infty)$$
, let $f_{\nu}(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu^2}} e^{-s^2/2\nu^2}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, whenever $\sigma < \tau$,
 $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(f_{\sigma}, f_{\tau}) \leq \frac{1}{4}(1 - \sigma/\tau) + \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right)$.

Proof. Since $\tau > \sigma$, we note that there is a $s_0 > 0$ such that $f_{\tau}(s) > f_{\sigma}(s)$ whenever $s < -s_0$ or $s > s_0$, and $f_{\tau}(s) < f_{\sigma}(s)$ whenever $-s_0 < s < s_0$. In particular,

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(f_{\sigma}, f_{\tau}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-s_0} f_{\tau}(s) - f_{\sigma}(s) \, \mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{\sigma}(s) - f_{\tau}(s)| \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
(15)

Recall that $\sigma < \tau$. Using the triangle inequality to obtain the first inequality below, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-s^2/2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} e^{-s^2/2\tau^2} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-s^2/2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} e^{-s^2/2\sigma^2} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} e^{-s^2/2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} e^{-s^2/2\tau^2} \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-s^2/2\sigma^2} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} e^{-s^2/2\tau^2} \left| e^{-\frac{s^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right)} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-s^2/2\sigma^2} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} e^{-s^2/2\tau^2} \frac{s^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the final inequality above follows from the fact that $|1-e^{-\alpha}| < \alpha$ for positive α . Integrating over \mathbb{R} , we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-s^2/2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} e^{-s^2/2\tau^2} \right| \, \mathrm{d}s \le \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right) + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right). \tag{16}$$

By combining (2.4.1) with (2.4.1), we obtain the result.

The following proposition states that Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between different Gaussians is locally Lipschitz in the variance. A similar result stated in terms of total variation distance appears in Brehm and Voigt [5, Theorem 3.1], where a proof is given using the heat semigroup.

Proposition 2.6. For any $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} > \frac{1}{2}$, $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(f_{\alpha}, f_{\beta}) \leq \frac{3}{8} \frac{|\alpha^2 - \beta^2|}{\alpha^2}$.

Proof. If $\beta \ge \alpha$, then we may write $\beta = \alpha(1+\epsilon)$ for some suitable $\epsilon \ge 0$ so that $\frac{|\alpha^2 - \beta^2|}{\alpha^2} = 2\epsilon + \epsilon^2$. Then using Lemma 2.5 to obtain the first inequality below, and the fact that $\epsilon \ge 0$ to obtain the second, we have

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(f_{\alpha}, f_{\beta}) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - 1/(1+\epsilon)\right) + \frac{1}{8} \left((1+\epsilon)^2 - 1\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} (2\epsilon + \epsilon^2) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\alpha^2 - \beta^2|}{\alpha^2} \leq \frac{3}{8} \frac{|\alpha^2 - \beta^2|}{\alpha^2} \,.$$

On the other hand, if $\beta < \alpha$, then we may write $\beta = \alpha(1 - \epsilon)$ for some suitable $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ so that in this case $\frac{|\alpha^2 - \beta^2|}{\alpha^2} = 2\epsilon - \epsilon^2$. Again using Lemma 2.5 to obtain the first equality below, as well as the fact that $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ to obtain the second inequality below, we have

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(f_{\alpha}, f_{\beta}) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - (1 - \epsilon) \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - \epsilon)^2} - 1 \right)$$
$$< \frac{3}{8} (2\epsilon - \epsilon^2) = \frac{3}{8} \frac{|\alpha^2 - \beta^2|}{\alpha^2}.$$

This completes the proof.

2.4.2. The Berry-Esseen theorem. Under certain conditions, the central limit theorem states that the sum of many independent random variables is approximately Gaussian. We are now ready to state the most prominent result using Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances, the Berry-Esseen theorem, which gives an upper bound on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between a sum S of independent centered random variables and a Gaussian random variable with the suitable variance. The version of the theorem we use, allowing the random variables occurring in the sum to be non-identically distributed, is due to Berry [4].

Theorem 2.7 (Berry-Esseen). There exists a universal constant $K_{\mathsf{BE}} \in (0, \infty)$ with the following property: for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let S_m be a sum of independent and centered random variables X_1, \ldots, X_m with variances $\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_m^2$ and third order absolute moments ρ_1, \ldots, ρ_m . Let G_m be a centered Gaussian random variable with the same variance as S_m . Then

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(S_m, G_m) \le K_{\mathsf{BE}} \frac{\max_{1 \le i \le m} \frac{\rho_i}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2}}$$

In particular, if X_1, \ldots, X_m are identically distributed with variance σ^2 and third absolute moment ρ , then

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(S_m, G_m) \le K_{\mathsf{BE}} \frac{\rho}{\sigma^3 \sqrt{m}}.$$

We remark that in Berry's original paper, K_{BE} may be taken to be 1.88. This estimate has since been sharpened significantly, most recently by Shetsova [14] who showed the conclusions of Theorem 2.7 remain valid with $K_{\mathsf{BE}} = 0.5583$.

2.5. Some further tools. In Sections 3 and 5 we require the following lemma, lifted from [2, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 2.8. Let $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, X^{(3)}$ be (possibly dependent) random variables, and let G be a centered Gaussian random variable with variance σ^2 . Then, for any $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}[X^{(1)} + X^{(2)} + X^{(3)} \ge t] - \mathbb{P}[G \ge t] \right| \\ \le \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}[X^{(1)} \ge t] - \mathbb{P}[G \ge t] \right| + \mathbb{P}\left[|X^{(2)}| > \epsilon/2 \right] + \mathbb{P}\left[|X^{(3)}| > \epsilon/2 \right] + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}$$

We will use the Berry-Esseen theorem both directly and indirectly. In the latter case we have the following lemma, Lemma 2.9, which is a generalization of an idea appearing at the bottom of page 21 in [2]. Since this lemma is rather technical, before giving a statement we would like to take a moment to provide some motivation.

In our proofs of Theorems A and B we will encounter situations where for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a rescaled sum S_m of m i.i.d. random variables whose distributions may depend on m. Given a sequence (β_m) of reals tending to infinity, we would like the find the *smallest* sequence (α_m) ensuring that $\mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \alpha_m] \leq C/\sqrt{\beta_m}$ for some constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ not depending on m. Lemma 2.9 states the best possible choice is to take α_m of the order $\sqrt{\log(\beta_m)}$.

In the proof of Lemma 2.9 we will use the notation

$$\sqrt{a}_+ := \begin{cases} \sqrt{a}, & \text{if } a \ge 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } a < 0, \end{cases}$$

as well as the following well known inequality for Gaussian integrals, which, for any t > 0, provides the estimate

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^{2}/2} \,\mathrm{d}u \le \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^{2}/2}.$$
(17)

Lemma 2.9. Let $X^{(m)}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of centered random variables with $\mathbb{E}[|X^{(m)}|^3] = \rho_m$ and $\mathbb{E}[|X^{(m)}|^2] = \sigma_m^2$. Suppose $\sigma_{\max} \ge \sigma_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and that γ_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is any sequence satisfying

$$\frac{\rho_m}{\sigma_m^3} \le \gamma_m \,. \tag{18}$$

Suppose for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a sum

$$S_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(X_1^{(m)} + \ldots + X_m^{(m)} \right),$$

where $X_1^{(m)}, \ldots, X_m^{(m)}$ are independent copies of $X^{(m)}$. Suppose $\beta_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sequence of positive reals such that $\beta_m \leq m/\gamma_m^2$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any sequence $(\alpha_m)_{m\geq 1}$ of positive reals satisfying $\alpha_m \geq \sigma_{\max} \sqrt{\log(\beta_m)}_+$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \alpha_m] \le \frac{C^*}{\sqrt{\beta_m}} \quad \text{for every } m \in \mathbb{N},$$
(19)

for $C^* = 2(K_{\mathsf{BE}} + 1)$.

Proof. Since $C^* \geq 2$, the bound (2.9) holds trivially when $\beta_m \leq 4$, and we may assume without loss of generality that $\beta_m > 4$ for the remainder of the proof. Let G_m be a centered Gaussian random variable with variance σ_m^2 . Then using the definition of Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance and the symmetry of the Gaussian density, for any $\alpha_m > 0$ we have the inequality

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \alpha_m] \le 2 \operatorname{d}_{\mathsf{KS}}(S_m, G_m) + 2 \mathbb{P}[G_m > \alpha_m].$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Indeed, it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \alpha_m] = \mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \alpha_m] - \mathbb{P}[|G_m| > \alpha_m] + \mathbb{P}[|G_m| > \alpha_m]$$
$$= \mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \alpha_m] - \mathbb{P}[|G_m| > \alpha_m] + 2\mathbb{P}[G_m > \alpha_m]$$

and since

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \alpha_m] = 1 - \left(\mathbb{P}[S_m \le \alpha_m] - \mathbb{P}[S_m \le -\alpha_m]\right)$$

as well as

$$\mathbb{P}[|G_m| > \alpha_m] = 1 - \left(\mathbb{P}[G_m \le \alpha_m] - \mathbb{P}[G_m \le -\alpha_m]\right),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \alpha_m] - \mathbb{P}[|G_m| > \alpha_m] &= \mathbb{P}[G_m \le \alpha_m] - \mathbb{P}[S_m \le \alpha_m] + \mathbb{P}[S_m \le -\alpha_m] - \mathbb{P}[G_m \le -\alpha_m] \\ &\le 2 \, \mathrm{d}_{\mathsf{KS}}\left(S_m, G_m\right). \end{split}$$

Now, on the one hand, using the Berry-Esseen theorem to obtain the first inequality below, the estimate (2.9) to obtain the second, and the fact that $\beta_m \leq m/\gamma_m^2$ to obtain the third, we have

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(S_m, G_m) \le \frac{K_{\mathsf{BE}}\rho_m/\sigma_m^3}{\sqrt{m}} \le \frac{K_{\mathsf{BE}}\gamma_m}{\sqrt{m}} \le \frac{K_{\mathsf{BE}}}{\sqrt{\beta_m}}.$$
(21)

On the other hand, G_m/σ_m is a standard Gaussian random variable, and using the inequality (2.5) we have

$$\mathbb{P}[G_m > \alpha_m] = \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{G_m}{\sigma_m} > \frac{\alpha_m}{\sigma_m}\right] \le \frac{1}{\alpha_m/\sigma_m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha_m}{\sigma_m}\right)^2},\tag{22}$$

and plugging $\alpha_m \ge \sigma_{\max} \sqrt{\log(\beta_m)}_+ \ge \sigma_m \sqrt{\log(\beta_m)}_+$ into (2.5) we have

$$\mathbb{P}[G_m > \alpha_m] \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_m} \sqrt{\log(\beta_m)}_+} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_m}}.$$
(23)

where in the final inequality above we used the fact that $\beta_m > 4 > \exp(1)$ and the crude bound $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \leq 1$. Combining (2.5) and (2.5), and using the definition of C^* , the result follows.

2.5.1. Bounds for second order functions. Our final tool provides us with a bound on the probability that a function with local second order growth takes on values bounded away from 0.

Lemma 2.10. Suppose that v is a random vector in \mathbb{R}^d and $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function with the property that there exist $\delta, M \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|\Psi(x)| \le M ||x||_2^2$$
 whenever $||x||_2 < \delta.$ (24)

Then, for any $c \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[|\Psi(v)| > c\big] \le \mathbb{P}\bigg[||v||_2 > \sqrt{\frac{c}{M}}\bigg] + \mathbb{P}\big[||v||_2 \ge \delta\big]$$

Proof. Using the property (2.10) to obtain the second inequality below, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\big[|\Psi(v)| > c\big] &= \mathbb{P}\big[|\Psi(v)| > c, ||v||_2 < \delta\big] + \mathbb{P}\big[|\Psi(v)| > c, ||v||_2 \ge \delta\big] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\big[M||v||_2^2 > c, ||v||_2 < \delta\big] + \mathbb{P}\big[|\Psi(v)| > c, ||v||_2 \ge \delta\big] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\big[M||v||_2^2 > c\big] + \mathbb{P}\big[||v||_2 \ge \delta\big]. \end{split}$$

This establishes the result.

3. Proof of Theorem A

In this section we prove Theorem A, which establishes Berry-Esseen bounds for the ℓ_2 -norm of the rescaled projection of a random vector with distribution $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ onto a random k-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n distributed according to $\nu_{n,k}$.

3.1. The set up. Let X_n be a random vector with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$, and let E_n be a random subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with law ν_{n,k_n} , $k_n \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. Then by Proposition 2.3 we have the identity in law

$$||P_{E_n}X_n||_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} g_i^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |Z_i|^p + W\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^2\right)^{1/2}}$$

where Z_i be a collection of independent *p*-Gaussian random variables, *W* has law \mathbb{W} , and g_i are independent standard Gaussian random variables.

Shifting the sums to have expectation zero, we may write

$$||P_{E_n}X_n||_2 \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\left(nM_p(2) + \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i^2 - M_p(2))\right)^{1/2} \left(k_n + \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} (g_i^2 - 1)\right)^{1/2}}{(n + \sum_{i=1}^n (|Z_i|^p - 1) + W)^{1/p} \left(n + \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i^2 - 1)\right)^{1/2}},$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{k_n}\sqrt{M_p(2)}}{n^{1/p}} F\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b_n}{k_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right),$$
(25)

where $F(a, b, c, w, d) = \frac{(1+a)^{1/2}(1+b)^{1/2}}{(1+c+w)^{1/p}(1+d)^{1/2}}$, and

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i^2 - M_p(2)), \qquad b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} (g_i^2 - 1),$$
$$c_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (|Z_i|^p - 1), \qquad d_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i^2 - 1).$$

The function $F : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable in a neighborhood around the origin in \mathbb{R}^5 , and hence, by Taylor's theorem we may write

$$F(a, b, c, w, d) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(a + b - d) - \frac{1}{p}(c + w) + \Psi(a, b, c, w, d),$$
(26)

where $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that there exist $M, \delta \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

 $|\Psi(x)| \le M ||x||_2^2 \quad \text{whenever} \quad ||x||_2 \le \delta.$

It follows from plugging (3.1) into (3.1) that the focal random variable Y_n may be decomposed as follows:

$$Y_{n} := \frac{n^{1/p}}{\sqrt{M_{p}(2)}} ||P_{E_{n}}X_{n}||_{2} - \sqrt{k_{n}}$$

$$\stackrel{d}{=} \xi_{n} - \frac{\sqrt{k_{n}}W}{pn} + \sqrt{k_{n}}\Psi\left(\frac{a_{n}}{nM_{p}(2)}, \frac{b_{n}}{k_{n}}, \frac{c_{n}}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_{n}}{n}\right),$$
(27)

where $\xi_n := \sqrt{k_n} \left(\frac{1}{2nM_p(2)} a_n + \frac{1}{2k_n} b_n - \frac{1}{pn} c_n - \frac{1}{2n} d_n \right).$

Assuming that $\lambda_n := k_n/n$ converges to some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we recall that the goal of this proof is to establish a bound for the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between Y_n and a Gaussian random variable G with variance $v(\lambda)$, where $v : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$v(s) := s\sigma^2(p,2) + \frac{1}{2}(1-s).$$
(28)

The main idea of the proof is that we may apply the Berry-Esseen theorem to show that ξ_n , which is a sum of many random variables, is close to a Gaussian random variable, and the remaining terms in the expansion (3.1) for Y_n are negligible.

To this end, using Lemma 2.8 to obtain the first inequality, and the triangle inequality (2.4) for Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances to obtain the second, for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \le d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G) + \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{W}{pn} > \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\Psi\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b_n}{k_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\right] + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu(\lambda)}}$$

$$\leq d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G_n) + d_{\mathsf{KS}}(G_n, G) + \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{W}{pn} > \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\Psi\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b_n}{k_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\right] + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi J_p}}, \qquad (29)$$

where G_n is a Gaussian random variable with the same variance as Y_n , and

$$J_p := \inf_{s \in [0,1]} v(s) = \min\left\{\sigma^2(p,2), 1/2\right\}$$
(30)

is strictly positive.

The remainder of the proof is structured as follows. First we use the Berry-Esseen theorem to find a bound for $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G_n)$. Then we use the results of Section 2.4 on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances between different Gaussian distributions to bound $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(G_n, G)$. After this we take a choice $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$ to establish a bound for the term involving Ψ . Finally, we take stock of these inequalities to give a proof of Theorem A.

3.2. A bound for $\mathbf{d}_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G_n)$. We note that the constituent parts a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n of the random variable ξ_n are clearly not independent of one another. However, ξ_n may be written as a sum of $n + k_n + (n - k_n)$ independent random variables by writing

$$\xi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}\varphi_i}{\sqrt{n}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \frac{1-\lambda_n}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\phi_i + \sum_{i=k_n+1}^n \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n(1-\lambda_n)}}{2\sqrt{n-k_n}}\right)\phi_i$$

where we recall $\lambda_n := k_n/n$, and define for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ the random variables

$$\varphi_i := \frac{|Z_i|^2 - M_p(2)}{2M_p(2)} - \frac{|Z_i|^p - 1}{p}$$
 and $\phi_i := g_i^2 - 1$.

Let φ and ϕ be random variables with the same law as φ_1 and g_1 respectively. Then noting that the variance of φ is given by $\sigma^2(p,2)$ (see the display right after Lemma 2.1) and the variance of ϕ is simply 2, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Var}[\xi_n] = \lambda_n \operatorname{Var}[\varphi] + \left(\frac{1-\lambda_n}{2}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}[\phi] + \frac{\lambda_n(1-\lambda_n)}{4} \operatorname{Var}[\phi] = \lambda_n \sigma^2(p,2) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda_n) = v(\lambda_n),$$
where $v(a)$ is given by (2.1)

where v(s) is given by (3.1).

Now let G_n be a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $v(\lambda_n)$. Then in the context of the Berry-Esseen Theorem (Theorem 2.7), with $m = n + k_n + (n - k_n)$, we have

$$\max_{1 \le i \le m} \frac{\rho_i}{\sigma_i^2} = \max\left\{ \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left| \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}\varphi}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^3 \right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\left| \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}\varphi}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^2 \right]}, \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left| \frac{1-\lambda_n}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\phi \right|^3 \right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\left| \frac{1-\lambda_n}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\phi \right|^2 \right]}, \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left| \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n(1-\lambda_n)}}{2\sqrt{n-k_n}} \right)\phi \right|^3 \right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\left| \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n(1-\lambda_n)}}{2\sqrt{n-k_n}} \right)\phi \right|^2 \right]} \right\} \le C_p A_n$$

where

$$C_p := \max\left\{\frac{\mathbb{E}[|\varphi|^3]}{\mathbb{E}[\varphi^2]}, \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\phi|^3]}{\mathbb{E}[\phi^2]}\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_n := \max\left\{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1-\lambda_n}{2\sqrt{k_n}}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n(1-\lambda_n)}}{2\sqrt{n-k_n}}\right\}.$$

Using the fact that $\lambda_n \leq 1$ and $\lambda_n := k_n/n$, it follows that $A_n \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_n}}$. Consequently,

$$\max_{1 \le i \le m} \frac{\rho_i}{\sigma_i^2} \le \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{k_n}}$$

and by applying the Berry-Esseen theorem to the sequence $\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G_n) \le K_{\mathsf{BE}} \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{v(\lambda_n)}\sqrt{k_n}} \le K_{\mathsf{BE}} \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{J_p}\sqrt{k_n}} =: \frac{C'_p}{\sqrt{k_n}},\tag{31}$$

where the second inequality above follows from using the definition (3.1) of J_p .

3.3. A bound for $\mathbf{d}_{\mathsf{KS}}(G_n, G)$. The random variables G_n and G are centered Gaussians with variances given by $v(\lambda_n)$ and $v(\lambda)$ respectively. It follows from Proposition 2.6 and the definition of J_p in (3.1) that

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(G_n, G) \le \frac{3}{8} \frac{|v(\lambda_n) - v(\lambda)|}{v(\lambda)} \le \frac{3}{8} \frac{|\sigma^2(p, 2) - \frac{1}{2}||\lambda_n - \lambda|}{J_p} = C_p |\lambda_n - \lambda|, \tag{32}$$

where

$$C_p := \frac{3}{8} \frac{|\sigma^2(p,2) - \frac{1}{2}|}{\min\{\sigma^2(p,2), \frac{1}{2}\}}$$

3.4. A bound for the Ψ term. In this section we take a sequence $(\epsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ decreasing down to 0 in such a way that the probability

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\Psi\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b_n}{k_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon_n}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\right]$$

may be bounded by a function of n decreasing down to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

To this end, using Lemma 2.10 to obtain the first inequality below, and the triangle inequality to obtain the second, with $v_n := \left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b_n}{k_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^5$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|\Psi\left(v_{n}\right)| > \frac{\epsilon_{n}}{2\sqrt{k_{n}}}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[||v_{n}||_{2} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{n}}{2M\sqrt{k_{n}}}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[||v_{n}||_{2} \geq \delta\right]$$

$$\leq Q_{n}\left(\frac{a_{n}}{nM_{p}(2)}\right) + Q_{n}\left(\frac{b_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) + Q_{n}\left(\frac{c_{n}}{n}\right) + Q_{n}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right) + Q_{n}\left(\frac{d_{n}}{n}\right), \qquad (33)$$
and on variable X

where for a random variable X,

$$Q_n(X) := \mathbb{P}\left[|X| > \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{10M\sqrt{k_n}}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[|X| > \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{5}}\right].$$

(We emphasize that Q_n depends on ϵ_n .) We now show that with a suitable choice of ϵ_n , there is a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that the terms involving a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n in the final expression in (3.4) may be bounded above by $C/\sqrt{k_n}$.

First consider obtaining an upper bound for

$$Q_n\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{a_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| > c_p\sqrt{n}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{a_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| > c'_p\sqrt{\frac{n\epsilon_n}{\sqrt{k_n}}}\right]$$

where $c_p = \frac{\delta M_p(2)}{\sqrt{5}}$ and $c'_p = \frac{M_p(2)}{\sqrt{10M}}$. Letting $S_n := \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{n}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we are in the set up of Lemma 2.9 with m = n, and with two different tail probabilities we would like to bound, namely

$$\alpha_n := c_p \sqrt{n}$$
 and $\alpha'_n := c'_p \sqrt{\frac{n\epsilon_n}{\sqrt{k_n}}}$

Since a_n is a sum of n random variables with distribution $Z^2 - M_p(2)$ (where Z is p-Gaussian), in the setting of Lemma 2.9 we may take

$$\gamma_n := \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[|Z_1^2 - M_p(2)|^3\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[|Z_1^2 - M_p(2)|^2\right]} \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and $\sigma_{\max,p} = \mathbb{E}[|Z_1^2 - M_p(2)|^2]$. Indeed, whenever a sequence β_n and ϵ_n are chosen so that the inequalities

$$c_p \sqrt{n} \ge \sigma_{\max,p} \sqrt{\log(\beta_n)}_+$$
 and $c'_p \sqrt{\frac{n\epsilon_n}{\sqrt{k_n}}} \ge \sigma_{\max,p} \sqrt{\log(\beta_n)}_+$ (34)

hold for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by Lemma 2.9 we have

$$Q_n\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}\right) \le \frac{4\left(K_{\mathsf{BE}}+1\right)}{\sqrt{\beta_n}},\tag{35}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now consider setting $\epsilon_n = \Theta_p \log(k_n)/\sqrt{k_n}$ and $\beta_n = \theta_p k_n$. Then if θ_p is sufficiently small and Θ_p is sufficiently large such that both the inequalities in (3.4) hold, then (3.4) holds, and there is a constant $C'_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$Q_n\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}\right) \le \frac{C_p'}{\sqrt{k_n}}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We may work analogously with the terms $Q_n\left(\frac{c_n}{n}\right)$ and $Q_n\left(\frac{d_n}{n}\right)$, which also involve tail bounds for sums of n independent random variables. It follows that for sufficiently large constants C_p'' and Θ_p' , by setting $\epsilon_n = \Theta_p' \frac{\log(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}}$ we ensure that

$$Q_n\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{c_n}{n}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{d_n}{n}\right) \le \frac{C_p''}{\sqrt{k_n}}$$
(36)

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We now consider obtaining an upper bound for $Q_n\left(\frac{b_n}{k_n}\right)$, which this time involves finding tail probabilities for a sum of k_n random variables. We may write

$$Q_n\left(\frac{b_n}{k_n}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{b_n}{\sqrt{k_n}}\right| > c_p''\sqrt{k_n}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{b_n}{\sqrt{k_n}}\right| > c_p'''\sqrt{\epsilon_n\sqrt{k_n}}\right),$$

where $c_p'' = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{5}}$ and $c_p''' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10M}}$. Again we are in the context of Lemma 2.9, but this time with $m = k_n$ and

$$\alpha''_m = c''_p \sqrt{k_n}$$
 and $\alpha'''_m = c'''_p \sqrt{\epsilon_n \sqrt{k_n}}$

(We will continue to index all variables here with a subscript n as opposed to m.) Now b_n is a sum of k_n random variables distributed like $\phi = g^2 - 1$, where g is a standard Gaussian. This time take $\gamma'_n := \mathbb{E}[|\phi|^3]/\mathbb{E}[|\phi|^2]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as $\sigma'_{\max,p} := \mathbb{E}[|\phi|^2]$. Then by Lemma 2.9, whenever $\beta_n \leq n/\gamma_n^2$ and ϵ_n are chosen so that the inequalities

$$c_p''\sqrt{k_n} \ge \sigma_{\max,p}'\sqrt{\log(\beta_n)}_+$$
 and $c_p'''\sqrt{\epsilon_n\sqrt{k_n}} \ge \sigma_{\max,p}'\sqrt{\log(\beta_n)}_+$ (37)

hold for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$Q_n\left(\frac{b_n}{k_n}\right) \le \frac{4\left(K_{\mathsf{BE}}+1\right)}{\sqrt{\beta_n}}.$$
(38)

In particular, setting $\beta_n = \theta'_p k_n$ and $\epsilon_n := \Theta'_p \log(k_n)/\sqrt{k_n}$, for sufficiently small θ'_p and sufficiently large Θ'_p the inequalities (3.4) hold for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that by (3.4) there is a constant $C_p''' \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$Q_n\left(\frac{b_n}{k_n}\right) \le \frac{C_p''}{\sqrt{k_n}}.\tag{39}$$

In particular, by setting $\epsilon_n := \Theta_p^* \log(k_n) / \sqrt{k_n}$ with $\Theta_p^* := \max\{\Theta_p, \Theta_p'\}$, both (3.4) and (3.4) hold for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence there is a constants $C_p^* \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$Q_n\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{b_n}{k_n}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{c_n}{n}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{d_n}{n}\right) \le \frac{C_p^*}{\sqrt{k_n}}.$$
(40)

In particular, by plugging (3.4) into (3.4), there are constants $\Theta_p^*, C_p^* \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on p such that with the choice $\epsilon_n := \Theta_p^* \frac{\log(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\Psi\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b_n}{k_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon_n}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\right] \le \frac{C_p^*}{\sqrt{k_n}} + \mathbb{P}\left[W > n\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{10M\sqrt{k_n}}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W > \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{5}}n\right].$$
(41)

3.5. Wrapping things together. Recall our original bound (3.1). We obtained the bounds (3.4) and (3.4) for the ϵ_n -independent terms. Then in Section 3.4 we showed there are constants $C_p^*, \Theta_p^* \in (0, \infty)$ such that if $\epsilon_n := \Theta_p^* \frac{\log(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}}$, then (3.4) holds. Consider the collection of terms involving W that occur in (3.1) and (3.4). Noting that by definition W is non-negative, with $\epsilon_n := \Theta_p^* \frac{\log(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}}$ it follows that there is a constant $c_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that the W terms may be bounded by

$$\mathbb{P}\left[W > n\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{10M\sqrt{k_n}}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W > \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{5}}n\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{W}{pn} > \frac{\epsilon_n}{2\sqrt{k_n}}\right] \\
\leq 3\mathbb{P}\left[W > c_p n \frac{\log(k_n)}{k_n}\right].$$
(42)

Plugging (3.4), (3.4), (3.4) into (3.1), and using $\epsilon_n := \Theta_p^* \log(k_n) / \sqrt{k_n}$, and (3.5), it follows that there exist constants $c_p, C_p \in (0, \infty)$ depending on p but independent of λ_n, λ , and n such that

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \le C_p \max\left\{\frac{\log(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}}, |\lambda_n - \lambda|\right\} + 3 \mathbb{P}\left(W > c_p n \frac{\log(k_n)}{k_n}\right),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem A.

4. Proof of Theorem B

In this section we prove Theorem B, which gives Berry-Esseen bounds for the rescaled ℓ_2 -norm of the orthogonal projection of a random vector with distribution $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$ onto a μ_{n,λ_n} -distributed random subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . In fact, in this setting $n\lambda_n$ has the significance of being the average dimension of a subspace with distribution μ_{n,λ_n} .

4.1. Setting up the proof. Let X_n be a random vector with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$, and let E_n be a random subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with law μ_{n,λ_n} . Then by Lemma 2.4 we have the identity in law

$$||P_{E_n} X_n||_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_i^{(\lambda_n)} g_i^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |Z_i|^p + W\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^2\right)^{1/2}},$$

where Z_i are *p*-Gaussian random variables, W has distribution \mathbb{W} , g_i are standard Gaussian random variables, and $I_i^{(\lambda_n)}$ are Bernoulli random variables with parameter λ_n .

Recentering the random variables so the sums have zero expectation, we may write

$$||P_{E_n}X_n||_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\left(nM_p(2) + \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i^2 - M_p(2))\right)^{1/2} \left(n\lambda_n + \sum_{i=1}^n (I_i^{(\lambda_n)} g_i^2 - \lambda_n)\right)^{1/2}}{(n + \sum_{i=1}^n (|Z_i|^p - 1) + W)^{1/p} \left(n + \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i^2 - 1)\right)^{1/2}} = n^{1/2 - 1/p} \sqrt{\lambda_n M_p(2)} F\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right),$$

where F and a_n, c_n, d_n are as introduced in Section 3.1, and

$$b'_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \left(I_i^{(\lambda_n)} g_i^2 - \lambda_n \right)$$

With Ψ as in Section 3.1, it follows that the random variable $Y_n := \frac{n^{1/p}}{\sqrt{M_p(2)}} ||P_{E_n} X_n||_2 - \sqrt{\lambda_n n}$ may be written

$$Y_n := \xi_n - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}W}{p\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\lambda_n}\Psi\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{w}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)$$

where $\xi_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n n} \left(\frac{a_n}{2nM_p(2)} + \frac{b'_n}{2n\lambda_n} - \frac{c_n}{pn} - \frac{d_n}{2n} \right)$. The goal of this section is to obtain an upper bound on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between Y_n and a Gaussian random variable G with

variance $w(\lambda)$, where

$$w(s) := s\sigma^2(p,2) + \frac{3}{4}(1-s), \qquad s \in [0,1].$$
(43)

Again using Lemma 2.8 to obtain the first inequality below, and the triangle inequality (2.4) for Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances to obtain the second, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \leq d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G) + \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}W}{p\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\Psi\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{n\lambda_n}}\right] \\ + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi w(\lambda)}} \\ \leq d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G_n) + d_{\mathsf{KS}}(G_n, G) + \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}W}{p\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right] \\ + \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\Psi\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}\right] + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi J_p}}, \tag{44}$$

where G_n is a centered Gaussian random variable with the same variance as ξ_n , and

$$J_p := \inf_{s \in [0,1]} w(s) = \min\{\sigma^2(p,2), 3/4\}$$

is positive.

The remainder of the proof is thus split into four parts. First we use the Berry-Esseen theorem to obtain an upper bound for $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G_n)$. Then we use Proposition 2.6 to give an upper bound on $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(G_n, G)$. Then finally we take a choice of $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$ to give an upper bound for the term involving Ψ . In the final part we collect our bounds together to prove the result.

4.2. A bound for $\mathbf{d}_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G_n)$. A calculation establishes that ξ_n may be written as a sum $\xi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i^{(\lambda_n)}$ of independent and identically distributed centered random variables distributed like $\varphi^{(\lambda_n)}$, where for each $s \in (0, 1]$, $\varphi^{(s)}$ is the centered random variable

$$\varphi^{(s)} := \sqrt{s} \left(\frac{Z^2 - M_p(2)}{2M_p(2)} + \frac{I^{(s)}g^2 - s}{2s} - \frac{|Z|^p - 1}{p} - \frac{g^2 - 1}{2} \right)$$

where g is a standard Gaussian, Z is p-Gaussian, and $I^{(s)}$ is Bernoulli distributed with $\mathbb{P}[I^{(s)} = 1] = s = 1 - \mathbb{P}[I^{(s)} = 0]$.

We now take a moment to investigate the behavior of the moments of $\varphi^{(s)}$ as s varies in (0, 1], with particular care for the case $s \to 0$. Considering first the second moment, a calculation shows that $\mathbb{E}[|\varphi^{(s)}|^2] = w(s)$, where w(s) is given in (4.1). As for the third moment, we may write

$$\varphi^{(s)} = \sqrt{s} \mathcal{W}_p + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s}} I^{(s)} g^2,$$

where \mathcal{W}_p is a random variable independent of $I^{(s)}$ and whose distribution is independent of s. Using the definition of $I^{(s)}$ and the independence to obtain the second equality below, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\varphi^{(s)}|^{3}\right] = \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{I^{(s)}g^{2}}{2} + s\mathcal{W}_{p}\right|^{3}\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{g^{2}}{2} + s\mathcal{W}_{p}\right|^{3}\right] + \frac{1}{s^{3/2}}\left(1 - s\right) \mathbb{E}\left[|s\mathcal{W}_{p}|^{3}\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} f_{p}(s)$$

for a bounded function $f_p: [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$. Since $w(s) := \mathbb{E}[|\varphi^{(s)}|^2]$ is bounded below on [0,1] by $J_p > 0$, and $\sqrt{s}\mathbb{E}[|\varphi^{(s)}|^3] = f_p(s)$ is bounded above on [0,1], there is a constant $C_p \in (0,\infty)$

such that

$$C_p \ge \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \sqrt{s} \, \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\varphi^{(s)}|^3]}{\mathbb{E}[|\varphi^{(s)}|^2]}.$$

We are now ready to use the Berry-Esseen theorem. Recall that $\xi_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i^{(\lambda_n)}$ is a rescaled sum of independent and identically distributed random variables with law $\varphi^{(\lambda_n)}$. If G_n is a Gaussian random variable with variance $w(\lambda_n)$, then using the Berry-Esseen theorem to obtain the first inequality below, and the definition of C_p to obtain the second, we obtain

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G_n) \le K_{\mathsf{BE}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\varphi^{(\lambda_n)}|^3]}{\mathbb{E}[|\varphi^{(\lambda_n)}|^2]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \le K_{\mathsf{BE}} \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}} \le \frac{C'_p}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}},\tag{45}$$

where $C'_p := K_{\mathsf{BE}} C_p \in (0, \infty)$ depends only on p.

4.3. A bound for $\mathbf{d}_{\mathsf{KS}}(G_n, G)$. The random variables G_n and G are centered Gaussians with variances $w(\lambda_n)$ and $w(\lambda)$ respectively. Since w is Lipschitz on [0, 1], and bounded below on [0, 1] by a positive constant, it follows from Proposition 2.6 that there is a constant $C_p \in (0, \infty)$ depending on p but not on n, λ_n, λ such that

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(G_n, G) \le \frac{3}{8} \frac{|w(\lambda_n) - w(\lambda)|}{w(\lambda)} \le C_p |\lambda_n - \lambda|.$$
(46)

4.4. Bounding the ϵ -dependent terms. Let $(\epsilon_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive reals decreasing down to 0. By Lemma 2.10 and the triangle inequality, with $v_n := \left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|\Psi\left(v_{n}\right)| > \frac{\epsilon_{n}}{2\sqrt{\lambda_{n}n}}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[||v_{n}||_{2} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{n}}{2M\sqrt{\lambda_{n}n}}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[||v_{n}||_{2} \geq \delta\right] \\
\leq Q_{n}\left(\frac{a_{n}}{nM_{p}(2)}\right) + Q_{n}\left(\frac{b_{n}'}{n\lambda_{n}}\right) + Q_{n}\left(\frac{c_{n}}{n}\right) + Q_{n}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right) + Q_{n}\left(\frac{d_{n}}{n}\right),$$
(47)

where for a random variable X,

$$Q_n(X) := \mathbb{P}\left[|X| > \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{5}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[|X| > \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{10M\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}}\right].$$

Considering first the terms involving a_n, b_n , and d_n , we may use the bounds developed in Section 3.4. In particular there are sufficiently large constants $C_p \in (0, \infty)$ and $\Theta_p \in (0, \infty)$, such that by setting $\epsilon_n = \Theta_p \frac{\log(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}}$, we ensure that

$$Q_n\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{c_n}{n}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{d_n}{n}\right) \le \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}$$
(48)

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we look to obtain a bound for $Q_n\left(\frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}\right)$, which may be written

$$Q_n\left(\frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{b'_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}\right| > c_p\sqrt{n\lambda_n}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{b'_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}\right| > c'_p\sqrt{\epsilon_n\sqrt{n\lambda_n}}\right),$$

where $c_p := \delta/\sqrt{5}$ and $c'_p := \frac{1}{\sqrt{10M}}$. Recalling that $b'_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \left(I_i^{(\lambda_n)}g_i^2 - \lambda_n\right)$ is a sum of independent and identically distributed random variables, we see that we are in the setting of Lemma 2.9, with tails

$$\alpha_n := c_p \sqrt{n\lambda_n}$$
 and $\alpha'_n := c'_p \sqrt{\epsilon_n \sqrt{n\lambda_n}}.$

In order to use Lemma 2.9, first we need to take a moment to study the moments of the random variables in b'_n . Let $I^{(s)}$ have the Bernoulli distribution with parameter s, g be a standard

Gaussian random variable, and define the random variable $\phi^{(s)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} (I^{(s)}g^2 - s)$. Then

$$S_n := \frac{b'_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i^{(\lambda_n)},\tag{49}$$

where $\phi_i^{(\lambda_n)}$ are independent and identically distributed like $\phi^{(s)}$. Using the fact that $\mathbb{E}[g^2] = 1, \mathbb{E}[g^4] = 3, \mathbb{E}[g^6] = 15$, it is straightforward to check that $\phi^{(s)}$ is centered and has absolute second and third moments given by

$$\mathbb{E}[|\phi_s|^2] = (3-s)$$
 and $\mathbb{E}[|\phi_s|^3] = s^{-3/2} (15s - 9s^2 + 4s^3 - 2s^4)$,

the latter of which is bounded above by $19/\sqrt{s}$ for $s \in [0,1]$. Using the fact that $\mathbb{E}[|\phi_s|^2] \ge 2$ on [0,1], we have the bound

$$\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \sqrt{s} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[|\phi_s|^3 \right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[|\phi_s|^2 \right]^{3/2}} \le \frac{19}{2^{3/2}}.$$

It follows that with the sum S_n in (4.4), we are in the set up of Lemma 2.9 with

$$\gamma_n = \frac{19}{2^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}},$$

and $\sigma_{\max} = 3 \ge \mathbb{E}[|\phi_{\lambda_n}|^2]$ for all λ_n and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now let β_n and ϵ_n be sequences such that $n/\gamma_n^2 \ge \beta_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and additionally

$$c_p \sqrt{n\lambda_n} \ge \sigma_{\max} \sqrt{\log(\beta_n)}_+$$
 and $c'_p \sqrt{\epsilon_n \sqrt{n\lambda_n}} \ge \sigma_{\max} \sqrt{\log(\beta_n)}_+$ (50)

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by Lemma 2.9 we have

$$Q_n\left(\frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}\right) \le \frac{4(K_{\mathsf{BE}}+1)}{\sqrt{\beta_n}}$$

In particular, consider setting $\beta_n = \theta'_p \lambda_n n$ and $\epsilon_n = \Theta'_p \frac{\log(\lambda_n n)}{\sqrt{n\lambda_n}}$. Then if θ'_p is sufficiently small and Θ'_p is sufficiently large such that $n/\gamma_n^2 \ge \beta_n$ and the inequalities (5.3) hold for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for a sufficiently large constant $C'_p \in (0, \infty)$ we have

$$Q_n\left(\frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}\right) \le \frac{C'_p}{\sqrt{n\lambda_n\theta}}.$$
(51)

In particular, setting $\Theta_p^* := \max\{\Theta_p, \Theta_p'\}$, both (4.4) and (4.4) hold, and there is a constant $C_p^* \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$Q_n\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{c_n}{n}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{d_n}{n}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}\right) \le \frac{C_p^*}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}.$$
(52)

With $\epsilon_n := \Theta_p^* \frac{\log(\lambda_n n)}{\sqrt{n\lambda_n}}$, by plugging (4.4) into (4.4) we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\Psi\left(\frac{a_n}{nM_p(2)}, \frac{b'_n}{n\lambda_n}, \frac{c_n}{n}, \frac{W}{n}, \frac{d_n}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon_n}{2\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}\right] \\
\leq \frac{C_p^*}{\sqrt{n\lambda_n}} + \mathbb{P}\left[W > \frac{n\delta}{\sqrt{5}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W > n\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{10M\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}}\right].$$
(53)

4.5. Wrapping things together. Considering the original bound (4.1), and the bounds for the respective parts (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4). With the choice $\epsilon_n = \Theta_p^* \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$, there is some constant $c_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that the terms involving tail probabilities for W in (4.1) and (4.4) can be bounded by writing

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}W}{p\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\epsilon_n}{2}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W > \frac{n\delta}{\sqrt{5}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W > n\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{10M\sqrt{\lambda_nn}}}\right] \le 3\,\mathbb{P}\left[W > c_p\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\log(n)\right],$$

where we used the fact that W is non-negative.

In particular, combining (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4) in (4.1), it follows that there exist constants $c_p, C_p \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on p such that

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \le C_p \max\left\{\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n n}}, |\lambda_n - \lambda|\right\} + 3 \mathbb{P}\left[W > c_p \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \log(n)\right],$$

completing the proof of Theorem B.

5. Proof of Theorem C

In this section we prove Theorem C, which establishes Berry-Esseen type bounds for the q-norm of a vector-valued random variable with law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$.

5.1. Setting up the proof. Let X_n have law $\mathbb{P}_{n,p,\mathbb{W}}$. Then X_n has a representation

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{Z}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |Z_i|^p + W\right)^{1/p}},$$

where Z_1, \ldots, Z_n are independent and identically distributed *p*-Gaussian random variables, and W is independent of the Z_i with distribution \mathbb{W} . In particular, the *q*-norm of X_n may be written

$$||X_{n}||_{q} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |Z_{i}|^{q}\right)^{1/q}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |Z_{i}|^{p} + W\right)^{1/p}} \\ = \frac{\left(nM_{p}(q) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|Z_{i}|^{q} - M_{p}(q))\right)^{1/q}}{\left(n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|Z_{i}|^{p} - 1) + W\right)^{1/p}} \\ = \frac{\left(nM_{p}(q)\right)^{1/q}}{n^{1/p}} F\left(\frac{\xi_{n}^{1}}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\xi_{n}^{2}}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{W}{n}\right),$$
(54)

where $F(a, b, c) = \frac{(1+a)^{1/q}}{(1+b+c)^{1/p}}$, and ξ_n^1 and ξ_n^2 are given by

$$\xi_n^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|Z_i|^q - M_p(q)}{M_p(q)}$$
 and $\xi_n^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|Z_i|^p - 1}{1}$.

Since F is twice differentiable in a neighborhood about the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 , we may write

$$F(a,b,c) = 1 + \frac{1}{p}a - \frac{1}{q}(b+c) + \Psi(a,b,c),$$
(55)

where $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that there exists $M, \delta \in (0, \infty)$ with

$$||\Psi(x)|| \le M ||x||_2^2$$
 whenever $||x||_2 < \delta.$ (56)

Combining (5.1) and (5.1), we have

$$Y_n := \sqrt{n} \left(n^{1/q - 1/p} M_p(q)^{1/q} ||X_n||_q - 1 \right)$$

= $\xi_n - \frac{W}{p\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{n} \Psi \left(\frac{\xi_n^1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\xi_n^2}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{W}{n} \right),$

where $\xi_n = \frac{1}{p}\xi_n^1 - \frac{1}{q}\xi_n^2$. Let G be a Gaussian random variable with variance $\sigma^2(p,q)$. In light of Lemma 2.8, for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have the following bound

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G) \leq d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G) + \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{W}{n} > \epsilon/2\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\sqrt{n}\Psi\left(\frac{\xi_n^1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\xi_n^2}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{W}{n}\right)\right| > \epsilon/2\right] + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(p,q)}}.$$
 (57)

To give a brief overview of the remainder of the proof, first we use the Berry-Esseen theorem to show that the random variable ξ_n is close to a Gaussian random variable with variance $\sigma^2(p,q)$ in terms of Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances, obtaining an upper bound for $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G)$. Then we obtain an upper bound for remaining terms. 5.2. A bound for $\mathbf{d}_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G)$. First of all, we note the random variable ξ_n may be written $\xi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Z}_i$, where

$$\mathcal{Z}_i := \frac{|Z_i|^q - M_p(q)}{qM_p(q)} - \frac{|Z_i|^p - 1}{p}, \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Each \mathcal{Z}_i has variance $\sigma^2(p,q)$ as in (1.1). In particular, ξ_n also has variance $\sigma^2(p,q)$. Let $\rho(p,q)$ denote the third moment of $|\mathcal{Z}_i|$. Then by the Berry-Esseen theorem (see Theorem 2.7), if G is a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $\sigma^2(p,q)$, then we have

$$d_{\mathsf{KS}}(\xi_n, G) \le K_{\mathsf{BE}} \frac{\rho(p, q)}{\sigma^3(p, q)\sqrt{n}} =: \frac{C_{p,q}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$
(58)

5.3. A bound for the Ψ term. Now consider obtaining an upper bound for the term involving Ψ in (5.1). With M and δ as in (5.1), using Lemma 2.10 and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\sqrt{n}\Psi\left(\frac{\xi_n^1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\xi_n^2}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{W}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon_n}{2}\right] \le Q_n\left(\frac{\xi_n^1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{\xi_n^2}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{W}{n}\right), \tag{59}$$

where for real-valued random variables X,

$$Q_n(X) := \mathbb{P}\left[|X| > \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{6M\sqrt{n}}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[|X| > \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}}\right].$$

Let us start with an upper bound for

$$Q_n\left(\frac{\xi_n^1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left[|\xi_n^1| > \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n \sqrt{n}}{6M}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[|\xi_n^1| > \frac{\delta \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}\right]$$

We may use Lemma 2.9 to bound the tail probabilities of ξ_n^1 . To set this up, let $m = n, \beta_n = \theta n$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1]$,

$$\gamma_n := \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{|Z_1|^q - M_p(q)}{M_p(q)}\right|^3\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{|Z_1|^q - M_p(q)}{M_p(q)}\right|^2\right]^{3/2}} \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and let σ_{\max} be the variance of $\frac{|Z_1|^q - M_p(q)}{M_p(q)}$. In particular, whenever ϵ_n and $\beta_n \leq n/\gamma_n^2$ are sequences such that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n \sqrt{n}}{6M}} \ge \sigma_{\max} \sqrt{\log(\beta_n)}_+$$
 and $\frac{\delta \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}} \ge \sigma_{\max} \sqrt{\log(\beta_n)}_+$ (60)

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$Q_n\left(\frac{\xi_n^1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \le \frac{4\left(K_{\mathsf{BE}}+1\right)}{\sqrt{\beta_n}}$$

In particular, consider setting $\beta_n = \theta_{p,q}n$, and $\epsilon_n := \Theta_{p,q} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$, where $\theta_{p,q}$ is small enough and $\Theta_{p,q}$ is large enough so that both the inequalities in (5.3) hold for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a constant $C_{p,q} \in (0,\infty)$ such that with $\epsilon_n := \Theta_{p,q} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$, we have

$$Q_n\left(\frac{\xi_n^1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \le \frac{C_{p,q}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Making an identical argument with the term $Q_n\left(\xi_n^2/\sqrt{n}\right)$, it follows that there are sufficiently large constants $\Theta'_{p,q}$ and $C'_{p,q}$ such that taking $\epsilon_n := \Theta'_{p,q} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$, we have

$$Q_n\left(\frac{\xi_n^1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + Q_n\left(\frac{\xi_n^2}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \le \frac{C'_{p,q}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by (5.3) and the definition of $Q_n(W)$, with $\epsilon_n := \Theta'_{p,q} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\sqrt{n}\Psi\left(\frac{\xi_{n}^{1}}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\xi_{n}^{2}}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{W}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon_{n}}{2}\right] \\
\leq \frac{C_{p,q}}{\sqrt{n}} + \mathbb{P}\left[W > \frac{\delta n}{\sqrt{3}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W > n\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{n}}{6M\sqrt{n}}}\right].$$
(61)

5.4. Wrapping things together. Recall our original bound (5.1) for $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G)$. Plugging the intermediate bounds (5.2) and (5.3) into (5.1), we recall that there are constants $\Theta'_{p,q}, C_{p,q}, C'_{p,q} \in (0,\infty)$ such that with $\epsilon_n := \Theta'_{p,q} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$ we have

 $d_{\mathsf{KS}}(Y_n, G)$

$$\leq \frac{C_{p,q}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{C_{p,q}'}{\sqrt{n}} + \mathbb{P}\left[W > \frac{\delta n}{\sqrt{3}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W > n\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{6M\sqrt{n}}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{W}{n} > \frac{\epsilon_n}{2}\right] + \frac{\epsilon_n}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(p,q)}}.$$

For a sufficiently small constant $c_{p,q} \in (0, \infty)$, the terms in (4.4) involving tail probabilities for W may be collected by the simple bound

$$\mathbb{P}\left[W > \frac{\delta n}{\sqrt{3}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W > n\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_n}{6M\sqrt{n}}}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{W}{n} > \frac{\epsilon_n}{2}\right] \le \mathbb{P}\left[W > c_{p,q}\sqrt{n\log(n)}\right], \quad (62)$$

and it follows from plugging (5.4) and the definition $\epsilon_n := \Theta'_{p,q} \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$ into (4.4) that with $c_{p,q}$ as above, for a sufficiently large $C''_{p,q} \in (0,\infty)$ we have

$$d_{KS}(Y_n, G) \le C_{p,q}'' \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}} + \mathbb{P}\left[W > c_{p,q} \sqrt{n \log(n)}\right],$$

which completes the proof of Theorem C.

Acknowledgment. SJ and JP have been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Project P32405 "Asymptotic Geometric Analysis and Applications" of which JP is principal investigator. JP has also been supported by a *Visiting International Professor Fellowship* from the Ruhr University Bochum and its Research School PLUS.

References

- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, J. Prochno, and C. Thäle. Large deviations for high-dimensional random projections of \$\ell_p\$-balls. Adv. in Appl. Math., 99:1–35, 2018.
- [2] D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, J. Prochno, and C. Thäle. Gaussian fluctuations for high-dimensional random projections of *lⁿ_p*-balls. *Bernoulli*, 25(4A):3139–3174, 2019.
- [3] F. Barthe, O. Guédon, S. Mendelson, and A. Naor. A probabilistic approach to the geometry of the lⁿ_p-ball. Ann. Probab., 33(2):480–513, 2005.
- [4] A. C. Berry. The accuracy of the Gaussian approximation to the sum of independent variates. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 49:122–136, 1941.
- [5] U. Brehm and J. Voigt. Asymptotics of cross sections for convex bodies. Beiträge Algebra Geom., 41(2):437– 454, 2000.
- [6] P. Diaconis and D. Freedman. A dozen de Finetti-style results in search of a theory. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist., 23(2, suppl.):397–423, 1987.
- [7] J. Grote, Z. Kabluchko, and C. Thäle. Limit theorems for random simplices in high dimensions. ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat., 16(1):141–177, 2019.
- [8] Z. Kabluchko, A.E. Litvak, and D. Zaporozhets. Mean width of regular polytopes and expected maxima of correlated Gaussian variables. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 442(Veroyatnost' i Statistika. 23):75–96, 2015. Reprinted in J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **2**25 (2017), no. 5, 770–787.
- [9] Z. Kabluchko, J. Prochno, and C. Thäle. High-dimensional limit theorems for random vectors in lⁿ_p-balls. Commun. Contemp. Math., 21(1):1750092, 30, 2019.
- [10] Z. Kabluchko, J. Prochno, and C. Thäle. High-dimensional limit theorems for random vectors in ℓ_p^n -balls. ii. Commun. Contemp. Math. (to appear), 2019+.
- [11] B. Klartag. A central limit theorem for convex sets. Invent. Math., 168(1):91–131, 2007.
- [12] B. Klartag. Power-law estimates for the central limit theorem for convex sets. J. Funct. Anal., 245(1):284–310, 2007.
- [13] G. Paouris, P. Pivovarov, and J. Zinn. A central limit theorem for projections of the cube. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 159(3-4):701–719, 2014.

- [14] I.G. Shevtsova. On the absolute constants in the berry-esseen inequality and its structural and nonuniform improvements. *Inform. Primen.*, 7(1):124–125, 2013.
- [15] A. J. Stam. Limit theorems for uniform distributions on spheres in high-dimensional Euclidean spaces. J. Appl. Probab., 19(1):221–228, 1982.

Institut für Mathematik & Wissenschaftliches Rechnen, University of Graz, Heinrichstrasse 36, 8010 Graz, Austria

E-mail address: samuel.johnston@uni-graz.at

Institut für Mathematik & Wissenschaftliches Rechnen, University of Graz, Heinrichstrasse 36, 8010 Graz, Austria

E-mail address: joscha.prochno@uni-graz.at