

An analysis of the induced linear operators associated to divide and color models

Malin Palö Forsström * Jeffrey E. Steif †

February 28, 2020

Abstract

We study the natural linear operators associated to *divide and color (DC) models*. The degree of nonuniqueness of the random partition yielding a DC model is directly related to the dimension of the kernel of these linear operators. We determine exactly the dimension of these kernels as well as analyze a permutation-invariant version. We also obtain properties of the solution set for certain parameter values which will be important in (1) showing that large threshold discrete Gaussian free fields are DC models and in (2) analyzing when the Ising model with a positive external field is a DC model, both in future work. However, even here, we give an application to the Ising model on a triangle.

Keywords and phrases. Divide and color models
MSC 2010 *subject classifications.* Primary 60G99

1 Introduction, some notation and summary of results

There is a very simple mechanism for constructing random variables with a (positive) dependency structure, which are called *divide and color models*. These were introduced in its general form in [4], but have already arisen in many different contexts.

Definition 1.1. A $\{0, 1\}$ -valued process $X := (X_i)_{i \in S}$ is a *divide and color (DC) model* if X can be generated as follows. First choose a random partition of S according to some arbitrary distribution π , and then independently of this and independently for different partition elements in the random partition, assign, with probability p , *all* the variables in a partition element the value 1 and with probability $1 - p$ assign *all* the variables the value 0. This final $\{0, 1\}$ -valued process is called the *DC model* associated to (π, p) . We also say that (π, p) is a *color representation* of X .

*Chalmers University of Technology and Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden and KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden. Email: malinpf@kth.se

†Chalmers University of Technology and Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden. Email: steif@chalmers.se

As detailed in [4], many processes in probability theory are DC models; examples are the Ising model with zero external field, the fuzzy Potts model with zero external field, the stationary distributions for the voter model and random walk in random scenery.

While certainly the distribution of a divide and color model determines p , it in fact does not determine the distribution of π . This was seen for small sets S in [4], and this lack of uniqueness will essentially be completely determined in this paper.

Given a set S , we let \mathcal{B}_S denote the collection of partitions of S . We denote $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ by $[n]$ and if $S = [n]$, we write \mathcal{B}_n for \mathcal{B}_S . $|\mathcal{B}_n|$ is called the n th Bell number. We let P_n denote the number of integer partitions on n . Since S will always be finite, we will, without loss of generality, assume it is equal to $[n]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The law of any random partition of $[n]$ can be identified with a probability vector $q = \{q_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_n}$. Similarly, the law of any random $\{0, 1\}$ -valued vector (X_1, \dots, X_n) can be identified with a probability vector $\nu = (\nu(\rho))_{\rho \in \{0, 1\}^n} \in \mathbb{R}^{\{0, 1\}^n}$. The definition of a DC model yields immediately, for each n and $p \in [0, 1]$, a map $\Phi_{n,p}$ from random partitions of $[n]$, i.e., from probability vectors $q = \{q_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ to probability vectors $\nu = (\nu(\rho))_{\rho \in \{0, 1\}^n}$. While a triviality, a crucial observation is that $\Phi_{n,p}$ is an affine map of the relevant simplices. As a result, this map naturally extends to a linear mapping $A_{n,p}$ from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{\{0, 1\}^n}$. This will allow us to more easily analyze questions concerning nonuniqueness of color representations, since, by placing the problem in a vector space context, one can consider formal solutions (to be defined below) which one might show afterwards are in fact nonnegative solutions and therefore color representations.

To describe the linear operator $A_{n,p}$, we identify \mathcal{B}_n with the natural basis for $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_n}$ in which case $A_{n,p}$ is uniquely determined by giving the image of each $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ which is done as follows. Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and a binary string $\rho \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we write $\sigma \triangleleft \rho$ if ρ is constant on the partition elements of σ . We then have

$$A_{n,p}(\sigma)_\rho := A_{n,p}(\rho, \sigma) := \begin{cases} p^{c(\sigma, \rho)} (1-p)^{\|\sigma\| - c(\sigma, \rho)} & \text{if } \sigma \triangleleft \rho \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\|\sigma\|$ is equal to the number of partition elements in the partition σ and $c = c(\sigma, \rho)$ is the number of partition elements on which ρ is 1. We would not be surprised if this operator has occurred in other contexts but we have not been able to find it in the literature.

As seen in [1] and [4], the cases $p = 1/2$ and $p \neq 1/2$ behave quite differently when it comes to DC models. We will see this difference also below when studying the dimension of the kernels of the corresponding operators. In [4], the below was obtained for some small values of n . For $\rho \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we write $-\rho$ to denote the binary string where the zeros and ones in ρ are switched, i.e. $-\rho = 1 - \rho$.

Theorem 1.2. $A_{n, \frac{1}{2}}$ has rank 2^{n-1} and hence nullity $|\mathcal{B}_n| - 2^{n-1}$. The range of $A_{n, \frac{1}{2}}$ is

$$\{(\nu(\rho))_{\rho \in \{0, 1\}^n} : \forall \rho, \nu(\rho) = \nu(-\rho)\}. \quad (1)$$

Remark 1.3. In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will obtain a concrete formula for a formal solution (to be defined below) for any ν satisfying (1). If, in addition, ν

is a probability vector with the property that the probability of being constant is at least .5, then this formal solution will be a nonnegative solution and hence ν will be a divide and color model. We mention that there is no such result when $p \neq 1/2$.

Theorem 1.4. *If $p \notin \{0, 1/2, 1\}$, then $A_{n,p}$ has rank $2^n - n$ and hence nullity $|\mathcal{B}_n| - (2^n - n)$. The range of $A_{n,p}$ is equal to*

$$\{(\nu(\rho))_{\rho \in \{0,1\}^n} : \forall i, p \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=0} \nu(\rho) = (1-p) \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=1} \nu(\rho)\}. \quad (2)$$

(The vector subspace defined by (2) is the vector space analogue of the marginal distributions each being $p\delta_1 + (1-p)\delta_0$.) In particular, if ν is a probability vector on $\{0,1\}^n$, all of whose marginals are $p\delta_1 + (1-p)\delta_0$, then ν is in the range of $A_{n,p}$. (Of course, there might not be a probability vector $q = (q_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ which maps to ν ; i.e. ν need not be a DC model.)

We now discuss the relationship between a nontrivial kernel and nonunique color representations. Given n, p and ν , a (not necessarily nonnegative) vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_n}$ is called a *formal solution* if

$$A_{n,p}q = \nu \quad (3)$$

while a nonnegative such vector q is called a *nonnegative solution*. It is easy to see, using inclusion-exclusion, that (3) is equivalent to the system

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} p^{|\sigma_S|} q_\sigma = \nu_p(1^S), \quad S \subseteq [n] \quad (4)$$

where σ_S denotes the restriction of a partition $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ to a set $S \subseteq [n]$, and 1^S is the event that $\rho \in \{0,1\}^n$ is equal to 1 on S . If ν is a probability vector, then the sum of the coordinates of any formal solution will always be one, but it will be a nonnegative solution if and only if it corresponds to a divide and color representation. Therefore the relationship between nontriviality of the kernel of $A_{n,p}$ and uniqueness of a color representation (i.e., uniqueness of a nonnegative solution) is as follows. First, of course $A_{n,p}$ has a nontrivial kernel if and only if for any ν in the range, there are an infinite number of formal solutions. Hence if the kernel is trivial, there is always at most one divide and color representation for any DC model. The converse is not true since an i.i.d. process clearly has at most one color representation even when the kernel is nontrivial. However, as is also explained in [4], if the kernel is nontrivial, ν is in the range and there exists a nonnegative solution all of whose coordinates are positive, then one has infinitely many nonnegative solutions since we can add a small constant times an element in the kernel. More generally, if ν is in the range and there exists a nonnegative solution q for ν , then there is another nonnegative solution (and then infinitely many) if and only if there is an element q' in the kernel whose negative-valued coordinates are contained in the support of q .

It is sometimes natural to consider situations where one has some further invariance property, a special case being full invariance meaning everything considered is invariant under the full symmetric group. In this case, the characterization of the ranges and of the dimensions of the kernel are given in Theorem 2.2 in Section 2.3.

Our next theorem will be used in [3] to show that threshold discrete Gaussian free fields are DC models for large threshold. This theorem is included here since it heavily relies on the *algebraic picture* used in the proofs of the above results. It gives sufficient conditions for a family of probability measures ν_p to be a DC model for small p in terms of the asymptotic behavior of certain probabilities as $p \rightarrow 0$. In the below, for a given set S of coordinates, $\nu(1^S)$ will denote the ν -probability that we have all 1's on S and $\nu_p(1^S 0^{S^c})$ will denote the ν -probability that we have all 1's on S and 0's on S^c .

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(\nu_p)_{p \in (0,1)}$ be a family of probability measures on $\{0,1\}^n$. Assume that ν_p has marginals $p\delta_1 + (1-p)\delta_0$ and that for all $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \geq 2$ and all $k \in S$, as $p \rightarrow 0$, we have that*

$$p\nu_p(1^{S \setminus \{k\}}) \ll \nu_p(1^S) \asymp \nu_p(1^S 0^{[n] \setminus S}) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]: |S| \geq 2} \frac{\nu_p(1^S 0^{S^c})}{p} < 1.$$

Then ν_p is a DC model for all sufficiently small $p > 0$.

Next, since $p = 1/2$ plays a special role, it will turn out to be useful to understand the limiting behavior of the solution set as $p \rightarrow 1/2$. This will also be needed in understanding which Ising models are DC models in the presence of an external field; the latter will be studied in [2]. The following result captures this limiting behavior.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $(\nu_p)_{p \in (0,1)}$ be a family of probability measures on $\{0,1\}^n$. Assume that ν_p has marginals $p\delta_1 + (1-p)\delta_0$, and that for each $S \subseteq [n]$, $\nu_p(1^S)$ is differentiable in p at $p = 1/2$. Assume further that for any sets $T \subseteq [n]$ and $S \subseteq T$, and any $p \in (0,1)$, we have that*

$$\nu_p(0^S 1^{T \setminus S}) = \nu_{1-p}(0^{T \setminus S} 1^S).$$

Finally, for each $p \in (0,1)$ let $(q_\sigma^{(p)})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ be a formal solution to the equation

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} p^{\|\sigma_S\|} q_\sigma^{(p)} = \nu_p(1^S), \quad S \subseteq [n].$$

Then the set of subsequential limits $(q_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ of sequences $((q_\sigma^{(p)})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n})_{p \in (0,1)}$ as $p \rightarrow 1/2$ is exactly the set of solutions to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} 2^{-\|\sigma_S\|} q_\sigma = \nu_{1/2}(1^S), & S \subseteq [n], |S| \text{ even} \\ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} \|\sigma_S\| 2^{-\|\sigma_S\|+1} q_\sigma = \nu'_{1/2}(1^S), & S \subseteq [n], |S| \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Remark 1.7. It follows from the proof of this theorem that the system of linear equations given by the equations in (6) corresponding to even sets is equivalent to the linear equation system in (4) for $p = 1/2$.

The following application of Theorem 1.6 will be proved in Section 4. We consider the Ising model on a triangle with parameters J and h ; this is the probability measure on $\{1, -1\}^{[3]}$ which has relative weights

$$e^{J(\sum_{x \neq y} \eta(x)\eta(y)) + h \sum_x \eta(x)}$$

to the configuration η . Call this measure $\nu_{J,h}$. For any $J \geq 0$ and $h > 0$, by Theorem 1.4, there is a unique $q^{J,h} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_3}$ with $A_{3,p}q^{J,h} = \nu_{J,h}$ where $p = p(J, h)$ is chosen to be the probability that a single site is positive. The uniqueness of this solution also follows from Theorem 2.1(C) in [4]. If we now, for fixed J , let h tend to zero, then any subsequential limit q^J of $(q^{J,h})$ necessarily satisfies $A_{3,1/2}q^J = \nu_{J,0}$. One natural random partition which yields $\nu_{J,0}$ as its color process is the so-called random cluster model or Fortuin-Kastelyn representation denoted by q^{RCM} . Interestingly it turns out that q^{RCM} does not correspond to the small h limit. This was first observed by the second author and Johan Tykesson with the help of Mathematica. Here we obtain it as a direct corollary of Theorem 1.6.

Corollary 1.8. *For all $J > 0$, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} q^{J,h}$ exists and does not equal q^{RCM} .*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The proofs of Theorems 1.2, 1.4 and 2.2 will be given in Section 2. Then Theorem 1.5 will be proved in Section 3 and Theorem 1.6 as well as Corollary 1.8 will be proved in Section 4.

2 Dimension of the kernels of the induced linear operators

2.1 Formal solutions for the $p = 1/2$ case

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.2 and demonstrate the statement made in the remark after the statement of this theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Given a $\{0, 1\}$ -symmetric probability vector $\nu = (\nu(\rho))$ on $\{0, 1\}^n$, it is easy to verify (and left to the reader) that a formal solution (i.e. a solution to $A_{n,1/2}q = \nu$) is given by

$$q_\sigma = \begin{cases} 2(\nu(0^S 1^{S^c}) + \nu(1^S 0^{S^c})), & \text{if } \sigma = \{S, S^c\}, S \neq \emptyset, [n] \\ 1 - \sum_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{B}_n: |\sigma'|=2} q_{\sigma'} & \text{if } \sigma = [n] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In addition, this yields a color representation (i.e., a nonnegative solution to $A_{n,1/2}q = \nu$) if and only if

$$\nu(00 \dots 0) + \nu(11 \dots 1) \geq 0.5 \tag{7}$$

by observing that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{[n]} &= 1 - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n: \\ |\sigma|=2}} q_\sigma = 1 - \sum_{\substack{\{S, S^c\}: \\ S \subseteq [n], 0 < |S| < n}} 2(\nu(0^S 1^{S^c}) + \nu(1^S 0^{S^c})) \\ &= 1 - 2(1 - (\nu(00 \dots 0) + \nu(11 \dots 1))). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly every element of the range must satisfy the symmetry condition (1) since $p = 1/2$ while the first part of the proof shows that any vector satisfying (1) is in the range. This proves the description of the range and from this, it follows immediately that the rank is 2^{n-1} and hence the nullity is $|\mathcal{B}_n| - 2^{n-1}$. \square

2.2 Formal solutions for the $p \neq 1/2$ case

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Step 1. *The rank of $A_{n,p}$ is at least $2^n - n$.*

Proof of Step 1. Let $A'_{n,p}$ be the $2^n \times |\mathcal{B}_n|$ matrix corresponding to the left hand side of (4), i.e. let

$$A'_{n,p}(S, \sigma) := p^{|\sigma_S|}, \quad S \subseteq [n], \sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n.$$

It suffices to show that the rank of $A'_{n,p}$ is at least $2^n - n$.

Let σ^\emptyset be the partition into singletons and for each $T \subseteq [n]$ with $|T| > 1$, let $\sigma^T \in \mathcal{B}_n$ be the unique partition with exactly one non-singleton partition element given by T . If e.g. $n = 5$ we would have that $\sigma^{\{1,2,3\}} = (123, 4, 5)$. One easily verifies that $\|(\sigma^T)_S\| = |S \setminus T| + (1 \wedge |S \cap T|)$ for $T = \emptyset$ or $|T| > 1$.

Consider the equation system

$$\nu(1^S) = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]: |T| \neq 1} p^{|\sigma^T_S|} q_{\sigma^T}, \quad S \subseteq [n]$$

and let $A'' = A''_{n,p}$ be the corresponding $2^n \times (2^n - n)$ matrix. Define $B = (B(S, S'))_{S, S' \subseteq [n]}$ by

$$B(S, S') := (-p)^{|S| - |S'|} I(S' \subseteq S).$$

If we order the rows (from top to bottom) and columns (from left to right) of B such that the sizes of the corresponding sets are increasing, then B is a lower triangular matrix with $B(S, S) = 1$ for all $S \subseteq [n]$. In particular, this implies that B is invertible for all $p \in (0, 1)$, and hence A'' and BA'' (also a $2^n \times (2^n - n)$ matrix) have the same rank. Moreover, for any $S, T \subseteq [n]$ with $|T| \neq 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (BA'')(S, T) &= \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-p)^{|S| - |S'|} A''(S', T) \\ &= \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-p)^{|S| - |S'|} p^{|S' \setminus T| + (1 \wedge |S' \cap T|)} \\ &= (-p)^{|S|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-p)^{-|S'|} p^{|S' \setminus T|} p^{1 \wedge |S' \cap T|} \\ &= (-p)^{|S|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-p)^{-|S' \cap T|} (-1)^{|S' \setminus T|} p^{1 \wedge |S' \cap T|} \\ &= \begin{cases} (-p)^{|S|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-p)^{-|S'|} p^{1 \wedge |S'|} & \text{if } S \subseteq T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In the case $S \subseteq T$, we can simplify further to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (BA'')(S, T) &= (-p)^{|S|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-p)^{-|S'|} p^{1 \wedge |S'|} \\ &= (-p)^{|S|} \left((1 - p^{-1})^{|S|} \cdot p + (1 - p) \right) \\ &= p(1 - p)^{|S|} + (-p)^{|S|}(1 - p). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $p \neq 1/2$, if $S \subseteq T$, then $(BA'')(S, T) = 0$ if and only if $|S| = 1$. If we order the rows (from top to bottom) and columns (from left to right) of BA'' so that the corresponding sets are increasing in size, it is obvious that the $(2^n - n) \times (2^n - n)$ submatrix of BA'' obtained by removing the rows corresponding to $|S| = 1$ has full rank. This implies that BA'' has rank at least $2^n - n$ which implies the same for A'' since B is invertible. Finally, since A'' is a submatrix of $A'_{n,p}$, we obtain the desired lower bound on the rank of the latter. \square

Step 2. *The rank of $A_{n,p}$ is at most $2^n - n$.*

Proof of Step 2. We first claim that if $\nu = (\nu(\rho))_{\rho \in \{0,1\}^n}$ is in the range, then it is in the set defined in (2). To see this, let $\nu = A_{n,p} q$ for some $q = (q_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ and fix an $i \in [n]$. The expression in the left hand side of (2) becomes

$$p \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=0} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} A_{n,p}(\rho, \sigma) q_\sigma = p \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} q_\sigma \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=0} A_{n,p}(\rho, \sigma).$$

With $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ fixed, let

$$T_\sigma^i : \{\rho : \rho(i) = 0\} \mapsto \{\rho : \rho(i) = 1\}$$

be the bijection which flips ρ on the partition element of σ which contains i . It is clear that for all ρ with $\rho(i) = 0$, we have

$$(1-p)A_{n,p}(T_\sigma^i(\rho), \sigma) = pA_{n,p}(\rho, \sigma)$$

and hence the previous expression is

$$\begin{aligned} (1-p) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} q_\sigma \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=0} A_{n,p}(T_\sigma^i(\rho), \sigma) &= (1-p) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} q_\sigma \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=1} A_{n,p}(\rho, \sigma) = \\ &= (1-p) \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} A_{n,p}(\rho, \sigma) q_\sigma = (1-p) \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=1} \nu(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

$A_{n,p}$ is mapping into a 2^n -dimensional vector space and each of the n equations in (2) gives one linear constraint. It is easy to see that these n constraints are linearly independent (for example, one can see this by just looking at the number of times each of the vectors $0^k 1^{n-k}$ appears on the two sides). It follows that the rank of $A_{n,p}$ is at most $2^n - n$. \square

With Steps 1 and 2 completed, together with the claim at the start of Step 2, we conclude that the rank is as claimed and the range is characterized as claimed. Finally, the claim concerning probability vectors follows immediately. \square

Remark 2.1.

- (i) The argument for the $p \neq 1/2$ case can equally well be carried out with minor modifications for the $p = 1/2$ case but we preferred the simpler argument which even gives more.

- (ii) This last proof shows that, when dealing with formal solutions, we only need to use partitions which have at most one nonsingleton partition element. This is in large contrast to the earlier proof of the $p = 1/2$ case where we only needed to use partitions which have at most two partition elements.
- (iii) The rank of an operator as a function of its matrix elements is not continuous but it is easily seen to be lower semicontinuous. We see this lack of continuity at $p = 1/2$ as well as of course at $p = 0$ and $p = 1$.

2.3 The fully invariant case

It is sometimes natural to consider situations where one has some further invariance property. One natural case is the following. The symmetric group S_n acts naturally on \mathcal{B}_n , $\{0, 1\}^n$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_n)$, $\mathcal{P}(\{0, 1\}^n)$, $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\{0, 1\}^n}$ where $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denotes the set of probability measures on X . (Of course $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_n}$ and the action on the former is just the restriction of the action on the latter; similarly for $\mathcal{P}(\{0, 1\}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\{0, 1\}^n}$.) To understand uniqueness of a color representation when we restrict to S_n -invariant probability measures, it is natural to again extend to the vector space setting, which is done as follows. Let $Q_n^{\text{Inv}} := \{q \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_n} : g(q) = q \ \forall g \in S_n\}$ and $V_n^{\text{Inv}} := \{\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{\{0, 1\}^n} : g(\nu) = \nu \ \forall g \in S_n\}$. We next let $A_{n,p}^{\text{Inv}}$ be the restriction of $A_{n,p}$ to Q_n^{Inv} . It is elementary to check that $A_{n,p}^{\text{Inv}}$ maps into V_n^{Inv} and furthermore, it is easy to check, by averaging, that

$$A_{n,p}^{\text{Inv}}(Q_n^{\text{Inv}}) = A_{n,p}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}_n}) \cap V_n^{\text{Inv}}. \quad (8)$$

Recalling that P_n is the set of partitions of the integer n , we have an obvious mapping from \mathcal{B}_n to P_n , denoted by $\sigma \mapsto \pi(\sigma)$, which is constant on S_n orbits. \mathbb{R}^{P_n} can then be canonically identified with Q_n^{Inv} via $(q_\pi)_{\pi \in P_n}$ is identified with $(q_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ where $q_\sigma = q_{\pi(\sigma)}/a_{\pi(\sigma)}$ where a_π is the number of σ 's for which $\pi(\sigma) = \pi$. In an analogous way, V_n^{Inv} can be canonically identified with \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; namely, $(\nu_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ is identified with $(\nu(\rho))_{\rho \in \{0, 1\}^n}$ where $\nu(\rho) = \nu_{\|\rho\|} / \binom{n}{\|\rho\|}$ and $\|\rho\|$ is the number of ones in the binary string ρ .

Using this notation, we have the following theorem. Again, in [4], this was done for some small values of n .

Theorem 2.2. (i). For $p \notin \{0, 1/2, 1\}$, $A_{n,p}^{\text{Inv}}$ has rank n and hence nullity $|P_n| - n$. The range of $A_{n,p}^{\text{Inv}}$ (after identifying V_n^{Inv} with \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) is

$$\{(\nu_0, \dots, \nu_n) : \nu_n = \frac{p}{1-p} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n-k}{n} \nu_k - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{k+1}{n} \nu_{k+1}\}. \quad (9)$$

(ii) $A_{n, \frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Inv}}$ has rank $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ and hence nullity $|P_n| - \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$. The range of $A_{n, \frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Inv}}$ (after identifying V_n^{Inv} with \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) is

$$\{(\nu_0, \dots, \nu_n) : \nu_i = \nu_{n-i} \ \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. (i). Denoting by U_n the subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} satisfying (9), we claim that (after identifying V_n^{Inv} with \mathbb{R}^{n+1})

$$U_n = A_{n,p}^{\text{Inv}}(Q_n^{\text{Inv}}). \quad (11)$$

Since U_n is clearly an n -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , the proof of (i) will then be done. To see this, first take $\nu \in U_n$ and let ν^{Inv} be the corresponding element in V_n^{Inv} . We first need to show that (2) is satisfied for ν^{Inv} . Fixing any $i \in [n]$, we have

$$p \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=0} \nu_\rho^{\text{Inv}} = p \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{\nu_k}{\binom{n}{k}} = p \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n-k}{n} \nu_k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1-p) \sum_{\rho: \rho(i)=1} \nu_\rho^{\text{Inv}} &= (1-p) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{\nu_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}} + (1-p)\nu_n \\ &= (1-p) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{k+1}{n} \nu_{k+1} + (1-p)\nu_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $\nu \in U_n$, (2) holds. In view of (8), this shows \subseteq in (11) holds.

Now fix $\nu^{\text{Inv}} \in A_{n,p}^{\text{Inv}}(Q_n^{\text{Inv}})$. Clearly $\nu^{\text{Inv}} \in V_n^{\text{Inv}}$ and by Theorem 1.4, (2) holds. The above computation shows that the corresponding $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfies (9) and hence is in U_n . This shows that \supseteq in (11) holds as well.

(ii). Denoting now by U_n the subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} satisfying (10), we claim that

$$U_n = A_{n, \frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Inv}}(Q_n^{\text{Inv}}). \quad (12)$$

Since U_n is clearly an $(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , the proof of (ii) will then be done. However, in view of (1) in Theorem 1.4 and (8), this is immediate. \square

3 Limiting solutions as p approaches 0

In this section, we provide a proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We will show that given the assumptions of the lemma, for $p > 0$ sufficiently small there is a color representation $(q_\sigma) = (q_\sigma(p))$ of $X_p \sim \nu_p$ which is such that $q_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with more than one non-singleton partition element. To this end, fix $p \in (0, 1/2)$. We now refer to the proof of Theorem 1.4. By Step 1 in that proof we have that a color representation $(q_\sigma(p))$ with the desired properties exists if and only if the (unique) solution $(q_{\sigma^S}(p))_{|S| \neq 1}$ to

$$\nu_p(1^S) = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]: |T| \neq 1} p^{\|\sigma^T\|_S} q_{\sigma^T}(p), \quad S \subseteq [n]: |S| \neq 1 \quad (13)$$

is non-negative. As in the proof of Theorem 1.4, let A'' be the $2^n \times (2^n - n)$ matrix corresponding to (13) and define $B = (B(S, S'))_{S, S' \subseteq [n]}$ by

$$B(S, S') := (-p)^{|S| - |S'|} I(S' \subseteq S).$$

In the proof of Step 1 of Theorem 1.4, we saw that for $S, T \subseteq [n]$ with $|T| \neq 1$,

$$(BA'')(S, T) = \begin{cases} p(1-p)^{|S|} + (-p)^{|S|}(1-p) & \text{if } S \subseteq T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $D = (D(S, S'))_{S, S' \subseteq [n]}$ be the diagonal matrix with

$$D(S, S) := (p(1-p)^{|S|} + (-p)^{|S|}(1-p)^{-1}I(|S| \neq 1)), \quad S \subseteq [n].$$

Then for $S, T \subseteq [n]$ with $|T| \neq 1$,

$$(DBA'')(S, T) = I(S \subseteq T) \cdot I(|S| \neq 1).$$

Furthermore, one can verify that if we define the matrix $C = (C(S, S'))_{S, S' \subseteq [n]}$ by

$$C(S, S') := \begin{cases} (-1)^{|S'| - |S|} I(S \subseteq S') & \text{if } |S| \geq 2 \text{ or } S = S' = \emptyset \\ (-1)^{|S'| - |S|} I(S \subseteq S') \cdot (1 - |S'|) & \text{if } S' \neq S = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then (since $p \neq \{0, 1/2, 1\}$)

$$(CDBA'')(S, T) = I(S = T) \cdot I(|S| \neq 1). \quad (14)$$

Since, by Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1.4, the rank of A'' is exactly $2^n - n$, it follows that if we think of ν_p as a column vector, then (13) is equivalent to

$$q_\sigma(p) = \begin{cases} e_S^t CDB\nu_p & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma^S, |S| \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

(with t here meaning transpose and e_S denoting the vector $(I(S' = S))_{S' \subseteq [n]}$). Now note that $DB\nu_p(1^\emptyset) = \nu_p(1^\emptyset)$ and that if $S \subseteq [n]$ has size $|S| \geq 2$, we have that

$$DB\nu_p(1^S) = e_S^t DB\nu_p = \frac{\sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-p)^{|S| - |S'|} \nu_p(1^{S'})}{p(1-p)^{|S|} + (-p)^{|S|}(1-p)}.$$

Since $|S| \geq 2$, the denominator is $p(1 + O(p))$, and by the left hand side of (5) the numerator is given by $\nu_p(1^S) + o(\nu_p(1^S))$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} DB\nu_p(1^S) &= p^{-I(|S| \geq 2)} (\nu_p(1^S) + o(\nu_p(1^S))) (1 + O(p)) \\ &= p^{-I(|S| \geq 2)} \nu_p(1^S) + o(p^{-I(|S| \geq 2)} \nu_p(1^S)) \end{aligned}$$

for any $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \neq 1$. If we apply C to the vector $(DB\nu_p(1^S))_{S \subseteq [n]}$, a computation shows that we get

$$e_S^t CDB\nu_p = p^{-1} \nu_p(1^S 0^{[n] \setminus S}) + o(p^{-1} \nu_p(1^S)), \quad S \subseteq [n], |S| \geq 2.$$

By (14) and the assumption that $\nu_p(1^S) \asymp \nu_p(1^S 0^{S^c})$, it follows that $q_{\sigma^S} \sim p^{-1} \nu_p(1^S 0^{[n] \setminus S})$ for any $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \geq 2$. Since $q_{\sigma^\emptyset} = 1 - \sum_{S \subseteq [n]: |S| \geq 2} q_{\sigma^S}$, again using the assumptions, this concludes the proof. \square

4 Limiting solutions as p approaches $1/2$

Before we proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.6, we state and prove a few lemmas that will be useful in this proof.

Lemma 4.1. Let $f: 2^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define $\varphi f: 2^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi f(S) := \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2)^{|S'| - |S|} f(S'), \quad S \subseteq [n]$$

and $\varphi^{-1} f: 2^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi^{-1} f(S) := \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} 2^{|S'| - |S|} f(S'), \quad S \subseteq [n].$$

Then

$$\varphi^{-1} \varphi f(S) = f(S), \quad S \subseteq [n].$$

This lemma is a type of Möbius inversion formula. For completeness, we present a short proof.

Proof. Let $T \subseteq [n]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} \varphi f(T) &= \sum_{S: S \subseteq T} 2^{|S| - |T|} \varphi f(S) = \sum_{S: S \subseteq T} 2^{|S| - |T|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2)^{|S'| - |S|} f(S') \\ &= \sum_{S': S' \subseteq T} \sum_{S: S' \subseteq S \subseteq T} 2^{|S| - |T|} (-2)^{|S'| - |S|} f(S') \\ &= 2^{-|T|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq T} 2^{|S'|} f(S') \sum_{S: S' \subseteq S \subseteq T} (-1)^{|S'| - |S|} \\ &= 2^{-|T|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq T} 2^{|S'|} f(S') \sum_{S'': S'' \subseteq T \setminus S'} (-1)^{|S''|} \\ &= 2^{-|T|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq T} 2^{|S'|} f(S') I(S' = T) = f(T). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. Define $A: \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2^{[n]}}$ by

$$A(S, \sigma) := I(\sigma_S \text{ has most one odd sized partition element}).$$

Then A has rank $2^n - n$.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Recall the definition of σ^T from the proof of Theorem 1.4. One can check that for any $S \subseteq [n]$,

$$A(S, \sigma^T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S \subseteq T \\ 1 & \text{if } |S| \text{ is odd and } |S \setminus T| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

This implies in particular that

$$A(S, \sigma^T) - \sum_{i \in S} A(S \setminus \{i\}, \sigma^T) I(|S| \text{ is odd}) = (1 - |S| \cdot I(|S| \text{ is odd})) \cdot I(S \subseteq T).$$

Since $(I(S \subseteq T))_{S, T \subseteq [n]}$ has full rank, it follows that A , when restricted to sets $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \neq 1$, has full rank, i.e. rank $2^n - n$. Since $A(\{i\}, \sigma^T) = A(\emptyset, \sigma^T) = 1$ for all $T \subseteq [n]$ with $|T| \neq 1$ and all $i \in [n]$, A can have rank at most $2^n - n$, hence the desired conclusion follows. □

Lemma 4.3. *If $S \subseteq [n]$, $|S|$ is odd and $\nu: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\{0, 1\}$ -symmetric,*

$$\sum_{T: T \subseteq S} (-2)^{|T|} \nu(1^T) = 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Fix a set $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S|$ odd. Since $|S|$ is odd and ν is symmetric,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\rho \in \{0, 1\}^n} (-1)^{\sum_{i \in S} \rho(i)} \nu(\rho) = \sum_{T: T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S \setminus T|} \nu(0^T 1^{S \setminus T}) \\ &= \sum_{T: T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S| - |T|} \nu(0^T 1^{S \setminus T}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, by inclusion exclusion, for any set $T \subseteq S$,

$$\nu(0^T 1^{S \setminus T}) = \sum_{T': T' \subseteq T} (-1)^{|T'|} \nu(1^{T' \cup (S \setminus T)}).$$

Combining the two earlier equations and then changing the order of summation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{T: T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S| - |T|} \sum_{T': T' \subseteq T} (-1)^{|T'|} \nu(1^{T' \cup (S \setminus T)}) \\ &= \sum_{T', T: T' \subseteq T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S| - (|T| - |T'|)} \nu(1^{S \setminus (T \setminus T')}) \\ &= \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S'|} \nu(1^{S'}) \cdot 2^{|S'|} = \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2)^{|S'|} \nu(1^{S'}) \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired conclusion. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $S \subseteq [n]$, $|S|$ is even and that $\nu_p: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable in p at $p = 1/2$. Suppose further that for all $T \subseteq S$ and all $p \in (1/2, 1)$, ν_p satisfies*

$$\nu_p(1^S 0^{T \setminus S}) = \nu_{1-p}(1^T 0^{S \setminus T}).$$

Then

$$\sum_{T: T \subseteq S} (-2)^{|T|} \nu'_{1/2}(1^T) = 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Fix a set $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S|$ even. Note that, using the assumption on (ν_p) , for any $T \subseteq S$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'_{1/2}(0^T 1^{S \setminus T}) &= \lim_{p \rightarrow 1/2} \frac{\nu_p(0^T 1^{S \setminus T}) - \nu_{1-p}(0^T 1^{S \setminus T})}{p - (1-p)} \\ &= \lim_{p \rightarrow 1/2} \frac{\nu_{1-p}(1^T 0^{S \setminus T}) - \nu_p(1^T 0^{S \setminus T})}{p - (1-p)} = -\nu'_{1/2}(1^T 0^{S \setminus T}). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Next, by the proof of the Lemma 4.3, we have that

$$2 \sum_{T: T \subseteq S} (-2)^{|T|} \nu'_{1/2}(1^T) = 2 \sum_{T: T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S| - |T|} \nu'_{1/2}(0^T 1^{S \setminus T}).$$

By (16), this equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{T: T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S|-|T|} \left[\nu'_{1/2}(0^T 1^{S \setminus T}) - \nu'_{1/2}(0^{S \setminus T} 1^T) \right] \\ &= \sum_{T: T \subseteq S} \nu'_{1/2}(0^T 1^{S \setminus T}) \left[(-1)^{|S|-|T|} - (-1)^{|S|-|S \setminus T|} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|S|$ is even, $|T|$ and $|S \setminus T|$ have the same parity, and hence the desired conclusion follows. \square

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Assume that $(q_\sigma^{(p)})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ is such that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} p^{\|\sigma_S\|} q_\sigma^{(p)} = \nu_p(1^S), \quad S \subseteq [n] \quad (17)$$

holds. Note that for p close to $1/2$, we have that

$$p^{\|\sigma_S\|} = 2^{-\|\sigma_S\|} + \|\sigma_S\| 2^{-\|\sigma_S\|+1} (p - 1/2) + o(p - 1/2).$$

Further, as ν_p is differentiable in p at $1/2$, we have that

$$\nu_p(1^S) = \nu_{1/2}(1^S) + \nu'_{1/2}(1^S)(p - 1/2) + o(p - 1/2).$$

Using these expansions, we will now apply φ , as defined in Lemma 4.1, to both sides of (17). To this end, we first introduce the following notation. Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $S \subseteq [n]$, write $\sigma_S = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m\}$, where $m = \|\sigma\|$, to denote that the partition elements of σ when restricted to S are given by $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m \subseteq S$. Using this notation, for any fixed set $S \subseteq [n]$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2^{-1})^{|S|-|S'|} \cdot 2^{-\|\sigma_{S'}\|} &= \sum_{\substack{S_1, \dots, S_m: \\ \forall i \in [m]: S_i \subseteq T_i}} \prod_{i=1}^m (-2^{-1})^{|T_i|-|S_i|} 2^{-I(S_i \neq \emptyset)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{S_i: S_i \subseteq T_i} (-2^{-1})^{|T_i|-|S_i|} \cdot 2^{-I(S_i \neq \emptyset)} = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + (-1)^{|T_i|}) \cdot (2^{-1})^{|T_i|+1} \\ &= 2^{-|S|} I(\sigma_S \text{ has only even sized partition elements}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2^{-1})^{|S|-|S'|} \cdot \|\sigma_{S'}\| 2^{-\|\sigma_{S'}\|+1} \\
&= 2 \cdot (-2)^{-|S|} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2)^{|S'|} \cdot \|\sigma_{S'}\| 2^{-\|\sigma_{S'}\|} \\
&= 2 \cdot (-2)^{-|S|} \sum_{I: I \subseteq [m]} |I| 2^{-|I|} \prod_{i \in I} \sum_{\substack{S_i: S_i \subseteq T_i, \\ S_i \neq \emptyset}} (-2)^{|S_i|} \\
&= 2 \cdot (-2)^{-|S|} \sum_{I: I \subseteq [m]} |I| 2^{-|I|} \prod_{i \in I} ((1 + (-2))^{|T_i|} - 1) \\
&= 2 \cdot (-2)^{-|S|} \sum_{I: I \subseteq [m]} |I| 2^{-|I|} \prod_{i \in I} (I(|T_i| \text{ is odd}) \cdot (-2)) \\
&= 2 \cdot (-2)^{-|S|} \sum_{I: I \subseteq [m]} |I| (-1)^{|I|} I(|T_i| \text{ is odd for all } i \in I) \\
&= 2 \cdot (-2)^{-|S|} \cdot I(\sigma_S \text{ has exactly one odd sized partition element}) \cdot 1 \cdot (-1)^1 \\
&= 2^{-|S|+1} \cdot I(\sigma_S \text{ has exactly one odd sized partition element}).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that φ , as defined in Lemma 4.1, is linear, applying it to (17) and using the above derivations, we hence obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} \left(2^{-|S|} I(\sigma_S \text{ has only even sized partition elements}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2^{-|S|+1} \cdot I(\sigma_S \text{ has exactly one odd sized partition element})(p - 1/2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + o(p - 1/2) \right) q_\sigma^{(p)} \\
&= \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2^{-1})^{|S|-|S'|} \left(\nu_{1/2}(1^{S'}) + \nu'_{1/2}(1^{S'})(p - 1/2) + o(p - 1/2) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Using Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, it follows that this is equivalent to that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} \left(I(\sigma_S \text{ has only even sized partition elements}) + o(p - 1/2) \right) q_\sigma^{(p)} \\
&= \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2)^{|S'|} \nu_{1/2}(1^{S'}) + o(p - 1/2), \quad \text{if } |S| \text{ is even}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} \left(I(\sigma_S \text{ has exactly one odd sized partition element}) + o(1) \right) q_\sigma^{(p)} \\
&= \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2)^{|S'|-1} \nu'_{1/2}(1^{S'}) + o(1), \quad \text{if } |S| \text{ is odd.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $(q_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ be any subsequential limit, as $p \rightarrow 1/2$, of formal solutions $(q_\sigma^{(p)})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n}$ to (17). Then, combining the previous two equations and letting

$p \rightarrow 1/2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} I(\sigma_S \text{ has at most one odd sized partition element}) q_\sigma \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2)^{|S'|} \nu_{1/2}(1^{S'}) & \text{if } |S| \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{S': S' \subseteq S} (-2)^{|S'|-1} \nu'_{1/2}(1^{S'}) & \text{if } |S| \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By applying φ^{-1} as defined in Lemma 4.1, we obtain (6). For the other direction, note that by Lemma 4.2, the matrix corresponding to the left hand side in the previous equation has rank $2^n - n$. By Theorem 2, this is also the rank of $A_{n,p}$ when $p \notin \{0, 1/2, 1\}$, and hence of the equivalent matrix given by the left hand side of (18). By a standard argument, it follows that (6) exactly describes the limiting solutions. This concludes the proof. \square

We now provide the proof of Corollary 1.8.

Proof of Corollary 1.8. We first need to place ourselves into the context of Theorem 1.6 which we do as follows. With J fixed, define a function h from $(0, 1)$ to \mathbb{R} where $h(p)$ is such that the one-dimensional marginal of $\nu_{J,h(p)}$ is p . It is easy to see that $h(1/2) = 0$ and that h is symmetric about $1/2$. It also follows from well known inequalities that h is increasing, bijective and differentiable. We now let $\nu_p := \nu_{J,h(p)}$. Understanding what happens as $h \rightarrow 0$ is the same as understanding what happens for ν_p as $p \rightarrow 1/2$. We need to look at the solutions of (6). Only symmetric solutions can arise and we then, for a random partition, let, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, q_i be the probability that there are i partition elements. q_1 and q_3 each correspond to one configuration while q_2 corresponds to three. In (6), by symmetry, there are just four equations corresponding to S having sizes zero, one, two and three. S having size zero and one both yield the equation

$$q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 1.$$

The interesting equations are for $|S|$ being two and three. It is easy to check that the $|S| = 2$ equation yields

$$\frac{q_1}{2} + \frac{q_2}{3} + \frac{q_3}{4} = \frac{e^{3J} + e^{-J}}{2e^{3J} + 6e^{-J}}.$$

For the $|S| = 3$ equation, we first need the right hand side. By the chain rule, this equals the derivative of the probability of having all 1's with respect to h at $h = 0$ times $h'(p)$ at $p = 1/2$. For the latter, using the inverse instead, it is straightforward to compute $p'(h)$ at $h = 0$ to be $\frac{3e^{3J} + e^{-J}}{2e^{3J} + 6e^{-J}}$, and hence $h'(1/2) = \frac{2e^{3J} + 6e^{-J}}{3e^{3J} + e^{-J}}$. For the derivative of the probability of having all 1's with respect to h for $h = 0$, a computation yields this to be $\frac{3e^{3J}}{2e^{3J} + 6e^{-J}}$ and hence the right hand side is $\frac{3e^{3J}}{3e^{3J} + e^{-J}}$. This easily yields the final equation to be

$$q_1 + q_2 + \frac{3q_3}{4} = \frac{3e^{3J}}{3e^{3J} + e^{-J}}.$$

One checks that the 3×3 system has a unique solution and hence Theorem 1.6 implies that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} q^{J,h}$ exists. One can also check that this unique solution is

strictly positive implying that for fixed J and small h , $\nu_{J,h}$ is a color process. One finds q_2 to be $\frac{12(e^{4J}-1)}{(3+e^{4J})(1+3e^{4J})}$ while one easily checks that $q_2^{\text{RCM}} = \frac{6e^{-2J}(e^{2J}-1)}{3+e^{4J}}$. Since one can check that for all $J > 0$, $\frac{12(e^{4J}-1)}{(3+e^{4J})(1+3e^{4J})} < \frac{6e^{-2J}(e^{2J}-1)}{3+e^{4J}}$, we obtain the claim. \square

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Björnberg, J.E., Mailler, C., Mörters, P., Ueltschi, D.: Characterising random partitions by random colouring. *Electronic communications in probability*, Volume 25, paper no. 4 (2020).
- [2] Forsström, M. P.: Divide and color representations for Ising models with an external field. Preprint.
- [3] Forsström, M. P., Steif, J. E.: Divide and color representations for threshold Gaussian and stable vectors. Preprint.
- [4] Steif, J. E., Tykesson, J.: Generalized divide and color models. *ALEA. Latin American Journal of Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 16, pp. 899-955 (2019).