Comment on "Convergence towards asymptotic state in 1-D mappings: A scaling investigation"

Mauricio Girardi-Schappo^{a,c}, Marcelo H. R. Tragtenberg^b

^aDepartamento de Física, FFCLRP, Universidade de São Paulo, 14040-901, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil ^bDepartamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, 88040-900, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil ^cgirardi.s@gmail.com

\bigcirc Abstract

Nonequilibrium phase transitions are characterized by the so-called critical exponents, each of which is related to a different observable. Systems that share the same set of values for these exponents also share the same universality class. Thus, it is important that the exponents are named and treated in a standardized framework. In this comment, we reinterpret the exponents obtained in [Phys Lett A 379:1246-12 (2015)] for the logistic and cubic maps in order to correctly state the universality class of their bifurcations, since these maps may describe the mean-field solution of stochastic spreading processes.

DOI: 10.1016/j.physleta.2019.126031

Keywords: Scaling law, Critical exponents, Universality class, Directed percolation, Difference equations, Logistic map

Teixeira et al. [1] studied the generalized logistic map,

$$x_{t+1} = Rx_t(1 - x_t^a),$$
(1)

where R and a = 1 (the usual logistic map [2]) or a = 2(the cubic map) are control parameters, and t is a discretized time step. The variable $x_t \in [0; 1]$ usually represents a generic population density that may linearly increase or nonlinearly decrease over time, depending on the values of R and a [2]. The asymptotic stationary solution of equation (1) (also known as fixed point – FP) is found by imposing $x_{t+1} = x_t = x^*$ [2]. The FP's of the generalized logistic map, other than the trivial solution $x^* = 0$ for $R < R_c \equiv 1$, are:

$$x^* = \frac{R-1}{R}$$
 (for $a = 1; R > R_c$) (2)

$$x^* = \pm \sqrt{\frac{R-1}{R}}$$
 (for $a = 2; R > R_c$) (3)

The authors did a very nice job characterizing the scaling laws governing the convergence time to the FP of the maps given in equation (1). They calculated what they called *critical exponents* for the transcritical (a = 1) and pitchfork (a = 2) bifurcations. The calculations done in [1] are completely sound and well supported by mathematical and numerical evidence collected by the authors. However, the term *critical exponents* has a special meaning in the Nonequilibrium Phase Transitions literature because they are used to define universality classes [3, 4]. These classes are usually dependent on the collective behavior of systems, its symmetries, its dimensionality, and so on [3], and are fundamental for a coherent theory of phase transitions in nonequilibrium systems. There has been an effort in the Phase Transitions community to standardize the nomenclature and definition of critical exponents, assigning each individual exponent to a unique Greek letter. Conversely, each exponent is uniquely linked to an observable of the system.

Recently, it was shown that the logistic map describes the population density of the mean-field phase transition between the inactive $(x^* = 0)$ and active $(x^* > 0)$ states of a spreading process (see equation (19) in [5] and equation (4) in [6]), and that the critical point at $R = R_c = 1$ pertains to the mean-field directed percolation (DP) universality class [5, 6]. This development puts the logistic map in the context of the well-known absorbing state phase transitions, and is not consistent with the definition of the exponents α , β , z and δ done by Teixeira et al. [1]. Therefore, we revisit the definition of the critical exponents of Teixeira et al. [1] to match the long standing standard nomenclature in the literature. From now on, we will use α_1 , β_1 , z_1 and δ_1 for the critical exponents defined in [1] in order to correctly distinguish them from the usual nonequilibrium exponents, α (the exponent of the order parameter vs. time), β (the exponent of the order parameter vs. a temperature-like parameter), δ (the survival probability exponent), and z (the dynamical exponent) [4].

The exponent β_1 [equation (3) in [1]] is, in fact, the standard exponent α describing the decay of the average activity towards the stationary state $x^* = 0$ on the bifur-

cation point $R = R_c$ [4, Table 4.1]:

$$x_t \sim t^{-\alpha} \,. \tag{4}$$

Thus, the values given by Teixeira et al. [1] for β_1 are the values of α , *i.e.* $\alpha = 1$ for the logistic map (a = 1) and $\alpha = 1/2$ for the cubic map (a = 2) [1].

The standard exponent β comes from the stationary state of the order parameter (given by the population density, x^*) evaluated very close to the critical (*i.e.*, the bifurcation) point, $R_c = 1$ [4, Table 4.1]:

$$x^* \sim (R - R_c)^{\beta} \,. \tag{5}$$

None of the exponents calculated by Teixeira et al. [1] corresponds to the β given in equation (5). We can expand to first order the FP equations (2) and (3) for $R \gtrsim 1$ to obtain $x^* \sim (R-1)^{\beta}$, with $\beta = 1$ for the logistic map and $\beta = 1/2$ for the cubic map. The apparent match between the values of β_1 and β comes from the fact the the logistic equation describes the population density at a mean-field level [5, 6]. For other dimensionalities, the values of β_1 (*i.e.*, the standard α) and β do not coincide [4, Table 4.3].

Teixeira et al. [1] defined the exponent δ_1 [equation (10) in their paper] as the decay exponent of the autocorrelation length, ξ_{\parallel} , of x_t as a function of the bifurcation parameter $(R - R_c)$. This is the precise definition of the standard exponent ν_{\parallel} , via the scaling law [4, Table 4.1]:

$$\xi_{\parallel} \sim |R - R_c|^{-\nu_{\parallel}} , \qquad (6)$$

such that $\delta_1 = -\nu_{\parallel}$. Thus, the values estimated numerically and analytically by the authors, $\delta_1 = -1$, for both the logistic and the cubic map yield $\nu_{\parallel} = 1$.

We can assume that the crossover time calculated by the authors, n_x [equation (4) in [1] defining the z_1 exponent], corresponds to ξ_{\parallel} , which decays algebraically with t when $R = R_c = 1$ as [4, p.107]

$$\xi_{\parallel} \sim t^{-\beta/\nu_{\parallel}} . \tag{7}$$

This assumption also requires that the time, T, spent by the logistic map lurking on the initial condition, x_0 , before decaying is $T \propto x_0$ – in fact, this lead Teixeira et al. [1] to define their equation (4). Thus, from Eq. (7), z_1 may be expressed in terms of the standard exponents, $z_1 =$ $-\nu_{\parallel}/\beta$. The authors fitted the values $z_1 = -1.0002(3)$ and $z_1 = -2.001(2)$ for the logistic and the cubic map, respectively. These values agree with the ratio between the standard exponents β [from Eq. (5)] and ν_{\parallel} (*i.e.*, the author's $-\delta_1$), yielding $z_1 = -1/1 = -1$ for the logistic map, and $z_1 = -1/(1/2) = -2$ for the cubic map.

The exponent α_1 defined by Teixeira et al. [1] has no counterpart in the standard literature of nonequilibrium phase transitions [3, 4]. However, notice that the definition, $x_t \propto x_0^{\alpha_1}$ [equation (2) in [1]], determines the expansion rate over time of the initial condition, x_0 . It is known that the Lyapunov exponent for both the transcritical and the pitchfork bifurcations is zero [7]. Equation (21) in [1] can be transformed into the definition of the Lyapunov exponent, λ_L ,

$$|\Delta x_t| = |\Delta x_0| \exp\left(\lambda_L t\right),\tag{8}$$

where Eq. (8) describes how a variation in the initial condition, $|\Delta x_0|$, evolves with time. Thus, from equation (21) in [1] and our Eq. (8), $\lambda_L = R - 1 = 0$ for R = 1 (*i.e.*, on the bifurcation point). A null Lyapunov exponent means that the initial condition does not expand nor shrink, causing the observed value $\alpha_1 = 1$.

The set of exponents $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\nu_{\parallel} = 1$, together with $\gamma = 1$ and $\delta_h = 2$ from [5, 6], puts the transcritical bifurcation of the FP of the logistic map into the meanfield DP universality class. For the cubic map, the set of values $\beta = 1/2$, $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\nu_{\parallel} = 1$, together with its cubic nonlinearity [compare it with equation (5.14) in [4] for $g \to 0$], puts its pitchfork bifurcation in the mean-field tricritical directed percolation (TDP) universality class [4, Table 5.2].

Bifurcations and nonequilibrium phase transitions share similar relations, and the context in which the model is studied evokes either one or the other as the background theory to explain the observed changes of macroscopic behavior occurring in the system. Nevertheless, a unification of both approaches could only benefit both fields. To that extent, a standard shared nomenclature is essential to correctly define the critical exponents and bring together the two frameworks. The calculations done by Teixeira et al. [1] are correct. However, we reinterpreted their exponents in the light of absorbing phase transitions and explained the apparent nonuniversal behavior of the β_1 exponent (*i.e.*, the standard α) observed by the authors as a changes from 1 (the logistic map) to 2 (the cubic map). The apparent nonuniversality happened because the logistic and cubic maps pertain to different mean-field universality classes: the DP and the TDP, respectively.

Acknowledgments

Article produced through the FAPESP Research, Innovation and Dissemination Center for Neuromathematics. M.G.-S. acknowledges financial support from Grant No. 2018/09150-9, S. Paulo Research Foundation.

References

- Teixeira, R.M.N., Rando, D.S., Geraldo, F.C., Costa Filho, R.N., de Oliveira, J.A., Leonel, E.D.. Convergence towards asymptotic state in 1-D mappings: A scaling investigation. *Phys Lett A* 2015;379:1246–1250. doi:\bibinfo{doi}{10.1016/j. physleta.2015.02.019}.
- May, R.M. Simple mathematical models with very complicated dynamics. *Nature* 1976;261:459–467.
- Ódor, G.. Universality classes in nonequilibrium lattice systems. Rev Mod Phys 2004;76(3):663–724.
- Henkel, M., Hinrichsen, H., Lübeck, S., Non-Equilibrium Phase Transitions. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer; 2008.

- Brochini, L., Costa, A.A., Abadi, M., Roque, A.C., Stolfi, J., Kinouchi, O.. Phase transitions and selforganized criticality in networks of stochastic spiking neurons. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:35831. doi:\bibinfo{doi}{10.1038/srep35831}. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep35831.
- Girardi-Schappo, M., Brochini, L., Costa, A.A., Carvalho, T.T.A., Kinouchi, O., Self-organized critical balanced networks: a unified framework. arXiv 2019;:1906.05624 [nlin.AO].
- Baker, G.L., Gollub, J.P.. Chaotic Dynamics: An Introduction. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1996.