

Brain reaction times: Linking individual and collective behaviour through Physics modelling

J. C. Castro-Palacio^{1,a}, P. Fernández de Córdoba^{2,b}, J. M. Isidro^{2,c},
and E. Navarro-Pardo^{3,*}

¹ Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

Current affiliation: Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia 46022, Spain

² Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia 46022, Spain

³ Department of Developmental and Educational Psychology, Universitat de València, Valencia 46010, Spain

^a juancas@upvnet.upv.es, ^b pfernandez@mat.upv.es,

^c joissan@mat.upv.es,

*Corresponding author: esperanza.navarro@uv.es

Keywords: Physical Psychology, Brain Thermodynamics, Reaction Times, Ideal Gas Theory, Life Span Parameter.

March 22, 2022

An individual's reaction time data to visual stimuli have usually been represented in Experimental Psychology by means of an ex-Gaussian function. In most previous works, researchers have mainly aimed at finding a meaning for the parameters of the ex-Gaussian function in relation to psychological phenomena. We will focus on interpreting the reaction times of a group of individuals rather than a single person's response, which is relevant for the different contexts of social sciences. In doing so, the same model as for the Ideal Gases (an inanimate system of non-interacting particles) emerges from the experimental reaction time data. Both systems are characterised by a collective parameter which is $k_B T$ in the case of the system of particles and what we have called *life span parameter* for the system of brains. Similarly, we came across a Maxwell-Boltzmann-type distribution for the system of brains which provides a natural and more complete characterisation of the collective time response than has ever been provided before. Thus, we are able to know about the behaviour of a single individual in relation to the coetaneous group to which they belong and through the application of a physical law. This leads to a new entropy-based methodology for the classification of the individuals forming the system which emerges from the physical law governing the system of brains. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work in the literature reporting on the emergence of a physical theory (Ideal Gas) from human response time experimental data.

The literature relates an important number of works on human reaction times to visual stimuli [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. This is a very common scenario in daily life and also in a broad plethora of situations in industry [7], behavioural economics and finances [8], sports [9], and health [10], to mention just a few examples. It is the collective behaviour of a group of individuals that is really relevant in these contexts.

In Experimental Psychology, the response time (RT) data of an individual are usually represented in terms of the ex-Gaussian function which provides three parameters, denoted as μ , σ , and τ , coming from the convolution between an exponential and a Gaussian function. Response time distributions are positively skewed [11, 12] and so are not properly described by standard central tendency estimators, such as the mean and standard deviation [13]. In this respect, the ex-Gaussian function has been proven to optimally fit the probability distribution curves of the RT.

The ex-Gaussian parameters derived from representing RT data are usually interpreted in connection with cognitive disorders as certain correlations are claimed to exist [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. For instance, the most commonly diagnosed cognitive disorder in childhood affecting the RT is Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). In this respect, the exponential component τ has been found to correlate with ADHD specifically in male children [21]. In reference [22], the authors studied ADHD and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in children aged 7-10 years to gain insights into the attentional fluctuations, related to increased response time variability. The use of the ex-Gaussian function to fit reaction time data has been a widespread procedure in the literature [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24].

When we review previous works, it can be said that a clear, direct and explicit regularity from the correlation between the ex-Gaussian parameters and cognitive disorders has not yet been reported, such that useful tools e.g. for mental disorder diagnosis or any other applications could be implemented. This work builds on the analysis and interpretations carried out to date although with a global and systemic view of the group of individuals. Our aim is in line with the everlasting search for general regularities in the living world [25].

In this article we seek to get new insights into the reaction time data by means of the collective response of a group of individuals. We go from the ex-Gaussian function, commonly used to parameterise the response time data of an individual, to the emergence of a Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB)-type distribution representing the collective behaviour of a group of individuals, namely, the probability distribution of the individual responses within a group. We discuss the context for the appearance of the Ideal Gas Theory [26] and therefore introduce a one-to-one correspondence between a system of brains and a system of particles.

Experiments

Participants

For this research, a sample of 168 children (84 males and 84 females) with ages between 8 and 10 years was taken. The children were uniformly randomly chosen and the mean age was 9.1 years, with a standard deviation of 0.9 years. The children who

participated in the experiments attended a Primary School in Valencia (Spain). All children were healthy, namely, presented no seizures, brain injury or any other neurological damage. This information was obtained from parents and school psychologists, who assessed all the children biannually. We obtained all necessary consents and authorisations at all necessary levels, specifically, the management of the school, and the Regional Education Authority. This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the Secretariat of Education of the Valencian Community. The protocol was approved by the Government of Valencia (Generalitat Valenciana). The due written consent of the children's parents or legal guardians was obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki [27].

Visual stimuli

Computer-based experiments were carried out using the Windows program DMDX [28] widely used in the community of experimental and cognitive psychologists [16, 18, 19, 24, 28, 29, 30]. By means of this program, stimuli were presented to the participants and RTs recorded. The tasks applied in this work correspond to the child version of the Attentional Network Test (ANT Child) [31]. Each child was sitting in a separate and quiet place such that the independence among children while performing the experiments was guaranteed. Laptops bearing DMDX software were used. Each experiment lasted for 6–7 minutes and stimuli were presented randomly to avoid order presentation effects. Besides, in this type of experiment, the individual response times take place in a very short period of time, typically within a few hundred milliseconds (70-2500 ms). The Attention Network Tasks seek to test three attentional networks: alerting, orienting, and executive control [32]. Alerting network is assessed by changes in reaction time as a result of a warning signal. Orienting is related to changes in the RT indicating where the target will take place. Finally, the efficiency of the executive control is tested by asking the children to answer by pressing the keys in indicating left or right direction of an image placed at the centre in between neutral, congruent or incongruent flankers. These three networks are very related among themselves [33, 34]. The visual stimuli were very simple and uncorrelated to any cultural background or educational training. Specifically, each stimulus consisted of 5 fish aligned horizontally looking to the right or the left. The colour was black and the background was white. The objective of the task was to identify, in each trial, the direction of the central fish. There were three cases depending on the orientation of the fish around the central one. The neutral case was when there was only the central fish. The congruent case was where the surrounding fish were placed in the same direction as the central fish. The third case was where the surrounding fish were placed in the opposite direction in respect to the central one. If the central fish was facing right, the key labelled “M” should be pressed; if not, the key labelled “Z” should be pressed. A total of 144 stimuli were presented in a random way and for a maximum of 2500 ms or until the child pressed a key. Overall, our experiments involved $144 \times 168 = 24192$ reaction times.

Statistics of the reaction time data

Let i be the index specifying the i -th individual, where $i = 1, \dots, N = 168$. Every individual is submitted to a test that yields a set of reaction times whose distribution can be represented by an ex-Gaussian function. This distribution is characterised by three parameters which can be expressed as a three-dimensional vector,

$$\vec{a}_i = (\mu_i, \sigma_i, \tau_i) \quad (1)$$

Let $f_i(t)$ be the ex-Gaussian probability distribution of the response times of the i -th individual [12]:

$$f_i(t) = f(\vec{a}_i; t) = f(\mu_i, \sigma_i, \tau_i; t) \quad (2)$$

where $f_i(t)$ is,

$$f_i(t) = \frac{1}{2\tau_i} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\tau_i}(2\mu_i + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\tau_i} - 2t)\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\mu_i + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\tau_i} - t}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_i}\right). \quad (3)$$

In the previous equation, erfc is the complementary error function. We will characterise the distribution of RTs of the i -th individual through its moments which is a more general way than using μ_i , σ_i , and τ_i . We can consider the moments centred either at the origin (raw moments), or at the corresponding average (central moments). Thus

$$M_i \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t f_i(t) dt \quad (4)$$

is the raw moment of order one, while

$$S_i^2 \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t - M_i)^2 f_i(t) dt \quad (5)$$

is the variance, or second central moment, of the random variable t with probability distribution $f_i(t)$ and centred at M_i . The positive square root of the above, S_i , is the standard deviation. On the other hand, the skewness λ_i of the distribution is defined as

$$\lambda_i \equiv \frac{1}{S_i^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t - M_i)^3 f_i(t) dt \quad (6)$$

that is, the centred moment of order three, divided by the standard deviation squared. We have divided by S_i^2 instead of S_i^3 as we want to keep the same time dimension (milliseconds) for all three moments.

Specifically for the ex-Gaussian function, the aforementioned moments have the following form: mean of the distribution, $M = \mu + \tau$ (first moment, Eq. 4), the variance $S^2 = \sigma^2 + \tau^2$ (second moment, Eq. 5), and the skewness $\lambda = 2\tau^3 / (\sigma^2 + \tau^2)$ (third moment, Eq. 6) [12]. Then, it is clear that μ , σ , and τ are not the moments of the distribution but parameters of the Gaussian and exponential functions that convolute to give rise to the ex-Gaussian distribution. Altogether, an ex-Gaussian distribution can be characterised through its three moments

$$\vec{b}_i = (M_i, S_i, \lambda_i). \quad (7)$$

We have considered the first three moments only as we want to keep the dimensionality of the vector in Eq. 7 equal to three. The experimental input is the set of all N vectors \vec{b}_i representing the N individuals analysed.

Let us centre all vector components of \vec{b}_i about their means, that is,

$$(M_i - \bar{M}, S_i - \bar{S}, \lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\bar{M} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N M_i, \quad \bar{S} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N S_i, \quad \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \quad (9)$$

Furthermore we will consider the vector \vec{v}_i with dimensionless components

$$\vec{v}_i = (v_i^{(1)}, v_i^{(2)}, v_i^{(3)}) \equiv \left(\frac{M_i - \bar{M}}{\bar{M}}, \frac{S_i - \bar{S}}{\bar{S}}, \frac{\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}}{\bar{\lambda}} \right). \quad (10)$$

The three components of \vec{v}_i , that is, $v_i^{(1)}$, $v_i^{(2)}$, and $v_i^{(3)}$, are evidenced to be distributed normally, that is, they obey a Gaussian distribution over the ensemble of N individuals. The graphs in Figure 1 (panels a), b), and c)) exhibit this property explicitly for each component, respectively. At the 0.05 confidence level, the data of the three moments were found to be significantly drawn from a normally distributed data according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test [35]. Therefore, the sample size used in this work ($N = 168$) is enough to show the Gaussian behaviour of the distributions of $v_i^{(1)}$, $v_i^{(2)}$, and $v_i^{(3)}$. In fact, when we randomly choose a sample of 50 individuals out of 168, the percentage relative errors among the respective variances of $v_i^{(1)}$, $v_i^{(2)}$, and $v_i^{(3)}$ stay within 3.6 %.




Figure 1: Probability distributions of the dimensionless moments calculated from 24192 experimental reaction times over a sample of 168 children (red open circles). The mean is shown in panel a), the standard deviation in panel b) and the skewness in panel c). The R^2 coefficient of the fit (blue solid line) is also shown.

Appearance of the MB distribution within the Ideal Gas theory

As a consequence of the foregoing, it is well known that the modulus $|\vec{v}_i|$

$$|\vec{v}_i| \equiv \sqrt{(v_i^{(1)})^2 + (v_i^{(2)})^2 + (v_i^{(3)})^2} \quad (11)$$

obeys the Maxwell–Boltzmann probability density [26],

$$Q(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} B^{-3/2} x^2 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2B}\right) \quad (12)$$

where x stands for the random variable $|\vec{v}_i|$, and B is the only parameter of the distribution $Q(x)$. Figure 2 (panel a) shows the fit of the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution (Eq. 12) to all the RT experimental data. The fittings in Figures 1 and 2 were carried out by the non-linear fitting algorithm of Levenberg–Marquardt [36, 37]. The fitted value of B is 0.159 ± 0.010 ($R^2 = 0.88$). We will call the constant B from now on the *life span parameter (LSP)* as this is the constant that characterises the system of individuals at a given time of the life span. The Gaussian behaviour of the distributions of $v_i^{(1)}$, $v_i^{(2)}$, and $v_i^{(3)}$ leads to a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of $|\vec{v}_i|$, even if in our case, the variances of the three components are not all equal. As already mentioned, we have obtained a coefficient of determination of $R^2 = 0.88$ using 24192 experimental reaction times.

From the probability density function $Q(x)$ with random variable x , a Boltzmann entropy can be defined [26]. Let's denote it as \mathcal{S} ,

$$\mathcal{S} = - \sum_{i=1}^N p_i \ln p_i \quad (13)$$

where, in our case, N is the number of individuals in the sample, and p_i is the probability associated to the i -th individual,

$$p_i = Q(|\vec{v}_i|) / \sum_{j=1}^N Q(|\vec{v}_j|) \quad (14)$$




Figure 2: Maxwell-Boltzmann-like distribution of the system of brains in panel a) and the corresponding entropy in panel b). The data shown in panel a) involved 24192 experimental reaction times over a sample of 168 children. The coefficient of determination of the fit, R^2 , is also shown. As an example, 50% of the children have been represented by a patterned region in panel b).

This entropy satisfies an extremum property, namely, imposing the constraint that $Q(x)$ has a given variance, the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution maximises the Boltzmann entropy [26]. Figure 2 (panel b)) shows the entropy curve for the experimental data of this work. Entropy is not only a widely-used concept in Physics [26], but also an analysis tool in a variety of fields outside Physics [38, 39, 40, 41]. Particularly in Psychology, entropy has been applied, for instance, to analyse cognition experiments [38, 39].

In order to get access to the collective RT behaviour of a group of individuals it is necessary to go up to a higher level of description such as that provided by the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution which appears in this work from the experimental RT data. Around the maxima of the MB distribution and of the entropy in figure 2 panels a) and b), respectively, the most likely individuals are located. This is very useful and relevant information for the analysis in many contexts such as behavioural economics or normative development in Psychology, where a central aim is to get to know the behaviour of the group. At this point we have made the transition from the description of the RTs of an individual, expressed in terms of the N vectors \vec{v}_i , to an ensemble description in terms of the parameter B . On the other hand, a single individual can be traced through to determine their location at the entropy curve (figure 2 panel b)) in order to check for their contribution to the total entropy of the system. In this fashion, we can know about their behaviour in relation to the coetaneous group they belong to. Actually, based on the contribution of each individual to the entropy, a new classification methodology could be established as the less and the more entropic individuals inside a group can be distinguished. We would like to point out that this entropy-based classification methodology is not an arbitrarily-defined criterion but a consequence of a behaviour existing in nature, which is one of the most relevant findings of this work. The system of N individuals is basically characterised by the parameter B in Eq. 12, namely, the *life span parameter (LSP)*. The *LSP* plays a role analogous to that of $k_B T$ in the statistical theory of the Ideal Gas, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T the temperature of the particle system. As we have remarked in previous sections, our sample consists of independent individuals. This leads to treating them as an ideal gas, where particles do not interact among themselves (only by elastic collisions). In this system, the number of microstates accessible to each particle is proportional to the phase space volume [26]. The logarithm thereof is proportional to the entropy \mathcal{S} . The equation of state follows immediately from here, that is, the First Law of Thermodynamics states $\delta Q = dU + pdV$, and $\delta Q = Td\mathcal{S}$. Differentiation of the Fundamental Thermodynamic Equation (*FTE*), specifically $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(U, V)$, yields $d\mathcal{S} = (\partial\mathcal{S}/\partial U)dU + (\partial\mathcal{S}/\partial V)dV$. One infers $T^{-1} = (\partial\mathcal{S}/\partial U)$ and $p = T\partial\mathcal{S}/\partial V$. The latter is the equation of state, and yields useful information if the *FTE* is known. For the case of independent particles, one has that \mathcal{S} is proportional to $\ln V$ up to the addition of some function $f(U)$. Hence $\partial\mathcal{S}/\partial V$ is proportional to $1/V$, whence pV is proportional to T : the equation of state of an ideal gas.

Interestingly, there are clear evidences of a one-to-one correspondence between the collective time response of a group of children and a system of particles forming an ideal gas. Both systems are characterised by the same model. In Figure 3 the main elements of this correspondence are depicted. The brain can be seen as a particle. The three moments of the ex-Gaussian distribution for each child find their analogue in the

three components of the velocity vector of a particle. The distribution of the modulus of a vector defined over the moments leads to a chi distribution with three degrees of freedom which is the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution in the case of an ideal gas. The particles form a system from their interaction with a thermostat characterised by its temperature. Similarly, there is a thermostat-like entity that makes the group of children be a system. We can figure out this thermostat as a gene-driven entity of the life span of the group of individuals determining their evolution from birth to death in an analogous fashion as a physical thermostat thermalises a particle system. We are moving to another level of generality beyond the ex-Gaussians which characterise the RT of an individual, in order to capture a regularity in the collective time response of a group of children. These results represent a major step in two directions. We can now know about the collective time response of a group of individuals and also about the behaviour of a single individual in relation to the coetaneous group they belong to. These are the main contributions of this work.




Figure 3: Schematic representation of the correspondence between a system of brains and a system of ideal gas particles. Both systems are characterised by a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution.

Conclusions

In this work we discuss the appearance of the Ideal Gas theory when describing the response time data of a group of individuals. The ex-Gaussian function represents

well the response time data of an individual, but it fails to provide a complete and comprehensive characterisation of the collective response time. The collective response of the group can be only unveiled by going up to a higher level of description such as that provided by a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. To validate our model, we used 24192 experimental reaction times, obtaining a coefficient of determination of $R^2 = 0.88$. One major step with respect to previous works is that we can now know about the behaviour of a single individual in relation to the contemporary group and in the framework of a physical law. In practical terms, our proposal of an entropy-based classification of individuals is not an *ad hoc* criterion but a consequence of a natural law.

The transition from the response time of an individual to the collective response time of a group of individuals of the same species comes from the fact that the individuals form a system by means of connection with the thermostat-like entity which can be considered gene-driven and thus being an entity related to the human species. Then, a Maxwell-Boltzmann-type distribution (a chi distribution) appears naturally from the experimental RT data. On the grounds of the emergence of a MB distribution is the experimental evidence that the moments of the ex-Gaussian distributions follow Gaussian distributions among the group of children. It should be pointed out that the Gaussian distribution of the moments among the individuals is something driven by nature.

The literature includes a few works about Physics and Psychology [42, 43, 44], which are monographic essays where the authors hold conceptual discussions about the possibilities of using Physics to explain psychological phenomena. Some of them develop models, for instance, that use quantum theory to approach brain-related phenomena [45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50]. Another group of works use Physics concepts to understand psychological data, such as those applied to understand cognition experiments [38, 39]. What is really noteworthy in the present work is the natural appearance of a physical theory in the context of human response time experimental data. In fact, our results show that the underlying fundamental model for the independent particles of an ideal gas is the same as for the response times of a group of individuals.

Outlook

In upcoming works, we expect to gain further insight into the existing correspondence between the response time of a group of individuals and the Ideal Gas of independent particles.

In this work, a way of representing the collective behaviour of a group of individuals is reported. This result has a number of implications in different areas of knowledge, such as education, mental disease diagnosis, decision making in behavioural economics, and finances. We want to address questions such as the ageing process throughout the life span, what is considered a normative behaviour in education or health, the appearance of the life span parameter (*LSP*) and its connection to the features of the species, the philosophical implications of the existence of an ideal gas law behaviour of the fast response times in humans, among others.

We have proven that the reaction times of a group of individuals of the same age obeys

a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, as it corresponds to an Ideal Gas of independent particles at a given temperature. To count on a model able to describe the collective behaviour at a given time, strongly suggests to work on a model describing the evolution of the group across the life span. Given that the group at a given time is characterised by what we have called above as the *life span parameter*, which is analogous to $k_B T$ in an ideal gas, the life span can then be modelled as a continued evolution (thermalisation) with evolving values of *LSP* and entropy until the system reaches a final equilibrium with a thermostat-like entity. We are also interested in analysing the collective behaviour of other variables than reaction time not only in humans but also in other living beings.

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank Prof. Miguel Ángel García March, Prof. Daniele Tomasini, Prof. Alberto Conejero, Prof. Luisberis Velázquez, and Dr. Ismael Orquín for the fruitful discussions about the manuscript. The psychologists from the Interdisciplinary Modelling Group InterTech (www.intertech.upv.es) who participated in the collection of the experimental data are acknowledged in this work. Imperial College London is also acknowledged as it was the institution where J.C.C.P. carried out the majority of his contribution to this article. This research was partially supported by grant number RTI2018-102256-B-100 (Spain).

Author Contributions

E.N.P. developed the psychological part of the paper and carried out the experiments; E.N.P. and P.F.-d.-C. collected the data; all authors analysed the data and developed the model; J.M.I. and P.F.-d.-C. wrote most of the methodological aspects of the paper; J.C.C.P. did the statistics, produced the figures, and wrote the manuscript except the methodological aspects; J.M.I., E.N.P., and P.F.-d.-C. made written comments on different parts of the manuscript; all authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- [1] Thorpe, S., Fize, D. & Marlot, C. Speed of processing in the human visual system. *Nature* **381**, 520–522 (1996).
- [2] Krajbich, I., Bartling, B., Hare, T. & Fehr, E. Rethinking fast and slow based on a critique of reaction-time reverse inference. *Nature Communications* **6**, 7455 (2015).

- [3] Barinaga, M. Neurons put the uncertainty into reaction times. *Science* **274**, 344 (1996).
- [4] Tuch, D. S., Salat, D. H., Wisco, J. J., Zaleta, A. K., Hevelone, N. D. & Rosas, H. D. Choice reaction time performance correlates with diffusion anisotropy in white matter pathways supporting visuospatial attention. *PNAS* **102**, 12212–12217 (2005).
- [5] Colonius, H. & Diederich, A. Measuring multisensory integration: from reaction times to spike counts. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 3023 (2017).
- [6] Ritchie, J. B. & De Beeck, H. Using neural distance to predict reaction time for categorizing the animacy, shape, and abstract properties of objects. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 13201 (2019).
- [7] Ruhai, G., Weiwei, Z. & Zhong, W. Research on the driver reaction time of safety distance model on highway based on fuzzy mathematics. *IEEE Int. Conf. on Optoelectronics and Image Processing (ICOIP)* **2**, 293–296 (2010).
- [8] Yamagishi, T., Matsumoto, Y., Kiyonari, T., Takagishi, H., Li, Y., Kanai, R. & Sakagami, M. Response time in economic games reflects different types of decision conflict for prosocial and proself individuals. *PNAS* **114**, 6394–6399 (2017).
- [9] Badau, D., Baydil, B. & Badau, A. Differences among three measures of reaction time based on hand laterality in individual. *Sports* **6**, 45 (2018).
- [10] Abbasi-Kesbi, R., Memarzadeh-Tehran, H. & Jama Deenm, H. Technique to estimate human reaction time based on visual perception. *Healthc Technol Lett.* **4**, 73–77 (2017).
- [11] Luce, R. D. *Response times: Their role in inferring elementary mental organization*. (Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 1986).
- [12] Moret-Tatay, C., Gamermann, D., Navarro-Pardo, E. & Fernández de Córdoba, P. ExGUtils: A python package for statistical analysis with the ex-Gaussian probability density. *Frontiers in Psychology* **9**, 1–11 (2018).
- [13] Ratcliff, R. & Murdock, B.B. Retrieval processes in recognition memory. *Psychol. Rev.* **83**, 190–214 (1976).
- [14] Gmehlin, D., Fuermaier, A.B.M., Walther, S., Debelak, R., Rentrop, M., Westermann, C., Sharma, A.; Tucha, L., Koerts, J., Tucha, O. et al. Intraindividual variability in inhibitory function in adults with ADHD. An ex-Gaussian approach. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e112298 (2014).
- [15] Adamo, N., Hodsoll, J., Asherson, P., Buitelaar, J.K. & Kuntsi, J. Ex-Gaussian, frequency and reward analyses reveal specificity of reaction time fluctuations to ADHD and not autism traits. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* **47**, 557–567 (2019).

- [16] Moret-Tatay, C., Leth-Steensen, C., Quarti Irigaray, T., Argimon, I. I. L., Gammann, D., Abad-Tortosa, D., Oliveira, C., Sáiz-Mauleón, B., Vázquez-Martínez, A., Navarro-Pardo, E. & Fernández de Córdoba, P. The effect of corrective feedback on performance in basic cognitive tasks: An analysis of RT components. *Psychologica Belgica* **56**, 370–381 (2016).
- [17] Mira-Iglesias, A., Navarro-Pardo, E. & Conejero, J. A. Power-law distribution of natural visibility graphs from reaction times series. *Symmetry* **11**, 563 (2019).
- [18] Moreno-Cid, A., Moret-Tatay C., Quarti Irigaray, T., Argimon, I. I. L., Murphy, M., Szczerbinski, M., Martínez-Rubio, D., Beneyto-Arrojo, M. J., Navarro-Pardo, E. & Fernández de Córdoba, P. The role of age and emotional valence in word recognition: An ex-Gaussian analysis. *Studia Psychologica* **57**, 83–94 (2015).
- [19] Moret-Tatay, C., Moreno-Cid, A., Argimon, I. I. L., Quarti Irigaray, T., Szczerbinski, M., Murphy, M., Vázquez-Martínez, A., Vázquez-Molina, J., Sáiz-Mauleón, B., Navarro-Pardo, E. & Fernández de Córdoba, P. The effects of age and emotional valence on recognition memory: An ex-Gaussian components analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology* **55**, 420–426 (2014).
- [20] Navarro-Pardo, E., Navarro-Prados, A. B., Gammann, D. & Moret-Tatay, C. Differences between young and old university students on a lexical decision task: Evidence through an ex-gaussian approach. *The Journal of General Psychology* **140**, 251–268 (2013).
- [21] Leth-Steensen, C., King Elbaz, Z. & Douglas, V. I. Mean response times, variability, and skew in the responding of ADHD children: A response time distributional approach. *Acta Psychol.* **104**, 167–190 (2000).
- [22] Shahar, N., Teodorescu, A. R., Karmon-Presser, A., Anholt, G. E. & Meiran, N. Memory for action rules and reaction time variability in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging* **1**, 132–140 (2016).
- [23] Matzke, D. & Wagenmakers, E-J. Psychological interpretation of the ex-Gaussian and shifted Wald parameters: A diffusion model analysis. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* **16**, 798–817 (2009).
- [24] Hernaiz-Guijarro, M., Castro-Palacio, J. C., Navarro-Pardo, E., Isidro, J. M. & Fernández de Córdoba, P. A probabilistic classification procedure based on response time analysis towards a quick pre-diagnosis of student's attention deficit. *Mathematics* **7**, 473 (2019).
- [25] Hattona, I. A., Dobsona, A. P., Storch, D., Galbraitha, E. D. & Loreauh, M. Linking scaling laws across eukaryotes, *PNAS* 201900492 (2019).
- [26] Tolman, R. *The principles of statistical mechanics*. (Dover Publications Inc., New York, 2003).

- [27] World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **310**, 2191–2194 (2013).
- [28] Forster, K.I. & Forster, J.C. DMDX: A windows display program with millisecond accuracy. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers* **35**, 116–124 (2003).
- [29] Garaizar, P., Vadillo, M.A., López-de-Ipiña, D. & Matute, H. Measuring software timing errors in the presentation of visual stimuli in cognitive neuroscience experiments. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e85108 (2014).
- [30] Rastle, K. & Davis, M. H. On the complexities of measuring naming. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* **28**, 307–314 (2002).
- [31] Rueda M. R., Fan, J., McCandliss B. D., Halparin, J.D., Gruber, D.B., Lercari, L.P. & Posner, M.I. Development of attentional networks in childhood. *Neuropsychologia* **42**, 1029–1040 (2004).
- [32] Fan, J., McCandliss B.D., Sommer, T., Raz, A. & Posner, M.I. Testing the efficiency and independence of attentional networks. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* **14**, 340–347 (2002).
- [33] Posner, M. I. & Dehaene, S. Attentional networks. *Trends Neurosci.* **17**, 75–79 (1994).
- [34] Posner, M.I. & Raichle, M. E. *Images of Mind*. (Scientific American Library, New York, NY, 1994).
- [35] Doob, J. L. *Stochastic Processes*. (John Wiley & Sons Inc, New Jersey, 1966).
- [36] Levenberg, K. A method for the solution of certain non-linear problems in least squares. *Q. Appl. Math.* **2**, 164–168 (1944).
- [37] Marquardt, D. An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters. *J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math.* **11**, 431–441 (1963).
- [38] Del-Prado-Martín, F. Macroscopic thermodynamics of reaction times, *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* **55**, 302–319 (2011).
- [39] Collell, G. & Fauquet, J. Brain activity and cognition: A connection from thermodynamics and information theory. *Frontiers in Psychology* **6**, PMC4468356 (2015).
- [40] Tsallis, A. C., Tsallis, C., Magalhaes, A. C. N. & Tamarit, F. A. Human and computer learning: an experimental study. *ComplexUs* **1**, 181189 (2003).
- [41] Tsallis, C. Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **52**, 479487 (1988).

- [42] Lloyd, A. H. Physical psychology. *Psychological Review* **7**, 172-177 (1900).
- [43] Davis, R. C. Physical psychology. *Psychological Review* **60**, 7-14 (1953).
- [44] Solso, R. L. & Massaro, D. W. (Eds.). *The science of the mind: 2001 and beyond*. (New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press, 1995).
- [45] Dzhafarov, E. N. & Kujala J. V. Selectivity in probabilistic causality: Where psychology runs into quantum physics. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* **56**, 54–63 (2012).
- [46] Acacio de Barros, J. & Suppes, P. Quantum mechanics, interference, and the brain. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* **53**, 306–313 (2009).
- [47] Bruza, P., Busemeyer, J. R. & Gaborac, L. Introduction to the special issue on quantum cognition. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* **53**, 303–305 (2009).
- [48] Beim-Graben, P. & Blutner, R. Quantum approaches to music cognition. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* **91**, 38–50 (2019).
- [49] Haven, E. & Khrennikov A. Statistical and subjective interpretations of probability in quantum-like models of cognition and decision making. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* **74**, 82–91 (2016).
- [50] Haven, E. & Khrennikov, A. *Quantum Social Science*. (Cambridge University Press, 2013).