

# PSEUDO-ROTATIONS AND STEENROD SQUARES REVISITED

EGOR SHELUKHIN

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that if a closed monotone symplectic manifold  $M$  admits a Hamiltonian pseudo-rotation, which may be degenerate, then the quantum Steenrod square of the cohomology class Poincaré dual to the point must be deformed. This result gives restrictions on the existence of pseudo-rotations, implying a form of uniruledness by pseudo-holomorphic spheres, and generalizes a recent result of the author. The new component in the proof consists in an elementary calculation with capped periodic orbits.

## 1. SETUP

In this paper,  $(M, \omega)$  denotes a closed monotone symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$ , with the symplectic form rescaled so that  $[\omega] = 2c_1(TM)$  on the image of the Hurewicz map  $\pi_2(M) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . For a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\phi \in \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ , we denote by  $\text{Fix}(\phi)$  the set of its *contractible* fixed points, and by  $x^{(k)}$  for  $x \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$  its image under the inclusion  $\text{Fix}(\phi) \subset \text{Fix}(\phi^k)$ . Contractible means that the homotopy class  $\alpha(x, \phi)$  of the path  $\alpha(x, H) = \{\phi_H^t(x)\}$  for a Hamiltonian  $H \in \mathcal{H} \subset C^\infty([0, 1] \times M, \mathbb{R})$  generating  $\phi$  as the time-one map  $\phi_H^1 = \phi$  of its Hamiltonian flow, is trivial<sup>1</sup>. Here  $\mathcal{H} = \cap_{t \in [0, 1]} \ker(I_t)$ ,  $I_t(H) = \int_M H(t, -) \omega^n$ .

To an isolated fixed point  $x$  one associates (cf. [6]) a local cohomology group  $HF_{\text{loc}}(\phi, x)$ , which is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -graded. If we choose a capping  $\bar{x}$  of  $\alpha(x, H)$ , we obtain a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded version,  $HF_{\text{loc}}^*(H, \bar{x}) = HF_{\text{loc}}^*(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x})$ . It depends only on the class  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $\{\phi_H^t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  in the universal cover  $\widetilde{\text{Ham}}(M, \omega)$ , and the capped orbit  $\bar{x}$ .

We say that  $\phi \in \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$  *Hamiltonian pseudo-rotation* if:

- (i) It is perfect, that is for all iterations  $k \geq 1$  of  $\phi$ ,  $\text{Fix}(\phi^k) = \text{Fix}(\phi)$ . In other words,  $\phi$  admits no simple periodic orbits of order  $k > 1$ .
- (ii) for all iterations  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$N(\phi^k, \mathbb{F}_2) = \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi)} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} HF_{\text{loc}}^*(\phi^k, x^{(k)}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} H^*(M; \mathbb{F}_2).$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D40, 37J10, 37J45.

<sup>1</sup>This class does not depend on the choice of Hamiltonian by a classical argument in Floer theory.

*Remark 1.* Observe that a perfect Hamiltonian diffeomorphism necessarily has no symplectically degenerate maxima (see [7]). Furthermore, if  $\phi$  is strongly non-degenerate, that is all the points in  $\text{Fix}(\phi^k)$  are non-degenerate, for all  $k \geq 1$ , then  $HF_{\text{loc}}(\phi, x) \cong \mathbb{K}$ , and all iterations are *admissible*, that is  $\lambda^k \neq 1$  for all eigenvalues  $\lambda \neq 1$  of  $D(\phi)_x$ . By the Smith inequality in local Floer homology [1, 14], conditions (i) and (ii) imply for iterations  $k = 2^m$ , the stronger statement that for all  $x \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} HF^{\text{loc}}(\phi^k, x^{(k)}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} HF^{\text{loc}}(\phi, x)$ . Moreover, [12, Theorem A] indicates that when a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism has a finite number of periodic points, then a condition like (ii) should be satisfied. Showing this in general would bridge the gap between the initial Chance-McDuff conjecture (see for example [7]) and the main result of this note, Theorem A. Dynamics of Hamiltonian pseudo-rotations in higher dimensions were recently studied by Ginzburg and Gürel [5]. We refer thereto for further discussion of this interesting notion, and survey results more closely related to the subject of this paper in Section 2.

We remind the reader that the minimal Chern number of  $(M, \omega)$  is the index

$$N = N_M = [\mathbb{Z} : \text{image}(c_1(TM) : \pi_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})].$$

The notion of mean-index, introduced in symplectic topology in [11] is described as follows. For a Hamiltonian  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  generating  $\tilde{\phi} \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}(M, \omega)$  and capped periodic orbit  $\bar{x}$  of  $H$ , we set

$$\Delta(H, \bar{x}) = \Delta(\tilde{\phi}_H, \bar{x}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} CZ(\tilde{\phi}^k, \bar{x}^{(k)}),$$

where  $\bar{x}^{(k)}$  is  $\bar{x}$  iterated  $k$  times, which is indeed a capped periodic orbit of a Hamiltonian generating  $\tilde{\phi}^k$ . The limit exists, since the Conley-Zehnder index comes from a quasi-morphism  $\widetilde{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (see [4]). The mean-index satisfies the following properties that we use below:

- (1) *homogeneity:*  $\Delta(\tilde{\phi}^k, \bar{x}^{(k)}) = k \cdot \Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x})$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .
- (2) *recapping:*  $\Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x} \# A) = \Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) - 2 \langle c_1(TM), A \rangle$ ,  $A \in H_2^S(M; \mathbb{Z})$ .
- (3) *distance to index:*  $CZ(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) \in [\Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) - n, \Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) + n]$ ,
- (4) *support of local Floer cohomology:*  $HF_{\text{loc}}^r(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) = 0$ , unless  $r \in [\Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) - n, \Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) + n]$ .
- (5) *symplectically degenerate maxima:* by definition,  $\bar{x}$  is not a SDM of  $\phi$ , if  $HF_{\text{loc}}^r(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) = 0$ , unless  $r \in [\Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) - n, \Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) + n]$ .

*Remark 2.* Observe that, by the symmetry of the Conley-Zehnder index and a simple duality argument, if the reversal  $\bar{x}^{(-1)}$  of  $\bar{x}$  is not an SDM of  $\phi^{-1}$ , then  $HF_{\text{loc}}^r(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) = 0$ , unless  $r \in (\Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) - n, \Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) + n]$ .

Finally, for a quantum cohomology class  $\mu \in QH^*(M; \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_2}) \setminus \{0\}$  and a Hamiltonian  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  with isolated contractible fixed points  $\text{Fix}(\phi_H^1)$ , we recall that the Hamiltonian spectral invariant  $c(\mu, H)$  of  $\mu$  is *carried* by a capped 1-periodic orbit  $\bar{x}$  of  $H$ , if in a suitable sense  $\bar{x}$  is a lowest action term in a highest minimal action representative of the image  $PSS_H(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  under the PSS isomorphism [10] from the quantum cohomology  $QH^*(M, \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_2})$  to the filtered Floer cohomology of the Hamiltonian  $H$ . Keeping in mind the duality between Floer homology and Floer cohomology [8], we refer the reader to [6, 7] for a detailed description of this notion, recording only the following two facts:

- (1) *spectrality*: for  $(M, \omega)$  rational, in particular monotone, for each non-zero class  $\mu \in QH^*(M; \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_2})$ , and  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\#\text{Fix}(\phi_H^1) < \infty$ ,  $c(\mu, H)$  is carried by at least one capped 1-periodic orbit  $\bar{x}$  of  $H$ .
- (2) *contribution to local Floer cohomology*: if  $\mu$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$ , and  $\bar{x}$  carries  $c(\mu, H)$ , then  $HF_{\text{loc}}^k(H, \bar{x}) \neq 0$ .

## 2. RESULTS

We call a symplectic manifold strongly uniruled if there exists a non-trivial 3-point genus-0 Gromov-Witten invariant  $\langle [pt], a, b \rangle_\beta$ , for  $\beta \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ . By [9, Lemma 2.1], if  $(M, \omega)$  is not strongly uniruled, then the quantum square  $\mu * \mu = 0$  for the degree  $2n$  cohomology class  $\mu$  Poincaré dual to the point class. A generally different stronger notion than  $\mu * \mu = 0$ , is that the quantum Steenrod square  $\mathcal{QS}(\mu)$ , defined in [15], of the volume class  $\mu$  satisfy

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{QS}(\mu) = h^{2n}\mu.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{QS}(\mu)$  is equal to the classical Steenrod square  $Sq(\mu) = h^{2n}\mu$  plus quantum corrections coming from certain pseudo-holomorphic curves. When (1) does not hold, we say that  $M$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -Steenrod uniruled. The main result of this note is the following.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed monotone symplectic manifold admitting an  $\mathbb{F}_2$  Hamiltonian pseudo-rotation  $\phi$ . Then  $(M, \omega)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -Steenrod uniruled.*

*Remark 3.* We observe following [13] that when  $(M, \omega)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -Steenrod uniruled, then there exists a  $J$ -holomorphic curve through each point of  $M$  for each  $\omega$ -compatible almost complex structure  $J$ . Hence, Theorem A provides a geometric obstruction to the existence of pseudo-rotations. Other arguments described in [13] provide obstructions to the existence of pseudo-rotations for monotone manifolds with  $N > n$ , and rule it out completely for  $N > n+1$ . We remark that the existence of pseudo-rotations was ruled out in [7] (and references therein), in a strong way, by proving the Conley conjecture, for manifolds such that  $\omega(A) \cdot c_1(A) \leq 0$  for all spherical homology classes  $A \in H_2^S(M; \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Remark 4.* Theorem A was proven by the author in [13] under the additional assumption that  $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the Poincaré duality property for Hamiltonian spectral invariants (which holds in particular whenever the minimal Chern number of  $(M, \omega)$  satisfies  $N > n$ ). Under the assumption that  $\phi$  is strongly non-degenerate, Theorem A was also proved by Çineli, Ginzburg, and Gürel in [2], using different additional arguments extending [13]. A related, but quite different, result relating the existence of pseudo-rotations to pseudo-holomorphic spheres was shown in [3].

### 3. PROOF

The proof of the main result relies on the following observations. First, as mentioned in Remark 1, no fixed point of  $\phi$  or  $\phi^{-1}$  is a symplectically degenerate maximum (see [7]). In particular, if the capping  $\bar{x}$  of a contractible fixed point  $x \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$  carries a cohomology class  $\mu$  of Conley-Zehnder index  $n$  in  $HF^n(\tilde{\phi}) \cong QH^{2n}(M, \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_2})$  for a lift  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $\phi$  to the universal cover  $\widetilde{\text{Ham}}(M, \omega)$  of  $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ , then its mean-index  $\Delta = \Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x})$  satisfies  $n < \Delta + n$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad \Delta(\tilde{\phi}, \bar{x}) > 0.$$

Second, the following result was proven in [13] specifically in the setting of a *pseudo-rotation* assuming that (1) holds. Here  $\mu \in QH^{2n}(M, \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_2})$  denotes the cohomology class Poincaré dual to the point.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\psi$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_2$  pseudo-rotation of  $(M, \omega)$  that is not  $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -Steenrod uniruled. Then*

$$(3) \quad c(\mu, \tilde{\psi}^2) \geq 2 \cdot c(\mu, \tilde{\psi})$$

for each  $\tilde{\psi} \in \widetilde{\text{Ham}}(M, \omega)$  covering  $\psi$ .

We proceed to the proof of the main result, which follows a calculation from [6].

*Proof of Theorem A.* Choose  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , such that the path  $\{\phi_H^t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  represents the class  $\tilde{\phi}$  lifting  $\phi$ . By the pigeonhole principle, there exists a fixed point  $x \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$ , and an increasing sequence  $k_i$  such that  $c(\mu, H^{(r_i)})$  for  $r_i = 2^{k_i}$  is carried by a capping  $y_i$  of the 1-periodic orbit of the  $r_i$ -iterated Hamiltonian  $H^{(r_i)}$  corresponding to  $x^{(r_i)}$ . By taking a power of  $\phi$ , we can assume that  $r_1 = 1$ , and set  $y = y_1$ . Write  $y_i$  as a recapped iteration of  $y$ , that is

$$(4) \quad y_i = y^{(r_i)} \# A_i.$$

We claim that for  $r_i$  large,  $\omega(A_i) \leq 0$ , and  $c_1(A_i) > 0$  contradicting monotonicity. Indeed, write  $\mathcal{A}_i$  for the action functional of  $H^{(r_i)}$ , and  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_1$ . Then by (4) and Theorem B,

$$r_i \mathcal{A}(y) - \omega(A_i) = \mathcal{A}_i(y_i) = c(\mu, H^{(r_i)}) \geq r_i c(\mu, H) = r_i \mathcal{A}(y).$$

Hence

$$\omega(A_i) \leq 0.$$

However, we know that  $y_i$  carries  $c(\mu, H^{(r_i)})$ , hence  $\Delta(H^{(r_i)}, y_i) \in (0, 2n)$  and also  $\Delta(H, y) \in (0, 2n)$ . Hence  $r_i \Delta(H, y) > 2n$  for  $r_i$  large enough, and

$$2n > \Delta(H^{(r_i)}, y_i) = r_i \Delta(H, y) - 2c_1(A_i).$$

Therefore

$$c_1(A_i) > 0.$$

□

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Paul Seidel for a very useful discussion, and Erman Çineli, Viktor Ginzburg, and Başak Gürel for sharing their preprint [2] with me. At the University of Montréal I was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant, by the Fonds de recherche du Québec - Nature et technologies, and by the Fondation Courtois.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Cineli and V. L. Ginzburg. On the iterated Hamiltonian Floer homology. Preprint arXiv:1902.06369, 2019.
- [2] E. Cineli, V. L. Ginzburg, and B. Z. Gürel. From pseudo-rotations to holomorphic curves via quantum Steenrod squares. Preprint arXiv:1909.11967, Sept 2019.
- [3] E. Cineli, V. L. Ginzburg, and B. Z. Gürel. Pseudo-rotations and holomorphic curves. Preprint arXiv:1905.07567, May 2019.
- [4] M. Entov and L. Polterovich. Rigid subsets of symplectic manifolds. *Compos. Math.*, 145(3):773–826, 2009.
- [5] V. Ginzburg and B. Gürel. Hamiltonian pseudo-rotations of projective spaces. *Invent. Math.*, Online First:1–50, 2018.
- [6] V. L. Ginzburg and B. Z. Gürel. Conley conjecture for negative monotone symplectic manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (8):1748–1767, 2012.
- [7] V. L. Ginzburg and B. Z. Gürel. Conley conjecture revisited. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (3):761–798, 2019.
- [8] R. Leclercq and F. Zapolsky. Spectral invariants for monotone Lagrangians. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 10(3):627–700, 2018.
- [9] D. McDuff. Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -manifolds are uniruled. *Duke Math. J.*, 146(3):449–507, 2009.
- [10] S. Piunikhin, D. Salamon, and M. Schwarz. Symplectic Floer-Donaldson theory and quantum cohomology. In *Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994)*, volume 8 of *Publ. Newton Inst.*, pages 171–200. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [11] D. Salamon and E. Zehnder. Morse theory for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems and the Maslov index. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45(10):1303–1360, 1992.
- [12] E. Shelukhin. On the Hofer-Zehnder conjecture. Preprint, arXiv:1905.04769, May 2019.
- [13] E. Shelukhin. Pseudo-rotations and Steenrod squares. Preprint arxiv:1905.05108, May 2019.
- [14] E. Shelukhin and J. Zhao. The  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -equivariant product-isomorphism in fixed point Floer homology. Preprint, arXiv:1905.03666, May 2019.
- [15] N. Wilkins. A construction of the quantum Steenrod squares and their algebraic relations. arXiv:1810.02738, 2018.