

Technical Report – Comparison of Direct Finite Element Simulation with Actuator Line Models and Vortex Models for Simulation of Turbulent Flow Past a Vertical Axis wind Turbine

Van-Dang Nguyen^a, Johan Jansson^a, Anders Goude^b, Johan Hoffman^a

^a*Department of Computational Science and Technology, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden*

^b*Ångström Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden*

Abstract

We compare three different methodologies for simulation of turbulent flow past a vertical axis wind turbine: (i) full resolution of the turbine blades in a Direct Finite Element Simulation (DFS), (ii) implicit representation of the turbine blades in a 3D Actuator Line Method (ALM), and (iii) implicit representation of the turbine blades as sources in a Vortex Model (VM). The integrated normal force on one blade is computed for a range of azimuthal angles, and is compared to experimental data for the different tip speed ratios, 2.55, 3.44 and 4.09.

Keywords: vertical axis wind turbine, direct finite element simulation, actuator line method, vortex method, FEniCS-HPC

1. Introduction

Simulation models for vertical axis wind turbines (VAWTs) can be divided into three classes of methods. The first class is based on computational solution of the Navier-Stokes equations, also referred to as computational fluid dynamics (CFD), e.g. by a finite element method (FEM) or a finite volume method (FVM). The second class is based on computational solution of the vorticity

Email addresses: vduynguyen@kth.se (Van-Dang Nguyen), jjan@kth.se (Johan Jansson), anders.goude@angstrom.uu.se (Anders Goude), jhoffman@kth.se (Johan Hoffman)

equation, referred to as vortex models. The third class of methods is based on the momentum conservation principle, for example, the double multiple stream-tube model.

2. Methods

In this report we focus of the CFD and vortex models. We compare one CFD method based on full resolution of the turbine blades, Direct Finite Element Simulation (DFS), one CFD method based on an implicit representation of the turbine blades, Actuator Line Model (ALM), and 2D/3D vortex models (VM).

2.1. Direct Finite Element Simulation

In a Direct Finite Element Simulation (DFS) the airflow around the VAWT is modeled by the Navier-Stokes equations. For incompressible flow, the equations read

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p &= f, & \text{in } \Omega \times I, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times I, \\ u(\cdot, 0) &= u_0, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where u is the velocity, p pressure and f a given body force. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a spatial domain with boundary Γ , and $I = [0, T]$ a time interval.

For a moving or deforming domain, we use an Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) method [1], which is based on the introduction of a separate set of reference coordinates. Often we let these reference coordinates trace the deformation of the finite element mesh, described by the mesh velocity β . In an ALE method, the convection term is modified to take the mesh velocity into account, which gives the modified Navier-Stokes equations on ALE form,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \left((u - \beta) \cdot \nabla \right) u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p &= f, & \text{in } \Omega \times I, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times I, \\ u(\cdot, 0) &= u_0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The DFS-ALE used to discretize the flow around the VAWT [2] was developed in the framework of a Galerkin least-squares space-time finite element method (GLS) [3], corresponding to a DFS method which can simulate both laminar and turbulent flow [4]. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ be a time partition associated with the time intervals $I_n = (t^{n-1}, t^n]$ of length $k_n = t^n - t^{n-1}$. We denote the finite element space of continuous piecewise linear functions by Q_h , with the derived spaces $Q_{h,0} = \{q \in Q_h : q(x) = 0, x \in \Gamma\}$ and $V_h = [Q_{h,0}]^3$. The DFS-ALE method with least-squares stabilization is stated as: For all time intervals I_n , find $(U_h^n, P_h^n) \in V_h \times Q_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{U_h^n - U_h^{n-1}}{k_n} + ((\bar{U}_h^n - \beta_h) \cdot \nabla) \bar{U}_h^n, v_h \right) \\ & + \left(\nu \nabla \bar{U}_h^n, \nabla v_h \right) - \left(P_h^n, \nabla \cdot v_h \right) + \left(\nabla \cdot \bar{U}_h^n, q_h \right) \\ & + SD_\delta(\bar{U}_h^n, P_h^n; v_h, q_h) = (f, v_h) \end{aligned}$$

for all test functions $(v_h, q_h) \in V_h \times Q_h$, where $\bar{U}_h^n = \frac{U_h^n + U_h^{n-1}}{2}$, and (U_h^n, P_h^n) is a numerical approximation of (u, p) at $t = t_n$, and with stabilization term

$$\begin{aligned} SD_\delta(\bar{U}_h^n, P_h^n; v, q) & := \left(\delta_1 \left((\bar{U}_h^n - \beta_h) \cdot \nabla \bar{U}_h^n + \nabla P_h^n - f_n \right), (\bar{U}_h^n - \beta_h) \cdot \nabla v_h + \nabla q_h \right) \\ & + (\delta_2 \nabla \cdot \bar{U}_h^n, \nabla \cdot v_h). \end{aligned}$$

Here δ_1 and δ_2 are given stabilization parameters:

$$\delta_1 = C_1 \left(k_n^{-2} + |U_h^{n-1} - \beta_h|^2 h_n^{-2} \right)^{-1/2}, \quad \delta_2 = C_2 |U_h^{n-1}| h_n.$$

We note that under a CFL condition, i.e $k_n = \frac{C_k h_n}{|U_h^{n-1} - \beta_h|}$, δ_1 is simplified to $\delta_1 = \bar{C}_1 \frac{h_n}{|U_h^{n-1} - \beta_h|}$. The Navier-Stokes equations are solved directly in weak forms without using any subgrid or turbulence models. Three parameters \bar{C}_1, C_2 , and C_k need to be tuned during the simulations.

The method was implemented in the FEniCS-HPC platform [5, 2, 6]. The solver and the wind turbine data were published as an open-source package at

<https://github.com/van-dang/VAWT-Cloud>.

The simulations can be performed on the Cloud using the Singularity container technology [7] as described in the following instruction

<https://github.com/van-dang/VAWT-Cloud/blob/master/README.md>

2.2. Actuator Line Method

The actuator line model (ALM) is based on the classical blade element model (BEM) theory coupled to a solver for the governing three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. The ALM [8] divides the blades in lines of elements which have a two-dimensional airfoil behavior, using tabulated lift and drag coefficients.

Using the Large Eddy Simulation (LES) approach for predicting turbulence effects based on an incompressible fluid, we consider the filtered Navier-Stokes equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} + (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} &= \nabla \cdot \left((\nu + \nu_{\text{SGS}}) \nabla \bar{u} \right) - \nabla \bar{p} - f, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times I, \\ \nabla \cdot \bar{u} &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times I, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where \bar{u} and \bar{p} are the grid-filtered velocity and pressure respectively.

The subgrid-scale eddy viscosity ν_{SGS} can be computed by the Smagorinsky model

$$\nu_{\text{SGS}} = (C_S \Delta)^2 (2\bar{S}_{lk}\bar{S}_{lk})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where C_S is the Smagorinsky coefficient and Δ is the filter width, and

$$\bar{S}_{ij} = \bar{S}_{ij}(u) = S_{ij}(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

The LES filtered equations can then be solved e.g. by a finite element method or a finite volume method.

2.3. Vortex Model

A vortex model is based on discretization of the vorticity field instead of the velocity field,

$$\omega = \nabla \times u. \quad (4)$$

Table 1: The turbine characteristics

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Hub height | 6 m |
| Swept area | 32 m ² |
| Blade airfoil | NACA0021 |
| Tapering, linear | 1 m (from tip) |
| Tip chord length | 0.15 m |
| Mass of blade and support arms | 35.79 kg |

An equation for vorticity is obtained from the NavierStokes equations by application of the curl operator,

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) u = (\omega \cdot \nabla) u + \nu \nabla^2 \omega. \quad (5)$$

In this report free-vortex methods are used, which means that the vorticity elements are propagated with the flow velocity. The 2D model uses point vortices and the 3D model uses vortex filaments to represent the flow. The flow velocity is obtained from the vorticity field by solving Biot-Savart’s law at each time-step. For more details, see [9] for more details.

3. Validation case

The three methods (DFS, ALM and VM) were validated against experimental data in the form of blade normal forces, measured from a 12kW 3-bladed H-rotor turbine (Fig. 1b) detailed in [10, 11, 12, 2]. The turbine radius is $r = 3.24$ m and the blade length is 5 m. The blades are pitched 2 degrees outwards with a chord length of 0.25 m at the middle of the blade. Table 1 gives further details of the turbine.

For simplification, we assume that the turbine axis is coincident with the z -axis and that the turbine Ω^T is placed in a cylinder Ω^C with radius R (Fig. 1a),

$$\Omega^C = \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq R^2, z \in [0; L] \right\}. \quad (6)$$




Figure 1: A vertical axis turbine reproduced from [11] placed in a cylinder. It is 1m high from the cylinder bottom to model the ground effect.

We set $R = 100\text{m}$ and $L = 100\text{m}$, which is large compared to the turbine size to minimize artificial blockage effects. For detailed studies of the blockage effect, see [13, 14].

The turbine axis coincides with the center-line of the cylinder domain, and it is placed 1m above the bottom of the cylinder to model the ground effect (Fig. 1b). A uniform inflow $u = (1, 0, 0)$ is applied on a half of the computational domain ($x < 0$) and $p = 0$ is applied on the other half to model an outflow boundary.

Validation studies of the ALM and VM methods was reported in [15].

4. Results

First, for a deep dynamic stall regime with tip speed ratio $\lambda = 2.55$, the experiment was performed with turbine rotational speed $\Omega = 49.89\text{rpm}$ and free stream velocity $U_\infty = 5.22\text{rad/s}$. The DFS-ALE matches almost perfectly

the experimental data whereas the ALM and VM methods produce lower forces between 0° and 100° (Fig. 2).




Figure 2: The normal forces for $\lambda = 2.55$. The experiment was performed with $\Omega = 49.89$ rpm, $U_\infty = 6.64$ m/s.

For the nearly optimal operational value with $\lambda = 3.44$, the experiment was performed with $\Omega = 64.81$ rpm and $U_\infty = 6.39$ m/s.

For $\lambda = 4.09$, the experiment was performed with $\Omega = 65.05$ rpm and $U_\infty = 5.39$ m/s.




Figure 3: The normal forces for $\lambda = 3.44$. The experiment was performed with $\Omega = 64.81$ rpm, $U_\infty = 6.39$ m/s.

Acknowledgement

This research has been supported by the European Research Council, the Swedish Energy Agency, Standup for Energy, the Basque Excellence Research Center (BERC 2014-2017) program by the Basque Government, the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness MINECO: BCAM Severo Ochoa accreditation SEV-2013-0323, the ICERMAR ELKARTEK project of the Basque Government, the Projects of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness with reference MTM2013-40824-P and MTM2016-76016-R.

We acknowledge the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at PDC – Center for High-Performance Computing for awarding us access to the supercomputer resource Beskow. The initial volume mesh was generated with ANSA from Beta-CAE Systems S. A., who generously provided an academic license for this project.




Figure 4: The normal forces for $\lambda = 4.09$. The experiment was performed with $\Omega = 65.05$ rpm, $U_\infty = 5.39$ m/s.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

References

- [1] J. Donea, A. Huerta, J.-P. Ponthot, et al., Arbitrary lagrangian eulerian methods, Encyclopedia of Computational Mechanics.
- [2] V.-D. Nguyen, J. Jansson, A. Goude, J. Hoffman, Direct finite element simulation of the turbulent flow past a vertical axis wind turbine, Renewable Energy 135 (2019) 238 – 247. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.11.098>.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960148118314174>

- [3] J. Hoffman, C. Johnson, Stability of the dual Navier-Stokes equations and efficient computation of mean output in turbulent flow using adaptive DNS/LES, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 195 (2006) 1709–1721. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2005.05.034.
- [4] J. Hoffman, J. Jansson, N. Jansson, R. V. D. Abreu, Towards a parameter-free method for high reynolds number turbulent flow simulation based on adaptive finite element approximation, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 288 (Supplement C) (2015) 60 – 74, error Estimation and Adaptivity for Nonlinear and Time-Dependent Problems. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2014.12.004.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045782514004836>
- [5] V. D. Nguyen, J. Jansson, M. Leoni, B. Janssen, A. Goude, J. Hoffman, Modelling of rotating vertical axis turbines using a multiphase finite element method, in: *MARINE 2017 : Computational Methods in Marine Engineering VII15 - 17 May 2017, Nantes, France, 2017*, pp. 950–960, qC 20170629.
URL <http://congress.cimne.com/marine2017/frontal/Doc/Ebookmarine.pdf>
- [6] FEniCS-HPC, Fenics-hpc, <http://www.fenics-hpc.org>.
- [7] G. M. Kurtzer, V. Sochat, M. W. Bauer, Singularity: Scientific containers for mobility of compute, *PLOS ONE* 12 (5) (2017) 1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177459.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177459>
- [8] J. Sørensen, W. Shen, Computation of wind turbine wakes using combined navier-stokes/actuator-line methodology, in: *Proceedings of European Wind Energy Conference EWEC '99, Nice, 1999*, pp. 156–159.
- [9] E. Dyachuk, A. Goude, Numerical validation of a vortex model against experimental data on a straight-bladed vertical axis wind turbine, *Energies*

8 (10) (2015) 1180011820. doi:10.3390/en81011800.

URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en81011800>

- [10] M. Rossander, E. Dyachuk, S. Apelfrjd, K. Trolin, A. Goude, H. Bernhoff, S. Eriksson, Evaluation of a blade force measurement system for a vertical axis wind turbine using load cells, *Energies* 8 (12) (2015) 59735996. doi:10.3390/en8065973.

URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en8065973>

- [11] E. Dyachuk, A. Goude, Numerical validation of a vortex model against experimental data on a straight-bladed vertical axis wind turbine, *Energies* 8 (10) (2015) 11800–11820. doi:10.3390/en81011800.

URL <http://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/8/10/11800>

- [12] A. Goude, M. Rossander, Force measurements on a vawt blade in parked conditions, *Energies* 10 (12) (2017) 1954. doi:10.3390/en10121954.

URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en10121954>

- [13] A. Goude, O. gren, Simulations of a vertical axis turbine in a channel, *Renewable Energy* 63 (2014) 477 – 485. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2013.09.038>.

URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096014811300517X>

- [14] A. Rezaeiha, I. Kalkman, B. Blocken, Cfd simulation of a vertical axis wind turbine operating at a moderate tip speed ratio: Guidelines for minimum domain size and azimuthal increment, *Renewable Energy* 107 (2017) 373 – 385. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.02.006>.

URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960148117300848>

- [15] V. Mendoza, A. Goude, Validation of an actuator line and vortex model using normal forces measurements of a straight-bladed vertical axis wind turbine, *Renewable energy*.