

On the Wave Turbulence Theory for the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with Random Potentials

Sergey Nazarenko* Avy Soffer† Minh-Binh Tran‡

May 16, 2019

Dedicated to the Memory of Shmuel Fishman

Abstract

We derive a new kinetic and a porous medium equations from the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with random potentials. The kinetic equation has a very similar form with the 4-wave turbulence kinetic equation in the wave turbulence theory. Moreover, we construct a class of self-similar solutions for the porous medium equation. These solutions spread infinitely as time goes to infinity and this fact answers the “weak turbulence” question for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with random potentials positively. We also derive Ohm’s law for the porous medium equation.

Keyword: Wave Turbulence Theory, Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with Random Potentials, 4-Wave Kinetic Turbulence Equation, Ohm’s Law, Porous Medium Equation.

Contents

1 Introduction 2

*Université Côte d’Azur, CNRS, Institut de Physique de Nice, Parc Valrose, 06108 Nice, Franc.

Email: sergey.nazarenko@unice.fr

†Mathematics Department, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903 USA.

Email: soffer@math.rutgers.edu

‡Department of Mathematics, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas, TX 75275, USA.

Email: minhbinht@mail.smu.edu

2	Derivation of the kinetic equation	4
2.1	Dynamical equations for the mode amplitudes	4
2.2	Weak nonlinearity expansion	5
2.3	Statistical averaging	6
2.4	Four-wave kinetic equation	8
3	The porous medium equation	9
3.1	Derivation of the porous medium equation	10
3.2	Steady state solutions - Ohm's law	11
3.3	Self-similar solutions	12
4	Six-wave regime	13
5	Summary and discussion	14

1 Introduction

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) with random potentials is a fundamental problem in both mathematical and physical research. Although there have been extensive mathematically rigorous, analytical and numerical results, several elementary properties of the dynamics of the solutions are still not known. The resolution of the problem plays a central role in understanding several physical phenomena in chaos and nonlinear physics. The NLSE with random potentials is written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\partial_t\Psi(x,t) &= H_0\Psi(x,t) + \epsilon|\Psi(x,t)|^2\Psi(x,t) \\
 &= -\Delta\Psi(x,t) + V_x\Psi(x,t) + \epsilon|\Psi(x,t)|^2\Psi(x,t),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.1}$$

where V_x is a random function.

On a one-dimensional lattice,

$$x \in \xi\mathbb{Z} := \{\xi n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

the lattice version of the above equation can be written as

$$i\partial_t\Psi_x = \frac{1}{\xi^2}[\Psi_{x+\xi} + \Psi_{x-\xi} - 2\Psi_x] + \epsilon|\Psi_x|^2\Psi_x + V_x\Psi_x, \tag{1.2}$$

where V_x is a collection of i.d.d. random variables uniformly distributed in the interval $[-\omega/2, \omega/2]$. In this paper, we will focus on the so-called “weak turbulence” question about the dynamics of the solution in large time:

Will a small nonlinearity spread the solution over distances much greater than the linear system does for large times for an initial condition localized in space and frequency?

(1.3)

This question is still open despite several efforts [3, 9, 17, 25, 35, 14, 29, 10, 20, 11, 12]. The resolution of this question may shed lights on many nonlinear problems, such as the famous Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) problem [2, 4]. We also refer to [13, 22, 33] for recent numerical works on the microcanonical Gross-Pitaevskii (also known as the semiclassical Bose-Hubbard) lattice model dynamics.

A convincing evidence for delocalisation by nonlinearity has been gained by numerical experiment, and several intuitive arguments and phenomenological descriptions were suggested. However, there still remains a need of a more solid theory based on more rigorous and systematic derivations with clearly spelled out starting assumptions. Our approach is then to use the wave turbulence approach [23, 36, 21, 1, 15] to derive a kinetic equation from the 1D lattice NLSE with random potentials (1.1). Superficially, the derived kinetic equation has a similar form with the four-wave turbulence kinetic equation and the quantum Boltzmann equation [23, 24, 30, 31, 18, 27, 26, 8]. However, there is no conservation of momentum due to the localisation in space of the linear modes, and the modes are parametrised by their location on the lattice rather by their momentum-space location. On the other hand, it is the localised nature of the linear modes and the fact that the interactions happen only locally in physical space that allow us, in the first order approximation, transform the kinetic equation into a nonlinear diffusion equation – a.k.a. the porous medium equation.

For the porous medium equation, we construct a self-similar solution that spreads infinitely for large times. This fact answers positively the “weak turbulence” question (1.3). We also find a class of steady state solutions to the equation, that leads to a new nonlinear Ohm’s law. This result implies that small nonlinearity breaks down the insulator property of the lattice and turns it into a nonlinear conductor.

2 Derivation of the kinetic equation

There have been several approaches to deriving wave turbulence in previous literature. For our purposes, most suited is the wave turbulence technique of book [23] (see also the original papers [5, 21, 7, 6]). This approach is based on an explicit formulation of statistical properties of waves by introducing "the random phase and amplitude" fields. However, due to the localisation of the linear modes in presence of random potential, we will have to adopt an extra element previously developed in [28], assuming Wick's type behaviour of Nth order correlations of the linear problem, the so-called quasi-free field assumption.

2.1 Dynamical equations for the mode amplitudes

Let us represent the the wavefunction in terms of the eigenvalues \mathcal{E}_j and eigenvectors $\psi_j(x)$ of the Hamiltonian H_0 :

$$\Psi(x, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_j(t) e^{-i\mathcal{E}_j t} \psi_j(x).$$

Substituting the above expansion into (1.1) and removing all the oscillating linear terms, we find

$$\partial_t c_j(t) = \epsilon \sum_{l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{jl}^{mn} c_l^* c_m c_n e^{i(\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_l + \mathcal{E}_m - \mathcal{E}_j)t} =: \epsilon \sum_{l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{jl}^{mn} c_l^* c_m c_n e^{i\mathcal{E}_{lj}^{mn} t}, \quad (2.1)$$

in which

$$V_{jl}^{mn} = \sum_{x \in \xi \mathbb{Z}} \psi_l^*(x) \psi_m(x) \psi_n(x) \psi_j^*(x) = V_{mn}^{jl*}. \quad (2.2)$$

For further derivation, we need to remove the diagonal terms, which include terms satisfying $(n, m) = (l, j)$ and $(n, m) = (j, l)$. These terms can be expressed as follows,

$$\sum_{(n, m) = (l, j), (n, m) = (j, l)} V_{jl}^{mn} c_l^* c_m c_n e^{i(\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_l + \mathcal{E}_m - \mathcal{E}_j)t} = 2c_j \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{jn}^{jn} |c_n|^2 =: E_{NL} c_j.$$

We then absorb these terms by defining the energy normalization

$$E := \mathcal{E} + E_{NL}, \quad (2.3)$$

that gives

$$\partial_t c_j(t) = \epsilon \sum'_{l,m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{jl}^{mn} c_l^* c_m c_n e^{i(E_n - E_l + E_m - E_j)t} =: \epsilon \sum'_{l,m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{jl}^{mn} c_l^* c_m c_n e^{iE_{mn}^j t}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\sum'_{l,m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the sum in which the diagonal terms $(n, m) = (l, j)$ and $(n, m) = (j, l)$ are excluded.

So far we have not made any approximations and our ODE system (2.4) is equivalent to the original equation (1.2).

2.2 Weak nonlinearity expansion

Let us now introduce the intermediate time T

$$\frac{2\pi}{E_j} \ll T \ll \frac{2\pi}{E_j \epsilon^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

For T in this range our approximations will make sense for most potentials (probability close to 1). Under the assumption that ϵ is very small, we can expand the coefficient $c_j(T)$ as

$$c_j(T) = c_j^{(0)}(T) + \epsilon c_j^{(1)}(T) + \epsilon^2 c_j^{(2)}(T) + \dots \quad (2.6)$$

Inserting the expansion (2.6) into (2.4), yields a new system of equation for $c_j^{(r)}$ that we describe below.

For $r = 0$, the problem is linear, and we have the following equation

$$\partial_t c_j^{(0)} = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

that implies

$$c_j^{(0)}(T) = c_j^{(0)}(0). \quad (2.8)$$

For $r = 1$, we find

$$i \partial_t c_j^{(1)} = \sum'_{m,n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{lj}^{mn} e^{iE_{lj}^{mn} t} c_m^{(0)} c_n^{(0)} c_l^{(0)*} \quad (2.9)$$

which yields

$$c_j^{(1)} = -i \sum'_{m,n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{lj}^{mn} \Delta_T(E_{lj}^{mn}) c_m^{(0)} c_n^{(0)} c_l^{(0)*}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\Delta_T(E_{lj}^{mn}) = \int_0^T e^{iE_{lj}^{mn}t} dt = \frac{e^{iE_{lj}^{mn}T} - 1}{iE_{lj}^{mn}}.$$

For $r = 2$, the following equation can be obtained,

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t c_j^{(2)} &= \sum'_{m,n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{lj}^{mn} e^{iE_{lj}^{mn}t} (2c_m^{(1)} c_n^{(0)} c_l^{(0)*} + c_m^{(0)} c_n^{(0)} c_l^{(1)*}) \\ &= -i \sum'_{m,n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{lj}^{mn} e^{iE_{lj}^{mn}t} \left(2c_n^{(0)} c_l^{(0)*} \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda m}^{\mu\nu} \Delta_T(E_{\lambda m}^{\mu\nu}) c_\mu^{(0)} c_\nu^{(0)} c_\lambda^{(0)*} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - c_m^{(0)} c_n^{(0)} \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda l}^{\mu\nu*} \Delta_T^*(E_{\lambda l}^{\mu\nu}) c_\mu^{(0)*} c_\nu^{(0)*} c_\lambda^{(0)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} c_j^{(2)} &= - \sum'_{m,n,l,\lambda,\mu,\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{lj}^{mn} \left[2c_n^{(0)} c_l^{(0)*} c_\mu^{(0)} c_\nu^{(0)} c_\lambda^{(0)*} V_{\lambda m}^{\mu\nu} \Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{\lambda m}^{\mu\nu}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - c_m^{(0)} c_n^{(0)} c_\mu^{(0)*} c_\nu^{(0)*} c_\lambda^{(0)} V_{\lambda l}^{\mu\nu*} \Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{\lambda m}^{\mu\nu}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\Gamma(x, y) = \int_0^T e^{ixt} \Delta_t(y) dt.$$

Now, let us try to understand the spectrum by developing

$$\begin{aligned} N_j(T) &= \langle |c_j(T)|^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle |c_j^{(0)}(T) + \epsilon c_j^{(1)}(T) + \epsilon^2 c_j^{(2)}(T) + \dots|^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle |c_j^{(0)}(T)|^2 \rangle + \epsilon \langle c_j^{(1)}(T) c_j^{(0)*}(T) + c.c. \rangle + \epsilon^2 \langle |c_j^{(1)}(T)|^2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2 \langle c_j^{(2)}(T) c_j^{(0)*}(T) + c.c. \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

2.3 Statistical averaging

Fundamentally, stochasticity of our system arises from randomness of the potentials at each lattice site. Even if the initial mode amplitudes c_j are deterministic, they will become random at a later time. Moreover, it is natural to assume that

c_j 's will become statistically independent at each site j and that their phases will become random.

Thus, let us assume that at the time $t = 0$ such randomness is already ensured by the preceding evolution at $t < 0$. Specifically, let us make the following assumptions:

- Assumption 1: Phase randomness. This is a standard wave turbulence assumption. We assume that the phases of $c_j^{(0)}$ are random and, therefore, we can use Wick's pairing, which says that non-zero contributions only arise in pairing $c_j^{(0)}$ and $c_j^{(0)*}$. That means the first order term in ϵ is 0

$$\langle c_j^{(0)*} c_j^{(1)} \rangle = -i \sum_{m,n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle V_{lj}^{mn} c_m^{(0)} c_n^{(0)} c_l^{(0)*} c_j^{(0)*} \Delta(E_{ij}^{mn}) \rangle = 0.$$

This result holds because the diagonal terms with $(m, l) = (n, j)$ and $(m, n) = (l, j)$ are excluded from the sum.

The second order terms in ϵ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |c_j^{(1)}|^2 \rangle &= \sum_{l,m,n,\lambda,\mu,\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle V_{lj}^{mn} \Delta_T(E_{lj}^{mn}) c_m^{(0)} c_n^{(0)} c_l^{(0)*} V_{\lambda j}^{\mu\nu*} \Delta_T^*(E_{\lambda j}^{\mu\nu}) c_\mu^{(0)*} c_\nu^{(0)*} c_\lambda^{(0)} \rangle \\ &= 2 \sum_{m,n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle |V_{lj}^{mn}|^2 |c_m^{(0)}|^2 |c_n^{(0)}|^2 |c_l^{(0)}|^2 |\Delta(E_{lj}^{mn})|^2 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c_j^{(2)} c_j^{(0)*} \rangle &= - \sum_{m,n,l,\lambda,\mu,\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle V_{lj}^{mn} \left[2c_n^{(0)} c_\mu^{(0)} c_\nu^{(0)} c_l^{(0)*} c_\lambda^{(0)*} c_j^{(0)*} V_{\lambda m}^{\mu\nu} \Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{\lambda m}^{\mu\nu}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - c_m^{(0)} c_n^{(0)} c_\lambda^{(0)} c_\mu^{(0)*} c_\nu^{(0)*} c_j^{(0)*} V_{\lambda l}^{\mu\nu*} \Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda l}) \right] \right\rangle \\ &= -2 \sum_{m,n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle |V_{lj}^{mn}|^2 \Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{mn}^{lj}) \left[2|c_l^{(0)}|^2 |c_n^{(0)}|^2 |c_j^{(0)}|^2 - |c_m^{(0)}|^2 |c_n^{(0)}|^2 |c_j^{(0)}|^2 \right] \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

- Assumption 2: Amplitude averaging – “quasi-free field” assumption. Here we will assume that the mode amplitudes at each site are statistically independent. Moreover, we will assume that these amplitudes are independent

from $|V_{lj}^{mn}|^2|\Delta(E_{lj}^{mn})|^2$ and $|V_{lj}^{mn}|^2\Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{mn}^{lj})$ (complicated functions of the random potentials which are fixed for each realisation). This assumption leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle |V_{lj}^{mn}|^2 |c_m^{(0)}|^2 |c_n^{(0)}|^2 |c_l^{(0)}|^2 |\Delta(E_{lj}^{mn})|^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle |V_{lj}^{mn}|^2 |\Delta(E_{lj}^{mn})|^2 \rangle \langle |c_m^{(0)}|^2 \rangle \langle |c_n^{(0)}|^2 \rangle \langle |c_l^{(0)}|^2 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle |V_{lj}^{mn}|^2 \Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{mn}^{lj}) \left[2|c_l^{(0)}|^2 |c_n^{(0)}|^2 |c_j^{(0)}|^2 - |c_m^{(0)}|^2 |c_n^{(0)}|^2 |c_j^{(0)}|^2 \right] \right\rangle = \\ & \left\langle |V_{lj}^{mn}|^2 \Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{mn}^{lj}) \right\rangle \left[2\langle |c_l^{(0)}|^2 \rangle \langle |c_n^{(0)}|^2 \rangle \langle |c_j^{(0)}|^2 \rangle - \langle |c_m^{(0)}|^2 \rangle \langle |c_n^{(0)}|^2 \rangle \langle |c_j^{(0)}|^2 \rangle \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Note that assumption about random statistically independent wave amplitudes is one of the key elements of the wave turbulence technique of book [23]. However, the quasi-free field assumption goes beyond this assumption by additionally assuming that the wave amplitudes get decorrelated from V_{lj}^{mn} and E_{lj}^{mn} which contains the original randomness source via the random nature of the linear eigenmodes and eigenvalues.

2.4 Four-wave kinetic equation

According to the inequality (2.5), the small nonlinearity limit corresponds to $T \rightarrow \infty$, and in this case we know that

$$|\Delta(E_{lj}^{mn})|^2 \rightarrow 2\pi T \delta(E_{lj}^{mn}), \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{mn}^{lj}) + \Gamma^*(E_{lj}^{mn}, E_{mn}^{lj}) \rightarrow 2\pi T \delta(E_{lj}^{mn}). \quad (2.18)$$

Remark 1. In continuous models without potential, the large-box limit is taken before the weak nonlinearity limit. This makes the momentum space continuous, and it is only for continuous space that Dirac delta function can be introduced. In our discrete NLS, the "box" is infinite from start (infinite lattice), but the linear modes are still discrete. However, the Dirac delta function in the above expressions is well defined because of the averaging operator, which can be viewed as an integral over the possible realisations of E_j which form a continuous set (since the set of possible values of potentials is continuous).

Remark 2. In continuous NLS without potential, the dispersion relation does not allow four-wave resonances in 1D. Thus we can expect that the above expressions will become null if we make the lattice spacing in our discrete system or the maximum potential ω too small. In this case we expect the six-wave

process to be more effective than the four-wave process (see more about this later in this paper).

Let us denote

$$K(l-j, m-j, n-j) := 4\pi\epsilon^2 \langle |V_{lj}^{mn}|^2 \delta(E_{lj}^{mn}) \rangle, \quad (2.19)$$

where we took into account the fact that all the lattice sites are equivalent and, therefore, K may depend of the difference of the site indices only.

Substituting (2.16) and (2.17) into (2.13) and taking into account (2.18) and that $(N_j(T) - N_j(0))/T \approx \dot{N}_j(T)$, we have the following kinetic equation,

$$\dot{N}_j = \sum_{m,n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} K(l-j, m-j, n-j) (N_l N_m N_n + N_n N_m N_j - N_j N_n N_l - N_l N_j N_m), \quad (2.20)$$

This kinetic equation has a form similar to the 4-wave turbulence kinetic equation [23, 36] and the quantum Boltzmann equation (cf. [23, 16, 24, 30, 31, 36]). In particular, all these systems conserve the total mass, $\sum_j N_j$ and the characteristic evolution time scales as $1/\epsilon^2$. However, there are important differences. Firstly, because the modes are localised rather than being monochromatic waves as in usual wave turbulence, there is no conservation of momentum. Secondly, also due to the localisation, the modes are parametrised by their sites at the lattice rather than by their momenta, as in usual wave turbulence. In fact, the linear mode in our case are equivalent to each other in terms of their momentum content. Thirdly, for strong fluctuating potentials with amplitudes $\omega \sim 1$, the eigenfunctions ψ_j are strongly localised around respective j . This means that in this case $K(l-j, m-j, n-j)$ is strongly peak near $l = m = j$ and it is not possible to take a continuous limit in the kinetic equation.

On the other hand, the localisation is less strong for weaker ω , and the width of $K(l-j, m-j, n-j)$ is greater. In the case when such a width is significantly greater than the lattice spacing, one can pass to the continuous limit and write

$$\dot{N}_j = \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(l-j, m-j, n-j) N_j N_l N_m N_n (N_j^{-1} + N_l^{-1} - N_m^{-1} - N_n^{-1}) dmdndl, \quad (2.21)$$

3 The porous medium equation

Let us now exploit the property of locality of the kernel in the kinetic equation arising from the localisation of the linear eigenmodes. This will allow us to reduce

the integro-differential kinetic equation to a simpler nonlinear diffusion equation. This will also allow us to obtain solutions corresponding to delocalisation.

3.1 Derivation of the porous medium equation

Let us now consider the case where the modes are not too localised so that the continuous kinetic equation works, but, at the same time, the width of K is much less than the characteristic length of dependence of N_j on j . Let us multiply equation (2.21) by an arbitrary function $f(j)$ and integrate over j . Split the result in 4 equal parts and the last three parts change variables as, respectively: $j \leftrightarrow l, m \leftrightarrow n$; $j \leftrightarrow m, l \leftrightarrow n$; $j \leftrightarrow n, l \leftrightarrow m$. These transformations leave function K unchanged, so we have:

$$\int \dot{N}_j f_j dj = \frac{1}{4} \iiint K(l-j, m-j, n-j) N_l N_m N_n N_j \times (N_j^{-1} + N_l^{-1} - N_m^{-1} - N_n^{-1})(f_j + f_l - f_m - f_n) dm dn dl dj. \quad (3.1)$$

Taylor expanding the expressions in both brackets to the leading order in small $\tilde{l} = l - j$, $\tilde{m} = m - j$, $\tilde{n} = n - j$, and writing $N_l N_m N_n N_j \approx N_j^4$ we have:

$$\int \dot{N}_j f_j dj = \frac{1}{4} \iiint K(\tilde{l}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{n}) N_j^4 (\tilde{l} - \tilde{m} - \tilde{n})^2 (N_j^{-1})' f_j' d\tilde{m} d\tilde{n} d\tilde{l} dj, \quad (3.2)$$

where prime denotes differentiation with respect to j . Integrating by parts with respect to j and rearranging, we get:

$$\int \dot{N}_j f_j dj = -\frac{1}{4} \iiint K(\tilde{l}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{n}) (\tilde{l} - \tilde{m} - \tilde{n})^2 N_j^4 (N_j^{-1})' f_j d\tilde{m} d\tilde{n} d\tilde{l} dj. \quad (3.3)$$

Since f_j is arbitrary, we can drop j -integration on both sides. Rearranging, we finally obtain:

$$\dot{N}_j = \mathcal{D} \partial_{jj} N_j^3, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{12} \iiint K(\tilde{l}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{n}) (\tilde{l} - \tilde{m} - \tilde{n})^2 d\tilde{m} d\tilde{n} d\tilde{l}. \quad (3.5)$$

Equation (3.4) is a nonlinear diffusion equation (with the diffusion coefficient $3\mathcal{D}N_j^2$) which belongs to the class of porous medium equations [34]. Let us consider now an extension of (3.4):

$$\partial_t N(t, k) = \partial_{kk} N^m(t, k), \quad m > 1, \quad (3.6)$$

where we keep in mind that $m = 3$ and $m = 5$ correspond to the four-wave and the six-wave systems respectively. (Later we will discuss the conditions under which the six-wave dynamics occurs.) Let us now consider solutions of this equation porous medium equation.

3.2 Steady state solutions - Ohm's law

The porous medium equation has a conservation law form, so we will use here an electricity terminology, i.e. we will call N a charge density. The steady state solution of the porous medium equation takes the form

$$\partial_{kk} N^m(k) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

As a consequence

$$\partial_k N^m(k) = -J, \quad (3.8)$$

where J is a current constant. Integrating once more, we get $N^m(k) = A - Jk$, where A is a constant, and hence

$$N(k) = [A - Jk]^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (3.9)$$

As we see, for $A, J > 0$ the charge density drops to zero at a location $k = a = A/J$. Since J is a k -independent constant, one must put an "electrode" at $k = a$ that absorbs current J .

Let us now introduce a potential ϕ via

$$\phi'' = N, \quad (3.10)$$

which can be solved directly,

$$\phi = \frac{m^2}{(2m+1)(m+1)J^2} [A - Jk]^{\frac{1}{m}+2} + Dk + P. \quad (3.11)$$

where D and P are some constants. Since the potential is defined up to a constant only, we can fix it by condition $\phi(a) = 0$, which gives $Da + P = 0$. In the other words, the electrode at a is a "ground". Also, D has a meaning of a constant part of the electric field. It is actually not observable in our problem, so we set $D = P = 0$ leading to $A = Ja$.

For "voltage", we find

$$V = \phi(0) - \phi(a) = \frac{m^2}{(2m+1)(m+1)J^2} A^{\frac{1}{m}+2} = \frac{m^2 a^{\frac{1}{m}+2}}{(2m+1)(m+1)} J^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (3.12)$$

This is an analog of Ohm's law describing the relation between the voltage and the current. Note that in our case, the remaining traces of the localisation effect lead to nonlinearity of Ohm's law. However, since the current J is finite for any $V > 0$, the medium is conducting, i.e. the localisation (insulator) property is broken by the nonlinearity.

3.3 Self-similar solutions

Let us now consider another, more traditional approach to examining delocalisation – time dependent evolution (spreading) of initially localised distributions. Essentially, most of the results of this sections were previously obtained in [32, 19, 12], and here we reproduce and summarise them for completeness of discussion.

Let us look for self-similar solutions of the first kind of the equation of (3.4),

$$N(t, k) = t^b f(\xi), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\xi = kt^a$, $k, t > 0$, and a and b are some constants. For consistency of the formulation, we must satisfy $2a = -1 + b(1 - m)$. The total mass conservation, $\int N(t, k)dk = \text{const}$, gives the second condition: $a = b$. Thus,

$$\xi = kt^{-\frac{1}{(m+1)}}. \quad (3.14)$$

The rate of spreading of initially localised distributions is usually measured by the evolution of the standard deviation defined as $\sigma = \int k^2 N(k, t)dk$. In our case we have

$$\sigma(t) = \int k^2 t^{-\frac{1}{(m+1)}} f\left(kt^{-\frac{1}{(m+1)}}\right) dk = t^{\frac{2}{(m+1)}} \int \xi^2 f(\xi) d\xi, \quad (3.15)$$

so $\sigma(t) \sim t^{\frac{2}{(m+1)}}$ which is usually referred to as a sub-diffusive spreading. In particular, for the four-wave systems we have $\sigma(t) \sim t^{1/2}$ and for the six-wave systems, respectively, $\sigma(t) \sim t^{1/3}$.

Plugging (3.13) into (3.6) yields the following equation for f ,

$$(m+1)(f^m)'' + \xi f' + f = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Integrating this equation once, we get

$$(m+1)(f^m)' + \xi f = C, \quad (3.17)$$

where $C = \text{const}$. Let us consider first the case with $C \neq 0$: according to the above equation $(f^m)' < C/(m+1)$, so the solution has a sharp front at $\xi = \xi^*$ such that $f(\xi^*) = 0$. (This front will be on the right boundary of the solution for $C > 0$ and on the left boundary for $C < 0$.) But the current $J = -\partial_k N^m = t^{-1}(f^m)'$ will remain finite at $\xi = \xi^*$, which means that there is a moving sink of particles at $k = \xi^* t^{-a}$. Thus, the solutions with $C \neq 0$ are unphysical.

Thus, we put $C = 0$ and solve equation (3.17) directly, which gives [32]

$$f(\xi) = \left[\frac{(m-1)}{2m(m+1)} (\xi^{*2} - \xi^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \quad (3.18)$$

with some constant ξ^* which, again, corresponds to a sharp moving boundary of the solution. Considering negative k one can see that the solution remains in the same form (3.18). Thus, including both negative and positive k , we have a solution with the shape of a droplet with sharp boundaries expanding infinitely on a flat surface.

This shows that one can construct a solution to the porous medium equation, that spreads infinitely for large times. Moreover, the theory of porous medium equations says that such a self-similar solution is stable, and that it is an attractor for all solutions with arbitrary localised initial conditions. This result apply to any $m > 1$, including $m = 3$ (four-wave regime considered in this paper) and $m = 5$ (six-wave regime outlined in the next section). Therefore this answers the “weak turbulence” question (1.3) positively.

4 Six-wave regime

Here, we will present a speculative discussion of the cases when the four-wave interaction considered in this paper may become ineffective and subdominant to a higher-order process – the six-wave regime. Let ω (the maximal strength of the potentials) be small compared to k^2 (k being the typical wave momentum), and $k \ll 1$. In the limit we get the continuous NLS without potentials, which is integrable and, therefore, the resonant interactions of every order are null. Small deviation from the limit of zero potentials and continuous space result in small nonintegrability and, therefore, in activation of wave resonances. However, the four-wave process is still null, because the four-wave frequency and momentum resonant conditions cannot be satisfied in 1D for the dispersion relations $E = k^2$, and this property cannot be removed by small perturbations. Due to the $U(1)$ symmetry of the problem, the odd-order resonant processes are absent, and the leading order process is expected to be six-wave.

Based on analogy with equation (2.21), we can conjecture that the six-wave kinetic equation in this case will take form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{N}_j = & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} L(l-j, m-j, n-j, p-j, q-j) N_j N_l N_m N_n N_p N_q \\ & (N_j^{-1} + N_l^{-1} + N_m^{-1} - N_n^{-1} - N_p^{-1} - N_q^{-1}) dmdndldpdq, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$L(l-j, m-j, n-j, p-j, q-j) := \epsilon^4 \langle |W_{jlm}^{npq}|^2 \delta(E_{jlm}^{npq}) \rangle \quad (4.2)$$

with W_{jlm}^{npq} being an interaction coefficient which is probably quite complicated, since obtaining it should involve a canonical transformation removing the cubic nonlinearity from the dynamical equation (as it is usual when the four-wave process is absent). It is natural to expect that in this case the energy and momentum will be approximately conserved and the kernel L will be weakly localised near values $j \sim l \sim m \sim n \sim p \sim q$. (The closer we are to the limit of the zero potentials and continuous medium, the better the energy/momentum conservation, and the weaker the localisation in the physical space.)

5 Summary and discussion

In the present paper, we developed a wave turbulence theory for description of weak excitations in the model described by the discrete one dimensional NLS equation with random potentials (1.2). We systematically derived a four-wave kinetic equation (2.20) and its continuous version (2.21). From the latter, we derived a porous medium equation (3.4) for the cases when the linear mode localisation length is less than the characteristic length of the wave spectrum variation. Such porous medium equation was previously suggested for the discrete NLS model in [19, 12], and in the present paper we elevate the status of this equation as fully justified via a systematic wave turbulence derivation. Further, we presented a speculative argument about the conditions when the four-wave regime is replaced by a six-wave process described by the kinetic equation (4.1) and (in the case of slow spatial variations of the wave spectra) by the $m = 5$ version of the porous medium equation (3.6).

Analysing stationary solutions of the porous medium equation, we have obtained an effective Ohm's law – a nonlinear current-voltage relation indicating that weak nonlinearity makes the lattice a conductor. This is one of the ways to the localisation is broken.

Another, more traditional way to characterise de-localisation by nonlinearity is to study the self-similar solution of the porous medium equation (3.6). For any $m > 1$, the self-similar spreading appears to be sub-diffusive: regime $\sigma(t) \sim t^{1/2}$ is realised for the four-wave case ($m = 2$) whereas the six-wave regime ($m = 3$) leads to $\sigma(t) \sim t^{1/3}$. Numerical experiments of [19] reported observation of the $\sigma(t) \sim t^{1/2}$ regime, whereas other numerical experiments [25, 14, 29, 12] reported $\sigma(t) \sim t^{1/2}$. Interestingly, a $\sigma(t) \sim t^{1/3}$ to $\sigma(t) \sim t^{1/2}$ was observed in [14, 29, 12] when the wave phases were artificially scrambled. A connection between the degree of phase randomness and realisability of either four-wave or six-wave dynamics remains to be understood. It is quite possible that the phases become random only after passing to variables obtained via the canonical transformation (required for deriving the six-wave kinetic equation) and that the phases of the original variables are correlated.

Acknowledgements. S. Nazarenko is supported by the following grants: "Photons for Quantum Simulation" (EC H2020-FETFLAG-2018-03, project 820392), "Hydrodynamic Approach to Light Turbulence" (EC H2020-MSCA-RISE-2018, project 823937), and Chaire DExcellence IDEX, Université de la Côte d'Azur, France. A. Soffer is partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1600749 and NSFC 11671163. M.-B Tran is partially supported by NSF Grants DMS-1814149 and DMS-1854453, and a Sam Taylor Fellowship.

References

- [1] A. M. Balk and S. V. Nazarenko. Physical realizability of anisotropic weak-turbulence kolmogorov spectra. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 70:1031–1041, 1990.
- [2] G. P. Berman and F. M. Izrailev. The fermi–pasta–ulam problem: fifty years of progress. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 15(1):015104, 2005.
- [3] J. Bourgain, C. E. Kenig, and S. Klainerman. *Mathematical Aspects of Nonlinear Dispersive Equations (AM-163)*. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [4] D. K Campbell, P. Rosenau, and G. M. Zaslavsky. Introduction: The fermi–pasta–ulam problem: the first fifty years. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 15(1):015101, 2005.

- [5] Yeontaek Choi, Yuri V. Lvov, and Sergey Nazarenko. Probability densities and preservation of randomness in wave turbulence. *Physics Letters A*, 332(3):230 – 238, 2004.
- [6] Yeontaek Choi, Yuri V. Lvov, and Sergey Nazarenko. Joint statistics of amplitudes and phases in wave turbulence. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 201(1):121 – 149, 2005.
- [7] Yeontaek Choi, Yuri V. Lvov, Sergey Nazarenko, and Boris Pokorni. Anomalous probability of large amplitudes in wave turbulence. *Physics Letters A*, 339(3):361 – 369, 2005.
- [8] G. Craciun and M.-B. Tran. A reaction network approach to the convergence to equilibrium of quantum boltzmann equations for bose gases. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.05438*, 2016.
- [9] E. Faou, P. Germain, and Z. Hani. The weakly nonlinear large-box limit of the 2D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 29(4):915–982, 2016.
- [10] S. Fishman, Y. Krivolapov, and A. Soffer. Perturbation theory for the nonlinear schrödinger equation with a random potential. *Nonlinearity*, 22(12):2861, 2009.
- [11] S. Fishman, Y. Krivolapov, and A. Soffer. The nonlinear schrödinger equation with a random potential: results and puzzles. *Nonlinearity*, 25(4):R53, 2012.
- [12] S. Flach. *Nonlinear Lattice Waves in Random Potentials*, pages 1–48. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [13] S Flach. Spreading, nonergodicity, and selftrapping: a puzzle of interacting disordered lattice waves. In *Nonlinear dynamics: materials, theory and experiments*, volume 173 of *Springer Proc. Phys.*, pages 45–57. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [14] S. Flach, D. Krimer, and Ch. Skokos. Universal spreading of wave packets in disordered nonlinear systems. *Physical Review Letters*, 102(2):024101, 2009.
- [15] S. Galtier, S. Nazarenko, A. C. Newell, and A. Pouquet. A weak turbulence theory for incompressible magnetohydrodynamics. *Journal of Plasma Physics*, 63(5):447–488, 2000.

- [16] P. Germain, A. D. Ionescu, and M.-B. Tran. Optimal local well-posedness theory for the kinetic wave equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05587*, 2017.
- [17] Z. Hani. Long-time instability and unbounded sobolev orbits for some periodic nonlinear schrödinger equations. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 211(3):929–964, 2014.
- [18] S. Jin and M.-B. Tran. Quantum hydrodynamic approximations to the finite temperature trapped bose gases. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 380-381:45–57, 1 October 2018.
- [19] A.R. Kolovsky, E.A. Gomez, and H.J. Korsch. Bose-einstein condensates on tilted lattices: Coherent, chaotic, and subdiffusive dynamics. *Phys. Rev. A*, 81:025603, 2010.
- [20] Y. Krivolapov, S. Fishman, and A. Soffer. A numerical and symbolical approximation of the nonlinear anderson model. *New Journal of Physics*, 12(6):063035, 2010.
- [21] Y. V. Lvov and S. Nazarenko. Noisy spectra, long correlations, and intermittency in wave turbulence. *Physical Review E*, 69(6):066608, 2004.
- [22] T. Mithun, Y. Kati, C. Danieli, and S. Flach. Weakly nonergodic dynamics in the gross-pitaevskii lattice. *Physical review letters*, 120(18):184101, 2018.
- [23] S. Nazarenko. *Wave turbulence*, volume 825 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [24] A. C. Newell, S. Nazarenko, and L. Biven. Wave turbulence and intermittency. *Phys. D*, 152/153:520–550, 2001. Advances in nonlinear mathematics and science.
- [25] A. S. Pikovsky and D. L. Shepelyansky. Destruction of anderson localization by a weak nonlinearity. *Physical review letters*, 100(9):094101, 2008.
- [26] Y. Pomeau and M.-B. Tran. Statistical physics of non equilibrium quantum phenomena. *Book*, 2018.
- [27] L. E. Reichl and M.-B. Tran. A kinetic equation for ultra-low temperature bose–einstein condensates. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 52(6):063001, 2019.

- [28] A. Rivkind, Y. Krivolapov, S. Fishman, and A. Soffer. Eigenvalue repulsion estimates and some applications for the one-dimensional anderson model. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 44(30):305206, 2011.
- [29] Ch. Skokos, D. Krimer, S. Komineas, and S. Flach. Delocalization of wave packets in disordered nonlinear chains. *Physical Review E*, 79(5):056211, 2009.
- [30] A. Soffer and M.-B. Tran. On coupling kinetic and schrodinger equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 265 (5):2243–2279, 2018.
- [31] A. Soffer and M.-B. Tran. On the dynamics of finite temperature trapped bose gases. *Advances in Mathematics*, 325:533–607, 2018.
- [32] B. Tuck. Some explicit solutions to the non-linear diffusion equation. *J. Phys. D*, 9:1559, 1976.
- [33] I. Vakulchyk, M. V. Fistul, and S. Flach. Wave packet spreading with disordered nonlinear discrete-time quantum walks. *Physical review letters*, 122(4):040501, 2019.
- [34] J. L. Vázquez. *The porous medium equation*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007. Mathematical theory.
- [35] W. M. Wang and Z. Zhang. Long time anderson localization for the nonlinear random schrödinger equation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 134(5-6):953–968, 2009.
- [36] V. E. Zakharov, V. S. Lvov, and G. Falkovich. *Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence I: Wave turbulence*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.