

Central limit theorem for linear spectral statistics of general separable sample covariance matrices with applications

Huiqin Li¹ Yanqing Yin² Shurong Zheng³

¹*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, China, 221116.e-mail: huiqinli@jsnu.edu.cn*

²*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, China, 221116.e-mail: yinyq@jsnu.edu.cn*

³*KLASMOE, Northeast Normal University, China, 130024.e-mail: zhengsr@nenu.edu.cn*

Abstract: In this paper, we consider the separable covariance model, which plays an important role in wireless communications and spatio-temporal statistics and describes a process where the time correlation does not depend on the spatial location and the spatial correlation does not depend on time. We established a central limit theorem for linear spectral statistics of general separable sample covariance matrices in the form of $\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{X}_n^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}^*$ where $\mathbf{X}_n = (x_{jk})$ is of $m_1 \times m_2$ dimension, the entries $\{x_{jk}, j = 1, \dots, m_1, k = 1, \dots, m_2\}$ are independent and identically distributed complex variables with zero means and unit variances, \mathbf{T}_{1n} is a $p \times m_1$ complex matrix and \mathbf{T}_{2n} is an $m_2 \times m_2$ Hermitian matrix. We then apply this general central limit theorem to the problem of testing white noise in time series.

Keywords and phrases: central limit theorem, linear spectral statistics, separable covariance matrices, white noise test..

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Motivation

Covariance matrices play an important role in modern multivariate analysis (Anderson, 1983). In the framework of the classical statistical theory, suppose that $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n$ are the samples with the sample size n drawn from a centered p dimensional population $\mathbb{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then if n tends to infinity while the dimension p is fixed, the so-called sample covariance matrix, defined as

$$\mathbf{S}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^*,$$

*Huiqin Li was partially supported by NSFC 11701234 and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. Yanqing Yin was partially supported by a project of a Grant NSFC11801234, a Project of Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20181000), a project of The Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (18KJB110008) and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. Shurong Zheng is supported by NSFC 11522105 and 11690012.

is a good estimator of the population covariance matrix $\Sigma = E\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^*$ where $*$ denotes the transpose and conjugate. However, in the biological and genetic study, millions of genes are measured for individuals where the number of individuals is small compared with the number of genes (Patterson et al., 2006). That is to say, in many situations in modern statistics, we need to deal with data sets where the dimension p is comparable or even large compared with the sample size n . In this setting, we face the “curse of dimensionality” (Donoho et al., 2000), which drives the drastic changes for modern statistical theory and promotes the development of high-dimensional statistical inference (Meinshausen et al., 2006; Bhlmann et al., 2014; Goia and Vieu, 2016). The following fact gives us a look into this phenomenon. By the strong law of large number, for any $1 \leq j, k \leq p$, the (j, k) entry $s_{j,k,n}$ of \mathbf{S}_n is a consistent estimator of the corresponding element $\sigma_{j,k}$ being the (j, k) entry of Σ . Then applying the eigenvalue perturbation theorem, we know that for any $1 \leq j \leq p$, the distance between the j -th largest eigenvalues of \mathbf{S}_n and Σ is $o(p)$, which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ when p is of constant order. However, when p is of the same order with n or of a larger order than n , the bounds of the distances between the eigenvalues of \mathbf{S}_n and Σ will blow up. Then, Σ cannot be estimated through \mathbf{S}_n directly (Fan et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2010).

Although \mathbf{S}_n is no longer a good estimator for the population covariance matrix in high dimensional framework, some properties of Σ can still be obtained through the eigenvalue statistics of \mathbf{S}_n , such as in the standard technique of multivariate statistics, principal components analysis (PCA) (Johnstone, 2001). This leads to the high-dimensional statistical inference and the random matrix theory, which mainly focuses on the properties of the eigenvalue and eigenvectors of random matrices. In the random matrix theory, the sample covariance type matrices are one of the different types of random matrices that have been investigated by many authors. We firstly introduce the following definitions. Let \mathbf{A} be any $n \times n$ square matrix having real eigenvalues and denote its eigenvalues by $\lambda_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the **Empirical Spectral Distribution** (ESD) of \mathbf{A} is defined by

$$F^{\mathbf{A}}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n I(\lambda_j \leq x),$$

where $I_{(\cdot)}$ is the indicator function and the Stieltjes transform of $F^{\mathbf{A}}(x)$ is given by

$$m_{F^{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x - z)^{-1} dF^{\mathbf{A}}(x),$$

where $z = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}^+$.

In this paper, we consider a more general covariance matrix, whose spectrum properties, to our best of knowledge, have not been considered before. To be specific, we consider the general separable covariance matrices

$$\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{X}_n^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* / n,$$

where \mathbf{X}_n is an $m_1 \times m_2$ random matrix whose entries are i.i.d. with zero means and unit variances while \mathbf{T}_{1n} a $p \times m_1$ matrix and \mathbf{T}_{2n} an $m_2 \times m_2$ Hermitian matrix. In fact, the

sample covariance matrix has applications in many fields such as wireless communications (Verdu, 2002) and spatio-temporal statistics (Li et al., 2008; Mitchell and Gumpertz, 2003). Indeed, if $\mathbf{T}_{2n} = \mathbf{T}_{3n} \mathbf{T}_{3n}^*$ with \mathbf{T}_{3n} being of $m_2 \times n$ dimension, then the joint covariance of $\mathbf{Y}_n = \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{T}_{3n}$, viewed as a $pn \times 1$ data vector, is given by

$$(\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{T}_{1n}^*) \otimes (\mathbf{T}_{3n}^* \mathbf{T}_{3n}).$$

Thus \mathbf{Y}_n is a data matrix whose rows correspond to indices of spatial locations and columns correspond to indices of point in time. In particular, when the entries of \mathbf{X}_n are Gaussian, the joint distribution of \mathbf{Y}_n is $N_{pn}(0, (\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{T}_{1n}^*) \otimes (\mathbf{T}_{3n}^* \mathbf{T}_{3n}))$. Note that the separable model describes a process where the time correlation does not depend on the spatial location and the spatial correlation does not depend on time, i.e. there is no space-time interaction. The introduced general separable covariance matrix model covers many covariance type matrices that have been well studied in random matrix theory as special cases.

1.2. Some Primary Results

Under the condition that $m_1 = p, m_2 = n, \mathbf{T}_{1n} = \mathbf{I}_p$ and $\mathbf{T}_{2n} = \mathbf{I}_n$ where \mathbf{I}_p and \mathbf{I}_n are the $p \times p$ and $n \times n$ identity matrix, our models reduce to the well studied ordinary sample covariance matrices. On the framework of high-dimensional setting, i.e., $p/n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the ESDs of this kind of matrices converge to the famous M-P law (Marchenko and Pastur, 1967) almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The strong convergence of the extreme eigenvalues of ordinary sample covariance matrices was considered in (Geman, 1980; Bai and Yin, 1988, 1993; Tikhomirov, 2015). Suppose that the entries of \mathbf{X}_n have finite fourth moment, then almost surely, the largest eigenvalue (the spectrum norm) of $\mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^*/n$, denoted as $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S}_n)$, tends to $(1 + \sqrt{c})^2$ (the right edge of the support of standard M-P law) while the smallest non-zero eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S}_n)$ tends to $(1 - \sqrt{c})^2$ (the left edge of the support of standard M-P law). It is also known that the finite fourth moment is a necessary condition for the strong convergence of $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S}_n)$ while surprisingly, the existence of variance is enough for the almost sure convergence of $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S}_n)$. The fluctuation of the extreme eigenvalues was considered in (Tracy and Widom, 2002; Johnstone, 2001) and it was proved that the standardized largest eigenvalue follows the famous T-W law as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is worth to note that the strong convergence and fluctuations of extreme eigenvalues are two independent results and one can not deduce the strong convergence result from T-W law.

When $m_1 = p, m_2 = n, \mathbf{T}_{2n} = \mathbf{I}_n$ while \mathbf{T}_{1n} is non-negative definite with its limiting spectral distribution exists, then M-P law still valid. This extensive model relaxes the condition of uncorrelation between entries of population \mathbb{Y} . At this stage, if (1): $p/n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty)$, (2): $F^{\mathbf{T}_{1n}^2} \xrightarrow{D} H$, where $F^{\mathbf{T}_{1n}^2}$ is the ESD of \mathbf{T}_{1n}^2 , H is a c.d.f and (3): \mathbf{T}_{1n} is bounded in spectral norm, then almost surely, the ESD $F^{\mathbf{S}_n}$ of $\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}$, tends weakly to a nonrandom p.d.f. F as $n \rightarrow \infty$. And for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, $m(z) = m_F(z)$ is a solution to the equation

$$m(z) = \int \frac{1}{t(1 - c - czm(z)) - z} dH(t), \quad (1.1)$$

which is unique in the set $\{m(z) \in \mathbb{C}^+ : -(1-c)/z + cm(z) \in \mathbb{C}^+\}$. Notice that

$$\mathbf{S}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^* \mathbf{T}_{1n},$$

where \mathbf{x}_i is the i -th column of \mathbf{X}_n . \mathbf{S}_n can be viewed as the sample covariance matrix of the samples $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{x}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ drawn from the p dimensional population $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbb{X}$ where \mathbb{X} is a random vector with standard i.i.d entries (mean 0 and variance 1). This ‘‘linear’’ model, combined with conditions (1)-(3) ensures the convergence of ESD of $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^*$ to M-P distribution. Then the fluctuations of linear spectral statistics (LSS) of sample covariance matrices \mathbf{S}_n was considered in [Bai and Silverstein \(2004\)](#). For more results concerning the CLT of LSS of random matrices, we refer the readers to ([Anderson and Zeitouni, 2006](#); [Lytova and Pastur, 2009](#); [Shcherbina, 2011](#); [Zheng et al., 2015, 2017a](#)) and reference therein.

The case that $m_2 = n$, $\mathbf{T}_{2n} = \mathbf{I}_n$ and $m_1 > p$ can be arbitrary, has been considered by some authors recently. The LSD and CLT of LSS was established in [Zheng et al. \(2017b\)](#) while [Yin \(2018\)](#) shows that no eigenvalues outside the limiting support for large sample size. As for the separable covariance matrices, i.e., $m_1 = p$, $m_2 = n$ and \mathbf{T}_{1n} being non-negative definite, [Zhang \(2006\)](#) firstly obtained the LSD of \mathbf{S}_n under some conditions. Then [Paul and Silverstein \(2009\)](#) proved the no eigenvalue outside result by assuming that \mathbf{T}_{2n} is diagonal with nonnegative entries. Recently, [Bai et al. \(2018\)](#) established the CLT for LSS of \mathbf{S}_n under the condition that the fourth moments of the variables in \mathbf{X}_n equals 3.

1.3. Model Definition and Main Results

We consider a more general model which could be useful in many statistical problems. The general separable sample covariance matrices is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. The sample covariance matrices $\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{X}_n^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}^*$ is defined as the general separated sample covariance matrices if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $\mathbf{X}_n = (x_{jk})$ is of $m_1 \times m_2$ dimension where $\{x_{jk}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m_1, k = 1, 2, \dots, m_2\}$ are independent and identically distributed complex variables with mean zero and variance 1;
- (b) \mathbf{T}_{1n} is a $p \times m_1$ nonrandom complex matrix and \mathbf{T}_{2n} is a nonrandom $m_2 \times m_2$ Hermitian matrix;
- (c) With probability 1, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the empirical spectral distributions of $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1 = \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{T}_{1n}^*$ and \mathbf{T}_{2n} , denoted by H_{1n} and H_{2n} , converge weakly to two probability functions H_1 and H_2 , respectively;
- (d) $c_n = p/n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $m_1 \geq p, m_2 \geq n$;

Let us investigate the LSD of \mathbf{S}_n first. It is well known that there exists unitary matrices $p \times p$ dimensional \mathbf{U}_1 , $m_2 \times m_2$ dimensional \mathbf{U}_2 , $m_1 \times m_1$ dimensional \mathbf{V}_1 and diagonal matrices $p \times p$ dimensional Λ_1 and $n \times n$ dimensional Λ_2 such that

$$\mathbf{T}_{1n} = \mathbf{U}_1 \left(\Lambda_1, \mathbf{0}_{p \times (m_1 - p)} \right) \mathbf{V}_1^*, \quad \mathbf{T}_{2n} = \mathbf{U}_2 \text{diag} \left(\Lambda_2, \mathbf{0}_{(m_2 - n) \times (m_2 - n)} \right) \mathbf{U}_2^*.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_n \triangleq \mathbf{V}_1^* \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{U}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{11} & \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{12} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{21} & \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we suppose that the entries of \mathbf{X}_n are standard complex normal random variables, then \mathbf{X}_n has the same distribution as $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_n$. Note that the sample covariance matrix $\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{U}_1 \Lambda_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{11} \Lambda_2 \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{11}^* \Lambda_1 \mathbf{U}_1^*$ has the same eigenvalues with $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \Lambda_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{11} \Lambda_2 \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{11}^* \Lambda_1$. Then from [Zhang \(2006\)](#), with probability 1, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the empirical spectral distribution function of \mathbf{S}_n converges weakly to a non-random probability distribution function F for which if $H_1 = 1_{[0, \infty)}$ or $H_2 = 1_{[0, \infty)}$, $F = 1_{[0, \infty)}$; otherwise if for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$,

$$\begin{cases} m(z) = -z^{-1}(1 - c^{-1}) - z^{-1}c^{-1} \int \frac{1}{1+q_1(z)y} dH_2(y), \\ m(z) = -z^{-1} \int \frac{1}{1+q_2(z)x} dH_1(x), \\ m(z) = -z^{-1} - c^{-1}q_1(z)q_2(z), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

is viewed as a system of equations for the complex vector $(m(z), q_1(z), q_2(z))$, then the Stieltjes transform of F , denoted by $m_F(z)$, together with the two other functions, denoted by $g_1(z)$ and $g_2(z)$, both of which are analytic on \mathbb{C}^+ , will satisfy that $(m_F(z), g_1(z), g_2(z))$ is the unique solution to (1.2) in the set

$$U = \{(m(z), q_1(z), q_2(z)) : \Im m(z) > 0, \Im(zq_1(z)) > 0, \Im q_2(z) > 0\}.$$

On the other hand, if \mathbf{T}_{1n} is real, $m_1 = p$ and \mathbf{T}_{2n} is diagonal, then by [Paul and Silverstein \(2009\)](#), with probability 1, $F^{\mathbf{S}_n}$ converges weakly to a probability distribution function F whose Stieltjes transform $m(z)$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, is given by

$$m(z) = \int \frac{1}{x \int \frac{y}{1+cy_e} dH_2(y) - z} dH_1(x)$$

where $e = e(z)$ is the unique solution in \mathbb{C}^+ of the equation

$$e = \int \frac{x}{x \int \frac{y}{1+cy_e} dH_2(y) - z} dH_1(x).$$

Let $g_1(z) = ce(z)$, and $g_2(z) = -z^{-1} \int \frac{y}{1+cy_e(z)} dH_2(y)$. Then $(m(z), g_1(z), g_2(z))$ also satisfies the equations (1.2). Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{cases} zg_1(z) = -c \int \frac{x}{1+g_2(z)x} dH_1(x) \\ zg_2(z) = - \int \frac{y}{1+g_1(z)y} dH_2(y) \end{cases}. \quad (1.3)$$

If we let F^{c, H_1, H_2} denote F , then $F^{c_n, H_{1n}, H_{2n}}$ is obtained from F^{c, H_1, H_2} with c, H_1, H_2 replaced by c_n, H_{1n}, H_{2n} respectively. Define

$$G_n(x) = p(F^{\mathbf{S}_n}(x) - F^{c_n, H_{1n}, H_{2n}}(x)).$$

The main result of the present paper, which establishes the CLT of LSS of general separable sample covariance matrices, is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Denote by $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n$ ($s_1 > 0$) the eigenvalues of \mathbf{T}_{2n} . Let f_1, \dots, f_k be functions on \mathbb{R} analytic on an open interval containing

$$\left[\liminf_n s_n \left(\lambda_{\min}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) I_{(0,1)}(c) (1 - \sqrt{c})^2 I(s_n \geq 0) + \lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) (1 + \sqrt{c})^2 I(s_n < 0) \right), \right. \\ \left. \limsup_n s_1 \left(\lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) (1 + \sqrt{c})^2 \right) \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

Suppose that \mathbf{T}_{1n} and \mathbf{T}_{2n} are nonrandom matrices, and their spectral norms are both bounded in n . What is more, we assume that $\text{Rank}(\mathbf{T}_{2n}) = O(n)$. Let $\alpha_x = |\mathbb{E}x_{jk}^2|^2$, $\kappa_x = \mathbb{E}|x_{jk}|^4 - |\mathbb{E}x_{jk}^2|^2 - 2$. Then

- (i) If $\mathbf{X}_n = (x_{jk})$, \mathbf{T}_{1n} , \mathbf{T}_{2n} are real and $\mathbb{E}x_{jk}^4 = 3$, $j = 1, \dots, m_1$, $k = 1, \dots, m_2$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\sup_{j,k} \mathbb{E}|x_{jk}|^{6+\delta} \leq M < \infty$, then

$$\left(\int f_1(x) dG_n(x), \dots, \int f_k(x) dG_n(x) \right) \quad (1.5)$$

converges weakly to a Gaussian vector $(X_{f_1}, \dots, X_{f_k})$ with mean

$$\mathbb{E}X_f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z)}{1 - cz^{-2}d_3(z)d_4(z)} \left(\frac{\alpha_x}{1 - \alpha_x cz^{-2}d_3(z)d_4(z)} + \kappa_x \right) \\ \times \left[\frac{cd_3(z)d_4(z)}{z^3} - \frac{c^2 d_3^2(z)d_4^2(z)}{z^5} + \frac{cd_5(z)}{z^4} + \frac{c^2 d_6(z)}{z^4} \right] dz \quad (1.6)$$

and covariance function

$$\text{Cov}(X_f, X_g) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_2} f(z_1)g(z_2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial z_1} \left\{ \int_0^{d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^{\alpha_x d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz + \kappa_x d(z_1, z_2) \right\} dz_1 dz_2 \quad (1.7)$$

where $f, g \in \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$. Here

$$d_3(z) = \int \frac{x^2}{(1 + xg_2(z))^2} dH_1(x), \quad d_4(z) = \int \frac{y^2}{(g_1(z)y + 1)^2} dH_2(y),$$

$$d_5(z) = d_4(z) \int \frac{x^3}{(1 + g_2(z)x)^3} dH_1(x) \int \frac{y}{(1 + g_1(z)y)^2} dH_2(y),$$

$$d_6(z) = d_3(z) \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_2(z)x)^2} dH_1(x) \int \frac{y^3}{(1 + g_1(z)y)^3} dH_2(y),$$

and

$$d(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{z_1 z_2} \frac{z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2)}{g_2(z_1) - g_2(z_2)} \frac{z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2)}{g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2)}.$$

The contours in (4.1) and (1.7) (two contours in (1.7), which we may assume to be nonoverlapping) are closed and are taken in the positive direction in the complex plane, each enclosing the support of F^{c, H_1, H_2} .

- (ii) If $\mathbf{X}_n = (x_{jk}) = (u_{jk} + iv_{jk})$, $u_{jk}, v_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$, is a complex matrix with $E(u_{jk}) = E(v_{jk}) = 0$, $E(u_{jk}^2) = E(v_{jk}^2) = \frac{1}{2}$, $E(u_{jk}^4) = E(v_{jk}^4) = \frac{3}{4}$, u_{jk} and v_{jk} are independent, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\sup_{j,k} E|x_{jk}|^{6+\delta} \leq M < \infty$, then (1.5)-(1.7) also hold.
- (iii) If \mathbf{T}_{1n} is real and $\mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}, \mathbf{T}_{2n}$ are diagonal, then (1.5)-(1.7) still hold.

Remark 1.3. The existence of the $(6 + \delta)$ th moment in (i) and (ii) and the condition that $\text{Rank}(\mathbf{T}_{2n}) = O(n)$ are combined to ensure the a.s. bound of the spectral norm of \mathbf{S}_n as indicated in Yin (2018). We note that for the cases where m_1 and m_2 are both of the same order as n , then the moment condition could be relaxed and the existence of the fourth moment is enough. When $\kappa_x \neq 0$, then if the conditions in (iii) are not satisfied, the CLT of LSS may not hold. Such counter examples with $m_1 = p$ (or $m_2 = n$) can be found in Zheng et al. (2015).

1.4. Organization of this paper and Contributions

The rest of the present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, an application of our main theorem to high dimensional white noise test is showed. The main theorem is proved in Section 3. Lemmas and some technical details are postponed to Appendix.

2. An application of the main theorem on high dimensional white noise test

2.1. Describe of the test problem

Consider $\{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a p -dimensional linear process of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{x}_{i-k},$$

where \mathbf{B}_k are $p \times m$ coefficient matrices and \mathbf{x}_i is a sequence of m dimensional random vectors satisfy that their entries are i.i.d. with 0 means and unit variances. Define $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(\tau)} = \text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i+\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i)$, the so called autocovariance matrix at lag τ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{(\tau)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i+\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i^*$, the sample autocovariance matrix at lag τ . The goal is to test whether $\{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a white noise. The hypothesis testing problem is then

$$H_0 : \text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i+\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i) = 0, \quad \tau = 1, \dots, q$$

where q is a prescribed integer, against the alternate

$$H_{1,\tau} : \text{For given } 1 \leq \tau \leq q \text{ we have } \text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i+\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i) \neq 0.$$

2.2. The proposed test procedure

Define $\Xi_{(\tau)} = (\Xi_{(\tau),j,k}) = \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{\Sigma}_{(\tau)} + \widehat{\Sigma}_{(\tau)}^*)$, $\mathbf{X}_n = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$. It is easy to see that under null

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{(\tau)} &\triangleq \sum_{j,k=1}^p \Xi_{(\tau),j,k}^2 = \text{tr} \Xi_{(\tau)} \Xi_{(\tau)}^* \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{tr} \Gamma_n \mathbf{X}_n \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-\tau} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_\tau & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_\tau \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-\tau} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathbf{X}_n^* \Gamma_n^* \left(\Gamma_n \mathbf{X}_n \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-\tau} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_\tau & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_\tau \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-\tau} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathbf{X}_n^* \Gamma_n^* \right)^*, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Gamma_n \Gamma_n^* = \Sigma_{(0)}$. Then our test statistics can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Lambda}_{(\tau)} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{tr} \Gamma_n \mathbf{X}_n \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-\tau} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-\tau} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathbf{X}_n^* \Gamma_n^* \left(\Gamma_n \mathbf{X}_n \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-\tau} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-\tau} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathbf{X}_n^* \Gamma_n^* \right)^* \\ &\triangleq \frac{1}{n^2} \text{tr} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{X}_n^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \left(\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{X}_n^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \right)^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We reject the null hypothesis for large $\widehat{\Lambda}_{(\tau)}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the conditions (a)-(e) and those in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Then under null for given τ ,*

$$\widehat{\Lambda}_{(\tau)} - \left(\frac{pc}{2} - \frac{\tau c^2}{2} \right) \left(\int x dH_1(x) \right)^2 \xrightarrow{d} N(\mu, \sigma^2),$$

where $\mu = \frac{c(\alpha_x + \kappa_x)}{2} \int x^2 dH_1(x)$, and

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{c^2(1 + \alpha_x^2)}{2} \left(\int x^2 dH_1(x) \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2} c^3 (\kappa_x + 2) \left(\int x dH_1(x) \right)^2 \int x^2 dH_1(x).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is postponed to Section 3.4, which is also an example on how to determine the mean and variance functions in our main Theorem 1.2.

2.3. Simulation Study

In this subsection, we conduct some simulations to investigate the performance our proposed test procedure. Suppose that the entries in \mathbf{X}_n follow the gaussian distribution. We consider the following two models

Model 1: $\varepsilon_i = \Sigma_{(0)}^{1/2} \mathbf{x}_i$, where $\Sigma_{(0)} = (\sigma_{0,i,j})_{p \times p}$ with

$$\sigma_{0,i,j} = \begin{cases} 2 + (-1)^i & \text{for } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Model 2: $\varepsilon_i = \Sigma_{(0)}^{1/2} (\mathbf{x}_i + 0.3\mathbf{x}_{i-1} + 0.1\mathbf{x}_{i-2})$, where $\Sigma_{(0)}$ is defined the same way as Model 1.

Table 1 and 2 below show the empirical size and empirical power of our test under Model 1 and 2 respectively with different pairs of n and p .

3. Proof of the main theorem

This section is to prove our main theorem. For the reader's convenience, we give here the outline. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is split into the following steps.

Step 1: In subsection 3.1, we will show that the results of Theorem 1.2 are true under gaussian case.

Step 2: We shall prove Theorem 1.2 when the underline distribution is non-gaussian but share the same kurtosis with gaussian distribution. Here the strategy is to compare the characteristic functions of the linear spectral statistics. This part is showed in subsection 3.2.

Step 3: Then in subsection 3.3 we give the proof of the theorem for the general underline distribution under condition (iii).

We now proceed our proof step by step.

3.1. The Proof Under Gaussian Case

Assume now the entries in \mathbf{X}_n follow normal distribution. By the arguments presented below Definition 1.1, it follows that $F^{\mathbf{S}_n}(x) = F^{\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_n}(x)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{11}^* \Lambda_1^2 \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{11} \Lambda_2$, then we know that $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_n$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_n$ have the same non-zero eigenvalues. It is obvious that

$$F^{\mathbf{S}_n}(x) = c_n F^{\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_n}(x) + (1 - c_n) 1_{[0, \infty)}(x).$$

p	n	$q = 1$	$q = 3$	p	n	$q = 1$	$q = 3$
5	50	0.094	0.086	20	40	0.078	0.092
25	250	0.063	0.071	50	100	0.065	0.074
50	500	0.062	0.072	100	200	0.054	0.061
100	1000	0.063	0.058	300	600	0.050	0.056
10	50	0.084	0.081	50	25	0.066	0.061
50	250	0.056	0.075	100	50	0.054	0.053
100	500	0.060	0.057	200	100	0.055	0.047
200	1000	0.055	0.056	500	250	0.047	0.045

TABLE 1
Empirical Size under model 1

p	n	$q = 1$	$q = 3$	p	n	$q = 1$	$q = 3$
5	50	0.857	0.741	20	40	0.946	0.908
25	250	1.000	1.000	50	100	1.000	1.000
50	500	1.000	1.000	100	200	1.000	1.000
100	1000	1.000	1.000	300	600	1.000	1.000
10	50	0.863	0.872	50	25	0.968	0.946
50	250	1.000	1.000	100	50	1.000	1.000
100	500	1.000	1.000	200	100	1.000	1.000
200	1000	1.000	1.000	500	250	1.000	1.000

TABLE 2
Empirical Power under model 2

Denote by $\underline{F}^{c,H_1,H_2}$ the limiting spectral distribution of $F\bar{\Sigma}_n$, then one finds

$$\underline{F}^{c,H_1,H_2}(x) = cF(x) + (1-c)1_{[0,\infty)}(x).$$

Likewise, $\underline{F}^{c_n,H_{1n},H_{2n}}$ is obtained from $\underline{F}^{c,H_1,H_2}$ with c, H_1, H_2 replaced by c_n, H_{1n}, H_{2n} respectively. Hence, G_n can be rewritten as

$$n[F\bar{\Sigma}_n - \underline{F}^{c_n,H_{1n},H_{2n}}].$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 in Bai et al. (2018), some elementary calculations shows that Theorem 1.2 holds under the Gaussian case with mean

$$\begin{aligned} EX_f &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{1 - cz^{-2}d_3(z)d_4(z)} \frac{\alpha_x}{1 - \alpha_x cz^{-2}d_3(z)d_4(z)} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{cd_3(z)d_4(z)}{z^3} - \frac{c^2d_3^2(z)d_4^2(z)}{z^5} + \frac{cd_5(z)}{z^4} + \frac{c^2d_6(z)}{z^4} \right] dz \end{aligned}$$

and covariance function

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_f, X_g) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} f(z_1)g(z_2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial z_1} \left\{ \int_0^{d(z_1,z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\alpha_x d(z_1,z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz \right\} dz_1 dz_2. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. The proof of Theorem 1.2 under (i) and (ii)

From last section, it suffices to show the conclusion holds under the non-Gaussian case. The strategy is to compare the characteristic functions of the linear spectral statistics under the Gaussian case and the non-Gaussian case.

It is worth mentioning that for the complex case, u_{jk} , the real part of x_{jk} and v_{jk} , the imaginary part of x_{jk} are independent. Thus it is enough to consider the real case only. The proof of this part are split into two steps. Firstly, we truncate and recentralize the entries in \mathbf{X}_n . Then complete our proof by handling the truncated one.

3.2.1. Step 1: Truncation and Recentralization

Denoting $\mathbf{T}_{1n} = (t_{1jk})$, $\mathbf{T}_{2n} = (t_{2jk})$ and

$$t_{.k} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^p t_{1jk}^2}, \quad t_j = \sqrt{t_{2jj}},$$

then one has $|t_{.k}| < K_1$, $|t_j| < K_2$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m_1} t_{.k}^2 \leq p \|\Sigma_1\|_2 \leq K_3 n, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} t_j^2 \leq \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}_{2n}) \leq K_4 n. \quad (3.1)$$

At first, we can select a arbitrarily slowly decreasing sequence of constants $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $\eta_n \sqrt{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and truncate the variables x_{jk} at $\eta_n \sqrt{n}/(t_j t_k)$, $j = 1, \dots, m_1, k = 1, \dots, m_2$. Define $\hat{x}_{jk} = x_{jk} I(|x_{jk}| \leq \eta_n \sqrt{n}/(t_j t_k))$, $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n = (\hat{x}_{jk})$ and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n' \mathbf{T}'_{1n},$$

then it yields from (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{S}_n \neq \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n, i.o.) &\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} P(\mathbf{X}_n \neq \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n) \\ &\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m_1} \bigcup_{k=1}^{m_2} \{|x_{jk}| \geq \eta_n \sqrt{n}/(t_j t_k)\}\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} t_j^{6+\delta} t_k^{6+\delta} \frac{E x_{jk}^{\delta+\delta} I(|x_{jk}| \geq \eta_n \sqrt{n}/(t_j t_k))}{\eta_n^{\delta+\delta} n^{3+\delta/2}} \\ &\leq K_1^{4+\delta} K_2^{4+\delta} K_3 K_4 M \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\eta_n^{6+\delta} n^{1+\delta/2}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, define $\check{\mathbf{S}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} (\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - E\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n) \mathbf{T}_{2n} (\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - E\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n)' \mathbf{T}'_{1n}$. We use $\hat{G}_n(x)$ and $\check{G}_n(x)$ to denote the analogues of $G_n(x)$ with the matrix \mathbf{S}_n replaced by $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n$ and $\check{\mathbf{S}}_n$, respectively. Let $\lambda_j(\mathbf{A})$ denote the j -th smallest eigenvalue of Hermitian \mathbf{A} . Using Lemma 4.10, it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \int f_j(x) d\hat{G}_n(x) - \int f_j(x) d\check{G}_n(x) \right| &\leq C_j \sum_{k=1}^p E \left| \lambda_k(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n) - \lambda_k(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) \right| \\ &\leq C_j \left(E \sum_{k=1}^p \left| \lambda_k^{1/2}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n) - \lambda_k^{1/2}(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(E \sum_{k=1}^p \left| \lambda_k^{1/2}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n) + \lambda_k^{1/2}(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}C_j}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\text{tr} \mathbf{T}_{1n} E\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} E\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n' \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \right)^{1/2} \left(E \sum_{k=1}^p (\lambda_k(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n) + \lambda_k(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n)) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}C_j}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\text{tr} \mathbf{T}_{1n} E\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} E\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n' \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \right)^{1/2} \left(\text{Etr}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n) + \text{Etr}(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where C_j is a bound on $f_j'(z)$. Note that

$$E|\hat{x}_{jk}| \leq \frac{M t_j^{5+\delta} t_k^{5+\delta}}{\eta_n^{5+\delta} n^{5/2+\delta/2}} \leq \frac{MK_1^{4+\delta} K_2^{4+\delta} t_j t_k}{\eta_n^{5+\delta} n^{5/2+\delta/2}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Using (3.2) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one finds

$$\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{T}_{1n} E\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} E\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n' \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j_1} E \hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} t_{2k_1 k_2} E \hat{x}_{j_2 k_2} t_{1l j_2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} |t_{2k_1 k_2}| |\mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_2 k_2}| \left(\sum_{l=1}^p t_{1l j_1}^2 \sum_{l=1}^p t_{1l j_2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{j_1} t_{j_2} |t_{2k_1 k_2}| |\mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_2 k_2}| \\
 &\leq M^2 K_1^{8+2\delta} K_2^{6+2\delta} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{j_1} t_{j_2} |t_{2k_1 k_2}| \frac{t_{j_1} t_{k_1}^2 \cdot t_{j_2} t_{k_2}^2}{\eta_n^{10+2\delta} n^{5+\delta}} \leq \frac{C}{\eta_n^{10+2\delta} n^{1+\delta}} = o(n^{-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Etr}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n) + \text{Etr}(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) &= \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} \left(\text{E} t_{1l j_1} \hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} t_{2k_1 k_2} \hat{x}_{j_2 k_2} t_{1l j_2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \text{E} t_{1l j_1} (\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} - \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_1 k_1}) t_{2k_1 k_2} (\hat{x}_{j_2 k_2} - \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_2 k_2}) t_{1l j_2} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j_1} t_{2k_1 k_2} t_{1l j_2} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_2 k_2} + \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1 \neq k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j}^2 t_{2k_1 k_2} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j k_1} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j k_2} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j}^2 t_{2k k} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j k}^2 + \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j}^2 t_{2k k} \mathbb{E} (\hat{x}_{j k} - \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j k})^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} |t_{1l j_1} t_{2k_1 k_2} t_{1l j_2}| |\mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_2 k_2}| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} t_{j k}^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{j_1} t_{j_2} |t_{2k_1 k_2}| |\mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{j_2 k_2}| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} t_{j k}^2 \\
 &\leq M^2 K_1^{8+2\delta} K_2^{6+2\delta} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} |t_{2k_1 k_2}| \frac{t_{j_1}^2 \cdot t_{k_1}^2 \cdot t_{j_2}^2 \cdot t_{k_2}^2}{\eta_n^{10+2\delta} n^{5+\delta}} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} t_{j k}^2 \leq C n^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int f_j(x) d\widehat{G}_n(x) - \int f_j(x) d\check{G}_n(x) \right| = o(1).$$

Thirdly, let $\check{\mathbf{S}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \check{\mathbf{X}}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \check{\mathbf{X}}_n' \mathbf{T}_{1n}'$ with $\check{\mathbf{X}}_n$ $m_1 \times m_2$ having (j, k) -th entry $\check{x}_{jk} = (\hat{x}_{jk} - \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{jk}) / \sigma_{jk}$, where $\sigma_{jk} = \mathbb{E} (\hat{x}_{jk} - \mathbb{E} \hat{x}_{jk})^2$. Likewise, we still use $\check{G}_n(x)$ to denote the analogues of $G_n(x)$ with the matrix \mathbf{S}_n replaced by $\check{\mathbf{S}}_n$. Due to Lemma 4.10, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \left| \int f_j(x) d\check{G}_n(x) - \int f_j(x) d\check{G}_n(x) \right| \leq C_j \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{E} |\lambda_k(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) - \lambda_k(\mathbf{S}_n)| \\
 &\leq C_j \left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^p |\lambda_k^{1/2}(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) - \lambda_k^{1/2}(\mathbf{S}_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^p |\lambda_k^{1/2}(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) + \lambda_k^{1/2}(\mathbf{S}_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2} C_j}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\text{Etr} \mathbf{T}_{1n} (\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - \check{\mathbf{X}}_n) \mathbf{T}_{2n} (\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mathbb{E} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - \check{\mathbf{X}}_n)' \mathbf{T}_{1n}' \right)^{1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^p \left(\lambda_k(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) + \lambda_k(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) \right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

From the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \sigma_{jk} &\leq 1 - \sigma_{jk}^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E}x_{jk}^2 I(|x_{jk}| \geq \eta_n \sqrt{n}/(t_j t_k)) \\ &\leq \frac{M t_j^{4+\delta} t_k^{4+\delta}}{\eta_n^{4+\delta} n^{2+\delta/2}} \leq \frac{MK_1^{4+\delta} K_2^{4+\delta}}{\eta_n^{4+\delta} n^{2+\delta/2}} = o(n^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Etr} \mathbf{T}_{1n} (\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - \check{\mathbf{X}}_n) \mathbf{T}_{2n} (\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mathbb{E}\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n - \check{\mathbf{X}}_n)' \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j_1} t_{2k_1 k_2} t_{1l j_2} (1 - \sigma_{j_1 k_1}^{-1}) (1 - \sigma_{j_2 k_2}^{-1}) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}(\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} - \mathbb{E}\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1})(\hat{x}_{j_2 k_2} - \mathbb{E}\hat{x}_{j_2 k_2}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j_1=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j_1}^2 t_{2k_1 k_1} (1 - \sigma_{j_1 k_1}^{-1})^2 \mathbb{E}(\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} - \mathbb{E}\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1})^2 \sum_{j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{j_2 k_2}^2 (1 - \sigma_{j_2 k_2})^2 = o(n^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^p \left(\lambda_k(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) + \lambda_k(\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) \right) &= \mathbb{E}(\text{tr}\check{\mathbf{S}}_n + \text{tr}\check{\mathbf{S}}_n) = \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j_1} t_{1l j_2} \\ &\quad \times t_{2k_1 k_2} (1 + \sigma_{j_1 k_1}^{-1} \sigma_{j_2 k_2}^{-1}) \mathbb{E}(\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} - \mathbb{E}\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1})(\hat{x}_{j_2 k_2} - \mathbb{E}\hat{x}_{j_2 k_2}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{j_1=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{m_2} t_{1l j_1}^2 t_{2k_1 k_1} (1 + \sigma_{j_1 k_1}^{-2}) \mathbb{E}(\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1} - \mathbb{E}\hat{x}_{j_1 k_1})^2 = \sum_{j_1=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{m_2} t_{j_1 k_1}^2 (1 + \sigma_{j_1 k_1}^2) \leq Cn^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it yields that

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int f_j(x) d\check{\mathbf{G}}_n(x) - \int f_j(x) d\check{\mathbf{G}}_n(x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

We below assume that x_{jk} , $j = 1, \dots, m_1$, $k = 1, \dots, m_2$ are truncated at $\eta_n \sqrt{n}/(t_j t_k)$, centralized and renormalized. That is to say,

$$|x_{jk}| \leq \eta_n \sqrt{n}/(t_j t_k), \quad \mathbb{E}x_{jk} = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}x_{jk}^2 = 1, \quad \mathbb{E}x_{jk}^4 = 3 + o(1).$$

3.2.2. Step 2: Complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 under (i) and (ii)

Denote

$$\mathbf{B}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{Y}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{Y}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n}$$

where the entries of $\mathbf{Y}_n = (y_{jk})$ are independent real Gaussian random variables such that

$$\mathbb{E}y_{jk} = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}y_{jk}^2 = 1, \quad \text{for } j = 1 \dots m_1, k = 1, \dots, m_2.$$

Moreover, suppose that \mathbf{X}_n and \mathbf{Y}_n be independent random matrices. As in Bai et al. (2018), for any $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, we introduce the following matrices

$$\mathbf{W}_n(\theta) = \mathbf{X}_n \sin \theta + \mathbf{Y}_n \cos \theta, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{G}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n(\theta) \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}_n'(\theta) \mathbf{T}_{1n}' \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$(\mathbf{W}_n(\theta))_{jk} = w_{jk} = x_{jk} \sin \theta + a_{jk} \cos \theta.$$

Furthermore, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_n(t, \theta) &= e^{it\mathbf{G}_n(\theta)}, \quad S(\theta) = \text{tr}f(\mathbf{G}_n(\theta)), \\ S^0(\theta) &= S(\theta) - p \int f(x) dF^{c_n, H_{1n}, H_{2n}}(x), \quad Z_n(x, \theta) = \text{E}e^{ixS^0(\theta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

For simplicity, we omit the argument θ from the notations of $\mathbf{W}_n(\theta)$, $\mathbf{G}_n(\theta)$, $\mathbf{H}_n(t, \theta)$ and denote them by \mathbf{W}_n , \mathbf{G}_n , $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$ respectively.

Note that

$$Z_n(x, \pi/2) - Z_n(x, 0) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\partial Z_n(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} d\theta. \quad (3.5)$$

The aim is to prove that $\frac{\partial Z_n(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$ converges to zero uniformly in θ over the interval $[0, \pi/2]$, which ensures Theorem 1.2 under condition (i).

To this end, let $f(\lambda)$ be a smooth function with the Fourier transform given by $\widehat{f}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda) e^{-it\lambda} d\lambda$. Then, $f(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(t) e^{it\lambda} dt$. From Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\frac{\partial Z_n(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{2xi}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \text{E} w'_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(\theta)}$$

where $w'_{jk} = \frac{dw_{jk}}{d\theta} = x_{jk} \cos \theta - y_{jk} \sin \theta$ and

$$\widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \mathbf{H}_n(u) du. \quad (3.6)$$

Let $\mathbf{W}_{njk}(w, \theta)$ denote the corresponding matrix \mathbf{W}_n with w_{jk} replaced by w . And let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{njk}(w, \theta) &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njk}(w, \theta) \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}_{njk}'(w, \theta) \mathbf{T}_{1n}', \\ \mathbf{H}_{njk}(w, t, \theta) &= e^{it\mathbf{G}_{njk}(w, \theta)}, \quad S(w, \theta) = \text{tr}f(\mathbf{G}_{njk}(w, \theta)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S^0(w, \theta) &= S(w, \theta) - p \int f(x) dF^{c_n, H_{1n}, H_{2n}}(x), \\ \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njk}(w, \theta)) &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \mathbf{H}_{njk}(w, u, \theta) du, \\ \varphi_{jk}(w) &= \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njk}(w, \theta)) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njk}(w, \theta) \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w, \theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we still omit the argument θ from the notations of $\mathbf{W}_{nj\mathbf{k}}(w, \theta)$, $\mathbf{G}_{nj\mathbf{k}}(w, \theta)$, $\mathbf{H}_{nj\mathbf{k}}(w, t, \theta)$ and denote them by $\mathbf{W}_{nj\mathbf{k}w}$, $\mathbf{G}_{nj\mathbf{k}w}$, $\mathbf{H}_{nj\mathbf{k}w}(t)$ respectively. By Taylor's formula, one finds

$$\varphi_{jk}(w_{jk}) = \sum_{l=0}^3 \frac{1}{l!} w_{jk}^l \varphi_{jk}^{(l)}(0) + \frac{1}{4!} w_{jk}^4 \varphi_{jk}^{(4)}(\varrho w_{jk}) \quad \varrho \in (0, 1)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\partial Z_n(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{2xi}{n} \sum_{l=0}^3 \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \mathbb{E} w'_{jk} w_{jk}^l \mathbb{E} \varphi_{jk}^{(l)}(0) + \frac{2xi}{4!n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \mathbb{E} w'_{jk} w_{jk}^4 \varphi_{jk}^{(4)}(\varrho w_{jk}).$$

It is easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} w'_{jk} w_{jk}^0 &= 0, & \mathbb{E} w'_{jk} w_{jk}^1 &= 0, \\ \mathbb{E} w'_{jk} w_{jk}^2 &= \mathbb{E} w_{jk}^3 \sin^2 \theta \cos \theta, & \mathbb{E} w'_{jk} w_{jk}^3 &= o(1) \sin^3 \theta \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Z_n(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{xi}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \mathbb{E} w_{jk}^3 \sin^2 \theta \cos \theta \mathbb{E} \varphi_{jk}^{(2)}(0) + \frac{xi}{12n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \mathbb{E} w'_{jk} w_{jk}^4 \varphi_{jk}^{(4)}(\varrho w_{jk}) \\ &\triangleq \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that both \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 convergence to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is left to Section 4.1.

Thus we complete the proof of our Theorem under (i) and (ii).

3.3. The proof of Theorem 1.2 under (iii)

This section is to prove our main theorem under (iii). To begin with, we need to derive the LSD of \mathbf{S}_n under this case.

3.3.1. LSD when \mathbf{T}_{1n} is real and \mathbf{T}_{2n} is diagonal

We below give a more general results than we need. We note that the result present in this subsection is also new and may have its own interest. Denote $\mathbf{T}_{2n} = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_{m_2})$. Since the rank of \mathbf{T}_{2n} is $O(n)$, we know that the nonzero entries of $\text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_{m_2})$ is $O(n)$. So, we shall replace m_2 with n in the following without lose of generality.

Introduce $\mathbf{X}_n = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$, $\mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{x}_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(z) &= \mathbf{S}_n - z\mathbf{I}_p, \quad \mathbf{D}_k(z) = \mathbf{D}(z) - \frac{1}{n} s_k \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{q}_k^*, \\ \mathbf{D}_{jk}(z) &= \mathbf{D}_k(z) - \frac{1}{n} s_j \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^*, \quad \mathbf{S}_{nk} = \mathbf{S}_n - \frac{1}{n} s_k \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{q}_k^*, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_k(z) &= \mathbf{q}_k^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_k - \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1), \quad \gamma_k(z) = \mathbf{q}_k^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-2}(z) \mathbf{q}_k - \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-2}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\ \beta_k(z) &= \frac{1}{1 + n^{-1} s_k \mathbf{q}_k^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_k}, \quad \tilde{\beta}_k(z) = \frac{1}{1 + n^{-1} s_k \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)}, \\ \beta_{jk}(z) &= \frac{1}{1 + n^{-1} s_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j}, \quad \psi_{jk}(z) = \frac{1}{1 + n^{-1} s_j \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)}.\end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 0.1 in Bai et al. (2018) and Yin (2018), it can be verified that

$$|\psi_{jk}| \leq C. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $\mathbf{R}_k(z) = z \mathbf{I}_p - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j \psi_{jk}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1$, Write

$$\mathbf{D}_k(z) + \mathbf{R}_k(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j \psi_{jk}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1$$

which implies that

$$\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) + \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j \psi_{jk}(z) \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z).$$

Using the formula

$$(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + q \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}^*)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{1 + q \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \quad (3.8)$$

we have for a $p \times p$ matrix \mathbf{M}

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{p} \text{tr}(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z)) + \frac{1}{p} \text{tr}(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{pn} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j \psi_{jk}(z) \left[\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr}(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z)) \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{pn} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j (\beta_{jk}(z) - \psi_{jk}(z)) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{pn} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j \psi_{jk}(z) \text{tr} \left[\mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) - \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z)) \right] \\ & \triangleq r_1(z) + r_2(z) + r_3(z).\end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By a direct calculation, we have for any positive number $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\Im \left(z - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j \psi_{jk}(z) t \right) &= v_0 - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{s_j^2 t}{|1 + n^{-1} s_j \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)|^2} \Im \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\ &= v_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{s_j^2 t}{|1 + n^{-1} s_j \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)|^2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(\bar{z}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right) \geq v_0\end{aligned}$$

which yields $\|\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z)\| \leq \frac{1}{v_0}$. By (3.7) and (4.33), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|r_1(z)|^3 &\leq \frac{C}{n^3} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \right) \right|^3 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^3} n^{3/2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \right\|^3 \leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Let $\mathbf{D}_{ljk} = \mathbf{D}_{jk} - \frac{1}{n} s_l \mathbf{q}_l \mathbf{q}_l^*$, and

$$\tilde{\beta}_{jk}(z) = \frac{1}{1 + n^{-1} s_j \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)}, \quad \beta_{ljk}(z) = \frac{1}{1 + n^{-1} s_l \mathbf{q}_l^* \mathbf{D}_{ljk}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{q}_l}.$$

Using the above inequality and (4.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\beta_{jk}(z) - \psi_{jk}(z)|^3 &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E}|\beta_{jk}(z) - \tilde{\beta}_{jk}(z)|^3 + |\tilde{\beta}_{jk}(z) - \psi_{jk}(z)|^3 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^3} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right|^3 \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{n^3} \mathbb{E} \left| \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right|^3 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{C}{n^3} = O(n^{-3/2}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|r_2(z)|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{n^4} \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbb{E}^{2/3} |\beta_{jk}(z) - \psi_{jk}(z)|^3 \mathbb{E}^{1/3} |\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j|^6 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^3} \left[O(n^{-3/2}) \right]^{2/3} \left[O(n^6) \right]^{1/3} = O(n^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Note that from (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} |r_3(z)| &= \left| \frac{1}{pn^2} \sum_{j \neq k} s_j^2 \psi_{jk}(z) \beta_{jk}(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^3} \sum_{j \neq k} |\beta_{jk}(z) - \psi_{jk}(z)| |\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{q}_j| + \frac{C}{n^3} \sum_{j \neq k} |\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{q}_j|. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.33), it follows that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)| \geq n \right) \leq \frac{1}{n^3} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right|^3 \leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}}$$

which is summable. Hence, by (3.11), one has

$$|r_3(z)| \leq \frac{C}{n^3} \sum_{j \neq k} |\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)| + \frac{C}{n} \leq \frac{C}{n} \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.13)$$

Using (3.10), (3.12), and (3.13), (3.9) can be represented as

$$\frac{1}{p} \text{tr}(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z)) = -\frac{1}{p} \text{tr}(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z)) + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1). \quad (3.14)$$

Especially,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z)\right) &= -\frac{1}{p}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z)\right) + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1) \\ \frac{1}{p}\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z)\right) &= -\frac{1}{p}\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z)\right) + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1).\end{aligned}$$

From the above equality and subsection 2.2 in [Paul and Silverstein \(2009\)](#), we see that with probability 1, $F^{\mathbf{S}_n}$ converges weakly to a probability distribution function F whose Stieltjes transform $m(z)$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, is given by

$$m(z) = \int \frac{1}{x \int \frac{y}{1+cy} dH_2(y) - z} dH_1(x)$$

where $e = e(z)$ is the unique solution in \mathbb{C}^+ of the equation

$$e = \int \frac{x}{x \int \frac{y}{1+cy} dH_2(y) - z} dH_1(x).$$

Combining the above arguments, it also follows that

$$\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z)\right) \rightarrow ce(z) = g_1(z). \quad (3.15)$$

3.3.2. Complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 under (iii)

Under the conditions in (iii), denote

$$\mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{T}_{1n} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m_1}).$$

Since the rank of $\mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}$ is the same as $\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{T}_{1n}^*$, which is not larger than p . Hence, we know that the nonzero entries of $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m_1})$ is not larger than p . So, we shall replace respectively m_1, m_2 with p, n in the following. In this case, we only need to truncate x_{jk} at $\eta_n \sqrt{n}$ and then recenteralize. This step is same with the truncation step in [Bai et al. \(2018\)](#) thus omitted.

Rewrite for $z \in \mathbb{C}_n$

$$M_n(z) = p[m_n(z) - \operatorname{E}m_n(z)] + p[\operatorname{E}m_n(z) - m_n^0(z)] \triangleq M_{n1}(z) + M_{n2}(z)$$

where $m_n^0(z) = m_{F^{c_n, H_{1n}, H_{2n}}}(z)$. Moreover $g_{1n}^0(z)$ and $g_{2n}^0(z)$ are similarly obtained from $g_1(z)$ and $g_2(z)$ respectively. Then $(m_n^0(z), g_{1n}^0(z), g_{2n}^0(z))$ satisfies the equations (1.2). In other words

$$\underline{m}_n^0(z) = -z^{-1} \int \frac{1}{1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y} dH_{2n}(y) \quad (3.16)$$

$$m_n^0(z) = -z^{-1} \int \frac{1}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x} dH_{1n}(x) \quad (3.17)$$

$$m_n^0(z) = -z^{-1} - c_n^{-1} g_{1n}^0(z) g_{2n}^0(z). \quad (3.18)$$

Furthermore,

$$z g_{1n}^0(z) = -c_n \int \frac{x}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x} dH_{1n}(x) \quad (3.19)$$

$$z g_{2n}^0(z) = - \int \frac{y}{1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y} dH_{2n}(y). \quad (3.20)$$

By the assumption of Theorem 1.2, we may suppose $\max\{\|\Sigma_1\|, \|\mathbf{T}_{2n}\|\} \leq \tau$. Let v_0 be any positive number. Let x_r be any positive number if the right end point of interval (1.4) is zero. Otherwise choose

$$x_r \in (\limsup_n s_1 \lambda_{\max}^{\Sigma_1} (1 + \sqrt{c})^2, \infty).$$

Let x_l be any negative number if the left end point of interval (1.4) is zero. Otherwise choose

$$x_l \in \begin{cases} (0, \liminf_n s_n \lambda_{\min}^{\Sigma_1} I_{(0,1)}(c) (1 - \sqrt{c})^2), & \text{if } \liminf_n s_n \lambda_{\min}^{\Sigma_1} I_{(0,1)}(c) > 0, \\ (-\infty, \liminf_n s_n \lambda_{\max}^{\Sigma_1} (1 + \sqrt{c})^2), & \text{if } \liminf_n s_n \lambda_{\min}^{\Sigma_1} I_{(0,1)}(c) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $C_u = \{x + iv_0 : x \in [x_l, x_r]\}$. Define the contour C

$$C = \{x_l + iv : v \in [0, v_0]\} \cup C_u \cup \{x_r + iv : v \in [0, v_0]\}.$$

To avoid dealing with the small $\Im z$, we truncate $M_n(z)$ on a contour C of the complex plane. We define now the subsets C_n of C on which $M_n(\cdot)$ agrees with $\widehat{M}_n(\cdot)$. Choose sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ decreasing to zero satisfying for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon_n \geq n^{-\alpha}$.

Let $C_l = \{x_l + iv : v \in [n^{-1}\varepsilon_n, v_0]\}$ and $C_r = \{x_r + iv : v \in [n^{-1}\varepsilon_n, v_0]\}$.

Then $C_n = C_l \cup C_u \cup C_r$. For $z = x + iv$, the process $\widehat{M}_n(\cdot)$ can now be defined as

$$\widehat{M}_n(\cdot) = \begin{cases} M_n(z), & \text{for } z \in C_n, \\ M_n(x_l + in^{-1}\varepsilon_n), & \text{for } x = x_l, v \in [0, n^{-1}\varepsilon_n], \\ M_n(x_r + in^{-1}\varepsilon_n), & \text{for } x = x_r, v \in [0, n^{-1}\varepsilon_n]. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

The central limit theorem of $\widehat{M}_n(z)$ is specified below.

Lemma 3.1. Under the condition (iii) of Theorem 1.2, $\widehat{M}_n(z)$ converges weakly to a two-dimensional Gaussian process $M(\cdot)$ satisfying for $z \in C$ under the assumptions in (i)

$$\begin{aligned} EM(z) = & - \frac{1}{1 - cz^{-2}d_3(z)d_4(z)} \left(\frac{\alpha_x}{1 - \alpha_x cz^{-2}d_3(z)d_4(z)} + \kappa_x \right) \\ & \times \left[\frac{cd_3(z)d_4(z)}{z^3} - \frac{c^2 d_3^2(z)d_4^2(z)}{z^5} + \frac{cd_5(z)}{z^4} + \frac{c^2 d_6(z)}{z^4} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and for $z_1, z_2 \in C \cup \bar{C}$ with $\bar{C} = \{\bar{z} : z \in C\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}\left(M(z_1), M(z_2)\right) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial z_1} \left\{ \int_0^{d(z_1, z_2) + \alpha_x d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{cK_x(z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2))}{z_1 z_2 (g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2))} \int \frac{x^2}{(1 + g_2(z_1)x)(1 + g_2(z_2)x)} dH_1(x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Bai and Yin (1993) and Yin et al. (1988), we conclude that

$$\lambda_{\max} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^* \right) \rightarrow (1 + \sqrt{c})^2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\min} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^* \right) \rightarrow (1 - \sqrt{c})^2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

The upper and lower bounds of the extreme eigenvalues of \mathbf{S}_n depends largely on the signs of s_1 and s_n . Since $s_1 > 0$, we have

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S}_n) \leq s_1 \lambda_{\max}^{\Sigma_1} \lambda_{\max} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^* \right) \leq s_1 \lambda_{\max}^{\Sigma_1} (1 + \sqrt{c})^2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

If $s_n > 0$, then we have

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S}_n) \geq s_n \lambda_{\min}^{\Sigma_1} I_{(0,1)}(c) \lambda_{\min} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^* \right) \geq s_n \lambda_{\min}^{\Sigma_1} I_{(0,1)}(c) (1 - \sqrt{c})^2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Otherwise, we get

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S}_n) \geq s_n \lambda_{\max}^{\Sigma_1} \lambda_{\max} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^* \right) \geq s_n \lambda_{\max}^{\Sigma_1} (1 + \sqrt{c})^2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Combining the definitions of x_l, x_r , we find with probability 1

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min(x_r - \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S}_n), \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S}_n) - x_l) > 0.$$

Since $F^{\mathbf{S}_n} \rightarrow F^{c, H_1, H_2}$ with probability 1, the support of F^{c, H_1, H_2} is contained in interval (1.4) with probability 1. Thus, by Cauchy integral formula, for $f \in \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ and large n , with probability 1,

$$\int f(x) dG_n(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint f(z) M_n(z) dz$$

where the complex integral is over $C \cup \bar{C}$. For $v \in [0, n^{-1} \varepsilon_n]$, note that

$$\left| M_n(x_r + iv) - M_n(x_r + in^{-1} \varepsilon_n) \right| \leq 4n |\max(\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S}_n), p_r) - x_r|^{-1}$$

and

$$\left| M_n(x_l + iv) - M_n(x_l + in^{-1} \varepsilon_n) \right| \leq 4n |\min(\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S}_n), p_l) - x_l|^{-1}.$$

It follows that for large n , with probability 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \oint f(z) (M_n(z) - \widehat{M}_n(z)) dz \right| \\ & \leq 8K\varepsilon_n \left[|\max(\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S}_n), p_r) - x_r|^{-1} + |\min(\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S}_n), p_l) - x_l|^{-1} \right] \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where p_l (p_r) is the left endpoint (right endpoint) of interval (1.4) and K is the bound on f over C .

Note that the mapping

$$\widehat{M}_n(\cdot) \rightarrow \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint f_1(z) \widehat{M}_n(z) dz, \dots, -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint f_k(z) \widehat{M}_n(z) dz \right)$$

is continuous. Using Lemma 3.1, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

3.4. The proof of Lemma 3.1

According to Lemma 3.1 of Bai and Wang (2015), we know that the ESD of \mathbf{T}_{2n} tends to H_2 , which is an Arcsine distribution with density function

$$H_2'(t) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-t^2}}, \quad t \in (-1, 1).$$

And for given n , the certain $n - \tau + 1$ non zero eigenvalues of \mathbf{T}_{2n} are $\lambda_k = \cos \frac{k\pi}{n-\tau+2}$, $k = 1, \dots, n - \tau + 1$.

Applying Theorem 1.2 and mapping into our case, we have $f(x) = x^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 = \text{Cov}(X_f, X_f) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} z_1^2 z_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\alpha_x}{1-\alpha_x d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} + \kappa_x \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \right\} dz_1 dz_2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} z_1^2 z_2^2 \frac{1}{(1-d(z_1, z_2))^2} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2} dz_1 dz_2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} z_1^2 z_2^2 \frac{1}{1-d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{\partial^2 d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} dz_1 dz_2 \\ & \quad - \frac{\alpha_x^2}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} z_1^2 z_2^2 \frac{1}{(1-\alpha_x d(z_1, z_2))^2} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2} dz_1 dz_2 \\ & \quad - \frac{\alpha_x}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} z_1^2 z_2^2 \frac{1}{1-\alpha_x d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{\partial^2 d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} dz_1 dz_2 \\ & \quad - \frac{\kappa_x}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} z_1^2 z_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} dz_1 dz_2 \\ & \triangleq \mathcal{K}_1(1) + \mathcal{K}_2(1) + \alpha_x^2 \mathcal{K}_1(\alpha_x) + \alpha_x \mathcal{K}_2(\alpha_x) + \kappa_x \mathcal{K}_3. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} z_1^2 z_2^2 \frac{1}{(1-\alpha d(z_1, z_2))^2} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2} dz_1 dz_2$$

$$\mathcal{K}_2(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} z_1^2 z_2^2 \frac{1}{1 - \alpha d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{\partial^2 d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} dz_1 dz_2.$$

To begin with, we present more relations about $g_1(z)$ and $g_2(z)$. From (1.3), we deduce that

$$z = -\frac{\int \frac{y}{1+g_1(z)y} dH_2(y)}{g_2(z)} = -\frac{c \int \frac{x}{1+g_2(z)x} dH_1(x)}{g_1(z)} \quad (3.22)$$

which implies that

$$1 - \int \frac{1}{1 + g_1(z)y} dH_2(y) = c - c \int \frac{1}{1 + g_2(z)x} dH_1(x).$$

It follows that from the above equality

$$g_1(z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g_2(z) = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

It can be verified that from (1.3)

$$\frac{dg_1(z)}{dz} = \frac{c \int \frac{x}{(1+xg_2(z))^2} dH_1(x)}{z^2 - cd_3(z)d_4(z)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dg_2(z)}{dz} = \frac{\int \frac{y}{(1+yg_1(z))^2} dH_2(y)}{z^2 - cd_3(z)d_4(z)}. \quad (3.24)$$

This yields

$$\frac{\partial g_2(z)}{\partial g_1(z)} = \frac{\int \frac{y}{(1+yg_1(z))^2} dH_2(y)}{c \int \frac{x}{(1+xg_2(z))^2} dH_1(x)}. \quad (3.25)$$

Let $h_1(z) = y/(1 + g_1(z)y)$, $h_2(z) = x/(1 + g_2(z)x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(z) &= \int \frac{y}{(1 + yg_1(z))^2} dH_2(y) / \int \frac{x}{(1 + xg_2(z))^2} dH_1(x), \\ h_{11} &= h_1(z_1), \quad h_{12} = h_1(z_2), \quad h_{21} = h_2(z_1), \quad h_{22} = h_2(z_2), \\ g_{11} &= g_1(z_1), \quad g_{12} = g_1(z_2), \quad g_{21} = g_2(z_1), \quad g_{22} = g_2(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite

$$d(z_1, z_2) = \frac{c}{z_1 z_2} \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \quad (3.26)$$

Thus, we get from (3.22) and (3.25)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \frac{g_{11}}{z_2 \int h_{21} dH_1(x)} \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \\ &= \frac{c}{z_1 z_2 g_{11}} \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}}{y} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \\ &\quad - \frac{ch_3(z_1)}{z_1^2 z_2 g_{11}} \int h_{21}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{h_3(z_1)}{z_1 z_2} \int h_{21}^2 h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1}, \\
 \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_2} &= \frac{c}{z_1 z_2 g_{12}} \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11} h_{12}^2}{y} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & - \frac{c h_3(z_2)}{z_1 z_2^2 g_{12}} \int h_{22}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_1} \\
 & - \frac{h_3(z_2)}{z_1 z_2} \int h_{21} h_{22}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial^2 d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} \\
 &= \frac{c}{z_1 z_2 g_{11} g_{12}} \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}^2}{y^2} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & - \frac{c h_3(z_2)}{z_1 z_2^2 g_{11} g_{12}} \int h_{22}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}}{y} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & - \frac{h_3(z_2)}{z_1 z_2 g_{11}} \int h_{21} h_{22}^2 dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}}{y} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & - \frac{c h_3(z_1)}{z_1^2 z_2 g_{11} g_{12}} \int h_{21}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11} h_{12}^2}{y} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & + \frac{c h_3(z_1) h_3(z_2)}{z_1^2 z_2^2 g_{11} g_{12}} \int h_{21}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{22}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & + \frac{h_3(z_1) h_3(z_2)}{z_1^2 z_2 g_{11}} \int h_{21}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{21} h_{22}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & - \frac{h_3(z_1)}{z_1 z_2 g_{12}} \int h_{21}^2 h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11} h_{12}^2}{y} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & + \frac{h_3(z_1) h_3(z_2)}{z_1 z_2^2 g_{12}} \int h_{22}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{21}^2 h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2} \\
 & + \frac{h_3(z_1) h_3(z_2)}{c z_1 z_2} \int h_{21}^2 h_{22}^2 dH_1(x) \int h_{11} h_{12} dH_2(y) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial z_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathcal{K}_1(\alpha)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{K}_1(\alpha) \\
 &= - \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_2} \frac{1}{g_{11} g_{12} (1 - \alpha d(z_1, z_2))^2} \left(\int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \right)^2 \\
 & \quad \times \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}}{y} dH_2(y) \int \frac{h_{11} h_{12}^2}{y} dH_2(y) d g_{11} d g_{12} \\
 &= \frac{c^2}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{1}{g_{11}} \left(\int x h_{21} dH_1(x) \right)^2 \int h_{11}^2 dH_2(y) \int y h_{11} dH_2(y) d g_{11} d g_{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$=c^2 \left(\int x^2 dH_1(x) \right)^2 \left(\int y^2 dH_2(y) \right)^2 = \frac{c^2}{4} \left(\int x^2 dH_1(x) \right)^2.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \int y^2 dH_2(y) &= \int_{-1}^1 y^2 \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-y^2}} dy \\ &\stackrel{y=\cos \theta}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos^2 \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2 \theta d\theta \\ &\stackrel{s=e^{i\theta}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s|=1} \frac{(s^2+1)^2}{4s^3} ds = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.26), it follows that

$$\left. \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial g_{11}} \right|_{g_{11}=0} = -\frac{1}{z_2 \int x dH_1(x)} \int x h_{22} dH_1(x) \int y h_{12} dH_2(y).$$

and

$$\left. \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial g_{12}} \right|_{g_{12}=0} = -\frac{1}{z_1 \int x dH_1(x)} \int x h_{21} dH_1(x) \int y h_{11} dH_2(y)$$

Using (3.22) and (3.23), one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_2(\alpha) &= -\frac{c}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} \frac{z_1 z_2 g_{11}^{-1} g_{12}^{-1}}{1 - \alpha d(z_1, z_2)} \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}^2}{y^2} dH_2(y) dg_{11} dg_{12} \\ &= \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} \frac{z_1 g_{11}^{-1} g_{12}^{-2}}{1 - \alpha d(z_1, z_2)} \int h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \\ &\quad \times \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}^2}{y^2} dH_2(y) dg_{11} dg_{12} \\ &= -\frac{c^2}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \left[\frac{z_1 g_{11}^{-1}}{1 - \alpha d(z_1, z_2)} \int h_{22} dH_1(x) \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}^2}{y^2} dH_2(y) \right] \Big|_{g_{12}=0} dg_{11} \\ &= -\frac{c^2}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \frac{\alpha z_1}{g_{11}} \left. \frac{\partial d(z_1, z_2)}{\partial g_{12}} \right|_{g_{12}=0} \int x dH_1(x) \int x h_{21} dH_1(x) \int h_{11}^2 dH_2(y) dg_{11} \\ &\quad + \frac{c^2}{\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \frac{z_1}{g_{11}} \int x dH_1(x) \int x h_{21} dH_1(x) \int y h_{11}^2 dH_2(y) dg_{11} \\ &= \frac{c^2}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \frac{\alpha}{g_{11}} \left(\int x h_{21} dH_1(x) \right)^2 \int y h_{11} dH_2(y) \int h_{11}^2 dH_2(y) dg_{11} \\ &\quad - \frac{c^3}{\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \frac{\int h_{21} dH_1(x)}{g_{11}^2} \int x dH_1(x) \int x h_{21} dH_1(x) \int y h_{11}^2 dH_2(y) dg_{11} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= c^2 \alpha \left(\int x^2 dH_1(x) \right)^2 \left(\int y^2 dH_2(y) \right)^2 \\
 &\quad - 2c^3 \int xdH_1(x) \left[\int h_{21} dH_1(x) \int xh_{21} dH_1(x) \int y h_{11}^2 dH_2(y) \right] \Big|_{g_{11}=0} \\
 &= \frac{\alpha c^2}{4} \left(\int x^2 dH_1(x) \right)^2 + 4c^3 \left(\int xdH_1(x) \right)^2 \int x^2 dH_1(x) \int y^4 dH_2(y) \\
 &= \frac{\alpha c^2}{4} \left(\int x^2 dH_1(x) \right)^2 + \frac{3c^3}{2} \left(\int xdH_1(x) \right)^2 \int x^2 dH_1(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int y dH_2(y) = \int y^3 dH_2(y) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int y^4 dH_2(y) &= \int_{-1}^1 y^4 \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-y^2}} dy \\
 &\stackrel{y=\cos \theta}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos^4 \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^4 \theta d\theta \\
 &\stackrel{s=e^{i\theta}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s|=1} \frac{(s^2+1)^4}{16s^5} ds = 3/8.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the same methods, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{K}_3 &= -\frac{c}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} \frac{z_1 z_2}{g_{11} g_{12}} \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}^2}{y^2} dH_2(y) dg_{11} dg_{12} \\
 &= \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} \frac{z_1 \int h_{22} dH_1(x)}{g_{11} g_{12}^2} \int h_{21} h_{22} dH_1(x) \int \frac{h_{11}^2 h_{12}^2}{y^2} dH_2(y) dg_{11} dg_{12} \\
 &= \frac{c^2}{\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \frac{z_1 \int xdH_1(x)}{g_{11}} \int xh_{21} dH_1(x) \int y h_{11}^2 dH_2(y) dg_{11} \\
 &= 4c^3 \left(\int xdH_1(x) \right)^2 \int x^2 dH_1(x) \int y^4 dH_2(y) \\
 &= \frac{3c^3}{2} \left(\int xdH_1(x) \right)^2 \int x^2 dH_1(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\sigma^2 = \text{Cov}(X_f, X_f) = \frac{c^2(1 + \alpha_x^2)}{2} \left(\int x^2 dH_1(x) \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2} c^3 (\kappa_x + 2) \left(\int xdH_1(x) \right)^2 \int x^2 dH_1(x).$$

We are now in position to calculating $\mu = EX_f$. Using (3.24), it follows that

$$EX_f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{cd_3(z)d_4(z)}{g_1(z)} \int \frac{x}{(1+g_2(z)x)} dH_1(x) \left(\frac{\alpha_x}{1 - \frac{\alpha_x d_3(z)d_4(z)g_1^2(z)}{c \int x/(1+g_2(z)x) dH_1(x)}} + \kappa_x \right) dg_1(z)$$

$$=c(\alpha_x + \kappa_x) \int x^2 dH_1(x) \int y^2 dH_2(y) = \frac{c(\alpha_x + \kappa_x)}{2} \int x^2 dH_1(x).$$

Furthermore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & p \int x^2 dF^{c_n, H_{1n}, H_{2n}} \\ &= -\frac{p}{2\pi i} \oint_C z^2 m_n^0(z) dz = \frac{p}{2\pi i} \oint_C z \int \frac{1}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x} dH_{1n}(x) dz \\ &= \frac{pc_n^2}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{\left(\int \frac{x}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x} dH_{1n}(x) \right)^3 \int \frac{1}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x} dH_{1n}(x) \left[1 - \frac{(g_{1n}^0(z))^2}{c_n \left(\int \frac{x}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)} dH_{1n}(x) \right)^2} d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z) \right]}{g_{1n}^0(z)^3 \int \frac{x}{(1 + xg_{2n}^0(z))^2} dH_{1n}(x)} dg_{1n}^0(z) \\ &= \frac{pc_n^2}{2} \left[\left(\int \frac{x}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x} dH_{1n}(x) \right)^3 \int \frac{1}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x} dH_{1n}(x) \frac{1 - \frac{(g_{1n}^0(z))^2}{c_n \left(\int \frac{x}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z)} dH_{1n}(x) \right)^2} d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z)}{\int \frac{x}{(1 + xg_{2n}^0(z))^2} dH_{1n}(x)} \right] \Bigg|_{g_{1n}^0=0}^{(2)} \\ &= pc_n \left(\int x dH_{1n}(x) \right)^2 \int y^2 dH_{2n}(y) = pc_n \left(\int x dH_{1n}(x) \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\tau+1} \left(\cos \frac{k}{n-\tau+1} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{n-\tau}{2n} pc_n \left(\int x dH_{1n}(x) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This lemma is thus proved.

References

- Greg W. Anderson and Ofer Zeitouni. A CLT for a band matrix model. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 134(2):283–338, 2006. ISSN 01788051. . URL <http://www.springerlink.com/index/7EGB49JVCJ3X8FBR.pdf>.
- T. W. Anderson. *An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis, Second Edition*, Wiley, New York. 1983.
- Z. D. Bai and Y. Q. Yin. Limit of the smallest eigenvalue of a large dimensional sample covariance matrix. *The Annals of Probability*, 21(3):pp. 1275–1294, 1993. ISSN 00911798. URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2244575>.
- ZD Bai and YQ Yin. Necessary and sufficient conditions for almost sure convergence of the largest eigenvalue of a wigner matrix. *The Annals of Probability*, 16(4):1729–1741, 1988.
- Zhi Dong Bai and Jack William Silverstein. *Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices*. Springer, 2010.
- Zhi Dong Bai, Huiqin Li, and Guang Ming Pan. Central limit theorem for linear spectral statistics of large dimensional separable sample covariance matrices. 2018.
- Zhidong Bai and Jack William Silverstein. Clt for linear spectral statistics of large-dimensional sample covariance matrices. *The Annals of Probability*, 32(1A):553–605, 2004.

- Zhidong Bai and Chen Wang. A note on the limiting spectral distribution of a symmetrized auto-cross covariance matrix. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 96:333–340, 2015. ISSN 01677152. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2014.10.002>.
- Peter Bhlmann, Markus Kalisch, and Lukas Meier. High-dimensional statistics with a view toward applications in biology. *Annual Review of Statistics & Its Application*, 1(1):255–278, 2014.
- Donald L Burkholder. Distribution function inequalities for martingales. *the Annals of Probability*, 1(1):19–42, 1973.
- T. Tony Cai, Cun Hui Zhang, and Harrison H. Zhou. Optimal rates of convergence for covariance matrix estimation. *Annals of Statistics*, 38(4):2118–2144, 2010.
- Xiaohui Chen, Mengyu Xu, and Wei Biao Wu. Covariance and precision matrix estimation for high-dimensional time series. *Annals of Statistics*, 41(6):2994–3021, 2013.
- David L Donoho et al. High-dimensional data analysis: The curses and blessings of dimensionality. *AMS math challenges lecture*, 1(2000):32, 2000.
- Jianqing Fan, Yingying Fan, and Jinchi Lv. High dimensional covariance matrix estimation using a factor model. *Journal of Econometrics*, 147(1):186–197, 2008.
- Stuart Geman. A limit theorem for the norm of random matrices. *The Annals of Probability*, 8(2):252–261, 1980.
- Aldo Goia and Philippe Vieu. An introduction to recent advances in high/infinite dimensional statistics. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 146(2):1–6, 2016.
- Iain M. Johnstone. On the distribution of the largest eigenvalue in principal components analysis. *Annals of Statistics*, 29(2):295–327, 2001.
- Bo Li, Marc G Genton, and Michael Sherman. Testing the covariance structure of multivariate random fields. *Biometrika*, 95(4):813–829, 2008.
- A. Lytova and L. Pastur. Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of random matrices with independent entries. *Annals of Probability*, 37(5):1778–1840, 2009. ISSN 00911798. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aop/1253539857>.
- Vladimir Alexandrovich Marchenko and Leonid Andreevich Pastur. Distribution of eigenvalues for some sets of random matrices. *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, 114(4):507–536, 1967.
- Nicolai Meinshausen, Peter Bühlmann, et al. High-dimensional graphs and variable selection with the lasso. *The annals of statistics*, 34(3):1436–1462, 2006.
- Matthew W. Mitchell and Marcia L. Gumpertz. Spatio-temporal prediction inside a free-air co2enrichment system. *Journal of Agricultural Biological & Environmental Statistics*, 8(3):310–327, 2003.
- Nick Patterson, Alkes L. Price, and David Reich. Population structure and eigenanalysis. In *Plos Genetics*, pages 2074–2093, 2006.
- Debashis Paul and Jack W Silverstein. No eigenvalues outside the support of the limiting empirical spectral distribution of a separable covariance matrix. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 100(1):37–57, 2009.
- M. Shcherbina. Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of the Wigner and sample covariance random matrices. *Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry*, 7(2):176–192, 2011. ISSN 18129471. URL

<http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1007/s00184-008-0212-5>.

- Konstantin Tikhomirov. The limit of the smallest singular value of random matrices with i.i.d. entries. *Advances in Mathematics*, 284:1–20, 2015.
- Craig A Tracy and Harold Widom. Distribution functions for largest eigenvalues and their applications. *arXiv preprint math-ph/0210034*, 2002.
- S. Verdu. Spectral efficiency in the wideband regime. *Information Theory IEEE Transactions on*, 48(6):1319–1343, 2002.
- Yanqing Yin. No eigenvalues outside the limiting support of the spectral distribution of general sample covariance matrices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.03319*, 2018.
- Y.Q. Yin, Z.D. Bai, and P.R. Krishnaiah. On the limit of the largest eigenvalue of the large dimensional sample covariance matrix. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 78(4):pp. 509–521, 1988. ISSN 0178-8051. . URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00353874>.
- L. X. Zhang. *Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices*. PhD thesis, National University of Singapore, 2006.
- Shurong Zheng, Zhidong Bai, and Jianfeng Yao. Substitution principle for CLT of linear spectral statistics of high-dimensional sample covariance matrices with applications to hypothesis testing. *Annals of Statistics*, 43(2):546–591, 2015. ISSN 00905364. .
- Shurong Zheng, Zhidong Bai, and Jianfeng Yao. CLT for eigenvalue statistics of large-dimensional general Fisher matrices with applications. *Bernoulli*, 23(2):1130–1178, 2017a. ISSN 1350-7265. . URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.bj/1486177395>.
- Shurong Zheng, Zhidong Bai, Jianfeng Yao, and Hongtu Zhu. Clt for linear spectral statistics of large dimensional sample covariance matrices with dependent data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.03749*, 2017b.

4. Appendix

4.1. Estimation of I_1 and I_2

4.1.1. Estimation of I_1

To begin with, we see that from Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{jk}(w_{jk}) &= \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n)}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(\theta)} + \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} e^{ixS^0(\theta)} \\ &\quad + \frac{2xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^2 e^{ixS^0(\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\varphi_{jk}^{(2)}(w_{jk}) = \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n)}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(\theta)} + 2 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n)}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} e^{ixS^0(\theta)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{6xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n)}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{6xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} e^{ixS^0(\theta)} \\
 & - \frac{4x^2}{n^2} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^3 e^{ixS^0(\theta)} \\
 & \triangleq \mathcal{J}_{jk}^1 + \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 + \mathcal{J}_{jk}^3 + \mathcal{J}_{jk}^4 + \mathcal{J}_{jk}^5.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.6) and Lemma 4.4, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n)}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} du \\
 & = -\frac{2}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk}(u) du \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk}(u) du \\
 & \quad - \frac{2i}{n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk}(u) du.
 \end{aligned}$$

From Yin (2018), it can be seen that the moments of $\|\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}\|_2$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}\|_2$, and

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}\|_2 \quad (4.1)$$

are bounded. Using Lemma 4.7 and (4.1), it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{J}_{jk}^1 \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{2}{n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| \int_0^u \left| \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(s) \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(u-s) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} \right| ds du \\
 & \quad + \frac{6}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| \int_0^u \int_0^s \left| \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(s) \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(q) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left. [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(u-s-q) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} \right| dq ds du + \frac{2}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| \int_0^u \int_0^s \left| \sum_{j,k} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(s) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(q) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(u-s-q) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} \right| dq ds du \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{n^{1/4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|^2 |\widehat{f}(u)| du + \frac{C}{n^{1/4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|^3 |\widehat{f}(u)| du + \frac{C}{n^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|^3 |\widehat{f}(u)| du \leq Cn^{-1/4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 ,

$$\left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n)}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \sum_{d,l} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n}]_{jd} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{dl} [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{lj} du \\
 &= -\frac{2}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} (u) du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Due to Lemma 4.7 and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} E \mathcal{J}_{jk}^2 \right| &\leq \frac{4}{n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^u |u \widehat{f}(u)| \left| \sum_{j,k} E [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(s) \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(u-s) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} \right| ds du \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{n^{1/4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |\widehat{f}(u)| du \leq C n^{-1/4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For \mathcal{J}_{jk}^3 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \widehat{f}(\mathbf{G}_n)}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} du \\
 &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \sum_{d,l} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n}]_{jd} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{dl} [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{lk} du \\
 &= -\frac{1}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} (u) du \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} (u) du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.7, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} E \mathcal{J}_{jk}^3 \right| &\leq \frac{6|x|}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| |s \widehat{f}(s)| \left| \sum_{j,k} E [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(s) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} (u) \right| ds du \\
 &\quad + \frac{6|x|}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| |s \widehat{f}(s)| \left| \sum_{j,k} E [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(s) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} (u) \right| ds du \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{n^{1/4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s \widehat{f}(s)| ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 |\widehat{f}(u)| du \leq C n^{-1/4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.7, one gets

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} E \mathcal{J}_{jk}^4 \right| \leq \frac{6|x|}{n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| |s \widehat{f}(s)| \left| \sum_{j,k} E [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(s) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(u) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} \left| dt ds du \right. \\ & \leq \frac{C}{n^{1/4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s \widehat{f}(s)| ds \leq C n^{-1/4} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{F}_{jk}^5 \right| & \leq \frac{4x^2}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| |s \widehat{f}(s)| |t \widehat{f}(t)| \left| \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(t) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(s) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left. \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(u) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \right| ds du \\ & \leq \frac{C}{n^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u \widehat{f}(u)| du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s \widehat{f}(s)| ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t \widehat{f}(t)| dt \leq C n^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\mathcal{I}_1| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

4.1.2. Estimation of \mathcal{I}_2

Let \tilde{w} be a random variable which has the same distribution as w_{jk} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_2| & \leq \frac{|x|}{12n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \mathbb{E} |w'_{jk} w_{jk}^4| \mathbb{E} \sup_{\tilde{w}} |\varphi_{jk}^{(4)}(\mathcal{Q}\tilde{w})| \\ & \leq \frac{M|x|}{12n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\tilde{w}} |\varphi_{jk}^{(4)}(\mathcal{Q}\tilde{w})|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $w = \mathcal{Q}\tilde{w}$. It can be verified that from Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{jk}^{(3)}(w) & = \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^3 \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^3} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\ & + 3 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\ & + \frac{8xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\ & + \frac{16xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\ & + \frac{6xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^2 e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\ & + \frac{12xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{24x^2}{n^2} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^2 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{6xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj}^2 [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk}^2 e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & - \frac{24x^2}{n^2} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & - \frac{8x^3 i}{n^3} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^4 e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to obtain from the above equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{jk}^{(4)}(w) & = \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^4 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^4} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + 4 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^3 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^3} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{10xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^3 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^3} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{30xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{20xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{20xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{40xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{40xi}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk}^2 e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & - \frac{40x^2}{n^2} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^2 e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & - \frac{80x^2}{n^2} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^2 e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & - \frac{60x^2}{n^2} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^2 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & - \frac{60x^2}{n^2} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj}^2 [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk}^2 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & - \frac{120x^2}{n^2} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \\
 & \quad \times \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{80x^3 i}{n^3} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^3 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & -\frac{80x^3 i}{n^3} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^3 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & + \frac{16x^4}{n^4} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_{njkw}) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}^5 e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \\
 & \triangleq \left(\mathcal{Q}_{jk}^1 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^2 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^3 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^4 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^5 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^6 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^7 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^8 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^9 + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{10} + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{11} + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{12} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{13} + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{14} + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{15} + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^{16} \right) e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.6, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^1 &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^4 \mathbf{H}_{njkw}(u)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{jk}^4} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} du \\
 &= -\frac{24i}{n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk}^2 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} (u) du \\
 & \quad + \frac{144}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} \\
 & \quad \quad * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} (u) du \\
 & \quad + \frac{144}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} \\
 & \quad \quad * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} (u) du \\
 & \quad + \frac{240i}{n^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} \\
 & \quad \quad * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} (u) du \\
 & \quad + \frac{120i}{n^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} \\
 & \quad \quad * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_{njkw} \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} (u) du \\
 & \quad + \frac{24i}{n^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} \\
 & \quad \quad * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_{njkw} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} (u) du.
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.8, we see that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^1 e^{ixS^0(w,\theta)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|u|^3 + u^4 + |u|^5) \widehat{f}(u) du \rightarrow 0.$$

For \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^2 , by Lemma 4.5, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^2 &= 4i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^3 \mathbf{H}_{njkw}(u)}{\partial \mathbf{w}^3} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} du \\
 &= -\frac{96i}{n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk}^2 \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} (u) du \\
 & \quad + \frac{96}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} (u) du \\
 & + \frac{96}{n^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} \\
 & * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} (u) du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying Lemma 4.8 again, it yields that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^2 e^{i\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{S}^0(w,\theta)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2 + |u|^3) \widehat{f}(u) du \rightarrow 0.$$

The remaining terms are similar. Consequently, we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{I}_2| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

4.2. proof of Lemma 3.1

We start with two probability inequalities for extreme eigenvalues of \mathbf{S}_n . It is well known (see Bai and Silverstein (2004); Yin et al. (1988)) that for any $l, \eta_1 > (1 + \sqrt{c})^2$ and $\eta_2 < (1 - \sqrt{c})^2$

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\lambda_{\max} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^* \right) \geq \eta_1 \right) = o(n^{-l})$$

and

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\lambda_{\min} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^* \right) \leq \eta_2 \right) = o(n^{-l}).$$

Thus, letting

$$\eta_r \in \begin{cases} (0, x_r), & c \geq 1, \\ (\limsup_n s_1 \lambda_{\max}^{\Sigma_l} (1 + \sqrt{c})^2, x_r), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have for any $l > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S}_n) \geq \eta_r) = o(n^{-l}).$$

Likewise, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S}_n) \leq \eta_l) = o(n^{-l}).$$

where

$$\eta_l \in \begin{cases} (x_l, 0), & c \geq 1, \\ (x_l, \liminf_n s_n \lambda_{\min}^{\Sigma_l} I_{(0,1)}(c) (1 - \sqrt{c})^2), & \text{if } \liminf_n s_n \lambda_{\min}^{\Sigma_l} I_{(0,1)}(c) > 0, \\ (x_l, \liminf_n s_n \lambda_{\max}^{\Sigma_l} (1 + \sqrt{c})^2), & \text{if } \liminf_n s_n \lambda_{\min}^{\Sigma_l} I_{(0,1)}(c) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Here η_l, η_r, x_l, x_r can be chosen such that

$$x_r - \eta_r > 2\tau^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_l - x_l > 2\tau^2. \quad (4.2)$$

4.3. The limiting distribution of $M_{n1}(z)$

The aim of this part is to find the limiting distribution of $M_{n1}(z)$. That is to say, we show for any positive integer r , the sum

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j M_{n1}(z_j) \quad \Im z_j \neq 0$$

converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable. Since

$$\lim_{v_0 \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \left| \int_{C_l \cup C_r} f(z) M_{n1}(z) dz \right|^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

it suffices to consider $z = u + iv_0 \in C_u$.

Note that

$$m_n(z) = \frac{1}{p} \text{tr} (\mathbf{S}_n - z \mathbf{I}_p)^{-1} \triangleq \frac{1}{p} \text{tr} \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z).$$

Let $\mathbf{E}_0(\cdot)$ denote mathematical expectation and $\mathbf{E}_k(\cdot)$ denote conditional expectation with respect to the σ -field given by $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k$. By the formula

$$(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + q\boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*)^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - \frac{q\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}}{1 + q\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \quad (4.3)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{n1}(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \text{tr} \{ \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) - \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{E}_k - \mathbf{E}_{k-1}) \text{tr} [\mathbf{D}(z)^{-1} - \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{E}_k - \mathbf{E}_{k-1}) s_k \boldsymbol{\beta}_k(z) \mathbf{q}_k^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-2}(z) \mathbf{q}_k \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{E}_k - \mathbf{E}_{k-1}) s_k \boldsymbol{\beta}_k(z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_k(z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{E}_k - \mathbf{E}_{k-1}) s_k \boldsymbol{\beta}_k(z) \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-2}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\ &\triangleq \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

From the identity

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_k(z) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_k(z) = -\frac{1}{n} s_k \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_k(z) \boldsymbol{\beta}_k(z) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k(z), \quad (4.5)$$

we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k s_k \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_k(z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_k(z) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{E}_k - \mathbf{E}_{k-1}) s_k^2 \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_k(z) \boldsymbol{\beta}_k(z) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k(z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_k(z).$$

It is obvious from Lemma 0.1 for $l \geq 1$

$$\mathbf{E} |\boldsymbol{\beta}_k(z)|^l \leq C, \quad \mathbf{E} |\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_k(z)|^l \leq C. \quad (4.6)$$

By Lemma 4.9 and (4.6), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{n^4} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |(\mathbf{E}_k - \mathbf{E}_{k-1}) s_k^2 \widetilde{\beta}_k(z) \beta_k(z) \varepsilon_k(z) \gamma_k(z)|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{n^4} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{1/2} |\widetilde{\beta}_k(z) \beta_k(z)|^4 \mathbb{E}^{1/2} |\varepsilon_k(z) \gamma_k(z)|^4 \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{n^4} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{1/4} |\varepsilon_k(z)|^8 \mathbb{E}^{1/4} |\gamma_k(z)|^8 \leq C \eta_n^5 \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k s_k \widetilde{\beta}_k(z) \gamma_k(z) + o_p(1). \quad (4.7)$$

Using the same argument and

$$\beta_k(z) - \widetilde{\beta}_k(z) = -\frac{1}{n} s_k \widetilde{\beta}_k^2(z) \varepsilon_k(z) + \frac{1}{n^2} s_k^2 \beta_k(z) \widetilde{\beta}_k^2(z) \varepsilon_k^2(z), \quad (4.8)$$

one gets

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k s_k^2 \widetilde{\beta}_k^2(z) \varepsilon_k(z) \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-2}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) + o_p(1). \quad (4.9)$$

From (4.4), (4.7), and (4.9), we conclude that

$$M_{n1}(z) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k s_k \widetilde{\beta}_k(z) \gamma_k(z) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k s_k^2 \widetilde{\beta}_k^2(z) \varepsilon_k(z) \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-2}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) + o_p(1).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_k(z) &= -\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k s_k \widetilde{\beta}_k(z) \gamma_k(z) + \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{E}_k s_k^2 \widetilde{\beta}_k^2(z) \varepsilon_k(z) \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_k^{-2}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\
 &= -n^{-1} \frac{d}{dz} \mathbf{E}_k s_k \widetilde{\beta}_k(z) \varepsilon_k(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we only need to prove that $\sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(z_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j h_k(z_j)$ converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable. By Lemma 4.16, it suffices to verify condition (i) and (ii). It follows from Lemma 4.9 that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j h_k(z_j) \right|^4 \leq \frac{C}{n^4} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j^4 \left[\mathbb{E} |\gamma_k(z_j)|^4 + \mathbb{E} |\varepsilon_k(z_j)|^4 \right] \leq C \eta_n^2 \rightarrow 0$$

which implies that conditions (ii) of Lemma 4.16. The goal turns into finding a limit in probability of

$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{k-1} [h_k(z_1) h_k(z_2)] \quad (4.10)$$

for z_1, z_2 with nonzero fixed imaginary parts.

It is obvious that

$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) = n^{-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial z_1} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \left[\mathbf{E}_k \left(s_k \widetilde{\beta}_k(z_1) \varepsilon_k(z_1) \right) \mathbf{E}_k \left(s_k \widetilde{\beta}_k(z_2) \varepsilon_k(z_2) \right) \right].$$

Due to the analysis on page 571 in [Bai and Silverstein \(2004\)](#), it is enough to prove that

$$n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \left[\mathbf{E}_k \left(\widetilde{\beta}_k(z_1) \varepsilon_k(z_1) \right) \mathbf{E}_k \left(\widetilde{\beta}_k(z_2) \varepsilon_k(z_2) \right) \right]$$

converges in probability to a constant. Using (3.15), we get

$$\widetilde{\beta}_k(z) = \frac{1}{1 + s_k g_1(z)} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1).$$

Therefore, our goal is to find the limit in probability of

$$n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + s_k g_1(z_1))(1 + s_k g_1(z_2))} \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \left[\mathbf{E}_k \left(\varepsilon_k(z_1) \right) \mathbf{E}_k \left(\varepsilon_k(z_2) \right) \right].$$

Using the moments of the random variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \left[\mathbf{E}_k \left(\varepsilon_k(z_1) \right) \mathbf{E}_k \left(\varepsilon_k(z_2) \right) \right] &= \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_2) \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha_x \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{E}_k \left(\mathbf{D}'_k(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad + \kappa_x \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_2) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j + o(n) \\ &= \text{tr} \mathbf{E}_k \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \right) + \alpha_x \text{tr} \mathbf{E}_k \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_k(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad + \kappa_x \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j + o(n). \end{aligned}$$

Here \mathbf{T}_{1n} is real and $\check{\mathbf{D}}_k(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j < k} s_j \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j > k} s_j \check{\mathbf{q}}_j \check{\mathbf{q}}_j^* - z \mathbf{I}_p$ where $\check{\mathbf{q}}_j$ are an i.i.d. copy of \mathbf{q}_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$.

To begin with, we calculate the limit of the first term. From (3.15), one can find that

$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \left[z_1 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \right) - z_2 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \right) \right] \rightarrow z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$\beta_{jk}(z) = \frac{1}{1 + s_j g_1(z)} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1).$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \left[z_1 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \right) - z_2 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \left(z_1 \check{\mathbf{D}}_k(z_2) - z_2 \mathbf{D}_k(z_1) \right) \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \left((z_1 - z_2) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} s_j \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* + z_1 \sum_{j=k+1}^n s_j \check{\mathbf{q}}_j \check{\mathbf{q}}_j^* - z_2 \sum_{j=k+1}^n s_j \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \right) \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \right] \\
 &= \frac{(z_1 - z_2)}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} s_j \mathbf{E}_k \left(\beta_{jk}(z_1) \check{\beta}_{jk}(z_2) \mathbf{q}_j^* \check{\mathbf{D}}_{jk}^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=k+1}^n s_j \mathbf{E}_k \left(z_1 \check{\beta}_{jk}(z_2) \check{\mathbf{q}}_j^* \check{\mathbf{D}}_{jk}^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \check{\mathbf{q}}_j - z_2 \beta_{jk}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j^* \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\
 &= \frac{(z_1 - z_2)}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_j \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_{jk}^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)}{(1 + s_j g_1(z_1))(1 + s_j g_1(z_2))} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=k+1}^n \mathbf{E}_k \left(\frac{z_1 s_j \text{tr} \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_{jk}^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)}{1 + s_j g_1(z_2)} - \frac{z_2 s_j \text{tr} \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)}{1 + s_j g_1(z_1)} \right) + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1) \\
 &= \left[\frac{(z_1 - z_2)}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_j}{(1 + s_j g_1(z_1))(1 + s_j g_1(z_2))} + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=k+1}^n \left(\frac{z_1 s_j}{1 + s_j g_1(z_2)} - \frac{z_2 s_j}{1 + s_j g_1(z_1)} \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\beta}_{jk}(z) = \frac{1}{1+n^{-1}s_j\mathbf{q}_j^*\check{\mathbf{D}}_{jk}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{q}_j}$ when $j < k$ and $\check{\beta}_{jk}(z) = \frac{1}{1+n^{-1}s_j\check{\mathbf{q}}_j^*\check{\mathbf{D}}_{jk}^{-1}(z)\check{\mathbf{q}}_j}$ when $j > k$. From (1.3), it is obvious that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{1 + s_k g_1(z)} \rightarrow -z g_2(z) \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + s_k g_1(z_1))(1 + s_k g_1(z_2))} \rightarrow \frac{z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2)}{g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2)}.$$

Similar to the analysis of Page 20 in Bai et al. (2018), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \left[z_1 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \right) - z_2 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \right) \right] \\
 &= \left[\frac{(k-1)(z_1 - z_2)}{n^2} \left(z_2 g_2(z_2) - g_1(z_1) \frac{z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2)}{g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2)} \right) + \frac{n-k}{n^2} z_1 z_2 (g_2(z_1) - g_2(z_2)) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.11), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \\
 &= \frac{z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2)}{\left[\frac{(k-1)(z_1 - z_2)}{n} \left(z_2 g_2(z_2) - g_1(z_1) \frac{z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2)}{g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2)} \right) + \frac{n-k}{n} z_1 z_2 (g_2(z_1) - g_2(z_2)) \right]} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{[z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2)] / [z_1 z_2 (g_2(z_1) - g_2(z_2))]}{\left[\frac{k(z_1 - z_2)}{n z_1 z_2 (g_2(z_1) - g_2(z_2))} (z_2 g_2(z_2) - g_1(z_1) \frac{z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2)}{g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2)}) + \frac{n-k}{n} \right]} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1) \\
 &= \frac{[z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2)] / [z_1 z_2 (g_2(z_1) - g_2(z_2))]}{1 - \frac{k}{n} d(z_1, z_2)} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get the first probability

$$n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2 \mathbf{E}_k \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)}{(1 + s_k g_1(z_1))(1 + s_k g_1(z_2))} \rightarrow \int_0^{d(z_1, z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz.$$

Now, we are to compute the second term. It is also from Section 3.3.1 that

$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \left[z_1 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \right) - z_2 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) \right] \rightarrow z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Rewrite $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \left[z_1 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \right) - z_2 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) \right]$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \left[z_1 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \right) - z_2 \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \left(z_1 \check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) - z_2 \mathbf{D}_k(z_1) \right) \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} s_j \left(z_1 \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j \mathbf{q}'_j - z_2 \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \right) + \sum_{j=k+1}^n s_j \left(z_1 \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j \bar{\mathbf{q}}'_j - z_2 \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \right) \right) \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right] \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_j}{n^2} \mathbf{E}_k \left(z_1 \check{\beta}'_{jk}(z_2) \mathbf{q}'_j \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_{jk}(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j - z_2 \beta_{jk}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j^* \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{s_j}{n^2} \mathbf{E}_k \left(z_1 \check{\beta}'_{jk}(z_2) \bar{\mathbf{q}}'_j \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_{jk}(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j - z_2 \beta_{jk}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j^* \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_j}{n^2} \mathbf{E}_k \left(z_1 \check{\beta}'_{jk}(z_2) \mathbf{q}'_j \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_{jk}(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j - z_2 \beta_{jk}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j^* \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_{jk}(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\
 & \quad - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_j^2}{n^3} \mathbf{E}_k \left(z_1 \beta_{jk}(z_1) \check{\beta}'_{jk}(z_2) \mathbf{q}'_j \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_{jk}(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - z_2 \beta_{jk}(z_1) \check{\beta}'_{jk}(z_2) \mathbf{q}_j^* \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_{jk}(z_2) \right)^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j \mathbf{q}'_j \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_{jk}(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{s_j}{n^2} \mathbf{E}_k \left(z_1 \check{\beta}'_{jk}(z_2) \bar{\mathbf{q}}'_j \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}'_{jk}(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j - z_2 \beta_{jk}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j^* \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{jk}^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{s_j}{n^2} \left(\frac{z_1}{1 + s_j g_1(z_2)} - \frac{z_2}{1 + s_j g_1(z_1)} \right) \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) \\
 & \quad - \alpha_x \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_j^2 (z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2))}{n^2 (1 + s_j g_1(z_1))(1 + s_j g_1(z_2))} \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[\frac{z_1 z_2 (g_2(z_1) - g_2(z_2))}{n} - \alpha_x \frac{(k-1)(z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2))(z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2))}{n^2 (g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2))} \right] \\ \times \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1)$$

where $\check{\beta}'_{jk}(z) = \frac{1}{1+n^{-1}s_j \check{\mathbf{q}}_j'(\check{\mathbf{D}}_{jk}(z))^{-1} \check{\mathbf{q}}_j}$ when $j < k$ and $\check{\beta}'_{jk}(z) = \frac{1}{1+n^{-1}s_j \check{\mathbf{q}}_j'(\check{\mathbf{D}}_{jk}(z))^{-1} \check{\mathbf{q}}_j}$ when $j > k$. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \mathbf{E}_k \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right) = \frac{(z_1 g_1(z_1) - z_2 g_1(z_2)) / (z_1 z_2 (g_2(z_1) - g_2(z_2)))}{1 - \frac{k-1}{n} \bar{d}(z_1, z_2)} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1).$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_x n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{E}_k \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\check{\mathbf{D}}_k'(z_2) \right)^{-1} \right)}{(1 + s_k g_1(z_1))(1 + s_k g_1(z_2))} \rightarrow \int_0^{\bar{d}(z_1, z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz.$$

At last, we compute the limit of the third term. Applying the formula

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{UBV})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{BVA}^{-1} \mathbf{U})^{-1} \mathbf{BVA}^{-1}$$

and $\mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}$ is diagonal, it is obvious that

$$\mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \left(\mathbf{I}_p + g_2(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \quad (4.13)$$

is also diagonal. By (3.14), (3.15), and (4.12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\kappa_x}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2 \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{e}_j' \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_j' \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \check{\mathbf{D}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j}{(1 + s_k g_1(z_1))(1 + s_k g_1(z_2))} \\ &= \frac{\kappa_x}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2 \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{e}_j' \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(z_1) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_j' \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \check{\mathbf{R}}_k^{-1}(z_2) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j}{(1 + s_k g_1(z_1))(1 + s_k g_1(z_2))} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1) \\ &= \frac{\kappa_x}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2 \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{e}_j' \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \left(\mathbf{I}_p + g_2(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_j' \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \left(\mathbf{I}_p + g_2(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j}{z_1 z_2 (1 + s_k g_1(z_1))(1 + s_k g_1(z_2))} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1) \\ &= \frac{\kappa_x}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2 \mathbf{E}_k \text{tr} \left[\left(\mathbf{I}_p + g_2(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\mathbf{I}_p + g_2(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right]}{z_1 z_2 (1 + s_k g_1(z_1))(1 + s_k g_1(z_2))} + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1) \\ &= \frac{\kappa_x (z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2))}{z_1 z_2 (g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2))} \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left[\left(\mathbf{I}_p + g_2(z_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\mathbf{I}_p + g_2(z_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right] + o_{\text{a.s.}}(1) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{c \kappa_x (z_1 g_2(z_1) - z_2 g_2(z_2))}{z_1 z_2 (g_1(z_1) - g_1(z_2))} \int \frac{x^2}{(1 + g_2(z_1)x)(1 + g_2(z_2)x)} dH_1(x) = \kappa_x d(z_1, z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $M_{n1}(z)$ converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable $M_1(z)$ with zero mean and

$$\text{Cov} \left(M_1(z_1), M_1(z_2) \right) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial z_1} \left\{ \int_0^{\bar{d}(z_1, z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz + \int_0^{\alpha_x \bar{d}(z_1, z_2)} \frac{1}{1-z} dz + \kappa_x d(z_1, z_2) \right\}.$$

4.4. Tightness of $M_{n1}(z)$

Similar to Section 3.2 of [Bai et al. \(2018\)](#), one can show that

$$\sup_{n; z_1, z_2 \in C_n} \frac{\mathbb{E} |M_{n1}(z_1) - M_{n1}(z_2)|^2}{|z_1 - z_2|^2}$$

is finite.

4.5. Convergence of $M_{n2}(z)$

Denote $\underline{\mathbf{S}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_n^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}$. Since m_2 is replaced by n , we have the following relationship between the empirical distributions of \mathbf{S}_n and $\underline{\mathbf{S}}_n$

$$F^{\underline{\mathbf{S}}_n}(x) = c_n F^{\mathbf{S}_n}(x) + (1 - c_n) I_{[0, \infty)}(x),$$

and hence

$$\underline{m}_n(z) = c_n m_n(z) + z^{-1}(c_n - 1). \quad (4.14)$$

where $c_n = p/n$ and $\underline{m}_n(z) = m_{F^{\underline{\mathbf{S}}_n}}(z)$. Denote by \underline{F} the limiting distribution of $F^{\underline{\mathbf{S}}_n}$. Then F and \underline{F} must satisfy

$$\underline{F}(x) = cF(x) + (1 - c)I_{[0, \infty)}(x),$$

and

$$\underline{m}(z) = cm(z) - z^{-1}(1 - c) \quad (4.15)$$

where $\underline{m}(z) = m_{\underline{F}}(z)$.

Let $\mathbf{W}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) \mathbf{\Sigma}_1 - z \mathbf{I}_p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(z) &= \frac{1}{1 + s_j \mathbb{E} g_n(z)}, & b_j(z) &= \frac{1}{1 + s_j \mathbb{E} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{\Sigma}_1)} \\ \rho_j(z) &= \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \mathbb{E} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{\Sigma}_1), & g_n(z) &= \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{\Sigma}_1). \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that $\|\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\|$ is uniformly bounded on C_n (see [Bai et al. \(2018\)](#)). From [Bai et al. \(2018\)](#), we also know that

$$\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z)\|^l \leq C_l, \quad \mathbb{E} |\beta_j(z)|^l \leq C_l, \quad l \geq 1, \quad |b_j(z)| \leq C. \quad (4.16)$$

Let \mathbf{A} be $p \times p$ matrix whose spectral norm are uniformly bounded for $z \in C_n$. Applying Lemma 4.17 and (4.16), we have for $l \geq 4$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{q}_j - \mathbb{E} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}_1) \right|^l \\ & \leq C_l \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}_1) \right|^l + C_l \mathbb{E} \left| \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}_1) - \mathbb{E} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Sigma}_1) \right|^l \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C_l \mathbb{E} \left[\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(\bar{z}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \right]^{l/2} + C_l \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-3} \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(\bar{z}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{l/2} \\
 &\quad + C_l \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n (\mathbf{E}_k - \mathbf{E}_{k-1}) \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \right|^l \\
 &\leq C_l n^{l/2} + C_l \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-2} + C_l \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k \neq j=1}^n \left| \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) - \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} \\
 &\leq C_l n^{l/2} + C_l \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-2} + C_l \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n s_j^2 |\beta_{k_j}^2(z)| \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} \\
 &\leq C_l n^{l/2} + C_l \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-2} + \frac{C_l n^{l/2-1}}{n^l} \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n s_j^l \mathbb{E} \left(|\beta_{k_j}^l(z)| \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right|^l \right) \\
 &\leq C_l n^{l/2} + C_l \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-2} + \frac{C_l n^{l/2-1}}{n^l} \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{1/2} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right|^{2l} \\
 &\leq C_l n^{l/2} + C_l \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-2} + \frac{C_l n^{l/2-1}}{n^l} \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{1/2} \left| \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \right|^{2l} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C_l n^{l/2-1}}{n^l} \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{1/2} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_{k_j}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \right|^{2l} \\
 &\leq C_l \eta_n^{l-4} n^{l-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for $l \geq 4$

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \rho_j(z) \right|^l \leq C_l \eta_n^{l-4} n^{l-2} \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \right|^l \leq C_l \eta_n^{l-4} n^{l-2}. \quad (4.18)$$

It can be obtained from Bai et al. (2018) that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{C}_n} |\mathbb{E} g_n(z) - g_1(z)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{C}_n} \left| \varphi_k(z) - \frac{1}{1 + s_k g_{1n}^0(z)} \right| = o(1). \quad (4.19)$$

Write

$$\mathbf{D}(z) - \mathbf{W}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1.$$

Taking inverses and then expected value, we have

$$\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) - \mathbf{E} \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \quad (4.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \right] \\
 &= \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \beta_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace on both sides and dividing by -1 , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{n1}(z) &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \mathbf{E} \beta_j(z) \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \quad (4.21) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \mathbf{E} \beta_j(z) \left(\text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) - \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \mathbf{E} \left(\beta_j(z) - \psi_j(z) \right) \mathbf{E} \left(\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \\
 &\triangleq \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $d_{n1}(z) = p \left[\text{Em}_n(z) - \int \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) x - z} dH_{1n}(x) \right]$. Using

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_j(z) &= b_j(z) - \frac{1}{n} s_j \beta_j(z) b_j(z) \rho_j(z) \quad (4.22) \\
 &= b_j(z) - \frac{1}{n} s_j b_j^2(z) \rho_j(z) + \frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 \beta_j(z) b_j^2(z) \rho_j^2(z),
 \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{J}_1 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 b_j^2(z) \mathbf{E} \rho_j(z) \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 b_j^2(z) \mathbf{E} \beta_j(z) \rho_j^2(z) \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 b_j^2(z) \mathbf{E} \varepsilon_j(z) \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 b_j^2(z) \text{Cov} \left(\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right), \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 b_j^2(z) \mathbf{E} \beta_j(z) \rho_j^2(z) \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \\
 &\triangleq \mathcal{J}_{11} + \mathcal{J}_{12} + \mathcal{J}_{13}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Due to Lemma 4.18 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one finds

$$|\mathcal{J}_{12}| \leq \frac{C}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}^{1/2} \left(\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \right) \text{Var}^{1/2} \left(\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

Applying (4.16), (4.17), and (4.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_{13}| &\leq \frac{C}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{1/4} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right|^4 \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}^{1/2} |\beta_j^2(z)| \mathbb{E}^{1/4} |\rho_j^8(z)| \leq C \eta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^2 b_j^2(z)}{n^2} \mathbb{E} \varepsilon_j(z) \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) + o(1). \quad (4.23)$$

Note that from (4.22)

$$\begin{aligned} b_j(z) - \varphi_j(z) &= -\frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 b_j(z) \varphi_j(z) \mathbb{E} \left(\beta_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 b_j^2(z) \varphi_j(z) \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^3} s_j^3 b_j^2(z) \varphi_j(z) \mathbb{E} \left(\beta_j(z) \rho_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.17) and Lemma 4.17, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{n^3} s_j^3 b_j^2(z) \varphi_j(z) \mathbb{E} \left(\beta_j(z) \rho_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^3} \mathbb{E}^{1/4} |\beta_j(z)|^4 \mathbb{E}^{1/4} |\rho_j(z)|^4 \mathbb{E}^{1/2} \left| \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right|^2 \leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$b_j(z) - \varphi_j(z) = -\frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 b_j^2(z) \varphi_j(z) \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) + o(n^{-1}). \quad (4.24)$$

Therefore,

$$|b_j(z) - \varphi_j(z)| \leq \frac{C}{n} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right\| + o(n^{-1}) \leq \frac{C}{n}. \quad (4.25)$$

Together with (4.23), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \mathbb{E} \varepsilon_j(z) \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \right) \right) + o(1).$$

By (4.22), it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 &= -\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \mathbb{E} \beta_j(z) \mathbb{E} \left(\beta_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 b_j(z) \mathbb{E} \left(\beta_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 b_j(z) E(\beta_j(z) \rho_j(z)) E(\beta_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j) \\
 = & - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 b_j^2(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\
 & + \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 b_j^2(z) E(\beta_j(z) \rho_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j) \\
 & + \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 b_j(z) E(\beta_j(z) \rho_j(z)) E(\beta_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j) \\
 \triangleq & \mathcal{J}_{21} + \mathcal{J}_{22} + \mathcal{J}_{23}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.16), (4.22), and Lemma 4.17, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{J}_{22}| & \leq \frac{C}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n E^{1/4} |\beta_j^4(z)| E^{1/4} |\rho_j^4(z)| E^{1/2} |\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}} E^{1/2} \left[\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(\bar{z}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(\bar{z}) \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(\bar{z}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left| \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right|^2 \right] \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{J}_{23}| \leq \frac{C}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n E |\beta_j^2(z)| E^{1/4} |\rho_j^4(z)| E^{1/2} |\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Combining with the above two inequalities and (4.25), it yields that

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) + o(1).$$

From (4.22), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\beta_j(z) - b_j(z)) & = \frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 b_j^3(z) E \rho_j^2(z) - \frac{1}{n^3} s_j^3 b_j^3(z) E(\beta_j(z) \rho_j^3(z)), \\
 & = \frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 b_j^3(z) E \varepsilon_j^2(z) - \frac{1}{n^3} s_j^3 b_j^3(z) E(\beta_j(z) \rho_j^3(z)), \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 b_j^3(z) E \left[\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) - \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right]^2 \\
 \triangleq & \mathcal{J}_{31} + \mathcal{J}_{32} + \mathcal{J}_{33}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.16) and (4.17), one gets

$$|\mathcal{J}_{32}| \leq \frac{C}{n^3} E^{1/2} |\beta_j(z)|^2 E^{1/2} |\rho_j^6(z)| \leq \frac{C \eta_n}{n}.$$

It can be obtained from Lemma 4.18 that

$$|\mathcal{J}_{33}| \leq \frac{C}{n^2}.$$

Together with the above equality, (4.24), and (4.25), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\beta_j(z) - \varphi_j(z)) &= \frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 \varphi_j^3(z) \mathbb{E} \varepsilon_j^2(z) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n^2} s_j^2 \varphi_j^3(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) + o(n^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_3 &= -\frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 \varphi_j^3(z) \mathbb{E} \varepsilon_j^2(z) \mathbb{E}(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z))) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 \varphi_j^3(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \mathbb{E}(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z))) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Because of the above analysis and (4.19), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n1}(z) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \mathbb{E} \varepsilon_j(z) (\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z))) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 \varphi_j^3(z) \mathbb{E} \varepsilon_j^2(z) \mathbb{E}(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z))) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 \varphi_j^3(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \mathbb{E}(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z))) + o(1) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) (\mathbf{D}'_j(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_k) \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_x}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 \varphi_j^3(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}'_j(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \mathbb{E}(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z))) \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa_x}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^3 \varphi_j^3(z) \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_k)^2 \mathbb{E}(\text{tr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z))) + o(1) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^2}{(1 + s_j g_{1n}^0(z))^2} \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) (\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\kappa_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^2}{(1 + s_j g_{1n}^0(z))^2} \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_k \right) \\
 & - \frac{\alpha_x}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^3}{(1 + s_j g_{1n}^0(z))^3} \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \right) \right) \\
 & - \frac{\kappa_x}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^3}{(1 + s_j g_{1n}^0(z))^3} \sum_{k \neq j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_k \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \right) \right) + o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Write $M_{n2}(z)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p \left[\mathbb{E} m_n(z) - m_n^0(z) \right] \\
 & = d_{n1}(z) + p \left[\int \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) x - z} dH_{1n}(x) + z^{-1} \int \frac{1}{1 + g_{2n}^0(z) x} dH_{1n}(x) \right] \\
 & = d_{n1}(z) - p \left(\mathbb{E} g_n(z) - g_{1n}^0(z) \right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^2 \varphi_j(z)}{1 + g_{1n}^0(z) s_j} \\
 & \quad \times \int \frac{x}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) x - z \right) \left(z + z g_{2n}^0(z) x \right)} dH_{1n}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Below we first find the relation between $(\mathbb{E} m_n(z) - m_n^0(z))$ and $(\mathbb{E} g_n(z) - g_{1n}^0(z))$. Write $\mathbf{D}(z) + z\mathbf{I}_p = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{q}_k^*$. Multiplying by $\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)$ on the right-hand side and using (4.3), we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}_p + z\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k \beta_k(z) \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{q}_k^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z).$$

Taking the trace on both side and dividing by p , one gets

$$1 + z m_n(z) = c_n - \frac{c_n}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(z).$$

Together with (4.14), we have

$$\underline{m}_n(z) = -\frac{1}{zn} \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(z). \tag{4.27}$$

From (4.26) and (4.27), it implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{\mathbb{E} m}_n(z) & = -\frac{1}{zn} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(z) - \frac{\alpha_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 \varphi_k^3(z) \text{Etr} \left(\mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}'_k(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \\
 & \quad - \frac{\kappa_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 \varphi_k^3(z) \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}_k^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j \right)^2 + o(n^{-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\frac{1}{zn} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(z) - \frac{\alpha_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 \varphi_k^3(z) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\
 &\quad - \frac{\kappa_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 \varphi_k^3(z) \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \text{E}(\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j)^2 + o(n^{-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see from (4.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{\text{E}}m_n(z) - \underline{m}_n^0(z) &= (\text{E}g_n(z) - g_{1n}^0(z)) \frac{1}{zn} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{\alpha_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\
 &\quad - \frac{\kappa_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \text{E}(\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j)^2 + o(n^{-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{E}g_n(z) - g_{1n}^0(z) &= \left[\frac{1}{zn} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \right]^{-1} \left[\underline{\text{E}}m_n(z) - \underline{m}_n^0(z) \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{\alpha_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\kappa_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \text{E}(\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j)^2 \right] + o(n^{-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equalities with $M_{n2}(z) = p [\text{E}m_n(z) - m_n^0(z)] = n [\underline{\text{E}}m_n(z) - \underline{m}_n^0(z)]$, we conclude that from (4.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &p [\text{E}m_n(z) - m_n^0(z)] \tag{4.28} \\
 &= d_{n1}(z) - c_n zn \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2 \varphi_k(z)}{1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k} \int \frac{x}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k \varphi_k(z)x - z\right) (z + zg_{2n}^0(z)x)} dH_{1n}(x) \\
 &\quad \times \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \right]^{-1} \left[\underline{\text{E}}m_n(z) - \underline{m}_n^0(z) \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{\alpha_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\kappa_x}{zn^3} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \text{E}(\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j)^2 \right] \\
 &= (d_{n1}(z) + d_{n2}(z)) \left[1 - \frac{c_n}{z} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \right]^{-1} + o(1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n2}(z) &= \frac{c_n}{z} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x) \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \right]^{-1} \\ &\times \left[\frac{\alpha_x}{zn^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\kappa_x}{zn^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \text{E}(\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we show

$$1 - \frac{c_n}{z} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x) \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^2} \right]^{-1} \neq 0.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k}{(1 + g_1(z)s_k)^2} - \frac{c}{zn} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_1(z)s_k)^2} \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_2(z)x)^2} dH_1(x) \\ &= -zg_2(z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_1(z)s_k)^2} \left[g_1(z) + \frac{c}{z} \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_2(z)x)^2} dH_1(x) \right] \\ &= -zg_2(z) \left\{ 1 - \frac{c}{z^2 n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_1(z)s_k)^2} \int \frac{x^2}{(1 + g_2(z)x)^2} dH_1(x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $z = u + iv \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{c}{z^2} \int \frac{y^2}{(1 + g_1(z)y)^2} dH_2(y) \int \frac{x^2}{(1 + g_2(z)x)^2} dH_1(x) \right| \\ &\leq c \int \frac{y^2}{|1 + g_1(z)y|^2} dH_2(y) \int \frac{x^2}{|z + zg_2(z)x|^2} dH_1(x) \\ &= \frac{\Im(zg_2(z))}{\Im g_1(z)} \frac{\Im g_1(z) - cv \int \frac{x}{|z + zg_1(z)x|^2} dH_2(x)}{\Im(zg_2(z))} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

We are now in position to find the limit of $d_{n1}(z)$ and $d_{n2}(z)$. From (4.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) - \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) (\mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j (\beta_j(z) - \varphi_j(z)) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) - \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \right) \\
 & \triangleq \mathbf{G}_1(z) + \mathbf{G}_2(z) + \mathbf{G}_3(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathbf{M} be $p \times p$ matrix with a nonrandom bound on the spectral norm of \mathbf{M} for all parameters governing \mathbf{M} and under all realizations of \mathbf{M} . Applying (4.16) and (4.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} |\beta_j(z) - \varphi_j(z)|^2 & \leq \frac{C}{n^2} \mathbb{E} |\beta_j(z) \left(\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j - \mathbb{E} \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right)|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{n^2} \mathbb{E} |\beta_j(z) \rho_j(z)|^2 + \frac{C}{n^2} \mathbb{E} |\beta_j(z)|^2 \mathbb{E} |\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) - \mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{n}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{E} |\text{tr}(\mathbf{G}_2(z) \mathbf{M})| \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{1/2} |\beta_j(z) - \varphi_j(z)|^2 \mathbb{E}^{1/2} |\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{q}_j| \leq C n^{1/2}. \tag{4.31}$$

Form Lemma 4.17, we have

$$\mathbb{E} |\text{tr}(\mathbf{G}_3(z) \mathbf{M})| \leq \frac{C}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{q}_j| \leq C. \tag{4.32}$$

Furthermore, write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{D}'(z) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) \\
 & = \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{D}'(z) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) - \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{G}_1(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{D}'(z) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) + O(n^{1/2}) \\
 & = \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{D}'(z) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) + O(n^{1/2}) \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\left(\mathbf{D}'(z) \right)^{-1} - \left(\mathbf{D}'_j(z) \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \varphi_j(z) \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left[\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\left(\mathbf{D}'_j(z) \right)^{-1} - \left(\mathbf{D}'(z) \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right] \\
 & = \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{D}'(z) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) + O(n^{1/2}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j(z) \mathbb{E} \beta_j(z) \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{D}'_j(z) \right)^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j \mathbf{q}'_j \left(\mathbf{D}'_j(z) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j(z) \mathbb{E} \beta_j(z) \mathbf{q}'_j \left(\mathbf{D}'_j(z) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{D}'_j(z) \right)^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j \\
 & \triangleq \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{D}'(z) \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \right) + O(n^{1/2}) + r_1(z) + r_2(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.17 and (4.16), we have

$$E|r_2(z)| \leq C.$$

Together with the above inequality, (4.22), and (4.25), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) = \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) + O(n^{1/2}) \\ & + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \text{E} \mathbf{q}_j^* \mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z) \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}'_j(z))^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j \mathbf{q}'_j (\mathbf{D}'_j(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \mathbf{q}_j \\ & = \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) + O(n^{1/2}) \\ & + \frac{\alpha_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{D}_j^{-1}(z)\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}'_j(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) \text{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{D}'_j(z)\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) \\ & = \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) + O(n^{1/2}) \\ & + \frac{\alpha_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) \text{Etr}\left(\left(\mathbf{D}'(z)\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.29), (4.31), and (4.32), it follow that

$$\text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) = \frac{\text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right)}{1 - \frac{\alpha_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 \varphi_j^2(z) \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right)} + O(n^{1/2}).$$

Due to (4.12) and (4.19), we find

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) = \frac{c_n z^{-2} d_{n3}(z)}{1 - \alpha_x c_n z^{-2} d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z)} + o(1).$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) = \frac{-c_n z^{-3} \int x^2 / (1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^3 dH_{1n}(x)}{1 - \alpha_x c_n z^{-2} d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z)} + o(1)$$

where $d_{n3}(z) = \int \frac{x^2}{(1+g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x)$ and $d_{n4}(z) = \int \frac{y^2}{(1+g_{1n}^0(z)y)^2} dH_{2n}(y)$.

Therefore, it follow from (4.13) and (4.19)

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{n1}(z) \\ & = \frac{\alpha_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^2}{(1 + s_j g_{1n}^0(z))^2} \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) \\ & + \frac{\kappa_x}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^2}{(1 + s_j g_{1n}^0(z))^2} \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\mathbf{W}^{-2}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) \\ & - \frac{\alpha_x}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^3}{(1 + s_j g_{1n}^0(z))^3} \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1(\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) \text{Etr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-2}(z)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{\kappa_x}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{s_j^3}{(1 + s_j g_{1n}^0(z))^3} \text{Etr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \text{Etr}(\mathbf{W}^{-2}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) + o(1) \\
 &= - \frac{\alpha_x c_n d_{n4}(z)}{z^3} \frac{\int x^2 / (1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^3 dH_{1n}(x)}{1 - \alpha_x c_n z^{-2} d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z)} - \frac{\kappa_x c_n d_{n4}(z)}{z^3} \int \frac{x^2}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^3} dH_{1n}(x) \\
 & - \frac{\alpha_x c_n^2 d_{n3}(z)}{z^4 (1 - \alpha_x c_n z^{-2} d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z))} \int \frac{y^3}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y)^3} dH_{2n}(y) \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x) \\
 & - \frac{\kappa_x c_n^2 d_{n3}(z)}{z^4} \int \frac{y^3}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y)^3} dH_{2n}(y) \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x) + o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{n2}(z) &= \frac{c_n d_{n4}(z)}{z} \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x) \left[\int \frac{y}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y)^2} dH_{2n}(y) \right]^{-1} \\
 & \times \left[\frac{\alpha_x}{zn^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \text{Etr}(\mathbf{D}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 (\mathbf{D}'(z))^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\kappa_x}{zn^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{s_k^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)s_k)^3} \text{Etr}(\mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \mathbf{W}^{-1}(z) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \right] + o(1) \\
 &= \frac{\alpha_x c_n^2 d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z)}{z^4 (1 - \alpha_x c_n z^{-2} d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z))} \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x) \\
 & \times \int \frac{y^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y)^3} dH_{2n}(y) \left[\int \frac{y}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y)^2} dH_{2n}(y) \right]^{-1} \\
 & + \frac{\kappa_x c_n^2 d_{n3}(z) d_{n4}(z)}{z^4} \int \frac{x}{(1 + g_{2n}^0(z)x)^2} dH_{1n}(x) \\
 & \times \int \frac{y^2}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y)^3} dH_{2n}(y) \left[\int \frac{y}{(1 + g_{1n}^0(z)y)^2} dH_{2n}(y) \right]^{-1} + o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.28) and the above two equalities, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{n2}(z) & \rightarrow (d_1(z) + d_2(z)) \left[1 - \frac{cd_4(z)}{z} \frac{\int x/(1 + g_2(z)x)^2 dH_1(x)}{\int y/(1 + g_1(z)y)^2 dH_2(y)} \right]^{-1} \\
 & = - \frac{d_1(z) + d_2(z)}{zg_2(z)(1 - cz^{-2}d_3(z)d_4(z))} \int \frac{y}{(1 + g_1(z)y)^2} dH_2(y)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $d_1(z)$ and $d_2(z)$ are the limits of $d_{n1}(z)$ and $d_{n2}(z)$ respectively. By calculating, we find

$$\frac{d_1(z) + d_2(z)}{zg_2(z)} \int \frac{y}{(1 + g_1(z)y)^2} dH_2(y)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha_x}{1 - \alpha_x c z^{-2} d_3(z) d_4(z)} + \kappa_x \right) \left[\frac{c d_3(z) d_4(z)}{z^3} - \frac{c^2 d_3^2(z) d_4^2(z)}{z^5} + \frac{c d_5(z)}{z^4} + \frac{c^2 d_6(z)}{z^4} \right].$$

4.6. List of necessary lemmas

4.6.1. Lemmas that need to prove

Lemma 4.1. Let $1 \leq j \leq m_1, 1 \leq k \leq m_2$. Recall the definition of \mathbf{G}_n in (3.3). Then, for any $1 \leq a, b \leq p$, we have

$$\frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial w_{jk}} = \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{ab} = \frac{1}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{aj} [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{bk} + \frac{1}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{ak} [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{bj}.$$

Proof. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}_n' \mathbf{T}_{1n}' + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_n'}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n}' \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k' \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}_n' \mathbf{T}_{1n}' + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{e}_j' \mathbf{T}_{1n}'. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{ab} = \frac{1}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{aj} [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{bk} + \frac{1}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{ak} [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{bj}.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. Let $1 \leq j \leq m_1, 1 \leq k \leq m_2$. Recall the definition of $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$ in (3.4). Then for any $1 \leq d, l \leq p$

$$\frac{\partial h_{dl}}{\partial w_{jk}} = \frac{i}{n} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}_n' \mathbf{T}_{1n}' \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(t) + \frac{i}{n} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}_{1n}' \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(t)$$

where $h_{dl} = (\mathbf{H}_n(t))_{dl}$ and $f * g(t) = \int_0^t f(s)g(t-s)ds$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.11, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n(t)}{\partial w_{jk}} &= \sum_{a,b=1}^p \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n(t)}{\partial g_{ab}} \frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial w_{jk}} = \frac{i}{n} \sum_{a,b=1}^p \int_0^t e^{is\mathbf{G}_n} \mathbf{e}_a \mathbf{e}_b' e^{i(t-s)\mathbf{G}_n} ds \\ &\quad \times \left\{ [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{aj} [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{bk} + [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{ak} [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{bj} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h_{dl}}{\partial w_{jk}} &= \frac{i}{n} \sum_{a,b=1}^p h_{da} * h_{bl}(t) \left\{ [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{aj} [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{bk} + [\mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{ak} [\mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{bj} \right\} \\ &= \frac{i}{n} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}_n' \mathbf{T}_{1n}' \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(t) + \frac{i}{n} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}_{1n}' \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(t). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. Let $1 \leq j \leq m_1, 1 \leq k \leq m_2$. Recall the definitions of $S(\theta)$ in (3.4) and $\widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n)$ in (3.6). Then

$$\frac{\partial S(\theta)}{\partial w_{jk}} = \frac{2}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}.$$

Proof. By the inverse Fourier transform, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial S(\theta)}{\partial w_{jk}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(u) \operatorname{tr} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} du.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S(\theta)}{\partial w_{jk}} &= \frac{2i}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(u) \sum_{d=1}^p [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kd}(u) du \\ &= \frac{2i}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \widehat{f}(u) \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n(u) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} du \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{G}_n) \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.4. Let $1 \leq j \leq m_1, 1 \leq k \leq m_2, 1 \leq d, l \leq p$. Recall the definitions of $\mathbf{H}_n(u)$ in (3.4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \right]_{dl} &= \frac{2i}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n^2} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n^2} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n^2} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n^2} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \right]_{dl} \\ &= \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} \\ &= \frac{2i}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{n} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) + \frac{i}{n} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{kl}(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{i}{n} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) + \frac{i}{n} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & = \frac{2i}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{2}{n^2} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{kl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{2}{n^2} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{kl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{2}{n^2} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{2}{n^2} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.5. Let $1 \leq j \leq m_1$, $1 \leq k \leq m_2$, $1 \leq d, l \leq p$. Recall the definitions of $\mathbf{H}_n(u)$ in (3.4). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\frac{\partial^3 \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^3} \right]_{dl} \\
 & = - \frac{6}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{kl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{kl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{kl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{kl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{kl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{kj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{jj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{kk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u) \\
 & \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{jk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \right]_{jl} (u).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\frac{\partial^3 \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^3} \right]_{dl} = \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \\
& \quad + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \\
& = \frac{2i}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \frac{\partial [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} \\
& \quad + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{kl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} \\
& \quad + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{jl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}} \\
& = \frac{4i}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) + \frac{4i}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{jl}(u) \\
& \quad + \frac{2i}{n} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{kl}(u) + \frac{2i}{n} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}} \right]_{jl}(u) \\
& \quad + \frac{i}{n} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) + \frac{i}{n} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * \left[\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \right]_{kl}(u) \\
& \quad + \frac{i}{n} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) + \frac{i}{n} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * \left[\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w_{jk}^2} \right]_{jl}(u) \\
& = -\frac{6}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
& \quad - \frac{6}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
& \quad - \frac{6}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
& \quad - \frac{6}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
& \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
& \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
& \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
& \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
& \quad - \frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & -\frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & -\frac{6i}{n^3} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.6. Let $1 \leq j \leq m_1, 1 \leq k \leq m_2, 1 \leq d, l \leq p$. Recall the definitions of $\mathbf{H}_n(u)$ in (3.4). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\frac{\partial^4 \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^4} \right]_{dl} \\
 & = -\frac{24}{n^2} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk}^2 [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & -\frac{72i}{n^3} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & -\frac{72i}{n^3} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & -\frac{48i}{n^3} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & -\frac{72i}{n^3} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
 & -\frac{24i}{n^3} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
 & +\frac{24}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
 & +\frac{72}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & +\frac{72}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
 & +\frac{72}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & +\frac{72}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{kj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
 & +\frac{24}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u) \\
 & +\frac{24}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u) \\
 & +\frac{24}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\frac{\partial^4 \mathbf{H}_n(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^4} \right]_{dl} = \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial^3 [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^3} + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial^3 [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^3} \\
 & = \frac{2i}{n} [\mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} \frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u)}{\partial w_{jk}^2} + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial^2 \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \right]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl}(u)}{\partial w^2} \\
 & + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{dj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w}]_{kl}(u)}{\partial w^2} + \frac{i}{n} \frac{\partial^2 \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_n}{\partial w} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n} \right]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl}(u)}{\partial w^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{24}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{kk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n}]_{jj} * [\mathbf{T}_{2n} \mathbf{W}'_n \mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{kl} (u) \\
 & + \frac{24}{n^4} [\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{dk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{W}_n \mathbf{T}_{2n}]_{jk} * [\mathbf{T}'_{1n} \mathbf{H}_n]_{jl} (u).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.7. Suppose $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}$ are random matrices. Then we get for $j = 1, \dots, m_1, k = 1, \dots, m_2$

$$\left| \sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{A}_{jj} \mathbf{B}_{jk} \mathbf{C}_{kk} \right| \leq (\text{Etr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)^{1/2} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{B})^{1/4} [\mathbf{E} (\text{tr} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^*)^2]^{1/4}$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B}_{jk} \mathbf{D}_{jk} \mathbf{F}_{jk} \right| \leq \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{D}\|_2 (\text{Etr} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^*)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{A}_{jj} \mathbf{B}_{jk} \mathbf{C}_{kk} \right| & \leq \left(\sum_j \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{A}_{jj}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_j \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_k \mathbf{B}_{jk} \mathbf{C}_{kk} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq (\text{Etr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k_1, k_2} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{B}' \mathbf{B})_{k_1 k_2} \mathbf{C}_{k_1 k_1} \mathbf{C}_{k_2 k_2} \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq (\text{Etr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k_1, k_2} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{B}' \mathbf{B})_{k_1 k_2}^2 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{k_1, k_2} \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{C}_{k_1 k_1}|^2 |\mathbf{C}_{k_2 k_2}|^2 \right)^{1/4} \\
 & \leq (\text{Etr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)^{1/2} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{B})^{1/4} [\mathbf{E} (\text{tr} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^*)^2]^{1/4}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B}_{jk} \mathbf{D}_{jk} \mathbf{F}_{jk} \right| & \leq \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{B}_{jk}|^2 |\mathbf{D}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{B}_{jk}|^4 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{D}_{jk}|^4 \right)^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^*)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq \left[\sum_j \left(\sum_k \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{B}_{jk}|^2 \right)^2 \right]^{1/4} \left[\sum_j \left(\sum_k \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{D}_{jk}|^2 \right)^2 \right]^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^*)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{D}\|_2 \left[\sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{B}_{jk}|^2 \right]^{1/4} \left[\sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E} |\mathbf{D}_{jk}|^2 \right]^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^*)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{D}\|_2 (\text{Etr} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^*)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.8. Suppose $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ are random Hermitian matrices and $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}$ are $m_1 \times m_2$ random matrices. Then we get for $j = 1, \dots, m_1, k = 1, \dots, m_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{A}_{jj} \mathbf{B}_{jj} \mathbf{C}_{kk} \mathbf{D}_{kk} \mathbf{F}_{jk}| &\leq \left(\min \{ \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}), \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) \} \min \{ \text{rank}(\mathbf{C}), \text{rank}(\mathbf{D}) \} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{ABCD}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{FF}^*)^{1/2}, \\ \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{A}_{jj} \mathbf{C}_{kk} \mathbf{F}_{jk} \mathbf{L}_{jk} \mathbf{M}_{jk}| &\leq \left(\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \text{rank}(\mathbf{C}) \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{AC}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{F}\|_2 \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{L}\|_2 \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{M}\|_2 \\ &\quad \times (\text{Etr} \mathbf{FF}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{LL}^*)^{1/8} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{MM}^*)^{1/8}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk} \mathbf{L}_{jk} \mathbf{M}_{jk} \mathbf{Q}_{jk} \mathbf{R}_{jk}| &\leq \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{F}\|_2 \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{L}\|_2 \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{M}\|_2 \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{Q}\|_2 \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{R}\|_2 (\text{Etr} \mathbf{FF}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{LL}^*)^{1/4} \\ &\quad \times (\text{Etr} \mathbf{MM}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{QQ}^*)^{1/8} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{RR}^*)^{1/8}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 4.12, it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{A}_{jj} \mathbf{B}_{jj} \mathbf{C}_{kk} \mathbf{D}_{kk} \mathbf{F}_{jk}| &\leq \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{A}_{jj} \mathbf{B}_{jj} \mathbf{C}_{kk} \mathbf{D}_{kk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\text{Etr} (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{A}^* \circ \mathbf{B}^*) \text{tr} (\mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{C}^* \circ \mathbf{D}^*) \right)^{1/2} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{FF}^*)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\min \{ \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}), \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) \} \min \{ \text{rank}(\mathbf{C}), \text{rank}(\mathbf{D}) \} \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{ABCD}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{FF}^*)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\min \{ \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}), \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) \} \min \{ \text{rank}(\mathbf{C}), \text{rank}(\mathbf{D}) \} \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{ABCD}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} (\text{Etr} \mathbf{FF}^*)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{A}_{jj} \mathbf{C}_{kk} \mathbf{F}_{jk} \mathbf{L}_{jk} \mathbf{M}_{jk}| &\leq \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{A}_{jj} \mathbf{C}_{kk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk} \mathbf{L}_{jk} \mathbf{M}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\text{Etr} (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{A}^*) \text{tr} (\mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{C}^*) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk} \mathbf{L}_{jk} \mathbf{M}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \text{rank}(\mathbf{C}) \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{AC}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk}|^4 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{L}_{jk}|^8 \right)^{1/8} \left(\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{M}_{jk}|^8 \right)^{1/8} \\ &\leq \left(\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \text{rank}(\mathbf{C}) \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{AC}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \left[\sum_j \left(\sum_k \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk}|^2 \right)^2 \right]^{1/4} \left[\sum_j \left(\sum_k \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{L}_{jk}|^2 \right)^4 \right]^{1/8} \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_j \left(\sum_k \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{M}_{jk}|^2 \right)^4 \right]^{1/8} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \left(\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})\text{rank}(\mathbf{C})\text{E} \|\mathbf{AC}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{E}\|\mathbf{F}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{L}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 \left[\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk}|^2 \right]^{1/4} \left[\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{L}_{jk}|^2 \right]^{1/8} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{M}_{jk}|^2 \right]^{1/8} \\
 &\leq \left(\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})\text{rank}(\mathbf{C})\text{E} \|\mathbf{AC}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{E}\|\mathbf{F}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{L}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 (\text{Etr}\mathbf{FF}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr}\mathbf{LL}^*)^{1/8} \\
 &\quad \times (\text{Etr}\mathbf{MM}^*)^{1/8}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, \circ means Hadamard product. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk} \mathbf{L}_{jk} \mathbf{M}_{jk} \mathbf{Q}_{jk} \mathbf{R}_{jk}| &\leq \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk} \mathbf{L}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{M}_{jk} \mathbf{Q}_{jk} \mathbf{R}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk}|^4 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{L}_{jk}|^4 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{M}_{jk}|^4 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{Q}_{jk}|^8 \right)^{1/8} \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{R}_{jk}|^8 \right)^{1/8} \\
 &\leq \text{E}\|\mathbf{F}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{L}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{Q}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{R}\|_2 \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{F}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{L}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{M}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/4} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{Q}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/8} \left(\sum_{j,k} \text{E} |\mathbf{R}_{jk}|^2 \right)^{1/8} \\
 &\leq \text{E}\|\mathbf{F}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{L}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{Q}\|_2 \text{E}\|\mathbf{R}\|_2 (\text{Etr}\mathbf{FF}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr}\mathbf{LL}^*)^{1/4} (\text{Etr}\mathbf{MM}^*)^{1/4} \\
 &\quad \times (\text{Etr}\mathbf{QQ}^*)^{1/8} (\text{Etr}\mathbf{RR}^*)^{1/8}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.9. Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{jk})$ be a $p \times p$ nonrandom matrix and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{m_1})'$ be a random vector of independent entries. Assume that $\text{E}x_j = 0$, $\text{E}|x_j|^2 = 1$, $\sup_j \text{E}|x_j|^6 \leq M$, and $|x_j| \leq \eta_n \sqrt{n}/t_j$, $p/n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty)$. Here t_j is defined in Section 2. Then for any $l > 3$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{E}|\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{x} - \text{tr} \mathbf{A} \Sigma_1|^l \leq C_l \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l$$

where C_l is a constant depending on l only and $\Sigma_1 = \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{T}_{1n}^*$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{H} = (h_{jk}) = \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}_{1n}$, we have

$$\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} - \text{tr} \mathbf{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} h_{jj} (|x_j|^2 - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j + h_{jk} \bar{x}_j x_k).$$

At first, we deduce

$$|h_{jk}| = |\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_k| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| \sqrt{\mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_j} \sqrt{\mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{T}_{1n}^* \mathbf{T}_{1n} \mathbf{e}_k} = t_{j,t_k} \|\mathbf{A}\|$$

where \mathbf{e}_j is a vector with the j -th element 1 and the remaining elements zero.

Now, assume $1 < l \leq 3$. By Lemma 4.13 and Lemma 4.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} h_{jj} (|x_j|^2 - 1) \right|^l &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} |h_{jj}|^2 (|x_j|^2 - 1)^2 \right]^{l/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} |h_{jj}|^l \mathbb{E} |x_j|^2 - 1|^l \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{\cdot j}^{2l} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{\cdot j}^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \leq C n \|\mathbf{A}\|^l. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the Holder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j + h_{jk} \bar{x}_j x_k) \right|^l &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j + h_{jk} \bar{x}_j x_k) \right|^2 \right]^{l/2} \\ &\leq C \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (|h_{kj}|^2 + |h_{jk}|^2) \right]^{l/2} \leq C [\text{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^*]^{l/2} \leq C n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities above, we obtain for $1 < l \leq 3$

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} - \text{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^*|^l \leq C (n + n^{l/2}) \|\mathbf{A}\|^l. \quad (4.33)$$

We shall proceed with the proof of the lemma by induction on l . And consider the case $3 < l \leq 9$. Using Lemma 4.14 and Lemma 4.15,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} h_{jj} (|x_j|^2 - 1) \right|^l \\ &\leq C \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} |h_{jj}|^2 \mathbb{E} (|x_j|^2 - 1)^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} |h_{jj}|^l \mathbb{E} (|x_j|^2 - 1)^l \right] \\ &\leq C \left[(\text{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^*)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{\cdot j}^{2l} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \mathbb{E} |x_j|^{2l} \right] \leq C \left[n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{\cdot j}^{2l} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \frac{\eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-3}}{t_{\cdot j}^{2l-6}} \mathbb{E} |x_j|^6 \right] \\ &\leq C \left[n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-3} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{\cdot j}^6 \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \leq C \left[n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \leq C \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l. \end{aligned}$$

For the same reason, with notation $\mathbb{E}_j(\cdot)$ for the conditional expectation given $\{x_1, \dots, x_j\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j \right|^l \\ &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E}_{j-1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j \right|^l \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \mathbb{E}_{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |h_{kj}|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |h_{kj}|^l \mathbb{E} |x_k|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left((\mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{H})_{jj} \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} t_{j,k}^l \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \frac{\eta_n^{l-3} n^{l/2-3/2}}{t_{j,k}^{l-3}} \right] \\
 &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E} (\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{x})^{l/2} + \text{tr} (\mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{H})^{l/2} + \eta_n^{l-3} n^{l/2+1/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C \left[(n + n^{l/4}) \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \leq C \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is from (4.33) with \mathbf{H} replaced by $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^*$. Together with the two inequalities above, we conclude for $3 < l \leq 9$

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} - \text{tr} \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^*|^l \leq C \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l.$$

In the following, consider the case $3^\theta < l \leq 3^{\theta+1}$ with $\theta \geq 2$. Likewise, using Lemma 4.14 and Lemma 4.15, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} h_{jj} (|x_j|^2 - 1) \right|^l \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} |h_{jj}|^2 \mathbb{E} (|x_j|^2 - 1)^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} |h_{jj}|^l \mathbb{E} (|x_j|^2 - 1)^l \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[(\text{tr} \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^*)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{j,j}^{2l} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \mathbb{E} |x_j|^{2l} \right] \leq C_l \left[n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{j,j}^{2l} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \frac{\eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-3}}{t_{j,j}^{2l-6}} \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-3} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{j,j}^6 \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \leq C \left[n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \leq C \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l.
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j \right|^l \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E}_{j-1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k x_j \right|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} |x_j|^l \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^l \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \mathbb{E}_{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} |x_j|^l \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |h_{kj}|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} |x_j|^l \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |h_{kj}|^l \mathbb{E} |x_k|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} |x_j|^l t_{.j}^l \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_1} t_{.k}^2 \right)^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} |x_j|^l t_{.j}^l \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \mathbb{E} |x_k|^l t_{.k}^l \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + n^{l/2} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} |x_j|^l t_{.j}^l \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathbb{E} |x_j|^l t_{.j}^l \right)^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + n^{l/2} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \frac{\eta_n^{l-6} n^{l/2-3}}{t_{.j}^{l-6}} t_{.j}^l \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \frac{\eta_n^{l-6} n^{l/2-3}}{t_{.j}^{l-6}} t_{.j}^l \right)^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} h_{kj} \bar{x}_k \right|^2 \right)^{l/2} + \eta_n^{l-6} n^{l-3} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{.j}^6 \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \left(\eta_n^{l-6} n^{l/2-3} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} t_{.j}^6 \right)^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} (\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{x})^{l/2} + \eta_n^{l-6} n^{l-2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + \eta_n^{2l-12} n^{l-4} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} (\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{x})^{l/2} + \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis with \mathbf{H} replaced by $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^*$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} (\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{x})^{l/2} &\leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} |\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{x} - \text{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^*|^{l/2} + (\text{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^*)^{l/2} \right] \\
 &\leq C_l \left[\eta_n^{l-6} n^{l/2-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l + n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l \right] \leq C_l n^{l/2} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} - \text{tr} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^*|^l \leq C_l \eta_n^{2l-6} n^{l-1} \|\mathbf{A}\|^l.$$

□

4.6.2. Existing Lemmas

Lemma 4.10 (Theorem A.37 in Bai and Silverstein (2010) Bai and Silverstein (2010)).

If \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are two $n \times p$ matrices and λ_k and $\delta_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, denote their singular values, then

$$\min_{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k - \delta_{\pi(k)}|^2 \leq \text{tr} [(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B})^*] \leq \max_{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k - \delta_{\pi(k)}|^2.$$

If the singular values are arranged in descending order, then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\nu} |\lambda_k - \delta_k|^2 \leq \text{tr} [(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B})^*],$$

where $\nu = \min\{p, n\}$.

Lemma 4.11 (Duhamel formula). Let $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$ be $n \times n$ matrices and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$e^{(\mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{M}_2)t} = e^{\mathbf{M}_1 t} + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{M}_1(t-s)} \mathbf{M}_2 e^{(\mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{M}_2)s} ds.$$

Moreover, if $\mathbf{A}(t)$ is a matrix-valued function of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that is C^∞ in the sense that each matrix element $[\mathbf{A}(t)]_{jk}$ is C^∞ . Then

$$\frac{de^{\mathbf{A}(t)}}{dt} = \int_0^1 e^{s\mathbf{A}(t)} \mathbf{A}'(t) e^{(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t)} ds.$$

Lemma 4.12 (Corollary A.22 in Bai and Silverstein (2010) [Bai and Silverstein \(2010\)](#)). Suppose that $\mathbf{A}_j, j = 1, \dots, l$ are $l \times l$ Hermitian matrices whose eigenvalues are bounded by M_j . Then

$$|\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{A}_l)| \leq \min\{\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}_1), \dots, \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}_l)\} M_1 \cdots M_l.$$

Lemma 4.13 (Burkholder (1973) [Burkholder \(1973\)](#)). Let $\{X_k\}$ be a complex martingale difference sequence with respect to the increasing σ -field $\{\mathcal{F}_k\}$. Then, for $l > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \sum X_k \right|^l \leq C_l \mathbb{E} \left(\sum |X_k|^2 \right)^{l/2}.$$

Lemma 4.14 (Burkholder (1973) [Burkholder \(1973\)](#)). Let $\{X_k\}$ be a complex martingale difference sequence with respect to the increasing σ -field $\{\mathcal{F}_k\}$. Then, for $l \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \sum X_k \right|^l \leq C_l \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\sum |X_k|^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right)^{l/2} \right) + \sum \mathbb{E} |X_k|^l \right].$$

Lemma 4.15 ((3.3.41) of Horn and Johnson (1991) ?). For $n \times n$ Hermitian $\mathbf{A} = (a_{jk})$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, and convex function $f(\cdot)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{jj}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n f(\lambda_j).$$

Lemma 4.16 (Lemma 2.4 in [Bai and Silverstein \(2004\)](#)). Suppose for each n $Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, \dots, Y_{nr_n}$ is a real martingale difference sequence with respect to the increasing σ -field $\{\mathcal{F}_{nj}\}$ having second moments. If as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(i) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{E}(Y_{nj}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,j-1}) \xrightarrow{i.p.} \sigma^2,$$

where σ^2 is a positive constant, and for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{E}(Y_{nj}^2 I(|Y_{nj}| \geq \varepsilon)) \rightarrow 0,$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} Y_{nj} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2).$$

Lemma 4.17 (Lemma B.26 in [Bai and Silverstein \(2004\)](#)). Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{jk})$ be an $n \times n$ nonrandom matrix and $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$ be a random vector of independent entries. Assume that $E x_j = 0$, $E |x_j|^2 = 1$ and $E |x_j|^l \leq \nu_l$. Then for $p \geq 1$,

$$E |\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} - \text{tr} \mathbf{A}|^p \leq C_p \left[(\nu_4 \text{tr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)^{p/2} + \nu_{2p} \text{tr} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)^{p/2} \right]$$

where C_p is a constant depending on p only.

Lemma 4.18 (Inequality (4.8) in [Bai and Silverstein \(2004\)](#)). Let \mathbf{M} be $N \times N$ nonrandom matrix, we find for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$E \left| \text{tr} \mathbf{D}_j^{-1} \mathbf{M} - E \text{tr} \mathbf{D}_j^{-1} \mathbf{M} \right|^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{M}\|^2$$