Merits of using density matrices instead of wave functions in the stationary Schrödinger equation for systems with symmetries

E Shpagina^{1,2}, F Uskov¹, N Il'in¹, O Lychkovskiy^{1,3}

¹ Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Nobel street 3, Moscow 121205, Russia 2 Bauman Moscow State Technical University, 2nd Baumanskaya str., 5, Moscow 105005, Russia

³Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Gubkina str., 8, Moscow 119991, Russia

E-mail: LeShpagina@yandex.ru

Abstract. The stationary Schrödinger equation can be cast in the form $H\rho = E\rho$, where H is the system's Hamiltonian and ρ is the system's density matrix. We explore the merits of this form of the stationary Schrödinger equation, which we refer to as SSE_{ρ} , applied to many-body systems with symmetries. For a nondegenerate energy level, the solution ρ of the SSE_o is merely a projection on the corresponding eigenvector. However, in the case of degeneracy ρ in nonunique and not necessarily pure. In fact, it can be an arbitrary mixture of the degenerate pure eigenstates. Importantly, ρ can always be chosen to respect all symmetries of the Hamiltonian, even if each pure eigenstate in the corresponding degenerate multiplet spontaneously breaks the symmetries. This and other features of the solutions of the SSE_o can prove helpful by easing the notations and providing an unobscured insight into the structure of the eigenstates. We work out the SSE_{ρ} for the system of spins $1/2$ with Heisenberg interactions. Eigenvalue problem for quantum observables other than Hamiltonian can also be formulated in terms of density matrices. We provide an analytical solution to one of them, $S^2 \rho = S(S+1)\rho$, where S is the total spin of N spins $1/2$, and ρ is chosen to be invariant under permutations of spins. This way we find an explicit form of projections to the invariant subspaces of S^2 . Finally, we note that the anti-Hermitian part of the SSE_o can be used to construct sum rules for temperature correlation functions, and provide an example of such sum rule.

1. General properties of the Stationary Schrödinger equation for density matrices The conventional form of the stationary Schrödinger equation (which we refer to as SSE_{Ψ}) reads

$$
H|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle\,,\tag{1}
$$

where H is the Hamiltonian of a quantum system, E is its eigenenergy and $|\Psi\rangle$ is the corresponding eigenvector. Obviously, this equation implies an operator identity $H | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi | =$ $E|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$, where $|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ is the projection onto the eigenvector $|\Psi\rangle$. One can extend this identity by considering an arbitrary density matrix ρ instead of a projection operator. This way one obtains the stationary Schrödinger equation for density matrices:

$$
H\rho = E\rho. \tag{2}
$$

In the present contribution we explore the properties and the merits of this equation which we refer to as SSE_{ρ} . Our studies are somewhat close in spirit to the research avenue on the contracted Schrödinger equation, see e.g. [\[1\]](#page-6-0) and references therein. Some important differences will be discussed below when we apply the SSE_{ρ} to a particular spin system in Section [2.](#page-2-0)

We remind that a density matrix should satisfies three conditions,

$$
\rho^{\dagger} = \rho, \quad \text{tr}\,\rho = 1, \quad \rho > 0. \tag{3}
$$

The following relations between the SSE_{Ψ} and SSE_{ρ} follow immediately.

- (i) Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2\)](#page-0-1) share the same set of eigenvalues E .
- (ii) If a given eigenvalue E is nondegenerate, then the corresponding $|\Psi\rangle$ and ρ are related according to $\rho = |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|$.
- (iii) In the case of degeneracy any solution of the SSE_{ρ} reads

$$
\rho = \sum_{i} p_i \left| \Psi_i \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_i \right|, \quad p_i \ge 0, \quad \sum_{i} p_i = 1,\tag{4}
$$

where vectors $|\Psi_i\rangle$ constitute a basis in the corresponding degenerate subspace of SSE_{Ψ} .

Properties (ii) implies that in the nondegenerate case SSE_{Ψ} and SSE_{o} are, in fact, identical up to notations (however, even in this case SSE_{ρ} can be more convenient compared to SSE_{Ψ} , in particular for spin systems, see e.g. [\[3\]](#page-6-1)). An important advantage of the SSE_o shows up in the case of a degeneracy induced by some symmetry of the Hamiltonian. Assume that the Hamiltonian is symmetric under some symmetry group G , i.e.

$$
U H U^{\dagger} = H \qquad \forall \ \ U \in G,\tag{5}
$$

where U is a unitary operator. In this case the eigenbasis of H is split into blocks which determine degenerate subspaces invariant under the group G . As a rule, a *spontaneous symmetry* breaking phenomenon occurs in some of this subspaces, which means that any eigen basis in such a subspace contains eigenvectors not invariant with respect to G . This phenomenon can cause various inconveniences. In particular, it obscures calculations of the correlation functions invariant with respect to G. In contrast to SSE_{Ψ} , one can always avoid the spontaneous symmetry breaking in the solutions of SSE_{ρ} , according to the following

Lemma. For any eigenvalue E there exists a density matrix ρ_G which is a solution of eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-1) and is invariant under the symmetry group G (G -invariant, for short), i.e. satisfies

$$
U \rho_G U^{\dagger} = \rho_G \qquad \forall \ \ U \in G. \tag{6}
$$

Proof. Consider a (not necessarily G-invariant) density matrix ρ which is a solution of eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-1) corresponding to a given E. A G-invariant solution ρ_G can be obtained from ρ by averaging over the group G with the Haar measure $d\mu(U)$:

$$
\rho_G = \int_G U \rho U^{\dagger} d\mu(U), \qquad U \in G,\tag{7}
$$

where the normalization condition $\int_G 1 d\mu(U) = 1$ is implied. It is easy to see that thus obtained ρ_G indeed is a legitimate density matrix and is invariant under the group G , i.e. satisfies conditions [\(3\)](#page-1-0) and [\(6\)](#page-1-1), respectively. \Box

In fact, the G-invariant density matrix ρ_G constructed in the above proof has a simple explicit form

$$
\rho_G = \frac{1}{d_E} \sum_{i=1}^{d_E} |\Psi_i\rangle \langle \Psi_i| \,,\tag{8}
$$

where d_E is the dimension of the corresponding invariant subspace and $\{|\Psi_i\rangle\}, i = 1, 2, ..., d_E$ is an orthonormal basis in this subspace.

It should be stressed that equation [\(2\)](#page-0-1) has solutions which do not satisfy conditions [\(3\)](#page-1-0) and thus are not legitimate density matrices. Most importantly, in the degenerate case the positivity condition can be nontrivially violated. For example, if $|\Psi_1\rangle$ and $|\Psi_2\rangle$ are two orthogonal eigenvectors corresponding to the same eigenenergy E, then the operator $\left(\frac{4}{2}\right)$ $\frac{4}{3}|\Psi_1\rangle\langle\Psi_1|-\frac{1}{3}|\Psi_2\rangle\langle\Psi_2|\right)$ satisfies [\(2\)](#page-0-1) but violates the positivity condition in [\(3\)](#page-1-0). Moreover, it can not be turned into a density matrix by mere multiplication by any number.

However, if one imposes a requirement that the solution of the SSE_o is Hermitian and Ginvariant, then one automatically obtains a solution which has eigenvalues of the same sign, and thus can be turned into a density matrix by multiplication by a number. This means that if G-invariance is imposed, one should care only on normalization, the positivity coming "for free". This turns out to be very practical, as will be seen in what follows.

The left hand side of the SSE_{ρ} can be decomposed into Hermitian and anti-Hermitian parts, $H\rho = (\{H, \rho\} + [H, \rho])/2$. The anti-Hermitian part should vanish when ρ a solution, which gives what is known as an anti-Hermitian stationary Schrodinger equation [\[5\]](#page-6-2),

$$
[H,\rho] = 0.\tag{9}
$$

This equation does not contain E and effectively reduces the manifold of candidate solutions of the SSE_{ρ} . It can be used to derive nontrivial sum rules for correlation functions, as will be exemplified in Section [4.](#page-5-0)

We conclude this section by stressing that we consider the SSE_ρ as a formal tool for obtaining the spectrum of quantum many-body Hamiltonians, their invariant subspaces and the density matrices of the form [\(4\)](#page-1-2). We do not discuss whether and under what conditions it is possible to actually prepare quantum systems in mixed states described by the solutions of eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-1).

2. System of spins 1/2 with the Heisenberg interaction

In the present section we specialise the SSE_{ρ} for a system of N spins with the Heisenberg interaction. The Hamiltonian of this system reads

$$
H = \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \left(\sigma_i \sigma_j \right), \qquad i, j = 1, 2, ..., N,
$$
\n
$$
(10)
$$

where σ_i is the vector consisting of three Pauli matrices of the *i*'th spin, J_{ij} is the coupling constant between i'th and j'th spins and $(\sigma_i \sigma_j)$ is the corresponding scalar product of sigmamatrices. This Hamiltonian is invariant with respect to a global $SU(2)$ symmetry, in other words, to the simultaneous rotations of all spins. In addition, it is T -invariant, i.e. invariant with respect to the inversion of time. This Hamiltonian, apart from being a popular theoretical playground, is of practical importance in material science, both for finite [\[7\]](#page-6-3) and for infinite [\[8\]](#page-6-4) N.

Due to the presence of the above symmetries, we can look for a solution ρ of the SSE_{ρ} which is constructed of scalar products of sigma matrices. To this end, we define a multi-index A enumerating the set of pairs (i_p, j_p) :

$$
\mathcal{A} = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_m, j_m), \quad 1 \le m \le [N/2], \tag{11}
$$

where $[N/2]$ is the integer part of $N/2$, while i_p and j_p enumerate spins and for any p satisfy

$$
i_p < i_{p+1}, \quad j_p > i_p, \quad j_p \neq i_l, j_l \quad \forall \ l \neq p, \quad 1 \leq i_p, j_p \leq N.
$$
 (12)

These conditions ensure that the sum over A runs over all distinct sets of pairs of indices in which each index is found at most once. We denote the number of pairs in $\mathcal A$ by $|\mathcal A|$ (e.g. $|\mathcal{A}| = m$ in eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1)). Finally, we define an operator $A_{\mathcal{A}}$ as a product of $|\mathcal{A}|$ scalar products of Pauli matrices according to

$$
A_{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i_1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j_1}) (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i_2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j_2}) \dots (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i_m} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j_m}),
$$
\n(13)

where A is given by eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1). We supplement this definition by a convention $A_0 \equiv 1$.

Our ansatz for ρ can now be written as

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{2^N} \left(a_0 A_0 + \sum_{\mathcal{A}} a_{\mathcal{A}} A_{\mathcal{A}} \right). \tag{14}
$$

Here $a_{\mathcal{A}}$ are numerical coefficients and the sum is over all sets $\mathcal A$ of the form [\(11\)](#page-2-1),[\(12\)](#page-3-0). Note that normalization implies $a_{A_0} = 1$. Obviously, such ρ is both $SU(2)$ -invariant and T-invariant. In fact, any $SU(2)$ - and T-invariant operator with a unit trace can be represented in this form. Let us briefly explain why. First, observe that due to the equalities

$$
(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)^2 = 3 - 2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2),
$$

$$
(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3) = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3) - i(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3),
$$
 (15)

one can avoid terms with repeating spin indexes, as is indeed the case in eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-1). Here $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)$ is the mixed product of vectors consisting of Pauli matrices of three spins. Further, observe that the mixed product changes its sign under time inversion and thus does not enter ρ . As for the even powers of mixed products, they can always be expressed through the scalar products [\[4\]](#page-6-5).

One can now substitute the ansatz (14) into the stationary Schrödinger equation (2) . Exploiting formulae [\(15\)](#page-3-2), after straightforward but tedious calculations one obtains the following equations for the coefficients $a_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$
E a_0 = 3 \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} a_{(i,j)},\tag{16}
$$

$$
E a_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{l=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|-1} \sum_{m=l+1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} \left((J_{i_m j_l} + J_{i_l j_m} - J_{i_l j_l} - J_{i_m j_m}) a_{(i_l, i_m)(j_l, j_m) \mathcal{A}^{\overline{l,m}}} \right)
$$
(17)

+
$$
(J_{i_l i_m} + J_{j_l j_m} - J_{i_l j_l} - J_{i_m j_m}) a_{(i_l, j_m)(j_l, i_m) \mathcal{A}^{\overline{l,m}}}\n+ \sum_{\substack{p, q: p < q \\ p, q \notin \mathcal{A}}} \left(3 J_{pq} a_{(p,q)\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} J_{pq} (a_{(i_m, p)(q, j_m)\mathcal{A}^{\overline{m}}} + a_{(q, i_m)(j_m, p)\mathcal{A}^{\overline{m}}}) \right) \n+ \sum_{p \notin \mathcal{A}} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} (J_{pj_m} a_{(p, i_m)\mathcal{A}^{\overline{m}}} + J_{pi_m} a_{(p, j_m)\mathcal{A}^{\overline{m}}}) + \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} J_{i_m j_m} (a_{\mathcal{A}^{\overline{m}}} - 2a_{\mathcal{A}}),
$$

$$
0 = \sum_{p \notin A} \left[(J_{pj} - J_{pi}) a_{(ij)(pk)A} + (J_{pk} - J_{pj}) a_{(ip)(jk)A} + (J_{pi} - J_{pk}) a_{(ik)(pj)A} \right]
$$
(18)
- $\left((J_{ik} - J_{jk}) a_{(ij)A} + (J_{jk} - J_{ij}) a_{(ik)A} + (J_{ij} - J_{ik}) a_{(jk)A} \right), \quad \forall i, j, k \notin A \text{ and } i < j < k.$

The multi-index $(i_l, j_m)(j_l, i_m)$ and is obtained from A by dropping pairs (i_l, j_l) , (i_m, j_m) and adding pairs (i_l, j_m) , (j_l, i_m) . Other multi-indices used in the above equations are obtained from $\mathcal A$ analogously. Note that eq. [\(18\)](#page-3-3) is the anti-Hermitian stationary Schrödinger equation [\(9\)](#page-2-2).

We note that in the paradigm of the Contracted Schrödinger equation $[1]$ the spin Hamiltonian should be first turned into a fermionic Hamiltonian as in ref. [\[2\]](#page-6-6). While this is easily done for onedimensional spin chains by means of the Jordan-Wigner transformation, in higher dimensions this leads to nonlocal interactions. In contrast, in our procedure transformation to fermionic representation is not required.

For illustrative purposes we apply the SSE_{ρ} to the system of three spins:

$$
H = J_{12} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \right) + J_{23} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 \right) + J_{13} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 \right), \tag{19}
$$

$$
8\rho = a_0 + a_{12} (\sigma_1 \sigma_2) + a_{23} (\sigma_2 \sigma_3) + a_{13} (\sigma_1 \sigma_3).
$$
 (20)

 SSE_o reads

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n0 & 3J_{12} & 3J_{23} & 3J_{13} \\
J_{12} & -2J_{12} & J_{23} & J_{13} \\
J_{23} & J_{12} & -2J_{23} & J_{13} \\
J_{13} & J_{12} & J_{23} & -2J_{13}\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\na_0 \\
a_{12} \\
a_{23} \\
a_{13}\n\end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix}\na_0 \\
a_{12} \\
a_{23} \\
a_{13}\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(21)

$$
0 = a_{12} (J_{23} - J_{13}) + a_{23} (J_{13} - J_{12}) + a_{13} (J_{12} - J_{23}). \tag{22}
$$

Note that the anti-Hermitian equation [\(22\)](#page-4-0) is, in principle, redundant, but in practice is useful for simplifying the eigenproblem [\(21\)](#page-4-1). We also remark that the size of eigenproblem is twice smaller than what one would obtain by a straightforward application of the conventional Schrödinger equation (1) to the system of three spins $1/2$. This size is even more reduced if the Hamiltonian posses additional symmetries, see below. This can prove useful for exact diagonalization studies of small spin clusters, which can be of interest for understanding magnetic response of correlated materials [\[9\]](#page-6-7) (for alternative ways of accounting for symmetries see [\[7\]](#page-6-3)).

If $J_{12} = J_{23} = J_{13} = 1$, eq. [\(21\)](#page-4-1) leads to two sets of solutions:

$$
E = 3, \qquad a_0 = 1, \quad a_{12} = a_{23} = a_{13} = \frac{1}{3}; \tag{23}
$$

$$
E = -3, \quad a_0 = 1, \quad a_{12} + a_{23} + a_{13} = -1,\tag{24}
$$

see figure [1](#page-5-1) for illustration.

3. Total spin of N spins $1/2$: Projections on invariant subspaces

Total spin operator is formally equivalent to the Heisenberg Hamiltonian with long-range interactions. We seek to solve the eigenproblem for total spin of N qubits

$$
S^2 \rho = \lambda \rho, \tag{25}
$$

with an additional constraint that ρ is invariant under permutations of spins,

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{2^N} \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{[N/2]} a_m A_m \right),
$$
\n(26)

where A_m is the sum of all possible products [\(13\)](#page-3-4) of m scalar products of sigma matrices. Note that $S^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}(3N + 2A_1)$ and

$$
A_1 A_m = (N - 2m + 2)(N - 2m + 1)\left(\frac{3}{2} + (m - 1)\theta(N - 2m + 1)\right)A_{m-1}
$$
\n(27)

+
$$
2m((N-2m)\theta(N-2m)-1)A_m + (m+1)\theta(N-2m-1)A_{m+1}, m = 1,...[N/2].
$$

Figure 1. The set of $SU(2)$ - and T-invariant density matrices of three spins $1/2$ [\[6\]](#page-6-8). The solutions [\(23\)](#page-4-2) and [\(24\)](#page-4-3) of the SSE_p with the Hamiltonian [\(19\)](#page-4-4) with $J_{12} = J_{23} = J_{13} = 1$ correspond respectively to the tip and to the base of the cone. The point in the center of the base of the cone corresponds to the maximally symmetric (permutation-invariant) solution of the form [\(24\)](#page-4-3) with $a_{12} = a_{23} = a_{13} = -1/3$.

Here $\theta(x)$ is 1 if $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise. The above relation defines a tridiagonal matrix which has eigenvalues $\lambda = S(S+1)$, as we verified numerically. They lead to the recursive formula for the coefficients a_m :

$$
a_1 = \frac{4\lambda - 3N}{3N(N-1)} \theta(N-1),
$$

\n
$$
a_2 = \frac{(4\lambda - 7N + 12)a_1 - 2}{5(N-2)(N-3)} \theta(N-3),
$$

\n
$$
a_m = \frac{(4\lambda - (4m-1)N + 8m^2 - 12m + 4)a_{m-1} - 2(m-1)a_{m-2}}{(N-2m+2)(N-2m+1)(2m+1)}, \quad m = 3, 4, \dots [N/2].
$$
 (28)

Note that in fact thus obtained density matrices [\(26\)](#page-4-5) coincide up to normalization with the projections to invariant subspaces of S^2 .

4. Sum rules

Any density matrix diagonal in the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian satisfies the anti-Hermitian SSE [\(9\)](#page-2-2) (in particular, this is the case for a thermal density matrix ρ_B = $\exp(-\beta H)/\operatorname{tr} \exp(-\beta H)$, where β is the inverse temperature). As a consequence, one can obtain a sum rule for static correlation functions by choosing an arbitrary operator $\mathcal O$ and observing that

$$
0 = -\operatorname{tr}([H,\rho_{\beta}]\mathcal{O}) = \operatorname{tr}([H,\mathcal{O}]\rho_{\beta}) \equiv \langle [H,\mathcal{O}]\rangle_{\beta}.
$$
 (29)

For example, consider a Heisenberg Hamiltonian with an external inhomogeneous magnetic field $\bm{h}_i,$

$$
H = \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \left(\sigma_i \sigma_j \right) + \sum_i \left(\mathbf{h}_i \sigma_i \right), \qquad i, j = 1, 2, ..., N. \tag{30}
$$

We take $\mathcal{O} = \sigma_i$ and obtain from eq. [\(29\)](#page-5-2) the sum rule

$$
\left\langle \left(\mathbf{h}_i + \sum_{j:j \neq i} J_{i,j} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \right) \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \right\rangle_{\beta} = 0 \qquad \forall i,
$$
\n(31)

where \times ' stands for the vector product. Note a close relation between this sum rule and eq. [\(18\)](#page-3-3).

5. Summary

We have studied the properties and merits of the stationary Schrödinger equation (2) with density matrices instead of wave functions. This equation produces the same spectrum of eigenvalues as the conventional Schrödinger equation. The main advantage of eq. (2) shows up when the Hamiltonian is invariant under some symmetry group which induces degeneracies of the spectrum. In this case for any eigenenergy one can choose a solution of eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-1) which is invariant under the symmetry group. This is in contrast to the conventional Schrödinger equation, where the spontaneous symmetry breaking can prevent one from finding an invariant eigenvector. We have exemplified eq. (2) by applying it to the system of spins $1/2$ symmetric under $SU(2)$ $SU(2)$. Further, we have applied an equation analogous to eq. (2) to find invariant subspaces of the operator of the total spin of N spins $1/2$. Finally, we have shown how the anti-Hermitian part of eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-1) can be used to find useful sum rules for static correlation functions in the lattice models.

Acknowledgements.

The work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation under the grant No. 17-71-20158.

References

- [1] Herbert J M and Harriman J E 2002 Extensivity and the contracted Schrdinger equation The Journal of Chemical Physics 117 16 pp 7464-7471
- [2] Schwerdtfeger C A and Mazziotti D A 2009 Convex-set description of quantum phase transitions in the transverse Ising model using reduced-density-matrix theory The Journal of Chemical Physics 130 22 p 224102
- [3] Lychkovskiy O, Gamayun O and Cheianov V V 2017 Time scale for adiabaticity breakdown in driven manybody systems and orthogonality catastrophe Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 p 200401
- [4] Uskov F and Lychkovskiy O A variational lower bound on the ground state of a many-body system and the squaring parametrization of density matrices (submitted to the present proceedings)
- [5] Mukherjee D and Kutzelnigg W 2001 Irreducible Brillouin conditions and contracted Schrdinger equations for n-electron systems. I. The equations satisfied by the density cumulants The Journal of Chemical Physics 114 5 pp 2047-2061
- [6] Il'in N, Shpagina E, Uskov F and Lychkovskiy O 2018 Squaring parametrization of constrained and unconstrained sets of quantum states Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 51 8
- [7] Schnalle R and Schnack J 2010 Calculating the energy spectra of magnetic molecules: application of real-and spin-space symmetrie International Reviews in Physical Chemistry 29 3 pp 403-452
- [8] Manousakis E 1991 The spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet on a square lattice and its application to the cuprous oxides Reviews of Modern Physics 63 1 p. 1.
- [9] Lychkovskiy O and Fine B V 2018 Spin excitation spectrum of high-temperature cuprate superconductors from finite cluster simulations Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 30 p 405801