# Observation of critical phenomena in parity-time-symmetric quantum dynamics

Lei Xiao,<sup>1,2</sup> Kunkun Wang,<sup>1,2</sup> Xiang Zhan,<sup>1,2</sup> Zhihao Bian,<sup>1,2</sup> Kohei

Kawabata,<sup>3</sup> Masahito Ueda,<sup>3,4</sup> Wei Yi,<sup>5,6</sup> and Peng Xue<sup>1,2,7,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100084, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>4</sup>RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

<sup>5</sup>Key Laboratory of Quantum Information, University of Science and Technology of China, CAS, Hefei 230026, China

<sup>6</sup>CAS Center For Excellence in Quantum Information and Quantum Physics

<sup>7</sup>State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy,

East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China

We experimentally simulate non-unitary quantum dynamics using a single-photon interferometric network and study the information flow between a parity-time ( $\mathcal{PT}$ )-symmetric non-Hermitian system and its environment. We observe oscillations of quantum-state distinguishability and complete information retrieval in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry-unbroken regime. We then characterize in detail critical phenomena of the information flow near the exceptional point separating the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -unbroken and -broken regimes, and demonstrate the expected power-law behavior in key quantities such as the distinguishability and the recurrence time. Finally, by introducing an ancilla as an environment and probing quantum entanglement between the system and the environment, we confirm that the observed information retrieval is induced by a finite-dimensional entanglement partner in the environment. Our work constitutes the first experimental characterization of critical phenomena in  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric non-unitary quantum dynamics.

Parity-time  $(\mathcal{PT})$ -symmetric non-Hermitian systems feature unconventional properties in synthetic systems ranging from classical optical systems [1–11] and microwave cavities [12–14] to quantum gases [15] and single photons [16, 17]. In these systems, the spectrum is entirely real in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry-unbroken regime, in contrast to the regimes with spontaneously broken  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry [18–20]. As a result, the dynamics is drastically different in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry-unbroken and -broken regimes, and dynamical criticality occurs at the boundary between the two regimes [21, 22]. In previous experiments, such unconventional dynamical properties as well as critical phenomena near the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -transition point, or the exceptional point, have been observed in classical  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric systems with balanced gain and loss [4, 8–11]. Whereas quantum systems with passive  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry have already been prepared and probed recently [15, 16], critical phenomena in  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric quantum dynamics are vet to be experimentally explored. Understanding these critical phenomena in the quantum regime provides an important perspective for the study of open quantum systems and is useful for applications in quantum information.

A paradigmatic example of  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric non-unitary dynamics in the context of open quantum systems is the reversible-irreversible criticality in the information flow between a system and its environment [23]. Here, information lost to the environment can be fully retrieved when the system is in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetryunbroken regime, since a finite-dimensional entanglement partner protected by  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry can be found in the environment. In contrast, the information flow is irreversible when the system spontaneously breaks  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry. Close to the exceptional point, physical quantities such as distinguishability between time-evolved states and the recurrence time of the distinguishability feature power-law behavior.

In this work, we simulate  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric non-unitary quantum dynamics using a single-photon interferometric network, and experimentally investigate the critical phenomena in the information flow close to the exceptional point. To extract critical phenomena from nonunitary dynamics, a faithful characterization of long-time dynamics is necessary. This poses a serious experimental difficulty, as maintaining and probing coherent dynamics in the long-time regime is challenging. We overcome this difficulty by directly implementing non-unitary time-evolution operators at any given times, and simulate the non-unitary quantum dynamics by performing non-unitary gate operations on the initial state. We then perform quantum-state tomography on the time-evolved state, which enables us to confirm the critical powerlaw scaling in various physical quantities. Furthermore, by introducing ancillary degrees of freedoms to serve as the environment, we explicitly demonstrate oscillations in the quantum entanglement between the system and the environment in the unitary dynamics of the combined system-environment quantum system. This confirms the existence of a finite-dimensional entanglement partner in the environment, which is responsible for the information retrieval. Our work is the first experiment to characterize critical phenomena in  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric non-unitary quantum dynamics, and opens up the avenue of simulating  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric dynamics in synthetic quantum systems.

Experimental setup:— To simulate the dynamics of a two-level  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric system, we encode basis states



FIG. 1. Experimental setup. A photon pair is created via spontaneous parametric downconversion (SPDC). One of the photons serves as a trigger, the other is projected into the polarization state  $|H\rangle$  or  $|V\rangle$  with a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) and a half-wave plat (HWP), and then goes through various optical elements. Upper layer: the experimental setup for the non-unitary dynamics of a single-qubit  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric system. Lower layer: experimental setup for the unitary dynamics of a two-qubit system, where the two qubits are encoded in polarizational and spatial degrees of freedom. To prepare the initial state of a two-qubit system, heralded single photons pass through a PBS and a HWP with certain setting angles and are split by a birefringent calcite beam displacer (BD) into two parallel spatial modes: upper and lower modes. After passing through wave plates inserted into different spatial modes, the photons are prepared in the state  $|\bar{0}\rangle$  or  $|\bar{1}\rangle$  (see main text for definition). We construct the time-dependent density matrices by performing quantum-state tomography for both of these states.

in the horizontal and vertical polarizations of single photons, with  $|H\rangle = (1,0)^{\mathrm{T}}$  and  $|V\rangle = (0,1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . We generate heralded single photons via type-I spontaneous parametric downconversion, with one photon serving as the trigger and the other as the signal photon. The signal photon is then projected into the initial state  $|H\rangle$  or  $|V\rangle$ with a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) and a half-wave plate (HWP), and is sent to the interferometric network as illustrated in Fig. 1.

Experimentally, instead of implementing a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, we directly realize the timeevolution operator U at any given time t and access timeevolved states by enforcing U on the initial state. As illustrated in Fig. 1, this is achieved by decomposing Uaccording to

$$U = R_2(\theta_2, \varphi_2) L(\theta_H, \theta_V) R_1(\theta_1, \varphi_1), \qquad (1)$$

where the rotation operator  $R_j(\theta_j, \varphi_j)$  (j = 1, 2) is realized using a quarter-wave plate (QWP) at  $\varphi_j$  and a HWP at  $\theta_j$ , and the polarization-dependent loss operator L is realized by a combination of two beam displacers (BDs) and two HWPs with setting angles  $\theta_H$  and  $\theta_V$  [24].

Here, the setting angles  $\{\theta_j, \varphi_j, \theta_H, \theta_V\}$  of wave plates are determined numerically for each given time t, such that  $U = e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}t}$ . In our experiment, the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{\sigma}_x + ia(\hat{\sigma}_z - \hat{\mathbb{1}}), \qquad (2)$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}_{x(z)}$  are the standard Pauli operators, and  $\hat{1}$  is the identity operator. The non-Hermitian Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$  possesses passive  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry, which can be easily mapped to a  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{PT}}$  with balanced gain and loss, with  $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{PT}} = \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} + ia\hat{\mathbb{1}}$ . Here, a > 0controls non-Hermiticity, and the Hamiltonian becomes Hermitian for a = 0; the system is in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetryunbroken (-broken) regime for 0 < a < 1 (a > 1), with the exceptional point located at a = 1.

The non-unitary dynamics of the system is captured by the time-dependent density matrix [23, 25]

$$\rho_{1,2}(t) = \frac{e^{-i\hat{H}_{\mathcal{P}}\tau t}\rho_{1,2}(0)e^{i\hat{H}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger}\tau t}}{\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-i\hat{H}_{\mathcal{P}}\tau t}\rho_{1,2}(0)e^{i\hat{H}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger}\tau t}\right]},$$
(3)

with the initial density matrices  $\rho_{1(2)}(0) = |H(V)\rangle\langle H(V)|$ . Note that applying  $H_{\text{eff}}$  or  $H_{\mathcal{PT}}$  in Eq. (3) would give the same time-dependent matrices. Experimentally, we construct the density matrix at any given time t via quantum-state tomography after signal photons have passed through the interferometric setup. Essentially, we measure the probabilities of photons in the bases  $\{|H\rangle, |V\rangle, |P_+\rangle = (|H\rangle + |V\rangle)/\sqrt{2}, |P_-\rangle = (|H\rangle - i |V\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$  through a combination of QWP, HWP and PBS, and then perform a maximum-likelihood estimation of the density matrix. The outputs are recorded in coincidence with trigger photons. Typical measurements yield a maximum of 18,000 photon counts over 3 seconds.

*Measuring distinguishability:*— We characterize information flowing into and out of the system via the trace distance defined through

$$D\left[\rho_{1}(t),\rho_{2}(t)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left|\rho_{1}(t) - \rho_{2}(t)\right|, \qquad (4)$$

with  $|A| = \sqrt{A^{\dagger}A}$ . The trace distance *D* measures the distinguishability of the two quantum states characterized by  $\rho_1(t)$  and  $\rho_2(t)$ . An increase in the distinguishability signifies information backflow from the environment, whereas a monotonic decrease means unidirectional information flow to the environment [23, 26].

In Fig. 2, we show the time evolution of the distinguishability when the system is in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetryunbroken regime with a < 1. For comparison, we also show the case of unitary evolution with a = 0. As illustrated in Figs. 2(a-c), D(t) oscillates in time when a < 1, suggesting the complete information retrieval with the initial trace distance fully restored periodically. The period of the oscillation T, or the recurrence time, increases as the system approaches the exceptional point. We extract the recurrence time by fitting the experimental data with a Fourier series. As shown in Fig. 2(d), the recurrence time agrees well with the analytic expression  $T = \pi/\sqrt{1-a^2}$  [23]. In the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$  with  $\epsilon = 1 - a$ , the recurrence time should diverge as  $T \sim \epsilon^{-1/2}$ .

In Fig. 3(a), we show the time evolution of the distinguishability when the system is in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetrybroken regime with a > 1. Here, the distinguishability decays exponentially in time. Fitting the experimental data using  $D(t) = D(0)e^{-t/\Gamma}$ , where D(0) is a constant and  $\Gamma$  is the relaxation time, we find that the relaxation time increases as the system approaches the exceptional point. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the measured  $\Gamma$  agrees excellently with the analytical result  $\Gamma = 1/2\sqrt{a^2 - 1}$  [23], which also diverges with a power-law scaling  $\Gamma \sim |\epsilon|^{-1/2}$ as  $\epsilon \to 0$ .

Finally, at the exceptional point (a = 1), the distinguishability exhibits power-law behavior in the long-time limit. As illustrated in Fig. 4, the long-time behavior of distinguishability agrees well with the theoretical prediction  $D(t) \sim t^{-2}$  [23]. Importantly, the observed critical phenomena do not depend on the details of the system but the order of the exceptional point, which signifies their universality [21, 22]. We note that at long times, the measurement suffers from a relatively larger systematic error, which is due to the smallness of D(t) at long times.

 $\mathcal{PT}$  dynamics embedded in a two-qubit system:— To unveil the origin of the information retrieval, we embed  $\mathcal{PT}$  dynamics into a larger Hilbert space by introducing an ancilla as the environment. The combined two-qubit system is governed by the Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\rm tot} = \hat{\mathbb{1}}^{\rm a} \otimes \hat{H}_{\rm s} + \hat{\sigma}_{y}^{\rm a} \otimes \hat{V}_{\rm s}, \tag{5}$$

where  $\hat{\mathbb{1}}^{a}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_{y}^{a}$  act on the ancilla;  $\hat{H}_{s}$  and  $\hat{V}_{s}$  act on the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric system with

$$\hat{H}_{\rm s} = c^{-1} \left( \hat{H}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}} \hat{\eta}^{-1} + \hat{\eta} \hat{H}_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}} \right), \tag{6}$$

$$\hat{V}_{\rm s} = ic^{-1} \left( \hat{H}_{\mathcal{PT}} - \hat{H}_{\mathcal{PT}}^{\dagger} \right). \tag{7}$$



FIG. 2. Information retrieval in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry-unbroken regime. (a)-(c) Oscillations of the distinguishability D(t) with different coefficients a < 1. Dots with error bars represent the experimental results, while the curves show the theoretical predictions. (d) The recurrence time T of distinguishability as a function of  $\varepsilon = 1 - a$ .



FIG. 3. Unidirectional information flow to the environment in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry-broken regime. (a) Decay of the distinguishability in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -broken regime with different coefficients a > 1. (b) The relaxation time  $\Gamma$  of distinguishability as a function of  $\varepsilon = a - 1$ . In (b), the blue solid curve shows the theoretical result  $\Gamma = 1/2\sqrt{a^2 - 1}$ . We readout the experimental results (dots with error bars) by fitting the experimental data to an exponential function.

Here, the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian satisfies pseudo-Hermiticity with  $\hat{\eta}\hat{H}_{\mathcal{PT}} = \hat{H}_{\mathcal{PT}}^{\dagger}\hat{\eta}$  and  $\hat{\eta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-a^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -ia \\ ia & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  [23, 27], where we have  $c = \sum_j 1/\lambda_j$  with the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  of  $\hat{\eta}$ . Whereas the unitary time evolution of the two-qubit system is driven by the Hermitian Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\text{tot}}$ , it is straightforward to show that by enforcing a post-selection on the ancilla, the time evolution is non-unitary and driven by  $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{PT}}$ .

Experimentally, the ancillary degrees of freedom are encoded in two independent spatial modes of signal photons  $\{|u\rangle, |d\rangle\}$ . Thus, the bases of two-qubit states



FIG. 4. Power-law behavior of the distinguishability at the exceptional point a = 1. In the long-time limit, the experimentally measured distinguishability agrees well with the theoretical predictions  $D \sim t^{-2}$ . The black solid curve is obtained from the numerical simulations. The red dashed line is the analytical asymptote.

can be written as  $\{|u\rangle |H\rangle = (1,0,0,0)^{\mathrm{T}}, |u\rangle |V\rangle = (0,1,0,0)^{\mathrm{T}}, |d\rangle |H\rangle = (0,0,1,0)^{\mathrm{T}}, |d\rangle |V\rangle = (0,0,0,1)^{\mathrm{T}}\}.$ The unitary operator  $U_{\mathrm{tot}}$  can be decomposed into [28–31]

$$U_{\text{tot}} = \begin{pmatrix} U_5 & 0\\ 0 & U_6 \end{pmatrix} G_2 \begin{pmatrix} U_3 & 0\\ 0 & U_4 \end{pmatrix} G_1 \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0\\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where  $U_j$  (j = 1, ..., 6) are unitary single-qubit rotation operators, and  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two-qubit operators. While  $U_j$  is implemented using a set of sandwiched wave plates with the configuration QWP (at  $\tilde{\phi}_j$ )-HWP (at  $\tilde{\theta}_j$ )-QWP (at  $\tilde{\nu}_j$ ),  $G_{1,2}$  are realized via a combination of BDs and HWPs with setting angles  $\delta_j$  (j = 1, ..., 8) as illustrated in Fig. 1 [24]. Similar to the single-qubit case, for each given time in the dynamics, these setting angles are determined numerically to ensure that Eq. (8) yields the correct time evolution operator  $U_{\text{tot}} = e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{tot}}t}$ .

We then construct the final state at any given time t through quantum-state tomography. This is achieved by measuring probabilities of photons in 16 bases given by  $\{|u\rangle, |d\rangle, |S_+\rangle, |S_-\rangle\} \otimes \{|H\rangle, |V\rangle, |P_+\rangle, |P_-\rangle\}$  with  $|S_+\rangle = (|u\rangle + |d\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , and  $|S_-\rangle = (|u\rangle - i |d\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ . From the measured correlations, we calculate density matrices of the system and the ancilla through

$$\rho_j^{\rm s}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\rm a}\left[\rho_j^{\rm tot}(t)\right], \quad \rho_j^{\rm a}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\rm s}\left[\rho_j^{\rm tot}(t)\right]. \quad (j = 1, 2)$$
(9)

On the other hand, the density matrix of the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric system is

$$\rho_j^{\mathcal{PT}}(t) = \mathcal{N} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{a}} \left[ \left( |u\rangle \langle u| \otimes \mathbb{1} \right) \rho_j^{\operatorname{tot}}(t) \left( |u\rangle \langle u| \otimes \mathbb{1} \right)^{\dagger} \right],$$
(10)

with a normalization constant  $\mathcal{N}$ .

For comparison with the single-qubit case, we calculate the distinguishability between two time-evolved states



FIG. 5.  $\mathcal{PT}$  dynamics embedded in a two-qubit system with a = 0.5. (a) Quantum oscillations of the distinguishability. (b) Entanglement entropy between the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric system and its ancilla, which oscillates with half the period of that of the distinguishability.

 $\rho_1^{\mathcal{PT}}(t)$  and  $\rho_2^{\mathcal{PT}}(t)$ . We choose the initial states of twoqubit time evolutions as  $|\bar{0}\rangle \propto |u\rangle |H\rangle + |d\rangle \otimes \hat{\eta} |H\rangle$  and  $|\bar{1}\rangle \propto |u\rangle |V\rangle + |d\rangle \otimes \hat{\eta} |V\rangle$ . As illustrated in Fig. 5(a), information retrieval can be observed in the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric system.

More importantly, the existence of a hidden entangled partner behind the information retrieval is revealed by examining the entanglement entropy between the system and the ancilla. The time-dependent entanglement entropy between the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric system and its ancilla can be calculated as

$$S_j^{\rm s}(t) = -\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_j^{\rm s}(t)\log\rho_j^{\rm s}(t)\right]. \tag{11}$$

In Fig. 5(b), we show the experimental results of the entanglement entropy for two different initial states. The entanglement entropy oscillates with half the period of that of the distinguishability, which is consistent with the theoretical predictions [23] and confirms the exchange of quantum information between the system and the environment during the  $\mathcal{PT}$  dynamics.

Conclusion:— We have experimentally simulated  $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric quantum dynamics using single-photon interferometric networks. Enforcing non-unitary gate operations on photons and performing quantum-state tomography, we have reconstructed a time-dependent density matrix of the  $\mathcal{PT}$  dynamics at arbitrary times. This enables us to characterize critical phenomena close to the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -transition point and reveal a hidden entanglement partner in the environment. Our work is the first experimental characterization of critical phenomena in  $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric non-unitary quantum dynamics. We expect that critical phenomena associated with higher-order exceptional points can also be probed using a similar approach.

This work has been supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11474049, 11674056, and 11522545) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. BK20160024). LX is supported by Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province. KK is supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) through Program for Leading Graduate Schools (ALPS). MU acknowledges support by KAKENHI Grant Nos. JP18H01145 and JP15H05855 from the JSPS. WY acknowledges support from the National Key R&D Program (Grant Nos. 2016YFA0301700,2017YFA0304100).

\* gnep.eux@gmail.com

- [1] A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou, and D. N. Christodoulides, "Observation of PT-symmetry breaking in complex optical potentials," Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 093902 (2009).
- [2] C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, "Observation of parity-time symmetry in optics," Nat. Phys. 6, 192 (2010).
- [3] Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, and A. D. Stone, "PT-symmetry breaking and laser-absorber modes in optical scattering systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 093902 (2011).
- [4] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M.-A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, "Paritytime synthetic photonic lattices," Nature (London) 488, 167 (2012).
- [5] M. Liertzer, L. Ge, A. Cerjan, A. D. Stone, H. E. Türeci, and S. Rotter, "Pump-induced exceptional points in lasers," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 173901 (2012).
- [6] M. Brandstetter, M. Liertzer, C. Deutsch, P. Klang, J. Schöberl, H. E. Türeci, G. Strasser, K. Unterrainer, and S. Rotter, "Reversing the pump dependence of a laser at an exceptional point," Nat. Commun. 5, 4034 (2014).
- [7] S. Weimann, M. Kremer, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, S. Nolte, K. G. Makris, M. Sege, M. C. Rechtsman, and A. Szameit, "Topologically protected bound states in photonic parity-time-symmetric crystals," Nat. Mater. 16, 433 (2017).
- [8] H. Hodaei, A. U. Hassan, S. Wittek, H. Garcia-Gracia, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Khajavikhan, "Enhanced sensitivity at higher-order exceptional points," Nature (London) 548, 187 (2017).
- [9] C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, "Observation of parity-time symmetry in optics," Nat. Phys. 6, 192 (2010).
- [10] M. Wimmer, M. A. Miri, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, "Observation of Bloch oscillations in complex PT-symmetric photonic lattices," Sci. Rep. 5, 17760 (2015).
- [11] L. Feng, Y.-L. Xu, W. S. Fegadolli, M.-H. Lu, J. E. B. Oliveira, V. R. Almeida, Y.-F. Chen, and A. Scherer, "Experimental demonstration of a unidirectional reflectionless parity-time metamaterial at optical frequencies," Nat. Mater. 12, 108 (2013).
- [12] B. Peng, Ş. K. Özdemir, F. Lei, F. Monifi, M. Gianfreda, G. L. Long, S. Fan, F. Nori, C. M. Bender, and L. Yang, "Parity-time-symmetric whispering-gallery microcavities," Nat. Phys. 10, 349 (2014).
- [13] C. Poli, M. Bellec, U. Kuhl, F. Mortessagne, and

H. Schomerus, "Selective enhancement of topologically induced interface states in a dielectric resonator chain," Nat. Commun. **6**, 6710 (2015).

- [14] Z.-P. Liu, J. Zhang, Ş. K. Özdemir, B. Peng, H. Jing, X.-Y. Lü, C.-W. Li, L. Yang, F. Nori, and Y.-X. Liu, "Metrology with PT-symmetric cavities: enhanced sensitivity near the PT-phase transition," Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 110802 (2016).
- [15] J. Li, A. K. Harter, J. Liu, L. de Melo, Y. N. Joglekar, and L. Luo, "Observation of parity-time symmetry breaking transitions in a dissipative Floquet system of ultracold atoms," arXiv:1608.05061 (2016).
- [16] L. Xiao, X. Zhan, Z. H. Bian, K. K. Wang, X. Zhang, X. P. Wang, J. Li, K. Mochizuki, D. Kim, N. Kawakami, W. Yi, H. Obuse, B. C. Sanders, and P. Xue, "Observation of topological edge states in parity-time-symmetric quantum walks," Nat. Phys. 13, 1117 (2017).
- [17] K. K. Wang, X. Z. Qiu, L. Xiao, X. Zhan, Z. H. Bian, W. Yi, and P. Xue, "Observation of emergent momentum-time skyrmions in parity-time-symmetric non-unitary quench dynamics," arXiv:1808.06446 (2018).
- [18] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, "Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having PT symmetry," Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5243–5246 (1998).
- [19] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, "Complex extension of quantum mechanics," Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 270401 (2002).
- [20] C. M. Bender, "Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians," Rep. Prog. Phys. 70, 947 (2007).
- [21] W. D. Heiss, "The physics of exceptional points," J. Phys. A 45, 444016 (2012).
- [22] R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, M. Khajavikhan, Z. H. Mussilimani, S. Rotter, and D. N. Christodoulides, "Non-Hermitian physics and PT symmetry," Nat. Phys. 14, 11 (2018).
- [23] K. Kawabata, Y. Ashida, and M. Ueda, "Information retrieval and criticality in parity-time-symmetric systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 190401 (2017).
- [24] See Supplemental Materials for details.
- [25] D. C. Brody and E.-M. Graefe, "Mixed-state evolution in the presence of gain and loss," Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 230405 (2012).
- [26] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, and J. Piilo, "Measure for the degree of non-Markovian behavior of quantum processes in open systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 210401 (2009).
- [27] U. Günther and B. F. Samsonov, "Naimark-dilated PT-symmetric brachistochrone," Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 230404 (2008).
- [28] G. W. Stewart, "On the perturbation of pseudo-inverses, projections and linear least squares problems," SIAM Rev. 19, 634–662 (1977).
- [29] G. W. Stewart, "Computing the CS decomposition of a partitioned orthonormal matrix," Numer. Math. 40, 297–306 (1982).
- [30] C. C. Paige and M. Wei, "History and generality of the CS decomposition," Lin. Algebra Appl. 208, 303–326 (1994).
- [31] J. A. Izaac, X. Zhan, Z. H. Bian, K. K. Wang, J. Li, J. B. Wang, and P. Xue, "Centrality measure based on continuous-time quantum walks and experimental realization," Phys. Rev. A 95, 032318 (2017).

# Supplemental Materials for "Observation of critical phenomena in parity-time-symmetric quantum dynamics"

## Experimental implementation of non-unitary dynamics

Experimentally, we implement a non-unitary time-evolution operator at any given time t by realizing

$$U = R_2(\theta_2, \varphi_2) L R_1(\theta_1, \varphi_1). \tag{S1}$$

Here, the rotation operator

$$R_j(\theta_j, \varphi_j) = R_{\text{HWP}}(\theta_j) R_{\text{QWP}}(\varphi_j) \quad (j = 1, 2)$$
(S2)

is realized by a quarter-wave plate (QWP)

$$R_{\rm QWP}(\varphi_j) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi_j^2 + i\sin\varphi_j^2 & (1-i)\sin\varphi_j\cos\varphi_j\\ (1-i)\sin\varphi_j\cos\varphi_j & \sin\varphi_j^2 + i\cos\varphi_j^2 \end{pmatrix},\tag{S3}$$

and a half-wave plate (HWP)

$$R_{\rm HWP}(\theta_j) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta_j & \sin 2\theta_j \\ \sin 2\theta_j & -\cos 2\theta_j \end{pmatrix},\tag{S4}$$

where  $\theta_j$  and  $\varphi_j$  are tunable setting angles.

Non-unitarity is introduced through the loss operator L which transmits photons with polarization-dependent transmissivity while flipping their polarizations at the same time. The loss operator is given by

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sin 2\theta_V \\ \sin 2\theta_H & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (S5)

In our experiment, we use two beam displacers (BDs) and two HWPs to implement L. The optical axes of BDs are cut so that the vertically polarized photons are transmitted directly and the horizontally polarized photons are displaced into a neighboring spatial mode. Between two BDs, a HWP is inserted into the upper (lower) spatial mode and enforces a rotation  $R_{\text{HWP}}(\theta_V)$  [ $R_{\text{HWP}}(\theta_H)$ ] on the polarization state  $|V\rangle$  ( $|H\rangle$ ). Horizontally polarized photons in the upper spatial mode and vertically polarized photons in the lower mode are then combined and transmitted through the second BD, while the other photons are discarded and lost from the system. This procedure gives the required polarization-dependent photon loss. Note that by tuning the setting angles  $\theta_V$  and  $\theta_H$  of HWPs, we can realize a wide spectrum of non-unitary operators.

To implement a non-unitary time-evolution operator that corresponds to  $U = e^{-i\hat{H}_{\mathcal{P}}\tau t}$  at a given time t, we numerically determine the setting angles  $\theta_j, \varphi_j, \theta_H$ , and  $\theta_V$ . Acting the resulting non-unitary operator onto the initial state, we can accurately reproduce time-evolved states in the non-unitary dynamics.

#### Experimental implementation of two-qubit evolution

We embed the  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric system into a larger Hermitian system and construct a Hermitian Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{tot}$  as shown in the main text. Under  $\hat{H}_{tot}$ , the two-qubit state at time t is given by

$$|\Psi_{\text{tot}}(t)\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |\psi_{\mathcal{PT}}(t)\rangle + |1\rangle \otimes \hat{\eta} |\psi_{\mathcal{PT}}(t)\rangle.$$
(S6)

By enforcing a post-selected measurement on the ancilla with  $|0\rangle$ , we obtain the state  $|\psi_{\mathcal{PT}}(t)\rangle$  which is driven by  $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{PT}}$ .

As discussed in the main text, we encode the ancilla in the spatial modes of signal photons. The bases of two-qubit states are  $\{|u\rangle |H\rangle = (1, 0, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}, |u\rangle |V\rangle = (0, 1, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}, |d\rangle |H\rangle = (0, 0, 1, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}, |d\rangle |V\rangle = (0, 0, 0, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}\}$ , where  $|u\rangle$  and  $|d\rangle$  denote the upper and lower spatial modes, respectively. The unitary operator  $U_{\text{tot}}$  can be decomposed into [28–31]

$$U_{\text{tot}} = \begin{pmatrix} U_5 & 0\\ 0 & U_6 \end{pmatrix} G_2 \begin{pmatrix} U_3 & 0\\ 0 & U_4 \end{pmatrix} G_1 \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0\\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
(S7)



FIG. S1. Oscillations of the quantum mutual information  $I_j(t)$  between the system and the ancilla with a = 0.5.

where  $U_i$  (j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) is a unitary single-qubit rotation with

$$U_j = R_{\text{QWP}}(\tilde{\nu}_j) R_{\text{HWP}}(\hat{\theta}_j) R_{\text{QWP}}(\hat{\phi}_j), \tag{S8}$$

and  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two-qubit operations with

$$G_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sin 2\delta_{31} & 0 & 0\\ \sin 2\delta_{41} & 0 & 0 & \cos 2\delta_{41}\\ \cos 2\delta_{41} & 0 & 0 & -\sin 2\delta_{41}\\ 0 & 0 & \sin 2\delta_{42} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(S9)  
$$G_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sin 2\delta_{75} & 0 & 0\\ \sin 2\delta_{85} & 0 & 0 & \cos 2\delta_{85}\\ \cos 2\delta_{85} & 0 & 0 & -\sin 2\delta_{85}\\ 0 & 0 & \sin 2\delta_{86} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(S10)

Here, we have  $\delta_{jk} = \delta_j - \delta_k$  and  $\sin 2\delta_{31} = \sin 2\delta_{42} = 1$ . Note that the setting angles  $\delta$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}$  and  $\tilde{\nu}$  are determined numerically for the decomposition Eq. (S7) to hold.

The two-qubit operation  $\begin{pmatrix} U_j & 0\\ 0 & U_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}$  can be written as  $|u\rangle \langle u| \otimes U_j + |d\rangle \langle d| \otimes U_{j+1}$  and realized by applying a single-qubit rotation  $U_j (U_{j+1})$  on the polarization states of photons in the upper (lower) mode.  $G_1$  is equivalent to a single-qubit rotation  $\begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\delta_{41} & -\sin 2\delta_{41} \\ \sin 2\delta_{41} & \cos 2\delta_{41} \end{pmatrix}$  on the basis state  $\{|u\rangle |H\rangle, |d\rangle |V\rangle\}$ , and to  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\{|u\rangle |V\rangle, |d\rangle |H\rangle\}$ . This can be implemented by two BDs and four HWPs. The BDs split and combine the photons into designated spatial modes depending on their polarizations, and HWPs implement single-qubit rotations.  $G_2$  can be implemented in a similar fashion.

### Experimental results of the quantum mutual information

As a further evidence for the exchange of quantum information between the system and environment, we show in Fig. S1 experimental results of the quantum mutual information between the system and the ancilla. The quantum mutual information is defined as

$$I_j(t) = S_j^{\rm s}(t) + S_j^{\rm a}(t) - S_j^{\rm tot}(t), \quad (j = 1, 2)$$
(S11)