

\mathcal{S} -Matrix of Nonlocal Scalar Quantum Field Theory in the Representation of Basis Functions

Ivan V. Chebotarev ¹, Vladislav A. Guskov ¹, Stanislav L. Ogarkov ^{1,2†} and Matthew Bernard ^{1‡}

¹ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (MIPT), Institutskiy Pereulok 9, 141701 Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region, Russia

² Dukhov Research Institute of Automatics (VNIIA), Sushchevskaya 22, 127055 Moscow, Russia

* Correspondence: [†]ogarkovstas@mail.ru, [‡]mattb@berkeley.edu

Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

Abstract: Nonlocal quantum theory of one-component scalar field in D -dimensional Euclidean spacetime is studied in representations of \mathcal{S} -matrix theory for both polynomial and nonpolynomial interaction Lagrangians. The theory is formulated with coupling constant g in the form of an infrared smooth function of argument x in the whole space without boundary. Nonlocality is given by evolution of Gaussian propagator for the free theory in ultraviolet form factors depending on some ultraviolet length parameter. By representation of the \mathcal{S} -matrix in terms of abstract functional integral over the primary scalar field, the \mathcal{S} form of a grand canonical partition function is derived. And, by expression of \mathcal{S} -matrix in terms of the partition function, the representation for \mathcal{S} in terms of basis functions is obtained. Derivations are given for the discrete case where basis functions are Hermite functions, and for the continuous case where basis functions are trigonometric functions. The obtained expressions for the \mathcal{S} -matrix are investigated within the framework of variational principle based on Jensen inequality. And, by the latter, the majorant of the corresponding lattice integrals is constructed. Equations with separable kernels satisfied by variational function q are found and solved, yielding results for both the polynomial theory φ^4 (with suggestions for φ^6) and the nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon theory. A new definition of the \mathcal{S} -matrix is proposed to solve additional divergences which arise in application of Jensen inequality for the continuous case. Analytical results are obtained and illustrated numerically, with plots of variational functions q and corresponding majorants for the \mathcal{S} -matrices of the theory. For simplicity of numerical calculation, the $D = 1$ case is considered, and the propagator for the free theory G in the form of Gaussian function, which is typical in the Virton-Quark model, is chosen, although the analytical results obtained are not limited to this particular choice in principle. The formulation for nonlocal QFT in momentum k space of extra dimensions with subsequent compactification into physical spacetime is discussed, with the method of compactification determined by a process.

Keywords: quantum field theory (QFT); scalar QFT; nonlocal QFT; nonpolynomial QFT; Euclidean QFT; \mathcal{S} -matrix; form factor; generating functional; abstract functional integral; Gaussian measure; grand canonical partition function; representation of basic functions; renormalization group; compactification process.

1. Introduction

Timeline of Quantum Field Theory (QFT) offers events that are quite opposing to each other; brilliant triumphs, on the one hand, in explanations and predictions of different processes at low-energy Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), high-energy Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), the Theory of Critical Phenomena and a number of other branches of the modern science, but disasters, on the other hand, in various attempts to describe high-energy physics at scalar theory and QED levels as well as low-energy QCD physics. The discovery of renormalizable field theories given by Feynman integrals alongside conjecture that only those make sense is opposing to conjecture that there are any and all theories; however, no appropriate mathematical description is known at the moment.

The creation of Non-Abelian gauge QFT led to unification of electromagnetic and weak interactions into one general model, making it possible to consistently describe weak-interaction processes. In further triumph of Non-Abelian QFT which is the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, high-energy problems of QED vanish, since reaction channels of chromodynamic nature get factored in, and QCD being consistent at the high-energy region has the quintessential property of asymptotic freedom.

Recently, the remarkable event confirming the validity of the SM – the discovery of the Higgs boson, the last element of the model in energy domain, where existence is most natural – occurred. However, a separately taken local quantum theory of a scalar field appears to be quantum-trivial. But the quantum triviality is removed if the former is a sector in some Non-Abelian gauge theory. As it is known, the same applies for QED.

At present, ideas related to the construction of supersymmetric Non-Abelian QFT are being intensively developed, which can shed light on the creation of the quantum theory of gravity, and is also a natural complement to research in the theory of superstrings. As for the latter, superstring theory is the strongest candidate for the role of the fundamental theory of Nature, the ultimate truth. The presence of such a theory makes it possible to look at all QFTs as an effective (low-energy) theories, and this point of view in a certain sense equals the renormalizable and nonrenormalizable QFTs. In other words, there are any field theories as a limit of the theory of superstrings. We also note here that hypothetically, bosonic strings can also be considered if the tachyon degrees of freedom form a condensate that is consistently separable from physical expressions, but such factorization has not been strictly proven to date [1,2].

At the same time, the ideas of QFT are so beautiful and fundamental that we can expect the existence of consistent quantum field models within the framework of the QFT itself. Note that in a sense, the theory of superstrings itself is a field theory. Let us recall another remarkable example of QFT that turned out to be consistent, mathematically rigorous, and logically closed: the Euclidean nonlocal QFT with a nonpolynomial interaction Lagrangian [3–9]. Such theories turn out to be dual to the models of statistical physics, allowing, among other things, better study of the latter [10–16]. In the opposite direction: the analogy with statistical physics allows us to shed light on the nature and structure of form factors that form nonlocality. In the end, the debate about whether nonlocality is something fundamental, or whether it is just a process, does not deny the very fact of the existence of such a wonderful QFT in the important for us case of four-dimensional spacetime. Yes, of course, there remains the question of how the analytical continuation to the Minkowski spacetime is arranged, but no-go theorem forbidding that does not exist [4,5].

If we accept the point of view that at any stage of our knowledge of the particle physics, we must always have a self-consistent theory without internal contradictions, to be sure that the observed processes can or, on the contrary, cannot be explained by it, we can come to the need to build the nonlocal QFT. The introduction of a nonlocal form factor, from a physical point of view, may mean that those processes that occur at too small distances that are not yet available to our experimental capabilities may be phenomenally taken into account by some parameters that have a reasonable physical meaning. In this case, the QFT problem is considered to be solved if a mathematical apparatus

is created that allows calculating the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory – the set of probability amplitudes of all possible transitions between the states of the physical system under consideration.

Another success of the nonlocal QFT relates to the description of the low-energy physics of hadron interactions (light hadrons). The so-called Virton-Quark model (nonlocal model of quarks) is used to describe the corresponding processes [5,17–21]. This model is an effective theory, since we are talking about describing a range of processes in the field of quark confinement, and from the first principles of QCD it is not possible to obtain a satisfactory description of low-energy hadronic interactions.

The nonlocal model of quarks is based on a beautiful and original hypothesis: quarks do not exist as ordinary physical particles, but exist only in a virtual state, i.e. like some quasiparticles. In the framework of QFT, such particles, called virtons, have the following properties. First, the field describing free virtons is identically zero, i.e. virton do not exist in a free state. In other words, the nonobservability (or impossibility of existence) of a particle in a free state means the identically zero field of such. Secondly, the causal Green function, i.e. the virton field propagator is nonzero and is a nontrivial function. In other words, virton exist only in a virtual state. In the language of a functional integral, this means that there are nontrivial generating functionals of the theory, in particular, the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory.

So, the virton field is a worthy candidate for the role of describing a quark field, and the corresponding theory does not need any additional fields (like a gluon field) to ensure the quark confinement. Moreover, there is a generalization of the theory, including the interaction of the electromagnetic field with the virtons. Further generalizations are possible, including in the theory additional branches of a process.

At the end of the review of the areas of theoretical and mathematical physics in which the apparatus of a nonlocal QFT arises, let us consider the functional (nonperturbative, exact) renormalization group (FRG) method, which is very popular today [22–25]. As it is known, the ultraviolet form factors defined as functions of the differentiation operators (in the coordinate representation) correspond to the regulators of the FRG flow of various generating functionals in the QFT and statistical physics. At the same time, FRG regulators are not always chosen as entire analytic functions, but the latter are most preferable if we want to obtain the equations of the FRG flow with the best analytical properties.

The scientist who made a fundamental contribution to the formation and development of a nonlocal QFT was Gariy Vladimirovich Efimov. Initially, his papers were devoted to the study of local QFT with nonpolynomial interaction Lagrangians [4,26,27]. These studies were developed in parallel and independently in the papers of Efim Samoylovich Fradkin [28–30], therefore this theory is called the Efimov–Fradkin theory. This theory was considered later by other authors in [31–41]. After that G.V. Efimov took a course on the study of nonlocality in such a model, to which, for example, papers [3,42–44] are devoted. It should also be noted the papers on alternatives of introducing nonlocality [45–51].

Further, together with Valeriy Alekseevich Alebastrov, the most important papers were carried out on the proof of the unitarity of the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the nonlocal QFT [52], and then causality in the nonlocal QFT [53]. However, the culmination of G.V. Efimov research, dedicated to the nonlocal QFT, became papers [54,55], in which the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory in the representation of basic functions (on the discrete lattice of functions) was first constructed and investigated in the case of the nonpolynomial theory [54], and then in the polynomial case [55]. Research of G.V. Efimov is described in detail in two brilliant monographs [4,5,19] and, for example, in the review [21].

A remarkable feature of papers [54,55] (see also [5]) is that the technique formulated in them makes it possible to investigate the strong coupling mode in the \mathcal{S} -matrix of various QFTs, and any strong coupling method in QFT even today is still as good as gold. Moreover, the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the nonlocal QFT with the nonpolynomial interaction Lagrangian can be reduced to the grand canonical partition function – an object well-known in statistical physics. Therefore, we can expect the appearance of new results at the crossover between two fundamental sciences.

This paper is based on two papers of G.V. Efimov [54,55] (see also [5]), however, there are fundamental differences. As in the cited papers, we consider the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the Euclidean metric. Further, following Efimov papers, it would be possible to consider the theory in a finite volume V (QFT in the box). If the theory is nonlocal, there is a well-defined basis of functions (eigenfunctions of a quantum particle, described by a D -dimensional in space Schrödinger equation with a potential in the form of a multidimensional infinitely deep well), in terms of which all further expansions are carried out. At the end of all calculations, we could go to the limit of infinite volume and, after the necessary renormalization, obtain final expressions for various physical quantities. Such an approach is an allegory of statistical physics, and the corresponding limit is the thermodynamic limit. Moreover, all summations are replaced by integration, for example, according to the Poisson summation formula, in particular, according to the Euler–Maclaurin formula.

We act in a different way, considering the QFT in the whole space initially. At the same time, the function of switching on and off the interaction g is not a constant. In other words, the coupling constant is an infrared smooth function: it is chosen in such a way that for large values of the spatial coordinate x the interaction Lagrangian vanishes. In a sense, such a statement of the problem is closer to the fundamental monograph of Bogoliubov and Shirkov [56,57]. Such a change is quite nontrivial, since the function g does not change the properties of the phase space of the system: the momentum variable k now runs through a continuous range of values, and the summation over the momentum is the integral initially. Moreover, as a basis of functions for all expansions, we choose the basis of Hermite functions (eigenfunctions of a quantum particle, described by the D -dimensional in space Schrödinger equation with a potential in the form of a multidimensional isotropic oscillator). Note that this choice is close to the monograph by Bogoliubov and others [58]. The resulting \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory will be called the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of basis functions (on the discrete lattice of functions).

The next distinctive feature of the paper is that we do not initially use any basis at all. The starting point of the theory is the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix, more precisely, the related generating function \mathcal{Z} written in terms of the abstract functional integral over the (scalar) primary field [22,59,60]. Using formal algebraic operations, such an expression can be represented as a grand canonical partition function. If the theory is nonpolynomial, the resulting partition function is a series over the interaction constant with a finite radius of convergence. For such a series (in principle), it is possible to establish a majorizing and minorizing series (majorant and minorant, respectively). This happens for nonpolynomial Euclidean QFT, for example, in the Sine-Gordon model, or when the polynomial term is modulated by the Gaussian function of the field [5]. Consequently, the \mathcal{S} -matrix of such theories can be studied by methods of statistical physics. In the case of the polynomial QFT, the resulting partition function should be understood in the spirit of a formal power series over the interaction constant.

In this paper, in both cases, the obtained series is an intermediate step in the derivation of an expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of basis functions. Using the expansion of the propagator of the free theory G into an infinite series over a separable basis of Hermitian functions (such decomposition always exists, since the propagator of the free theory G is a square-integrable function, and this fact is well known from the theory of Hilbert spaces), from the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix in terms of the partition function, we can further derive the desired expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory in the representation of basis functions.

Also, the statement of the problem of the nonlocal QFT is formulated in the case when the basis of functions is parameterized by a continuous variable k . It turns out that in this case, practically repeating the calculations, we can get an expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory, which will also be called the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of basic functions (on the continuous lattice of functions). The originality of the presentation is that we treat the integration measure $d\mu$ in all the integrals with respect to the momentum variable k , as with an ordinary variable. Such a consideration is mathematically correct, and the value of $d\mu$ can be considered as a formal variable, the actual value and meaning of which do not play a role up to some point. The resulting \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of basic

functions (on the continuous lattice of functions) is a mathematically defined object. For this reason, for its analysis we can use various mathematical techniques, for example, various methods of inequalities.

The central inequality used in this paper is Jensen inequality. It is necessary in order to formulate a variational principle for the resulting \mathcal{S} -matrix. For this, a majorant of the corresponding lattice integral is constructed, depending on some variational function q , after which the resulting majorant is minimized with respect to q . This technique is well-known, for example, from the polaron problem in terms of the functional integral (polaronics [61]).

However, when applying Jensen inequality in the continuous case, additional divergences arise as a consequence of the internal structure of the Gaussian integral measure $D\sigma$ on a continuous lattice of variables (this measure should not be confused with $d\mu$). It may seem that this negates the possibility of a program scheduled on the discrete lattice of functions. However, it is not. On the one hand, to solve this problem, we can use the FRG method [22]: we can construct the FRG flow, whose regulator R_Λ contains the measure $d\mu$ as its argument. In this approach, one can carry out (formal) calculations, after which, in the final results, one can use the renormalization (rescaling) of strictly defined “building blocks of theory” in terms of the physical equivalents. This approach is the allegory of Wilson RG, which consists of two steps: decimation and rescaling [22].

However, the following important question remains: what is the status of the original \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory in the representation of basis functions (on the continuous lattice of functions). Is it possible to redefine the original expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix by the rescaling of the same strictly defined building blocks of the theory, and in what sense Jensen inequality can be understood after? So, FRG approach reminds us the Cheshire Cat, the remaining smile of which suggests how we can define the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory from the very beginning. For this reason, we formulate an alternative *definition* for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory in such a way that the results on discrete and continuous lattices of basis functions for both polynomial and nonpolynomial QFTs, turn out to be consistent with each other, and the theory is mathematically rigorous and closed. Also, as a demonstration of this statement, we present a comparison of the results of the paper.

In conclusion, we briefly describe the organization of this paper. In the next, second, section of the paper, we will implement the program outlined in the framework of the general theory. Further, in the third section, we focus on the study of the polynomial φ^4 theory in D -dimensional spacetime. In this case, we restrict ourselves to the consideration of the variational principle with separable kernels of the resulting lattice and integral equations. This approach only increase the value of the majorant, that is consistent within the framework of the method. At the end of the section, we briefly discuss the case of the polynomial φ^6 theory in D -dimensional spacetime. In the fourth section, we turn to the nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon theory in the D -dimensional spacetime. Separable kernels of the lattice and integral equations will also be used there.

In the fifth section of the paper, numerical results will be presented for previously obtained analytical expressions. For simplicity of the numerical calculations, we restrict ourselves to the case $D = 1$. This choice is dictated only by computational considerations. The analytical results obtained in the paper do not require the choice $D = 1$ in principle. Also, for simplicity of the numerical calculations, the Gaussian function will be chosen as the propagator of the free theory G . Such a model is not far from reality: the propagator G in form of the Gaussian function is typical in the Virton-Quark model, generally accepted for describing the low-energy physics of light hadrons [5,17–21]. In the case of such a propagator, the final expressions will have the most simple and transparent form.

At the end of the fifth section of the paper, an original idea is proposed that consists in rethinking and redefining the original nonlocal QFT concepts: it proposes to consider the theory in a certain internal space with subsequent compactification into a physical four-dimensional spacetime. Such a cardinal step can change not only the meaning of the ultraviolet length parameter of nonlocal interaction l , reflect the criticism associated with the presence of ultraviolet and infrared parameters ratios in the theory, but even change the analytical properties of the Green functions, scattering amplitudes and form factors in terms of the physical variables that are obtained after compactification

from internal space with extra dimensions. At the same time, the method of compactification is determined by a process [1,2,62]. In other words, in the fifth section it is proposed to change the conceptual status of the nonlocal QFT. The final discussion of all obtained results will be given in the conclusion of the paper.

2. General Theory

2.1. Derivation of the \mathcal{S} -Matrix in Terms of the Grand Canonical Partition Function

In this subsection, we obtain an expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix in terms of the grand canonical partition function from the expression for it in terms of the *abstract functional integral* over the primary fields of the theory.

Let us consider the generating functional \mathcal{Z} written in terms of the abstract functional integral in the case of the free (Gaussian) theory. In this case, \mathcal{Z} is represented as [22,59–61]:

$$\mathcal{Z}[j] = \int \mathcal{D}[\varphi] e^{-S_0[\varphi] + (j|\varphi)} = \mathcal{Z}_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}(j|\hat{G}|j)}, \quad (1)$$

where $S_0[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi|\hat{L}|\varphi)$ is the action of the free theory, $\hat{L} = \hat{G}^{-1}$ – the inverse propagator, $j = j' + ij'' \in \mathbb{C}$ – source, which is in general a complex field, and the quadratic form of the source in the general case contains integration over x and y : $(j|\hat{G}|j) = \int d^D x \int d^D y j(x)G(x-y)j(y)$.

The generating functional \mathcal{Z} of the theory with interaction is written in the form [22,59–61]:

$$\mathcal{Z}[g, j] = \int \mathcal{D}[\varphi] e^{-S_0[\varphi] - S_1[g, \varphi] + (j|\varphi)}, \quad (2)$$

where $S_1[g, \varphi]$ is the part of the action responsible for interaction in the system (interaction action). The expression for $S_1[g, \varphi]$ reads as follows [4,5]:

$$S_1[g, \varphi] = \int d^D x g(x)U[\varphi(x)] = \int d^D x g(x) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \tilde{U}(\lambda) e^{i\lambda\varphi(x)} \equiv \int d\Gamma e^{i\lambda\varphi(x)}. \quad (3)$$

Here we have introduced the notation Γ for a point in the phase space of the system, which is the product of the spaces λ and x . We make an important remark. The Fourier transform of the interaction Lagrangian $\tilde{U}(\lambda)$ is generally not a classical function. In the general case, $\tilde{U}(\lambda)$ is a distribution (for example, in the case when the interaction Lagrangian is a polynomial in the primary field φ). Up to a certain point, the class of the function $\tilde{U}(\lambda)$ will not play a role.

We now expand the generating functional \mathcal{Z} in the expression (2) into a functional Taylor series coupling constant g :

$$\mathcal{Z}[g, j] = \int \mathcal{D}[\varphi] e^{-S_0[\varphi] + (j|\varphi)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a \right\} e^{i \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a \varphi(x_a)}, \quad (4)$$

where the value $(j|\varphi) = \int d^D z j(z)\varphi(z)$ is the scalar product of the source and primary field. The following representation is valid:

$$i\lambda_a \varphi(x_a) = \int d^D z i\lambda_a \varphi(z) \delta^{(D)}(z - x_a) = (i\lambda_a \delta_{\bullet x_a} | \varphi).$$

The notation X_{\bullet} means the value X , which argument does not require explicit indication. So, for example, the result of integration does not depend on which variable to denote the variable of integration. And the scalar product is defined in terms of the integral.

Using the resulting representation, the expression (4) is written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}[g, j] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a \right\} \int \mathcal{D}[\varphi] e^{-S_0[\varphi] + \left(j + i \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a \delta_{\bullet x_a} \mid \varphi \right)} = \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a \right\} \mathcal{Z}_0 e^{\frac{1}{2} \left(j + i \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a \delta_{\bullet x_a} \mid \hat{G} \mid j + i \sum_{b=1}^n \lambda_b \delta_{\bullet x_b} \right)} = \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a \right\} \left\{ \mathcal{Z}_0 e^{\frac{1}{2} (j \mid \hat{G} \mid j)} \right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \lambda_a \lambda_b G(x_a - x_b) + i \sum_{a=1}^n \hat{G} j(x_a)} = \\
&= \mathcal{Z}[j] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a \right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \lambda_a \lambda_b G(x_a - x_b) + i \sum_{a=1}^n \hat{G} j(x_a)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The result of the action of the operator \hat{G} on the source j reads as follows:

$$\hat{G}j(x_a) \equiv \int d^D z G(z - x_a) j(z) \equiv \bar{\varphi}(x_a).$$

Now we can introduce one of the central objects of QFT – the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory. The definition for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory in terms of the functional \mathcal{Z} , as well as the explicit expressions, have the following form (similarly [4,5]):

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] \equiv \frac{\mathcal{Z}[g, j = \hat{G}^{-1} \bar{\varphi}]}{\mathcal{Z}[j = \hat{G}^{-1} \bar{\varphi}]} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a e^{i \lambda_a \bar{\varphi}(x_a)} \right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \lambda_a \lambda_b G(x_a - x_b)}. \quad (5)$$

The expression (5) is the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory in terms of the grand canonical partition function. Regarding the latter, we make an important remark. If the Fourier transform of the interaction Lagrangian $\tilde{U}(\lambda)$ is classical, for example, a quadratically integrable function, the resulting partition function is a series with a finite radius of convergence. For such a series (in principle), one can establish a majorizing and minorizing series (the majorant and the minorant, respectively). This happens for nonpolynomial Euclidean QFT, for example, in the Sine-Gordon model, or when the term polynomial in the field is modulated by the Gaussian function. Consequently, the \mathcal{S} -matrix of such theories can be studied using the methods of statistical physics.

If the original interaction Lagrangian is a polynomial in the primary field φ , the Fourier transform of $\tilde{U}(\lambda)$ is a distribution. In this case, the expression (5) should be understood in the spirit of *formal power series with respect to the interaction constant*. In order to understand the value of such a series, it is necessary to consider the expansion of the propagator G into an infinite series over a separable basis. Such a decomposition always exists, since the propagator G is a square integrable function (this fact is well known from the theory of Hilbert spaces). We proceed to the presentation of this propagator splitting in the next subsection.

2.2. Propagator Splitting

To obtain the expansion of the propagator of the free theory G in an infinite series over a separable basis, we will take several steps. As a first step, we represent G in the following form (similarly [5,54,55]):

$$G(x - y) = \int d^D z D(x - z) D(z - y). \quad (6)$$

If the function D is even, then $\int d^D z D^2(z) = G(0) < \infty$, which is true in a nonlocal QFT. Then the function $D(z) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^D)$. As a second step, we introduce the notation

$$D_s(x) \equiv \int d^D z D(x - z) \psi_s(z), \quad s = (s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(D)}), \quad s_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

for coefficients of expansion of the function $D(z)$ over the basis of functions ψ_s

$$\psi_s(z) = \psi_{s(1)}(z_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \psi_{s(D)}(z_1),$$

where the building blocks of a basis are functions $\psi_{s(i)}(z_i)$ – one-dimensional Hermite functions. The latter are well known from the problem of a quantum harmonic oscillator, and their explicit definition reads as follows:

$$\psi_{s(i)}(z_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{s(i)} s(i)! \sqrt{\pi}}} H_{s(i)}(z_i) e^{-\frac{1}{2} z_i^2}. \quad (8)$$

Recall the completeness condition for basic functions

$$\sum_s \psi_s(z) \psi_s(z') = \delta^{(D)}(z - z'), \quad (9)$$

elementary reduced to a one-dimensional analogue, since (the second equality is valid if we truncate the lattice with the truncation parameter N)

$$\sum_s \equiv \sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_D=0}^{\infty}, \quad \sum_s^N \equiv \sum_{s_1=0}^N \dots \sum_{s_D=0}^N.$$

Next, consider the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s D_s(x) D_s(y) &= \sum_s \int d^D z D(x-z) \psi_s(z) \int d^D z' D(y-z') \psi_s(z') = \\ &= \int d^D z \int d^D z' D(x-z) D(y-z') \sum_s \psi_s(z) \psi_s(z') \\ &= \int d^D z \int d^D z' D(x-z) D(y-z') \delta^{(D)}(z-z') \\ &= \int d^D z D(x-z) D(z-y) = G(x-y). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we obtain an expression for the propagator G in the form of (infinite) sum of products of some functions, each of which depends on only one variable (x or y):

$$\boxed{G(x-y) = \sum_s D_s(x) D_s(y)}. \quad (10)$$

The expression (10) is the desired decomposition of the propagator of the free theory G into an infinite series over a separable basis. This expression will be used in the next subsection.

2.3. Derivation of the S -Matrix in the Representation of Basis Functions (Discrete Lattice of Functions)

In this subsection, we will in some sense make the opposite derivation for the S -matrix of the theory: from the representation for \mathcal{S} in terms of the grand canonical partition function we will derive the representation for \mathcal{S} in terms of the basis functions. The latter is well defined in the case when the Fourier transform of $\tilde{U}(\lambda)$ is a distribution, which is true, for example, for polynomial field theories.

To obtain the desired representation, we apply the method of the propagator splitting in the expression (5):

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \lambda_a \lambda_b G(x_a - x_b)} &= e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \lambda_a \lambda_b \sum_s D_s(x_a) D_s(x_b)} = e^{\sum_s \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{b=1}^n \lambda_a D_s(x_a) \lambda_b D_s(x_b) \right\}} = \\ &= \prod_s e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a D_s(x_a) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{b=1}^n \lambda_b D_s(x_b) \right\}} \equiv \prod_s e^{-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_s \bar{\zeta}_s} = \prod_s e^{\frac{1}{2} (i \zeta_s)^2} = \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_s^2 + i \zeta_s t_s}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the product over s is understood in the standard multiplicative way

$$\prod_s \equiv \prod_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \prod_{s_D=0}^{\infty}, \quad \prod_s^N \equiv \prod_{s_1=0}^N \dots \prod_{s_D=0}^N.$$

Then the expression (5) for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory takes the form:

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a e^{i\lambda_a \bar{\varphi}(x_a)} \right\} \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2 + it_s \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a D_s(x_a)}. \quad (12)$$

Further, the following long but quite simple chain of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a e^{i\lambda_a \bar{\varphi}(x_a)} \right\} \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2 + it_s \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a D_s(x_a)} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a \right\} e^{i \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a \left[\bar{\varphi}(x_a) + \sum_s t_s D_s(x_a) \right]} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^n \int d\Gamma_a e^{i\lambda_a \left[\bar{\varphi}(x_a) + \sum_s t_s D_s(x_a) \right]} \right\} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2} \right\} \left\{ S_1 \left[\bar{\varphi} \bullet + \sum_s t_s D_s \bullet \right] \right\}^n = \\ &= \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2} \right\} e^{-S_1 \left[\bar{\varphi} \bullet + \sum_s t_s D_s \bullet \right]}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This chain is based on the transformation of each replica (a term with a fixed value of s) in terms of the usual Gaussian integral. Each integral provides a new lattice integration variable t_s (lattice in the space of functions), the number of which is infinite. However, compared to the original abstract functional integral, such an infinite integral has several advantages. For example, it is easy to prove the existence and uniqueness of a given integral in a rigorous mathematical sense. Therefore, the latter can be investigated using mathematical techniques, for example, the methods of inequalities (in particular, the theorem of two policemen).

As a result of the done chain of transformations, we arrive at the following expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory (like in [5,54,55]):

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2} \right\} e^{-\int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s t_s D_s(x) \right]}. \quad (14)$$

We obtained the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the *representation of basis functions*. Let us make an important remark for further. The expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix (14) can be represented as a limit of the sequence of $\{\mathcal{S}_N\}_0^\infty$ functionals

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_N[g, \bar{\varphi}],$$

common member of which is

$$\mathcal{S}_N[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \left\{ \prod_s^N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2} \right\} e^{-\int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s t_s D_s(x) \right]}. \quad (15)$$

This prelimit expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix is convenient both in the case of a theoretical study and in numerical calculations.

In the next subsection, we consider the variational principle for the resulting \mathcal{S} -matrix. To do this, we construct a majorant of the corresponding lattice integral, depending on some function q_s of the discrete variable s . After that, we minimize the resulting majorant with respect to the function q_s . This technique is well known, for example, from the polaron problem in terms of the functional integral (polaronics).

2.4. Majorant and Variational Principle

The starting point of the variational principle is the following technique. In the expression (14) we perform the identity transformation:

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+q_s)t_s^2 + \frac{1}{2}q_s t_s^2} \right\} e^{-\int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s t_s D_s(x) \right]}. \quad (16)$$

Now we perform the following replacement of the integration variables:

$$t_s = \frac{u_s}{\sqrt{1+q_s}}, \quad dt_s = \frac{du_s}{\sqrt{1+q_s}}.$$

Further identical transformations of the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix read as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] &= \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{du_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+q_s}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u_s^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{q_s}{1+q_s}u_s^2} \right\} e^{-\int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s u_s \frac{D_s(x)}{\sqrt{1+q_s}} \right]} = \\ &= \int d\sigma_u e^{-\int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s u_s \frac{D_s(x)}{\sqrt{1+q_s}} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \ln(1+q_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \frac{q_s}{1+q_s} u_s^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In the second line of the expression (17) the following integral measure is introduced:

$$\int d\sigma_u \equiv \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{du_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u_s^2}. \quad (18)$$

This measure is called the Efimov measure.

All these transformations are identical. Now we use the inequality, which leads to the appearance of the majorant in this method. This inequality is Jensen inequality, which is the demonstration of the fact that the exponential function in the expression (17) is convex. At the same time, good enough variational principle is obtained if we choose the measure of integration in the appropriate way. Let us choose Efimov measure (18) in terms of the variables u_s as such measure. In this case, Jensen inequality reads as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] \geq e^{-\int d\sigma_u \left\{ \int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s u_s \frac{D_s(x)}{\sqrt{1+q_s}} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \ln(1+q_s) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \frac{q_s}{1+q_s} u_s^2 \right\}}. \quad (19)$$

Strictly speaking, this inequality for the \mathcal{S} -matrix gives us the minorant of the latter. However, in the following, we consider a different functional \mathcal{G} which is (minus) logarithm of the \mathcal{S} -matrix. This functional \mathcal{G} of the field $\bar{\varphi}$ is the generating functional of the amputated connected Green functions. The latter are the coefficients of the expansion of the functional \mathcal{G} into the functional Taylor series over the field $\bar{\varphi}$. By definition, for \mathcal{G} we have:

$$\mathcal{G}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = -\ln \mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}]. \quad (20)$$

The majorant of such a functional is the following expression:

$$\mathcal{G}[g, \bar{\varphi}] \leq \int d\sigma_u \left\{ \int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s \frac{u_s D_s(x)}{\sqrt{1+q_s}} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \ln(1+q_s) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \frac{u_s^2 q_s}{1+q_s} \right\}. \quad (21)$$

Let us transform the terms on the right side of the expression (21). Consider the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\sigma_u U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s u_s \frac{D_s(x)}{\sqrt{1+q_s}} \right] &= \int d\sigma_u \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \tilde{U}(\lambda) e^{i\lambda \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_s u_s \frac{D_s(x)}{\sqrt{1+q_s}} \right]} = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \tilde{U}(\lambda) e^{i\lambda \bar{\varphi}(x)} \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{du_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} u_s^2} \right\} e^{i\lambda \sum_s u_s \frac{D_s(x)}{\sqrt{1+q_s}}} = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \tilde{U}(\lambda) e^{i\lambda \bar{\varphi}(x)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \sum_s \frac{D_s^2(x)}{1+q_s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

In the remaining terms on the right-hand side of the expression (21), the integration with respect to Efimov measure is trivial and leads to unity. As a result of the calculations performed, the expression (21) takes the form (like in [5,54,55]):

$$\mathcal{G}[g, \bar{\varphi}] \leq \int d^D x g(x) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \tilde{U}(\lambda) e^{i\lambda \bar{\varphi}(x)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \sum_s \frac{D_s^2(x)}{1+q_s}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \left[\ln(1+q_s) - \frac{q_s}{1+q_s} \right]. \quad (23)$$

We have obtained the inequality for the generating functional \mathcal{G} , in other words, its majorant. This majorant depends on some function q_s , with respect to which the majorant will be minimized in the future. However, further calculations are possible only after specifying the theory (specifying the explicit form of the interaction Lagrangian). But before addressing this or that theory directly, within the framework of the developed formalism, we consider another interesting question: the derivation of the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of basis functions on the continuous lattice of functions. The following subsection is devoted to this question, to which we turn.

2.5. Derivation of the \mathcal{S} -Matrix in the Representation of Basis Functions (Continuous Lattice of Functions)

Let us consider the propagator of the free theory G , which appears in the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix (5), in the momentum K representation. To do this, we rewrite it in the form of the Fourier integral [3,8]:

$$G(x_a - x_b) = \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \tilde{G}(k) e^{ik(x_a - x_b)}, \quad \tilde{G}(k) = \frac{F(l^2 k^2)}{k^2 + m^2}. \quad (24)$$

The expression (24) presents a typical propagator in the nonlocal QFT: the F function is the ultraviolet form factor depending on the (ultraviolet) length parameter l , and m is the infrared mass. Note that in this case \tilde{G} is an even function of k : $\tilde{G}(-k) = \tilde{G}(k)$. We can reject the above example, but we will always restrict ourselves to \tilde{G} even in k . In this case, for G we can write the Fourier integral over cosines:

$$G(x_a - x_b) = \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \tilde{G}(k) \cos[k(x_a - x_b)]. \quad (25)$$

If we expand the cosine of the difference, we arrive at the expression for G in the form of the integral over the separable basis of cosines and sines, which is an analogue of the expansion in the series of some separable basis considered in the previous subsections. Note that trigonometric functions are not squarely integrable, but we can always use some kind of ultraviolet form factor if we need to follow the formality of the presentation.

Next we consider the quadratic in λ form that appears in the exponent in the expression (5), and use the Fourier integral for G . The following chain of equalities reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \lambda_a \lambda_b \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \tilde{G}(k) \cos [k(x_a - x_b)] = \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \lambda_a \lambda_b \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \tilde{G}(k) [\cos(kx_a) \cos(kx_b) + \sin(kx_a) \sin(kx_b)] = \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \tilde{G}(k) \left\{ \left(\sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a \cos(kx_a) \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a \sin(kx_a) \right)^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We introduce compact notations:

$$\sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a \cos(kx_a) \equiv \frac{\xi(k)}{\sqrt{G(0)}}, \quad \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a \sin(kx_a) \equiv \frac{\eta(k)}{\sqrt{G(0)}}. \tag{27}$$

Note that the ξ and η values introduced in this way turn out to be dimensionless, since the dimension of λ^2 should compensate for the dimension of G . The expression for the quadratic form takes the form:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\tilde{G}(k)}{G(0)} \{ \xi^2(k) + \eta^2(k) \}. \tag{28}$$

Since the Fourier transform of $\tilde{G}(k)$ is a positive function, we introduce a measure in k space according to the following rule:

$$\int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\tilde{G}(k)}{G(0)} \equiv \int d\mu(k). \tag{29}$$

Putting together all notations, we can rewrite the quadratic form in the exponent of the expression (5), and, consequently, the exponent itself in a compact and visual form. A remarkable property of such an expression is the fact that it allows us to formulate the so-called *multigral* (product integral):

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int d\mu(k) \{ \xi^2(k) + \eta^2(k) \}} = \prod_k e^{-\frac{1}{2} d\mu(k) \{ \xi^2(k) + \eta^2(k) \}}. \tag{30}$$

Multigral (30) plays the central role in the further derivation of the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of the basis functions which form the continuous lattice. But, before advancing further, it is instructive to draw parallels with the papers of G.V. Efimov [54,55] and with statistical physics.

In statistical physics, it is assumed that the system has a macroscopic volume V . In such a box we deal with different sums for a particular physical quantity. When the $V \rightarrow \infty$ limit is performed, the summation goes into semiclassical integration, and integral expressions arise. This approach was guided by G.V. Efimov, formulating the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the nonlocal QFT in terms of the functional integral. In our approach, it is assumed that there is no box V initially, but there is the function which turn the interaction on and off. Such a function affects the integral describing the interaction action, but does not affect the structure of the phase space of the theory (continuity of the momentum variable, etc.).

Let us return to the derivation of the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of the basis functions forming a continuous lattice. We represent the exponent containing $\xi(k)$ as follows (in the second equality, the integration variable t_k is replaced):

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2} d\mu(k) \xi^2(k)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_k}{\sqrt{2\pi d\mu(k)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2d\mu(k)} t_k^2 + i\xi(k)t_k} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt_k \sqrt{\frac{d\mu(k)}{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d\mu(k)}{2} t_k^2 + i\xi(k)t_k}. \tag{31}$$

A similar equality holds for the exponent containing $\eta(k)$. Both equalities are based on the representation of the corresponding exponentials in terms of ordinary Gaussian integrals, like in the case of a discrete basis (lattice) of functions. Note that we treat $d\mu(k)$ as an ordinary variable. Such transformations are mathematically correct, and the value of $d\mu(k)$ is the formal variable, the actual value and meaning of which do not matter for some moment.

As a result of the transformations done, the exponent in the expression (5) takes the form:

$$\prod_k \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} dt_{c,k} dt_{s,k} \frac{d\mu(k)}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d\mu(k)(t_{c,k}^2+t_{s,k}^2)} e^{id\mu(k)[\xi(k)t_{c,k}+\eta(k)t_{s,k}]}. \quad (32)$$

In terms of the original notations, this expression reads as follows (we introduced two families of integration variables, $t_{c,k}$ and $t_{s,k}$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_k \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} dt_{c,k} dt_{s,k} \frac{d\mu(k)}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d\mu(k)(t_{c,k}^2+t_{s,k}^2)} e^{id\mu(k)\sqrt{G(0)}\sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a [\cos(kx_a)t_{c,k}+\sin(kx_a)t_{s,k}]} = \\ & \left\{ \prod_k \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} dt_{c,k} dt_{s,k} \frac{d\mu(k)}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d\mu(k)(t_{c,k}^2+t_{s,k}^2)} \right\} e^{i\int d\mu(k)\sqrt{G(0)}\sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a [\cos(kx_a)t_{c,k}+\sin(kx_a)t_{s,k}]}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In the second line of the expression (33) we identified the Gaussian integral measure on a continuous lattice of the $t_{c,k}$ and $t_{s,k}$ variables explicitly. In terms of the integral over the variables $t_{c,k}$ and $t_{s,k}$, the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of basis functions reads as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \left\{ \prod_{k,\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt_{\sigma,k} \sqrt{\frac{d\mu(k)}{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d\mu(k)t_{\sigma,k}^2} \right\} e^{-\int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \psi_{\sigma}(kx) t_{\sigma,k} \right]}. \quad (34)$$

In the last equality we introduce the compact notation $\sigma \in \{c, s\}$, $\psi_s(kx) = \sin(kx)$ and $\psi_c(kx) = \cos(kx)$. So, we have obtained the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the representation of basis functions that form a continuous lattice. The expression (34) is the continuous analog of the discrete expression (14).

Assuming for Gaussian measure of integration the notation

$$\left\{ \prod_{k,\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt_{\sigma,k} \sqrt{\frac{d\mu(k)}{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d\mu(k)t_{\sigma,k}^2} \right\} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[t], \quad (35)$$

let us rewrite the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix (34) in a more compact and visual form:

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[t] e^{-\int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \psi_{\sigma}(kx) t_{\sigma,k} \right]}. \quad (36)$$

In this form, the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory is most similar to the expression for the generating functional \mathcal{Z} in terms of the abstract functional integral. However, all the quantities appearing in the expression (36) have rigorous mathematical definitions formulated in this subsection. For this reason, we can use various mathematical techniques, for example, various methods of inequalities, to analyze the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the form (36).

In the next (final) subsection of this section, we will consider the variational principle for the resulting \mathcal{S} -matrix. To do this, we construct the majorant of the integral (36), depending on a pair of functions $q_{\sigma,k}$ of the continuous variable k . After that, we minimize the resulting majorant with respect to the functions $q_{\sigma,k}$. However, the important difference between the continuous case and its discrete analogue should be noted: the naive definition of the \mathcal{S} -matrix (36) still contains the pitfall that arises as a result of the internal structure of the Gaussian integral measure on the continuous lattice of $t_{\sigma,k}$.

2.6. Redefinition of the \mathcal{S} -Matrix and Variational Principle

To better understand the reason why it is necessary to redefine the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory (36), let us consider the linear transformation of the integration variables $t_{\sigma,k}$ of the form $t_{\sigma,k} = A_{\sigma,k} u_{\sigma,k}$. With such a change of variables, the Gaussian measure of integration is transformed as follows:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\sigma[t] = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[u] e^{-\sum_{\sigma} \int \left[\frac{1}{2} d\mu(k) (A_{\sigma,k}^2 - 1) u_{\sigma,k}^2 - \ln(A_{\sigma,k}) \right]}. \quad (37)$$

After changing the variables the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix reads:

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[u] e^{-S_1 - \sum_{\sigma} \int \left[\frac{1}{2} d\mu(k) (A_{\sigma,k}^2 - 1) u_{\sigma,k}^2 - \ln(A_{\sigma,k}) \right]}. \quad (38)$$

For the generating functional of the amputated connected Green functions \mathcal{G} from (20), applying to the expression (38) Jensen inequality, we obtain the majorant of the functional (20), having the form of the sum of two terms:

$$\mathcal{G}[g, \bar{\varphi}] \leq \sum_{\sigma} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} (A_{\sigma,k}^2 - 1) - \ln A_{\sigma,k} \right) + \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[u] S_1. \quad (39)$$

Let us consider in more detail the first term on the right-hand side of (39). It is the $d\mu(k)$ -less expression. Such an expression can be defined in different ways, and, depending on the method, we obtain various definitions for the \mathcal{S} -matrix itself. For example, we can consider a version of the RG flow of the family of functionals \mathcal{F}_{Λ} in RG time Λ [22–24]:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}[g, \bar{\varphi}] \equiv \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) R_{\Lambda}[d\mu(k)] \left(\frac{1}{2} (A_{\sigma,k}^2 - 1) - \ln A_{\sigma,k} \right) + \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[u] S_1. \quad (40)$$

In the expression (40), the function (regulator) R_{Λ} sets the RG flow, and its argument is the measure $d\mu$. If the RG time is zero, $\Lambda = 0$, the expression (40) must be equal to the majorant obtained earlier. This method of definition allows one to obtain mathematically correct results, both for a pair of functions $q_{\sigma,k}$, and for the functional \mathcal{F}_{Λ} itself. In other words, in this approach it is possible to carry out (formal) calculations, after which, in the final results, we can use the rescaling of strictly defined building blocks of the theory in terms of physical equivalents, for example, in terms of the physical measure $d\mu_{ph} = d\mu R_{\Lambda}$. This approach is the allegory of Wilson RG [22–24], which consists of two steps: decimation and rescaling.

However, the following question remains important: what is the status of the original \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory in the representation of basis functions (on the continuous lattice of functions). We can to redefine the original expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix by the rescaling of the same strictly defined building blocks of the theory. However, this approach reminds us the Cheshire Cat, the remaining smile of which suggests how we can define the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory from the very beginning.

Let us take as the *definition* of the \mathcal{S} -matrix the following expression:

$$\mathcal{S}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \left\{ \prod_{k,\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_{\sigma,k}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_{\sigma,k}^2} \right\} e^{-\int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \psi_{\sigma}(kx) t_{\sigma,k} \right]}. \quad (41)$$

In the expression (41) we have changed the integration variables $\sqrt{d\mu(k)} t_{\sigma,k} \rightarrow t_{\sigma,k}$, and also redefined the basic functions $\psi_{\sigma}(kx) / \sqrt{d\mu(k)} \rightarrow \psi_{\sigma}(kx)$. These functions here and below will correspond to the

trigonometric functions $\cos(kx)$ and $\sin(kx)$. The important point is that the product over the modes (k, σ) is now understood as follows (f is an arbitrary function):

$$\prod_{k, \sigma}^{d\mu(k)} e^{f_{\sigma}(k)} = e^{\sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) f_{\sigma}(k)}. \quad (42)$$

The expression (41) here and below is the definition of the \mathcal{S} -matrix of a theory on the continuous lattice of functions. For the \mathcal{S} -matrix in the form (41) the variational principle will be formulated. Also note that the Gaussian measure of integration now has the form:

$$\left\{ \prod_{k, \sigma}^{d\mu(k)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_{\sigma, k}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_{\sigma, k}^2} \right\} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[t]. \quad (43)$$

The measure (43) is also normalized to one. Note that for the demonstration of the mathematical correctness of the formulated definition of the \mathcal{S} -matrix (41), we will compare the results on the discrete and continuous lattices of basis functions for both polynomial and nonpolynomial QFTs.

Let us return to the derivation of the majorant. The expression (39) now has the form:

$$\mathcal{G}[g, \bar{\varphi}] \leq \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \left(\frac{1}{2} (A_{\sigma, k}^2 - 1) - \ln A_{\sigma, k} \right) + \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[u] S_1. \quad (44)$$

We denote the required majorant by \mathcal{F} and represent it in the form of the sum of two terms:

$$\mathcal{F}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = I_1 + I_2, \quad I_1 = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[u] S_1, \quad I_2 = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \left(\frac{1}{2} (A_{\sigma, k}^2 - 1) - \ln A_{\sigma, k} \right). \quad (45)$$

The expression for I_1 after transformations that repeat the case of the discrete lattice of functions is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int \mathcal{D}\sigma[u] \int d^D x g(x) U \left[\bar{\varphi}(x) + \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \psi_{\sigma}(kx) A_{\sigma, k} u_{\sigma, k} \right] \\ &= \int d\Gamma e^{i\lambda \bar{\varphi}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) A_{\sigma, k}^2 \psi_{\sigma}^2(kx)}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Let us choose the function $A_{\sigma, k}$ in the standard way, also repeating the case of the discrete lattice of functions:

$$A_{\sigma, k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)}}. \quad (47)$$

As a result of all calculations, we obtain the desired expression for the majorant \mathcal{F} on the continuous lattice of functions:

$$\mathcal{F}[g, \bar{\varphi}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \left(\ln(1 + q_{\sigma}(k)) - \frac{q_{\sigma}(k)}{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)} \right) + \int d\Gamma e^{i\lambda \bar{\varphi}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{\psi_{\sigma}^2(kx)}{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)}}. \quad (48)$$

We have obtained the majorant \mathcal{F} for the generating functional \mathcal{G} on the continuous lattice of functions. This majorant depends on the pair of functions $q_{\sigma, k}$, with respect to which the majorant will be minimized in the future. Further calculations are possible only after specifying the theory, so in the following sections we will consider both the polynomial theories φ^4 and φ^6 and the nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon theory in the D -dimensional spacetime.

3. Polynomial Theory φ_D^4

3.1. \mathcal{S} -Matrix of the φ_D^4 Theory in the Representation of Basis Functions (Discrete Lattice of Functions)

In this section, we turn to the polynomial φ^4 and φ^6 theories in D -dimensional spacetime. We first consider the φ^4 theory in the case of the discrete lattice of basis functions. We derive an explicit expression for the majorant of the generating functional \mathcal{G} , and then, in the approximation of the separable kernel, we obtain and solve the equations of the variational principle for the function q_s . Using the obtained solution, we derive the optimal majorant for \mathcal{G} . Then we repeat the formulated program for the φ^4 theory in the case of the continuous lattice of basis functions. At the end of the section, we give comments on vertex operators and φ^6 theory.

For the φ^4 theory, the interaction Lagrangian U is the polynomial function $U(\varphi) = \varphi^4$. We also set the source to zero, $\bar{\varphi} = 0$. In this case, the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory reads as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}[g] = \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2} \right\} e^{-\int d^D x g(x) \left[\sum_s t_s D_s(x) \right]^4}. \quad (49)$$

By writing down the fourth power of the field, the interaction action can be represented as:

$$S_1 = \sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4} t_{s_1} t_{s_2} t_{s_3} t_{s_4} C_{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4}, \quad (50)$$

where coefficients $C_{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4}$ are

$$C_{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4} = \int d^D x g(x) D_{s_1}(x) D_{s_2}(x) D_{s_3}(x) D_{s_4}(x). \quad (51)$$

In terms of the introduced notations, the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory is represented as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}[g] = \left\{ \prod_s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt_s}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_s^2} \right\} e^{-\sum_{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4} t_{s_1} t_{s_2} t_{s_3} t_{s_4} C_{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4}}. \quad (52)$$

This integral, in principle, can be investigated by numerical methods, for example, Monte Carlo methods. Such research may be the subject of a separate paper. In this paper, we solve a simpler problem: in the following sections, we derive a majorant for \mathcal{S} .

3.2. Majorant of the \mathcal{S} -Matrix of the φ_D^4 Theory (Discrete Lattice of Functions)

Let us consider the expression (23) in relation to the theory with the interaction $U(\varphi) = \varphi^4$ and zero source. In this case, the Fourier transform of the interaction Lagrangian is the distribution, the explicit expression for which reads as follows:

$$\tilde{U}(\lambda) = 2\pi \frac{d^4 \delta(\lambda)}{d\lambda^4}. \quad (53)$$

For the distribution (53), the integral over x collapses into a certain coefficient $D_{s's''}$, and the majorant (23), denoted by the symbol F , is represented in the compact form:

$$F(q) \equiv 3 \sum_{s', s''} \frac{D_{s's''}}{(1+q_{s'}) (1+q_{s''})} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s'} \left[\ln(1+q_{s'}) - \frac{q_{s'}}{1+q_{s'}} \right], \quad (54)$$

where coefficients $D_{s's''}$ are

$$D_{s's''} \equiv \int d^D x g(x) D_{s'}^2(x) D_{s''}^2(x). \quad (55)$$

Further, guided by the program of the variational principle, one should find the function q_s of the discrete variable s (the set of numbers q_s) for which F is extremal. In other words, one should find the majorant closest to the original functional \mathcal{G} . To do this, we write the conditions for the extremum F :

$$\frac{\partial F(q)}{\partial q_s} = -6 \sum_{s'} \frac{D_{ss'}}{(1+q_{s'})(1+q_s)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{q_s}{(1+q_s)^2} = 0. \quad (56)$$

The condition (56) allows us to define the equation, which the desired set of numbers q_s satisfy. This equation reads as follows:

$$q_s = 12 \sum_{s'} \frac{D_{ss'}}{1+q_{s'}}. \quad (57)$$

The resulting infinite system of nonlinear equations is a rather complex mathematical construction. We simplify it with the help of another inequality – Hölder inequality. This inequality allows us to obtain an analogue of the system (57), but with the separable kernel. Note that the Hölder inequality is only increases the value of the majorant, therefore, is a legitimate step. It makes no sense to solve a complex infinite system of nonlinear equations for the sake of the answer, which itself is a majorant.

3.3. Integral Equation with Separable Kernel (Discrete Lattice of Functions)

Consider the kernel $D_{s's''}$ appearing in (57), and use the Hölder inequality. The following chain of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ss'} &= \int d^D x g(x) D_s^2(x) D_{s'}^2(x) = g \int d^D x \frac{g(x)}{g} D_s^2(x) D_{s'}^2(x) \leq \\ &g \sqrt{\int d^D x \frac{g(x)}{g} D_s^4(x)} \sqrt{\int d^D x \frac{g(x)}{g} D_{s'}^4(x)} \\ &= \sqrt{\int d^D x g(x) D_s^4(x)} \sqrt{\int d^D x g(x) D_{s'}^4(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Note that, as a measure, we choose the coupling constant $g(x)$ divided by the integral of it over the entire D -dimensional spacetime. So we can write the expression (58) in a compact form:

$$D_{ss'} \leq h_s h_{s'}, \quad h_s = \sqrt{\int d^D x g(x) D_s^4(x)}, \quad g = \int d^D x g(x). \quad (59)$$

Substituting the separable kernel (59) into the equation (57), we obtain the equation for q_s , the solution of which has an explicit analytical form:

$$q_s = 12A h_s, \quad A = \sum_s \frac{h_s}{1+12A h_s}. \quad (60)$$

Such a solution actually repeats the behavior of the interaction constant in the lattice representation h_s itself. The value A is found from the second equality in (60).

On the solution (60), the majorant F does not exceed its separable analog F_{sep} . The explicit expression of this inequality is:

$$F(q) \leq F_{\text{sep}}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \ln(1+12A h_s) - 3A^2. \quad (61)$$

The plots of the obtained solution q_s and the majorant F_{sep} will be constructed in the section devoted to the numerical results. Note that all the results obtained are valid for the lattice of basis functions of arbitrary dimension D corresponding to D -dimensional spacetime.

3.4. Majorant of the S -Matrix of the φ_D^4 Theory (Continuous Lattice of Functions)

In this and the next subsection, we will implement the formulated program for the φ^4 theory in the case of the continuous lattice of basis functions. Let us consider again the case of the zero source, $\bar{\varphi} = 0$. The expression (46) for I_1 takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\lambda \frac{d^4 \delta(\lambda)}{d\lambda^4} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{\psi_{\sigma}^2(kx)}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)}} \\ &= 3 \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} \int d\mu(k) \int d\mu(k') \int d^D x g(x) \frac{\psi_{\sigma}^2(kx) \psi_{\sigma'}^2(k'x)}{(1+q_{\sigma}(k))(1+q_{\sigma'}(k'))}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

As in the case of the discrete lattice of basis functions, we apply the Hölder inequality for splitting the dependence on pairs of variables (k, σ) and (k', σ') :

$$\int d^D x g(x) \psi_{\sigma}^2(kx) \psi_{\sigma'}^2(k'x) \leq \sqrt{\int d^D x g(x) \psi_{\sigma}^4(kx)} \sqrt{\int d^D x g(x) \psi_{\sigma'}^4(k'x)}. \quad (63)$$

Let us again introduce the notation $h_{\sigma}(k)$ for the interaction constant in the lattice representation as follows:

$$h_{\sigma}(k) \equiv \sqrt{\int d^D x g(x) \psi_{\sigma}^4(kx)}. \quad (64)$$

Thus, the expression (62) is majorized by a separable analog:

$$I_1 \leq 3 \left(\sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{h_{\sigma}(k)}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)} \right)^2. \quad (65)$$

As before, the desired majorant \mathcal{F} does not exceed its separable analog \mathcal{F}_{sep} . The explicit expression of this inequality is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[q] &\leq \mathcal{F}_{\text{sep}}[q] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \left(\ln(1+q_{\sigma}(k)) - \frac{q_{\sigma}(k)}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)} \right) + 3 \left(\sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{h_{\sigma}(k)}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

For the majorant \mathcal{F}_{sep} appearing in (66) in the next subsection the extremum equation will be obtained, and the expression for \mathcal{F}_{sep} on the solution of the equation, for the pair of functions $q_{\sigma, k}$, will be derived.

3.5. Integral Equation with Separable Kernel (Continuous Lattice of Functions)

From the extremum condition of the majorant \mathcal{F} appearing in (66),

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}[q]}{\delta q_{\sigma}(k)} = 0, \quad (67)$$

it is easy to obtain the equations for the pair of functions $q_{\sigma}(k)$. At the same time, solutions of the extremum equations for \mathcal{F}_{sep} , being solutions of integral equations with separable kernels, are in an analytical form:

$$q_{\sigma}(k) = 12A h_{\sigma}(k). \quad (68)$$

As in the case of the discrete lattice of functions, such a solution actually repeats the behavior of the interaction constant in the lattice representation $h_\sigma(k)$ itself. An explicit expression for the constant A reads as follows:

$$A = \sum_\sigma \int d\mu(k) \frac{h_\sigma(k)}{1 + q_\sigma(k)}. \quad (69)$$

Substituting the function (68) into the definition (69), we obtain the equation for the constant A :

$$A = \sum_\sigma \int d\mu(k) \frac{h_\sigma(k)}{1 + 12Ah_\sigma(k)}. \quad (70)$$

On the solution (68) – (70), the desired majorant \mathcal{F}_{sep} has the form:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{sep}}[q] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\sigma \int d\mu(k) \ln(1 + 12Ah_\sigma(k)) - 3A^2. \quad (71)$$

The plots of the obtained solution $q_{\sigma,k}$ and the majorant \mathcal{F}_{sep} will be constructed in the section on numerical results. As before, all the results obtained are valid for the lattice of basis functions of arbitrary dimension D corresponding to D -dimensional spacetime.

Let us make a number of final remarks for this section. First of all, using the methods developed in the section, we can investigate the case of a nonzero source $\bar{\varphi}$. On the discrete lattice, such a source can be represented as the series expansion over the functions D_s with some coefficients j_s . An arbitrary set of coefficients j_s corresponds to an arbitrary source $\bar{\varphi}$. If we choose the coefficients as the sums of the values of the functions D_s at fixed spacetime points x_α ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots$) with the new coefficients j_α such a choice of source will correspond to the so-called vertex operators. The following equalities illustrate this:

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \sum_s j_s D_s(x), \quad j_s = \sum_\alpha j_\alpha D_s(x_\alpha). \quad (72)$$

Vertex operators are very important objects, since the partial derivatives with respect to j_α of these operators give the values of the Green functions at the points x_α . Thus, instead of developing the technique of inequalities for Green functions, one can use the technique developed for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory with a source (72). Such research may be the subject of the separate paper.

Finally, we say a few words on the polynomial φ^6 theory (for arbitrary values of D). For such a theory, the Fourier transform of the interaction Lagrangian is also the distribution, the explicit expression for which reads as follows:

$$\tilde{U}(\lambda) = -2\pi \frac{d^6 \delta(\lambda)}{d\lambda^6}. \quad (73)$$

All the methods developed in this section allow us to investigate such a field theory by actually repeating the above. In particular, one can construct the majorants F_{sep} and \mathcal{F}_{sep} on the discrete and continuous lattices of basis functions, respectively. Such a research may also be the subject of the separate paper.

4. Nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon Theory

4.1. Majorant of the S -Matrix of the Sine-Gordon Theory (Discrete Lattice of Functions)

In this section, we consider the nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon theory in the D -dimensional spacetime. First we consider the theory in the case of the discrete lattice of basis functions. We derive an explicit expression for the majorant of the generating functional \mathcal{G} , and then we obtain the equations of the variational principle for the function q_s . Next, we find the upper bound $q_s^{(+)}$ and the lower bound $q_s^{(-)}$ for the function q_s . Then we find a simplified majorant for the generating functional \mathcal{G} , for which we obtain and solve the equations of the variational principle for the corresponding function q_s (we denote it by the same symbol, since its position in the text is such that will not confuse). Using the obtained solution, we define the optimal majorant for \mathcal{G} in the simplified case. Then we will repeat the formulated program for the Sine-Gordon theory in the case of the continuous lattice of basis functions.

Consider the general expression for majorant (23) in application to the Sine-Gordon theory. In this case, the Fourier transform of the interaction Lagrangian \tilde{U} is the distribution:

$$\tilde{U}(\lambda) = \pi [\delta(\lambda - \eta) + \delta(\lambda + \eta)], \quad (74)$$

and the majorant takes the following form

$$F(q) \equiv \int d^D x g(x) \cos [\eta \bar{\varphi}(x)] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_s \frac{D_s^2(x)}{1+q_s}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \left[\ln(1+q_s) - \frac{q_s}{1+q_s} \right]. \quad (75)$$

The expression (75) depends on the field $\bar{\varphi}$. We set to zero the variational derivative of F over the field $\bar{\varphi}$ in order to find the condition for the extremal field, therefore, the vacuum of the Sine-Gordon theory. The sought expression reads as follows:

$$\frac{\delta F(q)}{\delta \bar{\varphi}(y)} = -\eta \int d^D x g(x) \sin [\eta \bar{\varphi}(x)] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_{s'} \frac{D_{s'}^2(x)}{1+q_{s'}}} \delta^{(D)}(x-y) = 0, \quad (76)$$

which gives us the condition $\eta \bar{\varphi}(y) = \pi m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us choose the (vacuum) value of the field $\eta \bar{\varphi}_0 = \pi(2m+1)$. In this case, we reach the global minimum of the Sine-Gordon theory. In the future, the dependence on $\bar{\varphi}_0$ will not be explicitly indicated. The expression for majorant in this case is:

$$F(q) = - \int d^D x g(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_s \frac{D_s^2(x)}{1+q_s}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \left[\ln(1+q_s) - \frac{q_s}{1+q_s} \right]. \quad (77)$$

From the minimum condition (the partial derivatives of F with respect to q_s equal to zero), we obtain the equations of the variational principle for the set q_s , for which F is minimal:

$$\frac{\partial F(q)}{\partial q_s} = 0, \quad q_s = \eta^2 \int d^D x g(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_{s'} \frac{D_{s'}^2(x)}{1+q_{s'}}} D_s^2(x). \quad (78)$$

The resulting infinite system of nonlinear equations is a rather complex mathematical construction. The situation is even more complicated than in the φ^4 theory, since the system (78) contains an integral over x in an explicit form. For this reason, we will find upper bound $q_s^{(+)}$ and lower bound $q_s^{(-)}$ for the function q_s , in other words, such functions, that $q_s^{(-)} \leq q_s \leq q_s^{(+)}$.

4.2. Estimates for the Solution of the Variational Principle Equations (Discrete Lattice of Functions)

4.2.1. Upper Bound

We begin by defining the upper bound $q_s^{(+)}$. We use the fact that the natural measure can be defined on the discrete lattice, since the following equality holds:

$$\sum_s D_s^2(x) = G(0). \quad (79)$$

Next, in the expression (78) we use the Jensen inequality for a convex function which is the exponential function in this case. Then for the function q_s in (78) the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} q_s &\leq \frac{\eta^2}{G(0)} \int d^D x g(x) D_s^2(x) \sum_{s'} D_{s'}^2(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 G(0) \frac{1}{1+q_{s'}}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\eta^2}{G(0)} \sum_{s'} D_{ss'} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 G(0) \frac{1}{1+q_{s'}}}, \quad D_{ss'} \equiv \int d^D x g(x) D_s^2(x) D_{s'}^2(x). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

Note that in the expression (80) the integral over x appears only in the definition of the coefficient $D_{ss'}$.

We further assume that the coefficient $D_{ss'}$ appearing in the expression (80) is separable – thus, we will only intensify the inequality (80). We use the Hölder inequality to split the coefficient $D_{ss'}$. The result repeats the case of the φ^4 theory:

$$D_{ss'} \leq h_s h_{s'}, \quad h_s \equiv \sqrt{\int d^D x g(x) D_s^4(x)}. \quad (81)$$

Substituting the splitting (81) into the expression (80), and closing it with the function $q_s^{(+)}$, we obtain the equation with the separable kernel for the upper bound $q_s^{(+)}$. The solution of this equation has a simple analytical form:

$$q_s \leq q_s^{(+)} \equiv \frac{\eta^2 A^{(+)} h_s}{G(0)}, \quad A^{(+)} = \sum_s h_s e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta^2 G^2(0)}{G(0) + \eta^2 A^{(+)} h_s}}. \quad (82)$$

The first equality in the expression (82) shows that the estimate $q_s^{(+)}$ actually repeats the behavior of the interaction constant in the lattice representation h_s itself. The second equality in the expression (82) gives the equation for determining the coefficient $A^{(+)}$. Such an equation can be solved by numerical methods.

4.2.2. Lower Bound

Let us proceed to the definition of the lower bound $q_s^{(-)}$. We first introduce the following definition for the D_s harmonics of the interaction constant in the lattice representation:

$$\int d^D x g(x) D_s^2(x) \equiv g_s^{(0)}. \quad (83)$$

Now we can write the following chain of inequalities, based on the Jensen inequality for the exponential function and the Hölder inequality for splitting the arising coefficient $D_{ss'}$:

$$\begin{aligned} q_s &= \frac{\eta^2 g_s^{(0)}}{g_s^{(0)}} \int d^D x g(x) D_s^2(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \sum_{s'} \frac{D_{s'}^2(x)}{1+q_{s'}}} \geq \eta^2 g_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2g_s^{(0)}} \int d^D x g(x) D_s^2(x) \sum_{s'} \frac{D_{s'}^2(x)}{1+q_{s'}}} \geq \\ &\geq \eta^2 g_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2g_s^{(0)}} h_s \sum_{s'} \frac{h_{s'}}{1+q_{s'}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

The expression (84) allows us to obtain the equation with the separable kernel for the lower bound $q_s^{(-)}$. The solution of this equation has a simple analytical form:

$$q_s \geq q_s^{(-)} \equiv \eta^2 g_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2g_s^{(0)}} A^{(-)} h_s}, \quad A^{(-)} \equiv \sum_s \frac{h_s}{1 + \eta^2 g_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 A^{(-)} \frac{h_s}{g_s^{(0)}}}}. \quad (85)$$

The second equality in the expression (85) gives an equation for determining the coefficient $A^{(-)}$. Such an equation can be solved by numerical methods.

Note that the estimates $q_s^{(+)}$ and $q_s^{(-)}$ are simpler for calculations than q_s , since explicit integration over x in the corresponding expressions is missing. The plots of the obtained estimates $q_s^{(+)}$ and $q_s^{(-)}$ will be constructed in the next section.

4.3. Simple Majorant of \mathcal{S} on the Discrete Lattice of Functions

In the final subsection devoted to the Sine-Gordon theory on the discrete lattice of functions, we derive a simple majorant. First we introduce the measure of integration over to x :

$$\frac{1}{g} \int d^D x g(x) (\dots), \quad g \equiv \int d^D x g(x). \quad (86)$$

Next, we use Jensen inequality for the exponential function in the expression for the majorant (77) itself. The following transformations are valid:

$$- \int d^D x g(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_s \frac{D_s^2(x)}{1+q_s}} \leq -g e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{g} \int d^D x g(x) \sum_s \frac{D_s^2(x)}{1+q_s}} = -g e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{g} \sum_s \frac{g_s^{(0)}}{1+q_s}}. \quad (87)$$

The expression (87) allows us to write the expression for the simple majorant F_{simple} :

$$F_{\text{simple}}(q) = -g e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{g} \sum_s \frac{g_s^{(0)}}{1+q_s}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \left[\ln(1+q_s) - \frac{q_s}{1+q_s} \right]. \quad (88)$$

Next, we must derive and solve the equations of the variational principle for F_{simple} (88).

The minimum condition is:

$$\frac{\partial F_{\text{simple}}(q)}{\partial q_s} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{q_s}{(1+q_s)^2} - \frac{\eta^2}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{g} \sum_{s'} \frac{g_{s'}^{(0)}}{1+q_{s'}}} \frac{g_s^{(0)}}{(1+q_s)^2} = 0. \quad (89)$$

The expression (89) allows us to obtain a simple equation for q_s . The analytical solution to this equation reads as follows:

$$q_s = \eta^2 g_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{g} A_{\text{simple}}}, \quad A_{\text{simple}} = \sum_s \frac{g_s^{(0)}}{1 + \eta^2 g_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{g} A_{\text{simple}}}}. \quad (90)$$

As always, the value A_{simple} is determined by the second equality in (90). Substituting then the solution q_s in the form (90) into the expression (88) for F_{simple} , we obtain the desired simple majorant on the solution:

$$F_{\text{simple}}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \ln(1+q_s) - \left(g + \frac{\eta^2 A_{\text{simple}}}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{g} A_{\text{simple}}}. \quad (91)$$

This concludes the presentation of the Sine-Gordon theory on the discrete lattice of functions. In the remaining subsections of this section, we consider the Sine-Gordon theory on the continuous lattice of functions.

4.4. Majorant of the S -Matrix of the Sine-Gordon Theory (Continuous Lattice of Functions)

In this and the next subsections, we implement the formulated program for the Sine-Gordon theory in the case of the continuous lattice of basis functions. Let us consider the expression (48) in application to the Sine-Gordon theory. In this case, the expression (48) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[q] \equiv & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \left(\ln(1 + q_{\sigma}(k)) - \frac{q_{\sigma}(k)}{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)} \right) + \\ & + \int d^D x g(x) \cos[\eta \bar{\varphi}(x)] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{\psi_{\sigma}^2(kx)}{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

The minimum of the functional (92) is obtained when $\eta \bar{\varphi}(x) = \eta \bar{\varphi}_0 = \pi(2m + 1)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Sine-Gordon theory vacuum). In the future, the dependence on $\bar{\varphi}_0$ will not be explicitly indicated. The expression for the majorant (92) in this case has the following form:

$$\mathcal{F}[q] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \left(\ln(1 + q_{\sigma}(k)) - \frac{q_{\sigma}(k)}{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)} \right) - \int d^D x g(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{\psi_{\sigma}^2(kx)}{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)}}. \quad (93)$$

From the minimum condition of the functional (93), we obtain the following equation of the variational principle for the pair of functions $q_{\sigma}(k)$:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}[q]}{\delta q_{\sigma}(k)} = 0, \quad q_{\sigma}(k) = \eta^2 \int d^D x g(x) \psi_{\sigma}^2(kx) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_{\sigma'} \int d\mu(k') \frac{\psi_{\sigma'}^2(k'x)}{1 + q_{\sigma'}(k')}}. \quad (94)$$

The equation (94) is an analogue of the system of equations (78) used in the discrete case. As on the discrete lattice, to determine the solution $q_{\sigma}(k)$, it suffices to find upper bound $q_{\sigma}^{(+)}(k)$ and lower bound $q_{\sigma}^{(-)}(k)$.

4.5. Estimates for the Solution of the Variational Principle Equations (Continuous Lattice of Functions)

4.5.1. Upper Bound

We begin with the derivation of the upper bound $q_{\sigma}^{(+)}(k)$. We use the fact that the natural measure can be defined on the continuous lattice, since the following equality holds (a consequence from the explicit expression for the propagator of the theory):

$$\sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \psi_{\sigma}^2(kx) = 1. \quad (95)$$

Then we use again the Jensen inequality for the exponential function:

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{\psi_{\sigma}^2(kx)}{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)}} \leq \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \psi_{\sigma}^2(kx) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{1 + q_{\sigma}(k)}}. \quad (96)$$

Given the inequality (96), we obtain the following expression for the pair of functions $q_{\sigma}(k)$:

$$q_{\sigma}(k) \leq \eta^2 \sum_{\sigma'} \int d\mu(k') e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{1 + q_{\sigma'}(k')}} \int d^D x g(x) \psi_{\sigma}^2(kx) \psi_{\sigma'}^2(k'x). \quad (97)$$

As in the case of the φ^4 theory, we use the Hölder inequality to split the dependence on pairs of the variables (k, σ) and (k', σ') :

$$\int d^D x g(x) \psi_\sigma^2(kx) \psi_{\sigma'}^2(k'x) \leq h_\sigma(k) h_{\sigma'}(k'), \quad h_\sigma(k) \equiv \sqrt{\int d^D x g(x) \psi_\sigma^4(kx)}. \quad (98)$$

The expression (98) introduces the notation $h_\sigma(k)$ for the interaction constant in the lattice representation.

Substituting the splitting (98) into the expression (97), and closing it with the function $q_\sigma^{(+)}(k)$, we obtain the equation with the separable kernel for the upper bound $q_\sigma^{(+)}(k)$. The solution of this equation has a simple analytical form:

$$q_\sigma(k) \leq q_\sigma^{(+)}(k) = \eta^2 A^{(+)} h_\sigma(k), \quad A^{(+)} = \sum_\sigma \int d\mu(k) h_\sigma(k) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta^2}{1 + \eta^2 A^{(+)} h_\sigma(k)}}. \quad (99)$$

The first equality in the expression (99) shows that the estimate $q_\sigma^{(+)}(k)$ actually repeats the behavior of the interaction constant in the lattice representation $h_\sigma(k)$ itself. The second equality in the expression (99) gives the equation for determining the coefficient $A^{(+)}$. Such an equation can be solved by numerical methods.

4.5.2. Lower Bound

Let us proceed to the derivation of the lower bound $q_\sigma^{(-)}(k)$. First we introduce the following definition for the (k, σ) harmonics of the interaction constant in the lattice representation:

$$\int d^D x g(x) \psi_\sigma^2(kx) \equiv g_\sigma^{(0)}(k). \quad (100)$$

Now we can write the following chain of inequalities based on Jensen and Hölder inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} q_\sigma(k) &= \frac{\eta^2 g_\sigma^{(0)}(k)}{g_\sigma^{(0)}(k)} \int d^D x g(x) \psi_\sigma^2(kx) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \sum_{\sigma'} \int d\mu(k') \frac{\psi_{\sigma'}^2(k'x)}{1 + q_{\sigma'}(k')}} \geq \\ &\geq \eta^2 g_\sigma^{(0)}(k) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{1}{g_\sigma^{(0)}(k)} \sum_{\sigma'} \int d\mu(k') \frac{1}{1 + q_{\sigma'}(k')} \int d^D x g(x) \psi_\sigma^2(kx) \psi_{\sigma'}^2(k'x)} \geq \\ &\geq \eta^2 g_\sigma^{(0)}(k) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \frac{h_\sigma(k)}{g_\sigma^{(0)}(k)} \sum_{\sigma'} \int d\mu(k') \frac{h_{\sigma'}(k')}{1 + q_{\sigma'}(k')}}. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

The expression (101) allows us to obtain the equation with the separable kernel for the lower bound $q_\sigma^{(-)}(k)$. The solution of this equation has a simple analytical form:

$$q_\sigma(k) \geq q_\sigma^{(-)}(k) = \eta^2 g_\sigma^{(0)}(k) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 A^{(-)} \frac{h_\sigma(k)}{g_\sigma^{(0)}(k)}}. \quad (102)$$

The value $A^{(-)}$ appearing in the expression (102) is determined by the following equation:

$$A^{(-)} = \sum_\sigma \int d\mu(k) \frac{h_\sigma(k)}{1 + \eta^2 g_\sigma^{(0)}(k) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2 A^{(-)} \frac{h_\sigma(k)}{g_\sigma^{(0)}(k)}}}. \quad (103)$$

At the end of the subsection, we note that, as in the case of the discrete lattice, the estimates $q_\sigma^{(+)}(k)$ and $q_\sigma^{(-)}(k)$ are easier to compute than $q_\sigma(k)$, since there is no explicit integration over x in the corresponding expressions. It remains to derive the simple majorant of the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the Sine-Gordon theory on the continuous lattice of functions to which the next final subsection is devoted.

4.6. Simple Majorant of \mathcal{S} on the Continuous Lattice of Functions

Similarly to the case of simple majorant on the discrete lattice at the beginning, we use the Jensen inequality for the exponent function in the expression for the majorant (93) itself. The following transformations are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} - \int d^D x g(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{\psi_{\sigma}^2(kx)}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)}} &\leq -g e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{1}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)} \int d^D x g(x) \psi_{\sigma}^2(kx)} = \\ &= -g e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{g_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k)}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

The expression (104) allows us to write the expression for the simple majorant $\mathcal{F}_{\text{simple}}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{simple}}[q] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \left(\ln(1+q_{\sigma}(k)) - \frac{q_{\sigma}(k)}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)} \right) - g e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{g_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k)}{1+q_{\sigma}(k)}}. \quad (105)$$

Next, we must derive and solve the equations of the variational principle for $\mathcal{F}_{\text{simple}}$ (105).

From the minimum condition, we find the pair of functions $q_{\sigma}(k)$, for which the simple majorant is minimal, in analytic form:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{\text{simple}}[q]}{\delta q_{\sigma}(k)} = 0, \quad q_{\sigma}(k) = \eta^2 g_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \frac{1}{g} A_{\text{simple}}}. \quad (106)$$

The value $A^{(+)}$ appearing in the expression (106) is determined by the following equation:

$$A_{\text{simple}} = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \frac{g_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k)}{1 + \eta^2 g_{\sigma}^{(0)}(k) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \frac{1}{g} A_{\text{simple}}}}. \quad (107)$$

Substituting then the solution $q_{\sigma}(k)$ in the form (106) – (107) into the expression (105) for $\mathcal{F}_{\text{simple}}$, we obtain the desired simple majorant on the solution:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{simple}}[q] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mu(k) \ln(1+q_{\sigma}(k)) - \left(g + \frac{\eta^2 A_{\text{simple}}}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \frac{1}{g} A_{\text{simple}}}. \quad (108)$$

This concludes the presentation of the Sine-Gordon theory on the continuous lattice of functions. In conclusion, we note that the \mathcal{S} -matrix of this theory can be investigated by the methods of statistical physics, if we write \mathcal{S} in the form of the grand canonical partition function. In this paper, the Sine-Gordon theory was chosen as an example to demonstrate the methods developed in the framework of the general approach based on the representation of the \mathcal{S} -matrix of an arbitrary QFT in terms of basis functions. The study of the Sine-Gordon theory in D -dimensional spacetime by the methods of statistical physics can be the subject of a separate paper.

5. From $D = 1$ to $D = 26$ and Beyond

5.1. Polynomial Theory φ_1^4

In this subsection, we consider the majorant F_{sep} for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the polynomial φ_D^4 theory on the discrete lattice of basis functions for a particular type of the coupling constant $g(x)$ and the propagator $D(x)$ in the dimension $D = 1$. Both functions will be chosen in the form of the Gaussian function. Such a choice allows us to simplify analytical calculations in the maximal way, since the integrals of the product of the Gaussian function and the Hermite functions are again expressed through themselves, as it is well known from the theory of the Gauss–Weierstrass integral transformation [63]. This happens, for example, for functions $D_s(x)$.

5.1.1. Analytics

Let us choose the coupling constant $g(x)$ as a Gaussian function with amplitude $g(0)$ and (inverse) width w :

$$g(x) = g(0)e^{-wx^2}. \quad (109)$$

The parameter w determines how fast the interaction falls, therefore, must be expressed through some infrared length L . In the realistic model, the value of L should be the largest of all possible lengths in the system.

Next, we consider the propagator of the theory $D(x)$. We also select it as a Gaussian function with amplitude $D(0)$ and width ε . Note that the case of the local QFT for such a propagator is obtained by passing to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ when choosing the propagator amplitude $D(0) \sim 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Within the framework of the nonlocal QFT, the expression for the propagator with finite ε reads:

$$D(x) = D(0)e^{-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}x^2}. \quad (110)$$

In the process of calculating the majorant, we need to calculate the functions $D_s(x)$:

$$D_s(x) = \frac{D(0)}{\sqrt{2^s s!} \sqrt{\pi}} \int dz e^{-(x-z)^2} H_s(z) e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}. \quad (111)$$

To calculate the integral in expression (111), we use the following result from the theory of the Gauss–Weierstrass integral transformation [63] (the function F_u is an image of the integral transformation):

$$F_u(z) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy H_n(y) e^{-\frac{1}{2u}(z-y)^2} = \sqrt{2\pi u} \sqrt{(1-2u)^n} H_n\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-2u}}\right), \quad 0 \leq u < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (112)$$

For our problem, the application of the expression (112) reads:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy H_n(y) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}(x-y)^2} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{1+\varepsilon}} F_{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{x}{1+\varepsilon}\right). \quad (113)$$

Using the expression (113), we can calculate the integral for the functions $D_s(x)$ (111). After substituting the image F_u , the result reads as follows:

$$D_s(x) = \frac{D(0)}{\sqrt{2^s s!} \sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^s H_s\left(x \sqrt{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{1+\varepsilon}}. \quad (114)$$

In this form, the functions $D_s(x)$ will be used in the numerical calculations.

The integrals for the coupling constant in the lattice representation $h_s = \sqrt{\int dx g(x) D_s^4(x)}$ are calculated numerically. Having determined h_s , we use them to find the value of the constant A from the following equation:

$$A = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_s}{1 + 12Ah_s}. \quad (115)$$

Thus, having determined the quantities h_s and A , we arrive at the final expression for the majorant F_{sep} , which is easily found numerically:

$$F_{\text{sep}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \ln(1 + 12Ah_s) - 3A^2. \quad (116)$$

In the following subsection the results of the calculation on the lattice $s_{\text{max}} = 20$ at various values of the model parameters are presented. Let us note that the results obtained on the lattice $s_{\text{max}} = 10$ differ from those given in the present paper insignificantly.

5.1.2. Plots

In this subsection, we present numerical results (plots) for the majorant F_{sep} for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the polynomial theory φ_1^4 on the discrete lattice of basis functions. We also present plots of the variation function q_s .




Figure 1. The figures show the dependence of the variational functions q_s on s for different values of the parameters of width w and ϵ . At the same time, we chose the following system of units: the product $\sqrt{g(0)}D^2(0) = 1$, as well as the width of the basis functions $\psi_s(x)$.




Figure 2. The figure shows the dependence of the functions q_s on s for different values of the amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0)$. The parameters w and ϵ are fixed and equal to $w = 100$ and $\epsilon = 0.5$, respectively. The amplitude of the propagator $D(0) = 1$ (in this case, the variation of the product $\sqrt{g(0)}D^2(0)$ means the variation of the $g(0)$).

As one can see from the plots in Fig. 1, 2 the functions q_s decrease rapidly as s grows. Thus, we can cut the summation in the expression for the majorant F_{sep} (116) when calculating it.




Figure 3. The figures show the dependence of the majorant F_{sep} on the width w of the coupling constant $g(x)$ for different values of the parameters ε and the amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0)$. Left: $\sqrt{g(0)}D^2(0) = 1$. Right: $\varepsilon = 0.5; D(0) = 1$.




Figure 4. The figure shows the dependence of the majorant F_{sep} on the amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0)$ for different values of ε . The width parameter $w = 100$, the propagator amplitude $D(0) = 1$ (which demonstrates the dynamics with respect to the value $g(0)$).

At the end of the subsection let us make one remark. Selected units system is rather a toy system. These units are designed to demonstrate the existence of the nontrivial solutions within the framework of the general theory developed in the paper. The case $D = 1$ is also chosen for simplicity of the numerical calculation, since already in the case of $D = 4$ the complexity of the calculation increases significantly. However, in the final subsection we will offer an original point of view on the nonlocal theory in an arbitrary dimension of the spacetime D .

5.2. Nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon Theory

In this subsection, we consider the majorant F_{simple} for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon theory on the discrete lattice of basis functions. Many values will repeat those of the previous subsection. For this reason, we will focus on the interesting differences that arise in this theory.

5.2.1. Analytics

We begin the analytical calculations with rescaling of the expressions for the upper $q_s^{(+)}$ (82) and the lower $q_s^{(-)}$ (85) bounds of the variational function q_s . Then we rescale the expressions for the

simplified variational function q_s (90) and the majorant F_{simple} (91). Note that this rescaling is possible for any value of the spacetime dimension D .

Let us introduce the dimensionless parameter α and rescaled values $\bar{A}^{(+)}$ and \bar{h}_s as follows:

$$\alpha \equiv \eta^2 G(0), \quad \bar{A}^{(+)} \equiv \frac{A^{(+)}}{G(0)}, \quad \bar{h}_s \equiv \frac{h_s}{G(0)}. \quad (117)$$

In terms of (117), an analytical solution for the upper bound $q_s^{(+)}$ (82) reads:

$$q_s^{(+)} = \alpha \bar{A}^{(+)} \bar{h}_s, \quad \bar{A}^{(+)} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \bar{h}_s e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha \bar{A}^{(+)} \bar{h}_s}}. \quad (118)$$

Next, we introduce the rescaled values $\bar{A}^{(-)}$ and $\bar{g}_s^{(0)}$:

$$\bar{A}^{(-)} \equiv \frac{A^{(-)}}{G(0)}, \quad \bar{g}_s^{(0)} \equiv \frac{g_s^{(0)}}{G(0)}. \quad (119)$$

In terms of (119), the analytical solution for the lower bound $q_s^{(-)}$ (85) reads as follows:

$$q_s^{(-)} = \alpha \bar{g}_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \bar{A}^{(-)} \frac{\bar{h}_s}{\bar{g}_s^{(0)}}}, \quad \bar{A}^{(-)} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{h}_s}{1 + \alpha \bar{g}_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \bar{A}^{(-)} \frac{\bar{h}_s}{\bar{g}_s^{(0)}}}}. \quad (120)$$

For solutions (118) and (120) in the next subsection, numerical results (plots) will be presented.

Now we consider the simplified variational function q_s (90) and the majorant F_{simple} (91). Let us introduce the rescaled value \bar{A}_{simple} :

$$\bar{A}_{\text{simple}} \equiv \frac{A_{\text{simple}}}{G(0)}. \quad (121)$$

The analytical solution for the simplified variational function q_s (90) reads as follows:

$$q_s = \alpha \bar{g}_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{\bar{g}_s^{(0)}} \bar{A}_{\text{simple}}}, \quad \bar{A}_{\text{simple}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{g}_s^{(0)}}{1 + \alpha \bar{g}_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{\bar{g}_s^{(0)}} \bar{A}_{\text{simple}}}}. \quad (122)$$

Finally, we can write the expression for the majorant F_{simple} (91) in terms of the values defined in this subsection:

$$F_{\text{simple}}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \ln \left(1 + \alpha \bar{g}_s^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{\bar{g}_s^{(0)}} \bar{A}_{\text{simple}}} \right) - \left(g + \frac{\alpha \bar{A}_{\text{simple}}}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{g} \bar{A}_{\text{simple}}}. \quad (123)$$

For the solution (122) and majorant (123) in the next subsection, numerical results (plots) will also be given. But first we will make an important remark.

The results obtained are determined by the dimensionless parameter α . This parameter is the ratio of the ultraviolet length parameter from $G(0)$, and some length parameter from η . The parameter η in turn determines the scale of the interaction Lagrangian (the function U , which for the Sine-Gordon theory has the form (74)). The latter will also be considered ultraviolet [8]. A beautiful picture appears: the interaction Lagrangian as a function of the field U is driven by the ultraviolet length scale, but the interaction constant $g(x)$ is driven by some infrared length scale L (through w). Such a hierarchy of length scales forms a non-trivial nonpolynomial field theory. Thus, in the future it seems natural to choose the value $\alpha = 1$.

5.2.2. Plots

In this subsection, we present numerical results (plots) for the majorant $F_{\text{simple}} + g$ of the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon theory on the discrete lattice of basis functions. The shift g is introduced so that the Lagrangian of the interaction is positive everywhere, which leads to the positivity of the majorant itself by construction (in this case $\mathcal{S} < 1$). We also present plots of the variational function q_s , estimates $q_s^{(+)}$ and $q_s^{(-)}$. Everywhere accepted $\alpha = 1$.




Figure 5. The figures show the dependence of the variational functions $q_s^{(+)}$ on s . Left: w changes, $\varepsilon = 0.5$. Right: ε changes, $w = 100$.




Figure 6. The figure shows the dependence of the functions $q_s^{(+)}$ on s for different values of the amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0)$. The parameters w and ε are fixed and equal to $w = 100$ and $\varepsilon = 0.5$, respectively.




Figure 7. The figures show the dependence of the variational functions $q_s^{(-)}$ on s . Left: w changes, $\varepsilon = 0.5$. Right: ε changes, $w = 100$.




Figure 8. The figure shows the dependence of the functions $q_s^{(-)}$ on s for different values of the amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0)$. The parameters w and ε are fixed and equal to $w = 100$ and $\varepsilon = 0.5$, respectively.




Figure 9. The figures show the dependence of the variational functions $q_s^{(-)}$ on s . Left: w changes, $\varepsilon = 0.5$. Right: ε changes, $w = 100$.




Figure 10. The figure shows the dependence of the functions $q_s^{(-)}$ on s for different values of the amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0)$. The parameters w and ε are fixed and equal to $w = 100$ and $\varepsilon = 0.5$, respectively.




Figure 11. The figures show the dependence of the majorant $F_{\text{simple}} + g$ on the width of the coupling constant w for different values of the amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0)$. On the right plot, the curve $g(0) = 10$ is excluded for detailing the other two.




Figure 12. The figure shows the dependence of the majorant $F_{\text{simple}} + g$ on the width of the coupling constant w for different values of the parameters ε . The amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0) = 100$.




Figure 13. The figure shows the dependence of the majorant $F_{\text{simple}} + g$ on the amplitude of the coupling constant $g(0)$ for different values of the parameters ε . The width parameter $w = 100$.

At the end of the subsection let us make one remark. Selected units system is already less toy-like, since the choice of $\alpha = 1$ describes a realistic nonlocal QFT. However, the case $D = 1$ is still chosen for simplicity of the numerical calculation. It is interesting to consider such a theory in the spacetime of high dimension D . We discuss the large values of D and their possible role in the nonlocal QFT in the next final subsection.

5.3. Nonlocal QFT and Compactification Process

Let us return to the expression for the propagator of the free theory G in the momentum k representation (24): the function F represents the ultraviolet form factor depending on the (ultraviolet)

length parameter l , and the value m is the infrared mass. Explicitly highlighting the dimension of the propagator G in terms of the length parameter l , we present the rest as a dimensionless function \bar{G} of dimensionless combinations of arguments. We write the same equality for the exact propagator of the theory with the interaction $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ (here the $\bar{\mathcal{G}}^{(2)}$ is a dimensionless function). The following equalities illustrate this:

$$\tilde{G}(k) = \frac{F(l^2 k^2)}{k^2 + m^2} = l^2 \bar{G}(l^2 k^2, l^2 m^2), \quad \mathcal{G}^{(2)}(k) = l^2 \bar{\mathcal{G}}^{(2)}(l^2 k^2, l^2 m^2, \dots). \quad (124)$$

To obtain a complete picture, let us represent in a similar way a n particle Green function of the theory with interaction $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ (here the dimensionless function is $\bar{\mathcal{G}}^{(n)}$, and D_n determines the dimension of the corresponding Green function):

$$\mathcal{G}^{(n)}(k_1, \dots, k_n) = l^{D_n} \bar{\mathcal{G}}^{(n)}(lk_1, \dots, lk_n). \quad (125)$$

Expressions (124) – (125) are the subject of the discussion in this subsection.

In the framework of the FRG method [22] for the study of dimensionless functions $\bar{\mathcal{G}}^{(n)}$ the corresponding flow is constructed over the parameter $\Lambda = 1/l$. The value of Λ varies from some Λ_0 to 0. If we want to work with a fixed value of l (respectively, a fixed Λ), it is necessary to understand the role that l plays among the observed physical quantities. Moreover, we want to avoid criticism of the fact that in the physical theory there contains a ratio of ultraviolet l and infrared L scales, since the latter is extremely small. All these questions can be treated in the traditional way, appealing to various renormalization procedures. We offer an original look at what is happening.

Let us turn to the general ideas of the string theory [1,2,62]. Within the framework of this theory, a certain N -component φ_α field is formulated, which is defined on a two-dimensional manifold x and is described by the action of the sigma model. The metrics of both spaces (internal, corresponding to x , and external, corresponding to φ_α) are also specified. Since in our theory the field φ is one-component, the metric of the corresponding spacetime is trivial. However, there may be a nontrivial metric on the manifold x , which we must not consider as two-dimensional from the very beginning. The action within the framework of the nonlocal QFT is chosen in arbitrary form, not necessarily in the form of the sigma model. For example, we can consider the Sine-Gordon theory in the D -dimensional spacetime (we repeat, $D \neq 2$ in the general case). Next, the fields are expanded according to a basis, and the functional integral is calculated by methods developed in the framework of the nonlocal QFT. In the latter, we have a discrepancy with standard string theory.

Further, in the string theory, the low-energy effective action is usually calculated (for example, the action of the theory of gravity + gauge field + dilaton) in the D -dimensional spacetime, and after that the building blocks of the theory are redefined in terms of some equivalents, for example, gravity constant using the dilaton vacuum field value. Then, finally, classical field configurations, for example, branes, are introduced into the theory, and/or a *compactification* of D -dimensional spacetime is made, depending on a *process*.

We can perform the compactification of the D -dimensional space of the momentum k at the stage after calculating the Green functions in terms of the functional integral. In other words, we propose a compactification of the k variable appearing in the expressions (124) – (125) after explicitly calculating them. As a result, for example, the product of $l^2 k^2$ will transform into the new value $l_{eff}^2 k_4^2$, defined in terms of the values of l_{eff} and k_4 corresponding to the four-dimensional spacetime x_4 . At the same time, the values of l and l_{eff} can drastically differ. Note that in the middle of the last century, QFT models were proposed that contain a nontrivial metric of momentum k_4 space [64]. Such an approach violated, for example, the Lorentz invariance of the theory. If we use the nontrivial metric of the internal momentum k space, this problem can be avoided. As a metric, we can chose, for example, the Euclidean anti-de Sitter space metric.

Developing the idea, we can even change, for example, the exponential behavior of the Green functions, the scattering amplitudes and form factors to a power law or some other, thus changing the analytical properties of functions. At the same time, the initial theory (in the first line) satisfies all the requirements for the nonlocal QFT. In other words, looking at the values of l and k , as some internal variables at the time when physical variables correspond to l_{eff} and k_4 , changes the conceptual status of the nonlocal QFT. The theory of nonlocal interactions in a certain internal space has enormous degrees of freedom to describe the Physical World. This also includes attempts to construct the noncommutative QFT [64–66], since such a theory is in a certain sense the field theory with the form factor.

Summing up, everything said is actually based on rethinking and redefining the concepts of the original theory: nonlocal QFT, noncommutative QFT, like many other fascinating mathematical constructions originating from the middle of the last century, can play a worthy role in the description of Nature, if we change the meaning of the original concepts and building blocks of the theory. The authors plan to devote a separate publication to the study of the nonlocal QFT in a certain internal space with subsequent compactification to the Physical World.

6. Conclusion

In the present paper, in the spirit of [3–5,54,55], the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the nonlocal scalar QFT in the Euclidean metric in various representations, both for polynomial and nonpolynomial interaction Lagrangians, is considered. The theory is formulated in the D -dimensional coordinate x and momentum k representations, and the interaction constant g is chosen as an infrared-smooth function of its argument so that for large values of the spatial coordinate x the interaction Lagrangian vanishes.

In this paper, various representations for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory are investigated. As an initial representation for the \mathcal{S} -matrix, an expression is chosen for \mathcal{S} (related to it \mathcal{Z}) in terms of the abstract functional integral over a primary scalar field. From this expression, the representation for \mathcal{S} (related to it \mathcal{Z}) is derived in terms of the grand canonical partition function. As mentioned above, this partition function is a classical series over the interaction constant in the case of a nonpolynomial theory, and an abstract (formal) series over the interaction constant in the case of a polynomial QFT. Note that this derivation is original in the literature.

Then, from the expression for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory in terms of the partition function, we derive the representation for \mathcal{S} in terms of the basis functions. This derivation is presented twice: first for the case of the discrete basis of functions, which are Hermite functions (discrete lattice of functions), and then for the case of the continuous basis of functions, which are trigonometric functions (continuous lattice of functions). Note that the latter can always be made squarely integrable by some modulating function, which is omitted in all expressions of this paper. The obtained representations for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory on discrete and continuous lattices of functions are original.

The obtained expressions for the \mathcal{S} -matrix are investigated within the framework of the variational principle based on Jensen inequality. With the help of the latter, the majorant of the corresponding lattice integrals is constructed. Equations with separable kernels that the variational function q satisfies are found and solved. These results were obtained first in the framework of the general theory, and then studied in detail, both for the polynomial theory φ^4 (with comments for φ^6) and the nonpolynomial Sine-Gordon theory in the D -dimensional spacetime. In the latter case, a scenario is proposed for improving the corresponding majorant. All described results are original.

As mentioned above, when applying Jensen inequality in the continuous case, additional divergences arise that are a consequence of the internal structure of the Gaussian integral measure $D\sigma$ on the continuous lattice of variables. To solve this problem, drawing on the experience of the FRG theory (the FRG flow whose regulator R_Λ contains the measure $d\mu$ as its argument), the original definition of the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the theory was proposed. In this approach, the corresponding calculations were made. At the same time, the definition was formulated in such a way that the obtained results of the paper on discrete and continuous lattices of basis functions for both polynomial and nonpolynomial QFTs turned out to be consistent with each other, and the theory turned out to be mathematically rigorous and closed. As a verification of the last statement, a comparison was made of the analytical results of the paper on discrete and continuous lattices of basis functions.

The analytical results obtained in the paper (on discrete lattice of functions) are illustrated numerically. Plots of variational functions q and corresponding majorants for \mathcal{S} -matrices of scalar nonlocal QFTs discussed in this paper are constructed. For reasons of simplicity of numerical calculation we restricted ourselves to case of $D = 1$, however, the analytical results obtained in the paper do not require the choice $D = 1$ in principle. All numerical results were obtained for the case when the propagator of the free theory G is a Gaussian function, which is typical in the Virton-Quark Model. This choice is also dictated by considerations of simplicity of the numerical calculation.

Also in the present paper, an original idea was proposed to rethink and redefine the concepts of the original nonlocal QFT. It was suggested to consider the theory in the D -dimensional momentum space k , the dimension of which exceeds (perhaps even significantly) the dimension of the physical spacetime. After obtaining the answers for the Green functions, scattering amplitudes and form factors in terms of the functional integral, the compactification of such D -dimensional space into four-dimensional and below is proposed. As a metric of the original k space, we can choose, for example, metric of the

Euclidean anti-de Sitter space. This idea allows us to reflect the criticism associated with the presence of ultraviolet and infrared parameters in the theory. Moreover, this idea makes it possible to change the analytical properties, for example, of Green functions, if the latter are expressed in terms of the values of the compactified (physical) space. The method of compactification is determined by a process.

Now we note possible further research directions. First of all, we must look for ways to improve the variational principle (increase the accuracy of the majorant), which is central in this paper. Further, it is interesting to study the methods of this paper for various generating functionals, various families of Green functions and also various composite operators. Another interesting direction is the study of the dependence of the results obtained in the framework of the nonlocal QFT on the ultraviolet parameter l . Also, a separate interesting and challenging task is the construction of analytical continuations of the results obtained in the framework of the Euclidean nonlocal QFT to the Minkowski spacetime.

The next interesting area of research is the study of various functional equations for the \mathcal{S} -matrix of the nonlocal QFT, for example, the Schwinger–Dyson functional equation. The inclusion of fermions in the nonlocal QFT is also important. The most important model in this direction is the *Yukawa model*. The authors are planning to devote a separate publication to the study of this model. Finally, it is interesting to investigate the nonlocal quantum theory of the scalar field in the curved spacetime. The simplest example of such a spacetime is *Euclidean anti-de Sitter spacetime*. This study is also the subject of a separate planned publication.

In conclusion, it should be noted that all the results obtained in this paper, as well as all further research directions in the nonlocal QFT, have been made possible thanks to the fundamental contribution to the formation and development of the nonlocal QFT made by Gariy Vladimirovich Efimov. Reading his papers, it is impossible not to give them justice to the same extent, in which it is impossible not to feel that they were all groped, so to speak. Only due to the brilliant combination in G.V. Efimov, the scientific work of which ended with publications [67–70], rigorous mathematical culture and tremendous physical intuition made it possible that nonlocal QFT methods are able to take a worthy place in the description of Nature.

Author Contributions: Ivan V. Chebotarev: software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, visualization; Vladislav A. Guskov: software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, visualization; Stanislav L. Ogarkov: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, supervision; Matthew Bernard: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: Author S.L. Ogarkov is deeply grateful to his wife Yulia, whose infinite love and wisdom have been the significant support for him throughout the entire scientific research. Authors are grateful to N.V. Antonov, M.A. Ivanov, M.G. Ivanov, D.I. Kazakov, A. Krikun, S.N. Nedelko and D.B. Rogozkin for stimulating discussions. We express special gratitude to S.E. Kuratov and A.V. Andriyash for the opportunity to develop this research at The Center for Fundamental and Applied Research VNIIA.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

QFT	Quantum Field Theory
QED	Quantum Electrodynamics
QCD	Quantum Chromodynamics
SM	Standard Model
RG	Renormalization Group
FRG	Functional Renormalization Group

References

1. T. Mohaupt, *Introduction to String Theory*, (Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg: 2003)
2. J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK: 1998)
3. G. V. Efimov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **2(3)**: 217–223 (1970)
4. G. V. Efimov, *Nonlocal Interactions of Quantized Fields*, (Nauka, Moscow: 1977) (in Russian)
5. G. V. Efimov, *Problems of the Quantum Theory of Nonlocal Interactions*, (Nauka, Moscow: 1985) (in Russian)
6. D. Ya. Petrina and V. I. Skripnik, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **8(3)**: 896–904 (1971)
7. A. L. Rebenko, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **11(3)**: 525–536 (1972)
8. A. G. Basuev, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **16(3)**: 835–842 (1973)
9. A. G. Basuev, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **22(2)**: 142–148 (1975)
10. D. C. Brydges and P. A. Martin, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **96(5-6)**: 1163–1330 (1999)
11. A. L. Rebenko, *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **43(3)**: 65–116 (1988)
12. D. C. Brydges and P. Federbush, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **73(3)**: 197–246 (1980)
13. A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, (Harwood Academic Publishers GmbH, Chur, Switzerland: 1987)
14. A. M. Polyakov, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **120(3)**: 429–458 (1977)
15. Stu Samuel, *Phys. Rev. D*, **18(6)**: 1916 (1978)
16. L. O’Raifeartaigh, J. M. Pawłowski and V. V. Sreedhar, *Annals of Physics*, **277**: 117–143 (1999)
17. G. V. Efimov and M. A. Ivanov, *Sov. J. Part. Nucl.*, **12(5)**: 489–508 (1981)
18. G. V. Efimov and M. A. Ivanov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **4(8)**: 2031–2060 (1989)
19. G. V. Efimov and M. A. Ivanov, *The Quark Confinement Model of Hadrons*, (Taylor and Francis Group, New York, USA: 1993)
20. G. V. Efimov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **128(3)**: 1169–1175 (2001)
21. G. V. Efimov, *Phys. Part. Nucl.*, **35(5)**: 598–618 (2004)
22. P. Kopietz, L. Bartosch and F. Schütz, *Introduction to the Functional Renormalization Group*, (Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg: 2010)
23. A. Wipf, *Statistical Approach to Quantum Field Theory*, (Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg: 2013)
24. O. J. Rosten, *Phys. Rep.*, **511(4)**: 177–272 (2012)
25. Y. Igarashi, K. Itoh and H. Sonoda, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement*, **181**: 1–166 (2009)
26. G. V. Efimov, *JETP*, **17(6)**: 1417–1423 (1963)
27. G. V. Efimov, *JETP*, **21(2)**: 395–401 (1965)

28. E. S. Fradkin, *Nucl. Phys.*, **49**: 624–640 (1963)
29. E. S. Fradkin, *Nucl. Phys.*, **76(3)**: 588–624 (1966)
30. E. S. Fradkin, *Selected Papers on Theoretical Physics (Papers in English and Russian)*, (Nauka, Moscow: 2007)
31. M. K. Volkov, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **7(4)**: 289–304 (1968)
32. M. K. Volkov, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **15(1)**: 69–82 (1969)
33. M. K. Volkov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **2(2)**: 144–152 (1970)
34. B. W. Lee and B. Zumino, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **13(3)**: 671–686 (1969)
35. R. Delbourgo, A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Phys. Rev.*, **187**: 1999 (1969)
36. A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Phys. Rev. D*, **1(12)**: 3296 (1970)
37. D. I. Fivel and P. K. Mitter, *Phys. Rev. D*, **1(12)**: 3270 (1970)
38. S. Graffi and V. Grecchi, *Phys. Rev. D*, **6(2)**: 493 (1972)
39. Z. Horvath and G. Pocsik, *Ann. Phys.*, **74(2)**: 555–566 (1972)
40. M. La Camera and A. Wataghin, *Nuov. Cim. A*, **17(4)**: 526–534 (1973)
41. S. N. Biswas, G. P. Malik and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Phys. Rev. D*, **7(10)**: 2884 (1973)
42. G. V. Efimov, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **5(1)**: 42–56 (1967)
43. G. V. Efimov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **2(1)**: 26–40 (1970)
44. G. V. Efimov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **25(1)**: 951–957 (1975)
45. A. G. Basuev and A. N. Vasil'ev, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **18(2)**: 129–135 (1974)
46. V. V. Belokurov, Yu. P. Solov'ev and E. T. Shavgulidze, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **109(1)**: 1287–1293 (1996)
47. V. V. Belokurov, Yu. P. Solov'ev and E. T. Shavgulidze, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **109(1)**: 1294–1301 (1996)
48. L. D. Korsun, A. N. Sisakyan and I. L. Solovtsov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **90(1)**: 22–34 (1992)
49. D. I. Kazakov, O. V. Tarasov and D. V. Shirkov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **38(1)**: 9–16 (1979)
50. D. I. Kazakov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **46(3)**: 227–236 (1981)
51. D. I. Kazakov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **75(1)**: 440–442 (1988)
52. G. V. Efimov and V. A. Alebastrov, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **31(1)**: 1–24 (1973)
53. G. V. Efimov and V. A. Alebastrov, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **38(1)**: 11–28 (1974)
54. G. V. Efimov, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **57(3)**: 235–258 (1977)
55. G. V. Efimov, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **65(1)**: 15–44 (1979)
56. N. N. Bogoliubov and D. V. Shirkov, *Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields*, (A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons Inc, USA: 1980)
57. N. N. Bogoliubov and D. V. Shirkov, *Quantum Fields*, (Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company Inc, USA: 1983)
58. N. N. Bogolyubov, A. A. Logunov, A. I. Oksak and I. T. Todorov, *General Principles of Quantum Field Theory (Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics)*, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: 1990)
59. A. N. Vasiliev, *The Field Theoretic Renormalization Group in Critical Behavior Theory and Stochastic Dynamics*, (Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL: 2004)
60. J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, (Clarendon, Oxford, UK: 1989)
61. M. Dineykhan, G. V. Efimov, G. Ganbold and S. N. Nedelko, *Oscillator Representation in Quantum Physics*, (Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg: 1995)
62. P. West, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **31(26)**: 1630043, 41 pages (2016)
63. H. Bateman and A. Erdelyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, (Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company Inc, Malabar, Florida, USA: 1981)
64. D. I. Blokhintsev, *Space and Time in the Microworld*, (D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: 1973)
65. Kh. Namsrai, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, **42(11)**: 2609–2704 (2003)
66. M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **73(4)**: 977–1029 (2001)
67. G. V. Efimov, *Few-Body Syst.*, **41(3-4)**: 157–184 (2007)
68. G. V. Efimov, *Few-Body Syst.*, **47(3)**: 137–155 (2010)
69. G. V. Efimov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **179(3)**: 695–711 (2014)
70. G. V. Efimov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **185(1)**: 1433–1444 (2015)