

**ON EXISTENCE AND NONEXISTENCE OF NONNEGATIVE  
SOLUTIONS TO SEMILINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION  
ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS**

FANHENG XU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a clear cut relation between the the volume growth  $V(r)$  and the existence of nonnegative solutions to parabolic semilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \partial_t u + u^p = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

on a large class of Riemannian manifolds. We prove that for parameter  $p > 1$ , if

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{t}{V(t)^{p-1}} dt = \infty$$

then (\*) has no nonnegative solution. If

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{t}{V(t)^{p-1}} dt < \infty$$

then (\*) has positive solutions for small  $u_0$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M, g)$  be a noncompact complete connected  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and consider nonnegative solutions to differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \partial_t u + u^p = 0 & \text{in } M \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } M, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where parameter  $p > 1$ ,  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Bettrami operator of  $M$ , and  $u_0(x) \geq 0$  not identically zero.

Fujita in [5] proved the following results in the case of  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ :

- (a) if  $1 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{n}$  and  $u_0 > 0$ , equation (1) has no solution;
- (b) if  $p > 1 + \frac{2}{n}$  and  $u_0 > 0$  is smaller than a small Gaussian, then equation (1) possesses positive solutions.

Later, several authors [1] [6] [8] showed that the critical case for problem (1), that is  $p = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ , belongs to blow-up case (a).

In [23], Zhang proved several nonexistence results for semilinear and quasilinear parabolic problems on manifolds provided the following setting:

- (i)  $V_{x_0}(r) \leq Cr^\alpha$ ;
- (ii) Condition (G) (see Definition 1.2).

Especially, he proved that: Assume conditions (i) and (ii) on manifold are satisfied, and  $\alpha \geq 1$ . If  $1 < p \leq 1 + \frac{2}{\alpha}$ , then problem (1) possesses no global positive solution.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58J35, Secondary: 35K61.

*Key words and phrases.* differential equation; Riemannian manifolds; volume growth.

The celebrated idea of studying the nonnegative solutions in terms of the volume of the geodesic ball was due to Cheng and Yau [4]. They proved that if the inequality

$$V_{x_0}(r) \leq Cr^2$$

holds for some point  $x_0 \in M$  with all large enough  $r$ , then any positive solution to  $\Delta u \leq 0$  is identically constant, here  $V_{x_0}(r)$  is the volume of geodesic ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x_0 \in M$ .

Recently, this idea was used and developed for solutions to both elliptic equations (see, e.g. [11, 12, 18, 19]) and parabolic equations (see [15]). Particularly in [15], Mastrolia, Monticelli and Punzo proved that if

$$V_{x_0}(r) \leq Cr^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(\ln r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad (2)$$

then (1) has no weak supersolutions.

**Definition 1.1.** *We say the volume condition*

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{t}{V(t)^{p-1}} dt = (or <) \infty \quad (3)$$

is satisfied if there exists constant  $r_0 > 0$  and  $x_0 \in M$  such that

$$\int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \frac{t}{V_{x_0}(t)^{p-1}} dt = (or <) \infty$$

Noting that the choice of  $r_0$  and  $x_0$  doesn't affect this condition, we can omit them in (3) and specify proper value if needed.

It's easily see that, if  $V_{x_0}(r)$  satisfy (2) or the following inequality

$$V_{x_0}(r) \leq Cr^{\alpha_1}(\ln r)^{\alpha_2}(\ln \ln r)^{\alpha_3} \times \cdots \times \underbrace{(\ln \ln \cdots \ln r)^{\alpha_k}}_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 3$$

with parameter

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2}{p-1}, \quad \alpha_i = \frac{1}{p-1}, \quad (i = 2, 3, \cdots, k),$$

then  $V_{x_0}(r)$  satisfy

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{t}{V(t)^{p-1}} dt = \infty. \quad (4)$$

In this paper, we show that volume condition (4) is indeed the sufficient condition to the nonexistence of solutions to equation (1) on a large class of manifolds. Moreover, if we assume the initial value  $u_0$  is small, then (4) is also necessary.

Let us introduce our setting. Unless specified, let  $M$  be a connected geodesically complete noncompact Riemannian manifold and satisfy condition (G) and (H).

**Definition 1.2** (Condition (G)). *We say condition (G) is satisfied if there exists a constant  $C_0$  such that*

$$\frac{\partial \log g^{1/2}}{\partial r} \leq \frac{C_0}{r},$$

here  $g^{1/2}$  is the volume density of the manifold;

If the Ricci curvature of  $M$  is nonnegative, it is well known that condition (G) hold, in fact,  $(\partial \log g^{1/2})/\partial r \leq 0$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Condition (H)). *We say condition (H) is satisfied if  $P_t(x, y)$ , the smallest fundamental solution of the classical heat equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u - \Delta u = 0, \text{ in } M$$

*exists and satisfies the following estimate*

$$P_t(x, y) \leq \frac{C_1}{V_x(\sqrt{t})}, \quad (5)$$

*for all  $t > 0$  and almost all  $x, y \in M$ .*

We know that  $P_t(x, y)$  is called heat kernel of  $\Delta$  and has the following properties

- Symmetry:  $P_t(x, y) = P_t(y, x)$ , for all  $x, y \in M, t > 0$ .
- $P_t(x, y) \geq 0$ , for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $t > 0$ , and

$$\int_M P_t(x, y) dy \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } x \in M \text{ and } t > 0. \quad (6)$$

- The semigroup identity: for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $t, s > 0$ ,

$$P_{t+s}(x, y) = \int_M P_t(x, z) P_s(z, y) dz. \quad (7)$$

If the manifold has nonnegative Ricci curvature, by a famous result of Li and Yau in [13], we have

$$P_t(x, y) \asymp \frac{C}{V_x(\sqrt{t})} \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(x, y)}{ct}\right),$$

where the sign  $\asymp$  means that both  $\leq$  and  $\geq$  are true but with different values of  $C, c$ . It follows that (H) is satisfied if the manifold has nonnegative Ricci curvature.

The main results of the paper are the following.

**Theorem 1.4.** (a). *If*

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{t}{V(t)^{p-1}} dt = \infty$$

*then equation (1) has no nonnegative solutions.*

(b). *If*

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{t}{V(t)^{p-1}} dt < \infty$$

*and  $u_0$  is smaller than a small Gaussian, then (1) has positive solutions.*

In the part (a) of Theorem 1.4, the “solution” can also be understood in a weak sense. Our proof are based on a method originating from [23] (also see [22]) and the proof of Theorem 11.14 in [10], that uses the Laplacian of the distance function and a carefully chosen test function. The arguments of part (b) are purely functional analytic.

We denote by  $W_c^2$  the subspace of  $W_{loc}^2$  of functions with compact support, and by  $B_x(r)$  the geodesic ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x \in M$ .  $V_x(r)$  is the volume of  $B_x(r)$ . In all the proof, since the geodesic ball always centered at a fixed point  $x_0 \in M$ , we may use the abbreviation  $B \equiv B_{x_0}$  and  $V \equiv V_{x_0}$ . The symbol  $C, C_0, C_1, \dots$  denote positive constants whose values are unimportant and may vary at different occurrences.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

**Proof of part (a).** Suppose  $u$  is a solution of (1) and  $\psi \geq 0$  is a function in  $W_c^2(M \times [0, \infty))$ . Multiplying  $\psi$  to both side of (1) and integrating by part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_M u^p \psi dx dt &= - \int_0^\infty \int_M u \Delta \psi dx dt - \int_0^\infty \int_M u \partial_t \psi dx dt - \int_M u_0 \psi dx \\ &\leq - \int_0^\infty \int_M u \Delta \psi dx dt - \int_0^\infty \int_M u \partial_t \psi dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We use the following test function:

$$\psi(x, t) = \varphi^q(r(x), t)$$

where  $q = p/(p-1)$ , and  $r(x) = d(x_0, x)$  for some fixed point  $x_0 \in M$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \psi &= q \varphi^{q-1} \Delta \varphi + q(q-1) \varphi^{q-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2, \\ \partial_t \psi &= q \varphi^{q-1} \partial_t \varphi \end{aligned}$$

Substituting above into (8) we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_M u^p \varphi^q dx dt \leq C \left( \int_M u \varphi^{q-1} (-\Delta \varphi) dx + \int_M u \varphi^{q-1} (-\partial_t \varphi) dx \right) \quad (9)$$

Let  $h \in W_c^2[0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying

$$h(r) = 1, r \in [0, 1]; h(r) = 0, r \in [2, \infty); -C_1 \leq h' \leq 0, |h''| \leq C_1, r \in (1, 2).$$

Fix a finite increasing sequence  $\{r_k\}$ , ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, i$ ). Let  $Q_k = B(r_k) \times [0, r_k^2]$ . Define  $\varphi$  by

$$\varphi(x, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & (x, t) \in Q_0, \\ a \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})^2}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} h\left(\frac{r(x)}{r_{k-1}}\right) h\left(\frac{t}{r_{k-1}^2}\right) + T_k, & (x, t) \in Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}, k = 1, \dots, i \\ 0, & (x, t) \in M \setminus Q_i \end{cases}$$

where

$$a = \left( \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})^2}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} \right)^{-1}$$

and

$$T_k = \begin{cases} a \sum_{j=k+1}^i \frac{(r_j - r_{j-1})^{2p}}{V(r_j)^{p-1}}, & k = 1, \dots, i-1 \\ 0, & k = i \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\varphi \in W_c^2(M \times [0, \infty))$ . Noting  $\varphi(\cdot, t)$  is radial on  $M$ , we have when  $(x, t) \in Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}$ ,

$$\Delta \varphi = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(r, t)}{\partial r^2} + \left( \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{\partial \log g^{1/2}}{\partial r} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi(r, t)}{\partial r} \quad (10)$$

Specifying  $\{r_i\}$  to be a geometric sequence with  $r_k = 2r_{k-1}$ , we have

$$-Ca \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} \leq \frac{\partial \varphi(r, t)}{\partial r} \leq 0, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(r, t)}{\partial r^2} \right| \leq \frac{Ca}{V(r_k)^{p-1}}. \quad (12)$$

Combining (10) (11), (12) and condition (G), we have

$$-\Delta \varphi \leq \frac{Ca}{V(r_k)^{p-1}}, \quad (x, t) \in Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}. \quad (13)$$

Also by the definition of  $\varphi$ , we have

$$-\partial_t \varphi \leq \frac{Ca}{V(r_k)^{p-1}}, \quad (x, t) \in Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}. \quad (14)$$

Substituting (13) and (14) into (9), we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_M u^p \varphi^q dx dt \leq Ca \int_0^\infty \int_M \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{u \varphi^{q-1}}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} \chi_{\{Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}\}} dx dt$$

Using the Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_M u^p \varphi^q dx dt \\ & \leq Ca \left( \int_0^\infty \int_M u^p \varphi^q dx dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_M \left( \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{\chi_{\{Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}\}}}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} \right)^q dx dt \right)^{1/q} \\ & = Ca \left( \int_0^\infty \int_M u^p \varphi^q dx dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^i \iint_{Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}} \frac{1}{V(r_k)^p} dx dt \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_M u^p \varphi^q dx dt \right)^{(p-1)/p} & \leq Ca \left( \sum_{k=1}^i \iint_{Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}} \frac{1}{V(r_k)^p} dx dt \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq Ca \left( \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{r_k^2}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq Ca \left( \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})^2}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} \right)^{1/q} \\ & = Ca^{(q-1)/q} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

On the other hands

$$\begin{aligned}
a^{-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})^2}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} \\
&= C \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{r_k^2 - r_{k-1}^2}{V(r_k)^{p-1}} \\
&\geq C \sum_{k=2}^i \int_{r_{k-1}}^{r_k} \frac{r}{V(r)^{p-1}} dx \\
&= C \int_{2r_0}^{r_i} \frac{r}{V(r)^{p-1}} dx
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Using the volume condition

$$\int_{2r_0}^{\infty} \frac{r}{V(r)^{p-1}} dx = \infty,$$

for every  $r_0 > 0$ , we have form (16), if  $i \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $a \rightarrow 0$ . Thus we can choose sufficient large  $i$ , such that

$$a \leq \frac{1}{r_0}$$

Substituting above into (15), we have

$$\int_0^{r_0} \int_{B(r_0)} u^p dx dt \leq \frac{C}{r_0^{q-1}}$$

Let  $r_0 \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_M u^p dx dt \rightarrow 0$$

which implies that

$$u \equiv 0.$$

But  $u_0 \geq 0$  is not identically zero, by Maximum principle, we know that  $u$  is positive almost everywhere, which leads a contradiction, and then there's no nonnegative solution to problem (1).

**Proof of part (b).** Fix a point  $x_0 \in M$ . Let us define the operator

$$Tu(x, t) = \int_M P_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y) u^p(y, s) dx(y) ds. \tag{17}$$

acting on the space  $S_M$  defined by

$$S_M = \{u \in L^\infty(M \times [0, \infty)) | 0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \lambda P_{t+\delta}(x, x_0)\}. \tag{18}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a constant to be chosen later, and  $\delta > 1$  is a fixed constant. It is easy to see that  $S_M$  is a close set of  $L^\infty(M \times [0, \infty))$ .

Let  $u_0$  satisfy

$$0 \leq u_0(x) \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} P_\delta(x, x_0). \tag{19}$$

Now let us show  $TS_M \subset S_M$ . Using (7) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M P_t(x, y)u_0(y)dx(y) &\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_M P_t(x, y)P_\delta(y, x_0)dx(y) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2}P_{t+\delta}(x, x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Using (5), (7) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y)u^p(y, s)dx(y)ds \\ &\leq \lambda^p \int_0^t \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y)P_{s+\delta}^p(y, x_0)dx(y)ds \\ &\leq \lambda^p C_3^{p-1} \int_0^t \frac{1}{V(\sqrt{s+\delta})^{p-1}} \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y)P_{s+\delta}(y, x_0)dx(y)ds \\ &\leq \lambda^p C_3^{p-1} P_{t+\delta}(x, x_0) \int_0^t \frac{1}{V(\sqrt{s+\delta})^{p-1}} ds \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By our volume condition

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{s}{V(s)^{p-1}} ds < \infty,$$

there exists a constant  $C_4$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{1}{V(\sqrt{s+\delta})^{p-1}} ds &= \int_\delta^{t+\delta} \frac{1}{V(\sqrt{s})^{p-1}} ds \\ &= C \int_{\sqrt{\delta}}^{\sqrt{t+\delta}} \frac{s}{V(s)^{p-1}} ds \\ &\leq C_4 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Substituting above into (21), we obtain, for small enough  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y)u^p(y, s)dx(y)ds &\leq \lambda^p C_1^{p-1} C_4 P_{t+\delta}(x, x_0) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} P_{t+\delta}(x, x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Combining (17),(20) with (23), we obtain

$$0 \leq Tu \leq \lambda P_{t+\delta}(x, x_0).$$

Hence

$$TS_M \subset S_M.$$

Now let us show that  $T$  is a contraction map. For  $u_1, u_2 \in S_M$ , we have

$$|Tu_1(x, t) - Tu_2(x, t)| \leq \int_0^t \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y) |u_1^p(y, s) - u_2^p(y, s)| dx(y)ds \quad (24)$$

Noting that

$$|u_1^p(y, s) - u_2^p(y, s)| \leq p \max\{u_1^{p-1}(y, s), u_2^{p-1}(y, s)\} |u_1(y, s) - u_2(y, s)|$$

and combining with (5), (6), (18) and (22), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Tu_1(x, t) - Tu_2(x, t)| \\
& \leq p\lambda^{p-1} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y) P_{s+\delta}^{p-1}(y, x_0) dy ds \\
& \leq p\lambda^{p-1} C_1^{p-1} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \frac{1}{V(\sqrt{s+\delta})^{p-1}} \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y) dy ds \\
& = p\lambda^{p-1} C_1^{p-1} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \frac{1}{V(\sqrt{s+\delta})^{p-1}} ds \\
& \leq p\lambda^{p-1} C_1^{p-1} C_4 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

Readjusting  $\lambda$  small enough such that  $p\lambda^{p-1}C_1^{p-1}C_4 < 1$ , we obtain that  $T$  is a contraction map. Applying fixed point theorem, we derive that there exists a fixed point  $u \in S_M$  satisfying

$$u(x, t) = \int_M P_t(x, y)u_0(y)dy + \int_0^t \int_M P_{t-s}(x, y)u^p(y, s)dy ds. \quad (25)$$

Since  $u_0 \geq 0$ , then  $u$  is positive on  $M$ . Since  $u_0, u \in L^2(M)$ , by a standard argument of regularity (see Theorem 7.6 and 7.7, [10]), we know the integrals in (25) are both smooth on  $M \times (0, \infty)$ , hence we obtain that  $u$  is a global positive solution of problem (1).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. Aronson, H. F. Weinberger, Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics, *Adv. Math.* **30** (1978), 33-76.
- [2] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck, Asymptotic symmetry and local behaviour of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1989), 271-297.
- [3] G. Caristi, E. Mitidieri, Nonexistence of positive solutions of quasilinear equations, *Adv. Differential Equations* **2** (1997), 319-359.
- [4] S. Y. Cheng, S. T. Yau, Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **28** (1975) 333-354.
- [5] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for  $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$ , *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **13** (1966) 109-124.
- [6] K. Hayakawa, On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic differential equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **49** (1973), 503-505.
- [7] I. Holopainen, Volume growth, Green's functions, and parabolicity of ends. *Duke Math. J.* **97** (1999), no. 2, 319-346.
- [8] K. Kobayashi, T. Sirao, and H. Tanaka, On the growing up problem for semilinear heat equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **29** (1977), 407-424.
- [9] B. Gidas, J. Spruck, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **34** (1981) 525-598.
- [10] A. Grigor'yan, *Heat Kernel and Analysis on Manifolds*, AMS/IP, 2009.
- [11] A. Grigor'yan, V. A. Kondratiev, On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, *Around the research of Vladimir Maz'ya II*, 203-218.
- [12] A. Grigor'yan, Y. H. Sun, On nonnegative solutions of the inequality  $\Delta u + u^\sigma \leq 0$  on Riemannian manifolds, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **67** (2014) 1336-1352.
- [13] P. Li, S. T. Yau, On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator, *Acta Math.* **156** (1986), 153-201.
- [14] P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli, F. Punzo, Nonexistence results for elliptic differential inequalities with a potential on Riemannian manifolds, *Cal. Var. PDEs* **54** (2015) no. 2, 1345-1372.
- [15] P. Mastrolia, D. D. Monticelli, F. Punzo, Nonexistence of solutions to parabolic differential inequalities with a potential on Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **367** (2017) no. 3-4, 929-963.



- [16] W. M. Ni, J. Serrin, Nonexistence theorems for quasilinear partial differential equations, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo ( 2 ) Suppl.* **8** (1985), 171-185.
- [17] W. M. Ni, J. Serrin, Existence and nonexistence theorems for ground states of quasilinear partial differential equations: The anomalous case, *Accad. Naz. Lincei* **77** (1986), 231-257.
- [18] Y. H. Sun, Uniqueness result for non-negative solutions of semi-linear inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **419** (2014), 643-661.
- [19] Y. Wang, J. Xiao, A constructive approach to positive solutions of  $\Delta_p u + f(u, \delta u) \leq 0$  on Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **33** (2016) no. 6, 1497-1507.
- [20] F. H. Xu, On The Existence Of Nonnegative Solutions To Semilinear Differential Inequality On Riemannian Manifolds, preprint, (2018).
- [21] S. T. Yau, Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **25** (1976), no. 7, 659-670.
- [22] Q. S. Zhang, A new critical phenomenon for semilinear parabolic problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **219** (1998) 125-139.
- [23] Q. S. Zhang, Blow-up Results For Nonlinear Parabolic Equations On Manifolds, *Duke Math.* **97** (1999) 515-539.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, 300071 TIANJIN, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* xufanheng@mail.nankai.edu.cn