

Finsler connection in moving frame formalism

Takayoshi Ootsuka*

Department of Physics, Ochanomizu University,

2-1-1 Ootsuka Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

Muneyuki Ishida†

Department of Physics, Meisei University,

2-1-1 Hodokubo, Hino, Tokyo, Japan

Ryoko Yahagi‡

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Tokyo University of Science,

1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan

(Dated: December 3, 2018)

In our previous work, we have defined a nonlinear connection of Finsler manifold which preserves the Finsler metric $L = L(x, dx)$. To make the method easier and more useful in applications, moving frame (vielbein) $\theta^a = e^a{}_\mu dx^\mu$ formalism for the nonlinear connection is newly considered. We derive formulae to calculate the Finsler connection in the specific case that the Finsler metric depends not on coordinates x^μ , but only on moving frame θ^a : $L = L(\theta)$.

* ootsuka@cosmos.phys.ocha.ac.jp

† ishida@phys.meisei-u.ac.jp

‡ yahagi@rs.tus.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

Lagrangian systems are naturally described with Finsler geometry [1, 2] in the same sense that Hamiltonian systems are described with symplectic geometry or contact geometry we can construct an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Finsler manifold (M, L) from a Lagrangian system (Q, L^*) , a pair of an n -dimensional configuration space Q and a Lagrangian of the system $L^* = L^*(q^i, \dot{q}^i, t)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

$$M = \mathbb{R} \times Q, \quad L = L(x, dx) := L^* \left(x^i, \frac{dx^i}{dx^0}, x^0 \right) |dx^0|. \quad (\text{I.1})$$

M is called *the extended configuration space* in analytical dynamical terminology. L becomes a Finsler metric, which is a function of coordinates (x^μ) and their derivative (adopted fiber coordinates of TM) (dx^μ) and is homogeneity one with respect to (dx^μ) ,

$$L(x, \lambda dx) = \lambda L(x, dx), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (\text{I.2})$$

We do not assume positivity: $L > 0$ and regularity : $\det(g_{\mu\nu}(x, dx)) \neq 0$, $g_{\mu\nu}(x, dx) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 L^2}{\partial dx^\mu \partial dx^\nu}$ as in standard textbooks of Finsler geometry [3–6]. This is because these restrictions can be burdens of physical applications. We only assume the positive homogeneity condition (I.2).

In our previous work [7], we give a definition of a generalized Berwald's nonlinear connection on Finsler manifold (M, L) in point Finsler perspective [8]. In this standpoint, Finsler geometry is considered to be a geometry not on the line element space (slit tangent bundle $TM^\circ := TM - \{0\}$), but on the point space M , in contrast to the conventional perspective where Finsler connection is defined as a linear connection on TM° . For physical applications, we believe only nonlinear parts of the Finsler connection is needed; linear parts do not play any role in physics. Our nonlinear Finsler connection which defines parallel transports on a point space M can be a natural extension of the Levi-Civita connection on the point space M as an event manifold which Einstein used as a tool of his general relativity. If you are persistent in constructing a deformed gravity with such a conventional Finsler connection, you need to clarify its physical meaning to the coordinates (y^μ) of $(x, y) \in TM^\circ$ on which you consider a linear connection. On the other hand, our nonlinear Finsler connection has been used in constrained dynamics [7], fluid mechanics [9], Killing vectors on Finsler manifold [10] and superparticles [11], proving itself worthwhile in physical applications. Explicit

calculation of this nonlinear connection, however, tend to be complicated. Even in the case of a Finsler metric that is a natural extension of Riemannian metric (e.g. the super Finsler metric defined from a superparticle Lagrangian [10]), it is hard to say easy. The purpose of this paper is to make the calculation easier by using vielbeins, following general relativity where the vielbein technique plays a critical role in simplification of the theoretical structure as well as calculations. In contrast to the vielbein θ^a in a Riemannian manifold, which is chosen to span an orthonormal basis, we do not impose orthonormality, since there is no concept of orthogonality in Finsler manifold in general. A vielbein θ^a in this paper is just an independent 1-form basis, and the Finsler metrics considered here depend on only such a vielbein and have no coordinate function (x^μ) dependence: $L = L(\theta^a)$. Similar to the Einstein-Cartan theory of gravity, it is sufficient to take the Finsler metrics of the above type when Finsler gravity theory is considered.

In the next section, we give a short review of our nonlinear Finsler connection. In sections 3, 4 and 5, we show the description of the nonlinear connection in moving frame formalism. In section 6, the actual calculations of the connections in two examples, Riemannian manifold and pseudo particle model, are given.

II. NONLINEAR FINSLER CONNECTION

Let (M, L) be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Finsler manifold. Usually a nonlinear connection of TM is defined as a subbundle HTM of TTM such as

$$TTM = HTM \oplus VTM, \quad (\text{II.1})$$

where VTM is the vertical vector bundle over TM [4, 6]. With the adopted coordinates (x^μ, dx^μ) of TM , the horizontal bundle HTM is generated by vector fields $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\rho}$;

$$HTM = \left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\rho} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} - N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx) \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^\mu} \right\rangle, \quad (\text{II.2})$$

where $N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx)$ are functions of x^μ and dx^μ , and homogeneity one with respect to dx^μ : $N^\mu{}_\rho(x, \lambda dx) = \lambda N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx)$, $\lambda > 0$.

Using these coefficients $N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx)$, we can define a nonlinear covariant derivative for vector fields on M . For $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, the covariant derivative $\nabla_X Y$ is defined by

$$\nabla_X Y := X^\rho \left\{ \frac{\partial Y^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} + N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx(Y)) \right\} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (\text{II.3})$$

This nonlinear connection $N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx)$ actually defines parallel transports on M . Other linear parts of a linear connection of TM called N -linear connection do not have any use in physics. Therefore it is convenient to define the nonlinear connection, with tangent-bundle-terminology excluded. Furthermore, we can choose a nonlinear connection which preserves Finsler metric: $\nabla L = 0$, and we call it nonlinear Finsler connection. We first define a nonlinear connection on $\Gamma(T^*M)$, and from duality, we define the covariant derivative on $\Gamma(TM)$ as displayed in (II.3).

Definition II.1. We call ∇ a nonlinear Finsler connection on M which satisfies following conditions.

$$\nabla dx^\mu = -dx^\rho \otimes N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx), \quad (\text{II.4})$$

$$\frac{\partial N^\mu{}_\rho}{\partial dx^\nu} - \frac{\partial N^\mu{}_\nu}{\partial dx^\rho} = 0, \quad (\text{II.5})$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\rho} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial dx^\mu} N^\mu{}_\rho = 0. \quad (\text{II.6})$$

If the Hessian of the Finsler metric L with respect to dx , $L_{\mu\nu} := \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial dx^\mu \partial dx^\nu}$, satisfies $\text{rank}(L_{\mu\nu}) = n$, the Finsler metric is called *regular*, and if $\text{rank}(L_{\mu\nu}) < n$, it is called *singular*. Our nonlinear connection can be defined in the case of singular Finsler metrics as well as regular ones.

We can prove the following existence theorem of such a nonlinear connection [7].

Proposition II.1. If $\text{rank}(L_{\mu\nu}) = n - D$, take coordinates as $(x^\mu) = (x^0, x^a, x^I)$, ($a = 1, 2, \dots, n - D$), ($I = n - D + 1, n - D + 2, \dots, n$) such that $\det(L_{ab}) \neq 0$. Then, nonlinear connection coefficients $N^\mu{}_\rho$ are given by the derivatives of the functions G^μ , ($\mu = 0, a, I$) defined below with respect to dx^ρ : $N^\mu{}_\rho = \frac{\partial G^\mu}{\partial dx^\rho}$,

$$G^\mu := \frac{1}{2} \left(dx^\rho \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\rho} \right) \frac{dx^\mu}{L} + L^{ab} M_b L \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^a} \left(\frac{dx^\mu}{L} \right) + \lambda^I v_I^\mu, \quad M_I - L^{ab} L_{aI} M_b = 0, \quad (\text{II.7})$$

where the matrices (L^{ab}) are the inverse of the matrices (L_{ab}) , and M_μ , ($\mu = a, I$) are defined by

$$M_\mu := \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\mu} + dx^\rho \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial dx^\mu \partial x^\rho} \right), \quad (\text{II.8})$$

and λ^I are arbitrary homogeneity-two functions and v_I^μ are such 0-eigenvalued homogeneity-zero functions that $L_{\mu\nu} v_I^\nu = 0$.

Remark 1. There are D constraints, $\mathcal{C}_I := M_I - L^{ab}L_{aI}M_b = 0$, which determine some of the *Lagrange multipliers* λ^I . If all of λ^I are determined, we call the systems *second class constrained systems*. Otherwise, \mathcal{C}_I include some *first class constraints*.

Remark 2. The regularity condition of Finsler metric L , $\text{rank}(L_{\mu\nu}) = n$, corresponds to the famous condition of nondegeneracy of the fundamental tensor: $\det(g_{\mu\nu}) \neq 0$, $g_{\mu\nu}(x, dx) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 L^2}{\partial dx^\mu \partial dx^\nu}$. Then our nonlinear connection $N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx)$ becomes the nonlinear parts of the Berwald's connection.

The covariant derivative of a vector field $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ is defined from the derivative of $Y^\mu = \langle dx^\mu, Y \rangle$,

$$dY^\mu = \langle \nabla dx^\mu, Y \rangle + \langle dx^\mu, \nabla Y \rangle = -N^\mu(x, dx)(Y) + \langle dx^\mu, \nabla Y \rangle, \quad (\text{II.9})$$

where the contraction of $N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx)$ and Y is defined as $N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx(Y))$. Therefore

$$\nabla Y = dY^\mu + N^\mu(x, dx(Y)), \quad N^\mu(x, dx(Y)) := dx^\rho \otimes N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx(Y)), \quad (\text{II.10})$$

and $\nabla_X Y$ becomes (II.3).

We will call the condition (II.5) *Cartan condition*, which corresponds to the torsionless condition in the case of $N^\mu{}_\rho(x, dx)$ are linear with respect to (dx) . But in general, this Cartan condition does not mean torsionless because of the nonlinearity of the connection;

$$\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} - \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} = \frac{\partial N^\mu{}_\rho}{\partial dx^\nu} \left(x, dx \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} \right) \right) - \frac{\partial N^\mu{}_\nu}{\partial dx^\rho} \left(x, dx \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} \right) \right) \neq 0. \quad (\text{II.11})$$

Metricity condition (II.6) shows the preservation of L by ∇ ;

$$“\nabla L = \nabla x^\rho \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\rho} + \nabla dx^\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial dx^\mu}” = dx^\rho \otimes \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\rho} - N^\mu{}_\rho \frac{\partial L}{\partial dx^\mu} \right) = 0. \quad (\text{II.12})$$

Here we think that ∇ is a covariant derivative on M not on TM , and we also think dx^μ as 1-forms on M not as fiber coordinates of TM . L is not a 1-form but what we call a *nonlinear 1-form*. Therefore ∇L is calculated through an extension in action of ∇ to nonlinear 1-forms.

Furthermore using this nonlinear connection (Berwald function), we can write the Euler-Lagrange equation in an auto-parallel form [7]:

$$0 = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{L}_\mu(L) := \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\mu} - d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial dx^\mu} \right) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} d^2 x^\mu + 2G^\mu(x, dx) = \lambda dx^\mu, \\ \mathcal{C}_I = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{II.13})$$

As we mentioned in the introduction, we use only nonlinear parts of the so-called Finsler connection. This makes the calculations of Finsler connection, which is normally thought to be very difficult, easier. In fact, the explicit derivation of nonlinear connection with the formula above is much faster than the one with the conventional method. What we explained so far is based on the holonomic coordinates. We further assure that usage of moving frame (vielbein) reduces several more calculation steps. In the next and the following section, we rewrite the Cartan condition (II.5), the metricity condition (II.6), and the Berwald functions in terms of moving frame.

III. CARTAN AND METRICITY CONDITIONS

On Riemannian manifold (M, g) , we frequently assume the torsionless condition: $T = 0$, and the metricity condition: $\nabla g = 0$. As is well known, using moving frame (vielbein) (θ^a) , the torsionless condition,

$$0 = T \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right) = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} = (\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\nu}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad (\text{III.1})$$

is equivalent to the condition,

$$d\theta^a + \omega^a{}_b \wedge \theta^b = 0, \quad (\text{III.2})$$

where $\omega^a{}_b$ are spin connections defined by $\nabla\theta^a = -\omega^a{}_b\theta^b$. If the Riemannian metric is written as $g = \mu_{ab}\theta^a \otimes \theta^b$ with constant coefficients μ_{ab} , the metricity condition $\nabla g = 0$ corresponds to the following condition,

$$\omega_{ab} + \omega_{ba} = 0, \quad \omega_{ab} := \mu_{ac}\omega^c{}_b. \quad (\text{III.3})$$

Similarly, we derive the corresponding conditions for the nonlinear connections on a Finsler manifold. First, we should replace the Cartan's condition (II.5), which corresponds to the torsionless condition on a Riemannian manifold, in terms of moving frames. $N^\mu{}_\rho$ are written as

$$N^\mu{}_\rho = -\nabla_\rho dx^\mu = -\nabla_\rho(\theta^a e_a{}^\mu) = -\theta^a \frac{\partial e_a{}^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} + N^a{}_\rho e_a{}^\mu = -\theta^a \frac{\partial e_a{}^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} + N^a{}_c e_a{}^\mu e^c{}_\rho, \quad (\text{III.4})$$

where $N^a{}_\rho := -\nabla_\rho \theta^a$ and $N^a{}_c := N^a{}_\rho e_c{}^\rho$, and which give

$$\frac{\partial N^\mu{}_\rho}{\partial dx^\nu} = \frac{\partial \theta^b}{\partial dx^\nu} \frac{\partial N^\mu{}_\rho}{\partial \theta^b} = e^b{}_\nu \frac{\partial N^\mu{}_\rho}{\partial \theta^b} = -e^a{}_\nu \frac{\partial e_a{}^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} + e^b{}_\nu \frac{\partial N^a{}_c}{\partial \theta^b} e_a{}^\mu e^c{}_\rho. \quad (\text{III.5})$$

Therefore the Cartan condition (II.5) becomes

$$e^a{}_\rho \frac{\partial e_a{}^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} - e^a{}_\nu \frac{\partial e_a{}^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} + e^b{}_\nu \frac{\partial N^a{}_c}{\partial \theta^b} e_a{}^\mu e^c{}_\rho - e^b{}_\rho \frac{\partial N^a{}_c}{\partial \theta^b} e_a{}^\mu e^c{}_\nu = 0. \quad (\text{III.6})$$

Multiplying this by $e^d{}_\mu$,

$$-\frac{\partial e^d{}_\rho}{\partial x^\nu} + \frac{\partial e^d{}_\nu}{\partial x^\rho} + \frac{\partial N^d{}_c}{\partial \theta^b} e^b{}_\nu e^c{}_\rho - \frac{\partial N^d{}_c}{\partial \theta^b} e^b{}_\rho e^c{}_\nu = 0, \quad (\text{III.7})$$

therefore we get

$$\frac{\partial N^a{}_c}{\partial \theta^b} - \frac{\partial N^a{}_b}{\partial \theta^c} = e_b{}^\nu e_c{}^\rho \left(\frac{\partial e^a{}_\rho}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial e^a{}_\nu}{\partial x^\rho} \right) = -e^a{}_\rho e_b(e^c{}^\rho) + e^a{}_\rho e_c(e^b{}^\rho). \quad (\text{III.8})$$

Because the RHS of (III.8) can be written as $-\theta^a([e_b, e_c])$, the Cartan condition also becomes

$$\frac{\partial N^a{}_c}{\partial \theta^b} - \frac{\partial N^a{}_b}{\partial \theta^c} + \theta^a([e_b, e_c]) = 0. \quad (\text{III.9})$$

Remark 3. If we define a “nonlinear spin connection 1-form” $\tilde{\omega}^a{}_b := \theta^c \otimes \frac{\partial N^a{}_c}{\partial \theta^b}$, then the above Cartan condition is written like the case of Riemannian,

$$\text{rot } \theta^a + \tilde{\omega}^a{}_b \wedge \theta^b := \text{rot } \theta^a \otimes 1 + \theta^c \wedge \theta^b \otimes \frac{\partial N^a{}_c}{\partial \theta^b} = 0, \quad (\text{III.10})$$

where rot means the exterior differentiation of 1-forms which becomes 2-forms.

Second, we will think the metricity condition: $\nabla L = 0$. If we assume the Finsler metric L is written only by moving frame (θ^a) as $L = L(\theta)$, then the metricity condition is rewritten by

$$0 = \nabla L = \nabla \theta^a \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a} = -\theta^c \otimes N^a{}_c \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad N^a{}_c L_a = 0, \quad L_a := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a}. \quad (\text{III.11})$$

In the next section, using our conditions (III.9) and (III.11), we construct a formulae of the Berwald’s functions in moving frame formulation.

IV. EXPLICIT FORMULA

From a given Finsler metric $L = L(\theta)$, we construct the coefficients $N^a{}_c$ of the nonlinear connection, which are the functions of (x^μ, θ^a) , homogeneity one with respect to (θ^a) , and satisfy (III.9) and (III.11). We define auxiliary functions

$$G^a := \frac{1}{2} \theta^c N^a{}_c, \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

which we also call Berwald functions. Note that the product θ^c and $N^a{}_c$ is a simple multiplication, not a tensor product. These Berwald functions satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial G^a}{\partial \theta^c} &= \frac{1}{2}N^a{}_c + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial N^a{}_b}{\partial \theta^c}\theta^b = \frac{1}{2}N^a{}_c + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\partial N^a{}_c}{\partial \theta^b}\theta^b + \theta^a([e_b, e_c])\theta^b\right\} \\ &= N^a{}_c + \frac{1}{2}\theta^a([e_b, e_c])\theta^b,\end{aligned}\tag{IV.2}$$

where the Cartan condition (III.9) and the first homogeneity of $N^a{}_c$ with respect to θ have been exploited. Conversely, if $N^a{}_c$ are given by

$$N^a{}_c = \frac{\partial G^a}{\partial \theta^c} - \frac{1}{2}\theta^a([e_b, e_c])\theta^b,\tag{IV.3}$$

we can easily check that these $N^a{}_c$ satisfy the Cartan condition (III.9).

Using the Berwald functions, we solve the metricity condition (III.11) with the following assumption of the Finsler metric:

$$L = L(\theta), \quad \text{rank}(L_{ab}) = n - D, \quad \det(L_{ab}) \neq 0, \quad (L_{ab}) := \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta^a \partial \theta^b}\right),\tag{IV.4}$$

where $a, b = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} = 1, 2, \dots, n - D$ and D is a constant for $0 \leq D \leq n$. Under these assumptions of L , there are $n + 1$ independent vectors $\left(\frac{\theta^a}{L}, \ell_{\mathbf{b}}{}^a, v_I{}^a\right)$ such that

$$\ell_{\mathbf{b}}{}^a := L \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\mathbf{b}}} \left(\frac{\theta^a}{L}\right) = \delta_{\mathbf{b}}^a - \frac{\theta^a}{L} L_{\mathbf{b}},\tag{IV.5}$$

and D vectors $v_I{}^a$, $I = n - D + 1, n - D + 2, \dots, n$ are defined as eigenvectors for zero eigenvalue of (L_{ab}) and are orthogonal to L_a :

$$L_{ab} v_I{}^b = 0, \quad L_a v_I{}^a = 0.\tag{IV.6}$$

Remark 4. These basis vectors have the following properties,

$$L_a \frac{\theta^a}{L} = 1, \quad L_a \ell_{\mathbf{b}}{}^a = 0, \quad L_a v_I{}^a = 0, \quad L_a \frac{\partial v_I{}^a}{\partial \theta^{\mathbf{b}}} = 0.\tag{IV.7}$$

The first three equations are obvious. The fourth is derived from (IV.6) and the differentiation of the third equation with respect to $\theta^{\mathbf{b}}$.

Proposition IV.1. $\left(\frac{\theta^a}{L}, \ell_{\mathbf{b}}{}^a, v_I{}^a\right)$ are functionally independent.

Proof. Suppose the following linear combination of these vectors is zero:

$$A \frac{\theta^a}{L} + B^b \ell_{\mathbf{b}}^a + C^I v_I^a = 0. \quad (\text{IV.8})$$

Contracting L_a to the above equation, we have $A = 0$, since $L_a \ell_{\mathbf{b}}^a = L_a v_I^a = 0$. Substituting $A = 0$ into (IV.8), differentiating it with respect to θ^b , and contracting L_a to it, we obtain

$$B^b L_a \frac{\partial \ell_{\mathbf{b}}^a}{\partial \theta^b} + C^I L_a \frac{\partial v_I^a}{\partial \theta^b} = 0, \quad (\text{IV.9})$$

where the second term of the left hand side is zero because of (IV.6). The rest becomes

$$B^b L_a \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^b} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{b}}^a - \frac{\theta^a L_{\mathbf{b}}}{L} \right) = B^b L_a \left(-\frac{\delta_{\mathbf{b}}^a L_{\mathbf{b}}}{L} + \frac{\theta^a L_{\mathbf{b}} L_{\mathbf{b}}}{L^2} - \frac{\theta^a L_{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}}}{L} \right) = -B^b L_{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}} = 0. \quad (\text{IV.10})$$

Due to the assumption $\det(L_{ab}) \neq 0$, the above equation reduces to $B^b = 0$. Then we have $C^I v_I^a = 0$ for independent v_I^a , which leads to $C^I = 0$. \square

Proposition IV.2. The Berwald functions G^a and the constraints \mathcal{C}_I for the Finsler metric $L = L(\theta)$ whose Hessian L_{ab} has constant rank, $\text{rank}(L_{ab}) = n - D$, are given by

$$G^a = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{b}}^a - \frac{L_{\mathbf{b}} \theta^a}{L} \right) L^{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}} L_f \theta^f ([e_g, e_{\mathbf{c}}]) \theta^g + \lambda^I v_I^a, \quad (\text{IV.11})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_I = L_f \theta^f ([e_g, e_I]) \theta^g - L_{I\mathbf{b}} L^{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}} L_f \theta^f ([e_g, e_{\mathbf{c}}]) \theta^g = 0. \quad (\text{IV.12})$$

Proof. Contracting θ^c to (III.11), we get an equation for the Berwald functions:

$$L_a G^a = 0. \quad (\text{IV.13})$$

On the other hand, by using these basis vectors $\left(\frac{\theta^a}{L}, \ell_{\mathbf{b}}^a, v_I^a \right)$, G^a can be expanded as

$$G^a = \lambda^0 \frac{\theta^a}{L} + \lambda^{\mathbf{b}} \ell_{\mathbf{b}}^a + \lambda^I v_I^a. \quad (\text{IV.14})$$

The requirement (IV.13) becomes

$$L_a G^a = \lambda^0 = 0, \quad (\text{IV.15})$$

due to the properties displayed in Remark 4. From (IV.3) and (III.11),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L_a N^a_c = L_a \frac{\partial G^a}{\partial \theta^c} - \frac{1}{2} L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b \\ &= L_a \ell_{\mathbf{b}}^a \frac{\partial \lambda^{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial \theta^c} + L_a v_I^a \frac{\partial \lambda^I}{\partial \theta^c} + \lambda^{\mathbf{b}} L_a \frac{\partial \ell_{\mathbf{b}}^a}{\partial \theta^c} + \lambda^I L_a \frac{\partial v_I^a}{\partial \theta^c} - \frac{1}{2} L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^a. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.16})$$

The first three terms vanish because of Remark 4. Then, we get

$$-\frac{1}{2}L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b = -\lambda^{\mathbf{b}} L_a \frac{\partial \ell_{\mathbf{b}}^a}{\partial \theta^c} = L_{cb} \lambda^{\mathbf{b}}. \quad (\text{IV.17})$$

Thus we get the following equation,

$$L_{0\mathbf{b}} \lambda^{\mathbf{b}} = -\frac{1}{2}L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_0]) \theta^b, \quad L_{A\mathbf{b}} \lambda^{\mathbf{b}} = -\frac{1}{2}L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_A]) \theta^b, \quad L_{c\mathbf{b}} \lambda^{\mathbf{b}} = -\frac{1}{2}L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b. \quad (\text{IV.18})$$

For there is an inverse matrix $(L^{\mathbf{ab}})$ of $(L_{\mathbf{ab}})$; $L^{\mathbf{ac}} L_{c\mathbf{b}} = \delta_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}$, we obtain a solution of the third equation of (IV.18)

$$\lambda^{\mathbf{b}} = -\frac{1}{2}L^{\mathbf{bc}} L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b, \quad (\text{IV.19})$$

and constraints from the second equation of (IV.18):

$$\mathcal{C}_I := \frac{1}{2}L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_I]) \theta^b - \frac{1}{2}L_{I\mathbf{b}} L^{\mathbf{bc}} L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b = 0. \quad (\text{IV.20})$$

This $\lambda^{\mathbf{b}}$ with the constraints (IV.20) also satisfies the first equation of (IV.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^0 L_{0\mathbf{b}} \lambda^{\mathbf{b}} &= -(\theta^I L_{I\mathbf{b}} + \theta^c L_{c\mathbf{b}}) \lambda^{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{1}{2} \theta^I L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_I]) \theta^b + \frac{1}{2} \theta^c L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \theta^c L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b - \frac{1}{2} \theta^0 L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_0]) \theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} \theta^0 L_a \theta^a ([e_b, e_0]) \theta^b. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.21})$$

Therefore we get the Berwald functions,

$$G^a = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}} - \frac{L_{\mathbf{b}} \theta^{\mathbf{a}}}{L} \right) L^{\mathbf{bc}} L_c \theta^c ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b + \lambda^I v_I^a, \quad (\text{IV.22})$$

with constraints (IV.20). \square

Let us consider a simpler case where the conjugate momenta of θ^I become $L_I = 0$, and the Hessian of the Finsler metric L becomes

$$(L_{\mathbf{ab}}) = \begin{pmatrix} L_{00} & L_{0\mathbf{b}} & 0 \\ L_{a0} & L_{a\mathbf{b}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & O \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & O \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = (0, \mathbf{a}), \beta = (0, \mathbf{b}), \quad (\text{IV.23})$$

then we get simpler formula for the Berwald functions. We define a new matrix:

$$(\tilde{L}^{ab}) := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{L}^{00} & \tilde{L}^{0b} & 0 \\ \tilde{L}^{a0} & \tilde{L}^{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & O \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{L}^{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & O \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{IV.24})$$

$$(\tilde{L}^{\alpha\beta}) := \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\theta^0}{L}\right)^2 L^{ab} L_a L_b & -\frac{\theta^0}{L} \left(L^{bc} L_c - \frac{L^{ac} L_a L_c \theta^b}{L} \right) \\ -\frac{\theta^0}{L} \left(L^{ac} L_c - \frac{L^{bc} L_b L_c \theta^a}{L} \right) & L^{ab} - \frac{L^{ac} L_c \theta^b}{L} - \frac{L^{bc} L_c \theta^a}{L} + \frac{L^{cd} L_c L_d \theta^a \theta^b}{L^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{IV.25})$$

which satisfies the following identities

$$\tilde{L}^{\alpha\gamma} L_{\gamma\beta} = \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} - \frac{\theta^{\alpha} L_{\beta}}{L}, \quad \tilde{L}^{\alpha\gamma} L_{\gamma} = 0. \quad (\text{IV.26})$$

Proposition IV.3. The Berwald functions and constraints for the Finsler metric of type (IV.23) are

$$G^{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{L}^{\alpha\gamma} L_{\beta} \theta^{\beta} ([e_b, e_{\gamma}]) \theta^b, \quad G^I = \lambda^I, \quad (\text{IV.27})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_I = L_{\beta} \theta^{\beta} ([e_b, e_I]) \theta^b = 0. \quad (\text{IV.28})$$

Proof. In this case, we can take $v_I^a = \delta_I^a - \frac{L_I \theta^a}{L} = \delta_I^a$. Since $\theta^{\gamma} M_{\gamma} = \theta^0 M_0 + \theta^c M_c = 0$ for $M_{\gamma} := -\frac{1}{2} L_{\beta} \theta^{\beta} ([e_b, e_{\gamma}]) \theta^b$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}^{\alpha\gamma} M_{\gamma} &= -\frac{\theta^0}{L} \left(L^{ac} L_c - \frac{L^{bc} L_b L_c \theta^a}{L} \right) M_0 + L^{ac} M_c - \frac{L^{ab} L_b \theta^c}{L} M_c \\ &\quad - \frac{L^{cb} L_b \theta^a}{L} M_c + \frac{L^{cd} L_c L_d \theta^a \theta^c}{L^2} M_c \\ &= \frac{1}{L} \left(L^{ac} L_c - \frac{L^{bc} L_b L_c \theta^a}{L} \right) \theta^c M_c + L^{ac} M_c - \frac{L^{ab} L_b \theta^c}{L} M_c \\ &\quad - \frac{L^{cb} L_b \theta^a}{L} M_c + \frac{L^{cd} L_c L_d \theta^a \theta^c}{L^2} M_c \\ &= L^{ac} M_c - \frac{L^{cb} L_b \theta^a}{L} M_c = \left(\delta_b^a - \frac{L_b \theta^a}{L} \right) L^{bc} M_c, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.29})$$

G^a given by (IV.27) is the same as the Proposition IV.2. $G^0 = \tilde{L}^{0\gamma} M_{\gamma}$ is also the same as the Proposition, since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}^{0\gamma} M_{\gamma} &= \left(\frac{\theta^0}{L} \right)^2 L^{ab} L_a L_b M_0 - \frac{\theta^0}{L} \left(L^{ca} L_a - \frac{L^{ab} L_a L_b \theta^c}{L} \right) M_c \\ &= -\frac{\theta^0}{L} L^{ca} L_a M_c = \left(\delta_b^0 - \frac{L_b \theta^0}{L} \right) L^{bc} M_c. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.30})$$

Using (IV.28), we get the above formula for G^I . \square

V. EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS IN MOVING FRAME

Euler-Lagrange equations are derived from the variational principle of the action,

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{c}] := \int_{\mathbf{c}} L(\theta^a) = \int_{s_0}^{s_1} L \left(e^a{}_{\mu}(x(s)) \frac{dx^{\mu}(s)}{ds} \right) ds, \quad (\text{V.1})$$

where \mathbf{c} means an oriented curve on Finsler manifold (M, L) , and $c(s) : [s_0, s_1] \rightarrow \mathbf{c} \subset M$ is an arbitrary parametrization of \mathbf{c} . The variation of $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{c}]$ is defined by

$$\delta\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{c}] := \int_{\mathbf{c}} \delta L = \int_{\mathbf{c}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a} \delta \theta^a = \int_{\mathbf{c}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a} \left(\frac{\partial e^a{}_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta x^{\nu} dx^{\mu} + e^a{}_{\mu} d\delta x^{\mu} \right). \quad (\text{V.2})$$

With a vector field $X := \delta x^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}$, the variation $\delta \theta^a$ can be described as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \theta^a &= \frac{\partial e^a{}_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta x^{\nu} dx^{\mu} + e^a{}_{\mu} d\delta x^{\mu} = \frac{\partial e^a{}_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta x^{\nu} dx^{\mu} - \frac{\partial e^a{}_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} dx^{\nu} \delta x^{\mu} + de^a{}_{\mu} \delta x^{\mu} + e^a{}_{\mu} d\delta x^{\mu} \\ &= \iota_X \text{rot } \theta^a + \text{grad } \iota_X \theta^a = \mathcal{L}_X \theta^a, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.3})$$

where grad and rot represent the exterior derivatives on 1-forms and 2-forms, respectively. For a vector field $X = X^a e_a$ with a moving frame (e_a) and an arbitrary coefficients $X^a := e^a{}_{\mu} \delta x^{\mu}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \theta^a &= \mathcal{L}_X \theta^a = \text{grad } \iota_X \theta^a + \iota_X \text{rot } \theta^a = \text{grad } X^a - \iota_X \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^b \wedge \theta^c \right\} \\ &= dX^a - X^b \theta^a ([e_b, e_c]) \theta^c, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.4})$$

and accordingly,

$$\delta L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a} \delta \theta^a = d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a} X^a \right) - X^a \left\{ d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a} \right) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^b} \theta^b ([e_a, e_c]) \theta^c \right\}. \quad (\text{V.5})$$

The variational principle $\delta\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{c}] = 0$ leads to the following Euler-Lagrange equations in moving frame formulation,

$$0 = c^* \{ dL_a + L_b \theta^b ([e_a, e_c]) \theta^c \}. \quad (\text{V.6})$$

The equations above determine the extremum curve \mathbf{c} as a solution. With the Cartan condition (III.9) of the nonlinear Finsler connection, the terms in the bracket of the right-hand side of the Euler-Lagrange equations (V.6) become

$$0 = dL_a + L_b \left(\frac{\partial N^b{}_a}{\partial \theta^c} - \frac{\partial N^b{}_c}{\partial \theta^a} \right) \theta^c. \quad (\text{V.7})$$

Because of the fact that N^b_a are homogeneous functions of degree one with respect to θ :

$$\frac{\partial N^b_a}{\partial \theta^c} \theta^c = N^b_a, \quad (\text{V.8})$$

and the metricity condition of the Finsler nonlinear connection (III.11):

$$0 = L_b N^b_a, \quad (\text{V.9})$$

the second term of (V.7) vanishes. Moreover, differentiating the condition (V.9) with respect to θ , we obtain

$$0 = L_{ab} N^b_c + L_b \frac{\partial N^b_c}{\partial \theta^a}. \quad (\text{V.10})$$

With the aid of the above relation and the definition of the derivative

$$d \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta^b \partial \theta^a} d\theta^b, \quad (\text{V.11})$$

the equations (V.7) finally become

$$0 = L_{ab} (d\theta^b + N^b_c \theta^c). \quad (\text{V.12})$$

Therefore the Euler-Lagrange equations (V.6) are rewritten into the form of the auto-parallel equations,

$$\begin{cases} c^* \left\{ d\theta^a + 2G^a - \lambda^0 \frac{\theta^a}{L} \right\} = 0, & G^a = \frac{1}{2} \theta^c N^a_c, \quad (a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n) \\ c^* \mathcal{C}_I = c^* \left\{ L_{I\mathbf{a}} \lambda^{\mathbf{a}} + \frac{1}{2} L_d \theta^d ([e_b, e_I]) \theta^b \right\} = 0, & (I = n - D + 1, n - D + 2, \dots, n) \end{cases} \quad (\text{V.13})$$

where λ^0 is an arbitrary function of (x^μ, θ^a) with degree two homogeneity with respect to θ^a . The presence of this arbitrary function λ^0 in the auto-parallel equations represents their parameterization invariance. The equations (V.12) tell that $d\theta^a + 2G^a$ should be spanned by eigenvectors for zero eigenvalue of (L_{ab}) , $\frac{\theta^a}{L}$ and v_I^a . G^a , however, already include $\lambda^I v_I^a$ with arbitrary λ^I in itself, which leads to the first equation of (V.13). The second line of (V.13) represents constraints. These constraints can be classified into two categories: the case that λ^I is fixed so that it is consistent with the derivative of \mathcal{C}_I (second class constraints), or the case that λ^I remains arbitrary (first class constraints).

VI. EXAMPLES

We show two applications in moving frame formalism: i) Riemannian manifold, and ii) pseudo particle model [10].

A. Riemannian manifold

It is a good exercise to go over the method in the case of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) as a Finsler manifold (M, L) . The Finsler metric L for a Riemannian metric $g = g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$ in terms of a moving frame θ^a is given by

$$L = \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu dx^\nu} = \sqrt{\eta_{ab}\theta^a\theta^b}. \quad (\text{VI.1})$$

We already know the solution: the nonlinear Finsler connection

$$\nabla\theta^a = -\theta^c \otimes N^a{}_c, \quad (\text{VI.2})$$

in this case is linear, $N^a{}_c = \omega^a{}_{bc}\theta^b$, and the metricity condition is just $\omega_{abc} + \omega_{bac} = 0$. Here we rederive the result through our formula (IV.27) in Proposition IV.3 for the Berwald functions G^a . We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_a &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^a} = \frac{\eta_{ab}\theta^b}{L}, & L_{ab} &= \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta^a \partial \theta^b} = \frac{1}{L} \left(\eta_{ab} - \frac{\eta_{ac}\theta^c \eta_{bd}\theta^d}{L^2} \right), \\ \tilde{L}^{ab} &= L\eta^{ab} - \frac{\theta^a\theta^b}{L} & \tilde{L}^{ac}L_{cb} &= \delta_b^a - \frac{\theta^a L_b}{L}, & \tilde{L}^{ac}L_c &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.3})$$

therefore the Berwald functions become

$$G^a = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{L}^{ac}L_f\theta^f([e_b, e_c])\theta^b = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{ae}\eta_{fd}\theta^f([e_b, e_c])\theta^b\theta^d, \quad (\text{VI.4})$$

without constraints. From (VI.4) and (IV.3), we obtain a linear connection

$$\begin{aligned} N^a{}_c &= \frac{\partial G^a}{\partial \theta^c} - \frac{1}{2}\theta^a([e_b, e_c])\theta^b \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\{\eta^{ae}\eta_{fc}\theta^f([e_b, e_c])\theta^b + \eta^{ae}\eta_{fd}\theta^f([e_c, e_e])\theta^d + \theta^a([e_b, e_c])\theta^b\} =: \omega^a{}_c, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.5})$$

which is indeed the spin connection $\omega^a{}_c = \omega^a{}_{bc}\theta^b$ on the Riemannian manifold.

The Euler-Lagrange equation of a free particle on the Riemannian manifold (M, L) described by the Finsler metric (VI.1) is

$$0 = c^* \left\{ d \left(\frac{\theta_a}{L} \right) + \frac{1}{L}\theta^b([e_a, e_c])\theta_b\theta^c \right\}, \quad L_a = \frac{\theta_a}{L}, \quad \theta_a := \eta_{ab}\theta^b. \quad (\text{VI.6})$$

This is written in the form of the auto-parallel equation

$$0 = c^* \{ d\theta^a + \omega^a_c \theta^c - \lambda \theta^a \}, \quad (\text{VI.7})$$

with an arbitrary function λ of x and dx which is a Lagrange multiplier representing reparameterization invariant.

B. Pseudoclassical particle

The next example is a generalization of the pseudoclassical point particle model of Casalbuoni, Brink and Schwarz [14? ?]. We previously considered the model on a curved two dimensional spacetime in terms of super Finsler geometry [11]. In this section, we will recast a super Finsler connection of the model in moving frame. The Finsler metric of the model which we call Casalbuoni-Brink-Schwarz metric (CBS metric) is given by

$$L = \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(x)\Pi^\mu\Pi^\nu}, \quad \Pi^\mu = dx^\mu + \langle \xi | \gamma^\mu(x) | d\xi \rangle, \quad (\text{VI.8})$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is a Lorentz metric, $(x^\mu) = (x^0, x^1)$ are Graßmann even coordinates, $|\xi\rangle = |_A\rangle \xi^A = |_1\rangle \xi^1 + |_2\rangle \xi^2$ is a 2-dimensional Majorana spinor, and $(\xi^A) = (\xi^1, \xi^2)$ are Graßmann odd coordinates, $\gamma^\mu(x) = e_a^\mu(x)\gamma^a$, $(\gamma^a) = (\gamma^0, \gamma^1)$ are Dirac matrices: $\gamma^a\gamma^b + \gamma^b\gamma^a = 2\eta^{ab}$, $e_a^\mu(x)$ are zweibeins: $\eta^{ab}e_a^\mu(x)e_b^\nu(x) = g^{\mu\nu}(x)$, and \langle , \rangle is a metric of the spinor space: $\langle_A | B \rangle := \delta_{AB}$. We consider the CBS metric L as a super Finsler metric on a supermanifold $M^{(2,2)}$ with even and odd coordinates $(x^\mu, \xi^A) =: (z^I)$;

$$L = L(z, dz) : \mathbf{v} \in T_p M^{(2,2)} \mapsto L(z(p), dz(\mathbf{v})) \in \mathbb{R}_c, \quad (\text{VI.9})$$

where $\mathbb{R}_c = \mathbb{R}_{S[20]}$ is a set of even real numbers included in a Graßmann algebra over \mathbb{R} with two odd generators $\Lambda_2 = \mathbb{R}_{S[2]}$ [12–14].

We define a super moving coframe and frame $\Theta^\Psi = (\Theta^a, \Theta^A)$, $E_\Psi = (E_a, E_A)$:

$$\Theta^a := e^a_\mu dx^\mu + \langle \xi | \gamma^a | d\xi \rangle, \quad E_a := e_a^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad (a = 1, 2), \quad (\text{VI.10})$$

$$\Theta^A := d\xi^A, \quad E_A := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^A} - \langle \xi | \gamma^a |_A \rangle e_a^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad (A = 1, 2), \quad (\text{VI.11})$$

then the CBS metric is written by

$$L = L(\Theta^a) = \sqrt{\eta_{ab}\Theta^a\Theta^b}. \quad (\text{VI.12})$$

The super Finsler connection $N^\Psi = (N^a, N^A)$ is defined by

$$\nabla\Theta^\Psi = -\Theta^\Omega \otimes N^\Psi_\Omega, \quad (\text{VI.13})$$

$$\frac{\partial N^\Psi_\Omega}{\partial\Theta^\Phi} - (-1)^{|\Omega||\Phi|} \frac{\partial N^\Psi_\Phi}{\partial\Theta^\Omega} + \Theta^\Psi([E_\Phi, E_\Omega]) = 0, \quad (\text{VI.14})$$

$$\nabla L = \nabla\Theta^a \frac{\partial L}{\partial\Theta^a} = -\Theta^\Omega \otimes N^a_\Omega \frac{\partial L}{\partial\Theta^a} = 0, \quad (\text{VI.15})$$

where index Ψ, Φ, Ω takes (a, A) , and N^Ψ_Ω are functions of $(x^\mu, \xi^A, \Theta^a, \Theta^A)$ and homogeneity one with respect to (Θ^a, Θ^A) , that is $N^\Psi_\Omega = \frac{\partial N^\Psi_\Omega}{\partial\Theta^\Phi} \Theta^\Phi$.

Since $L_A = 0$, the Berwald functions and the constraints for this metric become

$$G^a = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{L}^{ac} L_b \Theta^b ([E_\Phi, E_c]) \Theta^\Phi, \quad G^A = \lambda^A, \quad (\text{VI.16})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_A = L_b \Theta^b ([E_A, E_\Phi]) \Theta^\Phi = 0, \quad (\text{VI.17})$$

from the Proposition IV.3. λ^A are arbitrary functions of (z, Θ) and homogeneous two with respect to Θ^Ψ , and

$$L_a = \frac{\Theta_a}{L}, \quad L_A = 0, \quad (L_{\Psi\Phi}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta_{ab}}{L} - \frac{\Theta_a \Theta_b}{L^3} & 0 \\ 0 & O \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{L}^{ab} = L\eta^{ab} - \frac{\Theta^a \Theta^b}{L}, \quad (\text{VI.18})$$

$$[E_A, E_b] = -[E_a, E_b] \langle \xi | \gamma^a |_A \rangle, \quad (\text{VI.19})$$

$$[E_A, E_B] = -2E_a \langle_B | \gamma^a |_A \rangle + [E_a, E_b] \langle \xi | \gamma^a |_A \rangle \langle \xi | \gamma^b |_B \rangle, \quad (\text{VI.20})$$

where E_a are usual zweibeins on the 2-dimensional Lorentzian manifold. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^b([E_\Phi, E_c]) \Theta^\Phi &= \Theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \Theta^d + \Theta^b([E_D, E_c]) \Theta^D \\ &= \theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \Theta^d - \theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_D \rangle \Theta^D \\ &= \theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \theta^d + \theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_D \rangle \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_D \rangle \Theta^D \\ &= \theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \theta^d, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.21})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^b([E_A, E_\Phi]) \Theta^\Phi &= \Theta^b([E_A, E_c]) \Theta^c + \Theta^b([E_A, E_C]) \Theta^C \\ &= -\theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_A \rangle \Theta^c - 2 \langle_A | \gamma^b |_C \rangle \Theta^C \\ &\quad + \theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_A \rangle \langle \xi | \gamma^c |_C \rangle \Theta^C, \\ &= -\theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_A \rangle \theta^c - \theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_A \rangle \langle \xi | \gamma^c |_C \rangle \Theta^C \\ &\quad - 2 \langle_A | \gamma^b |_C \rangle \Theta^C + \theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_A \rangle \langle \xi | \gamma^c |_C \rangle \Theta^C, \\ &= -\theta^b([E_d, E_c]) \langle \xi | \gamma^d |_A \rangle \theta^c - 2 \langle_A | \gamma^b |_C \rangle \Theta^C, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.22})$$

where we take $\theta^a := e^a_\mu dx^\mu$. Therefore we get explicit forms of G^a and \mathcal{C}_A ,

$$\begin{aligned} G^a &= -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{L}^{ac}L_b\theta^b([E_d, E_c])\theta^d = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{ac}\Theta_b\theta^b([E_d, E_c])\theta^d + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Theta^a\Theta^c}{L^2}\Theta_b\theta^b([E_d, E_c])\theta^d \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{ac}\theta_b\theta^b([E_d, E_c])\theta^d - \frac{1}{2}\eta^{ac}\theta^b([E_d, E_c])\theta^d\langle\xi|\gamma_b|d\xi\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Theta^a\Theta_b}{L^2}\theta^b([E_d, E_c])\theta^d\langle\xi|\gamma^c|d\xi\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.23})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_A = \langle_A| \left\{ -\frac{\Theta_b}{L}\theta^b([E_d, E_c])\theta^c\gamma^d|\xi\rangle - \frac{2\Theta_b}{L}\gamma^b|d\xi\rangle \right\} = 0. \quad (\text{VI.24})$$

If we have another vielbein basis: $dz^I = (dx^\mu, d\xi^A)$, the natural coordinates basis, their nonlinear connections coefficients n^I_K with respect to this basis, are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} -dz^K \otimes n^\mu_K &= \nabla dx^\mu = \nabla \{e_a^\mu(\Theta^a - \langle\xi|\gamma^a|\Theta\rangle)\} \\ &= de_a^\mu \otimes (\Theta^a - \langle\xi|\gamma^a|\Theta\rangle) - \Theta^\Omega \otimes e_a^\mu N^a_\Omega - d\xi^A \otimes \langle_A|\gamma^\mu|\Theta\rangle \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|\Omega|}\Theta^\Omega \otimes \langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|N_\Omega\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.25})$$

$$-dz^K \otimes n^A_K = \nabla d\xi^A = \nabla \Theta^A = -\Theta^\Omega \otimes N^A_\Omega, \quad (\text{VI.26})$$

so that we have

$$n^\mu_\rho = -\frac{\partial e_a^\mu}{\partial x^\rho}\theta^a + e^c_\rho e_a^\mu N^a_c - e^c_\rho \langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|N_c\rangle, \quad (\text{VI.27})$$

$$n^\mu_C = -\langle\xi|\gamma^c|C\rangle e_a^\mu N^a_c + e_a^\mu N^a_C + \langle C|\gamma^\mu|\Theta\rangle + \langle\xi|\gamma^c|C\rangle \langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|N_c\rangle + \langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|N_C\rangle, \quad (\text{VI.28})$$

$$n^A_\rho = e^c_\rho N^A_c, \quad (\text{VI.29})$$

$$n^A_C = -\langle\xi|\gamma^c|C\rangle N^A_c + N^A_C. \quad (\text{VI.30})$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2g^\mu &:= dz^K n^\mu_K = -de_a^\mu \theta^a + \theta^c e_a^\mu N^a_c - \theta^c \langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|N_c\rangle + \langle\xi|\gamma^c|d\xi\rangle e_a^\mu N^a_c \\ &\quad + e_a^\mu d\xi^C N^a_C + \langle d\xi|\gamma^\mu|\Theta\rangle - \langle\xi|\gamma^c|d\xi\rangle \langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|N_c\rangle - \langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|d\xi^C N_C\rangle \\ &= -de_a^\mu \theta^a + \langle\Theta|\gamma^\mu|\Theta\rangle + e_a^\mu (\Theta^c N^a_c + \Theta^C N^a_C) - \langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|(\Theta^c N_c + \Theta^C N_C)\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.31})$$

$$= -de_a^\mu \theta^a + 2e_a^\mu G^a - 2\langle\xi|\gamma^\mu|G\rangle, \quad (\text{VI.32})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2g^A &:= dz^K n^A_K = \theta^c N^A_c + \langle\xi|\gamma^c|d\xi\rangle N^A_c + d\xi^C N^A_C \\ &= \Theta^c N^A_c + \Theta^C N^A_C = 2G^A. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.33})$$

The identities

$$\langle d\xi | \mathcal{C} \rangle = -\frac{\Theta_b}{L} \theta^b ([E_d, E_c]) \theta^c \langle d\xi | \gamma^d | \xi \rangle, \quad (\text{VI.34})$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_a^\mu \eta^{ac} \theta^b ([E_d, E_c]) \theta^d &= g^{\mu\rho} e^c{}_\rho \theta^b ([E_d, E_c]) \theta^d = g^{\mu\rho} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \theta^b ([E_d, E_c]) \theta^d \wedge \theta^c \right\}, \\ &= g^{\mu\rho} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}} \text{div} \theta^b \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.35})$$

$$de_a^\mu \theta^a + e_a^\mu \eta^{ac} \theta_b \theta^b ([E_d, E_c]) \theta^d = -\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} dx^\nu dx^\rho, \quad (\text{VI.36})$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned} g^\mu &= -\frac{1}{2} de_a^\mu \theta^a + e_a^\mu G^a - \langle \xi | \gamma^\mu | G \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} de_a^\mu \theta^a - \frac{1}{2} e_a^\mu \eta^{ac} \theta_b \theta^b ([e_d, e_c]) \theta^d - \frac{1}{2} e_a^\mu \eta^{ac} \theta^b ([e_d, e_c]) \theta^d \langle \xi | \gamma_b | d\xi \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\Theta^a \Theta_b}{2L^2} e_a^\mu \theta^b ([e_d, e_c]) \theta^d \langle \xi | \gamma^c | d\xi \rangle - \langle \xi | \gamma^\mu | \lambda \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.37})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} dx^\nu dx^\rho + \frac{1}{2L} \Pi^\mu \langle d\xi | \mathcal{C} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\rho} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}} \text{div} \theta^a \langle \xi | \gamma_a | d\xi \rangle - \langle \xi | \gamma^\mu | \lambda \rangle, \quad (\text{VI.38})$$

$$g^A = \lambda^A, \quad (\text{VI.39})$$

where $\Pi^\mu = e_a^\mu \Theta^a$, $\text{div} \theta^a = de^a{}_\mu \wedge dx^\mu$. These are indeed the results of Berwald functions described in the previous paper. As well as the example A, the motion of the free particle on the Finsler manifold is given by

$$0 = c^* \{ d\Theta^a + 2G^a - \lambda \Theta^a \}, \quad 0 = c^* \mathcal{C}_A. \quad (\text{VI.40})$$

VII. DISCUSSIONS

Calculations of Finsler connection and curvature in holonomic coordinates are in general complicated and often hinder theoretical progress in physical applications of Finsler geometry, especially when one tries to understand a theory which is an extension of general relativity. It is, however, conceivable that moving frame (vielbein) formalism makes it easier as in general relativity. In this paper, we gave the calculation method in moving frame and displayed how it freely works in two different examples. We believe the method even helps

to simplify the description of much more intricate theories that appear in physics.

- [1] Y. Suzuki, “Finsler geometry in classical physics”, Journal of the College of Arts and Sciences, Chiba University, Vol. 2, pp. 12–16, 1956.
- [2] C. Lanczos, *The variational principles of mechanics*, Dover Books on Physics, 1986.
- [3] M. Matsumoto, *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces*, Shigaken, Kaiseisha Press (1986).
- [4] R. Miron, *The Geometry of Higher-Order Lagrange Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1997).
- [5] D. Bao, S.S. Chern and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, New York, Springer (2000).
- [6] P. L. Antonelli (ed), *Handbook of Finsler Geometry*, New York, Springer (2003).
- [7] L. Kozma, and T. Ootsuka, “Finsler Connection for General Lagrangian Systems”, Rep. Math. Phys. 78 (2016) 157-175.
- [8] L. Kozma, Holonomy structures in Finsler geometry, in *Handbook of Finsler Geometry*, Volume 1
- [9] T. Ootsuka, M. Ishida, E. Tanaka, and R. Yahagi, Variational principle of relativistic perfect fluid, Class. Quantum Grav. 33 245007 (2016)
- [10] T. Ootsuka, R. Yahagi, and M. Ishida, Killing symmetry on the Finsler manifold, Class. Quantum Grav. 34 095002 (2017)
- [11] T. Ootsuka, M. Ishida, E. Tanaka, and R. Yahagi, “Super Finsler Connection of Superparticle on Two Dimensional Curved Spacetime”, arXiv:1712.09540 [hep-th].
- [12] B. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press (2000).
- [13] A. Rogers, *Supermanifolds: Theory and Applications*, World Scientific (2007).
- [14] P. O. Freund, *Introduction to Supersymmetry*, Cambridge University Press (1986).