

DIRICHLET AND NEUMANN PROBLEMS FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH SINGULAR DRIFTS ON LIPSCHITZ DOMAINS

HYUNSEOK KIM AND HYUNWOO KWON

ABSTRACT. We consider the Dirichlet and Neumann problems for second-order linear elliptic equations:

$$-\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b}) = f \quad \text{and} \quad -\Delta v - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v = g$$

in a bounded Lipschitz domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$), where $\mathbf{b} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given vector field. Under the assumption that $\mathbf{b} \in L^n(\Omega)^n$, we first establish existence and uniqueness of solutions in $L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems. Here $L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ denotes the Sobolev space (or Bessel potential space) with the pair (α, p) satisfying certain conditions. These results extend the classical works of Jerison-Kenig [16] and Fabes-Mendez-Mitrea [11] for the Poisson equation. We also prove existence and uniqueness of solutions of the Dirichlet problem with boundary data in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the Dirichlet and Neumann problems for second-order linear elliptic equations with singular drifts on a bounded Lipschitz domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$). Given a vector field $\mathbf{b} = (b^1, \dots, b^n) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider the following Dirichlet problems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b}) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = u_D & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (D)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = v_D & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (D')$$

We also consider the following Neumann problems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b}) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (\nabla u - u\mathbf{b}) \cdot \nu = u_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (N)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla v \cdot \nu = v_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (N')$$

where ν denotes the outward unit normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. For $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$, $0 < \beta < 1$, and $1 < p < \infty$, we denote $L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ and $B^p_\beta(\partial\Omega)$ the Sobolev space (or Bessel potential space) and Besov space, respectively. See Section 2 for more details on these function spaces.

Date: June 2, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J15, 35J25.

Key words and phrases. elliptic equations, Lipschitz domains, singular drift terms.

The research of this work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No. NRF-2016R1D1A1B02015245).

When \mathbf{b} is sufficiently regular, for example, $\mathbf{b} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^n$, unique solvability results in $L^p_1(\Omega)$ are well-known for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems on smooth domains. For a singular drift $\mathbf{b} \in L^n(\Omega)^n$, existence and uniqueness results in $L^2_1(\Omega)$ have been already shown by Droniou [9] for the Dirichlet problems and by Droniou-Vázquez [10] for the Neumann problems on Lipschitz domains. Recently, L^p_1 -results were obtained by Kim-Kim [19] for the Dirichlet problems on C^1 -domains and by Kang-Kim [17] for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems on domains which have small Lipschitz constant. Moreover, several authors have studied regularity properties of solutions of the Dirichlet problems (see [12, 13, 22, 23] and references therein).

The assumption $\mathbf{b} \in L^n(\Omega)^n$ is essential to our study due to the following example. Let Ω be the unit ball centered at the origin. Define $\mathbf{b}(x) = (2 - n)x/|x|^2$ and $v(x) = \ln|x|$. Then $\mathbf{b} \in L^q(\Omega)^n$ for all $q < n$ and $v \in L^2_1(\Omega)$ is a nontrivial solution of the problem (D') with $g = 0$ and $v_D = 0$. This example suggests that solutions in $L^2_1(\Omega)$ of the problem (D') may not be unique when $\mathbf{b} \in L^q(\Omega)^n$ for any $q < n$.

For more than 40 years, many authors have studied the Dirichlet and Neumann problems for the Poisson equation on Lipschitz domains. In particular, Jerison-Kenig [16] established an optimal solvability result in $L^\alpha_p(\Omega)$ for the Dirichlet problem for the Poisson equation when (α, p) belongs to a set \mathcal{A} (see Definition 2.8 for a precise definition of \mathcal{A}). A similar result for the Neumann problem was obtained by Fabes-Mendez-Mitrea [11].

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, extending the classical results in [11, 16] and the recent results in [9, 10, 17, 19], we prove existence and uniqueness of solutions in $L^\alpha_p(\Omega)$ for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems. The second purpose is related to a question on the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for an elliptic equation with boundary data in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Such a question is often arisen in optimal control theory and numerical analysis (see [3, 20] and references therein). A relevant result to our purpose is due to Choe-Kim [6] who proved a unique solvability result for the stationary incompressible Navier-Stokes system with boundary data in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ on Lipschitz domains Ω in \mathbb{R}^3 . Motivated by this work, we will show existence, uniqueness, and regularity results for the Dirichlet problem (D) with boundary data in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ on a Lipschitz domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n .

Our main results are stated precisely in Section 3 after basic notions and preliminary results are introduced in Section 2. For the Dirichlet problems, Theorem 3.1 shows existence and uniqueness of solution in $L^\alpha_p(\Omega)$ of the problem (D) for all pairs (α, p) belonging to a subset $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ of $(0, 2) \times (0, \infty)$. Here \mathcal{B} is the set of all pairs (α, p) such that $\operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b}) \in L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)$ for all $u \in L^\alpha_p(\Omega)$ (see Definition 2.14). The same theorem also shows unique solvability in Sobolev spaces for the dual problem (D') . The case of L^2 -boundary data is then considered in Theorem 3.4, which shows existence and uniqueness of a solution u of the problem (D) for every $f \in L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)$ and $u_D \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, where (α, p) belongs to $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. The solution u is given by $u = u_1 + u_2$ for some $u_1 \in L^2_{1/2}(\Omega)$ tending to u_D nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$ and $u_2 \in L^\alpha_p(\Omega) + L^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}_1(\Omega)$ having zero trace. Moreover, we deduce a regularity property of the solution u : that is, if $u_D \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$ and $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, then $u \in L^q_{1/q}(\Omega) + L^\alpha_p(\Omega)$.

To state our results for the Neumann problems, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the dual pairing between a Banach space X and its dual space X' . It will be shown in Theorem 3.5 that if $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, then for each $f \in (L^p_{2-\alpha}(\Omega))'$ and $u_N \in (B^{p'}_{1+1/p-\alpha}(\partial\Omega))'$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\langle f, 1 \rangle + \langle u_N, 1 \rangle = 0$, there exists a unique function $u \in L^\alpha_p(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega u \, dx = 0$ such that

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle - \langle u\mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle u_N, \operatorname{Tr} \phi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega).$$

Here Tr denotes the trace operator given in Theorem 2.2. A similar L_α^p -result will also be proved for the dual problem (N') . However, an explicit counterexample (Example 2.10) suggests that Theorem 3.5 may not imply solvability for the Neumann problems (N) and (N') . Introducing a generalized normal trace operator γ_ν (Proposition 2.4), we will show in Theorem 3.6 that if the data f is sufficiently regular, then there exists a unique $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega u \, dx = 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \text{div}(u\mathbf{b}) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_\nu(\nabla u - u\mathbf{b}) = u_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

which provides a solvability result for the Neumann problem (N) . We also have a similar result for the dual problem (N') .

Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 are proved by a functional analytic argument. To estimate the drift terms, we derive bilinear estimates which are inspired by Gerhardt [14]; see Lemmas 2.12 and 2.13 below. To prove Theorem 3.1, we reduce the problems (D) and (D') to the problems with trivial boundary data by using a trace theorem (Theorem 2.2) and the bilinear estimates. For a fixed $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, let $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D : L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$ be the operator associated with the Dirichlet problem (D) , that is,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D u = -\Delta u + \text{div}(u\mathbf{b}).$$

Here $L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ is the space of all functions in $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ whose trace is zero (see Theorem 2.2). Similarly, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,D} : L_{2-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ the operator associated with the dual problem (D') . Due to the bilinear estimates, these operators are bounded linear operators. Also, the estimates (see Lemmas 2.13 and 2.15) enable us to use the Riesz-Schauder theory to conclude that the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D$ is bijective if and only if it is injective. Unique solvability results in $L_1^2(\Omega)$ for the problems (D) and (D') were already shown by Droniou [9]. Using this result, we prove that the kernel of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{1,p}^D$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1,p'}^{*,D}$ are trivial when $(1, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ (Lemma 4.1). For general $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, we use a regularity lemma (Lemma 4.2) to prove that the kernel of $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D$ is trivial (Proposition 4.3). This implies the unique solvability in $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ for the Dirichlet problem (D) . By duality, we obtain a similar result for the dual problem (D') . This outlines the proof of Theorem 3.1.

A similar strategy works for the proof of Theorem 3.5. For a fixed $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, let $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N}$ be the operators associated with the Neumann problems (N) and (N') , respectively. The characterization of the kernels $\mathcal{L}_{1,2}^N + \lambda\mathcal{I}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1,2}^{*,N} + \lambda\mathcal{I}_2$ was already obtained by Droniou-Vázquez [10] and Kang-Kim [17] for all $\lambda \geq 0$, where the operator $\mathcal{I}_p : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow (L^{p'}(\Omega))'$ is defined by $\langle \mathcal{I}_p u, v \rangle = \int_\Omega uv \, dx$. Following a similar scheme as in the Dirichlet problems, we will show that the kernels of the operators $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \lambda\mathcal{I}_p$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N} + \lambda\mathcal{I}_{p'}$ are trivial for all $\lambda > 0$. Also, we will show that the kernels of $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N}$ are one dimensional (see Proposition 5.4). Then Theorem 3.5 follows from the Riesz-Schauder theory again. This outlines the proof of Theorem 3.5.

The existence and regularity results in Theorem 3.4 easily follows from Theorems 2.6 and 3.1. For the uniqueness part, we shall prove an embedding result in $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ (Lemma 4.4) and a lemma for the nontangential behavior of a solution (Lemma 4.5). Finally, Theorem 3.6 will be deduced from Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3.5.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize known results for functions spaces on Lipschitz domains and unique solvability results for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems for the Poisson equation on Lipschitz domains. We also derive bilinear estimates which will be used repeatedly in this paper. Section 3 is devoted to

presenting the main results of this paper for the Dirichlet problems with boundary data in $B_\alpha^p(\partial\Omega)$ and in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, respectively. We also state the main results for the Neumann problems. The proofs of all the main results are provided in Sections 4 and 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, we assume that Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. By $C = C(p_1, \dots, p_k)$, we denote a generic positive constant depending only on the parameters p_1, \dots, p_k . For two Banach spaces X and Y with $X \subset Y$, we say that X is continuously embedded into Y , denoted by $X \hookrightarrow Y$, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|x\|_Y \leq C\|x\|_X$ for all $x \in X$.

2.1. Embedding and trace results. For $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$, let

$$L_\alpha^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{(I - \Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f : f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

denote the Sobolev space (or Bessel potential space) on \mathbb{R}^n (see [4, 15, 24]). We denote by $L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ and $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ the Sobolev spaces on Ω defined as follows:

$$L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) = \{u \in L_\alpha^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega}\},$$

$$L_\alpha^p(\Omega) = \begin{cases} \{u|_\Omega : u \in L_\alpha^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\} & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0, \\ [L_{-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega)]' & \text{if } \alpha < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $p' = p/(p-1)$ is the conjugate exponent to p . It was shown in [16, Remark 2.7, Proposition 2.9] that $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ are dense in $L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ and $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$, respectively. It was also shown in [16, Propositions 2.9 and 3.5] that

$$L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) = L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{p} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$L_{-\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) = [L_\alpha^{p'}(\Omega)]' \quad \text{for all } \alpha \geq 0.$$

For $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$, we define

$$B_\alpha^p(\partial\Omega) = \left\{ g \in L^p(\partial\Omega) : \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n-1+\alpha p}} d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$B_{-\alpha}^p(\partial\Omega) = [B_\alpha^{p'}(\partial\Omega)]'.$$

See Jerison-Kenig [16] and Fabes-Mendez-Mitrea [11] for a basic theory of Sobolev spaces $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ and Besov spaces $B_\alpha^p(\partial\Omega)$ on Lipschitz domains.

We recall the following embedding results for Sobolev and Besov spaces.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha < \infty$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$.*

(i) *If (α, p) and (β, q) satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \leq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n}, \quad (2.2)$$

then $L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_\beta^q(\Omega)$, that is, $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded into $L_\beta^q(\Omega)$.

(ii) *If $\beta < \alpha$ and inequality (2.2) is strict, then the embedding $L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_\beta^q(\Omega)$ is compact.*

(iii) If (α, p) and (β, q) satisfy

$$0 < \alpha < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n-1},$$

then

$$B_\alpha^p(\partial\Omega) \hookrightarrow \begin{cases} B_\beta^q(\partial\Omega) & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ L^q(\partial\Omega) & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

The proofs of (i) and (ii) can be found in the standard references (see e.g. [25, p.60, Theorem 1.122, and Proposition 4.6]). To prove (iii), we recall the following embedding result:

$$B_\alpha^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \begin{cases} B_\beta^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) & \text{if } 0 = \beta < \alpha \end{cases}$$

whenever (α, p) and (β, q) satisfy

$$0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha < 1, \quad 1 < p, q < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n-1} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n-1}$$

(see e.g [25, p.60 and Theorem 1.73] and [1, Theorem 7.34]). Then (iii) follows by using a partition of unity for the boundary $\partial\Omega$ (see [11, Lemma 1.1]).

We also recall a trace result from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.3 in Jerison-Kenig [16].

Theorem 2.2. *Let (α, p) satisfy*

$$1 < p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} < \alpha < 1 + \frac{1}{p}.$$

(i) *There exists a unique bounded linear operator $\text{Tr} : L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \rightarrow B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ such that*

$$\text{Tr } u = u|_{\partial\Omega} \quad \text{for all } u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}).$$

(ii) *$L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ is the space of all functions u in $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ with $\text{Tr } u = 0$.*

(iii) *There exists a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{E} : B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\text{Tr} \circ \mathcal{E} = I.$$

The following corollary is necessary to our study on the Dirichlet problem (D) with boundary data in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let (α, p) satisfy*

$$1 < p < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} < \alpha < 1 + \frac{1}{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \leq \frac{n-1}{2n}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then for all $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$, we have

$$u \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\Omega), \quad \text{Tr } u \in L^2(\partial\Omega),$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\Omega)} + \|\text{Tr } u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)}$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \Omega) > 0$.

Proof. Since (α, p) satisfies (2.3), it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega). \quad (2.4)$$

Corollary 2.3 follows from (2.4) and Theorem 2.2. \square

For a smooth vector field $F \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})^n$, integration by parts gives

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (F \cdot \nu)\phi \, d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} F \cdot \nabla\phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div} F)\phi \, dx \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}). \quad (2.5)$$

This formula can be generalized for $F \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)^n$ having divergence in $L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)$ with (α, p) and (β, q) satisfying certain conditions. To show this, we first note that for $1 < p < \infty$ and $-1/p' < \alpha < 1/p$, the pairing between $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ and $L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ is well-defined. Indeed, since $L_{\beta,0}^q(\Omega) = L_\beta^q(\Omega)$ for $0 \leq \beta < 1/q$, we have

$$L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) = [L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)]' = [L_\alpha^p(\Omega)]' \quad \text{if } \alpha \geq 0 \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$L_\alpha^p(\Omega) = [L_{-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega)]' = [L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)]' \quad \text{if } \alpha < 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, it was shown in [11, Lemma 9.1] that for $\alpha > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the gradient operator

$$\nabla : L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\alpha-1}^p(\Omega)^n \quad (2.8)$$

is well-defined and bounded. These observations enable us to define a generalized normal trace of a vector field F under some additional regularity assumption.

Proposition 2.4. *Let (α, p) and (β, q) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < p < \infty, \quad -\frac{1}{p'} < \alpha < \frac{1}{p}, \\ \alpha \leq \beta, \quad 0 < \frac{1}{q} < \beta \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Assume that $F \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)^n$ and $\operatorname{div} F \in L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique $\gamma_\nu(F) \in B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$\langle \gamma_\nu(F), \operatorname{Tr} \phi \rangle = \langle F, \nabla\phi \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} F, \phi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega). \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|\gamma_\nu(F)\|_{B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C(\|F\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)})$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, \beta, p, q, \Omega) > 0$. In addition, if $F \in L_\beta^q(\Omega)^n$, then

$$\gamma_\nu(F) = \operatorname{Tr} F \cdot \nu.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ be given. Then by (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8), the pairing $\langle F, \nabla\phi \rangle$ is well-defined and

$$|\langle F, \nabla\phi \rangle| \leq C\|F\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)}\|\phi\|_{L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)}$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \Omega) > 0$. Since (2.9) holds, it follows from Theorem 2.1 and (2.1) that

$$L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{1-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega) = L_{1-\beta,0}^{q'}(\Omega). \quad (2.11)$$

Since $\operatorname{div} F \in L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)$, we thus have

$$|\langle \operatorname{div} F, \phi \rangle| \leq \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)}\|\phi\|_{L_{1-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\operatorname{div} F\|_{L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)}\|\phi\|_{L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)}.$$

We now define $\gamma_\nu(F) : B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\langle \gamma_\nu(F), \eta \rangle = \langle F, \nabla(\mathcal{E}\eta) \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} F, \mathcal{E}\eta \rangle \quad \text{for all } \eta \in B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega),$$

where \mathcal{E} is the extension operator given in Theorem 2.2. By (2.11) and Theorem 2.2, there exists a constant $C = C(n, \alpha, \beta, p, q, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \gamma_\nu(F), \eta \rangle| &\leq C \left(\|F\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)} \right) \|\mathcal{E}\eta\|_{L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \left(\|F\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)} \right) \|\eta\|_{B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\eta \in B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$. It follows that $\gamma_\nu(F) \in B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$.

Next, we prove that $\gamma_\nu(F)$ is the unique element in $B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying (2.10). Let $\phi \in L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ be fixed. Then by Theorem 2.2, $\operatorname{Tr} \phi \in B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$ and $\phi - \mathcal{E}(\operatorname{Tr} \phi) \in L_{1-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Hence by the definition of $\operatorname{div} F$, we have

$$\langle F, \nabla(\phi - \mathcal{E}(\operatorname{Tr} \phi)) \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} F, \nabla(\phi - \mathcal{E}(\operatorname{Tr} \phi)) \rangle = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\langle \gamma_\nu(F), \operatorname{Tr} \phi \rangle = \langle F, \nabla(\mathcal{E}(\operatorname{Tr} \phi)) \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} F, \mathcal{E}(\operatorname{Tr} \phi) \rangle = \langle F, \nabla \phi \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} F, \phi \rangle,$$

which shows that $\gamma_\nu(F)$ satisfies identity (2.10). To show the uniqueness part, suppose that $g \in B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\langle g, \operatorname{Tr} \phi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega).$$

Then for every $h \in B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}h \in L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) \quad \text{and so} \quad \langle g, h \rangle = \langle g, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{E}h) \rangle = 0.$$

This proves the uniqueness part.

Suppose in addition that $F \in L_\beta^q(\Omega)^n$. Let us take

$$r = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{n/q-\beta} & \text{if } \beta < n/q, \\ \frac{n-1}{n/p-\alpha} + 1 & \text{if } \beta \geq n/q. \end{cases}$$

Then by (2.9) and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$B_{\beta-1/q}^q(\partial\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\partial\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r'}(\partial\Omega). \quad (2.12)$$

Hence by Hölder's inequality, (2.12), and Theorem 2.2, there exists a constant $C = C(n, \alpha, \beta, p, q, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\partial\Omega} (\operatorname{Tr} F \cdot \nu) \eta \, d\sigma \right| \leq C \|F\|_{L_\beta^q(\Omega)} \|\eta\|_{B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)} \quad (2.13)$$

for all $\eta \in B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$. This shows that $\operatorname{Tr} F \cdot \nu \in B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$. Since $\nabla : L_\beta^q(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\beta-1}^q(\Omega)^n$ is bounded, $L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{1-\beta,0}^{q'}(\Omega)$, and $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})^n$ is dense in $L_\beta^q(\Omega)^n$, a standard density argument enables us to deduce from (2.5) that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\operatorname{Tr} F \cdot \nu) \operatorname{Tr} \phi \, d\sigma = \langle F, \nabla \phi \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} F, \phi \rangle \quad (2.14)$$

for all $\phi \in L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. From (2.10) and (2.14), we get

$$\langle \operatorname{Tr} F \cdot \nu - \gamma_\nu(F), \operatorname{Tr} \phi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega).$$

Hence it follows that $\operatorname{Tr} F \cdot \nu = \gamma_\nu(F)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.4. \square

2.2. The Poisson equation. We first consider the Dirichlet problem for the Poisson equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = u_D & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

Let $\{\gamma(x) : x \in \partial\Omega\}$ be a regular family of cones associated with the Lipschitz domain Ω . See [26] for more details. Let u be a function on Ω . The nontangential maximal function of u is defined by

$$u^*(x) = \sup_{y \in \gamma(x)} |u(y)| \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega.$$

If there is a function g on $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow x, z \in \gamma(x)} u(z) = g(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \partial\Omega,$$

we write

$$u \rightarrow g \quad \text{nontangentially a.e. on } \partial\Omega.$$

The following proposition shows, in particular, that harmonic functions in $L^2_{1/2}(\Omega)$ have nontangential limits (see [16, Corollary 5.5]).

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that u is a harmonic function in Ω . Then $u^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ if and only if $u \in L^2_{1/2}(\Omega)$. In each case, there exists a function $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that $u \rightarrow g$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$.*

Proposition 2.5 leads us to introduce a function space

$$\mathcal{H}^2_{1/2}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^2_{1/2}(\Omega) : \Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \right\},$$

which is a closed subspace of $L^2_{1/2}(\Omega)$.

The following theorem summarizes the classical solvability and regularity results for the Dirichlet problem (2.15) when $f = 0$ and $u_D \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ (see [8, 16], in particular, [16, Theorems 5.2, 5.15]).

Theorem 2.6. *For every $u_D \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{H}^2_{1/2}(\Omega)$ such that $u \rightarrow u_D$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, we have*

$$\|u^*\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C(n, \Omega) \|u_D\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}.$$

In addition, if $u_D \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$ and $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, then

$$u \in L^q_{1/q}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L^q_{1/q}(\Omega)} \leq C(n, q, \Omega) \|u_D\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Jerison-Kenig [16] obtained the following optimal solvability result in $L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ for the inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem (2.15).

Theorem 2.7. *There is a number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Omega) \in (0, 1]$ such that if (α, p) satisfies one of the following conditions*

- (i) $1 - \varepsilon \leq 2/p \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $1/p < \alpha < 1 + 1/p$;
- (ii) $1 + \varepsilon < 2/p < 2$ and $3/p - 1 - \varepsilon < \alpha < 1 + 1/p$;
- (iii) $0 < 2/p < 1 - \varepsilon$ and $1/p < \alpha < 3/p + \varepsilon$,

then for every $f \in L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)$ and $u_D \in B^p_{\alpha-1/p}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ of (2.15). Moreover, this solution u satisfies

$$\|u\|_{L^p_\alpha(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)} + \|u_D\|_{B^p_{\alpha-1/p}(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$




FIGURE 2.1.

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \Omega)$. If Ω is a C^1 -domain, then the constant ε may be taken one.

Definition 2.8. We denote by \mathcal{A} the set of all pairs (α, p) that satisfy one of the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Theorem 2.7.

To illustrate \mathcal{A} , we introduce

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{ \left(\alpha, \frac{1}{p} \right) : (\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

See Figure 2.1 for the set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ in the αp^{-1} -plane. Observe that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is symmetric with respect to $(1, 1/2)$; hence

$$(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (2 - \alpha, p') \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Next, let us consider the Neumann problem for the Poisson equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla u \cdot \nu = u_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

A standard weak formulation of (2.16) is to find u satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \phi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u_N \phi \, dx \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$$

provided that the data f and u_N are sufficiently regular. Note that for $1/p < \alpha < 1 + 1/p$, the pairing between $L^p_{\alpha-1}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p'}_{1-\alpha}(\Omega)$ is well-defined by (2.6) and (2.7). So the pairing $\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle$ is well-defined for all $u \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega)$.

The following theorem is due to Fabes-Mendez-Mitrea [11].

Theorem 2.9. Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for every $f \in L^p_{\alpha-2,0}(\Omega)$ and $u_N \in B^p_{\alpha-1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\langle f, 1 \rangle + \langle u_N, 1 \rangle = 0$, there exists a unique (up to additive constants) function $u \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ such that

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega). \quad (2.17)$$

Moreover, this function u satisfies

$$\|u\|_{L^p_\alpha(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^p_{\alpha-2,0}(\Omega)} + \|u_N\|_{B^p_{\alpha-1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \Omega)$.

However, Theorem 2.9 does not always guarantee solvability for the Neumann problem (2.16) as shown in the following example from Amrouche and Rodríguez-Bellido [2].

Example 2.10. Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$ be fixed. By Hölder's inequality and Theorem 2.2 (ii), we have

$$\left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{Tr } \phi \, d\sigma \right| \leq C \|\text{Tr } \phi\|_{B_{1+1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)} \quad (2.18)$$

for all $\phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Define a linear functional f by

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{Tr } \phi \, d\sigma \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega).$$

Then by (2.18), $f \in L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)$. Choose any $u_N \in B_{\alpha-1-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\langle f, 1 \rangle + \langle u_N, 1 \rangle = 0.$$

By Theorem 2.9, there exists a function $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ satisfying (2.17). However, we prove that u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_\nu(\nabla u) = 1 + u_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

where γ_ν is the generalized normal trace operator introduced in Proposition 2.4.

Since $\langle f, \phi \rangle = \langle 1, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle$ for all $\phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ and u satisfies (2.17), we have

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

which shows that $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω . Choose any (β, q) satisfying

$$\alpha \leq \beta, \quad 0 < \frac{1}{q} < \beta - 1 \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

Since $\nabla u \in L_{\alpha-1}^p(\Omega)$ and $\text{div}(\nabla u) = \Delta u = 0 \in L_{\beta-2}^q(\Omega)$, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that $\gamma_\nu(\nabla u) \in B_{\alpha-1-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$\langle \gamma_\nu(\nabla u), \text{Tr } \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = \langle 1 + u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle$$

for all $\phi \in L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Finally, since $\text{Tr} : L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) \rightarrow B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$ is surjective, we get

$$\gamma_\nu(\nabla u) = 1 + u_N,$$

which proves that u is a solution of the problem (2.19).

Example 2.10 suggests that we need to assume more regularity on the data f to guarantee a unique solvability result for the Neumann problem (2.16).

Theorem 2.11. Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$ and assume that (β, q) satisfies

$$\alpha \leq \beta, \quad 0 < \frac{1}{q} < \beta - 1 \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

Then for every $f \in L_{\beta-2}^q(\Omega)$ and $u_N \in B_{\alpha-1-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\langle f, 1 \rangle + \langle u_N, 1 \rangle = 0$, there exists a unique (up to additive constants) function $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_\nu(\nabla u) = u_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. Since $L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{2-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega) = L_{2-\beta,0}^{q'}(\Omega)$ and $L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ is dense in $L_{2-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)$, it follows that $L_{\beta-2}^q(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)$. Hence by Theorem 2.9, there exists a function $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega).$$

Since $f \in L_{\beta-2}^q(\Omega)$, we have

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_{L_{\beta-2}^q(\Omega)} \|\phi\|_{L_{2-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)}$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. So $\text{div}(\nabla u) = \Delta u \in L_{\beta-2}^q(\Omega)$ and $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω . Moreover it follows from Proposition 2.4 that $\gamma_\nu(\nabla u) \in B_{\alpha-1-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$\langle \gamma_\nu(\nabla u), \text{Tr } \phi \rangle = -\langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle = \langle u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle$$

for all $\phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Since $\text{Tr} : L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) \rightarrow B_{1+1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$ is surjective, we conclude that $\gamma_\nu(\nabla u) = u_N$.

To prove the uniqueness part, let u be a solution to the problem (2.20) with $f = 0$ and $u_N = 0$. Since $\gamma_\nu(\nabla u) = 0$ and $-\Delta u = 0$ in Ω , it follows that

$$0 = \langle u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle + \langle \Delta u, \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle$$

for all $\phi \in L_{2-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)$. Hence by Theorem 2.9, $u = c$ for some constant c . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.11. \square

2.3. Bilinear estimates. In this subsection, we derive some bilinear estimates which will play a crucial role in this paper.

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose that $\mathbf{b} \in L^n(\Omega)^n$, and let (α, p) and (β, q) satisfy*

$$0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 2, \quad 1 < q \leq p < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}. \quad (2.21)$$

(i) *Assume that*

$$\beta \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{n}. \quad (2.22)$$

Then for any $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$, we have

$$u\mathbf{b} \in L^1(\Omega)^n$$

and

$$\int_\Omega (u\mathbf{b}) \cdot \Phi \, dx \leq C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \|\Phi\|_{L_{1-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)} \quad (2.23)$$

for all $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})^n$, where $C = C(n, \alpha, \beta, p, q, \Omega) > 0$.

(ii) *Assume that*

$$\alpha \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha - 1}{n} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{\alpha + n - 2}{n}. \quad (2.24)$$

Then for any $v \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$, we have

$$\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v \in L^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$\int_\Omega (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v) \psi \, dx \leq C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{L_{2-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)}$$

for all $\psi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, where $C = C(n, \alpha, \beta, p, q, \Omega) > 0$.

Proof. Assume that (α, p) satisfies (2.22) and $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $u \in L^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(\Omega)$. Hence by Hölder's inequality, we have $u\mathbf{b} \in L^1(\Omega)^n$.

Define r and s by

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1-\beta}{n}.$$

Then

$$1 < r, s < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{n} = 1. \quad (2.25)$$

Let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})^n$ be given. Then Hölder's inequality and Theorem 2.1 give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u\mathbf{b}) \cdot \Phi \, dx &\leq \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \|\Phi\|_{L^s(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \|\Phi\|_{L_{1-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, \beta, p, q, \Omega)$. This completes the proof of (i). The assertion (ii) immediately follows from (i) since $v \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ implies $\nabla v \in L_{\alpha-1}^p(\Omega)^n$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.12. \square

Remark. Suppose that $\alpha \geq 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. If $w \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} w\phi \, dx \leq C \|\phi\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}),$$

then the functional

$$\phi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} w\phi \, dx$$

can be uniquely extended to a bounded linear functional on both $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ and $L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$, which we still denote by w .

Lemma 2.12 and the remark enable us to prove the following estimates which are inspired by Gerhardt's inequality in [14] (see also [6, 17–19]).

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose that $\mathbf{b} \in L^n(\Omega)^n$.*

(i) *Let (α, p) satisfy*

$$0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{\alpha + n - 1}{n}.$$

Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C_\varepsilon = C(\varepsilon, n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\|u\mathbf{b}\|_{L_{\alpha-1,0}^p(\Omega)} + \|u\mathbf{b}\|_{L_{\alpha-1}^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

for all $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$.

(ii) *Let (α, p) satisfy*

$$1 \leq \alpha \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha - 1}{n} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{\alpha + n - 2}{n}.$$

Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C_\varepsilon = C(\varepsilon, n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v\|_{L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v\|_{L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|v\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

for all $v \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$.




FIGURE 2.2.

Proof. (i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^n$ is dense in $L^n(\Omega)^n$, there exists $\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^n$ such that $\|\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{b}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} < \varepsilon/C$, where C is the positive constant in (2.23). Then by Lemma 2.12 (i) and its remark, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\mathbf{b}\|_{L_{\alpha-1,0}^p(\Omega)} &\leq \|u(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon)\|_{L_{\alpha-1,0}^p(\Omega)} + \|u\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon\|_{L_{\alpha-1,0}^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + \|u\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon\|_{L_{\alpha-1,0}^p(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})^n$. Then by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon) \cdot \Phi \, dx &\leq \|\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\Phi\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\|\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\Phi\|_{L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|u\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon\|_{L_{\alpha-1,0}^p(\Omega)} \leq C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

for some constant $C_\varepsilon = C(\varepsilon, n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega)$. The proof of (ii) is similar and so omitted. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.13. \square

Definition 2.14. We denote by \mathcal{B} the set of all pairs (α, p) that satisfy the condition in Lemma 2.13 (i). Similarly, \mathcal{B}' is the set of all pairs (α, p) that satisfy the condition in Lemma 2.13 (ii).

To depict these sets, we introduce

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \left\{ \left(\alpha, \frac{1}{p} \right) : (\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{B} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}' = \left\{ \left(\alpha, \frac{1}{p} \right) : (\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{B}' \right\}.$$

See Figure 2.2 for the sets $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'$ in the αp^{-1} -plane. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'$ is the reflection of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ with respect to $(1, 1/2)$; hence

$$(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{B} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (2 - \alpha, p') \in \mathcal{B}'.$$

Assume that $\mathbf{b} \in L^n(\Omega)^n$, and let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{B}$ be fixed. Then by Lemma 2.12 (i), the mapping

$$(u, \phi) \mapsto \langle \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N u, \phi \rangle = -\langle u\mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle \quad \text{for all } (u, \phi) \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \times L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$$

defines a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N$ from $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ to $L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)$. The same lemma also shows that the mapping $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D u = \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b}) \quad \text{for all } u \in L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$$

is a bounded linear operator from $L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ to $L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$. Similarly, it follows from Lemma 2.12 (ii) that the mapping $\mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^*$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* v = -\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v \quad \text{for all } v \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$$

is a bounded linear operator from $L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ to $L_{-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Note also that

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N u, v \rangle = \langle \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* v, u \rangle \quad \text{for all } (u, v) \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \times L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D u, v \rangle = \langle \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* v, u \rangle \quad \text{for all } (u, v) \in L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) \times L_{2-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega). \quad (2.27)$$

Moreover, these operators are compact as shown below.

Lemma 2.15. *Suppose that $\mathbf{b} \in L^n(\Omega)^n$, and let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{B}$. Then the operators $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N$, $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$, and $\mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^*$ are compact.*

Proof. It was shown in [16, Proposition 2.9] that $L_\beta^q(\Omega)$ is reflexive for $\beta > 0$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Hence to prove the compactness of $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N$, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N$ is completely continuous. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Lemma 2.13 (i), there is a constant $C_\varepsilon = C(\varepsilon, n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N u, \phi \rangle| &= |\langle u\mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle| \leq \|u\mathbf{b}\|_{L_{\alpha-1,0}^p(\Omega)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_{1-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon \|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \|\phi\|_{L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $(u, \phi) \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \times L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Hence it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N u\|_{L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega). \quad (2.28)$$

Suppose that $u_k \rightarrow u$ weakly in $L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \leq M = \sup_k \|u_k\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} < \infty$. Then by Theorem 2.1 (ii), we have

$$u_k \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega).$$

Thus by (2.28), we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N u_k - \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N u\|_{L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)} \leq 2M\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary chosen, it follows that $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N u_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N u$ strongly in $L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)$, which proves that $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^N$ is completely continuous. Since the proofs for $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^*$ are similar, we omit their proofs. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.15. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

We shall assume throughout the rest of the paper that

$$\mathbf{b} \in L^n(\Omega)^n.$$

Having introduced the sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in Section 2, we are ready to state the main results of this paper.

3.1. The Dirichlet problems. Our first result is concerned with unique solvability for the Dirichlet problems (D) and (D') with boundary data in $B_\alpha^p(\partial\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.*

- (i) *For every $f \in L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$ and $u_D \in B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ of (D) . Moreover, this solution u satisfies*

$$\|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)} + \|u_D\|_{B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega)$.

- (ii) *For every $g \in L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ and $v_D \in B_{1+1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution $v \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ of (D') . Moreover, this solution v satisfies*

$$\|v\|_{L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|g\|_{L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)} + \|v_D\|_{B_{1+1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega)$.

Remark. For the special case when $(\alpha, p) = (1, 2)$, Theorem 3.1 was already shown by Droniou [9]. Moreover, L_1^p -results were established by Kim-Kim [19] for C^1 -domains. Theorem 3.1 extends the previous results in [9, 19] as well as the classical work of Jerison-Kenig [16].

Next, we consider unique solvability for the Dirichlet problem (D) with boundary data in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ be fixed. Suppose that $f \in L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$ and $u_D \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. By Theorem 2.6, there exists a unique solution u_1 in $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega)$ such that $u_1 \rightarrow u_D$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$. Let us consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_2 + \operatorname{div}(u_2 \mathbf{b}) = -\operatorname{div}(u_1 \mathbf{b}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_2 = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.12,

$$u_1 \in L_{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\Omega) \quad \text{and so} \quad \operatorname{div}(u_1 \mathbf{b}) \in L_{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega).$$

Since $(1, 2n/(n+1)) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 (i) that there exists a unique solution $u_2 \in L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega)$ of (3.1). The same theorem also shows that there exists a unique solution $u_3 \in L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ of the problem (D) with trivial boundary data. Define $u = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$. Then

$$u \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega) + L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$$

and

$$-\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(u \mathbf{b}) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

To proceed further, we need the following lemma which will be proved in Section 4.2.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega) \cap \left(L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) \right) = \{0\}.$$

Lemma 3.2 motivates us to introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.3. For $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we denote by $D_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ the Banach space

$$\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega) \oplus \left(L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) \right)$$

equipped with the natural norm. The projection operator of $D_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,p}$ or simply by \mathbb{P} .

Now we are ready to state unique solvability and regularity results for the Dirichlet problem (D) with boundary data in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. For every $f \in L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$ and $u_D \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function $u \in D_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ such that

- (a) $-\int_{\Omega} u(\Delta\phi + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\phi) dx = \langle f, \phi \rangle$ for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,
- (b) $\mathbb{P}u \rightarrow u_D$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$.

Moreover, we have

$$\|u\|_{D_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \leq C (\|f\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} + \|u_D\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}).$$

In addition, if $u_D \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, then

$$u \in L_{1/q}^q(\Omega) + L_\alpha^p(\Omega).$$

Remark.

- (i) Suppose that $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbf{b} = 0$. Combining Theorems 2.2, 2.6, and 2.7, we can prove that for every $f \in L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$ and $u_D \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function $u \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega) \oplus L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ satisfying

- (a) $-\int_{\Omega} u\Delta\phi dx = \langle f, \phi \rangle$ for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,
- (b) $\mathbb{P}u \rightarrow u_D$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$.

where \mathbb{P} is the projection operator from $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega) \oplus L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega)$.

- (ii) Suppose that $f \in L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$ and $u_D \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$, where $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{q} \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \leq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then by Theorem 2.1, $L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{1/q}^q(\Omega)$. Hence if $u \in D_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ is a solution of (D) given by Theorem 3.4, then $u \in L_{1/q}^q(\Omega)$. However, we cannot expect that the solution u becomes bounded even though $f = 0$ and u_D is constant. Define

$$u(x) = -\ln|x| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^2 \ln|x|} \quad \text{for } x \in B_{1/2},$$

where B_r is the open ball of radius r centered at the origin. Then $u \in L_1^2(B_{1/2})$ satisfies

$$-\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b}) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad u = \ln 2 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{1/2}.$$

Note that u is not bounded while $\mathbf{b} \in L^n(B_{1/2})^n$.

- (iii) One may ask existence of a solution of the problem (D') with boundary data $v_D \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Following our previous strategy, we first find a function $v_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega)$ such that $v_1 \rightarrow v_D$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$. However, since $v_1 \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ with $p = 2$ and $\alpha = 1/2 < 1$, the estimate in Lemma 2.12 (ii) cannot be used to show that $\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v_1 \in L_{\beta-2}^q$ for some $(\beta, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. So it seems hard to discuss the solvability of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_2 + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v_2 = -\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_2 = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

3.2. The Neumann problems. In this subsection, we state the main result for the Neumann problems (N) and (N') .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.*

- (i) *There exists a positive function $\hat{u} \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$\langle \nabla \hat{u}, \nabla \phi \rangle - \langle \hat{u} \mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle = 0$$

for all $\phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. In fact, $\hat{u} \in L_\beta^q(\Omega)$ for all $(\beta, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.

- (ii) *For every $f \in L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)$ and $u_N \in B_{\alpha-1-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\langle f, 1 \rangle + \langle u_N, 1 \rangle = 0$, there exists a unique function $u \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega u \, dx = 0$ such that*

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle - \langle u \mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle$$

for all $\phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Moreover, u satisfies

$$\|u\|_{L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)} + \|u_N\|_{B_{\alpha-1-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega)$.

- (iii) *For every $g \in L_{-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega)$ and $v_N \in B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\langle g, \hat{u} \rangle + \langle v_N, \text{Tr } \hat{u} \rangle = 0$, there exists a unique function $v \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega v \hat{u} \, dx = 0$ such that*

$$\langle \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle - \langle \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle = \langle g, \psi \rangle + \langle v_N, \text{Tr } \psi \rangle$$

for all $\psi \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$. Moreover, v satisfies

$$\|v\|_{L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|g\|_{L_{-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega)} + \|v_N\|_{B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega)$.

Remark. When $(\alpha, p) = (1, 2)$, Theorem 3.5 was already shown by Droniou-Vázquez [10]. Recently, L_1^p -results were obtained by Kang-Kim [17] for general elliptic equations of second order:

$$-\text{div}(A\nabla u) + \text{div}(u\mathbf{b}) = f, \quad -\text{div}(A^t\nabla v) - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v = g \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (3.2)$$

provided that the matrix A has a small BMO semi-norm and the boundary $\partial\Omega$ has a small Lipschitz constant. Theorem 3.5 extends the results in [10, 11] for arbitrary Lipschitz domains and in [17] for Lipschitz domains having small Lipschitz constants.

It was already observed in Example 2.10 that the functions in Theorem 3.5 (ii) and (iii) may not solve the Neumann problems (N) and (N') . However, if f and g are sufficiently regular, then these functions become solutions of the problems (N) and (N') .

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.*

(i) Assume that (β, q) satisfies

$$\alpha \leq \beta, \quad 0 < \frac{1}{q} < \beta - 1 \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

For every $f \in L^q_{\beta-2}(\Omega)$ and $u_N \in B^p_{\alpha-1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\langle f, 1 \rangle + \langle u_N, 1 \rangle = 0$, there exists a unique function $u \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega u \, dx = 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b}) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_\nu(\nabla u - u\mathbf{b}) = u_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

(ii) Assume that (β, q) satisfies

$$\beta \leq \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{q} < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

For every $g \in L^q_{-\beta}(\Omega)$ and $v_N \in B^p_{1/p-\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\langle g, \hat{u} \rangle + \langle v_N, \operatorname{Tr} \hat{u} \rangle = 0$, there exists a unique function $v \in L^p_{2-\alpha}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega v \hat{u} \, dx = 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_\nu(\nabla v) = v_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Here \hat{u} is the function in Theorem 3.5 (i).

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 3.1 AND 3.4

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 which are concerned with unique solvability for the Dirichlet problems with boundary data in $B^p_\alpha(\partial\Omega)$ and $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, respectively.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1. First, we reduce the problems (D) and (D') to the problems with trivial boundary data. In the case of the Dirichlet problem (D) , let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ be fixed. Set $h = \mathcal{E}u_D$, where $\mathcal{E} : B^p_{\alpha-1/p}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ is the extension operator given in Theorem 2.2. Then

$$h \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|_{L^p_\alpha(\Omega)} \leq C \|u_D\|_{B^p_{\alpha-1/p}(\partial\Omega)}$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \Omega)$. By Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{b}) \in L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)$$

and so

$$\tilde{f} := f + \Delta h - \operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{b}) \in L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega).$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)} &\leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)} + \|h\|_{L^p_\alpha(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)} + \|u_D\|_{B^p_{\alpha-1/p}(\partial\Omega)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega) > 0$. Hence the problem (D) is reduced to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + \operatorname{div}(w\mathbf{b}) = \tilde{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

One can do a similar reduction to the problem (D') into the problem with trivial boundary data.

Hence from now on, we focus on the solvability for the problems (D) and (D') with trivial boundary data, that is, $u_D = v_D = 0$.

First of all, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that for each $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D} : L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D} u = -\Delta u$$

is bijective. Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ be fixed. Recall from Lemma 2.15 that

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D : L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* : L_{2-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$$

are compact linear operators. Define

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D} + \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,D} = \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{0,D} + \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^*.$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D$ is a bounded linear operator from $L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ to $L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,D}$ is a bounded linear operator from $L_{2-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega)$ to $L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{0,D}$ are bijective, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D} \circ \left[I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D \right]$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,D} = \left[I + \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* \circ (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{0,D})^{-1} \right] \circ \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{0,D}.$$

Here I denotes the identity operator on a Banach space. Hence

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D = \ker \left(I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D \right) \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,D} = (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{0,D})^{-1} \left[\ker \left(I + \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* \circ (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{0,D})^{-1} \right) \right]. \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^*$ are compact, it follows from the Riesz-Schauder theory (see e.g. [5]) that the operator

$$I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$$

is injective if and only if it is surjective, and

$$\dim \ker \left(I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D \right) = \dim \ker \left(I + \left[(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D \right]' \right) < \infty, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\left[(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D \right]'$ denotes the adjoint operator of $(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$. By (2.27), we easily get

$$\left[(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D \right]' = \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* \circ (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{0,D})^{-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, it follows from (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4) that

$$\dim \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D = \dim \ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,D} < \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

We shall show that the kernels of $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,D}$ are trivial. We first consider the special case when $(1, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(1, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Then*

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,p}^D = \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,p'}^{*,D} = \{0\}.$$

Proof. It was proved by Droniou [9] that

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^D = \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^{*,D} = \{0\}.$$

Suppose first that $p \geq 2$. Then since $L_1^p(\Omega) \subset L_1^2(\Omega) \subset L_1^{p'}(\Omega)$, it follows that $\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,p}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^D = \{0\}$. By (4.5), we conclude that

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,p}^D = \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,p'}^{*,D} = \{0\}. \quad (4.6)$$

If $p < 2$, then $\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,p'}^{*,D} \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^{*,D} = \{0\}$. Thus (4.6) follows from (4.5) as well. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

For general $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(\alpha, p), (\beta, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ satisfy*

$$\alpha \leq \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}. \quad (4.7)$$

Then

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{\beta,q}^D.$$

Proof. Let $u \in \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D$. Then by Lemma 2.12, $\operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b}) \in L_{\beta-2}^q(\Omega)$. So by Theorem 2.7, there exists a unique $v \in L_{\beta,0}^q(\Omega)$ such that $\Delta v = \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{b})$ in Ω . Set $w = u - v$. Then $\Delta w = 0$ in Ω and $w \in L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega) + L_{\beta}^q(\Omega)$. Since (α, p) and (β, q) satisfy (4.7), it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega) + L_{\beta}^q(\Omega) = L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega)$. Since $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Tr} w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that $w = 0$ in Ω and so $u = v \in L_{\beta,0}^q(\Omega)$. Hence $u \in \ker \mathcal{L}_{\beta,q}^D$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Then*

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D = \ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,D} = \{0\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 and (4.5), it suffices to show that there exists q such that

$$(1, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,q}^D.$$

First, if $n = 3$ or $\varepsilon = 1$, we define q by

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}.$$

Then it is easy to check that $(1, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Thus it follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,q}^D$.

Suppose next that $n \geq 4$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Let $\{\alpha_j\}$ be a sequence defined inductively by

$$\alpha_0 = 0, \quad \alpha_1 = \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j}{n} + \alpha_j = \frac{\alpha_{j+1} - \varepsilon}{3} \quad (j \geq 1).$$

Note that α_{j+1} ($j \geq 1$) is the β -coordinate of the intersection point of the two straight lines

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\beta - \alpha_j}{n} + \alpha_j \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\beta - \varepsilon}{3}$$

in the βq^{-1} -plane. On the other hand, since

$$\alpha_{j+1} = \frac{3n-3}{n-3}\alpha_j + \frac{n\varepsilon}{n-3} \quad (j \geq 1),$$

the sequence $\{\alpha_j\}$ diverges to ∞ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $\alpha_k < (1 + \varepsilon)/2 \leq \alpha_{k+1}$. Let us redefine

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{k+2} = 1;$$

see Figure 4.1 with $k = 3$.




FIGURE 4.1.

We claim that if $\alpha_{j-1} \leq \alpha < \alpha_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq k + 2$), then there exists q such that

$$(\alpha_j, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_j, q}^D.$$

If this claim is true, then applying the claim repeatedly, we can show that there exists q such that $(1, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{1, q}^D$, which completes the proof. The proof of the claim consists of two steps.

Step 1. Assume that

$$j = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{p} > \frac{\alpha - \alpha_j}{n} + \frac{\alpha_j - \varepsilon}{3} \quad (2 \leq j \leq k + 2). \quad (4.8)$$

Define q by

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{\alpha_j}{n} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}.$$

Since $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, it is easy to check that $(\alpha_j, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Hence it follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_j, q}^D$.




FIGURE 4.2.

Step 2. Assume that

$$2 \leq j \leq k+2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{\alpha - \alpha_j}{n} + \frac{\alpha_j - \varepsilon}{3}.$$

Let β_1 be the β -coordinate of the intersection point of the two straight lines

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\beta - \varepsilon}{3}$$

in the βq^{-1} -plane; see Figure 4.2. Then $\alpha_{j-1} \leq \alpha < \beta_1 \leq \alpha_j$. Define β_2 and q_1 by

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\alpha + \beta_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{\beta_2}{n} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}.$$

Then $\alpha < \beta_2 < \alpha_j$ and $(\beta_2, q_1) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. So by Lemma 4.2, $\ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{\beta_2, q_1}^D$. Choose any q_2 satisfying

$$\frac{\beta_2 - \alpha_j}{n} + \frac{\alpha_j - \varepsilon}{3} < \frac{1}{q_2} < \min \left\{ \beta_2, \frac{\beta_2 + 1 + \varepsilon}{3} \right\}. \quad (4.9)$$

It is easy to check that $1 < q_2 < q_1$ and $(\beta_2, q_2) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Since $L_{\beta_2}^{q_1}(\Omega) \subset L_{\beta_2}^{q_2}(\Omega)$, we have $\ker \mathcal{L}_{\beta_2, q_1}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{\beta_2, q_2}^D$. Now, since (β_2, q_2) satisfies (4.9), it follows from Step 1 that there exists q such that

$$(\alpha_j, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \mathcal{L}_{\beta_2, q_2}^D \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_j, q}^D,$$

which proves the claim. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3. \square

By the Riesz-Schauder theory, Theorem 3.1 is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.3, but we give a proof for the sake of the completeness.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It suffices to prove Theorem 3.1 when $u_D = v_D = 0$. Due to the Riesz-Schauder theory, we already observed that the operator $I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$ is injective if and only if it is surjective. By (4.1) and Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\ker \left(I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D \right) = \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D = \{0\}.$$

Hence $I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$ is bijective. As $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D}$ is bijective, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^{0,D} + \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,p}^D$$

is bijective; that is, given $f \in L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)$, there exists a unique $u \in L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^D u = f$. Moreover, it follows from the open mapping theorem that there exists a constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \Omega)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega)}.$$

This completes the proof of (i). Following exactly the same argument, we can also prove Theorem 3.1 (ii) of which proof is omitted \square

4.2. Proofs of Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.4. The existence assertion of Theorem 3.4 was already shown in Section 3. It remains to prove uniqueness and regularity assertions of Theorem 3.4. To do this, we need to prove Lemma 3.2. The following embedding result is naturally arisen in the proofs of Lemma 3.2 and the uniqueness assertion when $\alpha > 1/2$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$. If $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, then there is q with $(\alpha, q) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$L_1^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{\alpha}^q(\Omega).$$

In addition, if $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, then q can be chosen so that $(\alpha, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Observe that $(1, \frac{2n}{n+1}) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$L_1^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{\alpha}^{q_0}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega) = L_{\alpha}^q(\Omega),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{\alpha}{n} = \frac{n+1}{2n} - \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \min(p, q_0).$$

If $p \leq q_0$, then $(\alpha, q) = (\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $q = q_0 < p$. Then since

$$\alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \quad (\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+1}{2n} < \frac{2+\varepsilon}{3},$$

we have

$$\frac{\alpha - \varepsilon}{3} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n} + \frac{n-1}{2n} < \min \left\{ \alpha, \frac{\alpha + 1 + \varepsilon}{3} \right\}.$$

Hence it follows that $(\alpha, q) \in \mathcal{A}$. If $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{B}$ in addition, then $(\alpha, q) \in \mathcal{B}$ too since

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \geq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} > 0.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4. \square

When $\alpha \leq 1/2$, we will use the following lemma which extends a similar result in [6] for the Stokes system in three-dimensional Lipschitz domains.

Lemma 4.5. *Let (α, p) satisfy*

$$1 < p < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} < \alpha < 1 + \frac{1}{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \leq \frac{n-1}{2n}. \quad (4.10)$$

If u is harmonic in Ω and $u \in L_1^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$, then $u^ \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and $u \rightarrow \text{Tr } u$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$.*

Proof. By virtue of an approximation scheme due to Verchota [26], there are sequences of C^∞ -domains $\Omega_j \subset \Omega$ and homeomorphisms $\Lambda_j : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \partial\Omega_j$ such that $\Lambda_j(z) \in \gamma(z)$ for all j and for all $z \in \partial\Omega$. Also, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, $\sup_{z \in \partial\Omega} |\Lambda_j(z) - z| \rightarrow 0$ and $\nu_j(\Lambda_j(z)) \rightarrow \nu(z)$ for a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$ and in $L^q(\partial\Omega)$, $1 < q < \infty$, where ν_j is the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega_j$. Moreover, there exist positive functions $\omega_j : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, bounded away from zero and infinity uniformly in j , such that $\omega_j \rightarrow 1$ a.e. on $\partial\Omega$ and in $L^q(\partial\Omega)$, $1 < q < \infty$, and $\int_E \omega_j d\sigma = \int_{\Lambda_j(E)} d\sigma_j$ for any measurable $E \subset \partial\Omega$.

For each j , we define $g_j = u|_{\partial\Omega_j}$. Note that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_j, \\ u = g_j & \text{on } \partial\Omega_j. \end{cases} \quad (D_j)$$

Hence by a classical result of Verchota [26], there exists $f_j \in L^2(\partial\Omega_j)$ such that $u|_{\Omega_j}$ can be written as the double layer potential of f_j on $\partial\Omega_j$:

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega_j} \frac{\langle x - z_j, \nu_j(z_j) \rangle}{|x - z_j|^n} f_j(z_j) d\sigma_j(z_j), \quad x \in \Omega_j. \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$\|f_j\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_j)} \leq C \|g_j\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_j)} = C \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_j)}. \quad (4.12)$$

Here the constant C in (4.12) depends only on the Lipschitz character of Ω . Since (α, p) satisfies (4.10), it follows from Corollary 2.3 that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_j)} \leq C \|u\|_{L_1^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega_j) + L_\alpha^p(\Omega_j)} \leq C \|u\|_{L_1^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_\alpha^p(\Omega)} \quad (4.13)$$

for some constant C depending only on the Lipschitz character of Ω , α , p , and n . By the change of variables $z_j = \Lambda_j(z)$, we deduce from (4.11) that

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\langle x - \Lambda_j(z), \nu_j(\Lambda_j(z)) \rangle}{|x - \Lambda_j(z)|^n} f_j(\Lambda_j(z)) \omega_j(z) d\sigma(z) \quad (4.14)$$

for all $x \in \Omega_j$. For each j , we define $F_j(z) = f_j(\Lambda_j(z)) \omega_j(z)$. Then by (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$\|F_j\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_j)} \leq C \|u\|_{L_1^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_\alpha^p(\Omega)}$$

for all j . Hence $\{F_j\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, and we may assume that $F_j \rightarrow F$ weakly in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ for some $F \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Thus, by letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.14), we conclude that u is the double layer potential of F on $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, by a well-known theorem of Coifman-McIntosh-Meyer [7], u^* belongs to $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and so it follows from Proposition 2.5 that there exists $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that $u \rightarrow g$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$.

It remains to show that $\text{Tr } u = g$ on $\partial\Omega$. For $0 < \delta < 1$, we choose $u_\delta \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ so that $\|u - u_\delta\|_{L^\alpha(\Omega) + L_1^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega)} \leq \delta$. Then Corollary 2.3 again gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \circ \Lambda_j - \text{Tr } u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} &\leq \|u \circ \Lambda_j - u_\delta \circ \Lambda_j\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \|u_\delta \circ \Lambda_j - \text{Tr } u_\delta\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \|\text{Tr } u_\delta - \text{Tr } u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|u - u_\delta\|_{L_1^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L^\alpha(\Omega)} + \|u_\delta \circ \Lambda_j - u_\delta\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\delta + \|u_\delta \circ \Lambda_j - u_\delta\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_{z \in \partial\Omega} |\Lambda_j(z) - z| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u \circ \Lambda_j - \text{Tr } u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\delta.$$

Since $0 < \delta < 1$ is arbitrary, it follows that $u \circ \Lambda_j \rightarrow \text{Tr } u$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, since $\Lambda_j(x) \in \gamma(x)$ for any $x \in \partial\Omega$, we have $|u \circ \Lambda_j| \leq u^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Hence by the dominated convergence theorem, $u \circ \Lambda_j \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.5. \square

These lemmas lead us to the proofs of Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.4.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Suppose first that $\alpha > 1/2$. Then by Lemma 4.4, there exists q with $(\alpha, q) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) + L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{\alpha,0}^q(\Omega).$$

Since $(\alpha, q) \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that

$$L_{\alpha,0}^q(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega) = \{0\}.$$

This implies the assertion when $\alpha > 1/2$. Next, suppose that $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ and

$$u \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega) \cap \left(L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega) \right).$$

Since u is harmonic in Ω and (α, p) satisfies (4.10), it follows from Lemma 4.5 that $u^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and $u \rightarrow \text{Tr } u = 0$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$. Hence by Theorem 2.6, $u = 0$ in Ω . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. The existence assertion of Theorem 3.4 was already proved in Section 3. To prove the uniqueness assertion, suppose that $u \in D_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ satisfies (a) and (b) in Theorem 3.4 with $(f, u_D) = (0, 0)$. Then by Theorem 2.6, $\mathbb{P}u = 0$ and so $u \in L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$. If $\alpha > 1/2$, then it follows from Lemma 4.4 that $u \in L_{\alpha,0}^q(\Omega)$ for some q satisfying $(\alpha, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Hence by Theorem 3.1, u is identically zero in Ω . Suppose thus that $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$. Since (α, p) satisfies (4.10), it follows from Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.12 that $u \in L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega)$ and $\text{div}(u\mathbf{b}) \in L_{-1}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega)$. Hence by Theorem 3.1 (i), there exists $v \in L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega)$ such that $\Delta v = \text{div}(u\mathbf{b})$ in Ω . Define $w = u - v$. Then $\Delta w = 0$ in Ω and $w \in L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega) + L_{\alpha,0}^p(\Omega)$. So by Lemma 4.5, $w^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and $w \rightarrow 0$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$. Hence it follows from Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 that $w = 0$ in Ω and so $u = v \in L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega)$. Thus, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that $u = 0$ in Ω . This completes the proof of the uniqueness assertion of Theorem 3.4.

To prove the regularity assertion, we write $u = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$, where $u_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}^2(\Omega)$, $u_1 \rightarrow u_D$ nontangentially a.e. on $\partial\Omega$, $u_2 \in L_{1,0}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\Omega)$ is a solution of (3.1), and $u_3 \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$

is a solution of (D) with $u_D = 0$. Suppose first that $u_D \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$. Then by Theorem 2.6, $u_1 \in L^q_{1/q}(\Omega)$. Since $q \geq 2$, there exists $(\gamma, r) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{q} < \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1/q}{n} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\gamma}{n}.$$

By Lemma 2.12, $\operatorname{div}(u_1 \mathbf{b}) \in L^r_{\gamma-2}(\Omega)$. Hence it follows from Theorem 3.1 (i) and Theorem 2.1 that $u_2 \in L^r_\gamma(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q_{1/q}(\Omega)$. This proves that

$$u \in L^q_{1/q}(\Omega) + L^p_\alpha(\Omega).$$

Suppose next that $u_D \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. By Theorem 2.6, we have $u_1 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Since $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1-\alpha}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n'}.$$

So by Theorem 2.1 and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$L^{p'}_{1-\alpha}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{n'}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}(u_1 \mathbf{b}) \in L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega).$$

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that $u_2 \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$, which implies that

$$u \in L^\infty(\Omega) + L^p_\alpha(\Omega).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4. \square

5. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 3.5 AND 3.6

This section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 which are concerned with the Neumann problems (N) and (N'). The following theorem is a special case of a result due to Mitrea-Taylor [21, Theorem 12.1].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\lambda > 0$ and $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$. For every $f \in L^p_{\alpha-2,0}(\Omega)$ and $u_N \in B^p_{\alpha-1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function $u \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle + \lambda \langle u, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle u_N, \operatorname{Tr} \phi \rangle$$

for all $\phi \in L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^p_\alpha(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^p_{\alpha-2}(\Omega)} + \|u_N\|_{B^p_{\alpha-1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \lambda, \Omega)$.

For $\lambda > 0$ and $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A}$, the mapping

$$(u, \phi) \mapsto \langle \mathcal{L}^{0,\lambda}_{\alpha,p} u, \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle + \lambda \langle u, \phi \rangle$$

defines a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{L}^{0,\lambda}_{\alpha,p}$ from $L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ to $L^p_{\alpha-2,0}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}^{0,\lambda}_{\alpha,p}$ is bijective by Theorem 5.1. Note also that

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{0,\lambda}_{\alpha,p} u, v \rangle = \left\langle \mathcal{L}^{0,\lambda}_{2-\alpha,p'} v, u \right\rangle \quad \text{for all } (u, v) \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega) \times L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega). \quad (5.1)$$

Now let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ be fixed. By Lemma 2.12, the mapping

$$(u, v) \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega) \times L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega) \mapsto \langle \mathcal{L}^N_{\alpha,p} u, v \rangle = \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle - \langle u \mathbf{b}, \nabla v \rangle$$

defines a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{L}^N_{\alpha,p}$ from $L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ to $L^p_{\alpha-2,0}(\Omega)$. Also, the mapping

$$(u, v) \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega) \times L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega) \mapsto \left\langle \mathcal{L}^{*,N}_{2-\alpha,p'} v, u \right\rangle = \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle - \langle \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v, u \rangle$$

defines a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{*, N}$ from $L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ to $L_{-\alpha, 0}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Let $\mathcal{I}_p : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow (L^{p'}(\Omega))'$ be the isomorphism defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{I}_p u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx \quad \text{for all } (u, v) \in L^p(\Omega) \times L^{p'}(\Omega).$$

Suppose that $\lambda > 0$. Recall from Lemma 2.15 that

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N : L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\alpha-2}^p(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha, p'}^* : L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$$

are compact linear operators. By the definitions, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda} + \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{*, N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_{p'} = \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{0, \lambda} + \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha, p'}^*.$$

By (2.26) and (5.1), we have

$$\langle (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p)u, v \rangle = \langle (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{*, N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_{p'})v, u \rangle \quad (5.2)$$

for all $u \in L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega)$ and $v \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{0, \lambda}$ are bijective, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda} + \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda} \circ \left[I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N \right]$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{0, \lambda} + \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha, p'}^* = \left[I + \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha, p'}^* \circ (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{0, \lambda})^{-1} \right] \circ \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{0, \lambda}.$$

Thus,

$$\ker(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p) = \ker \left(I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N \right) \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\ker(\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{*, N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_{p'}) = \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{0, \lambda} \left[\ker \left(I + \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha, p'}^* \circ (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{0, \lambda})^{-1} \right) \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha, p'}^*$ are compact linear operators, it follows from the Riesz-Schauder theory that $I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N$ is injective if and only if it is bijective, and

$$\dim \ker \left(I + (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N \right) = \dim \ker \left(I + \left[(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N \right]' \right) < \infty. \quad (5.5)$$

From (2.26) and (5.1), we easily get

$$\left[(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^{0, \lambda})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, p}^N \right]' = \mathcal{B}_{2-\alpha, p'}^* \circ (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{0, \lambda})^{-1}. \quad (5.6)$$

Thus by (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), and (5.6), we have

$$\dim \ker(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p) = \dim \ker(\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{*, N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_{p'}) < \infty. \quad (5.7)$$

The kernels $\mathcal{L}_{1,2}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1,2}^{*, N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_2$ have been characterized by Droniou-Vázquez [10, Propositions 2.2 and 5.1] and Kang-Kim [17, Lemma 4.5].

Lemma 5.2.

- (i) $\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^N = \mathbb{R} \hat{u}$ and $\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^{*, N} = \mathbb{R}$ for some function $\hat{u} \in L_1^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $\hat{u} > 0$ a.e. on Ω .
- (ii) $\ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,2}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_2) = \ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,2}^{*, N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_2) = \{0\}$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

The following lemma will be used to characterize the kernels $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha, p'}^{*, N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_{p'}$ for $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Then there exists $(1, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ such that*

$$\ker(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p) \subset \ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,q}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_q) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq 0.$$

Proof. We first claim that if $(\alpha, p), (\beta, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ satisfy

$$\alpha \leq \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n},$$

then

$$\ker(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p) \subset \ker(\mathcal{L}_{\beta,q}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_q) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq 0.$$

Let $u \in \ker(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p)$. Then for all $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, we have

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle + \lambda \langle u, \phi \rangle = \langle u \mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle. \quad (5.8)$$

By Lemma 2.12, the linear functional ℓ defined by

$$\langle \ell, \phi \rangle = \langle u \mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_{2-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)$$

is bounded on $L_{2-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega)$ and satisfies $\langle \ell, 1 \rangle = 0$. Hence by Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 2.9, there exists $v \in L_\beta^q(\Omega)$ such that

$$\langle \nabla v, \nabla \phi \rangle + \lambda \langle v, \phi \rangle = \langle u \mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle \quad (5.9)$$

for all $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$. Set $w = u - v$. It follows from (5.8), (5.9), and Theorem 2.1 that

$$w \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega) + L_\beta^q(\Omega) = L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$$

and

$$\langle \nabla w, \nabla \phi \rangle + \lambda \langle w, \phi \rangle = 0 \quad (5.10)$$

for all $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$. A standard density argument shows that (5.10) holds for all $\phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Hence by Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 2.9, $w = c$ for some constant c , which implies that $u \in L_\beta^q(\Omega)$. This proves the desired claim.

Now, following exactly the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.3 except using the claim instead of Lemma 4.2, we can prove Lemma 5.3 of which proof is omitted. \square

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.*

- (i) $\ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N = \mathbb{R} \hat{u}$ and $\ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N} = \mathbb{R}$, where \hat{u} is the function in Lemma 5.2.
- (ii) $\ker(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p) = \ker(\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_{p'}) = \{0\}$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. (ii) Fix $\lambda > 0$. By Lemma 5.3 and (5.7), it suffices to show the assertion when $\alpha = 1$. Suppose first that $p \geq 2$. Since $L_1^p(\Omega) \subset L_1^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p) \subset \ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,2}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_2).$$

Hence it follows from Lemma 5.2 (ii) and (5.7) that

$$\ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,p}^N + \lambda \mathcal{I}_p) = \ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,p'}^{*,N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_{p'}) = \{0\}. \quad (5.11)$$

Suppose next that $p < 2$. Then since $\ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,p'}^{*,N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_{p'}) \subset \ker(\mathcal{L}_{1,2}^{*,N} + \lambda \mathcal{I}_2)$, (5.11) also follows from Lemma 5.2 (ii) and (5.7). This completes the proof of (ii).

(i) By the Riesz-Schauder theory, it immediately follows from (ii) that the operators $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \mathcal{I}_p$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N} + \mathcal{I}_{p'}$ are invertible. Then by Theorem 2.1, the linear operators

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,p} = (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \mathcal{I}_p)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{I}_p : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* = (\mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N} + \mathcal{I}_{p'})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{I}_{p'} : L^{p'}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega)$$

are bounded and compact. Since

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,p} u) v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{K}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* v) u \, dx$$

for all $(u, v) \in L^p(\Omega) \times L^{p'}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{K}_{2-\alpha,p'}^* = \mathcal{I}_{p'}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{K}'_{\alpha,p} \circ \mathcal{I}_{p'},$$

where $\mathcal{K}'_{\alpha,p} : (L^p(\Omega))' \rightarrow (L^p(\Omega))'$ is the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,p}$. Note also that

$$\ker(I - \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,p}) = \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \ker(I - \mathcal{K}_{2-\alpha,p'}^*) = \ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N}.$$

Hence by the Riesz-Schauder theory, we deduce that

$$\text{Im}(I - \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,p}) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N} \right\}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\text{Im}(I - \mathcal{K}_{2-\alpha,p'}^*) = \left\{ v \in L^{p'}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N \right\}, \quad (5.13)$$

and

$$\dim \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N = \dim \ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N} < \infty. \quad (5.14)$$

But since $\mathbb{R} \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N}$, we have

$$1 \leq \dim \ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N} = \dim \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N. \quad (5.15)$$

Hence by Lemma 5.3, it suffices to show that

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,q}^N = \mathbb{R}\hat{u} \quad \text{for all } (1, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}, \quad (5.16)$$

where \hat{u} is the same function in Lemma 5.2.

Fix $(1, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and suppose first that $q \geq 2$. Since $L_1^q(\Omega) \subset L_1^2(\Omega)$, we have $\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,q}^N \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^N$. Thus, (5.16) follows from Lemma 5.2 and (5.15). Suppose next that $q \leq 2$. Then since $\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,q'}^{*,N} \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^{*,N}$ and $\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^N \subset \ker \mathcal{L}_{1,q}^N$, (5.16) follows from Lemma 5.2 and (5.15) again. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.4. \square

Now we are prepared to prove Theorem 3.5 using Proposition 5.4.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. By Lemma 5.2, there exists a function $\hat{u} > 0$ a.e. on Ω such that $\ker \mathcal{L}_{1,2}^N = \mathbb{R}\hat{u}$. Moreover, by Proposition 5.4 (i), $\hat{u} \in L_{\beta}^q(\Omega)$ and $\ker \mathcal{L}_{\beta,q}^N = \mathbb{R}\hat{u}$ for all $(\beta, q) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. This proves Theorem 3.5 (i).

Let us prove (ii). Suppose that $f \in L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)$ and $u_N \in B_{\alpha-1-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\langle f, 1 \rangle + \langle u_N, 1 \rangle = 0$. Define a linear functional ℓ by

$$\langle \ell, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega).$$

Then $\ell \in L_{\alpha-2,0}^p(\Omega)$ and $\langle \ell, 1 \rangle = 0$. By Proposition 5.4, $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \mathcal{I}_p$ is invertible. Hence there exists a unique $w \in L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \mathcal{I}_p) w = \ell.$$

Note that

$$\langle \mathcal{I}_p w, 1 \rangle = - \langle \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N w, 1 \rangle + \langle \ell, 1 \rangle = 0.$$

Hence by Proposition 5.4 (i) and (5.12), there exists $u_0 \in L_{\alpha}^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$(I - \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,p}) u_0 = w.$$

By the definitions of $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,p}$ and w , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N u_0 = (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \mathcal{I}_p) w = \ell,$$

which implies that $u_0 \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\langle \nabla u_0, \nabla \phi \rangle - \langle u_0 \mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega). \quad (5.17)$$

Hence defining

$$u = u_0 - \left(\frac{\int_\Omega u_0 dx}{\int_\Omega \hat{u} dx} \right) \hat{u},$$

we prove the existence assertion of Theorem 3.5 (ii). The L_α^p -estimate for the function u is easily deduced from the boundness of the operators $(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N + \mathcal{I}_p)^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,p}$. Finally, to prove the uniqueness part, let $\bar{u} \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$ be another function satisfying (5.17) and $\int_\Omega \bar{u} dx = 0$. Since $u - \bar{u} \in \ker \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,p}^N$, it follows from Proposition 5.4 (i) that $u - \bar{u} = c\hat{u}$ for some constant c . But c must be zero because

$$c \int_\Omega \hat{u} dx = \int_\Omega u dx - \int_\Omega \bar{u} dx = 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.5 (ii). Following exactly the same argument except for using (5.13) instead of (5.12), we can also prove Theorem 3.5 (iii) of which the proof is omitted. \square

Using Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3.5, we prove Theorem 3.6.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. The proof of (i) is similar to that of Theorem 2.11. We only prove (ii). Following the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.11, we see that $L_{-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{-\alpha,0}^{p'}(\Omega)$. Hence it follows from Theorem 3.5 (iii) that there exists a unique function $v \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega v \hat{u} dx = 0$ such that

$$\langle \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle - \langle \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v, \psi \rangle = \langle g, \psi \rangle + \langle v_N, \text{Tr } \psi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \psi \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega).$$

By Lemma 2.12 (ii), we have

$$-\Delta v = g + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v \in L_{-\beta}^{q'}(\Omega).$$

Hence it follows from Proposition 2.4 that there exists a unique $\gamma_\nu(\nabla v) \in B_{1/p-\alpha}^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\langle \gamma_\nu(\nabla v), \text{Tr } \psi \rangle = -\langle g + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v, \psi \rangle + \langle \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle = \langle v_N, \text{Tr } \psi \rangle$$

for all $\psi \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$. Since $\text{Tr} : L_\alpha^p(\Omega) \rightarrow B_{\alpha-1/p}^p(\partial\Omega)$ is surjective, we get $\gamma_\nu(\nabla v) = v_N$.

To show the uniqueness part, let $v \in L_{2-\alpha}^{p'}(\Omega)$ be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_\nu(\nabla v) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

satisfying $\int_\Omega v \hat{u} dx = 0$. Then since $\gamma_\nu(\nabla v) = 0$ and $-\Delta v - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v = 0$ in Ω , it follows that

$$0 = \langle \gamma_\nu(\nabla v), \text{Tr } \psi \rangle = \langle \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle + \langle \Delta v, \psi \rangle = \langle \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle - \langle \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v, \psi \rangle$$

for all $\psi \in L_\alpha^p(\Omega)$. This implies that $v \in \ker \mathcal{L}_{2-\alpha,p'}^{*,N}$. So it follows from Proposition 5.4 that $v = c$ for some constant c . Since $\int_\Omega v \hat{u} dx = 0$ and $\hat{u} > 0$ a.e. on Ω , we conclude that $v = c = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6. \square

Using the Riesz-Schauder theory with Proposition 5.4 (ii), we can also prove the following theorem of which the proof is omitted.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\lambda > 0$ and $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.*

- (i) For every $f \in L^p_{\alpha-2,0}(\Omega)$ and $u_N \in B^p_{\alpha-1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function $u \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle - \langle u \mathbf{b}, \nabla \phi \rangle + \lambda \langle u, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle + \langle u_N, \text{Tr } \phi \rangle$$

for all $\phi \in L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega)$. Moreover, u satisfies

$$\|u\|_{L^p_\alpha(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^p_{\alpha-2,0}(\Omega)} + \|u_N\|_{B^p_{\alpha-1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \lambda, \Omega)$.

- (ii) For every $g \in L^{p'}_{-\alpha,0}(\Omega)$ and $v_N \in B^{p'}_{1/p-\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function $v \in L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\langle \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle - \langle \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v, \psi \rangle + \lambda \langle v, \psi \rangle = \langle g, \psi \rangle + \langle v_N, \text{Tr } \psi \rangle$$

for all $\psi \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$. Moreover, v satisfies

$$\|v\|_{L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|g\|_{L^{p'}_{-\alpha,0}(\Omega)} + \|v_N\|_{B^{p'}_{1/p-\alpha}(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p, \mathbf{b}, \lambda, \Omega)$.

Following the proof of Theorem 3.6 using Theorem 5.5 instead of Theorem 3.5, we can also prove the following theorem whose proof is omitted.

Theorem 5.6. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $(\alpha, p) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.

- (i) Assume that (β, q) satisfies

$$\alpha \leq \beta, \quad 0 < \frac{1}{q} < \beta - 1 \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

For every $f \in L^q_{\beta-2}(\Omega)$ and $u_N \in B^p_{\alpha-1-1/p}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function $u \in L^p_\alpha(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \text{div}(u \mathbf{b}) + \lambda u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_\nu(\nabla u - u \mathbf{b}) = u_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) Assume that (β, q) satisfies

$$\beta \leq \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{q} < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

For every $g \in L^q_{-\beta}(\Omega)$ and $v_N \in B^{p'}_{1/p-\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a unique function $v \in L^{p'}_{2-\alpha}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v + \lambda v = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_\nu(\nabla v) = v_N & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, second ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), vol. 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003. MR 2424078
2. C. Amrouche and M. Á. Rodríguez-Bellido, *Stationary Stokes, Oseen and Navier-Stokes equations with singular data*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **199** (2011), no. 2, 597–651. MR 2763035

3. M. Berggren, *Approximations of very weak solutions to boundary-value problems*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **42** (2004), no. 2, 860–877. MR 2084239
4. J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. MR 0482275
5. H. Brezis, *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011. MR 2759829
6. H. J. Choe and H. Kim, *Dirichlet problem for the stationary Navier-Stokes system on Lipschitz domains*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **36** (2011), no. 11, 1919–1944. MR 2846167
7. R. R. Coifman, A. McIntosh, and Y. Meyer, *L'intégrale de Cauchy définit un opérateur borné sur L^2 pour les courbes lipschitziennes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), no. 2, 361–387. MR 672839
8. B. E. J. Dahlberg, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Lusin area integral and the nontangential maximal functions for functions harmonic in a Lipschitz domain*, Studia Math. **67** (1980), no. 3, 297–314. MR 592391
9. J. Droniou, *Non-coercive linear elliptic problems*, Potential Anal. **17** (2002), no. 2, 181–203. MR 1908676
10. J. Droniou and J.-L. Vázquez, *Noncoercive convection-diffusion elliptic problems with Neumann boundary conditions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **34** (2009), no. 4, 413–434. MR 2476418
11. E. Fabes, O. Mendez, and M. Mitrea, *Boundary layers on Sobolev-Besov spaces and Poisson's equation for the Laplacian in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **159** (1998), no. 2, 323–368. MR 1658089
12. N. Filonov, *On the regularity of solutions to the equation $-\Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u = 0$* , Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **410** (2013), no. Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 43, 168–186, 189. MR 3048265
13. N. Filonov and T. Shilkin, *On some properties of weak solutions to elliptic equations with divergence-free drifts selected recent results*, Mathematical analysis in fluid mechanics—selected recent results, Contemp. Math., vol. 710, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018, pp. 105–120. MR 3818670
14. C. Gerhardt, *Stationary solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in dimension four*, Math. Z. **165** (1979), no. 2, 193–197. MR 520820
15. L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier analysis*, third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 250, Springer, New York, 2014. MR 3243741
16. D. Jerison and C. E. Kenig, *The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **130** (1995), no. 1, 161–219. MR 1331981
17. B. Kang and H. Kim, *$W^{1,p}$ -estimates for elliptic equations with lower order terms*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **16** (2017), no. 3, 799–821. MR 3623550
18. H. Kim, *Existence and regularity of very weak solutions of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **193** (2009), no. 1, 117–152. MR 2506072
19. H. Kim and Y.-H. Kim, *On weak solutions of elliptic equations with singular drifts*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **47** (2015), no. 2, 1271–1290. MR 3328143
20. S. May, R. Rannacher, and B. Vexler, *Error analysis for a finite element approximation of elliptic Dirichlet boundary control problems*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **51** (2013), no. 3, 2585–2611. MR 3070527

21. M. Mitrea and M. Taylor, *Potential theory on Lipschitz domains in Riemannian manifolds: Sobolev-Besov space results and the Poisson problem*, J. Funct. Anal. **176** (2000), no. 1, 1–79. MR 1781631
22. A. I. Nazarov and N. N. Ural' tseva, *The Harnack inequality and related properties of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations with divergence-free lower-order coefficients*, Algebra i Analiz **23** (2011), no. 1, 136–168. MR 2760150
23. M. V. Safonov, *Non-divergence elliptic equations of second order with unbounded drift*, Nonlinear partial differential equations and related topics, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 229, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 211–232. MR 2667641
24. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. MR 0290095
25. H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces. III*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 100, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006. MR 2250142
26. G. Verchota, *Layer potentials and regularity for the Dirichlet problem for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984), no. 3, 572–611. MR 769382

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY
E-mail address, Corresponding Author: kimh@sogang.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: willkwon@sogang.ac.kr