

An analytical approach to the field amplification and particle production by parametric resonance during inflation and reheating

Tao Zhu^{a,*}, Qiang Wu^{a,†} and Anzhong Wang^{a,b,‡}

^a *Institute for theoretical physics and Cosmology,*

Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, 310032, China

^b *GCAP-CASPER, Physics Department, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798-7316, USA*

(Dated: August 23, 2019)

Field amplification and particle production due to parametric resonance are highly nontrivial predictions of quantum fields that couple to an oscillating source during inflation and reheating. Understanding this two effects is crucial for the connection between the resonance phenomenon and precise observational data. In this paper, we give a general and analytic analysis of parametric resonance of relevant field modes evolving during inflation and reheating by using the uniform asymptotic approximation. This analysis can provide a clear and quantitative explanation for the field amplification and particle production during the resonance. The potential applications of our results to several examples, including sound resonance during inflation, particle productions during reheating, and parametric resonance due to self-resonance potentials, have also been explored. The formalism developed in this paper is also applicable to parametric resonance in a broad areas of modern science.

I. INTRODUCTION

Parametric resonance is a resonance phenomenon that arises because some parameters of the system are varying periodically. In cosmology, it can occur in many scenarios during inflation and post-inflationary evolution. During inflation, the parametric resonance of inflationary perturbations can be induced by an effective oscillating sound speed which provides a mechanism for producing primordial black holes [1]. Similar resonance can also be triggered by an excited heavy field and produces features in the primordial spectrum and bispectrum [2–6]. After inflation, the oscillating inflaton field around its potential minimum can lead to resonance in fields coupled to it, giving rise to copious particle production in various fields including standard model particles [7–9], primordial magnetic fields [9], and gravitational waves [10]. In addition, a self-resonance potential can produce resonance in perturbations of inflaton itself and generate gravitational waves that could be within the forthcoming detections [11–14]. These complex and rich phenomena can be directly connected to precise observations, and a quantitative description and explanation of these resonance effects is a key ingredient for establishing it.

Normally, the important nontrivial effects due to parametric resonance are the amplification of the associated field modes and the corresponding particle productions at certain frequency ranges. Existing approaches for studying these two effects are either limited to numerical simulations or semi-analytic but qualitative which can be only applied to modes at resonance frequencies with certain conditions. One of important analytical approaches, in which the resonance is formulated in terms

of successive scattering on the parabolic potentials, has been extensively adopted in the studying of the resonance phenomenon during reheating [7, 15]. However, the treatment of this approach is based on the expansion of the parabolic like potential about its extreme points in each oscillation, so in principle it is only applicable to the broad type resonance [7, 15]. Our purpose in this paper is to present a quantitative and general analysis of parametric resonance of relevant field modes evolving during inflation and reheating by applying the uniform asymptotic approximation, an approximation that has been verified to be powerful and robustness in calculating primordial spectra for various inflation models [16–31] and applications in quantum mechanics [32]. Our analysis does not only provide a quantitative derivation and explanation of the resonance conditions for the relevant modes, but also provide physical explanation for the field amplification and particle production rate which is valid at all frequencies. Our results can universally describe all the three types of the parametric resonance: the tachyonic, broad, and narrow resonances. This in turn provides a significant generalization of the analytical results obtained by using the successive parabolic scattering method in [7, 15], which is only valid for the broad resonance. Applications of our results to sound resonance during inflation, particle productions during reheating, and parametric resonance due to self-resonance potential have also been explored. Details of the formalism and calculations will be reported elsewhere.

II. UNIFORM ASYMPTOTIC APPROXIMATION FOR MATHIEU EQUATION

A. Approximate solution

The evolution of associated field mode u_k during inflation and reheating can be formally put in the form of the

* zhut05@zjut.edu.cn

† wuq@zjut.edu.cn; Corresponding author

‡ anzhong.wang@baylor.edu




FIG. 1. A schematic diagram for the j -th and $j+1$ -th oscillations for the case with $A_k < 2q$.

so-called Mathieu equation [33]¹

$$\frac{d^2 u_k}{dx^2} + (A_k - 2q \cos 2x)u_k = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here we consider the two parameters A_k and q as constant but as long as they are not varying too rapidly the assumption is reasonable. The field modes u_k can have different meanings in different cosmological contexts and we will give its specific definition later in Sec. IV, when we discuss the parametric resonance with specific examples.

In order to apply the uniform asymptotic approximation, let us write the above equation into the standard form [17, 34, 35]

$$\frac{d^2 u_k}{dx^2} = \{g(x) + \mathbf{q}(x)\}u_k \quad (2)$$

with $g(x) + \mathbf{q}(x) = 2q \cos 2x - A_k$. Since the combination $g(x) + \mathbf{q}(x)$ is regular, we can always choose $\mathbf{q}(x) = 0$ [17, 34, 35]. With this choice, we have

$$g(x) = 2q \cos 2x - A_k, \quad (3)$$

which is a periodic function, and in each oscillation, it has two turning points. Here we use j to label the j -th oscillation, and the location of $\cos 2x = 1$ is at x_j during this oscillation. Then the corresponding two turning points (i.e. $g(x) = 0$) are given by,

$$x_j^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} \arccos \frac{A_k}{2q} + x_j. \quad (4)$$

Obviously, the two turning points could be both real and single ($A_k < 2q$), double ($A_k = 2q$ thus $x_j^- = x_j^+$), and complex conjugated ($A_k > 2q$ thus $x_j^- = (x_j^+)^*$). We note that x_j is the real part of x_j^\pm when they are complex conjugated. Fig. 1 provides a schematic diagram

for the j -th and $j+1$ -th oscillation for the case with $A_k < 2q$ where the corresponding two turning points in each oscillation are both real.

Since the function $g(x)$ is periodic, the general solution in each oscillation should take the same form. For this reason, we can only focus on the solution in the j -th oscillation, in which the function $g(x)$ has two turning points. Following [17, 35], we find that the general approximate solution of (2) in the j -th oscillation can be constructed in terms of parabolic cylinder function as

$$u_k^j = \left(\frac{\zeta_j^2 - \zeta_0^2}{-g(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[a_j W\left(\frac{\zeta_0^2}{2}, \sqrt{2}\zeta_j\right) + b_j W\left(\frac{\zeta_0^2}{2}, -\sqrt{2}\zeta_j\right) \right], \quad (5)$$

where

$$\zeta_0^2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{x_j^-}^{x_j^+} \sqrt{g(x)} dx = \frac{4}{\pi} \sqrt{2q - A_k} E(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{X} \equiv (i/2) \ln[(A_k - i\sqrt{4q^2 - A_k^2})/(2q)], \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{Y} \equiv 4q/(2q - A_k), \quad (8)$$

with $E(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ denoting the incomplete elliptic integral of the second kind. The relation between variables $\zeta_j(x)$ and x during the j -th oscillation is chosen such that $\zeta_j^2 - \zeta_0^2$ has the same types of zeros at the same places as those of $g(y)$. In each oscillation, the two zeros $\zeta_j(x_j^\pm) = \pm\zeta_0$ of the variable ζ_j exactly correspond to x_j^\pm . In this way, $(\zeta_j^2 - \zeta_0^2)/g(x)$ is ensured to be a regular function in each oscillation and the relation between ζ_j and x can be determined via [17, 35]

$$\int_{\text{Re}(x_{j+1}^\pm)}^x \sqrt{|g(x')|} dx' = \int_{\pm \text{Re}(\zeta_0)}^\zeta \sqrt{|\zeta'^2 - \zeta_0^2|} d\zeta'. \quad (9)$$

Obviously the sign of ζ_0^2 is also sensitive to the nature of the turning points x_j^- and x_j^+ . ζ_0^2 is positive when x_j^- and x_j^+ are both real, $\zeta_0^2 = 0$ when $x_j^- = x_j^+$, and ζ_0^2 is negative when x_j^- and x_j^+ are complex conjugated.

The upper bounds of the errors of the approximate solution (5) in each oscillation can be estimated from the computation of the variation of the error control function [17, 35],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\zeta_j) = & \int_{\pm\zeta_0}^{\zeta_j} \left[\frac{5\zeta_0^2}{4|v^2 - \zeta_0^2|^{5/2}} - \frac{3}{4|v^2 - \zeta_0^2|^{3/2}} \right] dv \\ & - \int_{x_j^\pm}^x \left[\frac{\mathbf{q}(\tilde{x})}{g(\tilde{x})} - \frac{5g'^2(\tilde{x})}{16g^3(\tilde{x})} + \frac{g''(\tilde{x})}{4g^2(\tilde{x})} \right] \sqrt{|g(\tilde{x})|} d\tilde{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Based on the analysis of it, one in principle can improve the approximate solution (5) through two ways. One way is to consider the high order uniform asymptotic approximation by incorporating the effects of $\mathcal{S}(\zeta_j)$ into the approximate solution (5), and the other way is to minimize $\mathcal{S}(\zeta_j)$ by choosing $\mathbf{q}(x)$ properly. We will consider both ways to improve the approximation in our future works.

¹ The formalism developed in this paper can be easily extended to parametric resonance with other type equations, for example, to that with Lamé equation.

B. Validity of the adiabatic condition

During oscillations, the non-adiabatic evolution can occur due to the presence of the turning points and the extrema in each oscillation. Before we study the particle production and field amplification due to the non-adiabatic evolution, we would like first to provide a qualitative analysis of the adiabaticity of the field mode u_k by using WKB approximation.

In general, the solution of the field mode u_k of the equation

$$\frac{d^2 u_k}{dx^2} + w^2(x)u_k = 0, \quad (11)$$

can be approximately given in terms of the WKB solutions

$$u_k^{\text{wkb}}(x) \simeq \frac{\alpha_k}{\sqrt{2w}} e^{-i \int w dx} + \frac{\beta_k}{\sqrt{2w}} e^{i \int w dx}, \quad (12)$$

if the adiabatic condition is satisfied. In order to seek the adiabatic condition, we substitute the WKB solution into (11) and find,

$$\frac{d^2 u_k^{\text{wkb}}}{dx^2} + w^2(x) \left(1 - \frac{w''}{2w^3} + \frac{3w'^2}{4w^4} \right) u_k^{\text{wkb}} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Therefore, for the WKB solution (12) to be a good approximation to the field mode u_k , the adiabatic condition

$$\epsilon_0 = \left| \frac{3w'^2}{4w^4} - \frac{w''}{2w^3} \right| \ll 1 \quad (14)$$

has to be satisfied.

Note that for the Mathieu equation (1), we have

$$w^2(x) \equiv A_k - 2q \cos 2x = -g(x), \quad (15)$$

and the function ϵ_0 measures how well ($\epsilon_0 \ll 1$) or how bad ($\epsilon_0 > 1$) the adiabaticity of the field modes u_k is during the oscillations. Then the adiabatic condition $\epsilon_0 \ll 1$ can be expressed in terms of $g(x)$ as

$$\epsilon_0 = \left| \frac{g''}{4g^2} - \frac{5g'^2}{16g^3} \right| \ll 1, \quad (16)$$

which obviously can be violated when $g \rightarrow 0$. Depending on the parameters (A_k, q) , another case that violates the adiabatic condition occurs in the region around the maximum point $x = x_j$ and the minimum point $x = x_j + \pi/2$ of $g(x)$ in each oscillation. Around the maximum point x_j , it is easy to check that

$$\epsilon_0 \simeq \left| \frac{g''(x_j)}{4g^2(x_j)} \right| = \frac{2q}{(A_k - 2q)^2} \ll 1 \quad (17)$$

leads to

$$\begin{cases} A_k > \sqrt{2q} + 2q, & 0 < q \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 < A_k < 2q - \sqrt{2q} \text{ or } A_k > \sqrt{2q} + 2q, & q > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Similarly, around the minimum point $x_j + \pi/2$ of $g(x)$, we have

$$\epsilon_0 \simeq \left| \frac{g''(x_j + \pi/2)}{4g^2(x_j + \pi/2)} \right| = \frac{2q}{(A_k + 2q)^2} \ll 1. \quad (19)$$

Thus in order to satisfy the adiabatic condition, the allowed parameter space is

$$\begin{cases} A_k > \sqrt{2q} - 2q, & 0 < q \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ A_k > 0, & q > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In Fig. 2 we plot the function ϵ_0 for three different resonance types, the tachyonic resonance, broach resonance, and narrow resonance respectively². In the following, by using the allowed parameter space derived in the above, we would like to find out the adiabatic regions that can be used to define the number of particle productions for each cases.

1. $A_k < 2q$

For this case, $g(x)$ has two real turning points and around these points the adiabatic condition (16) is obviously violated ($\epsilon_0 \rightarrow \infty$). Around the maximum point x_j , because w is purely imaginary such that the field mode u_k is a combination of the growing and decaying solutions even if the adiabatic condition is satisfied. This implies u_k around x_j is purely classical and there is no concept of particle associated with it. Therefore, the only region (the green region in the top panel of Fig. 2 that could satisfy (16) and use (12) to define particle number is around the minimum point $x_j + \pi/2$ of $g(x)$ provided that (A_k, q) is in the allowed parameter space (20) with $A_k < 2q$.

2. $A_k \gtrsim 2q$

For this case, $g(x)$ has two coalescing complex conjugated turning points. The real part of turning points are also very close to the maximum point x_j . Around x_j , it is easy to see from (17) and the middle panel of Fig. 2 that the adiabatic condition is strongly violated. Around the minimum point $x_j + \pi/2$ of $g(y)$, similar to the case with $A_k < 2q$, the adiabatic condition can be fulfilled provided that (A_k, q) is in the allowed parameter space (20) with $A_k \gtrsim 2q$. Thus the particle number can only be defined using (12) in the region around $x_j + \pi/2$, as shown in the green region in the middle panel of Fig. 2. It is interesting to note that the green region of variable x in this case is larger than that in the case with $A_k < 2q$.

² We will define these three types of resonances in Sec. III

3. $A_k \gg 2q$

In this case, because $q \ll 1$, ϵ_0 is always very small so that the adiabatic condition is completely fulfilled. Therefore, the particle number can be defined using (12) during the whole region in each oscillation, as shown by the green region in the bottom panel of Fig. 2.

In summary, in order to use (12) to compute the particle number associated with u_k , one has to impose two requirements: the adiabatic condition (16) and the real frequency w . According to the analysis in the above, the best place that can be used to define the particle notion for all the above three cases is the region around the minimum point x_j with (A_k, q) satisfies (20). In Fig. 3, we display the parameter space of (a_k, q) (the purple region in the figure) that satisfies the adiabatic condition $\epsilon_0 < 1$ at the minimum point $x_j + \pi/2$. The small space of (A_k, q) (the blue region in the figure) that does not satisfy the adiabatic condition corresponds to small values of A_k , which is out of the scope of the current paper because most of systems with parametric resonance in the reheating process are related to $A_k \geq 1$. In this paper, we only focus on the case with $A_k \geq 1$.

C. Particle production rate and the field amplification

In this subsection, we derive the general expression for both the particle production and the field amplification by using the approximate solution (5) of $u_k^j(x)$. Connecting the two nearby oscillating regions, namely connecting u_k^j and u_k^{j+1} , gives the recursion relation between the coefficients (a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) and (a_j, b_j) ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{j+1} \\ b_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa \sin \mathfrak{B} & -\cos \mathfrak{B} \\ \cos \mathfrak{B} & \kappa^{-1} \sin \mathfrak{B} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_j \\ b_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\kappa = \sqrt{1 + e^{\pi\zeta_0^2} - e^{\pi\zeta_0^2/2}}, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{B} = 2i\sqrt{2q - A_k}[E(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) - E(\mathcal{Y})] + \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\phi\left(\frac{\zeta_0^2}{2}\right), \quad (23)$$

when x_j^\pm are both real, and

$$\mathfrak{B} = 2\sqrt{2q - A_k}E(\mathcal{Y}) + \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\phi\left(\frac{\zeta_0^2}{2}\right), \quad (24)$$

if x_j^\pm are complex conjugated.

With above recursion relation, we are able to estimate the particle production rate during oscillations. A standard way for this purpose is to implement canonical quantization of the field mode $u_k(x)$, which satisfies the standard normalization condition $\langle u_k, u_k \rangle = i(u_k^* \dot{u}_k - \dot{u}_k^* u_k) = 1$. When the adiabatic condition is




FIG. 2. The quality function ϵ_0 which measures the adiabaticity of the field modes for three types of resonance. The range of x in the green region is the interval in each oscillation that the adiabatic condition $\epsilon_0 \ll 1$ is fulfilled and the number of particle is meaningful. Top panel: tachyonic resonance ($A_k < 2q$) with $A_k = 1$ and $q = 1.2$. Middle panel: broad resonance ($A_k \gtrsim 2q$) with $A_k = 1$ and $q = 0.42$. Bottom panel: Narrow resonance ($A_k \gg 2q$) with $A_k = 1$ and $q = 0.05$.

fulfilled, one can expand the field mode in terms of adiabatic positive and negative frequency modes. In this way, if the initial state of the field mode is described by the adiabatic positive frequency mode, then the non-adiabatic evolution of the field mode due to the parametric resonance can lead to the generation of the excited state, which is a mixture of both the positive and negative fre-




FIG. 3. The parameter space (the purple region) of (A_k, q) that satisfies the adiabatic condition $\epsilon_0 < 1$ at the minimum point $x_j + \pi/2$.

quency mode. The information of the particle production rate can be extracted from the projection of this excited state onto the adiabatic negative frequency modes [31].

As we have mentioned above, these non-adiabatic evolutions of the field mode leads to conspicuous particle production. This is also the main mechanism for the field amplification and particle production during the reheating. In order to calculate the particle production rate and field amplification during the parametric resonance, we first need to expand the field u_k in terms of both the adiabatic positive and negative frequency modes in the adiabatic region. Around the end of the $j + 1$ -th oscillation (i.e. $x = x_{j+1} + \pi/2$), as we shown in the above subsection, the adiabatic condition is fulfilled if (20) is satisfied and we can expand the approximate solution of mode function $u_k(x)$ as

$$u_k(x) = \frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{\sqrt{2}(-g)^{1/4}} e^{-i \int_{x_{j+1} + \frac{\pi}{2}}^x \sqrt{-g(x')} dx'} + \frac{\beta_{j+1}}{\sqrt{2}(-g)^{1/4}} e^{i \int_{x_{j+1} + \frac{\pi}{2}}^x \sqrt{-g(x')} dx'}, \quad (25)$$

where α_{j+1} and β_{j+1} are two Bogoliubov coefficients, which can be related to a_{j+1} and b_{j+1} by comparing both the uniform asymptotic approximate solution and WKB solution near the end of the $j + 1$ -oscillation. The modes

$$\bar{u}_k^\pm(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(-g)^{1/4}} e^{\mp i \int_{x_{j+1} + \pi/2}^x \sqrt{-g} dx'}$$

denote two basic adiabatic positive and negative frequency modes. With this procedure, the particle production rate n_k^{j+1} can be calculated via

$$n_k^{j+1} = \langle \bar{u}_k^-, u_k \rangle \langle u_k, \bar{u}_k^- \rangle = |\beta_{j+1}^2|, \quad (26)$$

which leads to the following recursion relation,

$$n_k^{j+1} = e^{\pi \zeta_0^2} + (1 + 2e^{\pi \zeta_0^2}) n_k^j + 2e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2} \sqrt{1 + e^{\pi \zeta_0^2}} \times \sqrt{n_k^j (1 + n_k^j)} \cos(2\Theta + 2\mathfrak{B} - \text{ph}\alpha_j + \text{ph}\beta_j), \quad (27)$$

where $\Theta = -\mathfrak{B}/2$. This result is general and accurate, which can be also approximately reduced to those given in [7, 15] with condition $\zeta_0^2 \lesssim 0$.

Using the recursion relation (21), one could relate the $(j + 1)$ -th oscillation to $(j + 1 - N)$ -th oscillation via

$$a_{j+1} + Y_\pm b_{j+1} = Z_\pm^N (a_{j-N} + Y_\pm b_{j-N}), \quad (28)$$

where

$$Y_\pm = -\frac{\sec \mathfrak{B}}{2\kappa} \left[(\kappa^2 - 1) \sin \mathfrak{B} \pm \sqrt{(\kappa^2 - 1)^2 \sin^2 \mathfrak{B} - 4\kappa^2 \cos^2 \mathfrak{B}} \right], \quad (29)$$

$$Z_\pm = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \left[(-1 - \kappa^2) \sin \mathfrak{B} \pm \sqrt{(\kappa^2 - 1)^2 \sin^2 \mathfrak{B} - 4\kappa^2 \cos^2 \mathfrak{B}} \right], \quad (30)$$

with $Y_+ Y_- = 1 = Z_+ Z_-$. This relation helps us to determine the coefficients a_{j+1} and b_{j+1} of the approximate solution (5) from the initial state. Fig. 4 shows the evolution fo the field amplification $A(x) \equiv |u_k(x)/u_k(x_1 - \pi/2)|^2$ from analytical solution (5) with coefficients derived from (28) that fits numerical results very well. However, we have to mention that, the relation (28) is only valid when N is not vey large ($N \lesssim 30$ as shown by Fig. 4 for examples). If N is large enough, the small error of the approximation can accumulate in each oscillation, thus it will becomes large enough to destroy the validity of (28). Formally, from (28) we can still derive both the field amplification $A_{j+1} = A(x_{j+1} + \frac{\pi}{2})$ and particle production rate n_k^{j+1} after $j + 1$ oscillations,

$$n_k^{j+1} = \frac{[(Y_+ + \kappa^2 Y_-) Z_+^j - (Y_- + \kappa^2 Y_+) Z_-^j]^2}{4(Y_+ - Y_-)^2 \kappa^2}, \quad (31)$$

$$A_{j+1} = \frac{(Y_+^2 + \kappa^2)(\sin \Theta + \kappa Y_- \cos \Theta)^2}{\kappa^2 (Y_+ - Y_-)^2} Z_+^{2j} + \frac{(Y_-^2 + \kappa^2)(\sin \Theta + \kappa Y_+ \cos \Theta)^2}{\kappa^2 (Y_+ - Y_-)^2} Z_-^{2j} - 2 \frac{(1 + \kappa^2)(\sin \Theta + \kappa Y_+ \cos \Theta)(\sin \Theta + \kappa Y_- \cos \Theta)}{\kappa^2 (Y_+ - Y_-)^2}. \quad (32)$$

Here we assume the oscillations start at $x_1 - \frac{\pi}{2}$ and the field mode u_k is at the BD vacuum state at this point. As we mentioned, these formulas are accurate only when the number of oscillations $j + 1$ is not very large. For large number of oscillations, these formulas will be not accurate enough but can show great insight qualitatively in the analysis of resonance as we shown later.




FIG. 4. The analytic (red curve) and numerical (blue dashed curve) evolutions of the field amplification $A(x) = |u_k(x)/u_k(x_1 - \pi/2)|^2$ at resonance bands during several number of oscillations. Top panel: tachyonic resonance during the first 10 oscillations. Middle panel: broad resonance during the 5-th to the 20-th oscillations. Bottom panel: narrow resonance during the 15-th to 30-th oscillations.

III. CONDITIONS FOR PARAMETRIC RESONANCE

We are interested in amplification of the field mode u_k during the parametric resonance. Normally, the strength or the amount of the field amplified during the process is very sensitive to the parameters A_k and q . The essential conditions for the resonance are those that lead to the growing of modes in every oscillation. With this consideration, one has to require that

$$|Z_+| > 1 \text{ or } |Z_-| > 1. \quad (33)$$

Since $Z_+ Z_- = 1$, we observe that $|Z_\pm| = 1$ when Z_\pm are complex conjugated. Thus for the field mode u_k growing in every oscillation, Z_\pm have to be both real, which in turn leads to the requirement of positivity of terms under the square root of Z_\pm , that is

$$\tan^2 \mathfrak{B} > e^{-\pi \zeta_0^2}. \quad (34)$$

This leads to

$$n\pi + \arctan(e^{-\frac{\pi \zeta_0^2}{2}}) < \mathfrak{B} < n\pi + \pi - \arctan(e^{-\frac{\pi \zeta_0^2}{2}}),$$

where n is an integer which defines a series of instability bands labeled by n . From this condition, we can derive




FIG. 5. The fraction width $\Delta \mathfrak{B}/\pi$ in the region of parametric resonance as a function of ζ_0^2 .

the width of the \mathfrak{B} in each instability band, which is

$$\Delta \mathfrak{B} = \pi - 2 \arctan(e^{-\pi \zeta_0^2/2}). \quad (35)$$

This shows explicitly that the width $\Delta \mathfrak{B}$ in each band essentially depends on ζ_0^2 as shown in Fig. 5.

Depending on the value of ζ_0^2 , the instability bands can be divided into three different cases, the tachyonic resonance, the broad resonance, and the narrow resonance, as shown in Fig. 5. The evolution of $A(x)$ with the three representative cases has been illustrated in Fig. 4 by comparing analytic and numerical results.

A. Tachyonic resonance

The tachyonic resonance corresponds to the modes with $0 < A_k < 2q$, thus $g(x)$ has two real turning points in each oscillation and $\zeta_0^2 > 0$. These modes cross periodically the tachyonic region ($g(x) > 0$) which leads to exponentially growing for most of modes during each oscillation (c.f. the top panel of Fig. 4). It can provide an important mechanism for the physical constructions of the tachyonic preheating models after inflation, see [36–39] and references therein. For modes with $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2} \gg 1$, we find

$$n_k^{(j+1)} \simeq e^{(j+1)\pi \zeta_0^2} (4 \sin^2 \mathfrak{B})^j, \quad (36)$$

$$A_{j+1} \simeq 4e^{(j+1)\pi \zeta_0^2} (4 \sin^2 \mathfrak{B})^j \sin^2 \Theta. \quad (37)$$

We observe that the particle production and field amplification are both exponentially enhanced which arises from two effects: the value of $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2}$ and the number of oscillations. The corresponding amplification becomes dramatically enhanced as $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2}$ increases.

The analytical analysis of the tachyonic resonance has also been performed in the studying of the tachyonic preheating process after the inflation by using WKB approximation [38, 39]. The particle production rate n_k

after $j + 1$ oscillations of inflaton reads (Eq. (16) in [39])

$$n_k^{(j+1)} \simeq e^{2(j+1)X_k} (2 \cos \Theta_k)^{2j} \quad (38)$$

with $X_k = \pi \zeta_0^2/2$ and $\Theta_k = \mathfrak{B} + \pi/2 - \phi(\zeta_0^2/2)$. Considering $\phi(\zeta_0^2/2) \ll 1$ for $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2} \gg 1$ [33], we find $\sin^2 \mathfrak{B} \simeq \cos^2 \Theta_k$. Therefore we observe that the expression (36) which derives from (31) recovers the results in [39] when $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2} \gg 1$ for tachyonic preheating scenarios. These results have been shown to be very accurate [38, 39] in comparison with numerical results for a lot of concrete tachyonic preheating models and thus also provides another support for our approximation derived in this paper. It is also worth noting that, while the validity of the results derived in [38, 39] are limited to the tachyonic resonance with $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2} \gg 1$, the general results in eqs. (31) and (32) are valid for all three types of resonances.

B. Broad resonance

The broad resonance corresponds to the modes with $A_k \gtrsim 2q$, for which $g(x)$ has two coalescing complex conjugated turning points and $\zeta_0^2 \lesssim 0$. For this case, the growth of the modes is weaker than that in the tachyonic instability band (c.f. the middle panel of Fig. 4). However, the amplification of the field modes can still be very efficient after a lot of oscillations. In fact, the broad resonance is the essential building block for understanding the copious particle productions and field amplification during the reheating phase right after the inflation [7, 8, 15]. For broad resonance, the particle production for field mode u_k in the instability band is sufficient after a few number of oscillations. With this fact we have $n_k^j \gg 1$, thus we can express the recursion relation for particle production rate in (27) as

$$n_k^{j+1} \simeq n_k^j e^{2\pi \mu_k^j}, \quad (39)$$

where μ_k^j is the growth index and is given by

$$\mu_k^j \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left[1 + 2e^{\pi \zeta_0^2} + 2e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2} \sqrt{1 + e^{\pi \zeta_0^2}} \times \cos(2\Theta + 2\mathfrak{B} - \text{ph}\alpha_j + \text{ph}\beta_j) \right]. \quad (40)$$

This result is similar to that obtained in [7, 15] (c.f. Eq. (16) in [15] and (58) in [7]) by applying the theory of parabolic scattering but in fact they are not exactly the same. This is because the results in [7] can be only considered as a specific case of our results by expanding (3) about the x_j as

$$g(x) \simeq g_0 + \frac{1}{2} g_2 (x - x_j)^2. \quad (41)$$

With this expansion and dropping $\phi(\zeta_0^2/2)$ terms in the expressions of \mathfrak{B} and Θ since $\phi(\zeta_0^2/2) \ll 1$, it is easy to show that (40) can exactly reduce to that in [7]. Since the results in [7] has been verified to be very accurate

when the expansion (41) is justified by comparing with numerical results, it also provides another check for our results. In addition, the analytical results in [7] are only valid for modes inside the broad resonance band, but our results in (40) is a direct conclusion from a more general expression (27) that applies to all the three types of resonances.

C. Narrow resonance

Another interesting case is the narrow resonance which corresponds to $A_k \gg 2q$ and $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2} \ll 1$. For this case, \mathfrak{B} approximately lies in a very narrow region

$$\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi - e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2} < \mathfrak{B} < \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi + e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2}, \quad (42)$$

and its width can be approximately expressed as $\Delta \mathfrak{B} \simeq 2e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2}$. From (42), by dropping terms with $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2}$ we observe that the resonance bands approximately locate at $\mathfrak{B} \simeq n\pi + \frac{1}{2}\pi$, which gives $A_k \simeq n^2$. This implies the resonance only happens at the narrow ranges of A_k and q around $A_k \simeq n^2$. The particle production rate and field amplification for this case are given by

$$n_k^{(j+1)} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{1 + e^{\pi \zeta_0^2}} + e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2} \right)^{2j+2}, \quad (43)$$

$$A_{j+1} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + e^{\pi \zeta_0^2}} + e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2} \right)^{2j+2}. \quad (44)$$

Although $e^{\pi \zeta_0^2/2} \ll 1$, the particle production and field amplification can still be enhanced if there are a large number of oscillations (c.f. the bottom panel of Fig. 4). For a fixed value of q , ζ_0^2 is monotonically decreasing with respect to A_k . This indicates that for the same number of oscillation, the modes in the first band ($n = 1$) is more significant than others.

IV. COMMENTS ON PARAMETRIC RESONANCE DURING INFLATION AND REHEATING

The formalism developed in the above can be applied to a lot of scenarios that are related to the inflationary cosmology. Here we consider its potential applications to three examples: the sound resonance during inflation [1], the particle production during reheating [7, 8], and the generations of oscillons for a self-resonance inflaton field [11, 12].

The sound resonance is studied recently in [1], which leads to a novel resonance mechanism for generations of large curvature perturbations \mathcal{R}_k during inflation. In general, the evolution of the Fourier mode $u_k = z\mathcal{R}_k$ associated with the curvature perturbation \mathcal{R}_k during the slow-roll inflation obeys the following perturbation equation,

$$\frac{d^2 u_k}{d\tau^2} + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z} \right) u_k = 0, \quad (45)$$

where $z = \sqrt{2\epsilon_1}a/c_s$ with $\epsilon_1 = -\dot{H}/H^2$, τ denotes the conformal time, and c_s represents the nontrivial sound speed of the perturbation mode. In the sound resonance model proposed in [1], the authors consider a phenomenological parametrization of c_s^2 in the form $c_s^2 = 1 - 2\xi[1 - \cos(2k_*\tau)]$ with ξ is the amplitude of the oscillation and k_* is the oscillation frequency. Considering that the amplitude ξ of the oscillation is assumed to be very small, then the equation of motion for the propagating mode $u_k = z\mathcal{R}_k$ on sub-Horizon scales can be casted into the Mathieu equation (1) [1],

$$\frac{d^2 u_k}{dx^2} + (A_k - 2q \cos 2x)u_k = 0$$

with $A_k = k^2/k_*^2 + 2q - 4\xi$, $q = 2\xi - \xi k^2/k_*^2$, and $x = k_*\tau$. Applying the formulas of the amplification, we find that the primordial perturbation spectrum can be estimated by

$$P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \simeq A_{j+1} \times A_s \left(\frac{k}{k_p}\right)^{n_s-1}, \quad (46)$$

where $A_s = \frac{H^2}{8\pi^2 M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \epsilon}$ is the amplitude of power spectrum as in the standard slow-roll inflation, n_s is the corresponding spectral index at pivot scale k_p and the field amplification A_{j+1} can be interpreted by (32) with the number of the oscillations given by $j+1 = \frac{k_*}{\pi k}(e^{\Delta N} - 1)$, where ΔN denotes the number of e-folds from the beginning of oscillation to the horizon crossing for mode k . This, on the other hand, provides the second explanation of the significance of the first band ($n = 1$) since it has more oscillations than other bands.

After inflation, the inflaton $\phi(t)$ becomes oscillating around the minimum of its potential, i.e., $\phi(t) \simeq \bar{\phi} \sin(m_\phi t)$. This oscillating behavior leads to parametric resonance during the early stages of reheating, giving rise to copious particle production in fields coupled to it. For simplicity, we consider the coupling of the inflaton to the scalar field χ , through an interaction term of the form,

$$V(\phi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2}m_\phi \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}g^2 \phi^2 \chi^2, \quad (47)$$

where g denotes the coupling between the inflaton field ϕ and the scalar field χ . Then the equation of motion for the Fourier mode χ_k obeys the modified Klein-Gorden equation,

$$\frac{d^2 \chi_k}{dt^2} + 3H \frac{d\chi_k}{dt} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + g^2 \phi^2(t)\right) \chi_k = 0, \quad (48)$$

where t denotes the cosmic time. Defining $u_k = a^{3/2} \chi_k$, this equation can be approximately described by (1),

$$\frac{d^2 u_k}{dx^2} + (A_k - 2q \cos(2x)) u_k = 0,$$

with $A_k = 2q + k^2/(m_\phi^2 a^2)$, $q = g^2 \bar{\phi}^2/(4m_\phi^2)$, and $x = m_\phi t$. In deriving this we neglected $-\frac{3}{4}(2\ddot{a}/a + \dot{a}^2/a^2)$,

which is not important relative to the $g^2 \phi^2$ term during preheating [40]. The particle production rate $|n_k^{j+1}|^2$ can be analyzed from (31) with the number of oscillations $j+1 = m_\phi t/\pi$. The strength of resonance depends on A_k and q . Small $q (\lesssim 1)$ corresponds to narrow resonance for which the width of the instability band is very small as shown in Fig. 5. For large $q (\gg 1)$ the broad resonance can occur for a wide range of the parameter space. The tachyonic resonance is also allowed during reheating process if one replaces the interaction $(1/2)g^2 \phi^2 \chi^2$ by $(1/2)g\phi^2 \chi^2$ and allows $g < 0$. When $|g|$ is large enough to make $A_k < 2q$, then the tachyonic resonance occurs for which both the particle production rate and field amplification can be dramatically enhanced [36–39].

The oscillating inflaton can also become self-resonance if it has a self-resonance potential, with which the inflaton field perturbations $\delta\phi_k$ obeys the equation of motion,

$$\delta\ddot{\phi}_k + (k^2/a^2 + V''(\phi))\delta\phi_k = 0, \quad (49)$$

and can be amplified as the inflaton oscillates about the minimum of its potential. Since $V''(\phi)$ is periodical for these self-resonance potentials, they provide a similar parametric resonance mechanism for amplifying $\delta\phi_k$ at certain momentum space and generating the oscillons. When the perturbation modes are initially displaced in the tachyonic region ($k^2/a^2 + V''(\phi) < 0$) and then enter periodically into this region, these modes can be dramatically amplified due to the tachyonic resonance. These process have also been known as *tachyonic preheating* and *tachyonic oscillations* as mentioned in [12]. For the modes with $k^2/a^2 + V''(\phi) > 0$ always satisfied, they belong to the parametric resonance with $A_k > 2q$ and the resonating amplification can only occur at certain ranges of frequencies that satisfy the resonance condition (34). Such amplifications have gained much attentions recently since it could provide interesting mechanisms for generating large GWs that could be detected by aLIGO-Virgo networks [11, 13].

Note that we have treated A_k and q as constants. In fact, they are decaying with the expansion of the Universe. In this case, the field modes in each oscillation can still be given by (5) but with $\zeta_0 \rightarrow \zeta_0^{(j)}$ being different in each oscillation. Similarly one needs to make substitute in each oscillation as $\mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_j^{j+1}$, $Y_\pm \rightarrow Y_\pm^{(j)}$, $Z_\pm \rightarrow Z_\pm^{(j)}$, and $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_j$. With such extension, our analysis developed in this paper can analytically trace the whole evolutions of the corresponding field modes u_k starting from the tachyonic resonance (with large amplitude of inflaton field initially i.e. large q) until the narrow resonance (small $q \ll 1$). The decaying of the oscillations also brings complications in determining the resonance condition. This is because the modes may only grow in some of oscillations, keeping non-growing in others. Therefore, among all of oscillations, the essential question now is to determine how many oscillations that the relevant modes can be amplified. We would like to address this issues in details in our future works.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

To summarize, we have presented a quantitative and general analysis of parametric resonance of the relevant field modes evolving during inflation and reheating. This analysis gives a condition for the occurrence of the resonance and provides clear and quantitative explanation for the field amplification and particle productions. Further issues including the high-order approximations, effects of decaying oscillations, back reaction of quantum fluctuations on the background spacetime metric, observational predictions of the resonating field modes can also be studied based on our analysis. Our result is general and simple to use, and has applications beyond the in-

flation related context, for example, to the production of vector dark matter [41–43] by parametric resonance, to the production of electron-positron pairs from vacuum by a periodical laser pulses [44–46], and to nonequilibrium quantum field theory [47, 48].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Drs. Qing-Guo Huang, Jing Liu, and Bao-Fei Li for useful discussions. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China with the Grants Nos. 11675143 (T.Z. & Q.W.), 11675145 (A.W.), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Provincial Universities of Zhejiang in China with Grants No. RF-A2019015 (T.Z. & Q.W.).

-
- [1] Y.-F. Cai, X. Tong, D.-G. Wang, and S.-F. Yan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 081306 (2018).
 - [2] X. Gao, D. Langlois, and S. Mizuno, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10**, 023 (2013).
 - [3] R. Saito, M. Nakashima, Y.-I. Takamizu, and J. Yokoyama, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **11**, 036 (2012).
 - [4] R. Saito and Y.-I. Takamizu, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06**, 031 (2013).
 - [5] X. Chen, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **01**, 038 (2012).
 - [6] X. Chen and C. Ringeval, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **08**, 014 (2012).
 - [7] L. Kofman, A. Linde, and A. A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 3258 (1997).
 - [8] P. B. Greene, L. Kofman, A. Linde, and A. A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 6175 (1997).
 - [9] B. A. Bassett and S. Liberati, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 021302 (1998).
 - [10] R. Easther, J. T. Giblin, and E. A. Lim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 221301 (2007).
 - [11] J. Liu, Z.-K. Guo, R.-G. Cai, and G. Shiu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 031301 (2018).
 - [12] S. Antusch, F. Cefala, S. Krippendorf, F. Muia, S. Orani, and F. Quevedo, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01**, 083 (2018).
 - [13] C. Fu, P. Wu, and H. Yu, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 081303 (2018).
 - [14] S.-Y. Zhou, E. J. Copeland, R. Easther, H. Finkel, Z.-G. Mou, and P. M. Saffin, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10**, 026 (2013).
 - [15] T. Charters, A. Nunes, and J. P. Mimoso, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 083515 (2005).
 - [16] T. Zhu, A. Wang, G. Cleaver, K. Kirsten, and Q. Sheng, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29**, 1450142 (2014).
 - [17] T. Zhu, A. Wang, G. Cleaver, K. Kirsten, and Q. Sheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 043507 (2014).
 - [18] T. Zhu, A. Wang, G. Cleaver, K. Kirsten, and Q. Sheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 063503 (2014) [arXiv:1405.5301].
 - [19] T. Zhu, A. Wang, G. Cleaver, K. Kirsten, and Q. Sheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 103517 (2014).
 - [20] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and V. Vennin, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06**, 021 (2013).
 - [21] C. Ringeval, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **203**, 012056 (2010).
 - [22] Q. Wu, T. Zhu, and A. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 103502 (2018) [arXiv:1707.08020].
 - [23] J. Qiao, G.-H. Ding, Q. Wu, T. Zhu, and A. Wang, *arXiv:1811.03216*.
 - [24] T. Zhu, A. Wang, G. Cleaver, K. Kirsten, Q. Sheng, and Q. Wu, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10**, 052 (2015).
 - [25] T. Zhu, A. Wang, G. Cleaver, K. Kirsten, Q. Sheng, and Q. Wu, *ApJ*. **807**, L17(2015).
 - [26] T. Zhu, A. Wang, K. Kirsten, G. Cleaver, Q. Sheng, and Q. Wu, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **03**, 046 (2016).
 - [27] S. Habib, K. Heitmann, G. Jungman, and C. Molina-París, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 281301 (2002).
 - [28] S. Habib, A. Heinen, K. Heitmann, and G. Jungman, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 043518 (2005).
 - [29] S. Habib, A. Heinen, K. Heitmann, G. Jungman, and C. Molina-París, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 083507 (2004).
 - [30] T. Zhu, A. Wang, K. Kirsten, G. Cleaver, and Q. Sheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 123525 (2016).
 - [31] J.-J. Geng, B.-F. Li, J. Soda, A. Wang, Q. Wu, and T. Zhu, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **02**, 018 (2018).
 - [32] T. Zhu and A. Wang, Langer Modification, Quantization condition and Barrier Penetration in Quantum Mechanics, *arXiv:1902.09675 [quant-ph]*.
 - [33] National Institute of Standards and Technology (U.S.), *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Cambridge University Press: NIST, Cambridge; New York, 2010).
 - [34] F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and Special Functions*, AKP classics (A.K. Peters, Wellesley, Mass, 1997).
 - [35] F. W. J. Olver, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **278**, 137 (1975).
 - [36] B. R. Greene, T. Prokopec, and T. G. Roos, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 6484 (1997).
 - [37] L. Kofman, Tachyonic preheating, *arXiv: hep-ph/0107280*.
 - [38] A. A. Abolhasani, H. Firouzjahi and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 043524 (2010).
 - [39] J. F. Dufaux, G. N. Felder, L. Kofman, M. Peloso and D. Podolsky, *JCAP* **0607**, 006 (2006).
 - [40] B. A. Bassett, S. Tsujikawa, and D. Wands, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 537 (2006).
 - [41] J. A. Dror, K. Harigaya, and V. Narayan, *arXiv:1810.07195*.
 - [42] R. T. Co, L. J. Hall, and K. Harigaya, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 211602 (2018).

- [43] R. T. Co, A. Pierce, Z. Zhang, and Y. Zhao, [arXiv:1810.07196](#).
- [44] C. K. Dumlu and G. V. Dunne, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 250402 (2010).
- [45] F. Hebenstreit, R. Alkofer, G. V. Dunne, and H. Gies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 150404(2009).
- [46] F. Fillion-Gourdeau, E. Lorin, and A. D. Bandrauk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 013002 (2013).
- [47] E. A. Calzetta and B. L. Hu, *Nonequilibrium Quantum Field Theory*, Cambridge monographs on mathematical physics, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, UK; New York, 2008).
- [48] J. Berges and J. Serreau, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 111601 (2003).