

AN ADAPTIVE FINITE ELEMENT DTN METHOD FOR MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS IN BIPERIODIC STRUCTURES

XUE JIANG*, PEIJUN LI[†], JUNLIANG LV[‡], ZHOUFENG WANG[§], HAIJUN WU[¶], AND
WEIYING ZHENG^{||}

Abstract. Consider the diffraction of an electromagnetic plane wave by a biperiodic structure where the wave propagation is governed by the three-dimensional Maxwell equations. Based on transparent boundary condition, the grating problem is formulated into a boundary value problem in a bounded domain. Using a duality argument technique, we derive an a posteriori error estimate for the finite element method with the truncation of the nonlocal Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DtN) boundary operator. The a posteriori error consists of both the finite element approximation error and the truncation error of boundary operator which decays exponentially with respect to the truncation parameter. An adaptive finite element algorithm is developed with error controlled by the a posteriori error estimate, which determines the truncation parameter through the truncation error and adjusts the mesh through the finite element approximation error. Numerical experiments are presented to demonstrate the competitive behavior of the proposed adaptive method.

Key words. Maxwell's equations, biperiodic grating problem, adaptive finite element method, transparent boundary condition, a posteriori error estimate

AMS subject classifications. 65M30, 78A45, 35Q60

1. Introduction. Consider the diffraction of a time-harmonic electromagnetic plane wave by a biperiodic structure in \mathbb{R}^3 . A biperiodic structure is also called a doubly periodic structure, crossed grating, or two-dimensional grating in optics. Scattering theory in periodic structures have many important applications in micro-optics, which include the design and fabrication of optical elements such as corrective lenses, antireflective interfaces, beam splitters, and sensors. The basic electromagnetic theory of gratings has been studied extensively since Rayleigh's time [39]. Recent advance has been greatly accelerated due to the development of new approaches and numerical methods including differential methods, integral methods, analytical continuation, variational methods, and others. An introduction to grating problems can be found in Petit [37]. We refer to [8] and the references cited therein for the mathematical studies on well-posedness of the diffraction grating problems. Numerical methods can be found in [3–5, 7, 13, 14, 21, 26, 28, 36, 43] for various approaches including integral equation method, finite element method, and boundary perturbation method for solving the direct and inverse diffraction grating problems. A comprehensive review

*School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China. The research was supported in part by NSFC grants 11771057, 11401040, and 11671052. (jxue@1sec.cc.ac.cn).

[†]Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA. (lpei jun@math.purdue.edu).

[‡]School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China. The research was partially supported by NSFC grant 11301214 and by Science Challenge Project grant TZ2016002. (lvjl@jlu.edu.cn).

[§]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University of Science and Technology, Henan, 471023, China. (zfwang801003@126.com).

[¶]Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu 210093, China. The research was supported in part by NSFC grants 11525103, 91630309, and 11621101. (hju@nju.edu.cn).

^{||}NCMIS, LSEC, ICMSEC, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China. The research was supported in part by NSFC grants 11171334 and 91430215, by the Funds for Creative Research Groups of China (Grant No. 11021101), and by National 863 Project of China under the grant 2012AA01A309.

can be found in [6] on diffractive optics technology and its mathematical modeling as well as computational methods. One may consult monographs [19, 20, 31, 34, 35] for extensive accounts of integral equation methods and finite element methods for direct and inverse electromagnetic scattering problems in general structures.

The scattering problems are usually imposed in open domains, which need to be truncated into bounded computational domains when applying numerical methods such as finite element method or finite difference method. Therefore, appropriate boundary conditions are required on the boundaries of the truncated domains in order to avoid artificial wave reflection. These boundary conditions are called absorbing boundary conditions (ABCs) [2, 22], non-reflecting boundary conditions [23, 25], or transparent boundary conditions (TBCs) [24]. They are still the subject matter of much ongoing research. Another effective truncation strategy is the perfectly matched layer (PML) technique, which was first introduced by Berenger in [11]. In computational wave propagation, it has become an active research area on constructions and analysis of PML absorbing layers for various scattering problems ever since then [12, 15, 18, 33, 40, 41]. The basic idea of the PML technique is to add an artificial layer of medium to surround the physical domain. Such medium is generally designed to make the outgoing waves to decay exponentially, so that a homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition can be imposed on the exterior boundary of the layer. In order to effectively choose the parameters of medium and the thickness of PML absorbing layer, the adaptive finite element methods were analyzed for the diffraction grating problems [5, 9, 17]. The adaptive finite element PML method has also been applied to solve the obstacle scattering problems in [10, 16].

Recently, combined with the TBC truncation approach, adaptive finite element methods were developed to solve the two-dimensional acoustic obstacle scattering problems [29, 30] and the one-dimensional diffraction grating problem [42]. Unlike the PML technique, the finite element TBC method does not require extra an artificial layer of domain to surround the physical domain. Consequently, an obvious advantage of the TBC method is that the size of the computational domain can be remarkably reduced, since the artificial boundary can be put as close as possible to surround the obstacle due to the exactness of transparent boundary condition. This merit may decrease the scale of the resulting linear system of algebraic equations. It should be pointed out that the TBC is defined by a nonlocal Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DtN) operator, which is given by an infinite Fourier series. In practical computation, one has to choose a positive integer N to truncate the infinite series into a sum of finite sequence. In [29, 42], the authors derived *a posteriori* error estimates, which are composed of the finite element discretization error and the truncation error of the DtN operator.

The goal of this paper is to extend the finite element DtN method proposed in [29, 42] to the two-dimensional diffraction grating problem. The extension is non-trivial since the techniques differ greatly from [29, 42]. We need to consider more complicated three-dimensional Maxwell equations instead of the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation. In this work, we derive an *a posteriori* error estimate which not only takes into account of the finite element discretization error but also the truncation error of the boundary operator. In [27], it was shown that the convergence could be arbitrarily slow for the truncated DtN mapping to the original DtN mapping in its operator norm for the obstacle scattering problem. The same issue arises for the diffraction grating problem. To overcome this difficulty, a new duality argument is introduced for the *a posteriori* error estimate between the solution of the diffraction problem and the

finite element solution. The estimate is used to design the adaptive finite element algorithm to choose elements for refinement and to determine the truncation parameter N in the Fourier series. We show that the truncation error decays exponentially with respect to N . The numerical experiments demonstrate a comparable behavior to the adaptive PML method developed in [9], and show much more competitive efficiency by adaptively refining the mesh as compared with uniformly refining the mesh. This work provides a viable alternative to the adaptive finite element method with the PML technique for solving the diffraction grating problem. The method is expected to be applicable to solve many other wave propagation problems in open domains and even more general model problems where TBCs are available but the PML may not be applied.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the model problem of the diffraction of an electromagnetic plane wave by a bi-periodic structure and its weak formulation by using the transparent boundary condition. The finite element discretization with truncated DtN operator is presented in Section 3. Section 4 is the main body of the work and is devoted to the a posteriori error estimate by using a duality argument. In Section 5, we present some numerical experiments to demonstrate the competitive behavior of the proposed adaptive DtN method. The paper is concluded with some general remarks in Section 6.

2. Problem formulation. In this section, we introduce the model problem of the diffraction of an electromagnetic plane wave by a biperiodic structure, and its variational formulation by using the transparent boundary condition.

2.1. Maxwell's equations. The electromagnetic fields in the whole space are governed by the time-harmonic (time-dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$) Maxwell's equations:

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} - i\omega\mu\mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} + i\omega\varepsilon\mathbf{E} = 0,$$

where \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} are the electric field and the magnetic field, respectively. The physical structure is described by the dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and magnetic permeability $\mu(\mathbf{x}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top$. The dielectric permittivity ε and the magnetic permeability μ are assumed to be periodic in the x_1 and x_2 directions with periods L_1 and L_2 , respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(x_1 + n_1L_1, x_2 + n_2L_2, x_3) &= \varepsilon(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ \mu(x_1 + n_1L_1, x_2 + n_2L_2, x_3) &= \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3), \end{aligned}$$

for $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$, where n_1, n_2 are integers. Throughout we assume that $\text{Im}\varepsilon \geq 0$, $\text{Re}\varepsilon > 0$, and $\mu > 0$. The problem geometry is shown in Figure 2.1. Let

$$\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, b_2 < x_3 < b_1\},$$

where $b_j, j = 1, 2$ are constants. Denote by $\Omega_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, x_3 > b_1\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, x_3 < b_2\}$ the unbounded domains above and below Ω , respectively. Let $\Gamma_j = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, x_3 = b_j\}$ and $\Gamma'_j = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, x_3 = b'_j\}$, where $b'_j, j = 1, 2$ are constants satisfying $b_2 < b'_2 < b'_1 < b_1$. Let $d_j = |b_j - b'_j|, j = 1, 2$. Define $\Omega' = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, b'_2 < x_3 < b'_1\}$. Denote by $\Omega'_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, x_3 \geq b'_1\}$ and $\Omega'_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, x_3 \leq b'_2\}$ the unbounded domains above and below Ω' , respectively.




FIG. 2.1. Problem geometry of the diffraction grating in a biperiodic structure.

The medium is assumed to be homogeneous away from Ω' , i.e., there exist constants ε_j and μ_j such that

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon_j, \quad \mu(\mathbf{x}) = \mu_j, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega'_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

It is further assumed that $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \mu_j > 0, j = 1, 2$ but ε_2 may be complex for the substrate material in Ω'_2 .

Let $(\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}, \mathbf{H}^{\text{inc}})$ be the incoming electromagnetic plane waves that are incident on the grating surface from the top, where

$$\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}} = \mathbf{p}e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{H}^{\text{inc}} = \mathbf{s}e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \frac{\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}}{\omega\mu_1}, \quad \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0.$$

Here $\mathbf{q} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\beta)^\top = \omega\sqrt{\varepsilon_1\mu_1}(\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2, \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2, -\cos\theta_1)^\top$ and θ_1, θ_2 are incident angles satisfying $0 \leq \theta_1 < \pi/2, 0 \leq \theta_2 < 2\pi$.

Motivated by the uniqueness, we are interested in quasi-periodic solutions, i.e., the phase shifted electromagnetic fields $(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}))e^{-i(\alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2)}$ are periodic functions in x_1 and x_2 with periods L_1 and L_2 , respectively.

Denote by $L^2(\Omega)$ the space of complex square integrable functions in Ω . Let

$$H(\text{curl}, \Omega) = \{\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)^3 : \nabla \times \varphi \in L^2(\Omega)^3\}$$

with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} = \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \|\nabla \times \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Define the periodic functional space

$$H_{\text{per}}(\text{curl}, \Omega) = \{\varphi \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega) : \varphi(0, x_2, x_3) = \varphi(L_1, x_2, x_3), \\ \varphi(x_1, 0, x_3) = \varphi(x_1, L_2, x_3)\}.$$

Let

$$H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega) = \{\varphi : \varphi e^{-i(\alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2)} \in H_{\text{per}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)\}.$$

For any smooth vector field $\boldsymbol{\psi} = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)^\top$, denote by $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\Gamma_j} = (\psi_1(x_1, x_2, b_j), \psi_2(x_1, x_2, b_j), 0)^\top$ its tangential component of $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ on the surface $\Gamma_j, j = 1, 2$.

To describe the capacity operators and transparent boundary condition in the formulation of the boundary value problem, we introduce some trace functional spaces. Denote by $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j)$ the standard trace Sobolev space. Define the quasi-biperiodic trace functional space as

$$H_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j) = \{\phi \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j) : \phi(\mathbf{x})e^{-i(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2)} \text{ is biperiodic in } x_1 \text{ and } x_2\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)^\top \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and

$$\alpha_{jn} = \alpha_j + 2\pi n_j / L_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

For any $\phi \in H_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j)$, it has the following Fourier series expansion

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, b_j) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \phi_n(b_j) e^{i(\alpha_{1n} x_1 + \alpha_{2n} x_2)}.$$

The norm can be characterized by

$$\|\phi\|_{H_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j)}^2 = L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} |\phi_n(b_j)|^2.$$

where $\alpha_n = (\alpha_{1n}, \alpha_{2n})^\top$.

For any vector field $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)^\top$ and scalar field ψ , denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl}_{\Gamma_j} \boldsymbol{\varphi} &= \partial_{x_1} \varphi_2 - \partial_{x_2} \varphi_1, \\ \nabla_{\Gamma_j} \psi &= (\partial_{x_1} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi, 0)^\top, \\ \text{div}_{\Gamma_j} \boldsymbol{\varphi} &= \partial_{x_1} \varphi_1 + \partial_{x_2} \varphi_2, \end{aligned}$$

the surface scalar curl, the surface gradient, and the surface divergence on $\Gamma_j, j = 1, 2$, respectively. Introduce the following tangential functional spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} TL^2(\Gamma_j) &= \{\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in L^2(\Gamma_j)^3, \varphi_3 = 0\}, \\ TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}, \Gamma_j) &= \{\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j)^3 : \text{curl}_{\Gamma_j} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j), \varphi_3 = 0, \\ &\quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \text{ are biperiodic functions}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any quasi-periodic tangential vector field $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, it has the Fourier series expansion

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\varphi_{1n}, \varphi_{2n}, 0)^\top e^{i(\alpha_{1n} x_1 + \alpha_{2n} x_2)}.$$

The $TL^2(\Gamma_j)$ norm of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ may be represented as

$$\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_j)}^2 = L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (|\varphi_{1n}|^2 + |\varphi_{2n}|^2).$$

Using the Fourier coefficients, we may characterize the norm on the space $TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}, \Gamma_j)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}, \Gamma_j)}^2 &= L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} [|\varphi_{1n}(b_j)|^2 + |\varphi_{2n}(b_j)|^2 \\ &\quad + |\alpha_{1n} \varphi_{2n}(b_j) - \alpha_{2n} \varphi_{1n}(b_j)|^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

2.2. Variational formulation. In this section, we introduce the transparent boundary condition and variational formulation for the diffraction grating problem. The details can be found in [9] on the derivation of the TBC.

It follows from the radiation condition that the solution (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) of the diffraction grating problem is composed of bounded outgoing plane waves in Ω_1 and Ω_2 , plus the incident wave $(\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}, \mathbf{H}^{\text{inc}})$ in Ω_1 . For convenience, we define

$$\mathbf{E}_1^{\text{inc}} = \mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}, \quad \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{inc}} = \mathbf{H}^{\text{inc}}, \quad \mathbf{E}_2^{\text{inc}} = \mathbf{H}_2^{\text{inc}} = 0.$$

In virtue of the quasi-periodicity of (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) , we get from the Rayleigh expansion that

$$\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_j^{\text{inc}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \mathbf{p}_{jn} e^{i\mathbf{q}_{jn} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_j^{\text{inc}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \mathbf{s}_{jn} e^{i\mathbf{q}_{jn} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_j,$$

where

$$\mathbf{s}_{jn} = \frac{1}{\omega \mu_j} \mathbf{q}_{jn} \times \mathbf{p}_{jn}, \quad \mathbf{p}_{jn} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{jn} = 0, \quad \mathbf{q}_{jn} = (\alpha_{1n}, \alpha_{2n}, (-1)^{j-1} \beta_{jn})^\top.$$

Here

$$(2.3) \quad \beta_{jn} = (\kappa_j^2 - |\alpha_n|^2)^{1/2}, \quad \text{Im} \beta_{jn} \geq 0, \quad \kappa_j^2 = \omega^2 \varepsilon_j \mu_j.$$

We exclude possible resonances by assuming that $\kappa_j^2 \neq |\alpha_n|^2, n \in \mathbb{Z}^2, j = 1, 2$.

It follows from Rayleigh's expansions of (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) in Ω_j that the transparent boundary conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^{\text{inc}}) \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_1 &= \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}})_{\Gamma_1}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \\ \mathbf{H} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_2 &= \mathcal{T}_2 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_2}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_j$ is the unit outward normal vector on Γ_j , i.e., $\boldsymbol{\nu}_j = (0, 0, (-1)^{j-1})^\top$, and the capacity operator \mathcal{T}_j is defined as follows: for any tangential vector field $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}, \Gamma_j)$ which has Fourier series expansion

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\varphi_{1n}^{(j)}, \varphi_{2n}^{(j)}, 0)^\top e^{i(\alpha_{1n}x_1 + \alpha_{2n}x_2)},$$

we let

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{T}_j \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (r_{1n}^{(j)}, r_{2n}^{(j)}, 0)^\top e^{i(\alpha_{1n}x_1 + \alpha_{2n}x_2)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1n}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{\omega \mu_j \beta_{jn}} [(\kappa_j^2 - \alpha_{2n}^2) \varphi_{1n}^{(j)} + \alpha_{1n} \alpha_{2n} \varphi_{2n}^{(j)}], \\ r_{2n}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{\omega \mu_j \beta_{jn}} [(\kappa_j^2 - \alpha_{1n}^2) \varphi_{2n}^{(j)} + \alpha_{1n} \alpha_{2n} \varphi_{1n}^{(j)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we present a variational formulation of the Maxwell system (2.1) in the space $H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$. Eliminating the magnetic field \mathbf{H} from (2.1), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - \omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Multiplying the complex conjugate of a test function ψ in $H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$, integrating over Ω , and using integration by parts, we arrive at the variational form for the scattering problem: Find $\mathbf{E} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad a(\mathbf{E}, \psi) = \langle \mathbf{f}, \psi \rangle_{\Gamma_1}, \quad \forall \psi \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega),$$

where the sesquilinear form

$$(2.7) \quad a(\varphi, \psi) = \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} \nabla \times \varphi \cdot \nabla \times \bar{\psi} - \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \varphi \cdot \bar{\psi} - i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{T}_j \varphi_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\Gamma_j},$$

and the linear functional

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \psi \rangle_{\Gamma_1} = i\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} (\mathbf{H}^{\text{inc}} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_1 - \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}}) \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\Gamma_1} = -2i\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\Gamma_1}.$$

Here we have used the identity

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{inc}} \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_1 = -\mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$

We assume that the variational problem (2.6) admits a unique weak solution in $H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$. Then it follows from the general theory in Babuška and Aziz [1] that there exists a constant $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that the following inf-sup condition holds:

$$(2.8) \quad \sup_{0 \neq \psi \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \frac{|a(\varphi, \psi)|}{\|\psi\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}} \geq \gamma_1 \|\varphi\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega).$$

3. The a posteriori estimate. In this section, we introduce the finite element approximation and present the a posteriori error estimate which plays an important role for the adaptive finite element method.

Let \mathcal{M}_h be a regular tetrahedral mesh of the domain Ω . To deal with the quasi-periodic boundary conditions, we assume further that the mesh is periodic in both x_1 and x_2 directions, i.e., the projection of the surface mesh on any face of Ω perpendicular to the x_1 -axis or the x_2 -axis into its opposite face coincides with the surface mesh on the opposite face.

Denote by \mathcal{F}_h the set of all faces of tetrahedrons in \mathcal{M}_h . Let $V_h \subset H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ be an edge element space that contains the lowest order Nédélec edge element space

$$(3.1) \quad V_h = \{\mathbf{v}_h \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega) : \mathbf{v}_h|_T = \mathbf{a}_T + \mathbf{b}_T \times \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}_T, \mathbf{b}_T \in \mathbb{C}^3, \forall T \in \mathcal{M}_h\}.$$

The finite element approximation to the problem (2.6) reads as follows: Find $\mathbf{E}_h \in V_h$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad a(\mathbf{E}_h, \psi_h) = \langle \mathbf{f}, \psi_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1}, \quad \forall \psi_h \in V_h.$$

In the above formulation, the capacity operators \mathcal{T}_j given by (2.4) is defined by an infinite series which is unrealistic in actual calculations. It is necessary to truncate the nonlocal operator by taking sufficiently many terms of the expansions so as to attain our feasible algorithm. We truncate the capacity operator \mathcal{T}_j as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j} \varphi = \sum_{n \in U_{N_j}} (r_{1n}^{(j)}, r_{2n}^{(j)}, 0)^\top e^{i(\alpha_{1n} x_1 + \alpha_{2n} x_2)},$$

where the index set U_{N_j} is defined as

$$(3.4) \quad U_{N_j} = \left\{ n = (n_1, n_2)^\top \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |\alpha_n| \leq \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} N_j \right\}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Roughly speaking, the points in U_{N_j} occupy an area of πN_j^2 .

Now we are ready to define the truncated finite element formulation which leads to the discrete approximation to (2.6): Find $\mathbf{E}_h^N \in V_h$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) = \langle \mathbf{f}^{N_f}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \in V_h,$$

where the sesquilinear form $a_N: V_h \times V_h \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as follows:

$$(3.6) \quad a_N(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \nabla \times \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j},$$

and

$$\langle \mathbf{f}^{N_f}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} = -2i\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{T}_1^{N_f} \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h)_{\Gamma_1}.$$

For any $T \in \mathcal{M}_h$, we define the residuals

$$\begin{aligned} R_T^{(1)} &:= \omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N|_T - \nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N|_T), \\ R_T^{(2)} &:= -\omega^2 \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N|_T). \end{aligned}$$

Given an interior face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h$, which is the common face of T_1 and T_2 , we define the jump residuals across F as

$$\begin{aligned} J_F^{(1)} &:= \mu^{-1} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N|_{T_1} - \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N|_{T_2}) \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_F, \\ J_F^{(2)} &:= \omega^2 (\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N|_{T_2} - \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N|_{T_1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_F, \end{aligned}$$

where the unit normal vector $\boldsymbol{\nu}_F$ on F points from T_2 to T_1 . Given a face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h \cap \Gamma_1$, we define the residuals as

$$\begin{aligned} J_F^{(1)} &= 2[-(\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_1 + i\omega \mathcal{T}_1^{N_1}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_1} - 2i\omega \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}}] \\ J_F^{(2)} &= 2[\omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_1 - i\omega \text{div}_{\Gamma_1}(\mathcal{T}_1^{N_1}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_1}) + 2i\omega \text{div}_{\Gamma_1}(\mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}})]. \end{aligned}$$

Given a face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h \cap \Gamma_2$, we define the residuals as

$$\begin{aligned} J_F^{(1)} &= 2[-(\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_2 + i\omega \mathcal{T}_2^{N_2}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_2}] \\ J_F^{(2)} &= 2[\omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_2 - i\omega \text{div}_{\Gamma_2}(\mathcal{T}_2^{N_2}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_2})]. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{10} &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 = 0, 0 < x_2 < L_2, b_2 < x_3 < b_1\}, \\ \Gamma_{11} &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 = L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, b_2 < x_3 < b_1\}, \\ \Gamma_{20} &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_2 = 0, 0 < x_1 < L_1, b_2 < x_3 < b_1\}, \\ \Gamma_{21} &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_2 = L_2, 0 < x_1 < L_1, b_2 < x_3 < b_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h \cap \Gamma_{l0}$, let $F' \in \mathcal{F}_h$ be the corresponding face on Γ_{l1} ($l = 1, 2$), and let $T, T' \in \mathcal{M}_h$ be the two elements such that $T \supset F$ and $T' \supset F'$. We define the jump residuals across F and F' as

$$\begin{aligned} J_F^{(1)} &:= \mu^{-1} (e^{-i\alpha_j L_j} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N|_{T'} - \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N|_T) \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_F, \\ J_{F'}^{(1)} &:= \mu^{-1} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N|_{T'} - e^{i\alpha_j L_j} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N|_T) \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_F, \\ J_F^{(2)} &:= \omega^2 (\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N|_T - e^{-i\alpha_j L_j} \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N|_{T'}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_F, \\ J_{F'}^{(2)} &:= \omega^2 (e^{i\alpha_j L_j} \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N|_T - \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N|_{T'}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_F, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_F$ is the unit outward normal vector to F .

For any $T \in \mathcal{M}_h$, denote by η_T the local error estimator, which is defined as follows:

$$\eta_T^2 = h_T^2 \left(\|R_T^{(1)}\|_{L^2(T)^3}^2 + \|R_T^{(2)}\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \right) + h_T \sum_{F \subset \partial T} \left(\|J_F^{(1)}\|_{L^2(F)^3}^2 + \|J_F^{(2)}\|_{L^2(F)}^2 \right).$$

We now state the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{E}_h^N be the solutions of (2.6) and (3.5), respectively. Then there exist two integers M_j , $j = 1, 2$ independent of h and satisfying $\left(\frac{2\pi M_j}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}}\right)^2 > \text{Re}\kappa_j^2$ such that for $N_j \geq M_j$ the following a posteriori error estimate holds:*

$$\|\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \leq C \left(\left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \sigma_j} \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \right),$$

where $\sigma_j = \left(\left(\frac{2\pi N_j}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right)^2 - \text{Re}\kappa_j^2 \right)^{1/2}$ and the constant C is independent of h and M_j .

4. Proof of the main theorem. The section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4.1. The dual problem. Denote the error by $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N$. Consider the Helmholtz decomposition

$$(4.1) \quad \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi} = \varepsilon \nabla q + \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \quad q \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \text{div} \boldsymbol{\zeta} = 0.$$

Introduce the following dual problem to the original scattering problem: Find $\mathbf{W} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ such that it satisfies the variational problem

$$(4.2) \quad a(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{W}) = (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega).$$

It is easy to verify that \mathbf{W} is the weak solution of the boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \times ((\bar{\mu})^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{W}) - \omega^2 \bar{\varepsilon} \mathbf{W} = \boldsymbol{\zeta} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ ((\bar{\mu})^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{W}) \times \boldsymbol{\nu}_j = -i\omega \mathcal{T}_j^* \mathbf{W}_{\Gamma_j} & \text{on } \Gamma_j, \end{cases}$$

where the adjoint operator \mathcal{T}_j^* takes the following form:

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{T}_j^* \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\rho_{1n}^{(j)}, \rho_{2n}^{(j)}, 0)^\top e^{i(\alpha_{1n} x_1 + \alpha_{2n} x_2)}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{1n}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{\omega \bar{\mu}_j \bar{\beta}_{jn}} [(\bar{\kappa}_j^2 - \alpha_{2n}^2) \varphi_{1n}^{(j)} + \alpha_{1n} \alpha_{2n} \varphi_{2n}^{(j)}], \\ \rho_{2n}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{\omega \bar{\mu}_j \bar{\beta}_{jn}} [(\bar{\kappa}_j^2 - \alpha_{1n}^2) \varphi_{2n}^{(j)} + \alpha_{1n} \alpha_{2n} \varphi_{1n}^{(j)}].\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that the dual problem has a unique weak solution, we have the stability estimate

$$(4.4) \quad \|\mathbf{W}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \leq C_0 \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3},$$

where C_0 is a positive constant.

Denote by $U_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$ the standard continuous piecewise linear finite element space. Clearly, we have

$$\nabla U_h \subseteq V_h,$$

where V_h is the lowest order Nédélec edge element space defined in (3.1).

4.2. Error representation formula. The following lemma shows that $\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}$ can be bounded by $\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}$ and vice versa.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let \mathbf{E} , \mathbf{E}_h^N , and \mathbf{W} be the solutions to the problems (2.6), (3.5), and (4.2), respectively. Then we have*

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned}\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2 &\lesssim \text{Re} \left[a(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\Gamma_j} \right] \\ &\quad - \omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \text{Im} \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\Gamma_j} + \text{Re} \int_{\Omega} (\omega^2 \varepsilon + \mathbf{I}) \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}},\end{aligned}$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned}(\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \left[a(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{W}) + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{W}}_{\Gamma_j} \right] \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla q) - i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{W}}_{\Gamma_j},\end{aligned}$$

and for any $\boldsymbol{\psi}_h \in V_h$, $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$, $q_h \in U_h \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, there hold

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned}a(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j} \\ = \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j},\end{aligned}$$

$$(4.8) \quad (\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla q) = -(\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N, \nabla(q - q_h)).$$

Proof. The inequality (4.5) follows from the definition of the sesquilinear form a in (2.7). The identity (4.6) follows by taking $\mathbf{v} = \boldsymbol{\xi}$ in (4.2) and using (4.1). It remains to prove (4.7) and (4.8). Using (2.6) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) &= a(\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) + a(\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\
&= a(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) - a(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) + a(\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\
&= \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\
&\quad + a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) - a(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) + a(\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\
&= \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\
&\quad + a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) - a(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) + \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - a(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\
&= \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\
&\quad + a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi}) - a(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi}) \\
&= \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^2 i\omega \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j} + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.7). By taking $\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_h = \nabla q_h, \forall q_h \in U_h \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ in the above identity and using (2.7), we conclude that

$$(\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla q_h) = 0.$$

Then (4.8) follows by noting that $\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon \mathbf{E}) = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4.3. Several trace results. The following lemma is a trace regularity result for $H_{\text{qper}}(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$. The proof can be found in [9].

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\gamma_0 = \max\{\sqrt{1 + (b_1 - b_2)^{-1}}, \sqrt{2}\}$. Then the following estimate holds:*

$$\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\Gamma_j}\|_{TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\operatorname{curl}, \Gamma_j)} \leq \gamma_0 \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\psi} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega).$$

LEMMA 4.3. *For any $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant C depending only on δ, b_1 , and b_2 such that the following estimate holds:*

$$\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\Gamma_j}\|_{H_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j)^3}^2 \leq \delta \|\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + C(\delta) \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\psi} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega).$$

Proof. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(b_1 - b_2) |\zeta(b_j)|^2 \\
&= \int_{b_2}^{b_1} |\zeta(x_3)|^2 dx_3 + \int_{b_2}^{b_1} \int_{x_3}^{b_j} \frac{d}{d\tau} |\zeta(\tau)|^2 d\tau dx_3 \\
&\leq \int_{b_2}^{b_1} |\zeta(x_3)|^2 dx_3 + (b_1 - b_2) \int_{b_2}^{b_1} 2|\zeta(x_3)| |\zeta'(x_3)| dx_3 \\
&\leq \int_{b_2}^{b_1} |\zeta(x_3)|^2 dx_3 + (b_1 - b_2) \left(\frac{2(1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{1/2}}{\delta} \int_{b_2}^{b_1} |\zeta(x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\delta}{2(1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{1/2}} \int_{b_2}^{b_1} |\zeta'(x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} |\zeta(b_j)|^2 \\ & \leq C(\delta) \int_{b_2}^{b_1} |\zeta(x_3)|^2 dx_3 + \frac{\delta}{2} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1} \int_{b_2}^{b_1} |\zeta'(x_3)|^2 dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Given $\psi \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$, it has the expansion

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\psi_{1n}(x_3), \psi_{2n}(x_3), \psi_{3n}(x_3)) e^{i(\alpha_{1n}x_1 + \alpha_{2n}x_2)},$$

which yields that

$$\|\psi_{\Gamma_j}\|_{H_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j)}^2 = L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} (|\psi_{1n}(b_j)|^2 + |\psi_{2n}(b_j)|^2).$$

Using (4.9) gives

$$(1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} (|\psi_{1n}(b_j)|^2 + |\psi_{2n}(b_j)|^2) \leq I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = C(\delta) \int_{b_2}^{b_1} (|\psi_{1n}(x_3)|^2 + |\psi_{2n}(x_3)|^2) dx_3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{\delta}{2} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1} \int_{b_2}^{b_1} (|\psi'_{1n}(x_3)|^2 + |\psi'_{2n}(x_3)|^2) dx_3 \\ &\leq \delta (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1} \int_{b_2}^{b_1} [|\psi'_{1n}(x_3) - i\alpha_{1n}\psi_{3n}(x_3)|^2 + |\psi'_{2n}(x_3) - i\alpha_{2n}\psi_{3n}(x_3)|^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha_{1n}^2 + \alpha_{2n}^2) |\psi_{3n}(x_3)|^2] dx_3 \\ &\leq \delta \int_{b_2}^{b_1} [|\psi'_{1n}(x_3) - i\alpha_{1n}\psi_{3n}(x_3)|^2 + |\psi'_{2n}(x_3) - i\alpha_{2n}\psi_{3n}(x_3)|^2 + |\psi_{3n}(x_3)|^2] dx_3, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof after summing over $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. \square

The following lemma gives an estimate for quasi-periodic divergence-free functions.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_{1n}(x_3), v_{2n}(x_3), v_{3n}(x_3))^\top e^{i(\alpha_{1n}x_1 + \alpha_{2n}x_2)}$. Suppose $\text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0$. Then for any $\delta > 0$, the following estimate holds:*

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_j} v'_{3n} \bar{v}_{3n} \right| \leq |\alpha_n|^2 (1 + \delta) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_j)}^2 + C\delta^{-1} d_j^{-2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \tilde{\Omega}_j)}^2, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_j = \Omega'_j \setminus \Omega_j$ and $d_j = |b_j - b'_j|$.

Proof. We only prove the case of $j = 1$ since the proof for $j = 2$ is similar. Clearly, $v'_{3n} = -i\alpha_{1n}v_{1n} - i\alpha_{2n}v_{2n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \times \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_1)}^2 &= L_1 L_2 \left(\|v'_{1n} - i\alpha_{1n}v_{3n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \|v'_{2n} - i\alpha_{2n}v_{3n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\alpha_{1n}v_{2n} - \alpha_{2n}v_{1n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 = [b'_1, b_1]$. Let $\chi \in C^2(B_1)$ be a function satisfying

$$(4.10) \quad \chi(x_3) \begin{cases} = 1, & x_3 \in [b'_1 + \frac{2}{3}d_1, b_1], \\ = 0, & x_3 \in [b'_1, b'_1 + \frac{1}{3}d_1], \\ \in [0, 1], & x_3 \in (b'_1 + \frac{1}{3}d_1, b'_1 + \frac{2}{3}d_1), \end{cases} \quad |\chi'| \lesssim d_1^{-1}, \text{ and } |\chi''| \lesssim d_1^{-2}.$$

Let $\mathbf{w} = \chi \mathbf{v}$. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |v'_{3n}(b_1)\bar{v}_{3n}(b_1)| &= |w'_{3n}(b_1)\bar{w}_{3n}(b_1)| = \left| \int_{b'_1}^{b_1} (w''_{3n}\bar{w}_{3n} + |w'_{3n}|^2) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{b'_1}^{b_1} ((\chi v''_{3n} + 2\chi'v'_{3n} + \chi''v_{3n})\chi\bar{v}_{3n} + |\chi v'_{3n} + \chi'v_{3n}|^2) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{b'_1}^{b_1} (\chi^2 v''_{3n}\bar{v}_{3n} + \chi^2 |v'_{3n}|^2 + \chi'\chi(3v'_{3n}\bar{v}_{3n} + v_{3n}\bar{v}'_{3n}) + (\chi''\chi + |\chi'|^2)|v_{3n}|^2) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{b'_1}^{b_1} (\chi^2(i\alpha_{1n}(i\alpha_{1n}v_{3n} - v'_{1n}) + i\alpha_{2n}(i\alpha_{2n}v_{3n} - v'_{2n}))\bar{v}_{3n} + \chi^2|\alpha_n|^2|v_{3n}|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \chi^2|v'_{3n}|^2 + \chi'\chi(3v'_{3n}\bar{v}_{3n} + v_{3n}\bar{v}'_{3n}) + (\chi''\chi + |\chi'|^2)|v_{3n}|^2) \right| \\ &\leq (1 + \delta)(|\alpha_n|^2 \|v_{3n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \|v'_{3n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2) \\ &\quad + C\delta^{-1}(\|i\alpha_{1n}v_{3n} - v'_{1n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \|i\alpha_{2n}v_{3n} - v'_{2n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + d_1^{-2} \|v_{3n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

which together with $\|v'_{3n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \leq |\alpha_n|^2(\|v_{1n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \|v_{2n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma_1} v'_{3n}\bar{v}_{3n} \right| &= L_1 L_2 |v'_{3n}(b_1)\bar{v}_{3n}(b_1)| \\ &\leq |\alpha_n|^2(1 + \delta) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_1)^3}^2 + C\delta^{-1}d_1^{-2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \tilde{\Omega}_1)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

4.4. Estimates of (4.7) and (4.8). We first discuss the exponentially decay property of the evanescent modes.

Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3)^\top$ be the solution to the variational problem (2.6). Since $E_l(\mathbf{x})$ is a quasi-periodic function with respect to x_1 and x_2 , it has the following Fourier expansion:

$$(4.11) \quad E_l(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} E_{ln}(x_3) e^{i(\alpha_{1n}x_1 + \alpha_{2n}x_2)}, \quad l = 1, 2, 3.$$

The following lemma is crucial to derive the truncation error.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3)^\top$ be the solution to (2.6) and E_{ln} is the Fourier coefficient given in (4.11). If $\text{Re}\kappa_j^2 \leq |\alpha_n|^2$, then the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{ln}(b_j)| &\leq |E_{ln}(b'_j)| e^{-d_j(|\alpha_n|^2 - \text{Re}\kappa_j^2)^{1/2}}, \quad l = 1, 2, \\ |E_{3n}(b_j)| &\leq \frac{1}{|\beta_{jn}|} |\alpha_{1n}E_{1n}(b'_j) + \alpha_{2n}E_{2n}(b'_j)| e^{-d_j(|\alpha_n|^2 - \text{Re}\kappa_j^2)^{1/2}}, \\ |\alpha_{1n}E_{2n}(b_j) - \alpha_{2n}E_{1n}(b_j)| &\leq |\alpha_{1n}E_{2n}(b'_j) - \alpha_{2n}E_{1n}(b'_j)| e^{-d_j(|\alpha_n|^2 - \text{Re}\kappa_j^2)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since μ and ε are constants in Ω'_1 and Ω'_2 , the Maxwell equation (2.5) reduces to the Helmholtz equations:

$$(4.12) \quad \Delta E_l + \kappa_j^2 E_l = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega'_j, \quad l = 1, 2, 3.$$

Plugging (4.11) into (4.12), we derive the second order ordinary differential equation for the Fourier coefficient E_{ln} :

$$(4.13) \quad E_{ln}''(x_3) + (\kappa_j^2 - |\alpha_n|^2)E_{ln}(x_3) = 0, \quad x_3 \notin (b'_2, b'_1).$$

The general solution of (4.13) is

$$E_{ln}(x_3) = A_{ln}e^{i\beta_{jn}x_3} + B_{ln}e^{-i\beta_{jn}x_3}.$$

Noting (2.3) and using the radiation condition, we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{cases} E_{ln}(x_3) = E_{ln}(b'_1)e^{i\beta_{1n}(x_3-b'_1)}, & x_3 > b'_1, \\ E_{ln}(x_3) = E_{ln}(b'_2)e^{-i\beta_{2n}(x_3-b'_2)}, & x_3 < b'_2, \end{cases}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{ln}(b_j)| &= |E_{ln}(b'_j)|e^{-d_j \text{Im}\beta_{jn}}, \quad l = 1, 2, \\ |\alpha_{1n}E_{2n}(b_j) - \alpha_{2n}E_{1n}(b_j)| &= |\alpha_{1n}E_{2n}(b'_j) - \alpha_{2n}E_{1n}(b'_j)|e^{-d_j \text{Im}\beta_{jn}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from the divergence free condition that

$$\partial_{x_1}E_1 + \partial_{x_2}E_2 + \partial_{x_3}E_3 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega'_j,$$

which yields

$$(4.15) \quad E'_{3n}(b'_j) + i\alpha_{1n}E_{1n}(b'_j) + i\alpha_{2n}E_{2n}(b'_j) = 0.$$

It follows from (4.14) that

$$E'_{3n}(x_3) = i\beta_{1n}E_{3n}(b'_1)e^{i\beta_{1n}(x_3-b'_1)}, \quad x_3 > b'_1.$$

Evaluating the above equation at $x_3 = b'_1$ and using the divergence free condition (4.15), we have

$$E_{3n}(b'_1) = -\frac{\alpha_{1n}}{\beta_{1n}}E_{1n}(b'_1) - \frac{\alpha_{2n}}{\beta_{1n}}E_{2n}(b'_1),$$

which implies

$$E_{3n}(x_3) = \frac{-1}{\beta_{1n}}(\alpha_{1n}E_{1n}(b'_1) + \alpha_{2n}E_{2n}(b'_1))e^{i\beta_{1n}(x_3-b'_1)}.$$

Taking $x_3 = b_1$ in the above equation yields

$$|E_{3n}(b_1)| = \frac{1}{|\beta_{1n}|} |\alpha_{1n}E_{1n}(b'_1) + \alpha_{2n}E_{2n}(b'_1)| e^{-d_1 \text{Im}\beta_{1n}}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$|E_{3n}(b_2)| = \frac{1}{|\beta_{2n}|} |\alpha_{1n}E_{1n}(b'_2) + \alpha_{2n}E_{2n}(b'_2)| e^{-d_2 \text{Im}\beta_{2n}}.$$

Using (2.3) again, we have

$$\operatorname{Im}\beta_{jn}^2 = \operatorname{Im}(\kappa_j^2 - |\alpha_n|^2) = \operatorname{Im}\kappa_j^2 = \omega^2 \mu_j \operatorname{Im}\varepsilon_j \geq 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}\beta_{jn} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left((\operatorname{Im}\beta_{jn}^2)^2 + (\operatorname{Re}\beta_{jn}^2)^2 \right)^{1/2} - \operatorname{Re}\beta_{jn}^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\geq (-\operatorname{Re}\beta_{jn}^2)^{1/2} = (\alpha_n^2 - \operatorname{Re}\kappa_j^2)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Noting that Ω is a cuboid, we have the following Hodge decomposition: For any $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$, there exist $\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)} \in H^1(\Omega)^3$ and $\psi^{(2)} \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)} + \nabla\psi^{(2)}, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)} = 0.$$

Let $\Pi_h : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow U_h$ be the Scott–Zhang interpolation operator. For any element $T \in \mathcal{M}_h$ with the size of h_T and any face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h$ with the size of h_F , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi^{(2)} - \Pi_h\psi^{(2)}\|_{L^2(T)} &\leq Ch_T |\psi^{(2)}|_{H^1(\tilde{T})}, \\ \|\psi^{(2)} - \Pi_h\psi^{(2)}\|_{L^2(F)} &\leq Ch_F^{1/2} |\psi^{(2)}|_{H^1(\tilde{F})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here \tilde{T} and \tilde{F} are the union of all the elements in \mathcal{M}_h , which have nonempty intersection with the element T and the face F , respectively.

LEMMA 4.6. *There exists a linear projection operator $\mathcal{P}_h : H_{\text{qper}}(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega)^3 \rightarrow V_h$ satisfying the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}_h\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}\|_{L^2(T)^3} &\leq C(\|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\tilde{T})^3} + h_T |\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}|_{H^1(\tilde{T})^3}), \\ \|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)} - \mathcal{P}_h\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}\|_{L^2(T)^3} &\leq Ch_T \|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}\|_{H^1(\tilde{T})^3}, \\ \|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)} - \mathcal{P}_h\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}\|_{L^2(F)^3} &\leq Ch_F^{1/2} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}\|_{H^1(\tilde{F})^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\boldsymbol{\psi}_h^{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_h\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}$, $\psi_h^{(2)} = \Pi_h\psi^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{\psi}_h = \boldsymbol{\psi}_h^{(1)} + \nabla\psi_h^{(2)}$.

LEMMA 4.7. *There exists an integer N_{j1} independent of h and satisfying $(\frac{2\pi N_{j1}}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}})^2 > \operatorname{Re}\kappa_j^2$, $j = 1, 2$ such that for any $N_j \geq N_{j1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| a(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j} \right| \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \sigma_j} \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \right) \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_j = \left(\left(\frac{2\pi N_j}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right)^2 - \operatorname{Re}\kappa_j^2 \right)^{1/2}$. Moreover,

$$|(\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla q)| \lesssim \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\Omega)^2}.$$

Proof. The second estimate is a direct consequence of (4.8) with $q_h = \Pi_h q$. It remains to prove the first estimate. Define

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h), \\ J_2 &= i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j}. \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation yields that

$$a(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j} := J_1 + J_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} - a_N(\mathbf{E}_h^N, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \cdot \nabla \times (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h) + \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h) \\ &\quad + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j} (\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h)_{\Gamma_j} + \langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} \\ &= J_1^1 + J_1^2 + J_1^3 + J_1^4. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact $\nabla \times \nabla(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) = 0$ and Green's theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^1 &= - \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \cdot \nabla \times (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \cdot \nabla \times (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)} + \nabla \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \nabla \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \mu^{-1} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \cdot \nabla \times (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) \\ &= - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \int_T \mu^{-1} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \cdot \nabla \times (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) \\ &= - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \left[\int_T \nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\partial T} (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \times \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Green's formula that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1^2 &= \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot (\bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi}_h) \\
&= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \omega^2 \int_T \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot (\bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi}_h) \\
&= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \left[\omega^2 \int_T \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot (\bar{\psi}^{(1)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(1)}) + \omega^2 \int_T \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot (\nabla \bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \nabla \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}) \right] \\
&= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \left[\omega^2 \int_T \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot (\bar{\psi}^{(1)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(1)}) - \omega^2 \int_T \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N) (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \omega^2 \int_{\partial T} (\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε, μ are biperiodic functions and $\mathbf{E}_h^N, \psi^{(1)} - \psi_h^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)} - \psi_h^{(2)}$ are quasi-biperiodic functions, it is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \left[\int_{\partial T \cap \Gamma_{j0}} (\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}) + \int_{\partial T' \cap \Gamma_{j1}} (\varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}) \right] = 0,$$

where T' is the tetrahedron having one of its faces on Γ_{j1} corresponding to T . Again using Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1^3 &= i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{J}_j^{Nj}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j} \cdot (\bar{\psi}^{(1)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(1)}) + i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{J}_j^{Nj}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_j} (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}) \\
&= i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{J}_j^{Nj}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j} \cdot (\bar{\psi}^{(1)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(1)}) \\
&\quad - i\omega \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{J}_j^{Nj}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j}) (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}) \\
&= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{F \subset \partial T \cap \Gamma_j} i\omega \int_F (\mathcal{J}_j^{Nj}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j}) \cdot (\bar{\psi}^{(1)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(1)})_{\Gamma_j} \\
&\quad - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{F \subset \partial T \cap \Gamma_j} i\omega \int_F \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{J}_j^{Nj}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j}) (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Green's formula again on J_1^4 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1^4 &= \langle \mathbf{f}, \psi - \psi_h \rangle_{\Gamma_1} = -2i\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot (\bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi}_h)_{\Gamma_1} \\
&= -2i\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot (\bar{\psi}^{(1)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(1)})_{\Gamma_1} \\
&\quad - 2i\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_1} (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)}) \\
&= -2i\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot (\bar{\psi}^{(1)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(1)})_{\Gamma_1} \\
&\quad + 2i\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma_1} (\mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}}) (\bar{\psi}^{(2)} - \bar{\psi}_h^{(2)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \sum_{F \subset \partial T \cap \Gamma_1} -2i\omega \int_F \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)})_{\Gamma_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \sum_{F \subset \partial T \cap \Gamma_1} 2i\omega \int_F \text{div}_{\Gamma_1}(\mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}})(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_1 = J_1^1 + J_1^2 + J_1^3 + J_1^4 \\
&= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \left\{ \int_T (\omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N - \nabla \times (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N)) \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) - \int_T \nabla \cdot (\omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N)(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h, F \subset \partial T} \left[- \int_F (\mu^{-1} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h^N) \times \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) + \int_F \omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_h^N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \right] \Big\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{F \subset \partial T \cap \Gamma_j} \left[i\omega \int_F (\mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j}) \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)})_{\Gamma_j} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - i\omega \int_F \text{div}_{\Gamma_j}(\mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}(\mathbf{E}_h^N)_{\Gamma_j})(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \sum_{F \subset \partial T \cap \Gamma_1} -2i\omega \int_F \mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)})_{\Gamma_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \sum_{F \subset \partial T \cap \Gamma_1} 2i\omega \int_F \text{div}_{\Gamma_1}(\mathcal{T}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}})(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the residuals, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_1 = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \left\{ \int_T R_T^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) + \int_T R_T^{(2)}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{F \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_h \cap \partial T} \left[\int_F \frac{1}{2} J_F^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) + \int_F \frac{1}{2} J_F^{(2)}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \right] \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{F \subset \partial T \cap \Gamma_j} \left[\int_F \frac{1}{2} J_F^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)})_{\Gamma_j} + \int_F \frac{1}{2} J_F^{(2)}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \right] \right\} \\
&= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \left\{ \int_T R_T^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)}) + \int_T R_T^{(2)}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{F \subset \partial T} \left[\int_F \frac{1}{2} J_F^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(1)})_{\Gamma_j} + \int_F \frac{1}{2} J_F^{(2)}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{(2)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_h^{(2)}) \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{\psi}_h^{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_h \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_h^{(2)} = \Pi_h \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(2)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1| &\leq C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \left\{ \left[h_T \|R_T^{(1)}\|_{L^2(T)^3} |\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}|_{H^1(\tilde{T})^3} + h_T \|R_T^{(2)}\|_{L^2(T)} |\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(2)}|_{H^1(\tilde{T})} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{F \in \partial T} \left[h_F^{1/2} \|J_F^{(1)}\|_{L^2(F)^3} |\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(1)}|_{H^1(\tilde{F})^3} + h_F^{1/2} \|J_F^{(2)}\|_{L^2(F)} |\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(2)}|_{H^1(\tilde{F})} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(|\psi^{(1)}|_{H^1(\Omega)^3}^2 + |\psi^{(2)}|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
(4.16) \quad &\leq C \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate J_2 . A straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_2| &= \omega \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j} \right| \\
&= \omega \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \sum_{n \notin U_{N_j}} (r_{1n}^{(j)}, r_{2n}^{(j)}, 0)^\top e^{i(\alpha_{1n}x_1 + \alpha_{2n}x_2)} \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\bar{\psi}_{1n}^{(j)}, \bar{\psi}_{2n}^{(j)}, 0)^\top e^{-i(\alpha_{1n}x_1 + \alpha_{2n}x_2)} \right| \\
&= \omega L_1 L_2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{n \notin U_{N_j}} (r_{1n}^{(j)} \bar{\psi}_{1n}^{(j)} + r_{2n}^{(j)} \bar{\psi}_{2n}^{(j)}) \right| \\
&= L_1 L_2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{n \notin U_{N_j}} \frac{1}{\mu_j \beta_{jn}} \left[\omega^2 \varepsilon_j \mu_j (E_{1n}(b_j) \bar{\psi}_{1n}^{(j)} + E_{2n}(b_j) \bar{\psi}_{2n}^{(j)}) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - (\alpha_{1n} E_{2n}(b_j) - \alpha_{2n} E_{1n}(b_j)) (\alpha_{1n} \bar{\psi}_{2n}^{(j)} - \alpha_{2n} \bar{\psi}_{1n}^{(j)}) \right] \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let N_{j1} be a sufficiently large integer such that

$$\left(\frac{2\pi N_{j1}}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right)^2 > \text{Re} \kappa_j^2, \quad |\mu_j \beta_{jn}| \gtrsim (|\alpha_n|^2 + 1)^{1/2}.$$

Suppose $N_j \geq N_{j1}$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_2| &\leq L_1 L_2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{n \notin U_{N_j}} \frac{e^{-d_j (|\alpha_n|^2 - \text{Re} \kappa_j^2)^{1/2}}}{|\mu_j \beta_{jn}|} \left[|\omega^2 \varepsilon_j \mu_j| (|E_{1n}(b'_j)| |\psi_{1n}(b_j)| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |E_{2n}(b'_j)| |\psi_{2n}(b_j)|) + |\alpha_{1n} E_{2n}(b'_j) - \alpha_{2n} E_{1n}(b'_j)| |\alpha_{1n} \psi_{2n}(b_j) - \alpha_{2n} \psi_{1n}(b_j)| \right] \\
&\lesssim L_1 L_2 \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \left(\left(\frac{2\pi N_j}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right)^2 - \text{Re} \kappa_j^2 \right)^{1/2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{n \notin U_{N_j}} (|\alpha_n|^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[|E_{1n}(b'_j)|^2 + |E_{2n}(b'_j)|^2 + |\alpha_{1n} E_{2n}(b'_j) - \alpha_{2n} E_{1n}(b'_j)|^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{n \notin U_{N_j}} (|\alpha_n|^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[|\psi_{1n}(b_j)|^2 + |\psi_{2n}(b_j)|^2 + |\alpha_{1n} \psi_{2n}(b_j) - \alpha_{2n} \psi_{1n}(b_j)|^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \left(\left(\frac{2\pi N_j}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right)^2 - \text{Re} \kappa_j^2 \right)^{1/2}} \|\mathbf{E}\|_{TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}, \Gamma'_j)} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}, \Gamma_j)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \left(\left(\frac{2\pi N_j}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right)^2 - \text{Re} \kappa_j^2 \right)^{1/2}} \|\mathbf{E}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the inf-sup condition (2.8) yields

$$\|\mathbf{E}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \sup_{0 \neq \boldsymbol{\psi} \in H_{\text{qper}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \frac{|a(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\psi})|}{\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}}.$$

We have from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} |a(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\psi})| &= |\langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle_{\Gamma_1}| = |2\omega \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{F}_1 \mathbf{E}_{\Gamma_1}^{\text{inc}} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_1}| \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \leq C \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates gives

$$(4.17) \quad |J_2| \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j} \left(\left(\frac{2\pi N_j}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right)^2 - \text{Re} \kappa_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)},$$

The proof is completed by combining (4.16) and (4.17). \square

4.5. Estimates of the DtN operators. The following lemma gives an estimate of the second term in the right hand side of (4.5).

LEMMA 4.8. *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\text{Im} \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{F}_j^{N_j} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j} \geq -C \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j)}^2, \quad \boldsymbol{\psi} \in TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}, \Gamma_j).$$

Proof. Define

$$\kappa_j^2 = \omega^2 \varepsilon_j \mu_j = u_j + iv_j,$$

It follows from $\mu_j > 0$, $\text{Re}(\varepsilon_j) > 0$, and $\text{Im}(\varepsilon_j) \geq 0$ that $u_j > 0$ and $v_j \geq 0$. Recall

$$\beta_{jn}^2 = \kappa_j^2 - |\alpha_n|^2 = w_{jn} + iv_j,$$

where

$$w_{jn} = \text{Re}(\omega^2 \varepsilon_j \mu_j) - |\alpha_n|^2 = u_j - |\alpha_n|^2.$$

It is clear to note that $u_j \geq w_{jn}$. Noting that $\mu_j > 0$, $\text{Re}(\varepsilon_j) > 0$, and $\text{Im}(\varepsilon_j) \geq 0$, we get

$$\beta_{jn} = \gamma_{jn} + i\lambda_{jn},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{jn} &= \text{Re}(\beta_{jn}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{w_{jn}^2 + v_j^2} + w_{jn} \right)^{1/2}, \\ \lambda_{jn} &= \text{Im}(\beta_{jn}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{w_{jn}^2 + v_j^2} - w_{jn} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

As a quasi-periodic function, $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\Gamma_j}$ has the expansion

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\Gamma_j}(x_1, x_2, b_j) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\psi_{1n}(b_j), \psi_{2n}(b_j), 0)^\top e^{i(\alpha_{1n} x_1 + \alpha_{2n} x_2)}.$$

We have from the definition of the capacity operator \mathcal{F}_j that

$$\int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{F}_j^{N_j} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j} = \frac{L_1 L_2}{\omega \mu_j} \sum_{n \in U_{N_j}} \left[\frac{\kappa_j^2}{\beta_{jn}} (|\psi_{1n}|^2 + |\psi_{2n}|^2) - \frac{1}{\beta_{jn}} |\alpha_{1n} \psi_{2n} - \alpha_{2n} \psi_{1n}|^2 \right].$$

Taking the imaginary part gives

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} \langle \mathcal{F}_j^{N_j} \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle_{\Gamma_j} &= \frac{L_1 L_2}{\omega \mu_j} \sum_{n \in U_{N_j}} \left[\frac{\lambda_{jn}}{\gamma_{jn}^2 + \lambda_{jn}^2} |\alpha_{1n} \psi_{2n} - \alpha_{2n} \psi_{1n}|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{v_j \gamma_{jn} - u_j \lambda_{jn}}{\gamma_{jn}^2 + \lambda_{jn}^2} (|\psi_{1n}|^2 + |\psi_{2n}|^2) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{L_1 L_2}{\omega \mu_j} \sum_{n \in U_{N_j}} \frac{v_j \gamma_{jn} - u_j \lambda_{jn}}{\gamma_{jn}^2 + \lambda_{jn}^2} (|\psi_{1n}|^2 + |\psi_{2n}|^2) \end{aligned}$$

To prove the lemma, it is required to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\omega \mu_j} \left| \frac{v_j \gamma_{jn} - u_j \lambda_{jn}}{\gamma_{jn}^2 + \lambda_{jn}^2} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{1/2} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega \mu_j} \left[\frac{1 + u_j - w_{jn}}{w_{jn}^2 + v_j^2} \left(v_j^2 \frac{\sqrt{w_{jn}^2 + v_j^2} + w_{jn}}{2} + u_j^2 \frac{\sqrt{w_{jn}^2 + v_j^2} - w_{jn}}{2} - u_j v_j^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$G_j(t) = \frac{1 + u_j - t}{t^2 + v_j^2} \left(v_j^2 \frac{\sqrt{t^2 + v_j^2} + t}{2} + u_j^2 \frac{\sqrt{t^2 + v_j^2} - t}{2} - u_j v_j^2 \right).$$

It can be seen that $G_j(t)$ is a continuous and positive function for $t \leq u_j$ and $G_j(t) \rightarrow u_j^2$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. Thus the function $G_j(t)$ reaches its maximum at some t^* . Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{\omega \mu_j} \left| \frac{v_j \gamma_{jn} - u_j \lambda_{jn}}{\gamma_{jn}^2 + \lambda_{jn}^2} (1 + \alpha_n^2)^{1/2} \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{G_j(t^*)}}{\omega \mu_j} := C.$$

A simple calculation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Im} \int_{\Gamma_j} \mathcal{F}_j^{N_j} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\Gamma_j} \\ &\geq -C L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \in U_{N_j}} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} (|\psi_{1n}|^2 + |\psi_{2n}|^2) \\ &\geq -C L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} (|\psi_{1n}|^2 + |\psi_{2n}|^2) \\ &= -C \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{H_{\text{qp}}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_j)^3}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

The following lemma gives an estimate of the last term in (4.6).

LEMMA 4.9. *Let \mathbf{W} be the solution of the dual problem (4.2). Then there exist integers N_{j2} independent of h and satisfying $(\frac{2\pi N_{j2}}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}})^2 > \text{Re}(\kappa_j^2)$, $j = 1, 2$ such that for $N_j \geq N_{j2}$, the following estimate holds:*

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^2 \left| \omega \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{W}}_{\Gamma_j} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2}{3} |(\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi})| + C \sum_{j=1}^2 N_j^{-2} (1 + d_j^{-4}) \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant independent of h and N_j .

Proof. We show that for $j = 1, 2$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \omega \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{W}}_{\Gamma_j} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \delta)^2}{2} \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} |\alpha_n|^{-2} \left| \varepsilon_j^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_j} \zeta'_{3n} \bar{\zeta}_{3n} \right| + C N_j^{-2} (1 + d_j^{-4}) \|\zeta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Following from Lemma 4.4, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(i\omega \int_{\Gamma_j} (\mathcal{T}_j - \mathcal{T}_j^{N_j}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_j} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{W}}_{\Gamma_j} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \delta)^3}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(|\varepsilon_j|^{-1} \|\zeta\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega}_j)}^2 + C N_j^{-2} (\delta^{-1} d_j^{-2} + 1 + d_j^{-4}) \|\zeta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \delta)^3}{2} |(\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi})| + C \sum_{j=1}^2 N_j^{-2} (\delta^{-2} + 1 + d_j^{-4}) \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $|(\varepsilon^{-1} \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})| \leq |(\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi})|$ and $\|\zeta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}$ (as consequences of (4.1)) to derive the last inequality. Then (4.18) can be obtained by taking $\delta = (\frac{4}{3})^{1/3} - 1$.

We shall only prove (4.19) for $j = 1$ since the proof is similar for $j = 2$. It follows from the definitions of \mathcal{T}_1 and $\mathcal{T}_1^{N_1}$ that

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \omega \int_{\Gamma_1} (\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_1^{N_1}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\Gamma_1} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{W}}_{\Gamma_1} \right| = \left| \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Gamma_1} (\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_1^{N_1}) \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\Gamma_1} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{W}}_{\Gamma_1} \right| \\ & = L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1 \mu_1 \beta_{1n}} \left[\kappa_1^2 (\zeta_{1n}(b_1) \bar{W}_{1n}(b_1) + \zeta_{2n}(b_1) \bar{W}_{2n}(b_1)) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - (\alpha_{1n} \zeta_{2n}(b_1) - \alpha_{2n} \zeta_{1n}(b_1)) (\alpha_{1n} \bar{W}_{2n}(b_1) - \alpha_{2n} \bar{W}_{1n}(b_1)) \right] \right|, \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\Omega}_1 = \Omega'_1 \setminus \Omega_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_1 < L_1, 0 < x_2 < L_2, b'_1 < x_3 < b_1\}$. Next we consider the dual problem in $\tilde{\Omega}_1$ in order to express $W_{1n}(b_1)$ and $W_{2n}(b_1)$ in $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$. Since ε and μ are real constants in $\tilde{\Omega}_1$, the dual problem (4.2) can be rewritten as

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{W}) - \omega^2 \varepsilon_1 \mu_1 \mathbf{W} = \mu_1 \boldsymbol{\zeta} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_1.$$

Using the divergence free condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{W} = 0$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_1$, we may reduce the above equation into the Helmholtz equation

$$\Delta \mathbf{W} + \kappa_1^2 \mathbf{W} = -\mu_1 \zeta.$$

Let $\mathbf{W} = (W_1, W_2, W_3)^\top$. Componentwisely, we have

$$\Delta W_j + \kappa_1^2 W_j = -\mu_1 \zeta_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since W_j and ζ_j are quasi-biperiodic functions, they have the following Fourier series expansions

$$W_j = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} W_{jn} e^{i(\alpha_{1n} x_1 + \alpha_{2n} x_2)}, \quad \zeta_j = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \zeta_{jn} e^{i(\alpha_{1n} x_1 + \alpha_{2n} x_2)}.$$

A direction calculation yields that the Fourier coefficient W_{jn} with $n \notin U_{N_1}$ and $j = 1, 2$ satisfies the following two-point boundary value problem of the ordinary differential equations on the interval (b'_1, b_1) :

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{cases} W_{jn}''(x_3) - |\beta_{1n}|^2 W_{jn}(x_3) = -\mu_1 \zeta_{jn}(x_3), \\ W_{jn}(b'_1) = W_{jn}(b_1), \\ W_{jn}'(b_1) + |\beta_{1n}| W_{jn}(b_1) = -i\kappa_1^{-2} \mu_1 \alpha_{jn} \zeta_{3n}(b_1). \end{cases}$$

Here we have used $W_{3n}' = -i\alpha_{1n} W_{1n} - i\alpha_{2n} W_{2n}$ (as a consequence of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{W} = 0$) and $W_{3n}'' - |\beta_{1n}|^2 W_{3n} = -\mu_1 \zeta_{jn}$ to derive the boundary conditions. It is easy to verify that the solutions to (4.21) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{jn}(x_3) = & \frac{\mu_1}{2|\beta_{1n}|} \left(- \int_{b_1}^{x_3} e^{|\beta_{1n}|(x_3-s)} \zeta_{jn}(s) ds + \int_{b'_1}^{x_3} e^{|\beta_{1n}|(s-x_3)} \zeta_{jn}(s) ds \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{b'_1}^{b_1} e^{|\beta_{1n}|(2b'_1-x_3-s)} \zeta_{jn}(s) ds \right) + e^{|\beta_{1n}|(b'_1-x_3)} W_{jn}(b'_1) \\ & - \frac{i\mu_1 \alpha_{jn} \zeta_{3n}(b_1)}{2\kappa_1 |\beta_{1n}|} \left(e^{|\beta_{1n}|(x_3-b_1)} - e^{|\beta_{1n}|(2b'_1-b_1-x_3)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$W_{jn}(b_1) = \omega_{jn}^I + \omega_{jn}^{II},$$

where

$$(4.22) \quad \omega_{jn}^I = \frac{\mu_1}{2|\beta_{1n}|} \left(\int_{b'_1}^{b_1} e^{|\beta_{1n}|(s-b_1)} \zeta_{jn}(s) ds - \int_{b'_1}^{b_1} e^{|\beta_{1n}|(2b'_1-b_1-s)} \zeta_{jn}(s) ds \right) + e^{-d_1 |\beta_{1n}|} W_{jn}(b'_1)$$

$$(4.23) \quad \omega_{jn}^{II} = - \frac{i\mu_1 \alpha_{jn} \zeta_{3n}(b_1)}{2\kappa_1^2 |\beta_{1n}|} (1 - e^{-2d_1 |\beta_{1n}|}).$$

Denote by $B_1 = [b'_1, b_1]$. Clearly,

$$(4.24) \quad |\omega_{jn}^I| \leq \frac{\mu_1}{2|\beta_{1n}|^2} \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + e^{-d_1 |\beta_{1n}|} |W_{jn}(b'_1)|,$$

Next we turn to estimate the terms in (4.20). First, from (4.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_{jn}(b_1)\bar{\omega}_{jn}^I| &\lesssim \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \left(|\beta_{1n}|^{-2} \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + e^{-d_1|\beta_{1n}|} |W_{jn}(b'_1)| \right) \\ &\lesssim |\beta_{1n}|^{-2} \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^2 + |\beta_{1n}|^2 e^{-2d_1|\beta_{1n}|} |W_{jn}(b'_1)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that (see the proof of [42, Lemma 4.5]):

$$(4.25) \quad \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^2 \leq \frac{2}{d_1} \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + 2 \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^2(B_1)} \|\zeta'_{jn}\|_{L^2(B_1)}.$$

Therefore

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} |\zeta_{jn}(b_1)\bar{\omega}_{jn}^I| &\lesssim |\beta_{1n}|^{-3} \left(|\beta_{1n}| (|\beta_{1n}| + d_1^{-1}) \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \|\zeta'_{jn}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + |\beta_{1n}|^2 e^{-2d_1|\beta_{1n}|} |W_{jn}(b'_1)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we may choose N_{12} such that

$$(4.27) \quad |\alpha_n| \gtrsim |\beta_{1n}| \geq (1 + \delta)^{-1} |\alpha_n| \gtrsim \max(\kappa_1, N_1) \quad \text{for } n \notin U_{N_1}.$$

Simple calculations show that

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla \times \zeta\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 &= L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\|\zeta'_{1n} - i\alpha_{1n} \zeta_{3n}\|_{L^2([b_2, b_1])}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\zeta'_{2n} - i\alpha_{2n} \zeta_{3n}\|_{L^2([b_2, b_1])}^2 + \|\alpha_{1n} \zeta_{2n} - \alpha_{2n} \zeta_{1n}\|_{L^2([b_2, b_1])}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.26)–(4.28), (2.2), Lemma 4.2, and (4.4), we conclude that

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} &L_1 L_2 \left| \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \frac{\kappa_1^2}{\varepsilon_1 \mu_1 \beta_{1n}} (\zeta_{1n}(b_1)\bar{\omega}_{1n}^I + \zeta_{2n}(b_1)\bar{\omega}_{2n}^I) \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[|\beta_{1n}|^{-4} \left(|\beta_{1n}| (|\beta_{1n}| + d_1^{-1}) \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \|\zeta'_{jn}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\beta_{1n}|^2 e^{-2d_1|\beta_{1n}|} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} |W_{jn}(b'_1)|^2 \right] \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[|\alpha_n|^{-4} \left(|\alpha_n| (|\alpha_n| + d_1^{-1}) \|\zeta_{jn}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \|\zeta'_{jn} - i\alpha_{jn} \zeta_{3n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\alpha_{jn}|^2 \|\zeta_{3n}\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right] + |\beta_{1n}|^2 e^{-2d_1|\beta_{1n}|} \|\mathbf{W}\|_{TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\text{curl}, \Gamma'_1)}^2 \\ &\lesssim N_1^{-2} \left((1 + (N_1 d_1)^{-1}) \|\zeta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \bar{\Omega}_1)}^2 + |\beta_{1n}|^4 e^{-2d_1|\beta_{1n}|} \|\mathbf{W}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim N_1^{-2} (1 + d_1^{-4}) \|\zeta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\max_{s \geq 0} (s^4 e^{-2d_1 s}) \lesssim d_1^{-4}$ to derive the last inequality.

Following from (4.23), $\operatorname{div} \zeta = 0$, and (4.27), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_1 L_2 \left| \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \frac{\kappa_1^2}{\varepsilon_1 \mu_1 \beta_{1n}} (\zeta_{1n}(b_1) \bar{\omega}_{1n}^{II} + \zeta_{2n}(b_1) \bar{\omega}_{2n}^{II}) \right| \\
&= L_1 L_2 \left| \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} (-i\alpha_{1n} \zeta_{1n}(b_1) - i\alpha_{2n} \zeta_{2n}(b_1)) \bar{\zeta}_{3n}(b_1) \frac{1 - e^{-2d_1 |\beta_{1n}|}}{2\varepsilon_1 |\beta_{1n}|^2} \right| \\
&= L_1 L_2 \left| \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \zeta'_{3n}(b_1) \bar{\zeta}_{3n}(b_1) \frac{1 - e^{-2d_1 |\beta_{1n}|}}{2\varepsilon_1 |\beta_{1n}|^2} \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \frac{1 - e^{-2d_1 |\beta_{1n}|}}{2|\beta_{1n}|^2} \varepsilon_1^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_1} \zeta'_{3n} \bar{\zeta}_{3n} \right| \\
(4.30) \quad & \leq \frac{(1+\delta)^2}{2} \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} |\alpha_n|^{-2} \left| \varepsilon_1^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_1} \zeta'_{3n} \bar{\zeta}_{3n} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $V_n := \alpha_{1n} W_{2n} - \alpha_{2n} W_{1n}$ and $\tau_n := \alpha_{1n} \zeta_{2n}(x_3) - \alpha_{2n} \zeta_{1n}(x_3)$. From (4.21), we have

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{cases} V_n''(x_3) - |\beta_{1n}|^2 V_n(x_3) = -\mu_1 \tau_n, \\ V_n(b'_1) = V_n(b_1), \\ V_n'(b_1) + |\beta_{1n}| V_n(b_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we may obtain the solution of (4.31)

$$|V_n(b_1)| \leq \frac{\mu_1}{2|\beta_{1n}|^2} \|\tau_n\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + e^{-d|\beta_{1n}|} |V_n(b'_1)|,$$

which implies by combining with (4.27), (4.25), (4.28), (2.2), Lemma 4.2, and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_1 L_2 \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \left| \frac{(\alpha_{1n} \zeta_{2n}(b_1) - \alpha_{2n} \zeta_{1n}(b_1))}{\varepsilon_1 \mu_1 \beta_{1n}} (\alpha_{1n} \bar{W}_{2n}(b_1) - \alpha_{2n} \bar{W}_{1n}(b_1)) \right| \\
&= L_1 L_2 \left| \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1 \mu_1 \beta_{1n}} \tau_n(b_1) \cdot \bar{V}_n(b_1) \right| \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \left(|\beta_{1n}|^{-3} \|\tau_n\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^2 + |\beta_{1n}| e^{-2d|\beta_{1n}|} |V_n(b'_1)|^2 \right) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \left[|\beta_{1n}|^{-4} \left(|\beta_{1n}| (|\beta_{1n}| + d_1^{-1}) \|\tau_n\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 + \|\tau'_n\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\beta_{1n}|^2 e^{-2d_1 |\beta_{1n}|} (1 + |\alpha_n|^2)^{-1/2} |V_n(b'_1)|^2 \right] \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n \notin U_{N_1}} \left[|\alpha_n|^{-4} \left(|\alpha_n| (|\alpha_n| + d_1^{-1}) \|\tau_n\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \|\alpha_{1n} (\zeta'_{2n} - i\alpha_{2n} \zeta_{3n}) - \alpha_{2n} (\zeta'_{1n} - i\alpha_{1n} \zeta_{3n})\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\beta_{1n}|^2 e^{-2d_1 |\beta_{1n}|} \|\mathbf{W}\|_{TH_{\text{qper}}^{-1/2}(\operatorname{curl}, \Gamma'_1)}^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim N_1^{-2} \left((1 + (N_1 d_1)^{-1}) \|\zeta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \bar{\Omega}_1)}^2 + |\beta_{1n}|^4 e^{-2d_1|\beta_{1n}|} \|\mathbf{W}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2 \right) \\
(4.32) \quad &\lesssim N_1^{-2} (1 + d_1^{-4}) \|\zeta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging (4.29), (4.30), and (4.32) into (4.20), we arrive at (4.19). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4.6. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $N_j \geq \max(N_{j1}, N_{j2})$, $j = 1, 2$. First, it follows from the error representation formula (4.5), Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8, and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2 &\leq C \left(\left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \sigma_j} \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \right) \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \\
&\quad + \delta \|\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + C(\delta) \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + C \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

which gives after taking $\delta = 1/2$ that

$$(4.33) \quad \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2 \lesssim \left(\left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \sigma_j} \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \right)^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2.$$

Using (4.6), Lemma 4.7, (4.1), (4.4), and Lemma 4.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi})| &\leq C \left(\left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \sigma_j} \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \right) \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{3} |(\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi})| + C \sum_{j=1}^2 N_j^{-2} (1 + d_j^{-4}) \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 &\lesssim \left(\left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_h} \eta_T^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-d_j \sigma_j} \|\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}\|_{TL^2(\Gamma_1)} \right)^2 \\
&\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^2 N_j^{-2} (1 + d_j^{-4}) \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by combining the above estimate and (4.33).

5. Numerical experiments. In this section, we report two examples to demonstrate the competitiveness of our method. The implementation of the adaptive algorithm is based on parallel hierarchical grid (PHG) [38], which is a toolbox for developing parallel adaptive finite element programs on unstructured tetrahedral meshes. The first-order Nédélec's edge element is used in the numerical tests. The linear system resulted from finite element discretization is solved by the MUMPS direct solver, which is a general purpose library for the direct solution of large, sparse systems of linear equations. The adaptive FEM algorithm is summarized in Table 5.1.

In the experiments, let λ , θ_1 , θ_2 , and $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^\top$ denote the wavelength, the incident angles, and the polarization of the incident wave, respectively, and let n

TABLE 5.1
The adaptive FEM-DtN algorithm.

- 1 Given a tolerance $\epsilon > 0$ and mesh refinement threshold $\tau \in (0, 1)$;
- 2 Choose d_j and σ_j defined in Theorem 3.1 such that $e^{-d_j\sigma_j} < 10^{-8}$;
- 3 Construct an initial tetrahedral partition \mathcal{M}_h over Ω and compute error estimators;
- 4 While $\epsilon_h > \epsilon$ do
 - 5 choose $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_h \subset \mathcal{M}_h$ according to the strategy $\eta_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}_h} > \tau\eta_{\mathcal{M}_h}$;
 - 6 refine all the elements in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_h$ and obtain a new mesh denoted still by \mathcal{M}_h ;
 - 7 solve the discrete problem (3.5) on the new mesh \mathcal{M}_h ;
 - 8 compute the corresponding error estimators;
- 9 End while.




FIG. 5.1. The adaptive DtN method: The mesh plot and the surface plot of the amplitude of the field \mathbf{E}_h after 9 adaptive iterations for Example 1.

denote the refractive index. The examples are computed by both the adaptive DtN algorithm and the adaptive PML method in [9].

Example 1. We consider the simplest biperiodic structure, a plat plane, where the exact solution is available. We assume that a plane wave $\mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}} = \mathbf{q}e^{i(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 - \beta x_3)}$ is incident on the plat plane $\{x_3 = 0\}$, which separates two homogeneous media: $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = 1.5$. In this example, the parameters are chosen as $\lambda = 1\mu\text{m}$, $\theta_1 = \pi/6$, $\theta_2 = \pi/6$, $p = (-\alpha_2, \alpha_1, 0)^T$. The computational domain $\Omega = (0, 0.5) \times (0, 0.5) \times (-0.3, 0.3)$. The exact solution is as follows:

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{p}e^{i(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 - \beta_1 x_3)} + r\mathbf{p}e^{i(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \beta_1 x_3)} & \text{if } x_3 \geq 0, \\ t\mathbf{p}e^{i(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 - \beta_2 x_3)} & \text{if } x_3 < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $r = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)/(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$, $t = 2\beta_1/(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$.

The mesh and surface plots of the amplitude of the total field \mathbf{E}_h^N are shown in Figure 5.1. The mesh has 446600 tetrahedrons and the total number of degrees of freedom (DoFs) on the mesh is 1053600. We also present the mesh and surface plots of the amplitude of the total field \mathbf{E}_h^N obtained by the adaptive PML method in Figure 5.2. Note that the total field \mathbf{E}_h^N is solved, the amplitude in the upper PML is large because of the incident field. Figure 5.3 shows the curves of $\log N_k$ versus $\log \|\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}$, and the a posteriori error estimates η_h , where N_k is the total number of DoFs of the mesh. It indicates that the meshes and the associated numerical complexity are quasi-optimal: $\|\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} = O(N_k^{-1/3})$ are valid asymptotically.

Example 2. This example concerns the scattering of the time-harmonic plane




FIG. 5.2. The adaptive PML method: The mesh plot and the surface plot of the amplitude of the field \mathbf{E}_h after 11 adaptive iterations for Example 1.




FIG. 5.3. The adaptive DtN method: Quasi-optimality of $\|\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)}$ (left), and the a posteriori error estimates (right) for Example 1.

wave \mathbf{E}^{inc} on the checkerboard grating [32], as seen in Figure 5.5. The parameters are chosen as $\lambda = 1\mu\text{m}$, $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$, $p = (1, 1, (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/\beta)^\top$. The computational domain is $\Omega = (0, 1.25\sqrt{2}) \times (0, 1.25\sqrt{2}) \times (-2, 2)$. Figure 5.6 shows the mesh and the amplitude of the associated solution for the total field \mathbf{E}_h^N when the mesh has 1002488 DoFs. Figure 5.7 shows the curves of $\log N_k$ versus the a posteriori error estimates η_h , and $\eta_h = O(N_k^{-1/3})$ is valid asymptotically.

6. Concluding remarks. In this paper, we have presented a new adaptive finite element method with DtN boundary condition for the diffraction problem in a biperiodic structure. The a posteriori error estimate takes into account of the finite element discretization error and the DtN truncation error, and is used to design the adaptive method to determine the DtN truncation parameter and choose element for refinements. Numerical results show that the proposed method is competitive with the adaptive PML method. This work provides a viable alternative to the adaptive finite element method with PML for solving the same problem and enriches the range of choices available for solving many other wave propagation problems. We hope that the method can be applied to other scientific areas where the problems are proposed in unbounded domains, especially in the areas where the PML technique might not be applicable.




FIG. 5.4. The adaptive PML method: Quasi-optimality of $\|\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h^N\|_{H(\text{curl},\Omega)}$ (left), and the a posteriori error estimates (right) for Example 1.




FIG. 5.5. A top view of the grating along with the unit cell (left), and the computational domain (right) for Example 2.




FIG. 5.6. The mesh plot and the surface plot of the amplitude of the field \mathbf{E}_h after 11 adaptive iterations for Example 2.




FIG. 5.7. Quasi-optimality of the a posteriori error estimates for Example 2. Left: The adaptive DtN method, right: The adaptive PML method.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. BABUŠKA AND A. AZIZ, *Survey Lectures on Mathematical Foundations of the Finite Element Method*, in *The Mathematical Foundations of the Finite Element Method with Application to the Partial Differential Equations*, ed. by A. Aziz, Academic Press, New York, 1973, pp. 5–359.
- [2] A. BAYLISS AND E. TURKEL, *Radiation boundary conditions for numerical simulation of waves*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 33 (1980), pp. 707–725.
- [3] G. BAO, *Finite element approximation of time harmonic waves in periodic structures*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 32 (1995), pp. 1155–1169.
- [4] G. BAO, *Variational approximation of Maxwell’s equations in bi-periodic structures*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 57 (1997), pp. 364–381.
- [5] G. BAO, Z. CHEN, AND H. WU, *Adaptive finite element method for diffraction gratings*, *J. Opt. Soc. Amer. A*, 22 (2005), pp. 1106–1114.
- [6] G. BAO, L. COWSAR, AND W. MASTERS, *Mathematical Modeling in Optical Sciences*, *Frontiers Appl. Math.*, vol. 22, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2001.
- [7] G. BAO, T. CUI, AND P. LI, *Inverse diffraction grating of Maxwell’s equations in bi-periodic structures*, *Opt. Express*, 22 (2014), pp. 4799–4816.
- [8] G. BAO, D. C. DOBSON, AND J. A. COX, *Mathematical studies in rigorous grating theory*, *J. Opt. Soc. Amer. A*, 12 (1995), pp. 1029–1042.
- [9] G. BAO, P. LI, AND H. WU, *An adaptive edge element method with perfectly matched absorbing layers for wave scattering by periodic structures*, *Math. Comp.*, 79 (2010), pp. 1–34.
- [10] G. BAO AND H. WU, *Convergence analysis of the perfectly matched layer problems for time-harmonic Maxwell’s equations*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 43 (2005), pp. 2121–2143.
- [11] J.-P. BERENGER, *A perfectly matched layer for the absorption of electromagnetic waves*, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 114 (1994), pp. 185–200.
- [12] J. BRAMBLE AND J. PASCIAK, *Analysis of a finite element PML approximation for the three dimensional time-harmonic Maxwell problem*, *Math. Comp.*, 77 (2008), pp. 1–10.
- [13] O. BRUNO AND F. REITICH, *Numerical solution of diffraction problems: a method of variation of boundaries*, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, 10 (1993), pp. 1168–1175.
- [14] O. BRUNO AND F. REITICH, *Numerical solution of diffraction problems: a method of variation of boundaries. III. Doubly periodic gratings*, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, 10 (1993), pp. 2551–2562.
- [15] Z. CHEN AND J. CHEN, *An adaptive perfectly matched layer technique for 3-D time-harmonic electromagnetic scattering problems*, *Math. Comp.*, 77 (2008), pp. 673–698.
- [16] Z. CHEN AND X. LIU, *An adaptive perfectly matched layer technique for time-harmonic scattering problems*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 43 (2005), pp. 645–671.
- [17] Z. CHEN AND H. WU, *An adaptive finite element method with perfectly matched absorbing layers for the wave scattering by periodic structures*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 41 (2003), pp. 799–826.
- [18] F. COLLINO AND P. MONK, *The perfectly matched layer in curvilinear coordinates*, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 19 (1998), pp. 2061–2090.
- [19] D. COLTON AND R. KRESS, *Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1983.

- [20] D. COLTON AND R. KRESS, *Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory*, Second Edition, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1998.
- [21] D. C. DOBSON AND A. FRIEDMAN, *The time-harmonic Maxwell equations in a doubly periodic structure*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 166 (1992), 507–528.
- [22] B. ENGQUIST AND A. MAJDA, *Absorbing boundary conditions for the numerical simulation of waves*, Math. Comp., 31 (1977), pp. 629–651.
- [23] M. GROTE AND J. KELLER, *On nonreflecting boundary conditions*, J. Comput. Phys., 122 (1995), pp. 231–243.
- [24] M. GROTE AND C. KIRSCH, *Dirichlet-to-Neumann boundary conditions for multiple scattering problems*, J. Comput. Phys., 201 (2004), pp. 630–650.
- [25] T. HAGSTROM, *Radiation boundary conditions for the numerical simulation of waves*, Acta Numerica (1999), pp. 47–106.
- [26] Y. HE, D. P. NICHOLLS, AND J. SHEN, *An efficient and stable spectral method for electromagnetic scattering from a layered periodic structure*, J. Comput. Phys., 231 (2012), pp. 3007–3022.
- [27] G. C. HSIAO, N. NIGAM, J. E. PASCIAK, L. XU, *Error analysis of the DtN-FEM for the scattering problem in acoustics via Fourier analysis*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 235 (2011), pp. 4949–4965.
- [28] X. JIANG, AND P. LI, *Inverse electromagnetic diffraction by bi-periodic dielectric gratings*, Inverse Probl., 33 (2017), pp. 085004.
- [29] X. JIANG, P. LI, J. LV, AND W. ZHENG, *An adaptive finite element method for the wave scattering with transparent boundary condition*, J. Sci. Comput., 72 (2017), pp. 936–956.
- [30] X. JIANG, P. LI, AND W. ZHENG, *Numerical solution of acoustic scattering by an adaptive DtN finite element method*, Commun. Comput. Phys., 13 (2013), pp. 1227–1244.
- [31] J. JIN, *The Finite Element Method in Electromagnetics*, New York: Wiley, 1993.
- [32] L. LI, *New formulation of the Fourier modal method for crossed surface-relief gratings*, J. Opt. Soc. Amer. A 14 (1997), 2758–2767.
- [33] P. LI, H. WU, AND W. ZHENG, *Electromagnetic scattering by unbounded rough surfaces*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 43 (2011), 1205–1231.
- [34] P. MONK, *Finite Element Methods for Maxwell's Equations*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2003.
- [35] J.-C. NÉDÉLEC, *Acoustic and Electromagnetic Equations Integral Representations for Harmonic Problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [36] J.-C. NÉDÉLEC AND F. STARLING, *Integral equation methods in a quasi-periodic diffraction problem for the time-harmonic Maxwell's equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 22 (1991), pp. 1679–1701.
- [37] R. PETIT, *Electromagnetic Theory of Gratings*, Topics in Current Physics, vol. 22, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1980.
- [38] PHG (Parallel Hierarchical Grid), <http://lsec.cc.ac.cn/phg/>.
- [39] P. RAYLEIGH, *On the dynamical theory of gratings*, R. Soc. London Ser. A, 79 (1907), pp. 399–416.
- [40] F. L. TEIXEIRA AND W. C. CHEW, *Advances in the theory of perfectly matched layers*, in Fast and Efficient Algorithms in Computational Electromagnetics, W. C. Chew et al., eds., Artech House, Boston, 2001, pp. 283–346.
- [41] E. TURKEL AND A. YEFET, *Absorbing PML boundary layers for wave-like equations*, Appl. Numer. Math., 27 (1998), pp. 533–557.
- [42] Z. WANG, G. BAO, J. LI, P. LI, AND H. WU, *An adaptive finite element method for the diffraction grating problem with transparent boundary condition*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 53 (2015), pp. 1585–1607.
- [43] Y. WU AND Y. Y. LU, *Analyzing diffraction gratings by a boundary integral equation Neumann-to-Dirichlet map method*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 26 (2009), pp. 2444–2451.