

ON ESTIMATES FOR FULLY NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH NEUMANN BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

BO GUAN AND NI XIANG

ABSTRACT. We derive gradient and second order *a priori* estimates for solutions of the Neumann problem for a general class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds with boundary. These estimates yield regularity and existence results.

Mathematical Subject Classification (2010): 35B45, 35J15, 35J25, 35J66, 58J05.

Keywords: Fully nonlinear elliptic equations, Riemannian manifolds, Neumann problem, admissible solutions, *a priori* estimates.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (\bar{M}^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with smooth boundary ∂M , and let M, ∇ denote the interior of \bar{M} and the Levi-Civita connection of g , respectively. For a function $u \in C^2(\bar{M})$ and a 2-tensor A on M , let $\nabla^2 u$ denote the Hessian of u and $\lambda[A] = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ the eigenvalues of A with respect to the metric g . In this paper we are concerned with fully nonlinear elliptic equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(\lambda[\nabla^2 u + \chi]) = \psi \quad \text{in } \bar{M}$$

with Neumann boundary data

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla_\nu u = \varphi(x, u) \quad \text{on } \partial M,$$

where ν denotes the interior unit normal to ∂M , and f is a smooth symmetric function of n variables defined in a symmetric open and convex cone Γ in \mathbb{R}^n containing Γ_n with vertex at the origin, where

$$(1.3) \quad \Gamma_n \equiv \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{all } \lambda_i > 0\}.$$

Research supported in part by NSF grants (Guan), CSC 201708420065 and Hubei Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics in Hubei University (Xiang).

Following [2] we assume f to satisfy the fundamental structure conditions

$$(1.4) \quad f_i \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_i} > 0 \text{ in } \Gamma, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$(1.5) \quad f \text{ is a concave function in } \Gamma,$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{\partial\Gamma} f < \inf_M \psi$$

where

$$\sup_{\partial\Gamma} f \equiv \sup_{\lambda_0 \in \partial\Gamma} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} f(\lambda).$$

A function $u \in C^2(M)$ is called *admissible* if $\lambda[\nabla^2 u + \chi] \in \Gamma$. Condition (1.4) ensures that (1.1) is elliptic for admissible solution $u \in C^2(M)$, while (1.5) implies the function F defined by $F(A) = f(\lambda[A])$ is concave for $A \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$ with $\lambda[A] \in \Gamma$, where $\mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$ denotes the set of 2-tensors on M . Condition (1.6) prevents equation (1.1) from being degenerate; see [2].

The most typical examples of form (1.1) are given by $f = \sigma_k^{1/k}$ and $f = (\sigma_k/\sigma_l)^{1/(k-l)}$, $1 \leq l < k \leq n$, defined on the cone

$$(1.7) \quad \Gamma_k = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_j(\lambda) > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k\},$$

where σ_k is the k -th elementary symmetric function

$$(1.8) \quad \sigma_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}.$$

There are other interesting functions satisfying (1.4) and (1.5) which naturally arise from important geometric problems.

The Neumann problem in uniformly convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n was treated by Lions-Trudinger-Urbas [17] for Monge-Ampère equation and recently by Ma-Qiu [18] for Hessian equations corresponding to $f = \sigma_k^{1/k}$. Urbas [23, 24] studied the oblique boundary value problems for Hessian and curvature equations in two dimensions. Meanwhile, the Dirichlet problem has received much more attention and extensive study since work of Ivochkina [11] and Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [2]; see e.g. [4, 9, 10, 20, 28], etc.

Our primary goal in this paper is to establish *a priori* estimates for admissible solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.2). For this we recall some notions and results from [9].

For $\sigma > \sup_{\partial\Gamma} f$, set $\Gamma^\sigma = \{\lambda \in \Gamma : f(\lambda) > \sigma\}$. By conditions (1.4) and (1.5), the boundary of Γ^σ

$$\partial\Gamma^\sigma = \{\lambda \in \Gamma : f(\lambda) = \sigma\},$$

which is a level hypersurface of f , is smooth and convex. Define for $\mu \in \Gamma$

$$S_\mu^\sigma = \{\lambda \in \partial\Gamma^\sigma : \nu_\lambda \cdot (\mu - \nu) \leq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma^+ = \{\mu \in \Gamma : S_\mu^\sigma \text{ is compact}\}.$$

It was shown in [9] that \mathcal{C}_σ^+ is open. We call $\partial\mathcal{C}_\sigma^+$ *the tangent cone at infinity* of Γ^σ . The following assumption plays key roles in our results: there exist an admissible function $v \in C^2(\bar{M})$ satisfying

$$(1.9) \quad \lambda[\nabla^2 v + \chi] \in \mathcal{C}_\psi^+.$$

Throughout of this paper ψ and φ are assumed to be smooth functions, and let $u \in C^4(M) \cap C^3(\bar{M})$ be an admissible solution of the Neumann problem (1.1)-(1.2). Our first main result concerns the global second derivative estimates and may be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Under conditions (1.4)-(1.6) and (1.9), there exists a constant C depending $|u|_{C^1(\bar{M})}$ and other known data such that*

$$(1.10) \quad \max_M |\nabla^2 u| \leq C \left(1 + \max_{\partial M} |\nabla^2 u| \right).$$

If moreover,

$$\varphi = a(x)u + b(x),$$

where $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are smooth functions, then

$$(1.11) \quad \max_M |\nabla^2 u| \leq C_1 \left(1 + \max_M |\nabla u|^2 + \max_{\partial M} |\nabla^2 u| \right),$$

where C_1 is independent of $|\nabla u|_{C^0(\bar{M})}$.

Our next result concerns the gradient estimates.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume in addition to (1.4)-(1.6) and (1.9) that*

$$(1.12) \quad \sum f_i(\lambda) \lambda_i \geq -\omega_f(|\lambda^-|) \sum f_i \text{ in } \Gamma(\psi)$$

when $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large, where $\lambda^- = (\lambda_1^-, \dots, \lambda_n^-)$,

$$\Gamma(\psi) := \Gamma \cap \left\{ \inf_M \psi \leq f \leq \sup_M \psi \right\},$$

and $\omega_f \geq 0$ is a nondecreasing function satisfying the sublinear growth condition

$$(1.13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\omega_f(t)}{t} = 0.$$

Then

$$(1.14) \quad \max_{\bar{M}} |\nabla u| \leq C.$$

for some constant C depending on $|u|_{C^0(\bar{M})}$ and other known data.

Remark 1.3. It is not clear to the authors if (1.12) in fact always holds for some ω_f satisfying (1.13); obviously it does for $\omega_f(t) = t$.

Turning to the boundary estimates for second order derivatives, we need to strengthen our assumptions and impose restrictions to the underlying manifold and its boundary.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that (M^n, g) is locally conformally flat near boundary and ∂M is umbilic. Assume in addition to (1.4)-(1.6) and (1.9) that*

$$(1.15) \quad \sum f_i \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ in } \Gamma(\psi)$$

and that the function v satisfies

$$(1.16) \quad \nabla_\nu v \geq \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \varphi(x, t) + \epsilon_0 \text{ on } \partial M$$

for some constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ where $a = \inf_M u$, $b = \sup_M u$. Suppose furthermore that there exists a function $\underline{u} \in C^2(\bar{M})$ satisfying

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda[\nabla^2 \underline{u}] \in \mathcal{C}_\psi^+ \text{ in } M, \\ f(\lambda[\nabla^2 \underline{u}]) \geq \psi \text{ in } M, \\ \underline{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial M. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(1.18) \quad \max_{\partial M} |\nabla^2 u| \leq C$$

for some constant C depending $|u|_{C^1(\bar{M})}$ and other known data. If moreover,

$$\varphi = a(x)u + b(x)$$

then

$$(1.19) \quad \max_{\partial M} |\nabla^2 u| \leq C_1 \left(1 + \max_{\bar{M}} |\nabla u|^2 \right)$$

where C_1 is independent of $|\nabla u|_{C^0(\bar{M})}$.

More generally, we say (\bar{M}^n, g) is Γ -admissible if there exists a function $\underline{u} \in C^2(\bar{M})$ with $\lambda[\nabla^2 \underline{u}] \in \Gamma$ in \bar{M} and $\underline{u} = 0$ on ∂M . In particular, \underline{u} is subharmonic, i.e. $\Delta \underline{u} \geq 0$ and therefore $\underline{u} \leq 0$ in \bar{M} .

Remark 1.5. If the function φ in the Neumann condition (1.2) is independent of u , in place of $|u|_{C^0(\bar{M})}$ the constants C and C_1 in the above estimates will only depend on

$$\omega(u) := \sup_M u - \inf_M u.$$

Remark 1.6. For $f = \sigma_k^{1/k}$, $k \geq 2$, $\partial \Gamma^\sigma$ is strictly convex and $\mathcal{C}_\sigma^+ = \Gamma_k$ for all $\sigma > 0$. This follows from the property

$$(1.20) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n + R) = +\infty.$$

Based on the estimates in Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.4 and the Evans-Krylov theorem due to Lieberman and Trudinger [16] we obtain the following existence result on the Neumann problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Theorem 1.7. *Let (M^n, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold with smooth umbilic boundary ∂M . Suppose that M is locally conformally flat near boundary and that for some constant $\gamma_0 > 0$,*

$$(1.21) \quad \nabla_\nu u \geq \gamma_0.$$

Assume that conditions (1.4)-(1.6), (1.9) and (1.15)-(1.17) hold with \underline{u} furthermore satisfying

$$(1.22) \quad f(\lambda[\nabla^2 \underline{u}]) \geq \psi \text{ in } \bar{M}$$

and a, b in (1.16) given by

$$(1.23) \quad a = \inf_M \underline{u} + \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \inf_{x \in M} \varphi(x, 0), \quad b = \sup_M h + \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \sup_{x \in M} \varphi(x, 0),$$

respectively, where $h \in C^\infty(\bar{M})$ satisfies $\Delta h + \text{tr} \chi = 0$ in \bar{M} and $h = 0$ on ∂M . Then the Neumann problem (1.1)-(1.2) admits a unique admissible solution $u \in C^\infty(\bar{M})$.

Indeed, by (1.2) and (1.21) one immediately derives the lower and upper bounds by the maximum principle,

$$(1.24) \quad \inf_M \underline{u} + \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \inf_{x \in M} \varphi(x, 0) \leq u \leq \sup_M h + \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \sup_{x \in M} \varphi(x, 0).$$

Consequently, one can apply the continuity method and the classical Schauder theory to prove the existence of a smooth admissible solution.

Equations of form (1.1), especially when χ and ψ are allowed to depend on u and ∇u , naturally appear in interesting geometric problems such as Minkowski problem and the Christoffel-Minkowski problem. The Neumann problem for fully nonlinear equations on manifolds arises in the study of fully nonlinear versions of Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary; we refer the reader to papers [26], [13] [12] [3] and references therein.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall prove gradient bounds for the admissible solutions. In Section 3 and Section 4, we shall derive the global and boundary estimates for second order derivative respectively.

2. GRADIENT ESTIMATES

In this section we derive the gradient estimates. In order to construct test functions, we shall first extend data on boundary to the whole manifold, which will also be used in later sections.

Let d be the distance function to ∂M . Since ∂M is smooth, d is smooth in a neighborhood $M_{\delta_0} := \{x \in M : d(x) < \delta_0\}$ of ∂M for $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small. We modify d outside $M_{\delta_0/2}$ into a smooth function such that

$$(\varphi_u + |\varphi_{uu}| + |\varphi_{uuu}|)d \leq \epsilon_0$$

for some sufficiently small constant $\epsilon \in (0, \delta_0/2]$ so in particular $2\varphi_u d \leq 1$; this will be used in the gradient estimates in this section. It is possible to do so by making δ_0 smaller if necessary. So δ_0 may depend on upper bounds of $\varphi_u + |\varphi_{uu}| + |\varphi_{uuu}|$.

Note that $\nu = \nabla d$ on $\partial\Omega$ ∇d denote the gradient of d . We may assume that ν has been extended to \bar{M} by $\nu = \nabla d$. We shall also assume the function φ has been smoothly extended to $\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}$, and write $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x, u(x))$.

Let $\tilde{u} = u - \tilde{\varphi}d$, $w = 1 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2$ and $\phi = A - \tilde{u} - d$ where A is a constant chosen sufficiently large so that $1 \leq \phi \leq 2A$ in \bar{M} . We assume the function $w\phi^{-1}$ attains a maximum at a point $x_0 \in \bar{M}$.

We first consider the case $x_0 \in \partial M$. Note that $\nabla_\nu \tilde{u} = 0$ on ∂M by the boundary condition (1.2). We may choose orthonormal local frames e_1, \dots, e_n about x_0 so that $e_n = \nu$ and $\nabla_1 \tilde{u}(x_0) = |\nabla \tilde{u}(x_0)|$. Note that $d = 0$, $\nabla_1 d = 0$, $g(\nabla_n e_1, e_1) = 0$, and $\nabla_k \tilde{u} = 0$ for $k > 1$ at x_0 . We have $\nabla_1 \tilde{u} = \nabla_1 u$,

$$\nabla_n(\nabla_1 \tilde{u}) = \nabla_{n1} \tilde{u} = \nabla_{1n} \tilde{u} = \nabla_1(\nabla_n \tilde{u}) = -\tilde{\varphi} \nabla_{1n} d$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad 0 &\geq \phi \nabla_n w + w \nabla_n (\tilde{u} + d) \\
&= \phi \nabla_1 \tilde{u} \nabla_n (\nabla_1 \tilde{u}) + w \\
&= -\phi \tilde{\varphi} \nabla_1 u \nabla_{1n} d + w.
\end{aligned}$$

We derive a bound $w(x_0) \leq C$.

Suppose now that $x_0 \in M$. We choose orthonormal local frames about x_0 such that $\nabla_j e_j = 0$, and $U_{ij} := \nabla_{ij} u + \chi_{ij}$ is diagonal at x_0 .

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\nabla_j w}{w} + \frac{\nabla_j \tilde{u} + \nabla_j d}{\phi} = 0$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \phi F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} w + w F^{ii} (\nabla_{ii} \tilde{u} + \nabla_{ii} d) \leq 0.$$

We calculate $\nabla_j w = 2\nabla_k \tilde{u} \nabla_{jk} \tilde{u}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad \nabla_{ij} w &= 2\nabla_{ik} \tilde{u} \nabla_{jk} \tilde{u} + 2\nabla_k \tilde{u} \nabla_{ijk} \tilde{u}, \\
\nabla_k \tilde{u} &= (1 - \varphi_u d) \nabla_k u - d \nabla_k \varphi - \tilde{\varphi} \nabla_k d, \\
\nabla_{jk} \tilde{u} &= (1 - \varphi_u d) \nabla_{jk} u - (\nabla_j u \nabla_k d + \nabla_k u \nabla_j d) \varphi_u - d \varphi_{uu} \nabla_j u \nabla_k u \\
&\quad - (\nabla_k \varphi_u \nabla_j u + \nabla_j \varphi_u \nabla_k u) d - (\nabla_j d \nabla_k \varphi + \nabla_j \varphi \nabla_k d) \\
&\quad - (d \nabla_{jk} \varphi + \tilde{\varphi} \nabla_{jk} d) - (1 - \varphi_u d) \chi_{jk}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad \nabla_{ijk} \tilde{u} &= (1 - \varphi_u d) \nabla_{ijk} u - (\nabla_{ij} u \nabla_k d + \nabla_{ik} u \nabla_j d) \varphi_u - d \varphi_{uuu} \nabla_i u \nabla_j u \nabla_k u \\
&\quad - d \varphi_{uu} (\nabla_i u \nabla_{jk} u + \nabla_k u \nabla_{ij} u + \nabla_j u \nabla_{ik} u) \\
&\quad - (d \nabla_i \varphi_u + \varphi_u \nabla_i d) \nabla_{jk} u - \varphi_u (\nabla_j d \nabla_{ik} u + \nabla_k d \nabla_{ij} u) \\
&\quad - d (\nabla_k \varphi_u \nabla_{ij} u + \nabla_j \varphi_u \nabla_{ik} u) + O(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Write equation (1.1) in the form

$$(2.6) \quad F(U) := f(\lambda[U]) = \psi$$

for $U = \nabla^2 u + \chi$, and denote

$$(2.7) \quad F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial U_{ij}}(U), \quad F^{ij,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial U_{ij} \partial U_{kl}}(U).$$

The matrix $\{F^{ij}\}$ is positive definite by assumption (1.4) with eigenvalues f_1, \dots, f_n , and F is a concave function by (1.5); see [2]. Moreover, the following identities hold for $\lambda[U] = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$,

$$(2.8) \quad F^{ij}U_{ij} = \sum f_i \lambda_i,$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad F^{ij}U_{ik}U_{kj} = \sum f_i \lambda_i^2.$$

Differentiate equation (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad F^{ij}\nabla_k U_{ij} = \nabla_k \psi, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Note that $\{F^{ij}\}$ is diagonal at x_0 since so is U_{ij} . It follows that

$$(2.11) \quad F^{ii}\nabla_{ii}\tilde{u} \geq (1 - \varphi_u d)F^{ii}U_{ii} - Cdw \sum F^{ii}$$

and, by Schwarz inequality,

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ii}\nabla_{ii}w &\geq 2(1 - \varphi_u d)^2 F^{ii}U_{ii}^2 - C|\varphi_{uuu}|dw^2 \sum F^{ii} - CdwF^{ii}|U_{ii}| \\ &\quad - C\varphi_{uu}^2 d^2 w^2 \sum F^{ii} - Cw^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum F^{ii} - C\sqrt{w}F^{ii}|U_{ii}| \\ &\quad - Cw \sum F^{ii} - 2(1 - \varphi_u d)^2 |\nabla\psi|\sqrt{w}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $|\nabla\tilde{u}| \geq |\nabla u|/4$ and let $I = \{i : n|\nabla_i\tilde{u}| \geq |\nabla\tilde{u}|\}$. We see that $I \neq \emptyset$ and by (2.2) for $i \in I$,

$$(1 - \varphi_u d)U_{ii} \leq -\frac{w}{2\phi} + \frac{w}{|\nabla_i\tilde{u}|} + C \leq -\frac{w}{8A} + C \equiv -K.$$

We shall assume w to be sufficiently large, and in particular $K \geq \frac{w}{16A}$ (otherwise we are done) so that we may apply Theorem 2.17 (or Theorem 2.18 more directly) in [9] to $\mu = \lambda(\nabla^2 v(x_0) + \chi(x_0))$ and $\lambda = \lambda(\nabla^2 u(x_0) + \chi(x_0))$ to derive

$$-\sum_{U_{ii}<0} F^{ii}U_{ii} \geq F^{ii}(\nabla_{ii}v - \nabla_{ii}u) - F^{ii}(\nabla_{ii}v + \chi_{ii}) \geq \varepsilon - C \sum F^{ii}.$$

Let $J = \{i : U_{ii} \leq -K\}$ so $I \subseteq J$. Clearly,

$$(2.13) \quad F^{ii}U_{ii}^2 \geq K^2 \sum_J F^{ii} \geq \frac{K^2}{n} \sum F^{ii}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.14) \quad F^{ii}U_{ii}^2 \geq -K \sum_J F^{ii}U_{ii} \geq -\frac{K}{n} \sum_{U_{ii}<0} F^{ii}U_{ii} \geq \frac{\varepsilon K}{n} - CK \sum F^{ii}.$$

Finally, note that $1 - \varphi_u d \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and d small enough. By (2.11)-(2.14) and (2.3) we derive

$$(2.15) \quad (c_0 K^2 - CK^{\frac{3}{2}} - CK - C) \sum F^{ii} + c_0 \epsilon K - CK^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq w(\varphi_u d - 1) F^{ii} U_{ii}$$

for some $c_0 > 0$. This gives a bound $K \leq C$, completing our proof of the gradient estimates, provided that f satisfies (1.12).

3. GLOBAL ESTIMATES FOR SECOND ORDER DERIVATIVE

In this we shall derive the second order derivative estimate (1.10) in Theorem 1.1. Motivated by [17] and [18] we consider the following quantity. Let

$$(3.1) \quad W(x, \xi) = \nabla_{\xi\xi} u + \chi_{\xi\xi} - 2g(\xi, \nu)(\nabla_{\xi'} \tilde{\varphi} - \nabla_{\nu_{\xi'}} u + \chi_{\xi'\nu})$$

for $x \in \bar{M}$ and $\xi \in T_x M$, where $\xi' = \xi - g(\xi, \nu)\nu$, $\nu_{\xi'} = \nabla_{\xi'} \nu$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x, u)$; for convenience we shall write

$$W'(x, \xi) = 2g(\xi, \nu)(\nabla_{\xi'} \tilde{\varphi} - \nabla_{\nu_{\xi'}} u).$$

Let $\eta = \eta(u, |\nabla u|)$ be a function to be determined. We consider

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{W} = \max_{x \in \bar{M}} \max_{\xi \in T_x M, |\xi|=1} W e^\eta.$$

Our goal is to derive a bound for \tilde{W} which we shall assume to be positive. Suppose that \tilde{W} is achieved at a point $x_0 \in \bar{M}$ for some unit vector $\xi \in T_{x_0} M$. We shall consider separately two different cases: **(a)** $x_0 \in M$ and **(b)** $x_0 \in \partial M$. In this section we consider case **(a)** while case **(b)** will be treated in Section 4.

Assume now that x_0 is an interior point. We choose smooth orthonormal local frames e_1, \dots, e_n about $x_0 \in M$ such that $e_1 = \xi$, $\nabla_i e_j = 0$ so $\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, and $U_{ij} = \nabla_{ij} u + \chi_{ij}$ is diagonal at x_0 . Denote $W = W(x, e_1)$ and

$$W' = W'(x, e_1) = 2g(e_1, \nu)(\nabla_{e_1'} \varphi + \varphi_u \nabla_{e_1'} u - \nabla_{e_1' k} d \nabla_k u).$$

which are locally defined near x_0 . In what follows we modify the argument in [9] to derive a bound for W at x_0 ; note that we can not use directly the result there though.

The function $\log W + \eta$ attains its maximum at x_0 and therefore for $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\nabla_i W}{W} + \nabla_i \eta = 0,$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\nabla_{ii} W}{W} - \left(\frac{\nabla_i W}{W} \right)^2 + \nabla_{ii} \eta \leq 0.$$

Differentiating equation (2.6) twice we obtain at x_0 ,

$$(3.5) \quad F^{ii} \nabla_{11} U_{ii} + \sum F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 U_{ij} \nabla_1 U_{kl} = \nabla_{11} \psi.$$

It follows that

$$(3.6) \quad F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} U_{11} \geq -F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 U_{ij} \nabla_1 U_{kl} + \nabla_{11} \psi - C(1 + |\nabla u| + W) \sum F^{ii}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} W' &\leq 2g(e_1, \nu) F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} (\varphi_u \nabla_{e'_1} u - \nabla_{e'_1 k} d \nabla_k u) \\ &\quad + C(1 + |\nabla u|^2 + W) \sum F^{ii} \\ &\leq C(1 + |\nabla u|^2 + W + Z) \sum F^{ii} + C, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z = |\varphi_{uuu}| |\nabla u|^3 + |\varphi_{uu}| |\nabla u| W.$$

We now plug (3.6) and (3.7) in (3.4) to derive

$$(3.8) \quad W F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} \eta \leq E + C(1 + |\nabla u|) + C_2 \sum F^{ii},$$

where $C_2 = C(1 + |\nabla u|^2 + W + Z)$ and

$$E = F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 U_{ij} \nabla_1 U_{kl} + \frac{1}{W} \sum F^{ii} (\nabla_i W)^2.$$

As in [9, 10] to estimate E we follow an idea of Urbas [25]. Let $0 < s < 1$ to be chosen and

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \{i : U_{ii} \leq -sU_{11}\}, \\ K &= \{i : U_{ii} > -sU_{11}, sF^{ii} \geq F^{11}\}, \\ L &= \{i : U_{ii} > -sU_{11}, sF^{ii} < F^{11}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It was shown by Andrews [1] and Gerhardt [6], also earlier by Caffarelli, Nirenberg and Spruck, that

$$-F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 U_{ij} \nabla_1 U_{kl} \geq \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{F^{ii} - F^{jj}}{U_{jj} - U_{ii}} (\nabla_1 U_{ij})^2.$$

By (3.3) and Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad -F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 U_{ij}\nabla_1 U_{kl} &\geq 2 \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{F^{ii} - F^{11}}{U_{11} - U_{ii}} (\nabla_1 U_{i1})^2 \\
&\geq \frac{2(1-s)}{(1+s)U_{11}} \sum_{i \in K} F^{ii} (\nabla_1 U_{i1})^2 \\
&\geq \frac{2(1-s)^2}{(1+s)U_{11}} \sum_{i \in K} F^{ii} ((\nabla_i U_{11})^2 - C|\nabla u|^2/s) \\
&\geq \frac{2(1-s)^3}{(1+s)U_{11}} \sum_{i \in K} F^{ii} (\nabla_i W)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{C}{sU_{11}} \sum_{i \in K} F^{ii} (|\nabla u|^2 + (\nabla_i W')^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By straightforward calculations,

$$(3.10) \quad |\nabla W'|^2 \leq C(W^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + \varphi_{uu}|\nabla u|^4).$$

Next, we may assume $|W'| \leq sU_{11}$ at x_0 and fix $s = 1/9$ so that

$$(3.11) \quad (1-s)U_{11} \leq W \leq (1+s)U_{11}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{2(1-s)^3 W}{(1+s)U_{11}} \geq \frac{2(1-s)^4}{(1+s)} \geq 1.$$

By (3.9)-(3.12) we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad E \leq \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i \in J \cup L} F^{ii} (\nabla_i W)^2 + C_3 \sum_{i \in K} F^{ii},$$

where

$$C_3 = CW + \frac{|\nabla u|^2(1 + |\varphi_{uu}||\nabla u|^2)}{W}.$$

Following [10] we take $\phi(t) = -\log(1 - \gamma bt)$ and

$$\eta = \phi(|\nabla u|^2) + a(v - u),$$

where $a > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1/2]$ are constant to be determined, $b = 1/2b_1$ and

$$b_1 = \max_M (1 + |\nabla u|^2).$$

So

$$\frac{\gamma b}{2} \leq \phi'(|\nabla u|^2) = \sqrt{\phi''(|\nabla u|^2)} = \frac{\gamma b}{1 - bt} \leq 2\gamma b.$$

We calculate

$$(3.14) \quad \nabla_i \eta = 2\phi' \nabla_k u \nabla_{ik} u + a \nabla_i (v - u) = 2\phi' (U_{ii} \nabla_i u) + a \nabla_i (v - u),$$

$$(3.15) \quad \nabla_{ii} \eta = 2\phi' (\nabla_{ik} u \nabla_{ik} u + \nabla_k u \nabla_{iik} u) + 4\phi'' (\nabla_k u \nabla_{ik} u)^2 + a \nabla_{ii} (v - u).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{i \in JUL} F^{ii} (\nabla_i \eta)^2 \leq C a^2 |\nabla u|^2 \sum_{i \in JUL} F^{ii} + C (\phi')^2 |\nabla u|^2 F^{ii} U_{ii}^2$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} \eta &\geq \phi' F^{ii} U_{ii}^2 + 4\phi'' F^{ii} (\nabla_k u \nabla_{ik} u)^2 + a F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} (v - u) \\ &\quad - C \phi' |\nabla u|^2 \sum F^{ii} - C \phi' |\nabla u|. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3), (3.8), (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17), we derive

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi' F^{ii} U_{ii}^2 + a F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} (v - u) &\leq C a^2 |\nabla u|^2 \sum_{i \in JUL} F^{ii} + C (\phi')^2 |\nabla u|^2 F^{ii} U_{ii}^2 \\ &\quad + C (1 + |\varphi_{uu}| |\nabla u| + |\varphi_{uuu}| |\nabla u|^3 W^{-1}) \sum F^{ii} \\ &\quad + \frac{C |\nabla u|^2 (1 + |\varphi_{uu}| |\nabla u|^2)}{W^2} \sum F^{ii} - \frac{\nabla_{11} \psi}{W} + C. \end{aligned}$$

Next, note that

$$(3.19) \quad F^{ii} U_{ii}^2 \geq F^{11} U_{11}^2 + \sum_{i \in J} F^{ii} U_{ii}^2 \geq F^{11} U_{11}^2 + s^2 U_{11}^2 \sum_{i \in J} F^{ii}.$$

We now fix $\gamma \leq 1/16C$ so that

$$\phi' - C (\phi')^2 |\nabla u|^2 \geq \frac{\gamma b}{2} - 4C \gamma^2 b = \frac{\gamma b (1 - 8C \gamma)}{2} \geq \frac{\gamma b}{4}.$$

By Theorem 2.17 in [9] we may fix $a \geq A(1 + |\varphi_{uu}| |\nabla u|)$ for A sufficiently large to obtain

$$aW \leq C (|\varphi_{uuu}| |\nabla u|^3 + |\nabla^2 \psi|)$$

or

$$U_{11}(x_0) \leq Ca(1 + |\nabla u|^2) \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\varphi_{uu}| |\nabla u|^3).$$

Consequently,

$$W \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|^2) + C(|\varphi_{uu}| + |\varphi_{uuu}|) |\nabla u|^3.$$

where C is independent of $|\nabla u|_{C^0(\bar{M})}$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

4. SECOND DERIVATIVE ESTIMATES ON BOUNDARY

In this section we consider case **(b)** $x_0 \in \partial M$. First assume that $0 < |\xi'| < 1$ and let $\tau = |\xi'|^{-1}\xi'$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\xi\xi}u &= \nabla_{\xi'\xi'}u + 2g(\xi, \nu)\nabla_{\xi'\nu}u + g(\xi, \nu)^2\nabla_{\nu\nu}u \\ &= |\xi'|^2\nabla_{\tau\tau}u + 2g(\xi, \nu)(\nabla_{\xi'}(\nabla_{\nu}u) - \nabla_{\nu\xi'}u) + (1 - |\xi'|^2)\nabla_{\nu\nu}u \\ &= |\xi'|^2\nabla_{\tau\tau}u + 2g(\xi, \nu)(\nabla_{\xi'}\tilde{\varphi} - \nabla_{\nu\xi'}u) + (1 - |\xi'|^2)\nabla_{\nu\nu}u.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}W(x_0, \xi) &= |\xi'|^2W(x_0, \tau) + (1 - |\xi'|^2)W(x_0, \nu) \\ &\leq |\xi'|^2W(x_0, \xi) + (1 - |\xi'|^2)W(x_0, \nu)\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(4.1) \quad W(x_0, \xi) \leq W(x_0, \nu).$$

Consequently, we only need to consider the following two cases: **(i)** $|\xi'| = 1$ and **(ii)** $|\xi'| = 0$.

Case **(i)** $|\xi'| = 1$. So ξ is tangential to ∂M at x_0 . We choose smooth orthonormal local frames e_1, \dots, e_n around x_0 such that $e_n = \nu$ along ∂M and $e_1 = \xi$ at x_0 . Write $U_{11} = \nabla_{11}u + \chi_{11}$. At x_0 we have

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \geq \nabla_n W + W\nabla_n \eta \geq \nabla_n U_{11} - \nabla_n W' + W\nabla_n \eta.$$

Since $g(\xi, \nu) = 0$, we have $W' = 0$ and

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla_n W' \geq -C(1 + |\nabla u|).$$

By the boundary condition (1.2) we have for $k < n$,

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla_{kn}u = \nabla_k(\nabla_n u) - \nabla_{\nabla_k e_n}u = \nabla_k \tilde{\varphi} + b_{kl}\nabla_l u,$$

where $\{b_{kl}\}$ denotes the second fundamental form of ∂M , and

$$\begin{aligned}(4.5) \quad \nabla_{11n}u &= \nabla_{11}(\nabla_n u) - 2g(\nabla_{e_1}e_n, e_1)\nabla_{11}u - \nabla_{\nabla_{11}e_n}u \\ &\geq \nabla_{11}\tilde{\varphi} + 2b_{11}\nabla_{11}u - C|\nabla u| \\ &\geq (\varphi_u + 2b_{11})\nabla_{11}u - C(1 + |\nabla u|^2).\end{aligned}$$

Next, note that $\nabla_{1k}u(x_0) = 0$ for all $k > 1$. Indeed, let

$$e_\theta = e_1 \cos \theta + e_k \sin \theta.$$

We have

$$\nabla_{e_\theta e_\theta}u = \nabla_{11}u \cos^2 \theta + 2\nabla_{1k}u \cos \theta \sin \theta + \nabla_{kk}u \sin^2 \theta.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \nabla_{e_\theta e_\theta} u = (\nabla_{kk} u - \nabla_{11} u) \sin 2\theta + \nabla_{1k} u \cos 2\theta.$$

and

$$2\nabla_{1k} u = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=0} \nabla_{e_\theta e_\theta} u = 0.$$

On the other hand, $g(\nabla_n e_1, e_1) = 0$ since $|e_1| = 1$. By (4.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_n U_{11} &= \nabla_n(\nabla_{11} u) + \nabla_n \chi_{11} \\ &= \nabla_{n11} u + \nabla_n \chi_{11} \\ (4.6) \quad &= \nabla_{11n} u + R_{l11n} \nabla_l u + \nabla_n \chi_{11} \\ &\geq (\varphi_u + 2b_{11}) \nabla_{11} u - C(1 + |\nabla u|^2) \\ &\geq (\varphi_u + 2b_{11}) W - C(1 + |\nabla u|^2). \end{aligned}$$

From Section 3,

$$\eta = \phi(|\nabla u|^2) + a(v - u),$$

where a is a sufficiently large positive constant, v as in (1.9), and ϕ is a nondecreasing function satisfying $t\phi'(t) \leq 1$. By (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_n \eta &= 2\phi' \nabla_k u \nabla_{nk} u + a \nabla_n(v - u) \\ (4.7) \quad &\geq \epsilon_0 a + 2\phi' \tilde{\varphi} \nabla_{nn} u - C\phi'(1 + |\nabla u|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it follows from (4.2), (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$(4.8) \quad (\epsilon_0 a + 2\phi' \tilde{\varphi} \nabla_{nn} u + \varphi_u + 2b_{11} - C\phi' |\nabla u|^2) W \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|^2).$$

By (4.10) below we derive

$$(4.9) \quad W \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|)$$

provided that a is sufficiently large, independent of $|u|_{C^1(\bar{M})}$, so that

$$\epsilon_0 a + 2\phi' \tilde{\varphi} \nabla_{nn} u + \varphi_u + 2b_{11} - C\phi' |\nabla u|^2 \geq 1.$$

In order to derive a bound for W in both cases (i) and (ii), it is therefore enough to establish the double normal derivative estimate

$$(4.10) \quad |\nabla_{\nu\nu} u| \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|) \text{ on } \partial M.$$

The rest of this section is devoted to this estimate.

Consider an arbitrary point $x_0 \in \partial M$. We choose smooth orthonormal local frames e_1, \dots, e_n around x_0 as before such that $e_n = \nu$ along ∂M . We shall use $\rho(x)$ to denote the distance function from x to x_0 ,

$$(4.11) \quad \rho(x) \equiv \text{dist}_M(x, x_0),$$

and let $M_\delta = \{x \in M : \rho(x) < \delta\}$. Note that $\nabla_{ij}\rho^2(x_0) = 2\delta_{ij}$. We may assume

$$(4.12) \quad \{\delta_{ij}\} \leq \{\nabla_{ij}\rho^2\} \leq 3\{\delta_{ij}\} \text{ in } M_\delta.$$

Differentiating equation (2.6) we obtain near x_0 ,

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}(\nabla_k u) &= F^{ij}(\nabla_{ijk}u + \Gamma_{jk}^l\nabla_{il}u + \Gamma_{ik}^l\nabla_{jl}u) \\ &= F^{ij}\nabla_{kij}u + F^{ij}R_{ijkl}\nabla_l u + 2F^{ij}\Gamma_{jk}^l\nabla_{il}u \\ &= \nabla_k\psi + F^{ij}R_{ijkl}\nabla_l u + 2F^{ij}\Gamma_{jk}^l\nabla_{il}u, \end{aligned}$$

where R_{ijkl} and Γ_{jk}^l denote the Riemannian curvature tensor and Christoffel symbols, respectively.

We now make use of the assumptions that M is locally conformally flat near ∂M and ∂M is umbilic. It follows that when δ is sufficiently small,

$$(4.14) \quad \Gamma_{jn}^l = g(e_l, \nabla_j e_n) = -\kappa_d \delta_{jl} \text{ in } M_\delta,$$

where $\kappa_d(x)$ denotes the principal curvature of the level hypersurface of d passing through $x \in M_\delta$. Therefore,

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}(\nabla_n u - \tilde{\varphi}) &= 2F^{ij}\Gamma_{jn}^l\nabla_{il}u - \varphi_u F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}u + Q \\ &= -(2\kappa_d + \varphi_u)F^{ij}U_{ij} + Q, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|Q| \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|) \sum F^{ii} + C,$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}(\nabla_n u - \tilde{\varphi})^2 &= 2(\nabla_n u - \tilde{\varphi})F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}(\nabla_n u - \tilde{\varphi}) \\ &\quad + 2F^{ij}\nabla_i(\nabla_n u - \tilde{\varphi})\nabla_j(\nabla_n u - \tilde{\varphi}) \\ &\geq F^{ij}U_{in}U_{nj} - C|F^{ij}U_{ij}| - C(1 + |\nabla u|) \sum F^{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

We now construct a barrier function as follows. Let

$$(4.17) \quad H = A_1 \underline{u} - A_2(d - Nd^2) - A_3\rho^2,$$

where A_1, A_2, A_3 and N are positive constants to be chosen later; we shall assume $2N\delta \leq 1$ by fixing δ small after N is determined. We first calculate

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}(d - Nd^2) &= (1 - 2Nd)F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}d - 2NF^{ij}\nabla_i d \nabla_j d \\ &\leq -2NF^{ij}\nabla_i d \nabla_j d + C \sum F^{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

At a fixed point $x \in \overline{M}_\delta$, let $\mu = \lambda[\nabla^2 \underline{u}]$ and $\lambda = \lambda[U]$ denote the eigenvalues of $\nabla^2 \underline{u}$ and U , respectively. By assumption (1.17) and Theorem 2.17 in [9], there are positive constants R and ε depending such that

$$(4.19) \quad \sum f_i(\lambda)(\mu_i - \lambda_i) \geq \varepsilon \left(1 + \sum f_i\right)$$

provided that $|\lambda| \geq R$.

We first assume $|\lambda| \leq R$. In this case, there are uniform bounds

$$(4.20) \quad 0 < \alpha \leq f_i(\lambda) \leq \beta,$$

with α, β depending on R . Therefore, $F^{ij}\nabla_i d \nabla_j d \geq \alpha$ since $|\nabla d| \equiv 1$. We now fix N sufficiently large and then $\delta > 0$ such that $2N\delta \leq 1$ and, by (4.18),

$$(4.21) \quad F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}(d - Nd^2) \leq -\alpha N \sum F^{ii} \leq -n\alpha^2 N.$$

It is clear that $H(0) = 0$ and

$$H \leq -A_3 \rho^2 \text{ in } M_\delta.$$

Since $\nabla_n u - \tilde{\varphi} = 0$ on ∂M , we may fix A_3 and then A_2 large such that

$$(4.22) \quad |\nabla_n u - \tilde{\varphi}| \leq A_3 \rho^2 \leq -H \text{ on } \partial M_\delta,$$

and

$$(4.23) \quad F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}(H \pm (\nabla_\nu u - \varphi)) \geq (A_2 \alpha N - 3A_3 - C - CR) \sum F^{ii} - C \geq 0.$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$(4.24) \quad F^{ij}\nabla_{ij} \underline{u} \geq F(\nabla^2 \underline{u}) - F(U) + \sum F^{ij} U_{ij} \geq 0$$

by the concavity of f and assumptions (1.15) and (1.17).

Suppose now that $|\lambda| > R$. We note that by assumption (1.15) and the concavity of f ,

$$0 \leq F^{ij} U_{ij} \leq F(U) - F(g) + \sum F^{ii} \leq \sum F^{ii} + C.$$

By (4.19) we may finally fix A_1 such that

$$(4.25) \quad F^{ij}\nabla_{ij}(H \pm (\nabla_\nu u - \varphi)) \geq A_1 F^{ij}\nabla_{ij} \underline{u} - C(A_2 + 3A_3 + 1) \sum F^{ii} - C \geq 0.$$

Consequently, by the maximum principle we obtain

$$H \pm (\nabla_\nu u - \varphi) \leq 0 \text{ in } M_\delta$$

and therefore,

$$(4.26) \quad |\nabla_{nn} u| \leq \nabla_n H + |\nabla_n \tilde{\varphi}| \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Andrews, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. PDE **2** (1994), 151–171.
- [2] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations III: Functions of eigenvalues of the Hessians*, Acta Math. **155** (1985), 261–301.
- [3] S. Chen, *Boundary value problems for some fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, Calc. Var. PDE **30** (2007), 1–15.
- [4] K.-S. Chou and X.-J. Wang, *A variational theory of the Hessian equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **54** (2001), 1029–1064.
- [5] S. Dinew and S. Kolodziej, *Liouville and Calabi-Yau type theorems for complex Hessian equations*, Amer. J. Math. **139** (2017), 403–415.
- [6] C. Gerhardt, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **43** (1996), 612–641.
- [7] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **224** Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [8] A. Gierer and H. Meinhardt, *A theory of biological pattern formation*, Kybernetik (Berlin) **12** (1972), 30–39.
- [9] B. Guan, *Second order estimates and regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), 1491–1524.
- [10] B. Guan, *The Dirichlet problem for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds*, arXiv:1403.2133v2.
- [11] N. M. Ivochkina, *The integral method of barrier functions and the Dirichlet problem for equations with operators of the Monge-Ampère type* (in Russian), Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **112** (1980), 193–206; English translation in Math. USSR-Sb. **40** (1981), 179–192.
- [12] Q.-N. Jin, A.-B. Li and Y.-Y. Li, *Estimates and existence results for a fully nonlinear Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary*, Calc. Var. PDE **28** (2007), 509–543.
- [13] A.-B. Li and Y.-Y. Li, *A fully nonlinear version of the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **2** (2006), 295–316.
- [14] Y.-Y. Li, *Some existence results of fully nonlinear elliptic equations of Monge-Ampère type*, Comm. Pure Applied Math. **43** (1990), 233–271.
- [15] Y.-Y. Li, *On a singularly perturbed equation with Neumann boundary condition*, Comm. Partial Diff. Equa. **23** (1998), 487–545.

- [16] *Nonlinear oblique boundary value problems for nonlinear elliptic equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **295** 1986, 509-546.
- [17] P. L. Lions, N. S. Trudinger and J. E. Urbas, *The Neumann problem for equations of Monge-Ampère type*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), 539–563.
- [18] X. Ma and G. Qiu, *The Neumann problem for Hessian equations*, arXiv:1508.00196v1.
- [19] G. Székelyhidi, *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations on compact hermitian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **109** (2018), 337–378.
- [20] N. S. Trudinger, *On the Dirichlet problem for Hessian equations*, Acta Math. **175** (1995), 151–164.
- [21] N. S. Trudinger, *On degenerate fully nonlinear elliptic equations in balls*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **35** (1987), 299–307.
- [22] J. E. Urbas, *An expansion of convex hypersurfaces*, J. Diff. Geom. **33** (1991), 91–125.
- [23] J. E. Urbas, *Nonlinear oblique boundary value problems for Hessian equations in two dimensions*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire **5** (1995), 507–575.
- [24] J. E. Urbas, *Nonlinear oblique boundary value problems for two dimensional curvature equations*, Adv. Diff. Equa. **1** (1996), 301–336.
- [25] J. E. Urbas, *Hessian equations on compact Riemannian manifolds*, Nonlinear Problems in Mathematical Physics and Related Topics II. 367–377, Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 2002.
- [26] J. A. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry, and the calculus of variations*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), 283–316.
- [27] G.-F. Wei and W. Wylie, *Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor*, J. Diff. Geom. **83** (2009), 377–405.
- [28] X.-J. Wang, *A class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations and related functionals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 25–54.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210
E-mail address: guan@math.osu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: nixiang@hubu.edu.cn