

# SPECTRAL STABILITY AND SEMICLASSICAL MEASURES FOR RENORMALIZED KAM SYSTEMS

VÍCTOR ARNAIZ

ABSTRACT. A semiclassical version of the classical KAM theorem about perturbations of constant vector fields on the torus is obtained. Moreover, given a small and bounded perturbation of a linear Hamiltonian on the torus with constant coefficients, the problem of finding an *integrable counterterm* that renormalizes the system making it canonically conjugate to the unperturbed one is also addressed in the semiclassical setting. These results are used to obtain a characterization of the sets of *semiclassical measures* and *quantum limits* associated to sequences of  $L^2$ -densities of eigenfunctions for the considered Schrödinger operators.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Motivation.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact and boundaryless Riemannian manifold, we consider the semiclassical Schrödinger equation

$$(1) \quad \widehat{H}_h \Psi_h = \lambda_h \Psi_h, \quad \|\Psi_h\|_{L^2(M)} = 1,$$

where  $h \in (0, 1]$  is a small parameter, associated with a selfadjoint operator  $\widehat{H}_h = \text{Op}_h(H)$  on  $L^2(M)$  given by the *semiclassical Weyl quantization* (see for instance [16], [58] among many references) of a Hamiltonian function  $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*M; \mathbb{R})$  defined on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . A fundamental example to keep in mind is the semiclassical Schrödinger operator

$$(2) \quad \widehat{H}_h = -h^2 \Delta_g + V(x),$$

where  $\Delta_g$  denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator and we assume that the potential  $V$  is smooth and real valued, and  $\min_{x \in M} V(x) = 0$ . This operator is the Weyl quantization of the classical Hamiltonian

$$(3) \quad H(x, \xi) := \|\xi\|_g^2 + V(x), \quad (x, \xi) \in T^*M,$$

obtained as the sum of the kinetic and the potential energies.

We assume that the spectrum of  $\widehat{H}_h$  is pure-point and unbounded, meaning that there exist an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(M)$  consisting of eigenfunctions for  $\widehat{H}_h$ , and a sequence of eigenvalues  $(\lambda_{h,n})$  satisfying

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{h,n} = +\infty, & \text{for all } h \in (0, 1], \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \lambda_{h,n} = 0, & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

For example, if  $\widehat{H}_h$  is given by (2) then its spectrum is indeed discret, and given by a unique sequence of eigenvalues  $(\lambda_{h,n}) \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (4).

Then, for a given sequence  $(n_h) \subset \mathbb{N}$  we can choose a decreasing-to-zero sequence of parameters  $(h) \subset (0, 1]$  so that

$$\lambda_h := \lambda_{n_h, h} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0^+.$$

---

The author has been supported by La Caixa, Severo Ochoa ICMAT, International Phd. Programme, 2014, and MTM2017-85934-C3-3-P (MINECO, Spain).

We aim at understanding the accumulation points (in the weak- $\star$  topology for Radon measures) of those sequences of densities  $|\Psi_h(x)|^2 dx$  as  $\lambda_h \rightarrow 1$ . These limits are probability measures on  $M$  and are usually referred to as *quantum limits*. We will denote by  $\mathcal{N}(\widehat{H}_h)$  the set of quantum limits of  $\widehat{H}_h$ .

The problem of characterizing the set  $\mathcal{N}(\widehat{H}_h)$  is in general widely open, but it is well known that the elements of  $\mathcal{N}(\widehat{H}_h)$  depend strongly on the classical dynamics generated by the Hamiltonian  $H$ . Recall that  $H$  generates a dynamical system on  $T^*M$  via the Hamilton equations

$$\dot{x}(t) = \partial_\xi H, \quad \dot{\xi}(t) = -\partial_x H, \quad (x(0), \xi(0)) = (x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*M.$$

We will denote by  $\phi_t^H$  the Hamiltonian flow generated by  $H$ , that is,

$$\phi_t^H(x_0, \xi_0) = (x(t), \xi(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the compactness of  $M$  ensures that  $\phi_t^H$  is complete on  $T^*M$ . Note that, for the free Schrödinger operator  $\widehat{H}_h = -\hbar^2 \Delta_g$ , the associated classical Hamiltonian flow  $\phi_t^H$  is nothing but the geodesic flow on  $T^*M$ . Mostly three cases have been studied so far: the case when  $\phi_t^H$  is ergodic with respect to the Liouville measure, the case when  $H$  generates a completely integrable system, and the case when  $\phi_t^H$  lies in some mixed or KAM regime.

The fact that the Hamiltonian has well-understood chaotic properties would in principle lead to expect that the corresponding Schrödinger flow has good dispersive properties. The main result in this direction is the theorem of Snirelman, Colin de Verdière and Zelditch, see [15], [29], [52], [56], [57]. Assuming that the spectrum of  $\widehat{H}_h$  is discrete and that the geodesic flow  $\phi_t^H$  on  $T^*M$  is ergodic<sup>1</sup> with respect to the Liouville measure, the result says that a typical sequence of eigenfunctions becomes equidistributed, that is, the unique quantum limit associated with this sequence is the uniform measure on  $M$ . Moreover, the density of the sequence of eigenvalues associated with this dispersive sequence of eigenstates is shown to have density one into the whole sequence of eigenvalues for  $\widehat{H}_h$ . In this case the system is called *quantum ergodic*. At this level of generality, it is not well understood if the whole sequence of eigenfunctions converges, or if there can be exceptional subsequences with a different limiting behavior. There are manifolds (or Euclidean domains) with ergodic geodesic flows, but with exceptional subsequences of eigenfunctions [28]. Negatively curved manifolds have ergodic geodesic flows, but actually the understanding of the chaotic properties of the flow is so good that one could hope to go beyond the Snirelman theorem and prove that the unique quantum limit is the uniform measure; this is known as the QUE (quantum unique ergodicity) conjecture due to Rudnik and Sarnak [48], [50]. So far, the only complete result is due to Lindenstrauss [13, 35], who proved the conjecture in the case when  $M$  is an *arithmetic congruence surface*, and the eigenfunctions  $(\Psi_h)$  are common eigenfunctions of  $-\hbar^2 \Delta_g$  and of the *Hecke operators*. There are partial results, [2], [7], [47], which hold in great generality on any compact negatively curved manifold that show that concentration on sets of low Hausdorff dimension is not possible (a closed geodesic, for instance). Recently, Dyatlov and Jin [17] have shown that, in the case of surfaces of constant negative curvature, elements in  $\mathcal{N}(\widehat{H}_h)$  must charge every open set  $U \subset M$ .

When the geodesic flow is completely integrable in the sense of Liouville [42] the situation is very different. One expects in this situation to have a wider variety of quantum limits, since the dispersive effects exhibited by the Schrödinger flow are weaker than in the chaotic case. In the case of  $\widehat{H}_h = -\hbar^2 \Delta_g$  and  $M = \mathbb{S}^d$ , the sphere endowed with its

---

<sup>1</sup>For instance, this holds if  $M$  has strictly negative curvature.

canonical metric, Jakobson and Zelditch [31] proved that:

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{N}(\hat{H}_h) = \overline{\text{Conv}\{\delta_\gamma : \gamma \text{ is a geodesic in } \mathbb{S}^d\}}.$$

Above,  $\delta_\gamma$  stands for the uniform probability measure on the closed curve  $\gamma$ . Property (5) also holds in manifolds of constant positive curvature [10] or compact-rank-one symmetric spaces [36]. A natural question in this setting is that of understanding whether or not the same holds on a Zoll manifold (that is, a manifold all whose geodesics are closed [12]). Macià and Rivière [39], [40] have shown the existence of Zoll surfaces such that (5) fails. Precisely, an open set of geodesics is excluded to be the support of any quantum limit; that is, the delta measure  $\delta_\gamma$  can not be a quantum limit for any geodesic  $\gamma$  in this open set. Similar techniques as those of [39], [40] have been used in the study of spectral asymptotics for small perturbations of harmonics oscillators, both in the selfadjoint case [8] and the non-selfadjoint case [9].

On the flat torus  $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$ , the behavior of quantum limits is very different. Bourgain proved that  $\mathcal{N}(\hat{H}_h) \subset L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ; and in particular that quantum limits cannot concentrate on closed curves, as was the case on the sphere (this result was reported in [30]). In that same reference, Jakobson proved that for  $d = 2$  the density of any quantum limit is a trigonometric polynomial, whose frequencies satisfy a certain Pell equation. In higher dimensions, one can only prove certain regularity properties of the densities, involving decay of its Fourier coefficients. These and related results were proven using only the dynamical properties of the geodesic flow by Macià and Anantharaman [6], [37], [38]; it is also possible to obtain more precise results on the regularity of the densities [1]. This strategy of proof can be extended to more general completely integrable Hamiltonian flows [3], and also allows to deal with domains in the Euclidean space as disks [5], [4].

The KAM regime has turned out to be more elusive so far. If the classical system is close to a completely integrable one, and the classical KAM theorem applies to the dynamical system generated by  $H$ , then the persistence of invariant tori at classic level is expected to imply an analogous result at quantum level, meaning that there should exist sequences of eigenfunctions of  $\hat{H}_h$  with its mass concentrating near these invariant tori<sup>2</sup>. Most of the works dealing with this case are based on the construction of *quasimodes*, or approximate eigenfunctions, studying the asymptotic properties of oscillation and concentration of these quasimodes around the classical invariant tori, but do not conclude analogous results for the quantum limits associated to the true eigenfunctions of the system. The foundations of this study of quasimodes for KAM systems can be found in Lazutkin [34]. Construction of quasimodes with exponentially small error terms is given by Popov [43] and [44]. In a recent work, Gomes [24] applies this result to discard *quantum ergodicity* for some semiclassical KAM systems. He also deals with some mixed systems as “mushrooms” billiards, proving a weak version of the *Percival conjecture* about splitting of the asymptotic behavior of sequences of eigenfunctions in the completely integrable and ergodic parts for these systems.

The present work addresses the problem of characterizing the set of quantum limits  $\mathcal{N}(\hat{H}_h)$  for some particular KAM systems. Although the systems considered here will be simpler than the ones treated in [24], the results obtained are however completely satisfactory, in the sense that the set of quantum limits is characterized and concentration on the invariant KAM tori is shown.

**1.2. Quantum limits and semiclassical measures for KAM families of vector fields on the torus.** From now on we fix  $M = \mathbb{T}^d$ , the flat torus endowed with the

---

<sup>2</sup>This concentration takes place in the phase-space  $T^*M$ , meaning that the *semiclassical measure* of the sequence of eigenfunctions is supported on an invariant torus for the classical Hamiltonian flow.

flat metric. Our first and particularly simple example of KAM system will be the one generated by the Schrödinger operator

$$\widehat{H}_h = \widehat{P}_{\omega,h} := \omega \cdot \hbar D_x + v(x; \omega) \cdot \hbar D_x - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \operatorname{Div} v(x; \omega),$$

where  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a vector field depending on the parameter  $\omega$ , and we use the notation

$$D_x = (D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_d}), \quad D_{x_j} := -i\partial_{x_j}.$$

This operator generates the transport along the vector field  $X_v(\omega) := \omega + v(\cdot; \omega)$ , meaning that the solution to the Schrödinger equation

$$(i\hbar \partial_t + \widehat{P}_{\omega,h})u_h(t, x) = 0; \quad u_h(0, x) = u_h^0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$$

is given by

$$u_h(t, x) = u_h^0(\phi_t^{X_v(\omega)}(x)) \sqrt{|\det d\phi_t^{X_v(\omega)}(x)|},$$

where  $\phi_t^{X_v(\omega)}$  is the flow on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  generated by the vector field  $X_v(\omega)$ , and the operator  $\widehat{P}_{\omega,h}$  is selfadjoint thanks to the component  $-i\hbar \operatorname{Div} v/2$ . Note that the unperturbed operator

$$(6) \quad \widehat{L}_{\omega,h} := \omega \cdot \hbar D_x$$

on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is not elliptic and hence its point-spectrum, given by

$$\operatorname{Sp}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p(\widehat{L}_{\omega,h}) = \{\hbar \omega \cdot k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\},$$

is highly unstable under perturbations, in the sense that it could be transformed into continuous spectrum by the perturbation. However, we will use classical KAM theory to show that under certain conditions on the perturbation  $v$ , the spectrum of  $\widehat{P}_{\omega,h}$  is stable for a Cantor set of frequencies  $\omega$ , modulo a translation in the vector  $\omega$ . As was shown by Wenyi and Chi in [53], this KAM stability is equivalent to the hypoellipticity of the operator  $\widehat{P}_{\omega,h}$ .

The operator  $\widehat{P}_{\omega,h}$  is the semiclassical Weyl quantization of the linear Hamiltonian

$$P_\omega(x, \xi) = \mathcal{L}_\omega(\xi) + v(x; \omega) \cdot \xi,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega(\xi) := \omega \cdot \xi.$$

In [41], Moser introduced a new approach to the study of quasiperiodic motions by considering the frequencies of the Kronecker tori as independent parameters. We refer to the work of Pöschel [45] for a brief introduction to the subject. If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a compact Cantor set of frequencies satisfying some Diophantine condition (see condition (8) below) and the perturbation  $v$  is sufficiently small in some suitable norm, then there exists a close-to-the-identity change of frequencies

$$\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

so that the related set of Hamiltonians  $P_{\varphi(\omega)}$  can be canonically conjugated (frequency by frequency) into the constant linear Hamiltonian on  $T^*\mathbb{T}^d$  with frequency  $\omega$ . More precisely, for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists a canonical transformation  $\Theta_\omega : T^*\mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{T}^d$  so that

$$\Theta_\omega^* P_{\varphi(\omega)}(x, \xi) = \mathcal{L}_\omega(\xi).$$

In particular, the Hamiltonian  $P_{\varphi(\omega)}$  is completely integrable for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

We focus on the study of the high-energy structure of the eigenfunctions of  $\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar}$ . Precisely, we will study the set of *quantum limits* of the system, that is, the weak- $\star$  accumulation points of sequences of  $L^2$ -densities of eigenfunctions. We next recall the precise definition of quantum limit in this setting:

**Definition 1.** *We say that a probability measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is a quantum limit of  $\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar}$  if there exist a sequence  $(\lambda_{\hbar})$  of eigenvalues for  $\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar}$  such that  $\lambda_{\hbar} \rightarrow 1$  as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , and a related sequence of  $L^2$ -normalized eigenfunctions  $(\Psi_{\hbar})$  satisfying:*

$$|\Psi_{\hbar}(x)|^2 dx \rightharpoonup^* \nu, \quad \text{as } \hbar \rightarrow 0,$$

where the convergence takes place in the weak- $\star$  topology for Radon measures. We will denote by  $\mathcal{N}(\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar})$  the set of quantum limits of  $\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar}$ .

It is very convenient to extend our analysis to the phase-space, studying not only the asymptotic distribution of  $L^2$ -densities of the sequence  $(\Psi_{\hbar})$  on  $M$ , but the related sequence of Wigner distributions  $(W_{\Psi_{\hbar}}^{\hbar})$  on  $T^*M$ .

We recall that the Wigner distribution  $W_{\psi}^{\hbar}$  of a function  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is defined by the map

$$(7) \quad W_{\psi}^{\hbar} : \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d) \ni a \longmapsto \langle \psi, \text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)\psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Since  $\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  uniformly in  $\hbar \in (0, 1]$  in terms of the  $L^{\infty}$ -norms of a finite number of derivatives of  $a$ , for any sequence  $(\psi_{\hbar})_{\hbar} \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  with  $\|\psi_{\hbar}\|_{L^2} = 1$ , there exist a subsequence  $(W_{\psi_{\hbar}}^{\hbar})$  of Wigner distributions, and a distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}'(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  such that

$$\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} W_{\psi_{\hbar}}^{\hbar}(a) = \mu(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d).$$

Furthermore, the distribution  $\mu$  is certainly a positive Radon measure on  $T^*\mathbb{T}^d$  [27]. The measure  $\mu$  is called the semiclassical measure associated to the (sub)sequence  $(\psi_{\hbar})$ .

If  $\mu$  is the semiclassical measure associated with a sequence of eigenfunctions  $(\Psi_{\hbar})$  with  $\lambda_{\hbar} \rightarrow 1$ , then  $\mu$  is in fact a positive Radon measure on the level-set  $P_{\omega}^{-1}(1) \subset T^*\mathbb{T}^d$ . If moreover the measure  $\mu$  turns out to be a probability measure, then its projection onto the position space

$$\nu(x) = \int_{P_{\omega}^{-1}(1)} \mu(x, d\xi)$$

is the quantum limit of the sequence. Be aware of the fact that, since  $P_{\omega}^{-1}(1)$  is in general not compact, there can exist some sequences of eigenfunctions with the zero measure as semiclassical measure. We will denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar})$  the set of semiclassical measures associated to sequences of eigenfunctions for  $\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar}$  with  $\lambda_{\hbar} \rightarrow 1$ .

We consider  $\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar}$  with frequencies  $\omega$  lying in a small neighborhood of a Cantor set of Diophantine vectors  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying:

$$(8) \quad |k \cdot \omega| \geq \frac{\varsigma}{|k|^{\gamma-1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\},$$

for some constants  $\varsigma > 0$  and  $\gamma > d$ . For any  $\rho > 0$ , let  $\Omega_{\rho}$  be the complex neighborhood of  $\Omega$  given by

$$\Omega_{\rho} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : \text{dist}(z, \Omega) < \rho\},$$

and, given  $s > 0$ , we consider also the complex neighborhood of the  $d$ -torus

$$D_s := \{z \in \mathbb{T}^d + i\mathbb{R}^d : |\Im z| < s\}.$$

We introduce the following family of linear symbols on  $T^*\mathbb{T}^d$ :

**Definition 2.** A function  $V \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(T^*\mathbb{T}^d \times \Omega_\rho)$  belongs to the space of linear symbols  $\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$  if

$$(9) \quad V(x, \xi; \omega) = \xi \cdot v(x; \omega) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \xi \cdot \widehat{v}(k; \omega) e_k(x),$$

for some analytic vector field  $v \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(D_s \times \Omega_\rho; \mathbb{C}^d)$ , where  $\widehat{v}(k; \omega) \in \mathbb{C}^d$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$ -Fourier coefficient of  $v$ :

$$\widehat{v}(k; \omega) := \langle v(\cdot; \omega), e_k \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}, \quad e_k(x) := \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

and

$$(10) \quad |V|_{s,\rho} := \sup_{\omega \in \Omega_\rho} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{v}(k; \omega)| e^{|k|s} < \infty.$$

The space  $(\mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}, |\cdot|_{s,\rho})$  is a Banach space. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_s \subset \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$  the subspace of symbols that do not depend on  $\omega \in \Omega_\rho$ , and by  $|\cdot|_s$  its norm in this space.

Let  $s, \rho > 0$ , and let  $V \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$  be real analytic. We consider the family of operators given by

$$(11) \quad \widehat{P}_{\omega,h} = \widehat{L}_{\omega,h} + \text{Op}_h(V(\cdot; \omega)),$$

where

$$\text{Op}_h(V) := v \cdot \hbar D_x - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \text{Div } v$$

is the semiclassical Weyl quantization of  $V$ .

We next state our first result:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $s, \rho > 0$  and  $V \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$  be real valued and assume

$$(12) \quad |V|_{s,\rho} \leq \varepsilon,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive constant depending only on  $s, \rho, \gamma$  and  $\varsigma$ . Then there exists a real change of frequencies  $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_\rho$  such that the point-spectrum of  $\widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega),h}$  is

$$\text{Sp}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p(\widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega),h}) = \{\hbar \omega \cdot k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\},$$

and, for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there exists a diffeomorphism  $\theta_\omega : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  of the torus homotopic to the identity so that, denoting by

$$\Theta_\omega(x, \xi) = (\theta_\omega(x), [(\partial_x \theta_\omega(x))^T]^{-1} \xi)$$

the symplectic lift of  $\theta_\omega$  into  $T^*\mathbb{T}^d$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}(\widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega),h}) = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{-1}(1)} \{(\Theta_\omega)_* \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}}\} \cup \{0\},$$

where  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}}$  denotes the Haar measure on the invariant torus  $\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}$  and  $(\Theta_\omega)_*$  stands for the pushforward of  $\Theta_\omega$ ; and

$$\mathcal{N}(\widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega),h}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (\theta_\omega)_* dx \right\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |\varphi(\omega) - \omega| \leq C_1 |V|_{s,\rho}, \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} |\theta_\omega(x) - x| \leq C_2 |V|_{s,\rho},$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants depending only on  $s, \rho, \gamma$  and  $\varsigma$ .



*Remark 1.* As we shall see in the proof, the assumption  $V \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$  is made to use an analytic version of the classical KAM theorem (Theorem 4 below) about perturbations of constant vector fields on the torus [41], [46]. We could use this theorem with more general assumptions on the smoothness of  $V$ , see for instance [41], [54], [55], as well as on the Diophantine condition (8), see [45], [46]. We prefer not to work with the greatest possible generality for the sake of clarity.

**1.3. Renormalization of semiclassical KAM operators.** If the perturbation  $V$  does not depend on the vector of frequencies and we consider an isolated vector  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then Theorem 1 provides the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\omega \in \Omega$  and let  $V \in \mathcal{L}_s$  such that*

$$|V|_s \leq \varepsilon,$$

*where  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive constant depending only on  $s$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\varsigma$ . Then there exist a real number  $\lambda = \lambda(V)$  such that the point-spectrum of  $\widehat{P}_{\omega+\lambda, \hbar}$  is*

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p(\widehat{P}_{\omega+\lambda, \hbar}) = \{\hbar \omega \cdot k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\},$$

*and a diffeomorphism  $\theta : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  of the torus homotopic to the identity so that*

$$\mathcal{M}(\widehat{P}_{\omega+\lambda, \hbar}) = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{-1}(1)} \{\Theta_* \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}}\} \cup \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{N}(\widehat{P}_{\omega+\lambda, \hbar}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \theta_* dx \right\},$$

*where  $\Theta$  is the symplectic lift of  $\theta$  into  $T^*\mathbb{T}^d$ .*

The number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  can be understood as a *counterterm* that renormalizes the perturbed operator  $\widehat{P}_{\omega, \hbar}$  to make it completely integrable and unitarily equivalent to  $\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}$ .

In the classical framework, the renormalization problem [21], [23] asks if, given a small analytic perturbation  $V$  of the linear Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ , with  $V = V(x, \xi; \varepsilon)$  defined on  $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \varepsilon_0]$  for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a counterterm  $R = R(\xi; \varepsilon)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \varepsilon_0]$ , such that the renormalized Hamiltonian

$$Q(x, \xi; \varepsilon) = \mathcal{L}_\omega(\xi) + V(x, \xi; \varepsilon) - R(\xi; \varepsilon)$$

is integrable and canonically conjugate to the unperturbed hamiltonian. This was conjectured by Gallavotti in [21] and first proven by Eliasson in [19]. This result can be regarded as a control theory theorem. Despite the fact that small perturbations of  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  could generate even ergodic behavior (see Katok [32]), this shows that modifying in a suitable way the completely integrable part of the Hamiltonian, the system remains stable. Renormalization techniques have been studied by several authors in the context of quantum field theory, as well as its connection with KAM theory [14, 20, 21, 22, 33, 51].

Our goal is to prove a semiclassical version of the renormalization problem. We consider again the semiclassical Weyl quantization of  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ :

$$(13) \quad \widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar} = \mathrm{Op}_\hbar(\mathcal{L}_\omega) = \omega \cdot \hbar D_x.$$

Let  $(\varepsilon_\hbar)_\hbar$  be a semiclassical scaling such that

$$(14) \quad \varepsilon_\hbar \leq \hbar,$$

and let  $V \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(T^*\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R})$  be a bounded real analytic function. We aim at performing a quantum KAM iteration procedure to construct a counterterm  $R_\hbar = R_\hbar(V) \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , uniformly bounded in  $\hbar \in (0, 1]$ , so that the quantum Hamiltonian

$$(15) \quad \widehat{Q}_\hbar := \widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar} + \varepsilon_\hbar \mathrm{Op}_\hbar(V - R_\hbar)$$

is unitarily equivalent to the unperturbed operator  $\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}$ . This will show that the spectrum of the operator  $\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar} + \varepsilon_{\hbar} \text{Op}_{\hbar}(V)$  can be stabilized by adding the counterterm  $\varepsilon_{\hbar} \text{Op}_{\hbar}(R_{\hbar})$  to the system. Moreover, we will study the sets of quantum limits and semiclassical measures associated to sequences of eigenfunctions for the operator  $\widehat{Q}_{\hbar}$ . We will show that these sets coincide with those of the unperturbed operator  $\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}$ .

In a related work, Graffi and Paul [26] showed that the perturbed operator

$$\widehat{P}_{\hbar} = \widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar} + \text{Op}_{\hbar}(V_{\omega})$$

can be conjugated to a convergent quantum normal form for a specific class of bounded analytic perturbations of the form

$$(16) \quad V_{\omega}(x, \xi) = V(x, \omega \cdot \xi), \quad (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{T}^d,$$

(see Gallavotti [21] for a discussion of this condition). As a consequence, it is most likely that the set of semiclassical measures is stable under perturbations of this type, without necessity of renormalization. Despite the fact that we need to assume that  $\delta_{\hbar} \leq \hbar$ , we consider more general perturbations than those of [26]. The main difference in our approach is the substitution of the particular dependence on  $\omega \cdot \xi$  of  $V$ , which is stable under the conjugacies employed by Graffi and Paul to construct the normal form, by the addition of the renormalization function  $R_{\hbar}$ .

We emphasize that, compared to [18], [19] and [23], our work is not based on the study of the convergence of Lindstedt series, and we do not know how to adapt their approach to this problem. Alternatively, we will use an algorithm similar to that of Govin et al. [25] to construct a normal form, obtaining the counterterm  $R_{\hbar}$  step by step. We expect that condition (14) is not sharp. One should be able to manage perturbations of order  $O(1)$ .

We will deal with semiclassical perturbations  $\text{Op}_{\hbar}(V)$  whose symbol  $V$  belongs to a suitable Banach space of bounded analytic functions. We consider the following spaces of analytic functions:

**Definition 3.** *Given  $s > 0$ , we define the Banach space  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of analytic functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\eta)| e^{|\eta|^s} d\eta < \infty,$$

where  $\widehat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f$ . Let  $\rho > 0$ , we introduce also the space  $\mathcal{A}_{s, \rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  of analytic functions  $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\|g\|_{s, \rho} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\widehat{g}(k, \cdot)\|_s e^{|k|\rho} < \infty,$$

where

$$\widehat{g}(k, \xi) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} g(x, \xi) e^{-ix \cdot k} dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Finally, we define the space  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  of functions  $v \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\rho}(\mathbb{T}^d)} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{v}(k)| e^{|k|\rho} < \infty.$$

By the Calderón-Vaillancourt Theorem (see Lemma 3 below), the semiclassical Weyl quantization  $\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)$  of a symbol  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{s, \rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  satisfies

$$\|\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq C_{d, \rho} \|a\|_{s, \rho}, \quad \forall \hbar \in (0, 1].$$

We next proceed to state our second result:



**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a strongly non resonant frequency satisfying (8), and let  $V$  be a real valued function that belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  for some fixed  $s, \rho > 0$ . Assume that*

$$(17) \quad \|V\|_{s,\rho} \leq \varepsilon,$$

*where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small constant that depends only on  $s, \rho, \gamma$  and  $\varsigma$ . Let  $(\varepsilon_{\hbar})$  be a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying  $\varepsilon_{\hbar} \leq \hbar$ . Then, there exists a sequence of integrable<sup>3</sup> counterterms  $R_{\hbar} = R_{\hbar}(V) \in \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|R_{\hbar}\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|V\|_{s,\rho}$ , uniformly in  $\hbar \in (0, 1]$ , and*

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p(\widehat{Q}_{\hbar}) = \mathrm{Sp}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p(\widehat{L}_{\omega,\hbar}) = \{\hbar\omega \cdot k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

*Moreover, denoting by  $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{Q}_{\hbar})$  the set semiclassical measures of sequences of normalized eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian  $\widehat{Q}_{\hbar}$  with eigenvalues verifying  $\lambda_{\hbar} \rightarrow 1$  as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$\mathcal{M}(\widehat{Q}_{\hbar}) = \mathcal{M}(\widehat{L}_{\omega,\hbar}) = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{-1}(1)} \{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}}\} \cup \{0\},$$

*and the set of quantum limits of  $\widehat{Q}_{\hbar}$  is precisely*

$$\mathcal{N}(\widehat{Q}_{\hbar}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} dx \right\}.$$

*Remark 2.* In the case  $\varepsilon_{\hbar} \ll \hbar$ , condition (17) can be removed.

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks Fabricio Macià, Gabriel Rivière and Stéphane Nonnenmacher for usefull discussions while preparing this manuscript. This work has been supported by a predoctoral grant from Fundación La Caixa - Severo Ochoa International Ph.D. Program at the Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (ICMAT-CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM).

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To prove Theorem 1, we will use a classical KAM result due to Moser [41] about small perturbations of constant vector fields on the torus. Precisely, we will recall a work of Pöschel [46] which uses a new idea of Rüssmann [49] that simplifies the KAM-iteration argument. On the other hand, we will use Egorov's theorem to establish the classic-quantum duality and obtain our result in terms of the quantum system. The approach is similar to that of Bambusi et. al. in [11], in which they obtain reducibility for a class of perturbations of the quantum harmonic oscillator.

The proof of Theorem 1 is divided in two parts. First, we prove that the family  $\widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega),\hbar}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\widehat{L}_{\omega,\hbar}$ . This shows the stability of the spectrum along this family. The following holds:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $s, \rho > 0$  and  $V \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$  be real analytic verifying (12). Then, there exist a real change of frequencies  $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_{\rho}$  satisfying*

$$\sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |\varphi(\omega) - \omega| \leq C_1 |V|_{s,\rho},$$

*and a family of unitary operators  $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \mathcal{U}_{\omega}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  such that*

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{U}_{\omega} \widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega),\hbar} \mathcal{U}_{\omega}^* = \widehat{L}_{\omega,\hbar}.$$

*Remark 3.* In particular, if  $V = 0$  then  $\varphi = \mathrm{Id}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{\omega} = \mathrm{Id}$ .

The second part of the proof of Theorem 1 will follow by applying Egorov's theorem, comparing the semiclassical measures and quantum limits of  $\widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega),\hbar}$  with those of  $\widehat{L}_{\omega,\hbar}$ .

<sup>3</sup>That is,  $R_{\hbar}$  is a function only of the action variable  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**2.1. A classical KAM theorem.** In this section we recall the result of Pöschel [46]. We use the Diophantine property (8) for the sake of simplicity, but the more general *Rüssmann condition* considered in [46] would be valid aswell.

**Theorem 4** ([46]). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a compact set of strongly nonresonant frequencies, that is,  $\omega \in \Omega$  satisfies (8). Let  $s, \rho > 0$  and  $V \in \mathcal{L}_{s,\rho}$  such that*

$$(19) \quad |V|_{s,\rho} = \varepsilon < \frac{\rho}{16} \leq \frac{s}{32\lambda^\gamma},$$

where  $\lambda$  is so large that

$$(20) \quad r := 8\gamma \left( \frac{1 + \log \lambda}{\lambda} \right) < \frac{s}{2}.$$

Then there exists a real map  $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_\rho$  and, for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ , a real analytic diffeomorphism  $\theta_\omega$  of the  $d$ -torus such that, denoting

$$\Theta_\omega(x, \xi) = (\theta_\omega(x), [(\partial_x \theta_\omega(x))^T]^{-1} \xi),$$

the following holds:

$$(21) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{\varphi(\omega)} + V(\cdot; \varphi(\omega))) \circ \Theta_\omega = \mathcal{L}_\omega.$$

Moreover,

$$(22) \quad \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |\varphi(\omega) - \omega| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} |\theta_\omega(x) - x| \leq r \varsigma^{-1} \lambda^\gamma \varepsilon.$$

This means that, for every  $\omega'$  in the Cantor set  $\varphi(\Omega)$ , the Hamiltonian  $P_{\omega'}$  is canonically conjugate to the unperturbed one  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  and hence the energy level  $P_{\omega'}^{-1}(1)$  is foliated by invariant tori with same frequency  $\omega$ .

Using this result, the proof of Theorem 3 is straightforward in terms of Egorov's theorem:

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We define the unitary operator  $\mathcal{U}_\omega : L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  by

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{U}_\omega \psi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det d\theta_\omega(x)|}} \psi(\theta_\omega^{-1}(x)).$$

By Egorov's theorem, which is exact in this case, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{U}_\omega \widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega), \hbar} \mathcal{U}_\omega^* = \text{Op}_\hbar((\mathcal{L}_{\varphi(\omega)} + V(\cdot, \varphi(\omega))) \circ \Theta_\omega) = \text{Op}_\hbar(\mathcal{L}_\omega) = \widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}.$$

□

**2.2. Quantum limits and semiclassical measures.** We next complete the proof of Theorem 1. The following Proposition will be required:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be linearly independent over the rationals<sup>4</sup>. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}(\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}) = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{-1}(1)} \{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}}\} \cup \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{N}(\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} dx \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We recall that the point-spectrum of  $\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}$  is given by

$$\text{Sp}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p(\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}) = \{\lambda_{k, \hbar} = \hbar \omega \cdot k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

---

<sup>4</sup>That is, if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  satisfies  $\omega \cdot k = 0$  then  $k = 0$ .

Each eigenvalue has multiplicity equal to 1 due to the nonresonant condition on  $\omega$ . Moreover, the set of eigenfunctions is just given by

$$e_k(x) = \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

By a direct calculation using identity (7) for the Wigner distribution on the torus, for every test function  $a \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ :

$$W_{e_k}^h(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} a(x, \hbar k) dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Equivalently,  $W_{e_k}^h = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\hbar k\}}$ . Given a sequence

$$(24) \quad \lambda_{k_j, \hbar_j} = \hbar_j \omega \cdot k_j \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \hbar_j \rightarrow 0,$$

if  $\hbar_j k_j \rightarrow \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  then clearly  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{-1}(1)$ . In other words,  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar})$ . Reciprocally, any point  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{-1}(1)$  can be obtained as the limit of a sequence  $(\hbar_j k_j)$  satisfying (24), and hence any measure  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}}$  is the semiclassical measure associated to some sequence of eigenfunctions. Finally, since  $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{-1}(1)$  is not compact, there are also sequences  $(\hbar_j k_j)$  satisfying (24) such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\hbar_j k_j| = \infty.$$

For those sequences, we have  $\mu = 0$ . Thus  $0 \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar})$ .

The second assertion is trivial since

$$|e_k(x)|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

□

We are now in position to conclude the proof of Theorem 1. Since

$$\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar} = \mathcal{U}_\omega \widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega), \hbar} \mathcal{U}_\omega^*,$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_\omega$  is unitary on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , the spectrum of  $\widehat{P}_{\varphi(\omega), \hbar}$  is the same as the spectrum of  $\widehat{L}_{\omega, \hbar}$ , and the eigenfunctions are precisely

$$\Psi_k = \mathcal{U}_\omega e_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Thus, applying Egorov's theorem,

$$W_{\Psi_k}^h(a) = W_{e_k}^h(a \circ \Theta_\omega) + O(\hbar), \quad a \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d),$$

and similarly, using (23),

$$(25) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} b(x) |\Psi_k(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} b \circ \theta_\omega(x) |e_k(x)|^2 dx, \quad b \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

Then the proof of Theorem 1 reduces to the proof of Proposition 1.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

The main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 2 is the following quantum version of the renormalization problem:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a strongly non resonant frequency satisfying (8), and let  $V$  be a real valued function that belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_{s, \rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  for some fixed  $s, \rho > 0$ . Assume that  $\varepsilon_\hbar \leq \hbar$ , and*

$$(26) \quad \|V\|_{s, \rho} \leq \frac{\varsigma}{64} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{2(\gamma - 1)} \right)^{2(\gamma - 1)}.$$

Then there exist a sequence of unitary operators  $\mathcal{U}_h : L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , and a sequence of counterterms  $R_h \in \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{U}_h (\widehat{L}_{\omega, h} + \varepsilon_h \text{Op}_h(V - R_h)) \mathcal{U}_h^* = \widehat{L}_{\omega, h}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|R_h\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2\|V\|_{s, \rho}, \quad \forall h \in (0, 1].$$

*Remark 4.* If  $\varepsilon_h \ll h$  then condition (26) can be removed.

**3.1. KAM iterative algorithm.** We start from the full renormalized operator  $\widehat{Q}_h$  with  $\widehat{R}_h$  as unknown and then we will construct  $\mathcal{U}_h$  and  $\widehat{R}_h$  by an iterative algorithm following an averaging-type method. We will find the renormalization function  $R_h$  as an infinite sum of the form

$$R_h := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} R_{j, h},$$

where each  $R_{j, h}$  will be determined at each step of the iteration and the sum will be proven to converge in  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We initially set  $V_1 := V$ , and consider

$$(28) \quad \widehat{Q}_{1, h} := \widehat{Q}_h = \widehat{L}_{\omega, h} + \varepsilon_h \left( \text{Op}_h(V_1) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Op}_h(R_{j, h}) \right).$$

The goal at the first step of the iteration is to average the term  $V_1$  by the quantum flow generated by  $\widehat{L}_{\omega, h}$ , then choose a suitable first term  $R_{1, h}$  and estimate the remainder terms.

Given  $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  we define its average  $\langle a \rangle$  along the flow

$$\phi_t^{\mathcal{L}_\omega} : (x, \xi) \mapsto (x + t\omega, \xi),$$

by the following limit in the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology of  $T^*\mathbb{T}^d$ :

$$(29) \quad \langle a \rangle(\xi) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T a \circ \phi_t^{\mathcal{L}_\omega}(x, \xi) dt = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} a(x, \xi) dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \widehat{a}(0, \xi),$$

where recall that we have used the convention for the Fourier coefficients of  $a$ ,

$$\widehat{a}(k, \xi) := \langle a, e_k \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} a(x, \xi) e^{-ik \cdot x} dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

If  $a$  is bounded together with all its derivatives, Egorov's theorem allows us to define the quantum average of  $\text{Op}_h(a)$  by

$$(30) \quad \langle \text{Op}_h(a) \rangle := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{\frac{it}{h} \widehat{L}_{\omega, h}} \text{Op}_h(a) e^{-\frac{it}{h} \widehat{L}_{\omega, h}} dt.$$

and, since  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  is a polynomial of degree one, we have

$$\langle \text{Op}_h(a) \rangle = \text{Op}_h(\langle a \rangle).$$

In the first step of the iteration, we set  $R_{1, h} := \langle V_1 \rangle$  and consider a unitary operator of the form

$$U_{1, h}(t) := e^{\frac{it\varepsilon_h}{h} \text{Op}_h(F_1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left( \frac{it\varepsilon_h}{h} \right)^j \text{Op}_h(F_1)^j, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\text{Op}_h(F_1)$  will be chosen to solve the cohomological equation

$$(31) \quad \frac{i}{h} [\widehat{L}_{\omega, h}, \text{Op}_h(F_1)] = \text{Op}_h(V_1 - R_1), \quad \langle F_1 \rangle = 0.$$

We will show in Lemma 7 of Appendix A how to solve this cohomological equation. Moreover, the Diophantine condition (8) on  $\omega$  will allow us to bound the solution  $F_1$  in

a suitable space  $\mathcal{A}_{s,\rho-\sigma}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  provided that  $V_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ . We denote  $U_{1,h} = U_{1,h}(1)$  and set  $\widehat{Q}_{2,h} := U_{1,h} \widehat{Q}_{1,h} U_{1,h}^*$ . Using Taylor's theorem we expand this expression as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Q}_{2,h} &= \widehat{L}_{\omega,h} + \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} [\text{Op}_h(F_1), \widehat{L}_{\omega,h}] + \varepsilon_h \text{Op}_h(V_1 - R_1) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_0^1 (1-t) U_{1,h}(t) [\text{Op}_h(F_1), [\text{Op}_h(F_1), \widehat{L}_{\omega,h}]] U_{1,h}(t)^* dt \\ &\quad + \frac{i\varepsilon_h^2}{\hbar} \int_0^1 U_{1,h}(t) [\text{Op}_h(F_1), \text{Op}_h(V_1 - R_1)] U_{1,h}(t)^* dt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_h \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} U_{1,h} \text{Op}_h(R_{j,h}) U_{1,h}^*. \end{aligned}$$

With this and the cohomological equation (31), we obtain

$$\widehat{Q}_{2,h} = \widehat{L}_{\omega,h} + \varepsilon_h \left( \text{Op}_h(V_{2,h}) - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} U_{1,h} \text{Op}_h(R_{j,h}) U_{1,h}^* \right),$$

where

$$(32) \quad \text{Op}_h(V_{2,h}) = \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} \int_0^1 t U_{1,h}(t) [\text{Op}_h(F_1), \text{Op}_h(V_1 - R_1)] U_{1,h}(t)^* dt.$$

This concludes the first step of the iteration.

Now we proceed to explain the induction step. Assume we have constructed unitary operators  $U_{1,h}, \dots, U_{n-1,h}$  and counterterms  $R_{1,h}, \dots, R_{n-1,h}$  so that

$$\widehat{Q}_{n,h} = U_{n-1,h} \cdots U_{1,h} \widehat{Q}_{1,h} U_{1,h}^* \cdots U_{n-1,h}^* = \widehat{L}_{\omega,h} + \varepsilon_h \left( \text{Op}_h(V_{n,h}) - \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \widehat{E}_{n,j,h} \right),$$

where, for every  $j \geq n$ :

$$\widehat{E}_{n,j,h} = \text{Op}_h(E_{n,j,h}) := U_{n-1,h} \cdots U_{1,h} \text{Op}_h(R_{j,h}) U_{1,h}^* \cdots U_{n-1,h}^*.$$

We will choose  $R_{n,h}$  to be the unique solution of the operator equation

$$(33) \quad \langle \widehat{E}_{n,n,h} \rangle = \langle \widehat{V}_{n,h} \rangle,$$

provided by Lemma 8 of Appendix A. We next consider the unitary operator

$$U_{n,h}(t) := e^{\frac{it\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} \text{Op}_h(F_{n,h})} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left( \frac{it\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} \right)^j \text{Op}_h(F_{n,h})^j, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\text{Op}_h(F_{n,h})$  solves the cohomological equation (see Lemma 7)

$$(34) \quad \frac{i}{\hbar} [\widehat{L}_{\omega,h}, \text{Op}_h(F_{n,h})] = \text{Op}_h(V_{n,h} - E_{n,n,h}), \quad \langle F_{n,h} \rangle = 0.$$

As in the first step, we denote  $U_{n,h} := U_{n,h}(1)$ . Defining  $\widehat{Q}_{n+1,h} := U_{n,h} \widehat{Q}_{n,h} U_{n,h}^*$ , we use Taylor's theorem to expand

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Q}_{n+1,h} &= \widehat{L}_{\omega,h} + \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} [\text{Op}_h(F_{n,h}), \widehat{L}_{\omega,h}] + \varepsilon_h \text{Op}_h(V_{n,h} - E_{n,n,h}) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_0^1 (1-t) U_{n,h}(t) [\text{Op}_h(F_{n,h}), [\text{Op}_h(F_{n,h}), \widehat{L}_{\omega,h}]] U_{n,h}(t)^* dt \\ &\quad + \frac{i\varepsilon_h^2}{\hbar} \int_0^1 U_{n,h}(t) [\text{Op}_h(F_{n,h}), \text{Op}_h(V_{n,h} - E_{n,n,h})] U_{n,h}(t)^* dt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_h \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} U_{n,h} \text{Op}_h(E_{n,j,h}) U_{n,h}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Using the cohomological equation (34), we obtain

$$\widehat{Q}_{n+1,h} = \widehat{L}_{\omega,h} + \varepsilon_h \left( \text{Op}_h(V_{n+1,h}) - \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \text{Op}_h(E_{n+1,j,h}) \right),$$

where

$$(35) \quad \text{Op}_h(V_{n+1,h}) = \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} \int_0^1 t U_{n,h}(t) [\text{Op}_h(F_n), \text{Op}_h(V_{n,h} - E_{n,n,h})] U_{n,h}(t)^* dt,$$

and, for every  $j \geq n+1$ ,

$$\widehat{E}_{n+1,j,h} = \text{Op}_h(E_{n+1,j,h}) := U_{n,h} \text{Op}_h(E_{n,j,h}) U_{n,h}^*.$$

This iteration procedure will converge provided that  $V \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  is sufficiently small. Precisely, we will obtain a unitary operator  $\mathcal{U}_h$  as the limit, in the strong operator norm,

$$\mathcal{U}_h := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,h} \cdots U_{1,h}.$$

**3.2. Convergence.** We next show that the algorithm sketched in Section 3.1 converges provided that  $V \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  is sufficiently small.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* We start by fixing the following universal constants:

$$(36) \quad \alpha := \frac{1}{4}, \quad \beta := \frac{1}{16}, \quad \lambda := e^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} - 1.$$

Now set

$$\rho_1 := \rho, \quad \sigma_1 := \frac{\rho}{2e(\gamma-1)} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2(\gamma-1)}}.$$

By Lemma 7 and hypothesis (26),

$$\|F_1\|_{s,\rho_1-\sigma_1} \leq \varsigma^{-1} \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{e\sigma_1} \right)^{\gamma-1} \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1} \leq \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

Then, using (32) and the conventions of Appendix A,

$$V_{2,h} = \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} \int_0^1 t \Psi_{t,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_1} ([F_1, V_1 - R_1]_h) dt,$$

the trivial bound (54), and Lemma 6,

$$\|V_2\|_{s,\rho_1-\sigma_1} \leq \beta(1+\beta) \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1} \leq \alpha \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|R_1\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\langle V_1 \rangle\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1}.$$



This shows the first step of the induction. We next define sequences

$$\sigma_{n+1} := \sigma_n \alpha^{\frac{1}{2(\gamma-1)}}, \quad \rho_{n+1} := \rho_n - \sigma_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and assume the following induction hypothesis: for every  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , we have constructed  $F_{j,h}$  and  $R_{j,h}$  so that

$$(37) \quad \|F_{j,h}\|_{s,\rho_j} \leq \frac{\beta \alpha^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{2}, \quad \|R_{j,h}\|_s \leq \frac{\alpha^{j-1}}{1-\lambda} \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1},$$

and

$$(38) \quad \|V_{n,h}\|_{s,\rho_n} \leq \alpha^{n-1} \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1}.$$

To prove the induction step, first observe that, by Lemma 7 and hypothesis (26), there exists  $F_{n,h}$  such that:

$$\|F_{n,h}\|_{s,\rho_n-\sigma_n} \leq \varsigma^{-1} \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{e\sigma_n} \right)^{\gamma-1} \|V_n\|_{s,\rho_n} \leq \varsigma^{-1} \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{e\sigma_1} \right)^{\gamma-1} \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1} \leq \frac{\beta \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2}.$$

Note also that, for every  $j \geq n$ ,  $\widehat{E}_{n,j,h} = \text{Op}_h(E_{n,j,h})$ , where

$$E_{n,j,h} = \Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_1} R_{j,h}.$$

Our choice of  $R_{n,h}$  was the unique solution of equation (33). At symbol level, equation (33) reads

$$(39) \quad \langle E_{n,n,h} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_1} R_{n,h} \rangle = \langle V_{n,h} \rangle,$$

which solution exists and is unique in view of Lemma 8. Moreover,

$$\|R_{n,h}\|_{s,\rho_n} \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \|V_{n,h}\|_{s,\rho_n} \leq \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{1-\lambda} \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1}.$$

Note that, with our choice of constants (36):

$$\beta(1+\beta) \left( 1 + \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right) \leq \alpha.$$

Then, recalling (35), which at symbol level reads

$$V_{n+1,h} = \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{\hbar} \int_0^1 t \Psi_{t,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_n} ([F_n, V_{n,h} - E_{n,n,h}]_h) dt,$$

we can apply the trivial bound (54) and Lemmas 6 and 8 to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{n+1,h}\|_{s,\rho_n-\sigma_n} &\leq \beta(1+\beta) \left( 1 + \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right) \|V_{n,h}\|_{s,\rho_n} \\ &\leq \alpha \|V_{n,h}\|_{s,\rho_n} \leq \alpha^n \|V_1\|_{s,\rho_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the induction step. Note that our choice of constants also ensures that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = \sigma_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{j}{\gamma-1}} \leq \frac{\rho}{2e(\gamma-1)} \frac{1}{\log 2^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}} \leq \frac{\rho}{2e \log 2} \leq \frac{\rho}{2}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|R_h\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|R_{j,h}\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j \right) \|V_1\|_{s,\rho} \leq 2 \|V_1\|_{s,\rho}.$$

It remains to show that there exists a unitary operator  $\mathcal{U}_h$  so that

$$\mathcal{U}_h := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,h} \cdots U_{1,h}.$$

For every  $1 \leq n$ , we set the unitary operator  $\mathcal{U}_{n,h}$  by

$$\mathcal{U}_{n,h} := U_{n,h} \cdots U_{1,h}.$$

We have, for every  $p \geq 1$ :

$$\mathcal{U}_{n+p,h} - \mathcal{U}_{n,h} = \mathcal{U}_{n,h} \mathcal{R}_h(n, p),$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_h(n, p) := e^{\frac{i\varepsilon_h}{h} \widehat{F}_{n+1,h}} \cdots e^{\frac{i\varepsilon_h}{h} \widehat{F}_{n+p,h}} - I, \quad \widehat{F}_{j,h} := \text{Op}_h(F_{j,h}).$$

By Taylor's theorem, we can write

$$e^{\frac{i\varepsilon_h}{h} \widehat{F}_{j,h}} = I + \widehat{\beta}_{j,h}, \quad \widehat{\beta}_{j,h} := \frac{i\varepsilon_h}{h} \widehat{F}_{j,h} \int_0^1 e^{\frac{it\varepsilon_h}{h} \widehat{F}_{j,h}} dt.$$

Moreover, Lemma 3 and (37) allow us to bound the  $\mathcal{L}(L^2)$  norm of  $\widehat{\beta}_{j,h}$  by:

$$\|\widehat{\beta}_{j,h}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq \frac{C_{d,\rho} \beta \alpha^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\|\mathcal{R}_h(n, p)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq -1 + \prod_{j=1}^p (1 + \|\widehat{\beta}_{n+j,h}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)}) \leq -1 + \exp \left[ \frac{C_{d,\rho} \beta \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2(1 - \alpha^{1/2})} \right].$$

Finally, taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain that the sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_{n,h}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the operator norm, and then the result holds.  $\square$

**3.3. Semiclassical measures and quantum limits.** We finally are in position to prove Theorem 2. We will require the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $s, \rho > 0$ . For every  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,*

$$(40) \quad \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(a) \mathcal{U}_h - \text{Op}_h(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = O(\varepsilon_h),$$

*and similarly, for every  $b \in \mathcal{A}_\rho(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,*

$$(41) \quad \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(b) \mathcal{U}_h - \text{Op}_h(b)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = O(\varepsilon_h).$$

*Proof.* For every  $n \geq 1$ , we define:

$$\delta_n := \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{3}} \delta_1, \quad \delta_1 := \frac{\rho}{10}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n \leq \frac{\rho}{2}$$

By (37), we have

$$\|F_{n,h}\|_{s,\rho_n} \leq C_\rho \delta_n^3,$$

where the constant  $C_\rho$  depends only on  $\rho$ . Hence, defining the sequence  $u_n := \min\{s, \rho_n\}$ , the following holds for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $h > 0$  sufficiently small:

$$\frac{2\|\varepsilon_h F_{n,h}\|_{u_n}}{\delta_n^2} \leq C_\rho \delta_n \varepsilon_h \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

where the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{u_n}$  is defined by (49). Using Lemma 5, for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ , we have

$$(42) \quad \|\Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_{n,h}}(a) - a\|_{u_n - \delta_n} \leq C_\rho \delta_n \varepsilon_h \|a\|_{s,\rho}.$$

Finally, recall that  $\mathcal{U}_h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,h} \cdots U_{1,h}$ , that every operator  $U_{n,h}$  is unitary on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , and use Lemma 3 and (42) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(a) \mathcal{U}_h - \text{Op}_h(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \\ & \leq C_\rho \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_{n,h}}(a) - a\|_{u_n - \delta_n} \leq C_\rho \varepsilon_h \|a\|_{s,\rho} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n \leq C_\rho \varepsilon_h \|a\|_{s,\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows (40). The proof of (41) is completely analogous but, in this case, using Lemma 5 to show that

$$\|\Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_{n,h}}(b) - b\|_{u_n - \delta_n} \leq C_\rho \delta_n \varepsilon_h \|b\|_{\mathcal{A}_\rho(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

instead of (42).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *For every  $a \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,*

$$(43) \quad \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(a) \mathcal{U}_h - \text{Op}_h(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = o(1), \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0^+.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Assume that, for every  $s, \rho > 0$ , there exists  $a^\dagger \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  such that

$$\|a - a^\dagger\|_{L^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then, by Lemma 1, the triangular inequality and [58, Thm. 13.13]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(a) \mathcal{U}_h - \text{Op}_h(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(a - a^\dagger) \mathcal{U}_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} + \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(a^\dagger) \mathcal{U}_h - \text{Op}_h(a^\dagger)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} + \|\text{Op}_h(a - a^\dagger)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \\ & \leq C_d \|a - a^\dagger\|_{L^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)} + O(h), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(a) \mathcal{U}_h - \text{Op}_h(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq C_d \varepsilon.$$

Since the choice of  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrarily, we conclude that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \|\mathcal{U}_h^* \text{Op}_h(a) \mathcal{U}_h - \text{Op}_h(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = 0.$$

It remains to show that, for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ , there exists  $a^\dagger \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  such that

$$\|a - a^\dagger\|_{L^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Write

$$a(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{a}(w) e^{iz \cdot w} \kappa(dw), \quad z = (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{T}^d.$$

For  $R \geq 1$ , we define  $a_R \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  by

$$\widehat{a}_R(w) = \widehat{a}(w) e^{-\frac{|w|^2}{R}}.$$

It satisfies

$$\|a_R - a\|_{L^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{a}(w)| |e^{-\frac{|w|^2}{R}} - 1| \kappa(dw) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then it is sufficient to take  $a^\dagger = a_R$  for  $R$  sufficiently large.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By Proposition 1,

$$(44) \quad \mathcal{M}(\widehat{L}_{\omega,h}) = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{-1}(1)} \{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \{\xi\}}\} \cup \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{N}(\widehat{L}_{\omega,h}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} dx \right\}.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 5 implies that the set of normalized eigenfunctions of  $\widehat{Q}_h$  is precisely the orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  given by

$$\{\Psi_{k,h} = \mathcal{U}_h e_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

Using Lemma 2, we obtain that, for every  $a \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,

$$W_{\Psi_{k,h}}^h(a) = W_{e_k}^h(a) + o(1), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Finally, by (41) and since  $\mathcal{A}_\rho(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , we obtain that, for every  $b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} b(x) |\Psi_{k,h}(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} b(x) |e_k(x)|^2 dx + o(1).$$

Therefore, the proof of the Theorem follows by (44).  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. ANALYTIC SYMBOLIC CALCULUS ON THE TORUS

We include some basic lemmas about the quantization of the spaces  $\mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\rho(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . We fix  $s, \rho > 0$  all along this appendix.

**Lemma 3** (Analytic Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem). *For every  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ , the following holds:*

$$(45) \quad \|\text{Op}_h(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^d))} \leq C_{d,\rho} \|a\|_{s,\rho},$$

for all  $h \in (0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* By the usual Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem, see for instance [26, Prop 3.5], the following estimate holds:

$$\|\text{Op}_h(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq C_d \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N_d} \|\partial_x^\alpha a\|_{L^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)}, \quad h \in (0, 1].$$

Now, using the elementary estimate

$$(46) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} t^m e^{-t\rho} = \left(\frac{m}{e\rho}\right)^m, \quad m > 0,$$

we obtain

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha a\|_{L^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |k^\alpha| \|\widehat{a}(k, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \left(\frac{|\alpha|}{e\rho}\right)^{|\alpha|} \|a\|_{s,\rho} = C_{\alpha,\rho} \|a\|_{s,\rho}.$$

$\square$

Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ , the operator given by the composition  $\text{Op}_h(a) \text{Op}_h(b)$  is another Weyl pseudodifferential operator with symbol  $c$  given by the Moyal product  $c = a \sharp_h b$ , see for instance [16, Chp. 7]. To write a suitable formula for  $c$ , we consider the product space  $\mathcal{Z}^d := \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and the measure  $\kappa$  on  $\mathcal{Z}^d$  defined by

$$\kappa(w) = \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(k) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\eta), \quad w = (k, \eta) \in \mathcal{Z}^d,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(k) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta(k - j), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Using this measure, we can write any function  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  as

$$a(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathcal{Z}^d} \mathcal{F}a(w) e^{iz \cdot w} \kappa(dw),$$

where  $z = (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{T}^d$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the Fourier transform in  $T^*\mathbb{T}^d$ :

$$\mathcal{F}a(w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{T^*\mathbb{T}^d} a(z) e^{-iw \cdot z} dz.$$

With these conventions, the Moyal product  $c = a \sharp_{\hbar} b$  can be written by the following integral formula:

$$(47) \quad a \sharp_{\hbar} b(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \int_{\mathcal{Z}^d \times \mathcal{Z}^d} (\mathcal{F}a)(w') (\mathcal{F}b)(w - w') e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\{w', w - w'\}} e^{iz \cdot w} \kappa(dw') \kappa(dw),$$

where  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  stands for the standard symplectic product in  $\mathcal{Z}^d \times \mathcal{Z}^d$ :

$$\{w, w'\} = k \cdot \eta' - k' \cdot \eta, \quad w = (k, \eta), \quad w' = (k', \eta').$$

Alternatively, we can deduce from (47) the following formula:

$$(48) \quad a \sharp_{\hbar} b(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{a}\left(k', \xi + \frac{\hbar(k - k')}{2}\right) \widehat{b}\left(k - k', \xi - \frac{\hbar k'}{2}\right) e^{ik \cdot x}.$$

We will also employ the notation  $[a, b]_{\hbar} := a \sharp_{\hbar} b - b \sharp_{\hbar} a$ , hence  $[\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a), \text{Op}_{\hbar}(b)] = \text{Op}_{\hbar}([a, b]_{\hbar})$ . Moreover, given  $a, F \in \mathcal{A}_{s, \rho}$ , we have the following formula for the conjugation of  $\text{Op}_{\hbar}(a)$  by  $e^{i\frac{t}{\hbar} \text{Op}_{\hbar}(F)}$ :

$$e^{i\frac{t}{\hbar} \text{Op}_{\hbar}(F)} \text{Op}_{\hbar}(a) e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar} \text{Op}_{\hbar}(F)} = \text{Op}_{\hbar}(\Psi_{t, \hbar}^F(a)), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where the symbol  $\Psi_{t, \hbar}^F(a)$  is given formally by

$$\Psi_{t, \hbar}^F(a) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left( \frac{it}{\hbar} \right) \text{Ad}_F^{\sharp_{\hbar}, j}(a), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

and, as usual in the terminology of Lie algebras,

$$\text{Ad}_F^{\sharp_{\hbar}, j}(a) = [F, \text{Ad}_F^{\sharp_{\hbar}, j-1}(a)]_{\hbar}, \quad \text{Ad}_F^{\sharp_{\hbar}, 0}(a) = a.$$

For every  $0 < u \leq \min\{s, \rho\}$ , we define the following norm in  $\mathcal{A}_{s, \rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ :

$$(49) \quad \|a\|_u := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathcal{Z}^d} |\mathcal{F}a(w)| e^{|w|u} \kappa(dw) = \|a\|_{u, u} \leq \|a\|_{s, \rho}.$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{s, \rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Then, for  $0 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < u := \min\{s, \rho\}$ :*

$$(50) \quad \|[a, b]_{\hbar}\|_{u - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2} \leq \frac{2\hbar}{e^2 \sigma_1 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)} \|a\|_u \|b\|_{u - \sigma_2}.$$

Moreover, if  $c \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , then:

$$(51) \quad \|[a, c]_{\hbar}\|_{u - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2} \leq \frac{\hbar}{e^2 \sigma_1 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)} \|a\|_u \|c\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\rho - \sigma_2}(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

*Proof.* By (47), we have

$$[a, b]_{\hbar}(z) = 2i \int_{\mathcal{Z}^{2d}} \mathcal{F}a(w') \mathcal{F}b(w - w') \sin\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\{w', w - w'\}\right) \frac{e^{iw \cdot z}}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \kappa(dw') \kappa(dw).$$

Then, using that

$$(52) \quad |\{w', w - w'\}| \leq 2|w'| |w - w'|,$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|[a, b]_h\|_{u-\sigma_1-\sigma_2} \\
& \leq 2\hbar \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{2d}} |\mathcal{F}a(w')| |w'| |\mathcal{F}b(w-w')| |w-w'| e^{(u-\sigma_1-\sigma_2)(|w-w'|+|w'|)} \kappa(dw') \kappa(dw) \\
& \leq 2\hbar \left( \sup_{r \geq 0} r e^{-\sigma_1 r} \right) \left( \sup_{r \geq 0} r e^{-(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)r} \right) \|a\|_u \|b\|_{u-\sigma_2} \\
& \leq \frac{2\hbar}{e^2 \sigma_1 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)} \|a\|_u \|b\|_{u-\sigma_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (51), observe that, in view of (47) and (48),

$$[a, c]_h(z) = 2i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{F}a(w') \widehat{c}(k-k') \sin \left( \frac{\hbar}{2} (k-k') \cdot \eta' \right) e^{i(k \cdot x + \eta' \cdot \xi)} \frac{\kappa(dw')}{(2\pi)^{3d/2}}.$$

Then (51) follows by the the same argument as before but with the estimate

$$|(k-k') \cdot \eta'| \leq |w'| |k-k'|,$$

instead of (52).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** Assume  $a, F \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  and  $b \in \mathcal{A}_\rho(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < \sigma < u := \min\{s, \rho\}$  such that

$$\beta = \frac{2|t|\|F\|_u}{\sigma^2} \leq 1/2.$$

Then

$$(53) \quad \|\Psi_{t,h}^F(a) - a\|_{u-\sigma} \leq \beta \|a\|_u, \quad \|\Psi_{t,h}^F(b) - b\|_{u-\sigma} \leq \beta \|b\|_{\mathcal{A}_\rho(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma (50), for every  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{Ad}_F^{\sharp_h, j}(a)\|_{u-\sigma} &= \|[F, \text{Ad}_F^{\sharp_h, j-1}(a)]_h\|_{u-\sigma} \\
&\leq \frac{2\hbar j}{e^2 \sigma^2} \|F\|_u \|\text{Ad}_F^{\sharp_h, j-1}(a)\|_{u-\sigma(j-1)/j} \\
&\leq \frac{4\hbar^2 j^3}{e^4 \sigma^4 (j-1)} \|F\|_u^2 \|\text{Ad}_F^{\sharp_h, j-2}(a)\|_{u-\sigma(j-2)/j} \\
&\leq \cdots \leq \left( \frac{2\hbar}{e^2 \sigma^2} \right)^j \frac{j^{2j}}{j!} \|F\|_u^j \|a\|_u.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling's formula  $j^j / e^{j-1} j! \leq 1$  for  $j \geq 1$ , we conclude that

$$\|\Psi_{t,h}^F(a) - a\|_{u-\sigma} \leq \frac{\|a\|_u}{e^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j \leq \beta \|a\|_u.$$

The proof of the second inequality in (53) follows the same argument, but using (51) instead of (50) to obtain

$$\|[F, b]\|_{u-\sigma/j} \leq \frac{\hbar j^2}{e^2 \sigma^2} \|F\|_u \|b\|_{\mathcal{A}_\rho(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

$\square$

Observe that Lemma 5 requires some loss of analyticity to bound  $\Psi_{t,h}^F(a) - a$ . On the other hand, if one wanted to avoid this loss of analyticity, one could use the following weaker lemma:



**Lemma 6.** Assume that  $a, F \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_h \leq h$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\beta = 2|t|\|F\|_{s,\rho} \leq 1/2,$$

then

$$\|\Psi_{t,h}^{\varepsilon_h F}(a) - a\|_{s,\rho} \leq \beta\|a\|_{s,\rho}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$[a, b]_h(z) = 2i \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{2d}} \mathcal{F}a(w') \mathcal{F}b(w - w') \sin\left(\frac{h}{2}\{w', w - w'\}\right) \frac{e^{iw \cdot z}}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \kappa(dw') \kappa(dw),$$

using the trivial bound

$$(54) \quad \|[F, a]_h\|_{s,\rho} \leq 2\|F\|_{s,\rho}\|a\|_{s,\rho},$$

we obtain

$$\|\Psi_{t,h}^{\varepsilon_h F}(a) - a\|_{s,\rho} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^j \|\text{Ad}_{\varepsilon_h F}^{\sharp, j}(a)\|_{s,\rho} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^j \|F\|_s^j \|a\|_s}{j!} \leq \beta\|a\|_{s,\rho}.$$

□

**Lemma 7.** Let  $V \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Then, the cohomological equation

$$(55) \quad \frac{i}{h} [\widehat{L}_{\omega, h}, \text{Op}_h(F)] = \text{Op}_h(V - \langle V \rangle), \quad \langle F \rangle = 0,$$

has a unique solution  $F \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho-\sigma}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  for every  $0 < \sigma < \rho$  such that

$$\|F\|_{s,\rho-\sigma} \leq \varsigma^{-1} \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{e\sigma} \right)^{\gamma-1} \|V\|_{s,\rho}.$$

*Proof.* Using the properties of the symbolic calculus for the Weyl quantization, equation (55) at symbol level is just

$$(56) \quad \{\mathcal{L}_{\omega}, F\} = V - \langle V \rangle, \quad \langle F \rangle = 0.$$

Recall also that, by (29), the average of  $V$  is given by

$$\langle V \rangle(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} V(x, \xi) dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \widehat{V}(0, \xi).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\{\mathcal{L}_{\omega}, F\}(x, \xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} i\omega \cdot k \widehat{F}(k, \xi) e_k(x),$$

we obtain the following formal expression for the solution of (56):

$$(57) \quad F(x, \xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\widehat{V}(k, \xi)}{i\omega \cdot k} e_k(x).$$

Finally, by Diophantine condition (8) and estimate (46), we conclude that

$$\|F\|_{s,\rho-\sigma} \leq \varsigma^{-1} \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{e\sigma} \right)^{\gamma-1} \|V\|_{s,\rho}.$$

□

**Lemma 8.** Assume  $\varepsilon_h \leq \hbar$ . Let  $\langle V \rangle \in \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and let  $F_j \in \mathcal{A}_{s,\rho_j}(T^*\mathbb{T}^d)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and some positive numbers  $\rho_1 \geq \dots \geq \rho_n > 0$  such that

$$2\|F_j\|_{s,\rho_j} \leq \beta \alpha^{j-1},$$

where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  satisfy

$$\lambda := e^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} - 1 < 1.$$

Then, there exists  $R \in \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  so that

$$\langle \Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_n} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_1} R \rangle = \langle V \rangle,$$

and

$$\|R\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \|\langle V \rangle\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \|\Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_n} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{1,h}^{\varepsilon_h F_1} R\|_{s,\rho_n} \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \|\langle V \rangle\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

*Proof.* Define the map  $T : \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$T(R) := \langle \Psi_{h,1}^{\varepsilon_h F_n} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{h,1}^{\varepsilon_h F_1} R \rangle.$$

By Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(R) - R\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \leq \left[ \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \beta \alpha^{j-1}) - 1 \right] \|R\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \left( e^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} - 1 \right) \|R\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \lambda \|R\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exists an inverse map  $T^{-1} : \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by Neumann series, and

$$\|T^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s} \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda}.$$

Finally, applying Lemma 6 one more time, we obtain:

$$\|\Psi_{h,1}^{\varepsilon_h F_n} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{h,1}^{\varepsilon_h F_1} R\|_{s,\rho_n} \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \|\langle V \rangle\|_{\mathcal{A}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This concludes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aissiou, F. Macià, and D. Jakobson. Uniform estimates for the solutions of the schrödinger equation on the torus and regularity of semiclassical measures. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 19(3):589–599, 2012.
- [2] N. Anantharaman. Entropy and the localization of eigenfunctions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 168(2):435–475, 2008.
- [3] N. Anantharaman, C. Fermanian-Kammerer, and F. Macià. Semiclassical completely integrable systems: Long-time dynamics and observability via two-microlocal Wigner measures. *Amer. J. Math.*, 137(3):577–638, 2015.
- [4] N. Anantharaman, M. Léautaud, and F. Macià. Wigner measures and observability for the Schrödinger equation on the disk. *Invent. Math.*, 206(2):485–599, 2016.
- [5] N. Anantharaman, M. Léautaud, and F. Macià. Delocalization of quasimodes on the disk. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 354(3):257–263, 2016.
- [6] N. Anantharaman and F. Macià. Semiclassical measures for the Schrödinger equation on the torus. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 16(6):1253–1288, 2014.
- [7] N. Anantharaman and S. Nonnenmacher. Half-delocalization of eigenfunctions for the Laplacian on an Anosov manifold. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 57(7):2465–2523, 2007. Festival Yves Colin de Verdière.
- [8] V. Arnaiz and F. Macià. Concentration of quasimodes for perturbed harmonic oscillators. *In preparation*, 2018.
- [9] V. Arnaiz and G. Rivière. Spectral asymptotics for non-selfadjoint harmonic oscillators. *Preprint*, 2018.

- [10] D. Azagra and F. Macià. Concentration of symmetric eigenfunctions. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 73(3):683–688, 2010.
- [11] D. Bambusi, B. Grebert, A. Maspero, and D. Robert. Reducibility of the Quantum Harmonic Oscillator in  $d$ -dimensions with Polynomial Time Dependent Perturbation. *Preprint. arXiv:1702.05274v2*, 2017.
- [12] A. L. Besse. *Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed*, volume 93 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978. With appendices by D. B. A. Epstein, J.-P. Bourguignon, L. Bérard-Bergery, M. Berger and J. L. Kazdan.
- [13] J. Bourgain and E. Lindenstrauss. Entropy of quantum limits. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 233(1):153–171, 2003.
- [14] C. Chandre, M. Govin, and H. R. Jauslin. Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser renormalization-group approach to the breakup of invariant tori in Hamiltonian systems. *Phys. Rev. E (3)*, 57(2, part A):1536–1543, 1998.
- [15] Y. Colin de Verdière. Ergodicité et fonctions propres du laplacien. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 102(3):497–502, 1985.
- [16] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand. *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*, volume 268 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [17] S. Dyatlov and L. Jin. Semiclassical measures on hyperbolic surfaces have full support. *Acta Mathematica*, (220):297–339, 2018.
- [18] J. Ecalle and B. Vallet. Correction and linearization of resonant vector fields and diffeomorphisms. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 229(2):249–318, Oct 1998.
- [19] L. H. Eliasson. Hamiltonian systems with linear normal form near an invariant torus. In *Nonlinear dynamics (Bologna, 1988)*, pages 11–29. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [20] J. Feldman and E. Trubowitz. Renormalization in classical mechanics and many-body quantum field theory. *J. Anal. Math.*, 58:213–247, 1992. Festschrift on the occasion of the 70th birthday of Shmuel Agmon.
- [21] G. Gallavotti. A criterion of integrability for perturbed nonresonant harmonic oscillators. “Wick ordering” of the perturbations in classical mechanics and invariance of the frequency spectrum. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 87(3):365–383, 1982/83.
- [22] G. Gallavotti, G. Gentile, and V. Mastropietro. Field theory and KAM tori. *Math. Phys. Electron. J.*, 1:Paper 5, approx. 13 pp. 1995.
- [23] G. Gentile and V. Mastropietro. Methods for the analysis of the Lindstedt series for KAM, tori and renormalizability in classical mechanics. A review with some applications. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 8(3):393–444, 1996.
- [24] S. Gomes. Quantum ergodicity in mixed and KAM systems. *Preprint. arXiv:1709.09919*, 2017.
- [25] M. Govin, H.R. Jauslin, and M. Cibils. Convergence of KAM iterations for counterterm problems. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 9(3):419–427, 1998.
- [26] S. Graffi and T. Paul. Convergence of a quantum normal form and an exact quantization formula. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 262(7):3340–3393, 2012.
- [27] P. Gérard. Mesures semi-classiques et ondes de Bloch. *Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique)*, pages 1–19, 1990-1991.
- [28] A. Hassell. Ergodic billiards that are not quantum unique ergodic. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(1):605–619, 2010. With an appendix by the author and Luc Hilgert.
- [29] B. Helffer, A. Martinez, and D. Robert. Ergodicité et limite semi-classique. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 109(2):313–326, 1987.
- [30] D. Jakobson. Quantum limits on flat tori. *Annals of Mathematics*, 145(2):235–266, 1997.
- [31] D. Jakobson and S. Zelditch. Classical limits of eigenfunctions for some completely integrable systems. *Emerging Applications of Number Theory. Springer New York*, pages 329–354, 1999.
- [32] A. B. Katok. Ergodic perturbations of degenerate integrable Hamiltonian systems. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 37:539–576, 1973.
- [33] H. Koch. A renormalization group for Hamiltonians, with applications to KAM tori. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 19(2):475–521, 1999.
- [34] V. F. Lazutkin. *KAM theory and semiclassical approximations to eigenfunctions*, volume 24 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. With an addendum by A. I. Shnirelman.
- [35] E. Lindenstrauss. Invariant measures and arithmetic quantum unique ergodicity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 163(1):165–219, 2006.

- [36] F. Macià. Some remarks on quantum limits on Zoll manifolds. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 33(4-6):1137–1146, 2008.
- [37] F. Macià. High-frequency propagation for the Schrödinger equation on the torus. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258(3):933–955, 2010.
- [38] F. Macià. The Schrödinger flow on a compact manifold: High-frequency dynamics and dispersion. In *Modern Aspects of the Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, volume 216 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 275–289. Springer, Basel, 2011.
- [39] F. Macià and G. Rivière. Concentration and non-concentration for the Schrödinger evolution on Zoll manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 345(3):1019–1054, 2016.
- [40] F. Macià and G. Rivière. Observability and quantum limits for the schrödinger equation on the sphere. 2017. Preprint arXiv:1702.02066.
- [41] J. Moser. Convergent series expansions for quasi-periodic motions. *Mathematische Annalen*, 169:136–176, 1967.
- [42] J. Moser and E. J. Zehnder. *Notes on dynamical systems*, volume 12 of *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 2005.
- [43] G. Popov. Invariant tori, effective stability, and quasimodes with exponentially small error terms. I. Birkhoff normal forms. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 1(2):223–248, 2000.
- [44] G. Popov. Invariant tori, effective stability, and quasimodes with exponentially small error terms. II. Quantum Birkhoff normal forms. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 1(2):249–279, 2000.
- [45] J. Pöschel. A Lecture on the Classical KAM Theorem. *Preprint. arXiv:0908.2234*, 2009.
- [46] J. Pöschel. KAM à la R. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, 16(1-2):17–23, 2011.
- [47] G. Rivière. Entropy of semiclassical measures in dimension 2. *Duke. Math. J.*, 155(2):271–335, 2010.
- [48] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak. The behaviour of eigenstates of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 161(1):195–213, 1994.
- [49] H. Rüssmann. KAM iteration with nearly infinitely small steps in dynamical systems of polynomial character. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 3(4):683–718, 2010.
- [50] P. Sarnak. Arithmetic quantum chaos. In *The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv)*, volume 8 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 183–236. Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1995.
- [51] Ya. G. Sinaï and K. M. Khanin. Renormalization group method in the theory of dynamical systems. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. B*, 2(2):147–165, 1988.
- [52] A. I. Snirelman. Ergodic properties of eigenfunctions. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 29(6(180)):181–182, 1974.
- [53] C. Wenyi and Y. Chi, M. Hypoelliptic vector fields and almost periodic motions on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 25:337–354, 2000.
- [54] E. Zehnder. Generalized implicit function theorems with applications to some small divisor problems, I. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 28(1):91–140, 1975.
- [55] E. Zehnder. Generalized implicit function theorems with applications to some small divisor problems, II. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 29(1):49–111, 1976.
- [56] S. Zelditch. Uniform distribution of eigenfunctions on compact hyperbolic surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(4):919–941, 1987.
- [57] S. Zelditch. Quantum ergodicity of  $C^*$  dynamical systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 177(2):507–528, 1996.
- [58] M. Zworski. *Semiclassical analysis*, volume 138 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

*Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (ICMAT-UAM-UC3M-UCM)*  
*C/ Nicolás Cabrera, nº 13-15 Campus de Cantoblanco, UAM,*  
*28049 Madrid, Spain.*  
*victor.arnaz@icmat.es*