

# CONSTRUCTION OF LCS AND LCK STRUCTURES ON LIE ALGEBRAS AND SOLVMANIFOLDS

M. ORIGLIA

ABSTRACT. We show a method to build new examples of Lie algebras admitting locally conformal symplectic (LCS) or locally conformal Kähler (LCK) structures starting with a smaller dimensional Lie algebra endowed with a LCS or LCK structure respectively, and a suitable representation. We also study the existence of lattices in the associated simply connected Lie groups in order to obtain compact examples of manifolds admitting these kind of structures. Finally we show that the Lie algebra underlying the well known Oeljeklaus-Toma solvmanifold of type  $(s, 1)$  can be reobtained using our construction.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *locally conformal symplectic* structure (LCS for short) on the manifold  $M$  is a non degenerate 2-form  $\omega$  such that there exists an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  and smooth functions  $f_i$  on  $U_i$  such that  $\omega_i = \exp(-f_i)\omega$  is a symplectic form on  $U_i$ . This condition is equivalent to requiring that

$$(1) \quad d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega$$

for some closed 1-form  $\theta$ , called the Lee form. The pair  $(\omega, \theta)$  will be called a LCS structure on  $M$ . It is well known that if  $(\omega, \theta)$  is a LCS structure on  $M$ , then  $\omega$  is symplectic if and only if  $\theta = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\theta$  is uniquely determined by equation (1), but there is not an explicit formula for the Lee form. If  $\omega$  is a non degenerate 2-form on  $M$ , with  $\dim M \geq 6$ , such that (1) holds for some 1-form  $\theta$  then  $\theta$  is automatically closed and therefore  $M$  is LCS.

According to Vaisman (see [32]) there are two different types of LCS structures. If  $(\omega, \theta)$  is a LCS structure on  $M$ , a vector field  $X$  is called an infinitesimal automorphism of  $(\omega, \theta)$  if  $L_X\omega = 0$ , where  $L$  denotes the Lie derivative. This implies  $L_X\theta = 0$  as well and, as a consequence,  $\theta(X)$  is a constant function on  $M$ . We consider  $\mathfrak{X}_\omega(M) = \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) : L_X\omega = 0\}$  which is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}_\omega(M)$ , then the map  $\theta|_{\mathfrak{X}_\omega(M)} : \mathfrak{X}_\omega(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a well defined Lie algebra morphism called the Lee morphism. If there exists an infinitesimal automorphism  $X$  such that  $\theta(X) \neq 0$ , the LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  is said to be of *the first kind*, and it is of *the second kind* otherwise. This condition is equivalent to the Lee morphism being either surjective or identically zero. In the literature, there is more information about LCS structures of the first kind, for example, in [32] the author gives relations with contact geometry and it is also proved that a manifold with a LCS structure of the first kind admits distinguished foliations. On the other hand, LCS structures of the second kind are less understood.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E25, 53C15, 53D05, 22E40.

*Key words and phrases.* Locally conformal symplectic structure, locally conformal Kähler structure, Lie algebra, Lie group, lattice, solvmanifold.

This work was partially supported by the Research Foundation Flanders (Project G.0F93.17N) and CONICET, SECyTUNC and ANPCyT (Argentina) .

There is another way to distinguish LCS structures, to do this, we can deform the de Rham differential  $d$  to obtain the adapted differential operator

$$d_\theta \alpha = d\alpha - \theta \wedge \alpha,$$

for any differential form  $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M)$ . Since  $\theta$  is  $d$ -closed, this operator satisfies  $d_\theta^2 = 0$ , thus it defines the *Morse-Novikov cohomology*  $H_\theta^*(M)$  of  $M$  relative to the closed 1-form  $\theta$  (see [21, 22]). Note that if  $\theta$  is exact then  $H_\theta^*(M) \simeq H_{dR}^*(M)$ . It is known that if  $M$  is a compact oriented  $n$ -dimensional manifold, then  $H_\theta^0(M) = H_\theta^n(M) = 0$  for any non exact closed 1-form  $\theta$  (see for instance [13, 14]). For any LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  on  $M$ , the 2-form  $\omega$  defines a cohomology class  $[\omega]_\theta \in H_\theta^2(M)$ , since  $d_\theta \omega = d\omega - \theta \wedge \omega = 0$ . The LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  is said to be *exact* if  $\omega$  is  $d_\theta$ -exact or  $[\omega]_\theta = 0$ , i.e.,  $\omega = d\eta - \theta \wedge \eta$  for some 1-form  $\eta$ , and it is *non-exact* if  $[\omega]_\theta \neq 0$ . It was proved in [32] that if the LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  is of the first kind on  $M$  then  $\omega$  is  $d_\theta$ -exact, i.e.,  $[\omega]_\theta = 0$ . But the converse is not true.

If we add a Hermitian structure  $(J, g)$  on  $M$  compatible with the LCS 2-form  $\omega$ , i.e.,  $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ , we arrive to the notion of *locally conformal Kähler* structure on  $M$  (LCK for short), where  $J$  is a complex structure,  $g$  is a Hermitian metric and  $\omega$  is called the fundamental form. More precisely,  $(M, J, g)$  is a locally conformal Kähler manifold if there exists an open covering  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $M$  and a family  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $C^\infty$  functions,  $f_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that each local metric

$$g_i = \exp(-f_i) g|_{U_i}$$

is Kähler. As in the LCS case, there is an equivalent condition given in terms of  $\omega$ . Indeed, a Hermitian manifold  $(M, J, g)$  is LCK if and only if there exists a closed 1-form  $\theta$  globally defined on  $M$  such that it satisfies

$$(2) \quad d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega.$$

The Lee form  $\theta$  is completely determined by  $\omega$ , and there is an explicit formula given by

$$(3) \quad \theta = -\frac{1}{n-1}(\delta\omega) \circ J,$$

where  $\delta$  is the codifferential and  $2n$  is the dimension of  $M$ . It is well known that LCK manifolds belong to the class  $\mathcal{W}_4$  of the Gray-Hervella classification of almost Hermitian manifolds [12]. There is a distinguished class of LCK manifolds satisfying that the Lee form is parallel with respect to the Levi Civita connection of the Hermitian metric  $g$ , and they are called Vaisman manifolds. These manifolds have been much studied by Vaisman (see [30, 31]) and more recently by many authors, see for instance [7, 11, 25, 26].

In this work we focus on left invariant LCK and LCS structures on Lie groups or equivalently LCK or LCS structures on Lie algebras. We give a method to produce many examples of Lie algebras admitting LCS or LCK structures. More precisely, we start with a Lie algebra endowed with a LCS or LCK structure and a suitable representation and we produce a higher dimensional Lie algebra with a LCS or LCK structure. We also study the existence of lattices in the associated simply connected Lie groups in order to obtain compact examples of manifolds admitting this kind of structures.

LCS structures of the first kind are better understood because they are related with other important geometric structures (see for instance [32] or more recently [8]). On the other hand not much is known about Lie algebras with a LCS structure of the second kind. In [4] the authors establish a link between cosymplectic Lie algebras in dimension  $2n - 1$  and non-exact LCS structure in dimension  $2n$ . In [2] we study LCS structures on almost

abelian Lie groups, and we exhibit examples of solvmanifolds with LCS structure of the second kind in any dimension greater than or equal to 6. We do not know many other explicit examples of solvmanifold with a LCS structure of the second kind. Therefore, we consider that it would be very interesting to find new examples of Lie algebras and Lie groups admitting LCS structures of the second kind, hopefully they might be used to understand better this kind of structures.

Concerning LCK structures, the picture in real dimension 4 is well understood (see for instance [9, 15]). For dimensions greater than 4 Vaisman manifolds are well known (see [3, 7, 25, 31]). On the other hand, LCK structures which are not of Vaisman type are less understood, except for the well known Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds (see [23]). Therefore, it would be very useful to find new examples of LCK structures which are not of Vaisman type. With this aim we use the recent classification of LCK structures of 4-dimensional Lie algebras in [5] to produce new examples of Lie algebras and Lie groups with (non-Vaisman) LCK structures. It will be the goal of future research to study the existence of lattices in these Lie groups in order to provide examples of compact manifolds admitting this structure.

The outline of this article is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some definitions and known results about LCS or LCK structures. We also prove that a left invariant LCS structure of the second kind on a Lie group induces a LCS structure of the second kind on any compact quotient by a discrete subgroup. This allow us to study this geometric structure at the Lie algebra level. In Section 3 we give a method to build new examples of Lie algebras admitting LCS structures of the second kind starting with a Lie algebra endowed with a LCS structure and a compatible representation (see Theorem 3.3), pointing out when the resulting Lie algebra is unimodular. We also exhibit examples of Lie algebras built in this way and we study the existence of lattices in the associated Lie groups giving new examples of solvmanifolds admitting invariant LCS structures of the second kind. In section 4 we adapt this method to produce examples of Lie algebras admitting LCK structures. We prove that these Lie algebras do not admit any Vaisman structure. We also study properties of the Lie algebras built with this method and an explicit example is exhibited. Finally we show that the Lie algebra of the very well-known Oeljeklaus-Toma solvmanifold can me reobtained using our construction.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

As mentioned before, in this work we focus on left invariant LCS and LCK structures on Lie groups. We recall first that a LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  on a Lie group  $G$  is called left invariant if  $\omega$  is left invariant, and this easily implies that  $\theta$  is also left invariant. Accordingly, we say that a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a *locally conformal symplectic* (LCS) structure if there exist  $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , with  $\omega$  non degenerate and  $\theta$  closed, such that (1) is satisfied.

As in the case of manifolds we have that a LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be of the first kind or of the second kind. Indeed, let us denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_\omega$  the set of infinitesimal automorphisms of the LCS structure, that is,

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{g}_\omega = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : L_X \omega = 0\} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega(Y, [X, Z]) = 0 \text{ for all } Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

where  $L$  denotes the Lie derivative. Note that  $\mathfrak{g}_\omega \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie subalgebra, thus the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_\omega$  is a Lie algebra morphism called the *Lee morphism*. The LCS

structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  is said to be *of the first kind* if the Lee morphism is surjective, and *of the second kind* if it is identically zero.

For a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a closed 1-form  $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  we also have the Morse-Novikov cohomology  $H_\theta^*(\mathfrak{g})$  defined by the differential operator  $d_\theta$  on  $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*$  defined by

$$d_\theta \alpha = d\alpha - \theta \wedge \alpha.$$

As in manifolds, we have that a LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  on a Lie algebra is said to be exact if  $[\omega]_\theta = 0$  or non-exact if  $[\omega]_\theta \neq 0$ .

**Remark 2.1.** If the Lie algebra is unimodular, it was proved in [8] that a LCS structure is of the first kind if and only if it is exact.

For a left invariant LCK structure on a Lie group  $G$  we understand a left invariant Hermitian structure  $(J, g)$  satisfying the LCK condition (1). Clearly, the fundamental 2-form  $\omega$  is left invariant and, using (3), it is easy to see that the corresponding Lee form  $\theta$  on  $G$  is also left invariant. This fact allows us to define LCK structures on Lie algebras. We recall that a *complex structure*  $J$  on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an endomorphism  $J : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying  $J^2 = -\text{Id}$  and

$$N_J = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad N_J(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J([JX, Y] + [X, JY]),$$

for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra,  $J$  a complex structure and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  a Hermitian inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with  $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$  the fundamental 2-form. We say that  $(\mathfrak{g}, J, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is *locally conformal Kähler* (LCK) if there exists  $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , with  $d\theta = 0$ , such that condition (1) holds.

We have the following orthogonal decomposition for a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a LCK structure  $(J, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ ,

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}A \oplus \ker \theta$$

where  $\theta$  is the Lee form and  $\theta(A) = 1$ . Since  $d\theta = 0$ , we have that  $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \ker \theta$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{g}$  cannot be a semisimple Lie algebra. It is also clear that  $JA \in \ker \theta$ , but when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unimodular we have that  $JA$  is in fact in the commutator ideal  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (see [1]).

**2.1. Solvmanifolds.** Let us consider LCS structures on Lie algebras, or equivalently left invariant LCS structures on Lie groups. If the Lie group is simply connected then any left invariant LCS structure turns out to be globally conformal to a symplectic structure, which is essentially to have a symplectic structure on the Lie group. The same holds for LCK structures. Therefore we will study compact quotients of such a Lie group by lattices. Recall that a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of a simply connected Lie group  $G$  is called a *lattice* if the quotient  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is compact. In this case we have that  $\pi_1(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong \Gamma$ . The quotient  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is called a solvmanifold if  $G$  is solvable and it is called a nilmanifold if  $G$  is nilpotent.

It is clear that a left invariant LCS or LCK structure on a Lie group  $G$  induces a LCS or LCK structure on any quotient  $\Gamma \backslash G$ , which will be non simply connected and therefore the inherited LCS or LCK structure is “strict”.

It is important to mention that if a Lie group admits a lattice then such Lie group must be unimodular, according to [20]. Besides this necessary condition, there is no general criterion to determine whether a given unimodular solvable Lie group admits a lattice. It is a very difficult problem in itself. However, there is such a criterion for nilpotent Lie groups. Indeed, Malcev proved in [19] that a nilpotent Lie group admits a lattice

if and only if its Lie algebra has a rational form, that is, there exists a basis of the Lie algebra such that the corresponding structure constants are all rational. More recently, Bock in [10] studied the existence of lattices in simply connected solvable Lie groups up to dimension 6 and he gave a criterion for the existence of lattices in almost abelian Lie groups.

Concerning LCS structures on a solvmanifold  $\Gamma \backslash G$  which arise from a LCS structure on  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , it is easy to see that if the LCS structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of the first kind, then the induced LCS structure on the quotient  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is of the first kind as well. We will prove in the next result that the same happens for LCS structures of the second kind, i.e., a LCS structure of the second kind on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces a LCS structure of the second kind on any compact quotient  $\Gamma \backslash G$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\Gamma \backslash G$  be a solvmanifold and  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . If  $(\omega, \theta)$  is a LCS structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  of the second kind, then the LCS structure induced on the solvmanifold  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is of the second kind.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\omega, \theta)$  be a LCS structure of the second kind on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unimodular, it follows from Remark 2.1 that  $(\omega, \theta)$  is not exact, i.e.,  $0 \neq [\omega] \in H_\theta^2(\mathfrak{g})$ . Let  $(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\theta})$  be the induced LCS structure on  $\Gamma \backslash G$ . According to [18] there exists an injective map  $i : H_\theta^2(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H_\theta^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . This map arises from the natural inclusion of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $\mathfrak{X}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Therefore  $0 \neq [i(\omega)] = [\hat{\omega}] \in H_\theta^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Then  $\hat{\omega}$  is non exact in  $\Gamma \backslash G$  and it follows from [32] that the LCS structure  $(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\theta})$  in the solvmanifold  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is of the second kind.  $\square$

### 3. CONSTRUCTION OF LIE ALGEBRAS WITH LCS STRUCTURES OF THE SECOND KIND

In this section we study LCS structures of the second kind on Lie algebras and on solvmanifolds as well. In particular we give a method to build new examples of Lie algebras admitting a LCS structures of the second kind starting with a Lie algebra endowed with a LCS structure and a compatible representation. Then we study lattices in the simply connected Lie groups associated to some of these Lie algebras and we exhibit new examples of solvmanifolds admitting LCS structures of the second kind.

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Lie algebra,  $(\omega, \theta)$  a LCS structure on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and let  $(V, \omega_0)$  be a symplectic vector space of dimension  $2n$ . We consider a representation

$$\pi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \text{End}(V).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra given by  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_\pi V$ , equipped with the non degenerate 2-form  $\tilde{\omega}$  given by  $\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \omega$  and  $\tilde{\omega}|_V = \omega_0$ . In particular  $\tilde{\omega}(X, Y) = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}, Y \in V$ . We define the 1-form  $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  by  $\tilde{\theta}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta$  and  $\tilde{\theta}|_V = 0$ .

We determine next when the pair  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  is a LCS structure on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Computing  $d\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\theta} \wedge \tilde{\omega}$  we can easily see that  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  is a LCS structure if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$(6) \quad -\omega_0(\pi(X)Y, Z) + \omega_0(\pi(X)Z, Y) = \theta(X)\omega_0(Y, Z),$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $Y, Z \in V$ .

We denote by  $S$  and  $\rho$  the  $\omega_0$ -symmetric part and  $\omega_0$ -skew-symmetric part of  $\pi$ . More precisely, for each  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$\pi(X) = S(X) + \rho(X),$$

where  $S(X)$  is  $\omega_0$ -symmetric and  $\rho(X)$  is  $\omega_0$ -skew-symmetric with respect to the non degenerate 2-form  $\omega_0$ , that is,  $S(X)$  satisfies  $\omega_0(S(X)Y, Z) = \omega_0(Y, S(X)Z)$  and  $\rho(X)$  satisfies  $\omega_0(\rho(X)Y, Z) = -\omega_0(Y, \rho(X)Z)$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $Y, Z \in V$ . This condition on  $\rho$  is equivalent to saying that  $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega_0)$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ . It is easy to verify that (6) holds if and only if  $-2S(X) = \theta(X) \text{Id}$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** With the notation above, if  $\pi(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X) \text{Id} + \rho(X)$  and  $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega_0)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then we say that  $\pi$  is a *LCS representation*.

**Remark 3.2.** Note that any LCS Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  admits a LCS representation. For example, taking  $\rho = 0$ .

Therefore we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Lie algebra with a LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  and let  $(V, \omega_0)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic vector space. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$  and  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  given by  $\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \omega$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}|_V = \omega_0$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta$  and  $\tilde{\theta}|_V = 0$ . Then  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  is a LCS structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if  $\pi$  is a LCS representation. Moreover,  $\rho : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega_0)$  is a representation and  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  is a LCS structure of the second kind.*

*Proof.* As we mentioned above,  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  is a LCS structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  we have  $\pi(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X) \text{Id} + \rho(X)$  with  $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega_0)$ . We have to check next that  $\rho(X) : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega_0)$  is a representation. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \pi([X, Y]) &= [\pi(X), \pi(Y)] \\ &= [S(X) + \rho(X), S(Y) + \rho(Y)] \\ &= [\rho(X), \rho(Y)], \end{aligned}$$

since  $S(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X) \text{Id}$ . On the other hand we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi([X, Y]) &= S([X, Y]) + \rho([X, Y]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\theta([X, Y]) + \rho([X, Y]) \\ &= \rho([X, Y]), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\theta$  is closed and the first part of the result follows.

Finally, we see that  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  is a LCS structure of the second kind. Indeed, let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $X = H + Y$  with  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $Y \in V$ . Then  $\tilde{\omega}([X, Z], W) + \tilde{\omega}(Z, [X, W]) = 0$  for any  $Z, W \in \mathfrak{g}$ . In particular, for any  $Z, W \in V$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{\omega}([X, Z], W) + \tilde{\omega}(Z, [X, W]) \\ &= \omega_0([H + Y, Z], W) + \omega_0(Z, [H + Y, W]) \\ &= \omega_0(\pi(H)Z, W) + \omega_0(Z, \pi(H)W) \\ &= \omega_0(-\frac{1}{2}\theta(H)Z + \rho(H)Z, W) + \omega_0(Z, -\frac{1}{2}\theta(H)W + \rho(H)W) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\theta(H)\omega_0(Z, W) + \omega_0(\rho(H)Z, W) - \frac{1}{2}\theta(H)\omega_0(Z, W) + \omega_0(Z, \rho(H)W) \\ &= -\theta(H)\omega_0(Z, W), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $Z, W \in V$ , where we used that  $\rho(H)$  is  $\omega$ -skew-symmetric in the last equality. Since  $\omega_0$  is non degenerate on  $V$  we can choose  $Z, W \in V$  such that  $\omega_0(Z, W) \neq 0$ , and therefore we get that  $\theta(H) = 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega} \subset \ker \theta$ , thus  $\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\omega}} \equiv 0$  and therefore we have that the LCS structure  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  is of the second kind.  $\square$

Theorem 3.3 provides us with a method to build new examples of Lie algebras equipped with a LCS structure of the second kind, starting with a LCS Lie algebra and a suitable representation. Note that the LCS structure on the initial Lie algebra can be of the first or of the second kind. This method is interesting because, as we mentioned before, there are not many general results about LCS structures of the second kind.

### 3.1. Center of LCS Lie algebras.

The center of a Lie algebra with a LCS structure was studied in [4], in particular the authors characterized the center of a nilpotent LCS Lie algebra and they proved that the dimension of the center is at most 2. Note that the nilpotency condition implies that the LCS structure is of the first kind. On the other hand, as a consequence of Theorem 3.3 it is easy to verify that there is no restriction for the dimension of the center of a Lie algebra admitting a LCS structure of the second kind, as we show in the following example.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{r}_{3,\lambda}$  be the Lie algebra with structure constants  $(0, -12, -\lambda 13, 0)$ . It means that we fix a coframe  $\{e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4\}$  for  $(\mathfrak{r}_{3,\lambda})^*$  such that  $de^1 = 0$ ,  $de^2 = -e^1 \wedge e^2$ ,  $de^3 = -\lambda e^1 \wedge e^3$  and  $de^4 = 0$ . According to [4] this Lie algebra admits a LCS structure given by  $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34}$  with Lee form  $\theta = -\lambda e^1$ , where  $\{e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4\}$  denotes the dual coframe. Consider now the  $(2n + 4)$ -dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}_{3,\lambda} \times_{\pi} \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  where  $\pi(e_i) = 0$  for  $i = 2, 3, 4$  and  $\pi(e_1) \in M(2n, \mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$\pi(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Id}_{n \times n} & \\ & \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Id}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Id}_{n \times n} & \\ & -\frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Id}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \text{Id}_{n \times n} & \\ & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix},$$

in a basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . More precisely, the Lie brackets on  $\mathfrak{g}$  are:

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = \lambda e_3, \quad [e_1, u_k] = \lambda u_k,$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $e_4 \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $v_i \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , therefore the dimension of  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  is  $n+1$ . Moreover, it can be seen that  $\pi$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.3, hence it determines a LCS structure on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $\tilde{\omega} = e^{12} + e^{34} + \sum_{i=1}^n u^i \wedge v^i$  with Lee form  $\tilde{\theta} = -\lambda e^1$ .

### 3.2. Unimodular LCS Lie algebras.

Since we are interested in finding examples of solvmanifolds equipped with a LCS structure of the second kind, we determine next when a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  built as above is unimodular.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Lie algebra with a LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$ ,  $(\pi, V)$  an  $2n$ -dimensional LCS representation and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \times_{\pi} V$  the Lie algebra with LCS structure  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  built as above. Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unimodular if and only if  $\text{tr}(\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}}) = n\theta(X)$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , the operator  $\text{ad}_X$  can be written as

$$\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{g}} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} & \\ \hline & \pi(X) \end{array} \right),$$

for some bases of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $V$ . Then we have that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unimodular if and only if  $\text{tr}(\pi(X)) = -\text{tr}(\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}})$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , and using the characterization in Theorem 3.3 this happens if and only if

$$\text{tr}(\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}}) = -\text{tr} \left( -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X) \text{Id} + \rho(X) \right) = n\theta(X),$$

for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ . □

In particular we have the following corollary, which will be used later:

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Lie algebra with a LCS structure  $(\omega, \theta)$ . If there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$(7) \quad \text{tr}(\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}}) = n\theta(X)$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then for any LCS representation  $(\pi, V)$  with  $\dim V = 2n$ , the LCS Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$  is unimodular.

Therefore the method of Theorem 3.3 together with condition (7) allow us to build unimodular Lie algebras admitting a LCS structure of the second kind starting from a non unimodular Lie algebra with a LCS structure.

### 3.3. Examples of Lie algebras with LCS structures.

In order to build examples of unimodular Lie algebras admitting LCS structures we need to start with a non unimodular Lie algebra with a LCS structure in lower dimension. In [4] the authors classify the 4-dimensional solvable Lie algebras admitting LCS structures up to automorphism of the Lie algebra. Using their classification we show in Table 1 all the 4-dimensional solvable Lie algebras with their associated LCS structure satisfying condition (7), which means that they can be extended to a higher dimensional unimodular Lie algebra admitting a LCS structure given by Theorem 3.3.

We explain in details how to extend one example.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{r}'_2$  be the Lie algebra with structure constants  $(0, 0, -13+24, -14-23)$ . According to [4] this Lie algebra admits 4 non equivalent LCS structures up to Lie algebra automorphism

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \sigma e^1 + \tau e^2 \\ \omega = e^{13} - \tau e^{14} - \frac{1+\tau^2}{1+\sigma} e^{24} \\ \text{with } \sigma \neq -1, 0, \quad \tau > 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \theta = -2e^1 \\ \omega = \sigma e^{12} + e^{34} \\ \text{with } \sigma \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \tau e^2 \\ \omega = e^{13} - \tau e^{14} - (1 + \tau^2)e^{24} \\ \text{with } \tau > 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \theta = \sigma e^1 \\ \omega = e^{13} - \frac{1}{1+\sigma} e^{24} \\ \text{with } \sigma \neq -1, 0 \end{cases}$$

We check if each LCS structure satisfies condition (7). If we consider the cases  $\theta = \sigma e^1 + \tau e^2$ ,  $\theta = \tau e^2$  or  $\theta = -2e^1$  the condition (7) does not hold, then the possible LCS extension will not be unimodular. Finally we consider the LCS structure with Lee form  $\theta = \sigma e^1$  and  $\sigma \neq -1, 0$ . In this case (7) is satisfied for  $2 = n\sigma$ . Therefore for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sigma = \frac{2}{n}$ , the LCS structure on  $\mathfrak{r}'_2$  given by

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \sigma e^1 \\ \omega = e^{13} - \frac{1}{1+\sigma} e^{24} \\ \text{with } \sigma \neq -1, 0 \end{cases}$$

can be extended to the  $2n + 4$ -dimensional unimodular Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}'_2 \ltimes_{\pi} \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

where  $\pi$  is a suitable LCS representation. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a LCS structure  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$  given by  $\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \omega$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} = \omega_0$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta$  and  $\tilde{\theta}|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} = 0$ , where  $\omega_0$  is



Lie algebra	structure equations	LCS structure	parameters	$2n$ -extension
$\mathfrak{rt}_{3,\lambda}$ $\lambda \neq -1$	$(0, -12, -\lambda 13, 0)$	$\theta = -\lambda e^1$ $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34}$	$\lambda \neq 0$	$n = -\frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda}$
$\mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2$	$(0, -12, 0, -34)$	$\theta = -\sigma(e^1 + e^3)$ $\omega = -\frac{\sigma+1}{\sigma}e^{12} + e^{14} + e^{23} + \frac{\sigma+1}{\sigma}e^{34}$	$\sigma \neq -\frac{1}{2}, 0$	$n = \frac{1}{\sigma}$
$\mathfrak{r}'_2$	$(0, 0, -13 + 24, -14 - 23)$	$\theta = \sigma e^1$ $\omega = e^{13} - \frac{1}{\sigma+1}e^{24}$	$\sigma \neq -1, 0$	$n = \frac{2}{\sigma}$
$\mathfrak{r}_{4,\mu}$	$(14, \mu 24 + 34, \mu 34, 0)$	$\theta = -(\mu + 1)e^4$ $\omega = e^{13} + e^{24}$	$\mu \neq -1, 1$	$n = -\frac{2\mu+1}{\mu+1}$
		$\theta = -2\mu e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} \pm e^{23}$	$\mu \neq 0, 1$	$n = -\frac{2\mu+1}{2\mu}$
$\mathfrak{r}_{4,\alpha,\beta}$ $-1 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ $\alpha\beta \neq 0$	$(14, \alpha 24, \beta 34, 0)$	$\theta = -(1 + \beta)e^4$ $\omega = e^{13} + e^{24}$	$\alpha \neq \beta$	$n = -\frac{1+\alpha+\beta}{1+\beta}$
		$\theta = -(\alpha + \beta)e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} + e^{23}$	$\beta \neq 1$ $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$	$n = -\frac{1+\alpha+\beta}{\alpha+\beta}$
		$\theta = -(1 + \alpha)e^4$ $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34}$	$\forall \alpha, \beta$	$n = -\frac{1+\alpha+\beta}{1+\alpha}$
$\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{4,\beta}$ $-1 \leq \beta < 0$	$(14, -24, \beta 34, 0)$	$\theta = -(1 + \beta)e^4$ $\omega = e^{13} + e^{24}$	$\beta \neq -1$	$n = -\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$
$\mathfrak{r}'_{4,\gamma,\delta}$ $\delta > 0$	$(14, \gamma 24 + \delta 34, -\delta 24 + \gamma 34, 0)$	$\theta = -\gamma e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} \pm e^{23}$	$\gamma \neq 0$	$n = -\frac{1+2\gamma}{2\gamma}$
$\mathfrak{d}_{4,\lambda}$ $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$	$(\lambda 14, (1 - \lambda)24, -12 + 34, 0)$	$\theta = \sigma e^4$ $\omega = e^{12} - (\sigma + 1)e^{34}$	$\lambda \neq 2$ $\sigma \neq -1, 0$	$n = \frac{2}{\sigma}$
		$\theta = (\lambda - 1)e^4$ $\omega = e^{12} - \lambda e^{34} + e^{24}$	$\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}, 1$	$n = \frac{2}{\lambda-1}$
		$\theta = (\lambda - 2)e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} \pm e^{23}$	$\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}, 2$	$n = \frac{2}{\lambda-2}$
$\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$	$(\frac{\delta}{2}14 + 24, -14 + \frac{\delta}{2}24, -12 + \delta 34, 0)$	$\theta = \sigma e^4$ $\omega = \pm(e^{12} - (\delta + \sigma)e^{34})$	$\delta > 0$ $\sigma \neq -\delta, 0$	$n = \frac{2\delta}{\sigma}$
$\mathfrak{h}_4$	$(\frac{\delta}{2}14 + 24, \frac{1}{2}24, -12 + 34, 0)$	$\theta = \pm\sigma e^4$ $\omega = \pm(e^{12} - (\sigma + 1)e^{34})$	$\sigma \neq -1, 0$	$n = \pm\frac{2}{\sigma}$

TABLE 1. 4-dimensional solvable LCS Lie algebras satisfying condition (7).

any symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . To be more specific we consider  $n = 2$ , then  $\sigma = 1$ . Let  $\pi : \mathfrak{r}'_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{R})$  be the representation given by

$$\pi(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & & & \\ & -\frac{1}{2} & & \\ & & -\frac{1}{2} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\pi(e_2) = \pi(e_3) = \pi(e_4) = 0$ , in a basis  $\{e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with  $\omega_0 = e^{56} + e^{78}$ . It is easy to see that  $\pi$  is a LCS representation. Then the 8-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}'_2 \ltimes_{\pi} \mathbb{R}^4$  has the following Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_3] &= e_3, & [e_2, e_3] &= e_4, & [e_1, e_6] &= -e_6, \\ [e_1, e_4] &= e_4, & [e_2, e_4] &= -e_3, & [e_1, e_7] &= -e_7, \end{aligned}$$

and the LCS structure is given by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\omega} = e^{13} - \frac{1}{2}e^{24} + e^{56} + e^{78} \\ \tilde{\theta} = e^1. \end{cases}$$

### 3.4. Example of a solvmanifold with a LCS structure.

We construct a family of solvmanifolds  $\Gamma_m \backslash G$  admitting a LCS structure of the second kind, where  $G$  is the simply connected Lie group associated to the Lie algebra considered in the Example 3.7. This Lie algebra can be decomposed as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}e_2 \ltimes \mathbb{R}e_1 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^6$  where the adjoint actions of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are given by

$$\text{ad}_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & \\ & -1 & & & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & -1 & & & \\ & & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{ad}_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & -1 & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

in the reordered bases  $\{e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$  and  $\{e_1, e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$  respectively. The simply connected Lie group associated to  $\mathfrak{g}$  is

$$G = \mathbb{R}e_2 \ltimes_{\psi} (\mathbb{R}e_1 \ltimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^6),$$

where  $\varphi(t) = \exp(t \text{ad}_{e_1})$  and  $\psi(t) = \exp(t \text{ad}_{e_2})$ . Next we build a lattice in  $G$ , to do this we start considering the Lie subgroup  $H := \mathbb{R}e_1 \ltimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^6$ . Note that  $H$  is an almost abelian Lie group. According to [10] the Lie group  $H$  admits a lattice if and only if there exists  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t_0 \neq 0$ , such that  $\varphi(t_0)$  is conjugated to an integer matrix.

We can write the matrix  $\varphi(t)$  in the basis  $\{e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$  as

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & & & & & \\ & e^{-t} & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & e^t & & \\ & & & & e^{-t} & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider only the block

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & & \\ & e^{-t} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the matrix  $M$  is

$$p(x) = (x - 1)(x - e^t)(x - e^{-t}).$$

Fixing  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > 2$ , we define  $t_m = \text{arccosh}(\frac{m}{2})$ ,  $t_m > 0$ , and we have that  $(x - e^{t_m})(x - e^{-t_m}) = x^2 - mx + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then the characteristic polynomial of  $M$  for  $t = t_m$  can be written as  $p(x) = x^3 - (m + 1)x^2 + (m + 1)x - 1$ . Therefore, it is easy to see that  $M$  is conjugated to the companion matrix  $C_m$  of the polynomial  $p$ , that is,  $M = Q_m C_m Q_m^{-1}$  where

$$C_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -(1 + m) \\ 0 & 1 & 1 + m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{t_m} & e^{2t_m} \\ 1 & e^{-t_m} & e^{-2t_m} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can copy this process in the second block of  $\varphi(t)$  and we easily can check that

$$\varphi(t_m) = P_m D_m P_m^{-1},$$

where

$$D_m = \begin{pmatrix} C_m & 0 \\ 0 & C_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P_m = \begin{pmatrix} Q_m & 0 \\ 0 & Q_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore  $\varphi(t_m)$  is conjugate to the integer matrix  $D_m$ . It follows from [10] that  $H$  admits a lattice

$$\Gamma_m = t_m \mathbb{Z} \times P_m \mathbb{Z}^6.$$

We now write the matrix  $\psi(t)$  in the basis  $\{e_1, e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$  as

$$\psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(t) & 0 & 0 & -\sin(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(t) & 0 & 0 & \cos(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly,  $\psi(2\pi)$  preserves the lattice  $\Gamma_m$ . Thus

$$\Lambda_m = 2\pi \mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma_m = 2\pi \mathbb{Z} \times (t_m \mathbb{Z} \times P_m \mathbb{Z}^6)$$

is a lattice in  $G$  for any  $m > 2$ . Therefore we obtain an explicit construction of examples of solvmanifolds  $\Lambda_m \backslash G$  admitting a LCS structure of the second kind.

Since  $\psi(2\pi) = \text{Id}$ , we have  $\Lambda_m \simeq \pi \mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma_m$ , and therefore it can be considered as a lattice in  $G' = \mathbb{R} \times H$ . Then, according to [27, Theorem 3.6] the corresponding solvmanifolds  $M_m := \Lambda_m \backslash G$  and  $M' := \Lambda_m \backslash G'$  are diffeomorphic. Note that  $M'$  is diffeomorphic to the product of  $S^1$  and the solvmanifold  $\Gamma_m \backslash H$ . We also note that  $G'$  can be seen as an almost abelian Lie group, more explicitly,  $G' = \mathbb{R} \times_{\rho} \mathbb{R}^7$ , where

$$\rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & \\ & e^t & & & & & & \\ & & e^{-t} & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & e^t & & & \\ & & & & & e^{-t} & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\rho(t_m)$  is conjugated to the integer matrix

$$R_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using this identification between  $M_m$  and  $M'_m$  we can prove that:

**Proposition 3.8.** *The solvmanifolds  $\Lambda_m \backslash G$  are pairwise non homeomorphic.*

*Proof.* We assume  $M_m$  and  $M_n$  are homeomorphic, then  $M'_m$  and  $M'_n$  are homeomorphic as well, and therefore their fundamental groups  $\pi_1(M'_m)$  and  $\pi_1(M'_n)$  are isomorphic. Since  $G'$  is simply connected, we have that these fundamental groups are isomorphic to the lattices, and therefore  $\Lambda_m \cong \Lambda_n$ . Since  $G'$  is completely solvable, we can use the Saito rigidity theorem [28] to extend this isomorphism to an automorphism of  $G'$ . Since the lattices differ by an automorphism of  $G'$ , it follows from [17, Theorem 3.6] that the integer

matrix  $R_m$  is conjugated either to  $R_n$  or to  $R_n^{-1}$ . Finally comparing the eigenvalues of  $R_m$  and  $R_n$  we obtain that this happens if and only if  $m = n$ .  $\square$

We study now the de Rham and Morse-Novikov cohomology of the solvmanifolds  $\Lambda_m \backslash G \cong \Lambda_m \backslash G'$ . We note first that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$  of  $G'$  is given by  $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathbb{R}e_1 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^6$  with

$$\mathrm{ad}_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & -1 & & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & -1 & \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis  $\{e_2, e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . Therefore the Lie group  $G'$ , unlike  $G$ , is completely solvable, that is,  $\mathrm{ad}_X$  has real eigenvalues for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}'$ . Since  $G'$  is completely solvable these cohomologies can be computed in terms of the Lie algebra cohomology. Indeed, it follows from [16] (see also [2]) that  $H_{dR}^*(\Lambda_m \backslash G') \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}')$  and  $H_\theta^*(\Lambda_m \backslash G') \cong H_\theta^*(\mathfrak{g}')$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

According to [29], the  $k^{\mathrm{th}}$  Betti number of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ ,  $\beta_k = \dim H^k(\mathfrak{g}')$ , can be computed in terms of dimension of  $Z^j(\mathfrak{g}') = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^j \mathfrak{g}'^* : d\alpha = 0\}$  as follows:

$$(8) \quad \beta_k = \dim H^k(\mathfrak{g}') = \dim Z^k(\mathfrak{g}') + \dim Z^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}') - \binom{8}{k-1},$$

for  $k > 2$ . Note that  $\beta_0 = 1$  and  $\beta_1 = \dim(\mathfrak{g}'/[\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{g}']) = 4$ . Using equation (8) it can be seen that  $\beta_2 = 10$ ,  $\beta_3 = 20$  and  $\beta_4 = 26$ . Finally, due to Poincaré duality, we obtain that  $\beta_5 = 20$ ,  $\beta_6 = 10$ ,  $\beta_7 = 4$  and  $\beta_8 = 1$ .

For Morse-Novikov cohomology, the corresponding Betti numbers  $\beta_k^\theta = \dim H_\theta^k(\mathfrak{g}')$  satisfy a similar equation. Indeed, setting  $Z_\theta^j(\mathfrak{g}') = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^j \mathfrak{g}'^* : d_\theta \alpha = 0\}$  we have

$$(9) \quad \beta_k^\theta = \dim H_\theta^k(\mathfrak{g}') = \dim Z_\theta^k(\mathfrak{g}') + \dim Z_\theta^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}') - \binom{8}{k-1},$$

for  $k > 2$ . It is easy to see that  $\beta_0^\theta = 0$  and  $\beta_1^\theta = 2$ . Then, after some computations and using (9), it can be seen that  $\beta_2^\theta = 8$ ,  $\beta_3^\theta = 14$ ,  $\beta_4^\theta = 16$ ,  $\beta_5^\theta = 14$ ,  $\beta_6^\theta = 8$ ,  $\beta_7^\theta = 2$  and  $\beta_8^\theta = 0$ .

#### 4. CONSTRUCTION OF LIE ALGEBRAS WITH LCK STRUCTURES

In this section we will apply the same method of Section 3 to build Lie algebras equipped with a LCK structure, beginning with a LCK Lie algebra and a suitable representation.

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Lie algebra equipped with a LCK structure  $(J, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , and let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $2n$  with a Hermitian structure  $(J_0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0)$ . We consider a representation

$$\pi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(V).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_\pi V$ , equipped with the Hermitian structure  $(J', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' |_{\mathfrak{h}} &= \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, & \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' |_V &= \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0, \\ J' |_{\mathfrak{h}} &= J, & J' |_V &= J_0. \end{aligned}$$

It was proved in [6] that if  $\pi(X) \circ J_0 = J_0 \circ \pi(X)$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , that is  $\pi(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ , then the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is integrable. We will assume that this condition holds from now on.

If  $\theta$  denotes the Lee form on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , we define on  $\mathfrak{g}$  the 1-form  $\theta'$  by  $\theta'(X) = \theta(X)$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\theta'(X) = 0$  for all  $X \in V$ . Computing  $d\omega'$  and comparing with  $\theta' \wedge \omega'$ , where  $\omega'$  denotes the 2-form associated to the Hermitian structure  $(J', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ , it can be seen that  $\omega'$  is a LCK form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with corresponding Lee form  $\theta'$  if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$(10) \quad -\omega_0(\pi(X)Y, Z) + \omega_0(\pi(X)Z, Y) = \theta(X)\omega_0(Y, Z),$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $Y, Z \in V$ .

We denote by  $S$  and  $\rho$  the symmetric part and the skew-symmetric part of  $\pi$  respect to the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ . It can be seen that (10) is equivalent to  $-2S(X) = \theta(X)\text{Id}$  and  $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** With the notation above, if  $\pi(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X)\text{Id} + \rho(X)$  with  $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then we say that  $\pi$  is a *LCK representation*.

Therefore we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 4.2.** *With the notation above,  $(J', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  is a LCK structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if  $\pi$  is a LCK representation. Moreover  $\rho : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$  is a representation.*

*Proof.* As we mentioned above  $(J', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  is a LCK structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if  $\pi(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X)\text{Id} + \rho(X)$ , for any  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then we only need to check that  $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$ . This follows from the fact that if  $\pi(X)$  commutes with  $J$ , then its symmetric part  $S(X)$  and its skew-symmetric part  $\rho(X)$  commute with  $J$  as well. Therefore  $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathfrak{so}(n) = \mathfrak{u}(n)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Finally, in the same way as in the LCS case,  $\rho : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .  $\square$

According to Proposition 3.5, we have that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unimodular if and only if  $\text{tr}(\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}}) = n\theta(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Recall that given a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , the map  $\chi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\chi(X) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_X)$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism, and its kernel is called the unimodular kernel of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $(J, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a LCK structure on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and recall from (5) that there is a orthonormal decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}A \oplus \ker \theta$  with  $\theta(A) = 1$ . With this new notation it is easy to see that we can rewrite Proposition 3.5 as follows

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Lie algebra with a LCK structure  $(\omega, \theta)$ ,  $(\pi, V)$  a  $2n$ -dimensional LCK representation and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$  the Lie algebra with the LCK structure  $(\omega', \theta')$  as above. Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unimodular if and only if the unimodular kernel of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is equal to  $\ker \theta$  and  $\text{tr}(\text{ad}_A^{\mathfrak{h}}) = n$ .*

Note that in order to build unimodular examples we have to start with a non unimodular Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . In particular we need that  $\text{tr}(\text{ad}_A^{\mathfrak{h}}) = n = \frac{\dim V}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ . This condition is enough when the commutator ideal  $\mathfrak{h}' = [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = \ker \theta$ .

**Remark 4.4.** If the initial Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  is solvable, then  $\rho(\mathfrak{h})$  is solvable. Since  $\rho(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{u}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$  is compact, then we obtain that  $\rho(\mathfrak{h})$  is abelian and therefore, it is contained in a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ . In particular  $\rho(\mathfrak{h}') = 0$ . Moreover we may assume that  $J \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$  is in the same maximal abelian subalgebra which contains  $\rho(\mathfrak{h})$ .

The following proposition gives properties for a Lie algebra equipped with a LCK structure built as in Theorem 4.2. Recall that a nilradical of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$  is its

maximal nilpotent ideal. It is well known that when  $\mathfrak{u}$  is solvable the nilradical is given by  $\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{u}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{u} : \text{ad}_X \text{ is nilpotent}\}$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a solvable Lie algebra,  $(\pi, V)$  a  $2n$ -dimensional LCK representation,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$  and  $(J', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  be a LCK structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined as above, then*

- (a)  $\pi(A)$  is a isomorphism.
- (b) The center of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \ker \theta \cap \ker \rho \subset \mathfrak{h}$ .
- (c) The commutator ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus V$ .
- (d) The nilradical of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{g}) = (\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \ker \theta \cap \ker \rho) \oplus V$ .

*Proof.* For (a) note that  $\pi(A) = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + \rho(A)$ . If  $\pi(A)v = 0$  for some  $v \in V$ , then  $\rho(A)v = \frac{1}{2}v$ , and since  $\rho(A)$  is skew-symmetric we obtain  $v = 0$ , and (a) follows.

From (a) we have that  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap V = \{0\}$ , moreover  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ . Indeed let  $X + w \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $w \in V$ , then  $0 = [A, X + w] = [A, X] + \pi(A)w$ . Since  $[A, X] \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\pi(A)w \in V$ , we have  $[A, X] = 0$  and  $\pi(A)w = 0$ , and therefore, it follows from (a) that  $w = 0$ . Consider  $X \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{h}$ , clearly  $X \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$  as well. On the other hand,  $0 = [X, v]$  for all  $v \in V$ , then  $\rho(X)v = \frac{1}{2}\theta(X)v$ . Since  $\rho(X)$  is skew-symmetric, we have that  $\rho(X) = \theta(X) = 0$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \ker \theta \cap \ker \rho$ . Since the other inclusion is trivial, we prove (b).

To prove (c) we know from (a) that  $V \subset \mathfrak{g}'$ , and moreover  $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}'$ . It follows from the definition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that there are no other non trivial Lie brackets, and therefore  $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus V$ .

For (d) note that the inclusion  $(\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \ker \theta \cap \ker \rho) \oplus V \subset \text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{g})$  is direct. We have to check the other inclusion,  $\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset (\text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \ker \theta \cap \ker \rho) \oplus V$ . Let  $X + w \in \text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $w \in V$ , then  $\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\text{ad}_w^{\mathfrak{g}}$  can be written as

$$\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{g}} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} & \\ \hline & \pi(X) \end{array} \right), \quad \text{ad}_w^{\mathfrak{g}} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline & -\pi(\cdot)w \end{array} \right).$$

Since  $\text{ad}_{X+w}^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is nilpotent, then  $\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is nilpotent. Therefore  $\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}}$  and  $\pi(X)$  are nilpotent, where  $\pi(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X)\text{Id} + \rho(X)$ . The facts that  $\pi(X)$  is nilpotent and  $\rho(X)$  is skew-symmetric imply that  $\theta(X) = 0$  and  $\rho(X) = 0$ . Therefore  $X \in \text{nilrad}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \ker \theta \cap \ker \rho$  and the inclusion follows.  $\square$

Using [1, Lemma 3.3] it is easy to see that the LCK structure constructed with Theorem 4.2 is not Vaisman. Indeed, the endomorphism  $\text{ad}_A^{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is

$$\text{ad}_A^{\mathfrak{g}} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \text{ad}_A^{\mathfrak{h}} & \\ \hline & -\frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + \rho(A) \end{array} \right),$$

with  $\rho(A)$  skew-symmetric. Then  $\text{ad}_A^{\mathfrak{g}}$  cannot be skew-symmetric, and therefore, the LCK structure constructed with Theorem 4.2 is not Vaisman. Moreover, we will prove next that these Lie algebras do not admit any Vaisman structure:

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$  the unimodular Lie algebra built as above. Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  does not admit any Vaisman structure.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits such a structure. It follows from the characterization of unimodular solvable Vaisman Lie algebras given in [3] that  $\mathfrak{g}$  must be a Lie algebra of type I, that is, the eigenvalues of the operators  $\text{ad}_X$  with  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  are all imaginary or zero. Therefore, according to [24, Corollary 3.5], the LCS structure underlying the Vaisman structure is of the first kind, and this is a contradiction with Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

#### 4.1. Examples arising from 4-dimensional LCK Lie algebras.

In [5] a complete classification of 4-dimensional Lie algebras admitting LCK structures is given. Among them we summarize which are not unimodular, and therefore can be used to construct new examples of unimodular Lie algebras of dimension higher or equal than six with a LCK structure. They are:  $\mathfrak{rt}_{3,0}$ ,  $\mathfrak{rt}_{3,1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{rt}'_{3,\gamma}$ ,  $\mathfrak{rt}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}'_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}_{4,\alpha,1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}_{4,\alpha,\alpha}$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}'_{4,\gamma,\delta}$ ,  $\mathfrak{d}_{4,\lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$ .

Taking into account the LCK structures for each of these Lie algebras exhibited in [5], it can be shown, using Proposition 4.3, that the only LCK Lie algebras which admit a unimodular LCK extension are the following, where the complex structure is written in the frame  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{rt}_2 : \quad & \begin{cases} \theta = \sigma(e^1 + e^3) \\ \omega = \mu\left(\frac{1+\sigma}{\sigma}e^{12} + e^{14} - e^{23} + \frac{\sigma+1}{\sigma}e^{34}\right) \\ \sigma \neq 0, \sigma > -\frac{1}{2}, \mu \neq 0, \frac{\mu(1+\sigma)}{\sigma} > 0 \end{cases} & J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \mathfrak{r}_{4,\alpha,\alpha} : \quad & \begin{cases} \theta = -2\alpha e^4 \\ \omega = \sigma e^{14} + e^{23} \\ \alpha \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}, \sigma < 0 \end{cases} & J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \mathfrak{r}'_{4,\gamma,\delta} : \quad & \begin{cases} \theta = -2\gamma e^4 \\ \omega = \sigma e^{14} + e^{23} \\ \delta > 0, \gamma \neq 0, \sigma < 0 \end{cases} & J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \mathfrak{d}_{4,\frac{1}{2}} : \quad & \begin{cases} \theta = \theta_4 e^4 \\ \omega = \tau(e^{12} - (\sigma + 1)e^{34}) \\ \tau > 0, \sigma + 1 > 0, \theta_4 \neq 0 \end{cases} & J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \mathfrak{d}_{4,\lambda} : \quad & \begin{cases} \theta = (\lambda - 2)e^4 \\ \omega = \sigma e^{14} + e^{23} \\ \lambda \notin \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}, \sigma > 0, \lambda \neq 2 \end{cases} & J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta} : \quad & \begin{cases} \theta = \mu e^4 \\ \omega = \sigma(e^{12} - (\delta + \mu)e^{34}) \\ \delta > 0, \sigma < 0, \delta + \mu < 0, \mu \neq 0 \end{cases} & J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.7.** The Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{r}'_{4,\gamma,\delta}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$  also admit another complex structure which admits LCK metrics as well (see [5]).

**Remark 4.8.** Applying Theorem 4.2 to the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{r}_{4,\alpha,\alpha}$  and  $\mathfrak{r}'_{4,\gamma,\delta}$  we obtain Lie algebras of dimension greater than or equal to six, which are almost abelian Lie algebras. Recall that a Lie algebra is called almost abelian if it has an abelian ideal of codimension one. Almost abelian Lie algebras admitting LCK structures were studied in [3] where we proved that the associated Lie groups admit no lattices, whenever the dimension is greater than four.

**Remark 4.9.** If we extend the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{d}_{4,\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{d}_{4,\lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$  by Theorem 4.2 we obtain almost nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension greater than or equal to six with a LCK structure. We will explain in detail how to extend one of these Lie algebras. Recall that a Lie algebra is called almost nilpotent if it has a nilpotent ideal of codimension one. The existence of lattices in almost nilpotent Lie groups was studied in [10].

**Remark 4.10.** The remaining case, that is,  $\mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2$  is the only one which gives rise to LCK Lie algebras which are not almost nilpotent, and we will focus on it in the last subsection.

We explain now how to extend one of the almost nilpotent cases.

**Example 4.11.** Let us start with the 4-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$  with  $\delta \neq 0$  and structure constants  $(\frac{\delta}{2}14 + 24, -14 + \frac{\delta}{2}24, -12 + \delta 34, 0)$ . Then the non vanishing Lie bracket are given by

$$[e_4, e_1] = \frac{\delta}{2}e_1 - e_2, \quad [e_4, e_2] = e_1 + \frac{\delta}{2}e_2, \quad [e_4, e_3] = \delta e_3, \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_3.$$

We consider the LCK structure on  $\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$  given by

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \mu e^4 \\ \omega = \sigma(e^{12} - (\delta + \mu)e^{34}) \\ \sigma < 0, \delta + \mu < 0, \mu \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We show that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a LCK extension given by Theorem 4.2 of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$  for a suitable choice of  $\delta$  and  $\mu$  in order to obtain a  $(2n + 4)$ -dimensional unimodular LCK Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta} \times_{\pi} \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  for certain LCK representation  $\pi$ . It follows from Proposition 4.3 that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unimodular if and only if  $2\delta = n\mu$ .

We define next the representation  $\pi : \mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  by  $\pi = -\frac{1}{2}\theta \text{Id} + \rho$  for some representation  $\rho : \mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$ . It follows from Remark 4.4 that such a representation satisfies  $\rho(e_1) = \rho(e_2) = \rho(e_3) = 0$ . Setting  $\rho(e_4)$  in the orthonormal basis  $\{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  given by

$$\rho(e_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & & & & \\ -a_1 & 0 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & 0 & a_n & \\ & & & -a_n & 0 & \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain that the only non zero new Lie brackets are

$$[e_4, u_i] = -\frac{\delta}{n}u_i - a_i v_i, \quad [e_4, v_i] = a_i u_i - \frac{\delta}{n}v_i,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The complex structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is given by  $J(e_1) = e_2$ ,  $J(e_3) = -e_4$  and  $J(u_i) = v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{cases} \theta' = \frac{2\delta}{n}e^4 \\ \omega' = \sigma(e^{12} - \frac{(n+2)\delta}{n}e^{34}) + \sum_{i=1}^n u^i \wedge v^i \\ \text{with } \sigma < 0, \delta < 0, \end{cases}$$

is a LCK structure on the  $(2n + 4)$ -dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that we can write  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}e_4 \times (\mathfrak{h}_3 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an almost nilpotent Lie algebra.



#### 4.2. OT Lie algebras as LCK extensions.

Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds (OT manifolds) are compact complex non-Kähler manifolds which arise from certain number fields, and they can be considered as generalizations of the Inoue surfaces of type  $S^0$ . It was proved in [23] that certain OT manifolds (those of type  $(s, 1)$ ) admit LCK metrics. According to [18], the OT manifolds are solvmanifolds. Moreover, it can be seen that the complex structure is induced by a left-invariant one on the corresponding simply connected solvable Lie group. These manifolds provided a counterexample to a conjecture made by Vaisman according to which the first Betti number of a compact LCK manifold is odd (see [23]). We show in this subsection that the Lie algebras associated to these OT solvmanifolds of type  $(s, 1)$  endowed with its LCK structure can be obtained using our construction given in Theorem 4.2.

We recall the definition of the Lie algebra associated to the  $(2n + 2)$ -dimensional Oeljeklaus-Toma solvmanifold of type  $(s, 1)$  (see [18]), which we denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{OT}}$ . The Lie brackets on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{OT}}$  are given by

$$(11) \quad [x_i, y_i] = y_i, \quad [x_i, z_1] = -\frac{1}{2}z_1 + c_i z_2, \quad [x_i, z_2] = -c_i z_1 - \frac{1}{2}z_2,$$

in the basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, z_2\}$  for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . The complex structure on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{OT}}$  is  $Jx_i = y_i$  and  $Jz_1 = z_2$ . The LCK structure on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{OT}}$  is given by

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \theta = \sum x^i \\ \omega = 2 \sum x^i \wedge y^i + \sum_{i \neq j} x^i \wedge y^j + z^1 \wedge z^2, \end{cases}$$

where the associated Hermitian metric is defined by  $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ .

Next we show how to recover the 6-dimensional OT Lie algebra using Theorem 4.2 and then we generalize to any dimension. Let us consider the 4-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2$  with structure constants given by  $(0, -12, 0, -34)$ . Let  $J$  the complex structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined as

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in terms of the coframe  $\{e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4\}$ . According to [5] this Lie algebra admits many non equivalent LCK structures up to Lie algebra complex automorphisms. The one we are interested now is

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \sigma e^1 + \tau e^3 \\ \omega = \frac{1+\sigma}{\tau} e^{12} + e^{14} - e^{23} + \frac{\tau+1}{\sigma} e^{34} \\ \text{with } \sigma\tau \neq 0, \sigma + \tau \neq -1, \frac{1+\sigma}{\tau} > 0, \frac{\tau+1}{\sigma} > 0, \sigma \leq \tau. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account Proposition 4.3 in order to obtain a unimodular extension of this Lie algebra, we can simplify the LCK form. Indeed, the unimodular kernel of  $\mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2$  is  $\mathfrak{u} = \text{span}\{e_2, e_4, e_1 - e_3\}$  and  $\ker \theta = \text{span}\{e_2, e_4, \frac{\tau}{\sigma}e_1 - e_3\}$ , then  $\ker \theta = \mathfrak{u}$  if and only if  $\sigma = \tau$ . Then we also need  $\text{tr}(\text{ad}_A) = n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $A = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\sigma}e_1 + \frac{1}{\sigma}e_3)$ . Since  $\text{tr}(\text{ad}_A) = \frac{1}{\sigma}$ , thus  $\sigma = \frac{1}{n}$ . Since we want to construct a 6-dimensional Lie algebra, we assume  $n = 1$ , then  $\sigma = 1$ . Therefore the generic LCK structure on  $\mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2$  reduces to

$$\begin{cases} \theta = e^1 + e^3 \\ \omega = 2e^{12} + e^{14} - e^{23} + 2e^{34}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the 6-dimensional vector space  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ . We define  $\pi : \mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  by  $\pi(e_2) = 0$ ,  $\pi(e_4) = 0$ ,

$$\pi(e_1) = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_1 \\ c_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -c_1 \\ c_1 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi(e_3) = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_2 \\ c_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -c_2 \\ c_2 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

in the orthonormal basis  $\{e_5, e_6\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $J_0e_5 = e_6$ . It is easy to check that  $\pi$  is a LCK representation. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2 \times_{\pi} \mathbb{R}^2,$$

is a 6-dimensional unimodular Lie algebra admitting a LCK structure  $(\omega, \theta)$  given by

$$\begin{cases} \theta' = e^1 + e^3 \\ \omega' = 2e^{12} + e^{14} - e^{23} + 2e^{34} + e^{56}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $(\mathfrak{g}, \omega', \theta')$  is isomorphic to the OT Lie algebra of dimension 6 with LCK structure given by (12) with  $n = 2$ .

To generalize this case for higher dimensions we consider the non-unimodular  $2n$ -dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})^n$  with structure equations given by  $[e_i, f_i] = f_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  in the basis  $\{e_1, f_1, \dots, e_n, f_n\}$ . This Lie algebra admits a complex structure  $Je_i = f_i$  and  $Jf_i = -e_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . A LCK structure on  $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})^n$  can be defined by

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \sum e^i \\ \omega = 2 \sum e^i \wedge f^i + \sum_{i \neq j} e^i \wedge f^j, \end{cases}$$

with Hermitian metric  $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the  $(2n + 2)$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ . We extend the complex structure  $J$  in  $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})^n$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $Ju_1 = u_2$  where  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  denotes an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We define  $\pi : \mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  by

$$\pi(e_i) = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_i \\ c_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -c_i \\ c_i & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\pi(f_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to check that  $\pi$  is a LCK representation, and it can be shown that  $\text{tr}(\text{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})^n}) = \theta(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})^n$ . Then it follows from Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 that the unimodular Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2 \times_{\pi} \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

admits a LCK structure, which we still denote by  $(\omega, \theta)$ , given by

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \sum e^i \\ \omega = 2 \sum e^i \wedge f^i + \sum_{i \neq j} e^i \wedge f^j + u^1 \wedge u^2. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to the  $(2n + 2)$ -dimensional Oeljeklaus-Toma Lie algebra with Lie bracket given by (11). Indeed,  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{OT}}$ ,  $\phi(e_i) = x_i$ ,  $\phi(f_i) = y_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\phi(u_i) = z_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism which commutes with the complex structures and it also preserves the LCK forms.

*Acknowledgement.* I would like to thank A. Andrada for a careful reading of a first draft of the paper and useful suggestions and remarks. Also thanks to D. Angella for his useful comments during his stay at Universidad Nacional de Córdoba.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. ANDRADA, M. ORIGLIA, Locally conformally Kähler structures on unimodular Lie groups, *Geom. Dedicata* **179** (2015), 197–216.
- [2] A. ANDRADA, M. ORIGLIA, Lattices in almost abelian Lie groups with locally conformal Kähler or symplectic structures, *Manuscripta Math.* **155** (2018), 389–417.
- [3] A. ANDRADA, M. ORIGLIA, Vaisman solvmanifolds and relation with other geometric structures, preprint, arXiv:1709.01567.
- [4] D. ANGELLA, G. BAZZONI, M. PARTON, Structure of locally conformally symplectic Lie algebras and solvmanifolds, to appear in *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, arXiv:1704.01197.
- [5] D. ANGELLA, M. ORIGLIA, Locally conformally Kähler structures on four-dimensional solvable Lie algebras, preprint 2018, arXiv:1809.08149.
- [6] M. BARBERIS, I. DOTTI, Complex structures on affine motion groups. *Q. J. Math.* **55** (2004), 375–389.
- [7] G. BAZZONI, Vaisman nilmanifolds, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **49** (2017), 824–830.
- [8] G. BAZZONI, J. MARRERO, On locally conformal symplectic manifolds of the first kind, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **143** (2018), 1–57.
- [9] A. BELGUN, On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **317** (2000), 1–40.
- [10] C. BOCK, On low-dimensional solvmanifolds. *Asian J. Math.* **20** (2016), 199–262.
- [11] P. GAUDUCHON, A. MOROIANU, L. ORNEA, Compact homogeneous lcK manifolds are Vaisman, *Math. Ann.* **361** (2015), 1043–1048.
- [12] A. GRAY, L. HERVELLA, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **123** (1980), 35–58.
- [13] F. GUEDIRA, A. LICHNEROWICZ, Géométrie des algèbres de Lie locales de Kirillov, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **63** (1984), 407–484.
- [14] S. HALLER, Some properties of locally conformal symplectic manifolds, in *Infinite dimensional Lie groups in geometry and representation theory*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, (2002), 92–104.
- [15] K. HASEGAWA, Complex and Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **3**, (2005), 749–767.
- [16] A. HATTORI, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **8** (1960), 289–331.
- [17] H. HUANG, Lattices and harmonic analysis on some 2-step solvable Lie groups, *J. Lie Theory* **13** (2003), 77–89.
- [18] H. KASUYA, Vaisman metrics on solvmanifolds and Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **45** (2013), 15–26.
- [19] A. MALCEV, On solvable Lie algebras, *Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Sr. Math. [Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR]* **9** (1945), 329–356.
- [20] J. MILNOR, Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups. *Adv. Math.* **21** (1976), 293–329.
- [21] S. NOVIKOV, Multi-valued functions and functionals. An analogue of Morse theory. *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **24** (1981), 222–226.
- [22] S. NOVIKOV, The Hamiltonian formalism and a multi-valued analogue of Morse theory. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **37** (1982), 1–56.
- [23] K. OELJEKLAUS, M. TOMA, Non-Kähler compact complex manifolds associated to number fields. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **55** (2005), 161–171.
- [24] M. ORIGLIA, Locally conformal symplectic structures on Lie algebras of type I and their solvmanifolds, to appear in *Forum Math.* doi:10.1515/forum-2018-0200.
- [25] L. ORNEA, M. VERBITSKY, Structure theorem for compact Vaisman manifolds, *Math. Res. Lett.* **10** (2003), 799–805.
- [26] M. PILCA, Toric Vaisman manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **107** (2016), 149–161.
- [27] S. RAGHUNATHAN, *Discrete subgroups of Lie group*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [28] M. SAITO, Sur certains groupes de Lie résolubles II, *Sci. Papers College Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo* **7** (1957), 157–168.
- [29] L. SANTHAROUBANE, Cohomology of Heisenberg Lie algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1983), 23–28.

- [30] I. VAISMAN, Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with parallel Lee form, *Rend. Mat., VI Ser.* **12** (1979), 263–284.
- [31] I. VAISMAN, Generalized Hopf manifolds, *Geom. Dedicata* **13** (1982), 231–255.
- [32] I. VAISMAN, Locally conformal symplectic manifolds, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **12** (1985), 521–536.

*E-mail address:* `marcosmiguel.origlia@kuleuven.be`, `marcosoriglia@gmail.com`

(Marcos Origlia) KU LEUVEN KULAK, E. SABBELAAN 53, BE-8500 KORTRIJK, BELGIUM; AND  
FAMAF-CIEM, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, 5000 CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA