ON CERTAIN CLASS OF LOCALLY CONFORMAL SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES OF THE SECOND KIND

M. ORIGLIA

ABSTRACT. We study locally conformal symplectic (LCS) structures of the second kind on a Lie algebra. We show a method to build new examples of Lie algebras admitting LCS structures of the second kind starting with a lower dimensional Lie algebra endowed with a LCS structure and a suitable representation. Moreover, we characterize all LCS Lie algebras obtained with our construction. Finally, we study the existence of lattices in the associated simply connected Lie groups in order to obtain compact examples of manifolds admitting this kind of structure.

1. Introduction

A locally conformal symplectic structure (LCS for short) on the manifold M is a non degenerate 2-form ω such that there exists an open cover $\{U_i\}$ and smooth functions f_i on U_i such that $\omega_i = \exp(-f_i)\omega$ is a symplectic form on U_i . This condition is equivalent to requiring that

$$(1) d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega$$

for some closed 1-form θ , called the Lee form. The pair (ω, θ) will be called a LCS structure on M. It is well known that if (ω, θ) is a LCS structure on M, then ω is symplectic if and only if $\theta = 0$. Furthermore, θ is uniquely determined by equation (1), but there is not an explicit formula for the Lee form. If ω is a non degenerate 2-form on M, with dim $M \geq 6$, such that (1) holds for some 1-form θ then θ is automatically closed and therefore M is LCS.

According to Vaisman (see [18]) there are two different types of LCS structures. If (ω, θ) is a LCS structure on M, a vector field X is called an infinitesimal automorphism of (ω, θ) if $L_X \omega = 0$, where L denotes the Lie derivative. This implies $L_X \theta = 0$ as well and, as a consequence, $\theta(X)$ is a constant function on M. We consider $\mathfrak{X}_{\omega}(M) = \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) : L_X \omega = 0\}$ which is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}_{\omega}(M)$, then the map $\theta|_{\mathfrak{X}_{\omega}(M)} : \mathfrak{X}_{\omega}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a well defined Lie algebra morphism called the Lee morphism. If there exists an infinitesimal automorphism X such that $\theta(X) \neq 0$, the LCS structure (ω, θ) is said to be of the first kind, and it is of the second kind otherwise. This condition is equivalent to the Lee morphism being either surjective or identically zero. In the literature, there is more information about LCS structures of the first kind, for example, in [18] Vaisman gives relations with contact geometry and it is also proves that a manifold with a LCS structure of the first kind admits distinguished foliations. On the other hand, LCS structures of the second kind are less understood.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D05, 22E60, 22E25, 53C15, 22E40.

Key words and phrases. Locally conformal symplectic structure, Lie algebra, Lie group, lattice, solvmanifold

This work was partially supported by the Research Foundation Flanders (Project G.0F93.17N) and CONICET, SECyTUNC and ANPCyT (Argentina).

There is another way to distinguish LCS structures, to do this, we can deform the de Rham differential d to obtain the adapted differential operator

$$d_{\theta}\alpha = d\alpha - \theta \wedge \alpha,$$

for any differential form $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M)$. Since θ is d-closed, this operator satisfies $d_{\theta}^2 = 0$, thus it defines the Morse-Novikov cohomology $H_{\theta}^*(M)$ of M relative to the closed 1-form θ (see [13, 14]). Note that if θ is exact then $H_{\theta}^*(M) \simeq H_{dR}^*(M)$. It is known that if M is a compact oriented n-dimensional manifold, then $H_{\theta}^0(M) = H_{\theta}^n(M) = 0$ for any non exact closed 1-form θ (see for instance [6, 7]). For any LCS structure (ω, θ) on M, the 2-form ω defines a cohomology class $[\omega]_{\theta} \in H_{\theta}^2(M)$, since $d_{\theta}\omega = d\omega - \theta \wedge \omega = 0$. The LCS structure (ω, θ) is said to be exact if ω is d_{θ} -exact or $[\omega]_{\theta} = 0$, i.e., $\omega = d\eta - \theta \wedge \eta$ for some 1-form η , and it is non-exact if $[\omega]_{\theta} \neq 0$. It was proved in [18] that if the LCS structure (ω, θ) is of the first kind on M then ω is d_{θ} -exact, i.e., $[\omega]_{\theta} = 0$. But the converse is not true. Recently in [3] other cohomologies for LCS manifolds were introduced, inspired by the almost Hermitian setting. More precisely, the authors define the LCS-Bott-Chern cohomology and the LCS-Aeppli cohomology on any compact LCS manifold, and compute them for some LCS solvmanifolds in low dimensions.

In last years, special attention has been devoted to the study of left invariant LCS structures on Lie groups (see for instance [1, 2, 3, 4]), with very nice results in the case of LCS structures of the first kind. In this work we focus on LCS structures of the second kind on Lie algebras and solvmanifolds.

We recall that a LCS structure (ω, θ) on a Lie group G is called left invariant if ω is left invariant, and this easily implies that θ is also left invariant. Accordingly, we say that a Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$ admits a locally conformal symplectic (LCS) structure if there exist $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak g^*$ and $\theta \in \mathfrak g^*$, with ω non degenerate and θ closed, such that (1) is satisfied. As in the case of manifolds we have that a LCS structure (ω, θ) on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$

As in the case of manifolds we have that a LCS structure (ω, θ) on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} can be of the first kind or of the second kind. Indeed, let us denote by \mathfrak{g}_{ω} the set of infinitesimal automorphisms of the LCS structure, that is,

(2)
$$\mathfrak{g}_{\omega} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0\} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \omega([X,Y],Z) + \omega(Y,[X,Z]) = 0 \text{ for all } Y,Z \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

where L denotes the Lie derivative. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie subalgebra, thus the restriction of θ to \mathfrak{g}_{ω} is a Lie algebra morphism called the *Lee morphism*. The LCS structure (ω, θ) is said to be *of the first kind* if the Lee morphism is surjective, and *of the second kind* if it is identically zero.

For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a closed 1-form $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ we also have the Morse-Novikov cohomology $H_{\theta}^*(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by the differential operator d_{θ} on $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*$ defined by

$$d_{\theta}\alpha = d\alpha - \theta \wedge \alpha.$$

As in manifolds, we have that a LCS structure (ω, θ) on a Lie algebra is said to be exact if $[\omega]_{\theta} = 0$ or non-exact if $[\omega]_{\theta} \neq 0$.

It was proved in [4] that:

Proposition 1.1. If the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is unimodular, a LCS structure on \mathfrak{g} is of the first kind if and only if it is exact.

LCS structures of the first kind on Lie algebras are better understood because they are related with other important geometric structures (see for instance [18] or more recently [4]). On the other hand not much is known about Lie algebras with a LCS structure of the second kind. In [2] the authors study three different type of constructions of LCS Lie

algebras. One corresponds to exacts LCS Lie algebras. The second one establishes a link between cosymplectic Lie algebras in dimension 2n-1 and non-exact LCS structure in dimension 2n. The third one is related to the existence of Lagrangian ideals (see [2] for more details). In [1] we study LCS structures on almost abelian Lie groups, and we exhibit examples of solvmanifolds with LCS structure of the second kind in any dimension greater than or equal to 6. We do not know many other explicit examples of solvmanifolds with a LCS structure of the second kind. Therefore, we consider that it would be very interesting to find new examples of Lie algebras and solvmanifolds admitting LCS structures of the second kind, hopefully they might be used to understand better this kind of structures.

In this work we deal with this problem and inspired by ideas of [2] we provide another construction of LCS Lie algebras of the second kind which is different from those given in [2]. After we provide this construction in Theorem 3.3 two question arise naturally:

Question 1: Given a LCS structure of the second kind on a Lie algebra, can it be obtained from our construction?

Question 2: Do there exist examples of LCS Lie algebras constructed by Theorem 3.3 such that the associated simply connected Lie group admits lattices?

We answer affirmatively question 2. Indeed, we exhibit lattices for some associated simply connected Lie groups obtaining explicit examples of solvmanifolds admitting LCS structures of the second kind. And concerning question 1, we give a nice characterization of Lie algebras built with our construction. Moreover, we recover most of the known examples in dimension 4.

The outline of this article is as follows. In Section 2 we prove that a left invariant LCS structure of the second kind on a Lie group induces a LCS structure of the second kind on any compact quotient by a discrete subgroup (see Theorem 2.1). This allows us to study this geometric structure at the Lie algebra level. In Section 3 we give a method to build new examples of Lie algebras admitting LCS structures of the second kind starting with a Lie algebra endowed with a LCS structure and a compatible representation (see Theorem 3.3), pointing out when the resulting Lie algebra is unimodular. We also have a converse (see Theorem 3.6) obtaining a nice characterization of LCS Lie algebras admitting a non degenerate abelian ideal contained in the kernel of the Lee form. In Section 4 we show the wide range of our construction by reobtaining most of the known examples of LCS Lie algebras on the second kind in dimension 4. We also exhibit examples of Lie algebras in higher dimension starting with a 4-dimensional LCS Lie algebra. Moreover we give a complete list of 4-dimensional LCS Lie algebras which can be used to produce examples in higher dimension. Finally, in Section 5 we study the existence of lattices in the associated Lie groups and we give an explicit construction of a family of solvmanifolds admitting a LCS structure of the second kind proving that they are pairwise non homeomorphic.

2. Solvmanifolds with LCS structure of the second kind

Let us consider LCS structures on Lie algebras, or equivalently left invariant LCS structures on Lie groups. If the Lie group is simply connected then any left invariant LCS structure turns out to be globally conformal to a symplectic structure, which is essentially equivalent to having a symplectic structure on the Lie group. Therefore we will study compact quotients of such a Lie group by lattices. Recall that a discrete subgroup Γ of a simply connected Lie group G is called a *lattice* if the quotient $\Gamma \setminus G$

is compact. In this case we have that $\pi_1(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong \Gamma$. The quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is called a solvmanifold if G is solvable and it is called a nilmanifold if G is nilpotent.

It is clear that a left invariant LCS structure on a Lie group G induces a LCS structure on any quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$, which will be non simply connected and therefore the inherited LCS structure is "strict".

It is important to mention that if a Lie group admits a lattice then such Lie group must be unimodular, according to [12]. Besides this necessary condition, there is no general criterion to determine whether a given unimodular solvable Lie group admits a lattice. It is a very difficult problem in itself. However, there is such a criterion for nilpotent Lie groups. Indeed, Malcev proved in [11] that a nilpotent Lie group admits a lattice if and only if its Lie algebra has a rational form, that is, there exists a basis of the Lie algebra such that the corresponding structure constants are all rational. More recently, Bock studied in [5] the existence of lattices in simply connected solvable Lie groups up to dimension 6 and he gave a criterion for the existence of lattices in almost abelian Lie groups.

Concerning LCS structures on a solvmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ which arise from a LCS structure on $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(G)$, it is easy to see that if the LCS structure on \mathfrak{g} is of the first kind, then the induced LCS structure on the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is of the first kind as well. We will prove in the next result that the same happens for LCS structures of the second kind, i.e., a LCS structure of the second kind on \mathfrak{g} induces a LCS structure of the second kind on any compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Gamma \backslash G$ be a solvmanifold and $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$. If (ω, θ) is a LCS structure on \mathfrak{g} of the second kind, then the LCS structure induced on the solvmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ is of the second kind.

Proof. Let (ω, θ) be a LCS structure of the second kind on \mathfrak{g} . Since \mathfrak{g} is unimodular, it follows from Proposition 1.1 that (ω, θ) is not exact, i.e., $0 \neq [\omega] \in H^2_{\theta}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\theta})$ be the induced LCS structure on $\Gamma \backslash G$. According to [10] there exists an injective map $i: H^2_{\theta}(\mathfrak{g}) \to H^2_{\tilde{\theta}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$. This map arises from the natural inclusion of \mathfrak{g} into $\mathfrak{X}(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Therefore $0 \neq [i(\omega)] = [\hat{\omega}] \in H^2_{\tilde{\theta}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Then $\hat{\omega}$ is non exact in $\Gamma \backslash G$ and it follows from [18] that the LCS structure $(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\theta})$ in the solvmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ is of the second kind. \square

Remark 2.2. Note that the LCS structure induced by Theorem 2.1 is indeed a non-exact LCS structure on $\Gamma \setminus G$.

Remark 2.3. Note that in general a LCS structure of the second kind on a Lie algebra induces a LCS structure on the associated simply connected Lie group which is not necessarily of the second kind.

3. A METHOD TO CONSTRUCT LCS LIE ALGEBRAS OF THE SECOND KIND

In this section we give a method to build new examples of Lie algebras admitting a LCS structures of the second kind starting with a Lie algebra endowed with a LCS structure and a compatible representation (See Theorem 3.3). Then we characterize all Lie algebras built with this method in Theorem 3.6, we also point out when the Lie algebras constructed in Theorem 3.3 are unimodular which is a necessary condition to study lattices in the last section.

Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie algebra, (ω, θ) a LCS structure on \mathfrak{h} , and let (V, ω_0) be a symplectic vector space of dimension 2n. We consider a representation

$$\pi:\mathfrak{h}\to\mathrm{End}(V).$$

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra given by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$, equipped with the non degenerate 2-form $\tilde{\omega}$ given by $\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \omega$ and $\tilde{\omega}|_{V} = \omega_{0}$. In particular $\tilde{\omega}(X,Y) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, $Y \in V$. We define the 1-form $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ by $\tilde{\theta}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta$ and $\tilde{\theta}|_{V} = 0$.

We determine next when the pair $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ is a LCS structure on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Computing $d\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\theta} \wedge \tilde{\omega}$ we can easily see that $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ is a LCS structure if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

(3)
$$-\omega_0(\pi(X)Y, Z) + \omega_0(\pi(X)Z, Y) = \theta(X)\omega_0(Y, Z),$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y, Z \in V$.

We denote by S and ρ the ω_0 -symmetric part and ω_0 -skew-symmetric part of π . More precisely, for each $X \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\pi(X) = S(X) + \rho(X),$$

where S(X) is ω_0 -symmetric and $\rho(X)$ is ω_0 -skew-symmetric with respect to the non degenerate 2-form ω_0 , that is, S(X) satisfies $\omega_0(S(X)Y,Z) = \omega_0(Y,S(X)Z)$ and $\rho(X)$ satisfies $\omega_0(\rho(X)Y,Z) = -\omega_0(Y,\rho(X)Z)$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y,Z \in V$. This condition on ρ is equivalent to saying that $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{sp}(V,\omega_0)$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$. It is easy to verify that (3) holds if and only if $-2S(X) = \theta(X)$ Id for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Definition 3.1. With the notation above, if $\pi(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X)\operatorname{Id} + \rho(X)$ and $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{sp}(V,\omega_0)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, then we say that π is a *LCS representation*.

Remark 3.2. Note that any LCS Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} admits a LCS representation. For example, taking $\rho = 0$.

Therefore we have the following result:

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie algebra with a LCS structure (ω, θ) , let (V, ω_0) be a 2n-dimensional symplectic vector space and $\pi : \mathfrak{h} \to \operatorname{End}(V)$ a representation. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$ and $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ given by $\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \omega$, $\tilde{\omega}|_{V} = \omega_{0}$, $\tilde{\theta}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta$ and $\tilde{\theta}|_{V} = 0$. Then $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ is a LCS structure on \mathfrak{g} if and only if π is a LCS representation. Moreover, $\rho : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega_{0})$ is a representation and $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ is a LCS structure of the second kind.

Proof. As we mentioned above, $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ is a LCS structure on \mathfrak{g} if and only if for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have $\pi(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X)\operatorname{Id} + \rho(X)$ with $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega_0)$. We have to check next that $\rho(X) : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega_0)$ is a representation. We compute

$$\begin{split} \pi([X,Y]) &= [\pi(X), \pi(Y)] \\ &= [S(X) + \rho(X), S(Y) + \rho(Y)] \\ &= [\rho(X), \rho(Y)], \end{split}$$

since $S(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta(X)$ Id. On the other hand we have that

$$\begin{split} \pi([X,Y]) &= S([X,Y]) + \rho([X,Y]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\theta([X,Y]) + \rho([X,Y]) \\ &= \rho([X,Y]), \end{split}$$

since θ is closed and the first part of the result follows.

Finally, we see that $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ is a LCS structure of the second kind. Indeed, let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\omega}}$, X = H + Y with $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y \in V$. Then $\tilde{\omega}([X, Z], W) + \tilde{\omega}(Z, [X, W]) = 0$ for any $Z, W \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, for any $Z, W \in V$ we have:

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \tilde{\omega}([X,Z],W) + \tilde{\omega}(Z,[X,W]) \\ &= \omega_0([H+Y,Z],W) + \omega_0(Z,[H+Y,W]) \\ &= \omega_0(\pi(H)Z,W) + \omega_0(Z,\pi(H)W) \\ &= \omega_0(-\frac{1}{2}\theta(H)Z + \rho(H)Z,W) + \omega_0(Z,-\frac{1}{2}\theta(H)W + \rho(H)W) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\theta(H)\omega_0(Z,W) + \omega_0(\rho(H)Z,W) - \frac{1}{2}\theta(H)\omega_0(Z,W) + \omega_0(Z,\rho(H)W) \\ &= -\theta(H)\omega_0(Z,W), \end{split}$$

for any $Z, W \in V$, where we used that $\rho(H)$ is ω_0 -skew-symmetric in the last equality. Since ω_0 is non degenerate on V we can choose $Z, W \in V$ such that $\omega_0(Z, W) \neq 0$, and therefore we get that $\theta(H) = 0$ which implies $\theta(X) = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\omega}} \subset \ker \theta$, thus $\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\omega}}} \equiv 0$ and therefore we have that the LCS structure $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ is of the second kind.

Theorem 3.3 provides us with a method to build new examples of Lie algebras equipped with a LCS structure of the second kind, starting with a LCS Lie algebra and a suitable representation. Note that the LCS structure on the initial Lie algebra can be of the first or of the second kind. We believe that this method is interesting because, as we mentioned before, there are not many general results about LCS structures of the second kind.

Remark 3.4. Moreover, we can see that the LCS structure in Theorem 3.3 is non-exact. Indeed, suppose $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ is an exact LCS structure. Then ω_0 , i.e. the restriction of $\tilde{\omega}$ to \mathbb{R}^{2n} , is zero, which is a contradiction since ω_0 is non-degenerate.

Remark 3.5. This method can also be used to construct Lie algebras admitting other kind of structures. For example, if we start with a symplectic Lie algebra (\mathfrak{h}, ω) , that is $\theta = 0$, then it is clear that we obtain a new symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\omega})$.

The LCS Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ constructed in Theorem 3.3 has an abelian ideal which is non degenerate with respect to the restriction of the fundamental form $\tilde{\omega}$, namely, (V, ω_0) . Moreover, it is contained in ker $\tilde{\theta}$. We show next a sort of converse of Theorem 3.3. More precisely, we prove that any LCS Lie algebra with a non degenerate abelian ideal can be constructed as in Theorem 3.3.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra endowed with a LCS structure (ω', θ') . Let \mathfrak{u} be a non degenerate ideal, and we consider the complement \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} given by $\mathfrak{u}^{\perp} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \omega'(X, U) = 0, \forall U \in \mathfrak{u}\}$. Since \mathfrak{u} is non degenerate we have that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ as vector spaces.

Theorem 3.6. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \omega', \theta')$ be a LCS Lie algebra admitting a non degenerate ideal \mathfrak{u} :

- (i) if $\mathfrak{u} \subset \ker \theta'$, then \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} is a subalgebra;
- (ii) if, moreover, \mathfrak{u} is abelian, then $\mathrm{ad}:\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}\to\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{u})$ is a LCS representation and $(\mathfrak{g},\omega',\theta')$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}\ltimes\mathfrak{u},\tilde{\omega},\tilde{\theta})$ with the LCS structure of Theorem 3.3. In particular, (ω',θ') is a LCS structure is of the second kind.

Proof. (i) We show \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} is a subalgebra, that is $\omega'([X,Y],U)=0$ for all $U\in\mathfrak{u}$ and $X,Y\in\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}.$ We compute

$$\omega'([X,Y],U) = -d\omega'(X,Y,U) - \omega'([Y,U],X) - \omega'(U,[X,Y])$$

$$= -\theta'(X)\omega'(Y,U) - \theta'(Y)\omega'(U,X) - \theta'(U)\omega'(X,Y)$$

$$= 0.$$

where we used the LCS condition and the fact that $\mathfrak{u} \subset \ker \theta'$, thus (i) is proved.

(ii) Let (ω, θ) be the restriction of (ω', θ') to \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} . It is clear that ω is non degenerate on \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} and $\theta \neq 0$ since $\mathfrak{u} \subset \ker \theta'$ and $\theta' \neq 0$. Therefore, (ω, θ) satisfies the LCS condition on the subalgebra \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} .

We can decompose \mathfrak{g} as a semidirect product $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} \ltimes \mathfrak{u}$, and we denote the restriction of ω' to the non degenerate abelian ideal \mathfrak{u} by ω_0 . Then, it is clear that ω_0 and ad: $\mathfrak{u}^{\perp} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{u})$ satisfy condition (3), since (ω', θ') is exactly the LCS structure $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ constructed in Theorem 3.3 with initial data $(\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}, \omega, \theta)$ and (\mathfrak{u}, ω_0) .

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.3 that $\operatorname{ad}:\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}\to\operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{u})$ is a LCS representation and $(\mathfrak{g},\omega',\theta')$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}\ltimes\mathfrak{u},\tilde{\omega},\tilde{\theta})$. Moreover, (ω',θ') is a LCS structure of the seconk kind on \mathfrak{g} .

Remark 3.7. Using Theorem 3.6 it is easy now to determine whether a given Lie algebra endowed with a LCS structure can be constructed by Theorem 3.3. This gives us a nice characterization of LCS Lie algebras admitting a non degenerate abelian ideal contained in the kernel of the Lee form. One can see Theorem 3.6 as a kind of reduction of the LCS condition.

Remark 3.8. This construction has some similar ideas to the ones in [2, Proposition 1.17] since both are related with special abelian ideals contained in the kernel of the Lee form. In our work we look for a non degenerate abelian ideal instead of a Lagrangian abelian ideal.

3.1. Center of LCS Lie algebras of the second kind.

The center of a Lie algebra with a LCS structure was studied in [2], in particular the authors characterized the center of a nilpotent LCS Lie algebra and they proved that the dimension of the center is at most 2. Note that the nilpotency condition implies that the LCS structure is of the first kind. On the other hand, as a consequence of Theorem 3.3 it is easy to verify that there is no restriction for the dimension of the center of a Lie algebra admitting a LCS structure of the second kind, as we show in the following example.

Example 3.9. Consider the 4-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{rr}_{3,\lambda}$ with structure constants $(0,-12,-\lambda 13,0)$. It means that we fix a coframe $\{e^1,e^2,e^3,e^4\}$ for $(\mathfrak{rr}_{3,\lambda})^*$ such that $de^1=0$, $de^2=-e^1\wedge e^2$, $de^3=-\lambda e^1\wedge e^3$ and $de^4=0$. According to [2] this Lie algebra admits a LCS structure given by $\omega=e^{12}+e^{34}$ with Lee form $\theta=-\lambda e^1$, where $\{e^1,e^2,e^3,e^4\}$ denotes the dual coframe. Consider now the (2n+4)-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{rr}_{3,\lambda}\ltimes_\pi\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ where $\pi(e_i)=0$ for i=2,3,4 and $\pi(e_1)\in M(2n,\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\pi(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{2} \operatorname{Id}_{n \times n} & \\ & \frac{\lambda}{2} \operatorname{Id}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{2} \operatorname{Id}_{n \times n} & \\ & -\frac{\lambda}{2} \operatorname{Id}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \operatorname{Id}_{n \times n} & \\ & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix},$$

in a basis $\{u_1,\ldots,u_n,v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . More precisely, the Lie brackets on \mathfrak{g} are:

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = \lambda e_3, \quad [e_1, u_k] = \lambda u_k,$$

for k = 1, ..., n. Then $e_4 \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v_i \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ for i = 1, ..., n, therefore the dimension of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is n + 1. Moreover, it can be seen that π is a LCS representation, hence according to Theorem 3.3 it determines a LCS structure on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} given by $\tilde{\omega} = e^{12} + e^{34} + \sum_{i=1} u^i \wedge v^i$ with Lee form $\tilde{\theta} = -\lambda e^1$.

3.2. Unimodular LCS Lie algebras of the second kind.

Since we are interested in finding examples of solvmanifolds equipped with a LCS structure of the second kind, we determine next when a Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$ built in Theorem 3.3 is unimodular.

Proposition 3.10. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie algebra with a LCS structure (ω, θ) , (π, V) a 2n-dimensional LCS representation of \mathfrak{h} and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$ the Lie algebra with LCS structure $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ built as above. Then \mathfrak{g} is unimodular if and only if $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}}) = n\theta(X)$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. Given $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, the operator $\operatorname{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ can be written as

$$\operatorname{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{g}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \operatorname{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} & \\ \hline & \pi(X) \end{array}\right),\,$$

for some bases of \mathfrak{h} and V. Then we have that \mathfrak{g} is unimodular if and only if $\operatorname{tr}(\pi(X)) = -\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}})$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, and using the characterization in Theorem 3.3 this happens if and only if

$$\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}}) = -\operatorname{tr}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\theta(X)\operatorname{Id} + \rho(X)\right) = n\theta(X),$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$.

In particular we have the following corollary, which will be used later:

Corollary 3.11. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie algebra with a LCS structure (ω, θ) . If there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(4)
$$\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}_X^{\mathfrak{h}}) = n\theta(X)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, then for any LCS representation (π, V) with dim V = 2n, the LCS Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes_{\pi} V$ is unimodular.

Therefore the method of Theorem 3.3 together with condition (4) allow us to build unimodular Lie algebras admitting a LCS structure of the second kind starting from a non unimodular Lie algebra with a LCS structure.

4. Examples of Lie algebras with LCS structures of the second kind

In this section we show first that our construction is quite general. Indeed, we can reobtain with this construction most of the known examples of Lie algebras admitting a LCS structure of the second kind. More precisely, we see that every unimodular 4-dimensional Lie algebra admitting a LCS structure of the second kind has a non degenerate abelian ideal contained in the kernel of the Lee form, and then by Theorem 3.6 they can be obtained with our construction. We also use Theorem 3.3 to construct new examples of unimodular Lie algebras admitting LCS structures of the second kind in higher dimension.

Lie algebra	structure equations	LCS structure of the second kind	
$\mathfrak{rr}_{3,-1}$	(0, -12, 13, 0)	$\theta = e^1$ $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34}$	
$ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{r}_{4,\alpha,-(1+\alpha)} \\ -1 < \alpha \le -(1+\alpha) \le 1 \\ \alpha \ne 0 \end{array} $	$(14, \alpha 24, -(1+\alpha)34, 0)$	$\theta = \alpha e^4, \alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ $\omega = e^{13} + e^{24}$ $\theta = e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} + e^{23}$ $\theta = -(1 + \alpha)e^4$ $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34}$	
	$(14, -\frac{1}{2}24 + \delta 34, -\delta 24 - \frac{1}{2}34, 0)$	$\theta = e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} \pm e^{23}$	
\mathfrak{d}_4	(14, -24, -12, 0)	$\theta = e^4$ $\omega = e^{12} - e^{34} + e^{24}$ $\theta = e^4$ $\omega = \pm e^{14} + e^{23}$	

Table 1. Unimodular 4-dimensional Lie algebras admitting LCS structure of second kind

4.1. **Dimension** 4. We start by recalling in Table 1 the unimodular 4-dimensional Lie algebras admitting a LCS structure of the second kind (see [2]).

We explain in details the first case in Table 1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{rr}_{3,-1}$ with the LCS structure $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34}$, $\theta = e^1$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{u} = \operatorname{span}\{e_3, e_4\}$ is a non degenerate abelian ideal of \mathfrak{g} . It follows from Theorem 3.6 that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} \ltimes \mathfrak{u}$ where $\mathfrak{u}^{\perp} = \operatorname{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ is isomorphic to the 2-dimensional non abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})$. The LCS structure on \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} is given by $\omega = e^{12}$, $\theta = e^1$, note that since $\dim \mathfrak{u}^{\perp} = 2$, this structure is in fact a symplectic structure. Therefore $\mathfrak{rr}_{3,-1} \simeq \mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \ltimes_{\pi_1} \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\pi_1(e_1) = \operatorname{diag}(-1,0) = \operatorname{diag}(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}) + \operatorname{diag}(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ and $\pi_1(e_2) = 0$. Clearly, π_1 is an LCS representation.

It can be easily seen that for any of the Lie algebras and any of the LCS structures of Table 1 we can proceed in the same way with the exception of \mathfrak{d}_4 with the LCS structure $\omega = e^{12} - e^{34} + e^{24}$ and $\theta = e^4$. Indeed, this is the only LCS structure of the second kind on a 4-dimensional unimodular Lie algebra which does not satisfy the condition of Theorem 3.6, that is, it does not have a non degenerate abelian ideal contained in ker θ . To summarize we have the following result:

Proposition 4.1. Any unimodular 4-dimensional LCS Lie algebra of the second kind, with the only exception of $(\mathfrak{d}_4, \omega = e^{12} - e^{34} + e^{24}, \theta = e^4)$, can be reobtained by Theorem 3.3 for a suitable representation.

According to [2] the simply connected Lie groups associated with the Lie algebras of Table 1 (for a countable set of parameters α and δ) admit lattices (see also [5]). Then we have that:

Corollary 4.2. Any unimodular 4-dimensional LCS Lie algebra of the second kind (except for $(\mathfrak{d}_4, e^{12} - e^{34} + e^{24}, e^4)$) associated with a compact solvmanifold can be obtained by Theorem 3.3 for a suitable representation.

Moreover, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the induced LCS structures on any quotient are LCS structures of the second kind.

4.2. **Higher dimension.** We focus now on provide examples in higher dimension. In order to build examples of unimodular Lie algebras admitting LCS structures we need to start with a non unimodular Lie algebra with a LCS structure in lower dimension. In [2] the authors classify the 4-dimensional solvable Lie algebras admitting LCS structures up to automorphism of the Lie algebra. Using their classification we show in Table 2 all the 4-dimensional solvable Lie algebras with their associated LCS structure satisfying condition (4), which means that they can be extended to a higher dimensional unimodular Lie algebra admitting a LCS structure given by Theorem 3.3.

Lie algebra	structure equations	LCS structure	parameters	2n-extension
$\mathfrak{rr}_{3,\lambda}$ $\lambda \neq -1$	$(0, -12, -\lambda 13, 0)$	$\theta = -\lambda e^1$ $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34}$	$\lambda \neq 0$	$n = -\frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda}$
$\mathfrak{r}_2\mathfrak{r}_2$	(0, -12, 0, -34)	$\theta = -\sigma(e^{1} + e^{3})$ $\omega = -\frac{\sigma+1}{\sigma}e^{12} + e^{14} + e^{23} + \frac{\sigma+1}{\sigma}e^{34}$	$\sigma \neq -\frac{1}{2}, 0$	$n = \frac{1}{\sigma}$
\mathfrak{r}_2'	(0,0,-13+24,-14-23)	$\theta = \sigma e^1$ $\omega = e^{13} - \frac{1}{\sigma + 1}e^{24}$	$\sigma \neq -1, 0$	$n = \frac{2}{\sigma}$
$\mathfrak{r}_{4,\mu}$	$(14, \mu 24 + 34, \mu 34, 0)$	$\theta = -(\mu + 1)e^4$ $\omega = e^{13} + e^{24}$	$\mu \neq -1, 1$	$n = -\frac{2\mu + 1}{\mu + 1}$
	$(11, \mu 21 + 01, \mu 01, 0)$	$\theta = -2\mu e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} \pm e^{23}$	$\mu \neq 0, 1$	$n = -\frac{2\mu + 1}{2\mu}$
$\mathfrak{r}_{4,\alpha,\beta}$ $-1 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$ $\alpha\beta \ne 0$	$(14, \alpha 24, \beta 34, 0)$	$\theta = -(1+\beta)e^4$ $\omega = e^{13} + e^{24}$	$\alpha \neq \beta$	$n = -\frac{1+\alpha+\beta}{1+\beta}$
		$\theta = -(\alpha + \beta)e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} + e^{23}$	$\beta \neq 1 \\ \alpha + \beta \neq 0$	$n = -\frac{1 + \alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta}$
		$\theta = -(1+\alpha)e^4$ $\omega = e^{12} + e^{34}$	$\forall \alpha, \beta$	$n = -\frac{1+\alpha+\beta}{1+\alpha}$
$\hat{\mathfrak{r}}_{4,\beta}$ $-1 \le \beta < 0$	$(14, -24, \beta 34, 0)$	$\theta = -(1+\beta)e^4$ $\omega = e^{13} + e^{24}$	$\beta \neq -1$	$n = -\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$
$\mathfrak{r}'_{4,\gamma,\delta}$ $\delta > 0$	$(14, \gamma 24 + \delta 34, -\delta 24 + \gamma 34, 0)$	$\theta = -\gamma e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} \pm e^{23}$	$\gamma \neq 0$	$n = -\frac{1+2\gamma}{2\gamma}$
$\mathfrak{d}_{4,\lambda}$ $\lambda \geq rac{1}{2}$		$\theta = \sigma e^4$ $\omega = e^{12} - (\sigma + 1)e^{34}$	$\lambda \neq 2$ $\sigma \neq -1, 0$	$n = \frac{2}{\sigma}$
	$(\lambda 14, (1-\lambda)24, -12+34, 0)$	$\theta = (\lambda - 1)e^4$ $\omega = e^{12} - \lambda e^{34} + e^{24}$	$\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}, 1$	$n = \frac{2}{\lambda - 1}$
		$\theta = (\lambda - 2)e^4$ $\omega = e^{14} \pm e^{23}$	$\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}, 2$	$n = \frac{2}{\lambda - 2}$
$\mathfrak{d}'_{4,\delta}$	$(\frac{\delta}{2}14 + 24, -14 + \frac{\delta}{2}24, -12 + \delta 34, 0)$	$\theta = \sigma e^4$ $\omega = \pm (e^{12} - (\delta + \sigma)e^{34})$	$\delta > 0$ $\sigma \neq -\delta, 0$	$n = \frac{2\delta}{\sigma}$
\mathfrak{h}_4	$\left(\frac{\delta}{2}14 + 24, \frac{1}{2}24, -12 + 34, 0\right)$	$\theta = \pm \sigma e^4$ $\omega = \pm (e^{12} - (\sigma + 1)e^{34})$	$\sigma \neq -1, 0$	$n = \pm \frac{2}{\sigma}$

Table 2. 4-dimensional solvable LCS Lie algebras satisfying condition (4).

We explain in details how to extend one example.

Example 4.3. Let \mathfrak{r}'_2 be the Lie algebra with structure constants (0, 0, -13+24, -14-23). According to [2] this Lie algebra admits 4 non equivalent LCS structures up to Lie algebra

automorphism

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \sigma e^{1} + \tau e^{2} \\ \omega = e^{13} - \tau e^{14} - \frac{1+\tau^{2}}{1+\sigma} e^{24} \\ \text{with } \sigma \neq -1, 0, \quad \tau > 0 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \theta = -2e^{1} \\ \omega = \sigma e^{12} + e^{34} \\ \text{with } \sigma \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \tau e^{2} \\ \omega = e^{13} - \tau e^{14} - (1+\tau^{2})e^{24} \\ \text{with } \tau > 0 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \theta = \sigma e^{1} \\ \omega = e^{13} - \frac{1}{1+\sigma} e^{24} \\ \text{with } \sigma \neq -1, 0 \end{cases}$$

We verify next if each LCS structure satisfies condition (4). If we consider the cases $\theta = \sigma e^1 + \tau e^2$, $\theta = \tau e^2$ or $\theta = -2e^1$ the condition (4) does not hold, then the possible LCS extension will not be unimodular. Finally we consider the LCS structure with Lee form $\theta = \sigma e^1$ and $\sigma \neq -1, 0$. In this case (4) is satisfied for $2 = n\sigma$. Therefore for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma = \frac{2}{n}$, the LCS structure on \mathfrak{r}'_2 given by

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \sigma e^1 \\ \omega = e^{13} - \frac{1}{1+\sigma}e^{24} \\ \text{with } \sigma \neq -1, 0 \end{cases}$$

can be extended to the (2n+4)-dimensional unimodular Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}_2' \ltimes_{\pi} \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

where π is a suitable LCS representation. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that \mathfrak{g} admits a LCS structure $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\theta})$ given by $\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \omega$, $\tilde{\omega}|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} = \omega_0$, $\tilde{\theta}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta$ and $\tilde{\theta}|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} = 0$, where ω_0 is any symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . To be more specific we consider n = 2, then $\sigma = 1$. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{r}'_2 \to \mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{R})$ be the representation given by

$$\pi(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & & & \\ & -\frac{1}{2} & & \\ & & -\frac{1}{2} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\pi(e_2) = \pi(e_3) = \pi(e_4) = 0$, in a basis $\{e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$ of \mathbb{R}^4 with $\omega_0 = e^{56} + e^{78}$. It is easy to see that π is a LCS representation. Then the 8-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}_2' \ltimes_{\pi} \mathbb{R}^4$ has the following Lie brackets

$$[e_1, e_3] = e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_4, \quad [e_1, e_6] = -e_6,$$

$$[e_1, e_4] = e_4, \quad [e_2, e_4] = -e_3, \quad [e_1, e_7] = -e_7,$$

and the LCS structure is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\omega} = e^{13} - \frac{1}{2}e^{24} + e^{56} + e^{78} \\ \tilde{\theta} = e^{1}. \end{array} \right.$$

Remark 4.4. Note that this example is not covered by the construction given in [2, Proposition 1.8].

5. Examples of solvmanifolds with LCS structures of the second kind

In the section we use Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 2.1 to construct a family of solvmanifolds $\Gamma_m \backslash G$ admitting a LCS structure of the second kind, where G is the simply connected Lie group associated to the Lie algebra considered in the Example 4.3. This Lie algebra can be decomposed as $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}e_2 \ltimes \mathbb{R}e_1 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^6$ where the adjoint actions of e_1 and e_2 are given by

$$ad_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & -1 & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & -1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ad_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} & & 0 & & \\ & & -1 & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & & \\ & & & 0 & & \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

in the reordered bases $\{e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$ and $\{e_1, e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$ respectively. The simply connected Lie group associated to \mathfrak{g} is

$$G = \mathbb{R}e_2 \ltimes_{\psi} (\mathbb{R}e_1 \ltimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^6),$$

where $\varphi(t) = \exp(t \operatorname{ad}_{e_1})$ and $\psi(t) = \exp(t \operatorname{ad}_{e_2})$. Next we build a lattice in G, to do this we start considering the Lie subgroup $H := \mathbb{R}e_1 \ltimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^6$. Note that H is an almost abelian Lie group. According to [5] the Lie group H admits a lattice if and only if there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $t_0 \neq 0$, such that $\varphi(t_0)$ is conjugated to an integer matrix.

We can write the matrix $\varphi(t)$ in the basis $\{e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$ as

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & & & & \\ & e^{-t} & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & e^t & \\ & & & & e^{-t} & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider only the block

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & & \\ & e^{-t} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the matrix M is

$$p(x) = (x - 1)(x - e^{t})(x - e^{-t}).$$

Fixing $m \in \mathbb{N}$, m > 2, we define $t_m = \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{m}{2})$, $t_m > 0$, and we have that $(x - e^{t_m})(x - e^{-t_m}) = x^2 - mx + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then the characteristic polynomial of M for $t = t_m$ can be written as $p(x) = x^3 - (m+1)x^2 + (m+1)x - 1$. Therefore, it is easy to see that M is conjugated to the companion matrix C_m of the polynomial p, that is, $M = Q_m C_m Q_m^{-1}$ where

$$C_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -(1+m) \\ 0 & 1 & 1+m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{t_m} & e^{2t_m} \\ 1 & e^{-t_m} & e^{-2t_m} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can copy this process in the second block of $\varphi(t)$ and we easily can check that

$$\varphi(t_m) = P_m D_m P_m^{-1},$$

where

$$D_m = \begin{pmatrix} C_m & 0 \\ 0 & C_m \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $P_m = \begin{pmatrix} Q_m & 0 \\ 0 & Q_m \end{pmatrix}$.

Therefore $\varphi(t_m)$ is conjugate to the integer matrix D_m . It follows from [5] that H admits a lattice

$$\Gamma_m = t_m \mathbb{Z} \ltimes P_m \mathbb{Z}^6$$
.

We now write the matrix $\psi(t)$ in the basis $\{e_1, e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$ as

$$\psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(t) & 0 & 0 & -\sin(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(t) & 0 & 0 & \cos(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\psi(2\pi)$ preserves the lattice Γ_m . Thus

$$\Lambda_m = 2\pi \mathbb{Z} \ltimes \Gamma_m = 2\pi \mathbb{Z} \ltimes (t_m \mathbb{Z} \ltimes P_m \mathbb{Z}^6)$$

is a lattice in G for any m > 2. Therefore we obtain an explicit construction of examples of solvmanifolds $\Lambda_m \backslash G$ admitting a LCS structure of the second kind.

Since $\psi(2\pi) = \mathrm{Id}$, we have that $\Lambda_m \simeq 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma_m$, and therefore it can be considered as a lattice in $G' = \mathbb{R} \times H$. Then, according to [15, Theorem 3.6] the corresponding solvmanifolds $M_m := \Lambda_m \backslash G$ and $M'_m := \Lambda_m \backslash G'$ are diffeomorphic. Note that M' is diffeomorphic to the product of S^1 and the solvmanifold $\Gamma_m \backslash H$. We also note that G' can be seen as an almost abelian Lie group, more explicitly, $G' = \mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\rho} \mathbb{R}^7$, where

$$\rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & e^t & & & & \\ & & e^{-t} & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & e^t & & \\ & & & e^{-t} & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $\rho(t_m)$ is conjugated to the integer matrix

$$R_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using this identification between M_m and M'_m we can prove that:

Proposition 5.1. The solvmanifolds $\Lambda_m \backslash G$ are pairwise non homeomorphic.

Proof. We assume that M_m and M_n are homeomorphic, then M'_m and M'_n are homeomorphic as well, and therefore their fundamental groups $\pi_1(M'_m)$ and $\pi_1(M'_n)$ are isomorphic. Since G' is simply connected, we have that these fundamental groups are isomorphic to the lattices, and therefore $\Lambda_m \cong \Lambda_n$. Since G' is completely solvable, we can use the Saitos rigidity theorem [16] to extend this isomorphism to an automorphism of G'. Since the lattices differ by an automorphism of G', it follows from [9, Theorem 3.6] that the integer matrix R_m is conjugated either to R_n or to R_n^{-1} . Finally comparing the eigenvalues of R_m and R_n we obtain that this happens if and only if m = n.

We study now the de Rham and the Morse-Novikov cohomology of the solvmanifolds $\Lambda_m \backslash G \cong \Lambda_m \backslash G'$. We note first that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}' of G' is given by $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathbb{R}e_1 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^7$ with

$$ad_{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & -1 & & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & -1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis $\{e_2, e_3, e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_8\}$ of \mathbb{R}^7 . Therefore the Lie group G', unlike G, is completely solvable, that is, ad_X has real eigenvalues for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}'$. Since G' is completely solvable these cohomologies can be computed in terms of the Lie algebra cohomology. Indeed, it follows from [8] (see also [1]) that $H_{dR}^*(\Lambda_m \backslash G') \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}')$ and $H_{\theta}^*(\Lambda_m \backslash G') \cong H_{\theta}^*(\mathfrak{g}')$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

 $H^*_{\theta}(\Lambda_m \backslash G') \cong H^*_{\theta}(\mathfrak{g}')$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. According to [17], the k^{th} Betti number of \mathfrak{g}' , $\beta_k = \dim H^k(\mathfrak{g}')$, can be computed in terms of the dimension of $Z^j(\mathfrak{g}') = \{\alpha \in \bigwedge^j \mathfrak{g}^* : d\alpha = 0\}$ as follows:

(5)
$$\beta_k = \dim H^k(\mathfrak{g}') = \dim Z^k(\mathfrak{g}') + \dim Z^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}') - \binom{8}{k-1},$$

for k > 2. Note that $\beta_0 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = \dim(\mathfrak{g}'/[\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{g}']) = 4$. Using equation (5) it can be seen that $\beta_2 = 10$, $\beta_3 = 20$ and $\beta_4 = 26$. Finally, due to Poincaré duality, we obtain that $\beta_5 = 20$, $\beta_6 = 10$, $\beta_7 = 4$ and $\beta_8 = 1$.

For the Morse-Novikov cohomology, the corresponding Betti numbers $\beta_k^{\theta} = \dim H_{\theta}^k(\mathfrak{g}')$ satisfy a similar equation. Indeed, setting $Z_{\theta}^j(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\alpha \in \bigwedge^j \mathfrak{g}^* : d_{\theta}\alpha = 0\}$ we have

(6)
$$\beta_k^{\theta} = \dim H_{\theta}^k(\mathfrak{g}') = \dim Z_{\theta}^k(\mathfrak{g}') + \dim Z_{\theta}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}') - \binom{8}{k-1},$$

for k > 2. It is easy to see that $\beta_0^{\theta} = 0$ and $\beta_1^{\theta} = 2$. Then, after some computations and using (6), it can be seen that $\beta_2^{\theta} = 8$, $\beta_3^{\theta} = 14$, $\beta_4^{\theta} = 16$, $\beta_5^{\theta} = 14$, $\beta_6^{\theta} = 8$, $\beta_2^{\theta} = 2$ and $\beta_8^{\theta} = 0$.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank A. Andrada for a careful reading of the first draft of the paper and useful remarks to improve the final version. Also thanks to D. Angella for his useful comments during his stay at Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Finally, I would like to thanks I. Dotti for her useful suggestions to improve the exposition of this paper.

References

- [1] A. Andrada, M. Origlia, Lattices in almost abelian Lie groups with locally conformal Kähler or symplectic structures, *Manuscripta Math.* **155** (2018), 389–417.
- [2] D. Angella, G. Bazzoni, M. Parton, Structure of locally conformally symplectic Lie algebras and solvmanifolds, to appear in *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5), DOI: 10.2422/2036-2145.201708_015.
- [3] D. Angella, A. Otiman, N. Tardini, Cohomologies of locally conformally symplectic manifolds and solvmanifolds, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **53** (2018), 67–96.
- [4] G. BAZZONI, J. MARRERO, On locally conformal symplectic manifolds of the first kind, Bull. Sci. Math. 143 (2018), 1–57.
- [5] C. Bock, On low-dimensional solvmanifolds. Asian J. Math. 20 (2016), 199–262.
- [6] F. GUEDIRA, A. LICHNEROWICZ, Géométrie des algébres de Lie locales de Kirillov, J. Math. Pures Appl. 63 (1984), 407–484.

- [7] S. Haller, Some properties of locally conformal symplectic manifolds, in *Infinite dimensional Lie groups in geometry and representation theory*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, (2002), 92–104.
- [8] A. HATTORI, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I 8 (1960), 289–331.
- [9] H. HUANG, Lattices and harmonic analysis on some 2-step solvable Lie groups, *J. Lie Theory* **13** (2003), 77–89.
- [10] H. KASUYA, Vaisman metrics on solvmanifolds and Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds, Bull. London Math. Soc. 45 (2013), 15–26.
- [11] A. MALCEV, On solvable Lie algebras, Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Sr. Math. [Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR] 9 (1945), 329–356.
- [12] J. MILNOR, Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups. Adv. Math. 21 (1976), 293–329.
- [13] S. NOVIKOV, Multi-valued functions and functionals. An analogue of Morse theory. Sov. Math. Dokl. 24 (1981), 222–226.
- [14] S. Novikov, The Hamiltonian formalism and a multi-valued analogue of Morse theory. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **37** (1982), 1–56.
- [15] S. RAGHUNATHAN, Discrete subgroups of Lie groups, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [16] M. SAITO, Sur certains groupes de Lie résolubles II, Sci. Papers Collège Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo 7 (1957), 157–168.
- [17] L. Santharoubane, Cohomology of Heisenberg Lie algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 87 (1983), 23–28.
- [18] I. Vaisman, Locally conformal symplectic manifolds, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **12** (1985), 521–536.

(Marcos Origlia) KU LEUVEN CAMPUS KULAK KORTRIJK, E. SABBELAAN 53, BE-8500 KORTRIJK, BELGIUM; AND FAMAF-CIEM, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, X5000HUA CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA

 ${\it E-mail\ address:}\ {\it marcosmiguel.origlia@kuleuven.be, marcosoriglia@gmail.com}$