

A scalable estimator of sets of integral operators

Valentin Debarnot¹, Paul Escande² and Pierre Weiss¹.

¹ITAV, CNRS, Toulouse, France. ²John Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA.

Abstract— We propose a scalable method to find a subspace $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ of low-rank tensors that simultaneously approximates a set of integral operators. The method can be seen as a generalization of the Tucker-2 decomposition model, which was never used in this context. In addition, we propose to construct a convex set $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ as the convex hull of the observed operators. It is a minimax optimal estimator under the Nikodym metric. We then provide an efficient algorithm to compute projection on $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$. We observe a good empirical behavior of the method in simulations. The main aim of this work is to improve the identifiability of complex linear operators in blind inverse problems.

1 Introduction

In many measurement devices, a signal u living in some Hilbert space \mathcal{B}_n of dimension n is probed indirectly using an operator $H : \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_m$, where \mathcal{B}_m is a Hilbert space of dimension m ¹. This yields a measurement vector $y \in \mathcal{B}_m$ defined by

$$y = P(Hu), \quad (1)$$

where P is some perturbation of the measurements (e.g. additive noise, modulus for phase retrieval, quantization,...).

In this paper, we are interested in a common situation where H is unknown, but lives in a subset \mathcal{C} of a low dimensional vector space \mathcal{H} . Our objective is to estimate both the set \mathcal{C} and the vector space \mathcal{H} from a sampling set of operators $(H_l)_{l \in L}$ in \mathcal{C} . The interest is that determining a low dimensional set of operators with a small volume can significantly ease the problem of operator identification in blind inverse problems. While our primary motivation lies in the field of inverse problems, this problem can also be understood as a generic problem of approximation theory.

1.1 Contributions

The simplest approach to find a low dimensional vector space of operators \mathcal{H} is to apply the singular value decomposition (SVD) to a matrix formed by concatenating each vectorized operator H_l . However, this approach is infeasible in practice due to the huge dimension of matrix operators (up to dimension 10^{18} for 3D images of size $1024 \times 1024 \times 1024$).

In this work, we therefore assume that the operators H_l are given in a form suitable for computations. In essence, we will assume that they can be well approximated by low rank matrices or more generally tensors.

The principle of our approach is to find two orthogonal bases $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(f_j)_{j \in J}$ such that all the operators H_l can be decomposed simultaneously as $H_l \simeq \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} \gamma_{i,j,l} e_i \otimes f_j$ for

a well chosen matrix $\gamma_{i,j,l} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I| \times |J|}$. The estimate $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ of the vector space of operators \mathcal{H} can then be identified with $E \otimes F \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \text{span}(e_i \otimes f_j, i \in I, j \in J)$. In addition, we propose to construct an estimate $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} , as the convex hull (in a matrix space) of the coefficients $(\gamma_{i,j,l})_{l \in L}$. To make further use of this convex hull, we design a fast projection algorithm on $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Many of the tools used in this work are well established and its main originality is to bind them together to answer a question of high practical importance.

2 Notation

In all the paper, I, J, K and L are the sets of integers ranging from 1 to $|I|, |J|, |K|$ and $|L|$. We assume that $u \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is defined over a set X of cardinality n and that $Hu \in \mathcal{B}_m$ is defined over a set Y . The letter H can either refer to an operator $H : \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_m$ or its matrix representation in an arbitrary orthogonal basis. The Frobenius norm of H is defined by $\|H\|_F := \sqrt{\text{tr}(H^*H)}$. It is invariant by unitary transforms. The tensor product between two vectors $a \in \mathcal{B}_m$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is defined by $(a \otimes b)(y, x) = a(y)b(x)$. The notation \odot stands for the element-wise (Hadamard) product if X has a group structure and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{B}_n$, $a_1 \star a_2$ denotes the convolution operator between a_1 and a_2 . We let $\Delta_N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \sum_{i=1}^N x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0\}$ denote the simplex of dimension N .

3 Operator representations

A critical assumption in this work is that many operators can be approximated in a form tractable for computations. The most generic assumption on H_l can be formulated as follows. Let \mathcal{L}_1 denote the set of operators from \mathcal{B}_n to \mathcal{B}_m and \mathcal{L}_2 denote another vector space.

Assumption 1. *There exists a left invertible linear mapping $T : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ such that each sample $H_l \in \mathcal{L}_1$ satisfies:*

$$S_l = T(H_l) = \sum_{k \in K} \alpha_{k,l} \otimes \beta_{k,l}, \quad (2)$$

where for all $l \in L$, the sets $(\alpha_{k,l})_k \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(\beta_{k,l})_k \in \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are subsets of $\mathcal{B}_m^{|K|}$ and $\mathcal{B}_n^{|K|}$ respectively.

This general formulation encompasses the usual low-rank assumption by taking $T = \text{Id}$, but also product-convolution expansions [4] that transform the usual kernel representation into the spatially varying impulse response. It also encompasses Hierarchical matrices [1] where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} would incorporate multi-resolution support constraints.

¹In all this paper, we assume that the operators are defined in finite dimensional spaces. An extension to infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces is feasible but it requires additional discretization procedures. We decided to skip this aspect to clarify the exposition.

4 Subspace estimation

The aim of this section is to provide an efficient and robust method to find a low dimensional basis of operators that allows to approximate simultaneously all the sampled representations $(S_l)_{l \in L}$. Given two spaces of admissible bases \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} and two sets of integers I and J , our aim is to solve the following problem:

$$\underset{\substack{(e_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{E} \\ (f_j)_{j \in J} \in \mathcal{F}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \in L} \|\Pi_{E \otimes F}(S_l) - S_l\|_F^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi(E, F), \quad (3)$$

where $\Pi_{E \otimes F}$ is the projection onto $E \otimes F$. The sets \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} can encompass various structures according to applications, such as orthogonality or support constraints.

In the particular case where \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are simply sets of orthogonal families of cardinality $|I|$ and $|J|$, Problem (3) coincides exactly with the Tucker2 model. This problem is also linked with various other decompositions, we refer to the review paper [5] for more insight on tensor decompositions. A natural approach to solve such a problem is to use an alternating minimization algorithm. However, Problem (3) is a complex nonconvex problem and such an algorithm is not ensured to converge to the global minimizer. The proposed procedure is summarized in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Alternating Least Squares (ALS)

Approximatively solve: Problem (3)

INPUT: $(S_l)_{l \in L}$, subspace constraints \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} .


OUTPUT: $\hat{E} \in \mathcal{E}$, $\hat{F} \in \mathcal{F}$.

- 1: **procedure**
 - 2: Initialization: $k = 0$, F_0 .
 - 3: **while** stopping criterion not satisfied **do**
 - 4: $E_k = \underset{E \in \mathcal{E}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \phi(E, F_k)$.
 - 5: $F_{k+1} = \underset{F \in \mathcal{F}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \phi(E_k, F)$.
 - 6: $k = k + 1$
 - 7: **end while**
 - 8: **end procedure**
-


Computing the two subproblems, when one family is fixed, can be rather challenging. However, we can take advantage of the particular structure of the operators S_l . For instance, when \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} only contain orthogonal constraints, as is the case for the Tucker 2 model, these subproblems can be solved computing the SVD of a matrix of size $n \times |I||L|$ or $m \times |J||L|$.

The starting point of Algorithm 1 is critical since the problem (3) is non-convex. In this work, we use the High Order Singular Value Decomposition (HOSVD) [3] which can be seen as a generalization of the SVD for tensors.

In practice we observe that the alternation in Algorithm 1 may be pointless. Numerical experiments on diffusion operators show that 1 iteration of the algorithm yields a cost function which remains mostly unchanged when iterating. In Figure 1a, we see that HOSVD and Algorithm 1 are indistinguishable. The curve called SVD refers to the error made by computing the SVD of the full vectorized operators. This method yields the best possible approximation, but it is only feasible with very low-dimensional operators, since it does not exploit a particular operator structure. The increase of computing time with respect to the dimensions is displayed in Figure 1b.



(a) Relative approximation error versus the dimension $|I|$ of each basis.



(b) Computation time in seconds versus dimension of the problem (the operators are of size $n \times n$).

5 Convex hull estimation

Assume that we observe a finite number of points sampled with the uniform measure over a convex compact set \mathcal{C} . It is shown in [2] that the convex hull $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ of those points is a minimax optimal estimator under the Nikodym metric. In the Hausdorff metric it is not known if the convex hull is still minimax optimal, but it only differs from the lower-bound by a logarithmic factor.

This observation motivated us to develop a projection algorithm on $\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \operatorname{conv}(\hat{S}_l, l \in L)$, where \hat{S}_l is the projection of S_l onto the subspace $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{E} \otimes \hat{F}$. Let H be a linear operator and let $S = T(H)$. Then the projection of S onto the convex hull $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ can be written as the following optimization problem:

$$\underset{\lambda \in \Delta_{|L|}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{l \in L} \lambda_l \hat{S}_l - S \right\|_F^2 = \underset{\lambda \in \Delta_{|L|}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|M\lambda - S\|_F^2, \quad (4)$$

where $M : \lambda \rightarrow \sum_{l \in L} \lambda_l \hat{S}_l$. This problem can be solved efficiently using an accelerated proximal gradient descent algorithm. It is summarized in Algorithm 2. The projection on the $(|L| - 1)$ -dimensional simplex can be computed in linear time.

Algorithm 2 Projection onto convex hull of operators

INPUT: A set $(\hat{S}_l)_{l \in L}$ in basis $E \otimes F$.

OUTPUT: Projection of S onto $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

- 1: **procedure**
 - 2: an initial guess $\lambda_0 \in \Delta_{|L|}$.
 - 3: **for** $k = 1, 2, \dots, k_{end}$ **do**
 - 4: $\tilde{\lambda}_k = \Pi_{\Delta_{|L|}}(M^*(M\lambda_k - S))$
 - 5: $\lambda_{k+1} = \tilde{\lambda}_k + \frac{k-1}{k+2} (\tilde{\lambda}_k - \tilde{\lambda}_{k-1})$
 - 6: **end for**
 - 7: Return $M\lambda_{k_{end}}$
 - 8: **end procedure**
-

Algorithm 2 ensures a convergence rate in $O(1/k^2)$. It can be made scalable by expressing the problem within the reduced basis \hat{H} of size $|I||J|$. We discard those technical aspects due to space limitation.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM grant number ECO20170637521). The authors wish to thank Thomas Bonnafont for a preliminary study of the

problem. They wish to thank Thomas Mangeat for inspiring discussions.

References

- [1] Mario Bebendorf. *Hierarchical matrices*. Springer, 2008.
- [2] Victor-Emmanuel Brunel. Methods in estimation of convex sets. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.03137>, 2017.
- [3] Lieven De Lathauwer, Bart De Moor, and Joos Vandewalle. A multilinear singular value decomposition. *SIAM journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 21(4):1253–1278, 2000.
- [4] Paul Escande and Pierre Weiss. Approximation of integral operators using product-convolution expansions. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 58(3):333–348, 2017.
- [5] Tamara G Kolda and Brett W Bader. Tensor decompositions and applications. *SIAM review*, 51(3):455–500, 2009.