

An increasing sequence of lower bounds for the Estrada index of graphs and matrices

Juan R. Carmona*

*Instituto de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas,
Universidad Austral de Chile, Independencia 631 - Valdivia - Chile*

Jonnathan Rodríguez

*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte Av. Angamos 0610
Antofagasta, Chile,
Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Antofagasta, Av Angamos 601,
Antofagasta, Chile.*

Abstract

Let G be a graph on n vertices and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ its eigenvalues. The Estrada index of G is defined as $EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i}$. In this work, we use an increasing sequence converging to the λ_1 to obtain an increasing sequence of lower bounds for $EE(G)$. In addition, we generalize this succession for the Estrada index of an arbitrary symmetric nonnegative matrix.

Keywords:

Estrada Index; Adjacency matrix; Symmetric matrix; Lower bound; Graph.
2000 MSC: 05C50, 15A18

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider undirected simple graphs G with edge set denoted by $\mathcal{E}(G)$ and its vertex set $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with cardinality m and n , respectively. If $e \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ has end vertices i and j , then we say that i and j are adjacent and this edge is denoted by ij . For a finite set U , $|U|$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `juan.carmona@uach.cl` (Juan R. Carmona),
`jrodriguez01@ucn.cl` (Jonnathan Rodríguez)

denotes its cardinality. Let K_n be the complete graph with n vertices and $\overline{K_n}$ its complement. A graph G is bipartite if there exists a partitioning of $V(G)$ into disjoint, nonempty sets V_1 and V_2 such that the end vertices of each edge in G are in distinct sets V_1, V_2 . A graph G is a complete bipartite graph if G is bipartite and each vertex in V_1 is connected to all the vertices in V_2 . If $|V_1| = p$ and $|V_2| = q$, the complete bipartite graph is denoted by $K_{p,q}$. For more properties of bipartite graphs, see [19].

If $i \in V(G)$, then $NG(i)$ denoted the set of neighbors of the vertex i in G , that is, $NG(i) = \{j \in V(G) : ij \in \mathcal{E}(G)\}$. For the i -th vertex of G , the cardinality of $NG(i)$ is called the degree of i and it is denoted by $d(i)$.

The number of walks of length k of G starting at i is denoted by $d_k(i)$, is also called k -degree of the vertex i . Clearly, we define $d_0(i) = 1, d_1(i) = d(i)$ and for $k \geq 1$

$$d_{k+1}(i) = \sum_{j \in NG(i)} d_k(j).$$

A graph G is called r -regular if $d(i) = r$, for all $i \in V(G)$. Further, a graph G is called (a, b) -semiregular if $\{d(i), d(j)\} = \{a, b\}$ holds for all edges $ij \in \mathcal{E}(G)$. A semiregular graph that is not regular will henceforth be called strictly semiregular. Clearly, a connected strictly semiregular graph must be bipartite. A graph G is called harmonic [11] (in [29] is called pseudoregular) if there exist a constant μ such that $d_2(i) = \mu d(i)$ holds for all $i \in V(G)$; in which case G is also called μ -pseudoregular. A graph G is called semipseudoregular [29], if there exist a constant μ such that $d_3(i) = \mu d(i)$ holds for all $i \in V(G)$; in which case G is also called μ -semiharmonic. Thus every μ -pseudoregular graph is μ^2 -semipseudoregular. Also every (a, b) -semiregular graph is ab -semipseudoregular. A semipseudoregular graph that is not pseudoregular will henceforth be called strictly semipseudoregular.

Finally, a graph G is called (a, b) -pseudosemiregular if $\left\{ \frac{d_2(i)}{d(i)}, \frac{d_2(j)}{d(j)} \right\} = \{a, b\}$ holds for all edges $ij \in \mathcal{E}(G)$. A pseudosemiregular graph that is not pseudoregular will henceforth be called strictly pseudosemiregular. Clearly, a connected strictly pseudosemiregular graph must be bipartite.

The adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of the graph G is a symmetric matrix of order n with entries a_{ij} , such that $a_{ij} = 1$ if $ij \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Denoted by $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ to the eigenvalues of $A(G)$, see [2, 3].

The Estrada index of the graph G is defined as

$$EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i}.$$

This spectral quantity is put forward by E. Estrada [6] in the year 2000. There have been found a lot of chemical and physical applications, including quantifying the degree of folding of long-chain proteins, [6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 18], complex networks and evolving graphs [9, 10, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28]. Mathematical properties of this invariant can be found in e.g. [12, 13, 16, 20, 27, 30, 31].

Denote by $M_k = M_k(G)$ to the k -th spectral moment of the graph G , i.e.,

$$M_k = 4 \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i)^k.$$

In [2], for a graph G with n vertices and m edges, the authors proved that

$$M_0 = n, \quad M_1 = 0, \quad M_2 = 2m, \quad M_3 = 6t, \quad (1)$$

where t is the number of triangles in G . Thereby we can write the Estrada index as

$$EE(G) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_k}{k!}.$$

2. Preliminaries

Let R be a symmetric matrix of order ℓ with eigenvalues $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_\ell$. The Estrada index of R is denoted and defined as

$$EE(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} e^{\rho_i}. \quad (2)$$

For more details on the theory of the Estrada index see the papers [1, 5, 22] and the references cited therein.

Among the results obtained for the Estrada index of symmetric matrices, we outline the following lower bound obtained in [1]

$$EE(R) \geq e^{\rho_1} + (\ell - 1) + Tr(R) - \rho_1. \quad (3)$$

For the other hand, considering R as the adjacency matrix of a graph G , in the same paper, the authors obtain the following lower bound for the Estrada index of a graph G

$$EE(G) \geq e^{\left(\frac{2m}{n}\right)} + n - 1 - \frac{2m}{n}. \quad (4)$$

The equality holds in (4) if and only if G is isomorphic to $\overline{K_n}$. To obtain the last lower bound, at first, the authors showed that the following relationship holds:

$$EE(G) \geq e^{\lambda_1} + n - 1 - \lambda_1. \quad (5)$$

In [2], the authors obtain a sequence of lower bounds for Estrada index of graphs. For this, we need the following results.

Theorem 1. [2] *Let G be a connected graph. Then*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d^2(i)}{n}} \quad (6)$$

with equality if and only if G is regular or a semiregular.

Theorem 2. [29] *Let G be a connected graph. Then*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d_2^2(i)}{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d^2(i)}} \quad (7)$$

with equality if and only if G is a pseudo-regular graph or a strictly pseudo-semiregular graph.

Furthermore, we have to

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d_2^2(i)}{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d^2(i)}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d^2(i)}{n}}.$$

In [17], the authors generalized these results and built an increasing sequence of lower bounds for λ_1 , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{(0)} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d^2(i)}{n}} \\
\gamma^{(1)} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d_2^2(i)}{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d^2(i)}} \\
&\quad \vdots \\
\gamma^{(k)} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d_{k+1}^2(i)}{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d_k^2(i)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Thereby, they obtain the following results.

Theorem 3. [17] *Let G be a connected graph and $k \geq 1$. Then*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \gamma^{(k)}$$

with equality if and only if G is pseudoregular or strictly pseudosemiregular.

Theorem 4. [17] *Let G be a graph, then $\{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k \geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{(k)} = \lambda_1.$$

In this work, following the ideas of [2, 4], we will use the increasing sequence of lower bounds for λ_1 given in [17] to obtain an increasing sequence of lower bounds for the Estrada index of graphs, which converges to the lower bound (5). Moreover, applying this technique, we obtain an increasing sequence of lower bounds for the Estrada index of a symmetric matrix R , which converges to the lower bound (3).

3. Main Results

Consider the following function

$$f(x) = (x - 1) - \ln(x), \quad x > 0. \quad (9)$$

Clearly the function f is decreasing in $(0, 1]$ and increasing in $[1, +\infty)$. Consequently, $f(x) \geq f(1) = 0$, implying that

$$x \geq 1 + \ln x, \quad x > 0, \quad (10)$$

the equality holds if and only if $x = 1$. Let G be a graph of order n , using (1) and (10), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} EE(G) &\geq e^{\lambda_1} + (n - 1) + \sum_{k=2}^n \ln e^{\lambda_k} \\ &= e^{\lambda_1} + (n - 1) + \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_k \\ &= e^{\lambda_1} + (n - 1) + M_1 - \lambda_1 \\ &= e^{\lambda_1} + (n - 1) - \lambda_1. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Define the function

$$\phi(x) = e^x + (n - 1) - x, \quad x > 0. \quad (12)$$

Note that, this is an increasing function on $D_\phi = [0, +\infty)$.

Therefore, we proved the following result.

Theorem 5. *Let G a connected graph of order n and the sequence $\{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ as in (8). Then the sequence $\{\phi(\gamma^{(k)})\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is increasing and converges to $\phi(\lambda_1)$, moreover for all $k \geq 0$*

$$EE(G) > e^{\gamma^{(k)}} + n - 1 - \gamma^{(k)}. \quad (13)$$

Proof. First, we observe that $\gamma^{(k)} \in D_\phi$, for all $k \geq 0$. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4 and that $\gamma^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in V(G)} d^2(i)}{n}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}} \geq 1$. Since $\{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence and by Theorem 4 converges to λ_1 . Then, by the continuity of ϕ , we have to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\gamma^{(k)}) = \phi\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{(k)}\right) = \phi(\lambda_1).$$

This allows us to prove the first statement. \square

Remark 6. Suppose that the equality holds in (13). Then all the inequalities in (11) must be considered as equalities. From the equality (10), we get $e^{\lambda_2} = \dots = e^{\lambda_n} = 1$, then $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ implying that $\lambda_1 = \gamma^{(k)} = 0$. Thus G is isomorphic to the $\overline{K_n}$.

The following result, a sharp increasing sequence of lower bounds for the Estrada index of a bipartite graph is obtained.

Considering (1) and (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
EE(G) &= e^{\lambda_1} + e^{-\lambda_1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} e^{\lambda_k} \\
&\geq 2 \cosh \lambda_1 + (n-2) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \lambda_k \\
&= 2 \cosh \lambda_1 + (n-2) + M_1 + \lambda_1 - \lambda_1 \\
&= 2 \cosh \lambda_1 + n - 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Define the function, $\Phi(x) = 2 \cosh x + n - 2$. Note that, this is an increasing function on $D_\Phi = [0, +\infty)$.

Theorem 7. Let G be a bipartite connected graph of order n with $n > 2$. Considering the sequence $\{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ as in (8). Then

$$EE(G) \geq 2 \cosh(\gamma^{(k)}) + n - 2. \tag{15}$$

Equality holds if and only if G is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph.

Proof.

Analogous to the proof of Theorem 5, $\gamma^{(k)} \in D_\Phi$. Since $\{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence and by Theorem 4 converges to λ_1 . Then the continuity of ϕ allows us to prove the first statement.

Moreover, we get

$$EE(G) \geq 2 \cosh(\gamma^{(k)}) + n - 2.$$

Suppose now that the equality holds in (15). Then all the inequalities in (14) must be considered as equalities. From the equality (10), we get $e^{\lambda_2} = \dots = e^{\lambda_{n-1}} = 1$, then $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0$ and $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_n = \gamma^{(k)}$, which implies that G is a bipartite complete graph, $K_{p,q}$ such that $p + q = n$ \square

For the other hand, recall that a Hermitian complex $\ell \times \ell$ matrix $R = (r_{ij})$, $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$, is such that $R = R^*$ where R^* denotes the conjugate transpose of R . For $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$, we denote by $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$, the inner product in \mathbb{C}^ℓ , the norm, $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ and $|R| = \sqrt{\text{trace}(R^*R)}$ the Frobenius norm of R .

For symmetric matrices it is possible find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^ℓ , x_1, x_2, \dots, x_ℓ , of eigenvectors associated to $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_\ell$ the eigenvalues of R where $Rx_i = \rho_i x_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. The spectral radius of a square matrix R is the largest absolute value of its eigenvalues, it is denoted by $|\rho_1| = \max\{|\rho| : \rho \in \sigma(R)\}$. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$ such that $\langle f, x_i \rangle \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. We define the vector sequence

$$\begin{aligned} r^{(0)} &= f \\ r^{(1)} &= Rr^{(0)} = Rf \\ &\vdots \\ r^{(k)} &= Rr^{(k-1)} = R^{(k)}f \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In view of the fact that for $k = 0, 1, \dots, R^{(k)}f \neq 0$, in [4] the following numerical sequence was defined

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{(0)} &= \frac{\|r^{(1)}\|}{\|r^{(0)}\|} = \frac{\|Rr^{(0)}\|}{\|f\|} \\ \xi^{(1)} &= \frac{\|r^{(2)}\|}{\|r^{(1)}\|} = \frac{\|Rr^{(1)}\|}{\|Rr^{(0)}\|} \\ &\vdots \\ \xi^{(k)} &= \frac{\|r^{(k+1)}\|}{\|r^{(k)}\|} = \frac{\|Rr^{(k)}\|}{\|Rr^{(k-1)}\|}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Thereby, the authors in [4], we obtain the following result.

Lemma 8. [4] *Let $\{\xi^{(k)}\}_{k \geq 0}$ be the sequence defined in (17), then $\{\xi^{(k)}\}_{k \geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence. Furthermore*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi^{(k)} = |\rho_1|.$$

If the matrix R is an irreducible nonnegative matrix, then its spectral radius is simple with a positive eigenvector x_1 , see [21]. Let \mathbf{e} be the n -dimensional all-one vector $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)^T$. Evidently, $\langle \mathbf{e}, x_1 \rangle \neq 0$.

Considering the argument used in (11) and the inequality (10), we have that

$$EE(R) \geq e^{\rho_1} + \ell - 1 + \text{Tr}(R) - \rho_1. \quad (18)$$

The equality holds if only if $\rho_2 = \rho_3 = \dots = \rho_\ell = 0$.

Let

$$\varphi(x) = e^x + \ell - 1 + \text{Tr}(R) - x. \quad (19)$$

an increasing function on $D_\varphi = [0, +\infty)$. Then, the following result is obtained.

Theorem 9. *Let R be a nonnegative symmetric $\ell \times \ell$ matrix with spectral radius ρ_1 . Define the sequence $\{\xi^{(k)}\}_{k \geq 0}$ as in (17) with f replaced by e . Then $\xi^{(k)} \in D_\varphi$, for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, where φ is defined in (19).*

Proof. Before starting the proof, it is worth noting that

$$\rho_1 \leq \sqrt{\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + \dots + \rho_\ell^2} = |R|.$$

For the Rayleigh quotient, we have that $0 < \xi^{(k)} \leq |\rho_1|$ for all $k \geq 0$, then $0 < \xi^{(k)} \leq |R|$. Since R is a symmetric nonnegative matrix,

$$\text{trace}(R^*R) = \text{trace}(R^2) \leq \mathbf{e}^* R^2 \mathbf{e},$$

implying that $|R| \leq \|\mathbf{Re}\|$. Consequently,

$$0 \leq \frac{|R|}{\sqrt{\ell}} \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{Re}\|}{\sqrt{\ell}} = \xi^{(0)}. \quad (20)$$

where $\sqrt{\ell}$ is the norm of vector \mathbf{e} , which is considered in (17) for obtain the sequence of vectors $\xi^{(i)}$. Finally, by Lemma 8, the result follows. \square

The following result is a generalize the Theorem 5.

Theorem 10. *Let R be a nonnegative symmetric $\ell \times \ell$ matrix with spectral radius ρ_1 . Define the sequence $\{\xi^{(k)}\}_{k \geq 0}$ as in (17) with f replaced by e . Then the sequence $\{\varphi(\xi^{(k)})\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is increasing and converges to $\varphi(\rho_1)$, moreover for all $k \geq 0$*

$$EE(R) \geq e^{\xi^{(k)}} + \ell - 1 + \text{Tr}(R) - \xi^{(k)}. \quad (21)$$

Equality holds in (21) if and only if $\rho_2 = \rho_3 = \dots = \rho_\ell = 0$.

Proof. Since $\xi^{(k)} \in D_\varphi$, for all $k \geq 0$, see Theorem 9. Since $\{\xi^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence and converges to ρ_1 , by Lemma 8. Then the continuity of φ allows us to prove the statement. \square

4. Comparing bounds and final remarks

In this section, we show some examples of the results obtained in the previous sections for several graphs, see Figure 4. We compare the estimates obtained in Theorem 5 and Theorem 7 with the exact value of the Estrada Indice.

Consider the complete graph K_4 , with eigenvalues $\{3, -1^{[3]}\}$. Then

$$EE(K_4) = e^3 + 3e^{-1} = 21.189.$$

For the other hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(1) &= d(2) = d(3) = d(4) = 3 \\ d_2(1) &= d_2(2) = d_2(3) = d_2(4) = 3^2; \\ d_3(1) &= d_3(2) = d_3(3) = d_3(4) = 3^3; \\ d_4(1) &= d_4(2) = d_4(3) = d_4(4) = 3^4 \end{aligned}$$

So, we can observe that

$$d_k(1) = d_k(2) = d_k(3) = d_k(4) = 3^k$$

Thereby, we obtain:

$$\gamma^{(0)} = \gamma^{(1)} = \gamma^{(k)} = \gamma^{(\infty)} = \lambda_1 = 3$$

Therefore, applying the Theorem 5

$$EE(G) > J^k = e^{(\gamma^{(k)})} + n - 1 - \gamma^{(k)},$$

we have

$$J^0 = J^1 = \dots = J^k = J^\infty \approx 20.086 < EE(K_4) = 21.189$$

Consider the graph P_4 , with eigenvalues $\{1.62, 0.62, -0.62, -1.62\}$. Then

$$EE(P_4) = 2 \cosh(1.62) + 2 \cosh(0.62) = 7.6479.$$

Note that, for P_4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(1) &= 1 = d(4); d(2) = 2 = d(3); \\ d_2(1) &= 2 = d_2(4); d_2(2) = 3 = d_2(3). \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: Graphs K_4 , P_4 and $K_{2,3}$.

So, we can observe that

$$d_k(1) = d_k(4) = d_{k-1}(2) = d_{k-1}(3) \quad y \quad d_k(2) = d_k(3) = d_{k-1}(1) + d_{k-1}(3).$$

Thereby, we obtain

$$\gamma^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{10}{4}} \approx 1.5811 < \gamma^{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{26}{10}} \approx 1.6125 < \dots < \gamma^{(k)} < \dots < \gamma^{(\infty)} = \lambda_1 \approx 1.62$$

Therefore, applying the Theorem 7

$$EE(G) > C^k = 2 \cosh(\gamma^{(k)}) + n - 2$$

we have

$$C^0 \approx 6.2792 < C^1 \approx 6.4028 < \dots < C^\infty \approx 6.4331 < EE(P_4) = 7.6479$$

Now, consider the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,3}$ with eigenvalues $\{\sqrt{6}, 0^{[3]}, -\sqrt{6}\}$. Then

$$EE(K_{2,3}) = 2 \cosh(\sqrt{6}) + 3 = 14.669.$$

Note that, for $K_{2,3}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(1) &= d(2) = 3 ; d(3) = d(4) = d(5) = 2 \\ d_2(1) &= d_2(2) = 3 \cdot 2; \quad d_2(3) = d_2(4) = d_2(5) = 2 \cdot 3 \\ &\vdots \\ d_{2k}(1) &= d_{2k}(2) = 2^k 3^k, \quad d_{2k}(3) = d_{2k}(4) = d_{2k}(5) = 2^k 3^k \\ d_{2k+1}(1) &= d_{2k+1}(2) = 2^k 3^{k+1}, \quad d_{2k+1}(3) = d_{2k+1}(4) = d_{2k+1}(5) = 2^{k+1} 3^k \end{aligned}$$

So, we can observe that

$$\gamma^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{30}{5}} = \sqrt{6} = \gamma^{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{180}{30}} = \sqrt{6} = \dots = \gamma^{(k)} = \sqrt{6} = \lambda_1$$

Therefore, applying the Theorem 7

$$EE(G) > C^k = 2 \cosh(\gamma^{(k)}) + n - 2$$

we obtain

$$C^0 = C^1 = C^k = C^\infty \approx 14.669 = EE(K_{2,3}) = 14.669$$

Analyzing the above examples, we observe the following:

- The results obtained for symmetric matrices, in particular Theorems 5 and Theorem 7 for graphs, the examples show that the increasing succession of lower bounds obtained for the Estrada index approximate in an optimal way and in the pertinent cases equality is reached.
- For future work, considering the technique exhibited demonstration, obtain a succession of upper bounds that delimit index of Estrada.
- Extend the results to other matrices associated with a graph, such as: Laplacian and signless Laplacian Matrix, among others.
- Study the Estrada index in relation to the evolving graph. Consider other possible combinatorial relationships to limit the Estrada index by other spectral parameters. (See [28])

References

References

- [1] J. Aguayo, J. Carmona, J. Rodriguez, New lower bounds for the Estrada index, arXiv:2426343, 2018.
- [2] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs Theory and Application, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [3] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. Simić, An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [4] J. Carmona, I. Gutman, N.J. Tamblay, M. Robbiano, A decreasing sequence of upper bounds for the Laplacian energy of a tree. Linear Algebra Appl. 446 (2014) 304-313
- [5] A. Dilek Gungor, A. Sinan Cevik, Eylem G. Karpuz, Firat Ates and I. Naci Cangul, Generalization for Estrada index, AIP Conference Proceedings 1281, 1106 (2010).
- [6] E. Estrada, Characterization of 3D molecular structure, Chem. Phys. Lett. 319 (2000) 713-718.
- [7] E. Estrada, Characterization of the folding degree of proteins, Bioinformatics 18 (2002) 697-704.
- [8] E. Estrada, Characterization of the amino acid contribution to the folding degree of proteins, Proteins 54 (2004) 727-737.
- [9] E. Estrada, J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, Subgraph centrality in complex networks, Phys. Rev. E 71 (2005) 056-103.
- [10] E. Estrada, J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, Spectral measures of bipartivity in complex networks, Phys. Rev. E 72 (2005) 046-105.
- [11] A. Dress and I. Gutman, On the number of walks in a graph, Appl. Math. Lett., 16 (2003) 797-801.
- [12] G.H. Fath-Tabar, A.R. Ashrafi, I. Gutman, Note on Estrada and L-Estrada indices of graphs, Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math. 34 (2009) 1-16.

- [13] I. Gutman, H. Deng, S. Radenković, The Estrada index: an updated survey, In: D. Cvetković, I. Gutman (Eds.), Selected Topics on Applications of Graph Spectra, Math. Inst., Beograd, 2011, 155-174.
- [14] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, B. Glisic, V. Markovic, A. Vesel, Estrada index of acyclic molecules, Indian J. Chem., 46A (2007) 723-728.
- [15] I. Gutman, S. Radenković, B. Furtula, T. Mansour, M. Schork, Relating Estrada index with spectral radius, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 72 (2007) 1321-1327.
- [16] I. Gutman, S. Radenković, A lower bound for the Estrada index of bipartite molecular graphs, Kragujevac J. Sci. 29 (2007) 67-72.
- [17] Y. Hou, Z. Tang, C. Woo, On the spectral radius, k-degree and the upper bound of energy in a graph, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 57 (2007) 341-350.
- [18] Y. Ginosar, I. Gutman, T. Mansour, M. Schork, Estrada index and Chbeyshev polynomials, Chem. Phys. Lett. 454 (2008) 145-147.
- [19] W. Haemers, A. E. Brouwer. Spectra of graphs. Springer-Verlag 2012.
- [20] A. Khosravanirad, A lower bound for Laplacian Estrada index of a graph, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 70 (2013) 175-180.
- [21] H. Minc, Nonnegative Matrices, Wiley, New York, 1988.
- [22] Rao Li, Two lower bound of the Estrada index of a Hermitian matrix. Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences, 12 (2012) 1-70.
- [23] Y. Shang, Biased edge failure in scale-free networks based on natural connectivity, Indian J. Phys. 86 (2012) 485-488.
- [24] Y. Shang, Random lifts of graphs: network robustness based on the Estrada index, Appl. Math. E-Notes 12 (2012) 53-61.
- [25] Y. Shang, The Estrada index of random graphs, Sci. Magna 7 (2011) 79-81.
- [26] Y. Shang, Local natural connectivity in complex networks, Chin. Phys. Lett. 28 (2011).

- [27] Y. Shang, Lower bounds for the Estrada index of graphs, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 23 (2012) 664-668.
- [28] Y. Shang, Laplacian Estrada and Normalized Laplacian Estrada Indices of Evolving Graphs, *PLOS ONE*, 2015.
- [29] A. Yu, M. Lu, F. Tian, On the spectral radius of graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* 387.
- [30] B. Zhou, On Estrada index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 60 (2008) 485-492.
- [31] H. Zhou, Q. Zhou, Laplacian Estrada index of circulant graphs, *J. Shaoyang U.* 9 (2013) 15-18.