

The Averaged Null Energy Condition and the Black Hole Interior in String Theory

Karinne Attali and Nissan Itzhaki

Physics Department, Tel-Aviv University,
Ramat-Aviv, 69978, Israel

karinnea@mail.tau.ac.il, nitzhaki@post.tau.ac.il

Abstract

Recently it was shown that folded strings are spontaneously created behind the horizon of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ black hole. Here we show that these folded strings violate the averaged null energy condition *macroscopically*. We discuss possible consequences of this observation on black hole physics in general, and on the information puzzle in particular.

arXiv:1811.12117v1 [hep-th] 29 Nov 2018

1 Introduction

The Averaged Null Energy Condition (ANEC) is the statement that for any state, $|\Phi\rangle$, in the Hilbert space

$$\langle\Phi|\int duT_{uu}|\Phi\rangle\geq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where u is a null direction. The ANEC plays an important role in theoretical physics. In Quantum Field Theory (QFT), where it can also be proved using various methods [1–3], it leads to results that are not sensitive to the details of the theory. For example, it can be used to bound a/c in 4D conformal field theories [4] (for some extensions see e.g. [3, 5–7]).

In General Relativity (GR) the ANEC is used to establish various fundamental properties of Black Holes (BHs) and Cosmology. For example, the ANEC is sufficient [8] to prove the geodesic focusing that leads to the BH area increase theorem [9]. It is of fundamental importance to understand the extent to which the ANEC holds in quantum gravity. In situations where the curvature is small, such as the horizons of large BHs, we expect the ANEC to hold up to corrections that go to zero with the curvature. The BH radiation [10] illustrates this neatly as, for a large BH, it violates the ANEC by a small amount that decreases the BH area.

String theory is not expected to modify this, at least when the string coupling constant, g_s , is small. When the curvature and g_s are small string theory can be viewed as a collection of (infinitely) many fields, each of which satisfies the ANEC up to small curvature corrections.

The goal of this paper is to challenge this expectation. We show that behind the horizon of a large $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH there are, on top of the standard stringy excitations that respect the ANEC, folded strings that are spontaneously created and violate the ANEC. The violation of the ANEC by the folded string is macroscopic and, in particular, it does not vanish with the size of the BH.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we show that there are folded string solutions in the time-like linear dilaton background, that are spontaneously created and violate the ANEC at macroscopic scales. In section 3 we take the slope to the time-like linear dilaton to 0^+ and show that in this limit the folded string respects a new symmetry. In section 4 we argue that folded strings are spontaneously created and violate the ANEC at macroscopic scales behind the horizon of a large $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH. In section 5 we recall the proofs of the ANEC in the context of QFT and emphasise the tension between these proofs and the folded strings. This tension, as well as other arguments such as the FZZ duality [11, 12], motivates us to

conjecture, in section 6, that the number of folded strings that are created behind the horizon is such that their backreaction prevents information from falling to the BH. We summarize in section 7.

2 The ANEC and time-like linear dilaton

In this section we discuss a simple stringy background in which folded strings are spontaneously created and violate the ANEC macroscopically. We consider a time-like linear dilaton background

$$ds^2 = -(dX^0)^2 + (dX^1)^2, \quad \Phi = \Phi_0 + QX^0. \quad (2.1)$$

Our interest here is only with classical aspects of the theory. Hence the fact that quantum mechanically this background, by itself, is not consistent plays no role here.

The case where Q is negative and large was studied quite a bit in the past (see e.g. [13, 14] and references therein) as a toy model for stringy cosmology (with a singularity in the past). As can be expected in this case the linear dilaton has a dramatic effect on the physics.

Our motivation is to study the region behind the horizon of a large BH. With this motivation in mind, we consider Q positive, so the singularity is in the future, and small, which is the case in the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH with large k . Since the slope of the dilaton is small, it is natural to suspect that the linear dilaton has a small effect. It is true that for any small Q the string coupling constant blows up eventually in the future and the perturbative stringy description breaks down, but with the help of Φ_0 we can push this singularity arbitrarily far into the future. There is, therefore, no reason to expect any surprises when Q is small. In particular, we do expect the ANEC to hold.

At first this seems to be the case. The linear dilaton modifies the Virasoro constraints in the following way

$$(\partial_+ X^1)^2 - (\partial_+ X^0)^2 - Q\partial_+^2 X^0 = 0, \quad (\partial_- X^1)^2 - (\partial_- X^0)^2 - Q\partial_-^2 X^0 = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

which shifts the conformal dimension of perturbative states with energy E from $-E^2/4$ to $-E(E+Q)/4$. This implies that, as can be expected from (2.1), the linear dilaton affects, the perturbative physics, at length scales of the order $1/Q$, that are much larger than the string scale.

Recently, however, it was pointed out that (2.2) has another, more surprising,




Figure 1: The folded string configuration in a time-like linear dilaton background. At a certain point in space-time a closed folded string is created. The points where the string folds, $\tau = 0$, travel faster than light.

effect. It generates smooth classical solutions to the equation of motion and Virasoro constraint¹. The solution takes the form

$$X^1 = \sigma, \quad X^0 = x^0 + Q \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\cosh \left(\frac{\sigma - x^1}{Q} \right) + \cosh \left(\frac{\tau}{Q} \right) \right) \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where the range of both τ and σ in this solution is $-\infty$ to ∞ . Eq. (2.3) describes a closed folded string that is spontaneously created at $X^0 = x^0$ and $X^1 = x^1$. The points where the string folds, $\tau = 0$, are moving faster than light. At the creation point the speed is infinite and it approaches the speed of light at later times (see figure 1).

A useful toy model that describes the physics of the points where the string folds might be the following. Suppose that there is a field with the following kinetic term in the Lagrangian

$$(1 - QE)(E^2 - P^2), \quad (2.4)$$

where E is the energy and P the momentum, and we still take Q to be positive and small. Since Q is small, even for stringy energies $E \sim 1$, the kinetic term is standard. However, for $E > 1/Q$ the kinetic term flips sign and ghost condensation [16] takes place.

An important feature of the folded string solution (2.3), that appears also in the toy model above, and is crucial for its generalizations to other backgrounds, is that the length scale associated with its creation is Q , which is much smaller than the

¹This observation is a trivial analytic continuation of a solution found some time ago in the context of space-like linear dilaton [15]. Its physical interpretation, however, is quite different.

curvature and string scale. In other words, the non-perturbative solution introduces a new scale to the problem that is much shorter than the perturbative scales.

Thus, folded strings are spontaneously created when the local inertial frame is described by a time-like linear dilaton with string coupling that grows into the future. Namely when

$$(\nabla\Phi)^2 < 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_0\Phi > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where X^0 is the time direction in the local inertial frame. In section 4 we elaborate more on this in the context of the region behind the horizon of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH.

Next we show that the folded string solution (2.3) violates the ANEC. To this end we need to calculate the energy-momentum tensor associated with it. The energy momentum tensor of a classical string is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu}(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d\sigma d\tau \left(\dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu - X'^\mu X'^\nu \right) \delta(x - X(\sigma, \tau)) \quad (2.6)$$

Plugging (2.3) into (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T^{00}(X^0, X^1) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{-4 \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right) + 4e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}}}{\sqrt{-1 + \left(2e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}} - \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right)\right)^2}} \Theta(f(X^0, X^1)) \\ T^{11}(X^0, X^1) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{-4e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}}}{\sqrt{-1 + \left(2e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}} - \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right)\right)^2}} \Theta(f(X^0, X^1)) \\ T^{01}(X^0, X^1) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{-2 \sinh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right)}{\sqrt{-1 + \left(2e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}} - \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right)\right)^2}} \Theta(f(X^0, X^1)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Where

$$f(X^0, X^1) \equiv 2e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}} - \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right) - 1, \quad (2.8)$$

so $\Theta(f(X^0, X^1))$ is 1 where the string is located and it vanishes where it is absent.

Before addressing the ANEC, it is worthwhile to study the energy of the folded string. The energy density above, T^{00} , has the following properties. In the bulk of the folded string it is positive. If we take $X^0 - x^0$ to be large while keeping $X^1 - x^1$ finite we get $T^{00} = 2/(2\pi\alpha')$. Namely we get twice the string tension, as expected from a folded string. The energy density becomes negative as we approach the folding points,

and at the folding points $T^{00} \rightarrow -\infty$.

The contribution of the negative energy density at the folding region cancels exactly the contribution of the positive energy density in the bulk of the string. Therefore for any X^0 the total energy associated with this solution vanishes

$$E = \int T^{00} dx = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

This follows from energy conservation and the obvious fact that the folded string did not have energy before it was created.

This cancellation supports the claims of [17] that the driving force behind this solution is a tachyon condensation at the points where the string folds, that is balanced by the folded string tension. The tachyon condensation leads to a negative energy density and the folded string tension gives a positive energy density.

To calculate the ANEC we have to switch to null coordinates. Defining $u = X^1 + X^0$ and $v = X^1 - X^0$ we get from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{uu} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{-e^{-\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}}}{\sqrt{-1 + \left(2e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}} - \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right)\right)^2}} \Theta(f(X^0, X^1)). \\ T_{vv} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{-e^{\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}}}{\sqrt{-1 + \left(2e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}} - \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right)\right)^2}} \Theta(f(X^0, X^1)). \\ T_{uv} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{-\left(2e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}} - \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{-1 + \left(2e^{\frac{X^0-x^0}{Q}} - \cosh\left(\frac{X^1-x^1}{Q}\right)\right)^2}} \Theta(f(X^0, X^1)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Since T_{uu} is negative everywhere along the folded string, the ANEC is negative too

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du T_{uu} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} (v - Q \log(4)) \Theta(-v + Q \log(4)), \quad (2.11)$$

where we set $x^0 = x^1 = 0$. From (2.10) we see that the main contribution to (2.11) comes from the region where the string folds.

An interesting feature of (2.11) is that it becomes more negative with $|v|$ which is a result of the fact that the size of the folded string is growing with time. This plays an important role below.

3 The $Q \rightarrow 0^+$ limit

As we are interested in $Q \ll 1$, it is instructive to see what happens when we take $Q \rightarrow 0^+$. As we shall see, this limit plays an important role when considering folded strings in the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BHs. Below we show that a new symmetry emerges in this limit. In the context of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BHs this symmetry is essential for the analytic continuation of the FZZ duality [11, 12].

There are, however, some subtleties, associated with taking $Q = 0$, we should discuss before considering the extra symmetry that emerges when we take $Q \rightarrow 0^+$. Taking $Q \rightarrow 0^+$ in the folded string configuration (2.3) we get

$$X^1 = \sigma, \quad X^0 = x^0 + \frac{1}{2} (|(\sigma - x^1) + \tau| + |(\sigma - x^1) - \tau|). \quad (3.1)$$

The subtlety is with the equation that (3.1) solves. If we simply set $Q = 0$ in the Virasoro constraints we get, in the $X^1 = \sigma$ gauge, the following equation

$$1 - (\partial_+ X^0)^2 = 0, \quad 1 - (\partial_- X^0)^2 = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

which means that

$$\partial_+ X^0 = \pm 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_- X^0 = \pm 1. \quad (3.3)$$

The solution (3.1) is obtained by gluing $\partial_+ X^0 = 1$ with $\partial_+ X^0 = -1$ (and the same with the right movers). It is easy to see that if we allow such gluing then

$$X^1 = \sigma, \quad X^0 = x^0 - \frac{1}{2} (|(\sigma - x^1) + \tau| + |(\sigma - x^1) - \tau|). \quad (3.4)$$

is also a legitimate solution².

However, (3.4) cannot be obtained from (2.3) by taking $Q \rightarrow 0$, but it can be obtained by considering negative Q and taking $Q \rightarrow 0^-$. In other words, only one of the solutions, (3.1) and (3.4), survives adding to (3.2) an arbitrarily small slope of the dilaton. The sign of the slope determines which one survives. This suggests that if we simply set $Q = 0$, while not specifying which of the limits we are taking, $Q \rightarrow 0^+$ or $Q \rightarrow 0^-$, there are no folded string solutions at all.

A simple way to see this is the following.³ Suppose that we simply set $Q = 0$, then

²In fact there is a whole family of solutions one obtains by such gluing at several points [18–20].

³We thank J. Troost for this argument.

the Virasoro constraints are (3.2). If we take the derivative of (3.2) we get

$$\partial_+^2 X^0 \partial_+ X^0 = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

However if we glue, say, $\partial_+ X^0 = 1$ with $\partial_+ X^0 = -1$ at a certain point, then at that point $\partial_+^2 X^0$ blows up, which is not consistent with (3.5) and the fact that at that point we have either $\partial_+ X^0 = 1$ or $\partial_+ X^0 = -1$.

This shows that discontinuity in $\partial_+ X^0$ is not allowed. The string slope, Q , no matter how small, regularizes this discontinuity to give either (3.1) or (3.4), but not both.

This is reminiscent of instantons in $U(1)$ non-commutative geometry. Standard $U(1)$ gauge theory does not have instanton solutions. Turning on non-commutativity one finds solutions [21], but, just like in our case, only with orientation that is fixed by the non-commutativity parameter. The size of the $U(1)$ instanton goes to zero with the non-commutativity parameter, just like the creation region of the folded string scales like Q .

The toy model from the previous section illustrates this too. If we simply set $Q = 0$ in (2.4) then there is no instability, but if we take Q to be positive (negative), then no matter how small Q is, there are positive (negative) and large E modes that are unstable.

Since we consider $Q > 0$, the relevant solution for us is (3.1). This solution covers the entire future wedge of Rindler space. Therefore it is invariant under a boost transformation. To verify that the subtleties discussed above do not affect this conclusion we calculate the $Q \rightarrow 0^+$ limit of the energy momentum tensor (while keeping u and v finite)

$$T_{uu} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} v \delta(u) \theta(-v), \quad T_{vv} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} u \delta(v) \theta(u), \quad T_{uv} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \theta(-v) \theta(u), \quad (3.6)$$

that is indeed boost invariant.

Note that the boost generator, B , and the total energy, E satisfy the following commutation relation

$$[B, [B, E]] = E \quad (3.7)$$

which implies that boost invariant states have either infinite or zero energy. The folded string, that is boost invariant in the $Q \rightarrow 0^+$ limit, has zero energy. The fact that it has the same quantum numbers as the vacuum plays an important role in the BH case we now discussed.

4 Folded string in the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH

So far we discussed folded strings in a time-like linear dilaton background, where we have an exact solution (2.3). In this section we wish to argue, without presenting an exact solution, that folded strings are spontaneously created also behind the horizon of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH and that they violate the ANEC.

The $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH background is [22]

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)}, \quad \Phi(r) = \Phi_0 - Qr \quad (4.1)$$

where $f(r) = 1 - \mu e^{-2Qr}$. The local inertial frame around some point $r = r_0$ behind the horizon takes the form of a time-like linear dilaton background

$$ds^2 = -(dX^0)^2 + (dX^1)^2, \quad \Phi(X^0) = \tilde{\Phi}_0 - \tilde{Q}X^0 \quad (4.2)$$

where $\tilde{Q} = Q\sqrt{-f(r_0)}$.

There are curvature corrections to (4.2) that enter at length scale of the order of $\sqrt{k} \sim 1/Q$. For example, the curvature corrections modify T_{+-} and increase the central charge so that, unlike in time-like linear dilaton, it is larger than 2 (or 3 in the SUSY case). The curvature corrections also modify T_{++} and T_{--} , which control the spontaneous creation and shape of the folded string. However, they do so at scales of the order of $\sqrt{k} \sim 1/Q$, that is much larger than the scale associated with the creation of the folded string, Q .

At first, it seems puzzling that the dilaton gradient and curvature, that are of the same order and have a similar effect on the perturbative string spectrum, have such a different effect on the folded string. The dilaton gradient is, in a sense, the cause for the folded string's creation, and it affects it at short scales of the order of Q , while the curvature enters only at scales of order $1/Q$. The reason is the following. What generates the folded string is the fact that the time-like linear dilaton induces in T_{++} a term that is *linear* in X^0

$$\tilde{Q} \partial_+^2 X^0, \quad (4.3)$$

and a similar term in T_{--} . The curvature does not induce linear terms that can compete with (4.3) at short distances. Hence the curvature does not prevent the spontaneous creation of folded strings behind the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH horizon.

The curvature corrections do modify the details of the solution at length scale of the order of \sqrt{k} . It seems reasonable to hope that with the help of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

structure, perhaps along the lines of [23], one could be able to find the exact solution. As we now argue when k is large, much can be said about the physics associated with the folded string, even without knowing the details of the solution.

In particular, we expect the folded string behind the horizon to violate the ANEC, just like the folded string in the time-like linear dilaton background does. The reason is that the main contribution to the violation of the ANEC comes from the point where the string folds. Away from that point T_{uu} is exponentially suppressed (see (2.10)). For distances shorter than \sqrt{k} the solution (2.3) is a good approximation for the BH folded string solution. Hence we expect the folded strings in the BH to violate the ANEC.

We conclude that the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH is filled with objects that violates the ANEC. The same holds for dynamically formed BHs, since what controls the folded string formation is (2.5), that is satisfied behind the horizon. What is special about the eternal $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH, is that it is invariant under a boost symmetry $t \rightarrow t + C$. Creation of a generic folded string behind the horizon will break this symmetry. Interestingly enough, there are folded strings that do not break this symmetry. These are the folded strings that fill the entire region behind the horizon. In the large k limit at distances smaller than \sqrt{k} from the horizon, such strings are well approximated by the $Q \rightarrow 0^+$ limit discussed in the previous section, since $\tilde{Q} \rightarrow 0$ when the points where the string folds approach the horizon from the inside.

This suggests that the eternal $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH is filled with folded strings that do not break its symmetries. This could be viewed as the Lorentzian analog of the FZZ duality. According to the FZZ duality the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ cigar geometry is accompanied with a condensation of a string that wraps the Euclidean time direction. This condensation does not break the Euclidean time translation symmetry of the cigar. In the FZZ case the condensate of the winding string is correlated with the location of the tip of the cigar. The smaller g_s at the tip of the cigar is, the larger the condensate is. We elaborate more about this in section 6.

There is a possible relation with the SYK model [24, 25]. Recently [26] the ramp in $Z(\beta - iT)Z(\beta + iT)$ was proposed to be due to a semi-classical configuration in the SYK model that has a zero action and preserves the symmetries. Here we see, in a different setup, that a folded string that fills the entire region behind the horizon has similar properties. Indeed in the Euclidean setup it was shown in [30] that the action of the winding string vanishes. It would be nice to explore this further especially as the ramp is a feature related to the unitarity.

5 Folded strings vs. the ANEC

In the context of QFT the ANEC was proved via information theory [2] and via causality [3]. In this section we discuss the tension between these proofs and the folded strings. The goal here is not to reconcile the existence of the folded string with these proofs, but rather to emphasize the puzzle, as it is part of the motivation for the conjecture we make in the next section. We start by considering the information theory proof of the ANEC and then the causality proof.

5.1 Information theory vs. folded string

The basic ingredient in the information theory proof of the ANEC is the monotonicity of the relative entropy, S_{rel} , which, loosely speaking, is saying that it is easier to distinguish between any pure state and the vacuum when we have access to data in region A , than when we have access to data in region A' , if $A' \subset A$.

In relativistic QFTs one can consider a setup that also involve the time direction. We describe here the 2D case as it is sufficient for our purposes and is easier to describe (and draw), but the argument is general [2]. Suppose that A is a segment at $t = 0$ that starts at the origin and ends at $x = L$. $B(\lambda)$ is a null segment that starts at the origin and ends at $(t = \lambda, x = \lambda)$ with a positive λ . $A'(\lambda)$ is a straight line that together with A and $B(\lambda)$ forms a triangle (see figure 2). In a unitary QFT, for any λ , the information in A is the same as the information in $A'(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$. For example, if we have a string that propagates in space-time, then, since the evolution is unitary, A contains the same data about the string as $A'(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$. This implies that there is more information about the string in A than in $A'(\lambda)$. We lose information by tracing over $B(\lambda)$. As we increase λ we lose more information by tracing over $B(\lambda)$ and so we get

$$\frac{dS_{rel}(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \leq 0, \tag{5.1}$$

where $S_{rel}(\lambda)$ is the relative entropy in $A'(\lambda)$.

To get the ANEC one takes $L \rightarrow \infty$, which gives the right wedges of Rindler space for which the modular Hamiltonian, that enters the definition of the relative entropy, is $H = 2\pi \int_0^\infty du u T_{uu}$. See [2] for details. The upshot is that (5.1), which is very intuitive, implies the ANEC. Since the folded string violates the ANEC, it must contradict (5.1). There are two aspects to this contradiction we wish to discuss.

The first is that, unlike a standard string that propagates in a unitary fashion in space-time, the folded string is spontaneously created at a certain time and space.

Therefore for a given folded string there are A , $B(\lambda)$ and $A'(\lambda)$ such that the folded string simply does not exist at A , but does exist at $A'(\lambda)$ (see figure 2). So there exists a λ such that $S_{rel}(\lambda) > S_{rel}(0) = 0$ which contradicts (5.1). The reason is that a folded string solution that is put by hand at a certain time and place is not unitary. Unitarity implies that the seed of this particular folded string should be present at earlier times, including on A . In that sense the situation here is similar to the one discussed in [27]. There to violate the ANEC a certain squeezed operator is inserted at $t = 0$. This insertion creates a state that is propagated forwards, but not backwards in time. So the state at $t > 0$ is not a unitary evolution of the state at $t < 0$.

In the BH case (see figure 3), the situation is slightly better. At A we already have the information that a BH will be formed and it will be filled with folded strings. However, we still do not know the exact state of these folded strings. A detailed understanding of the folded string creation mechanism is needed to figure out this state.

The second aspect in which the folded string contradicts (5.1) has no analogy in [27]. As we increase λ it is getting *easier* to distinguish the folded string from the vacuum. Figure 2 is useful to illustrate this. Normally as we increase λ we lose more information when tracing over $B(\lambda)$. Here however, (2.11) implies that the total flux that is crossing A'' is larger than the flux that is crossing A' . Hence it is easier to distinguish the folded string from the vacuum when tracing over B' , than when tracing over B . This too contradicts (5.1). This contradiction appears to be more severe since it cannot be resolved by understanding the details of the creation mechanism; once the folded string is created it gets larger, which makes it easier to distinguish it from the vacuum.

This leads to the following comment about the Quantum Null Energy Condition (QNEC) [28, 29] and the folded string. In standard cases the ANEC can be derived from the QNEC. Therefore it is natural to expect the folded string to violate the QNEC too. However, as we now show this is not necessarily the case. The main point is as following. In standard situations the fact that $S_{rel}(\lambda) \geq 0$ for every λ implies that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dS_{rel}(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

This is crucial for deriving the ANEC from the QNEC. In terms of the relative entropy, the QNEC is the statement that in QFT there is a condition, that does not hold in general quantum systems, that

$$\frac{d^2 S_{rel}}{d\lambda^2} \geq 0. \quad (5.3)$$




Figure 2: In standard situations, like a unitary evolution of a string (a), the information contained in A is larger or equal to the one in $A'(\lambda)$. This is not the case with the folded string (b). Using the information at A we cannot distinguish the folded string from the vacuum, as it was not yet created. Moreover, since according to (2.11) the flux that crosses A'' is larger than the flux that crosses A' , the relative entropy is increasing.

To show that (5.1) follows from (5.3) we simply integrate (5.3)

$$\int_{\lambda}^{\infty} d\tilde{\lambda} \frac{d^2 S_{rel}}{d\tilde{\lambda}^2} = \frac{dS_{rel}}{d\tilde{\lambda}}(\infty) - \frac{dS_{rel}}{d\tilde{\lambda}}(\lambda), \quad (5.4)$$

which implies (5.1) when (5.2) and (5.3) hold.

The folded string, however, does not fit the standard case: according to (2.11) the flux keeps on growing indefinitely with λ . Thus we do not expect (5.2) to hold. Hence it is possible that the folded string violates the ANEC, but not the QNEC. Needless to say that it would be interesting to understand this better.

5.2 Causality and the folded string

The fact that there is tension between causality and the folded string is clear from the solution, as the points where the string folds move faster than light. In this subsection we discuss different aspects of causality that are related to the proof of the ANEC.

The causality proof of the ANEC can be phrased in the following way. Consider a null ray that follows the geodesics $v = v_0$. If the ANEC is violated, then the null ray will be pushed to earlier null time, $v_1 < v_0$. In unitary QFT this was shown using a careful study of the analytic properties of the 4-point function [3].

In theories that involve gravity, like string theory, we have

$$\Delta v \sim G_N \int du T_{uu}, \quad (5.5)$$




Figure 3: In the BH case the situation is a bit better. Already at A we know that folded strings will be formed since we know the initial conditions that lead to the BH formation. We do not know, however, the details of the folded strings.

where $G_N \sim g_s^2$ is the Newton constant and $\Delta v = v_1 - v_0$. This together with (2.11), imply that the folded string violates causality, as $\Delta v < 0$. Moreover, from (2.11) we see that

$$\Delta v \sim G_N v \tag{5.6}$$

which means that by taking large v we can have a macroscopic Δv .

With the help of two folded strings and a mirror, a macroscopic closed time-like curve can be formed. This is illustrated in figure 4. One can argue against this construction that it assumes we can choose the location of the folded strings, and adjust the location of the mirror accordingly, while in fact the folded strings are created spontaneously and it is not clear how to control their location. Still it is disturbing that if we randomly choose a location for the mirror there is a chance a macroscopic closed time-like curve is formed.

6 A conjecture

In the previous section we saw that there is a clear tension between the fact that the folded string violates the ANEC and unitarity and causality. Being a consistent theory, string theory is expected to resolve this tension. In that respect there is a big difference between the time-like linear dilaton and the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH.

The time-like linear dilaton, by itself, is not a consistent background of superstring theory at the quantum level. For example, its central charge is not critical. We merely used it as a simple setup in which the classical solution of the folded string can be




Figure 4: With the help of two folded strings and a mirror, a closed time-like curve is formed. The trajectory of the light ray is represented by a solid line and the effect of (5.6) by a dashed line.

found exactly. As a quantum theory it must be supplemented with an additional CFT so that the full theory is critical. In the case of a large Q this is easy to do, but for small Q things are more subtle. We could add a space-like linear dilaton (times R^8), but then we end up with a null linear dilaton background that admits different physics altogether. We could add a cigar geometry (times R^7) and focus on the tip of the cigar where the dilaton is approximately a constant. This, however, could mix the time-like linear dilaton effects with complications associated with the tip of the cigar [30–32].

The $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH background, on the other hand, is obtained in 10D superstring as the near horizon limit of k near extremal NS5-branes [33]. Hence it is a consistent background that should lead to consistent physics. Since we do not have a detailed understanding of the mechanism that creates the folded strings, we cannot show from first principle how string theory resolve the causality and unitarity issues in the BH case. We can, however, make a conjecture.

The conjecture is that the number of folded strings that are spontaneously created in the BH is,

$$N \sim \frac{1}{g_s^2}, \quad (6.1)$$

which implies together with (5.6) that

$$\Delta v \sim v \quad (6.2)$$

and similarly $\Delta u \sim u$.

More precisely we conjecture that N is such that there is an equality in (6.2)

$$\Delta v = v, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u = u. \quad (6.3)$$

This means that the BH interior is cloaked by the folded strings. A null ray that follows $v = v_0$ will be pushed all the way to the horizon bifurcation at $v = u = 0$ and continue on the other side. In other words, the folded strings prevent information from falling into the BH.

There are several, related, arguments that support this conjecture:

- What drives the creation of the folded string is the time-like linear dilaton. The back reaction of the folded string is expected to suppress the time-like linear dilaton. Since the tension of the string is of order 1 and $G_N = g_s^2$, the number of folded string needed to suppress an order one effect in the background is (6.1).
- The conjecture prevents null rays from penetrating the folded string. Hence the closed time-like curve, discussed in the previous section, cannot be formed.
- The tension with information theory is resolved since the folded string backreaction prevents information from falling into the BH.
- The 2D BH entropy is $1/g_s^2$ which, according to the conjecture, is the number of folded strings. This suggests that, in effect, the BH is replaced by the folded strings. This is very much related to the next point.
- The conjecture seems to fit neatly with the FZZ duality. The FZZ duality implies that the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ cigar CFT is dual to the CFT of a cylinder with a Sine-Liouville condensation. From a stringy point of view the Sine-Liouville is a winding string mode. In the large k limit it was shown in [31] that the way to think about the duality is that at low energies (compared to \sqrt{k}) the cigar is a good description and the Sine-Liouville description takes over at the deep UV.

The Hartle-Hawking wave function [34] then suggests a duality that is somewhat in the spirit of the ER=EPR of [35]. An observer at infinity that has access only to low energies will conclude that the eternal BH solution is a good approximation. This is the ER side of the duality. However, an observer that can probe the BH also with high energy modes will conclude that the region just behind the horizon is singular [36, 37]. This is the EPR side of the duality that is related to the cylinder with the Sine-Liouville condensation. Unlike in the case of the cigar, when we, following [34], cut the cylinder we get two lines that do not meet. As a result the analytic continuation gives two asymptotic regions that are not connected (see figure 5). These regions, however, are entangled by the analytic continuation of the winding string. This is exactly what the




Figure 5: The FZZ duality combined with the Hartle-Hawking wave function and the conjecture suggests a relation that is somewhat in the spirit of the ER=EPR proposal. The ER side is an effective picture valid for an observer that can probe both the Euclidean and the Lorentzian configuration only with low energy modes. The EPR side is the fine-grained picture an observer with access to all energies sees. The fact that the folded strings violate the ANEC is key. Their backreaction eliminates the BH interior while entangling the left and right sides of the horizon.

folded strings are doing according to the conjecture. Their backreaction eliminates the BH interior and entangles the information between the two horizons.

Note that a signal from, say, the left wedge that hits the folded strings is stuck at the right horizon but it does not make it to the right infinity. This means that the conjecture does not imply traversable wormhole.

- By now there are various reasons to think that the Hawking particles should be on-shell close to the horizon for the information to get out [38–43]. Namely they form a firewall [41].

A firewall can prevent the information from falling into the BH after it was formed. However, information can fall into the BH long before the firewall was formed, even if the firewall is formed together with the BH and not after the page time [44]. To see this consider the situation in figure 6. Several soft photons that carry some information are emitted at some time from infinity towards the origin. At a later time an energetic null spherically symmetric shell falls to form a BH. Even if we assume that a firewall is formed as soon as possible, it is not able to prevent the early photons from falling to the singularity. If their information is contained also in the radiation then we have the usual problematic situation in which information is copied. To resolve this we




Figure 6: Firewall is not enough: The information carried by early soft photons (red line) is lost at the singularity even if a firewall (black line) is formed as early as possible (a). According to the conjecture, the number of folded strings that are created is such that their backreaction pushes the photons to the horizon (b).

have to resort to ideas in the spirit of the BH complementarity [45, 46].

This means that a firewall is not enough to recover all the information, unless it is extended into the entire BH interior. This is exactly what the conjecture implies.

7 Summary

The main point of the paper is to show that the folded strings of [17], that are spontaneously created behind the horizon of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH, violate the ANEC macroscopically. This suggests that the region behind the horizon is in a different phase than the region outside the BH - a phase in which the ANEC is violated.

To understand the details of this phase we should, at least, be able to calculate, from first principle, the creation rate of these folded string. Unfortunately as far as we can see such a calculation involves understanding closed string field theory in time dependent situations which is way beyond our abilities. Hence we had to settle for a conjecture about the nature of this phase. The conjecture is that the number of folded strings that are created is such that their backreaction prevents information from falling into the BH. Some indirect evidence for the conjecture was presented.

Acknowledgments

We thank L. Liram and J. Troost for discussions. This work is supported in part by the I-CORE Program of the Planning and Budgeting Committee and the Israel Science Foundation (Center No. 1937/12), and by a center of excellence supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant number 1989/14).

References

- [1] W. R. Kelly and A. C. Wall, “Holographic proof of the averaged null energy condition,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no. 10, 106003 (2014) Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 6, 069902 (2015)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.106003, 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.069902 [[arXiv:1408.3566](#) [gr-qc]].
- [2] T. Faulkner, R. G. Leigh, O. Parrikar and H. Wang, “Modular Hamiltonians for Deformed Half-Spaces and the Averaged Null Energy Condition,” *JHEP* **1609**, 038 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2016)038 [[arXiv:1605.08072](#) [hep-th]].
- [3] T. Hartman, S. Kundu and A. Tajdini, “Averaged Null Energy Condition from Causality,” *JHEP* **1707**, 066 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2017)066 [[arXiv:1610.05308](#) [hep-th]].
- [4] D. M. Hofman and J. Maldacena, “Conformal collider physics: Energy and charge correlations,” *JHEP* **0805**, 012 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/05/012 [[arXiv:0803.1467](#) [hep-th]].
- [5] Z. Komargodski, M. Kulaxizi, A. Parnachev and A. Zhiboedov, “Conformal Field Theories and Deep Inelastic Scattering,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, no. 6, 065011 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.065011 [[arXiv:1601.05453](#) [hep-th]].
- [6] C. Cordova, J. Maldacena and G. J. Turiaci, “Bounds on OPE Coefficients from Interference Effects in the Conformal Collider,” *JHEP* **1711**, 032 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2017)032 [[arXiv:1710.03199](#) [hep-th]].
- [7] L. V. Delacrétaz, T. Hartman, S. A. Hartnoll and A. Lewkowycz, “Thermalization, Viscosity and the Averaged Null Energy Condition,” *JHEP* **1810**, 028 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2018)028 [[arXiv:1805.04194](#) [hep-th]].
- [8] A. Borde, “Geodesic focusing, energy conditions and singularities,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **4**, 343 (1987). doi:10.1088/0264-9381/4/2/015

- [9] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973.
- [10] S. W. Hawking, “Particle Creation by Black Holes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975) Erratum: [*Commun. Math. Phys.* **46**, 206 (1976)]. doi:10.1007/BF02345020, 10.1007/BF01608497
- [11] V. A. Fateev, A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, unpublished.
- [12] V. Kazakov, I. K. Kostov and D. Kutasov, “A Matrix model for the two-dimensional black hole,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **622**, 141 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00606-X [[hep-th/0101011](#)].
- [13] S. Hellerman and I. Swanson, “Cosmological solutions of supercritical string theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 126011 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.126011 [[hep-th/0611317](#)].
- [14] O. Aharony and E. Silverstein, “Supercritical stability, transitions and (pseudo)tachyons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 046003 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.046003 [[hep-th/0612031](#)].
- [15] J. M. Maldacena, “Long strings in two dimensional string theory and non-singlets in the matrix model,” *JHEP* **0509**, 078 (2005) [*Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **3**, 1 (2006)] doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/09/078, 10.1142/S0219887806001053 [[hep-th/0503112](#)].
- [16] N. Arkani-Hamed, H. C. Cheng, M. A. Luty and S. Mukohyama, “Ghost condensation and a consistent infrared modification of gravity,” *JHEP* **0405**, 074 (2004) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/074 [[hep-th/0312099](#)].
- [17] N. Itzhaki, “Stringy instability inside the black hole,” *JHEP* **1810**, 145 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2018)145 [[arXiv:1808.02259](#) [hep-th]].
- [18] W. A. Bardeen, I. Bars, A. J. Hanson and R. D. Peccei, “A Study of the Longitudinal Kink Modes of the String,” *Phys. Rev. D* **13**, 2364 (1976). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.13.2364
- [19] W. A. Bardeen, I. Bars, A. J. Hanson and R. D. Peccei, “Quantum Poincare Covariance of the $D = 2$ String,” *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 2193 (1976). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.14.2193

- [20] I. Bars, “Folded strings,” Lect. Notes Phys. **447**, 26 (1995) [[hep-th/9412044](#)].
- [21] N. Nekrasov and A. S. Schwarz, “Instantons on noncommutative R^{*4} and (2,0) superconformal six-dimensional theory,” Commun. Math. Phys. **198**, 689 (1998) doi:10.1007/s002200050490 [[hep-th/9802068](#)].
- [22] E. Witten, “On string theory and black holes,” Phys. Rev. D **44**, 314 (1991).
- [23] K. P. Yogendran, “Closed Strings in the 2D Lorentzian Black Hole,” [arXiv:1808.10109](#) [hep-th].
- [24] S. Sachdev and J.-w. Ye, Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 3339, [arXiv:cond-mat/9212030](#) [cond-mat].
- [25] [13] A. Kitaev, A simple model of quantum holography talk1 and talk2.. Talks at KITP, April 7, 2015 and May 27, 2015.
- [26] P. Saad, S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, “A semiclassical ramp in SYK and in gravity,” [arXiv:1806.06840](#) [hep-th].
- [27] P. Gao, D. L. Jafferis and A. Wall, “Traversable Wormholes via a Double Trace Deformation,” JHEP **1712**, 151 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2017)151 [[arXiv:1608.05687](#) [hep-th]].
- [28] R. Bousso, Z. Fisher, S. Leichenauer and A. C. Wall, “Quantum focusing conjecture,” Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 6, 064044 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.064044 [[arXiv:1506.02669](#) [hep-th]].
- [29] R. Bousso, Z. Fisher, J. Koeller, S. Leichenauer and A. C. Wall, “Proof of the Quantum Null Energy Condition,” Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 2, 024017 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.024017 [[arXiv:1509.02542](#) [hep-th]].
- [30] A. Giveon and N. Itzhaki, “String theory at the tip of the cigar,” JHEP **1309**, 079 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2013)079 [[arXiv:1305.4799](#) [hep-th]].
- [31] A. Giveon, N. Itzhaki and D. Kutasov, “Stringy Horizons,” JHEP **1506**, 064 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2015)064 [[arXiv:1502.03633](#) [hep-th]].
- [32] R. Ben-Israel, A. Giveon, N. Itzhaki and L. Liram, “Stringy Horizons and UV/IR Mixing,” JHEP **1511**, 164 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2015)164 [[arXiv:1506.07323](#) [hep-th]].

- [33] J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, “Semiclassical decay of near extremal five-branes,” JHEP **9712**, 008 (1997) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1997/12/008 [[hep-th/9710014](#)].
- [34] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, “Path Integral Derivation of Black Hole Radiance,” Phys. Rev. D **13**, 2188 (1976). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.13.2188
- [35] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, “Cool horizons for entangled black holes,” Fortsch. Phys. **61**, 781 (2013) doi:10.1002/prop.201300020 [[arXiv:1306.0533](#) [hep-th]].
- [36] R. Ben-Israel, A. Giveon, N. Itzhaki and L. Liram, “On the black hole interior in string theory,” JHEP **1705**, 094 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2017)094 [[arXiv:1702.03583](#) [hep-th]].
- [37] N. Itzhaki and L. Liram, “A stringy glimpse into the black hole horizon,” JHEP **1804**, 018 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2018)018 [[arXiv:1801.04939](#) [hep-th]].
- [38] N. Itzhaki, “Is the black hole complementarity principle really necessary?,” [hep-th/9607028](#).
- [39] S. L. Braunstein, S. Pirandola and K. yczkowski, “Better Late than Never: Information Retrieval from Black Holes,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, no. 10, 101301 (2013) [[arXiv:0907.1190](#) [quant-ph]].
- [40] S. D. Mathur, “The Information paradox: A Pedagogical introduction,” Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 224001 (2009) [[arXiv:0909.1038](#) [hep-th]].
- [41] A. Almheiri, D. Marolf, J. Polchinski and J. Sully, “Black Holes: Complementarity or Firewalls?,” JHEP **1302**, 062 (2013) [[arXiv:1207.3123](#) [hep-th]].
- [42] D. Marolf and J. Polchinski, “Gauge/Gravity Duality and the Black Hole Interior,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 171301 (2013) [[arXiv:1307.4706](#) [hep-th]].
- [43] J. Polchinski, “Chaos in the black hole S-matrix,” [arXiv:1505.08108](#) [hep-th].
- [44] D. N. Page, “Information in black hole radiation,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3743 (1993) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.3743 [[hep-th/9306083](#)].
- [45] G. ’t Hooft, “The black hole interpretation of string theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **335**, 138 (1990). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(90)90174-C

- [46] L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius and J. Uglum, “The Stretched horizon and black hole complementarity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 3743 (1993) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.48.3743 [[hep-th/9306069](#)].