

On Fractional Volterra integrodifferential equations with fractional integrable impulses

Sagar T. Sutar ¹
sutar.sagar007@gmail.com

Kishor D. Kucche ²
kdkucche@gmail.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, Vivekanand College, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India.

² Department of Mathematics, Shivaji University, Kolhapur-416 004, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract

We consider a class of nonlinear fractional Volterra integrodifferential equation with fractional integrable impulses and investigate the existence and uniqueness results in the Bielecki's normed Banach spaces. Further, Bielecki-Ulam type stabilities have been demonstrated on a compact interval. A concrete example is provided to illustrate the outcomes we acquired.

Key words: Fractional Volterra integrodifferential equation, Integral Impulses, Banach contactation principle, existence of solutions, Bielecki norm, Bielecki-Ulam type Stability

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A37, 45M10, 34G20, 34A08.

1 Introduction

Famous "Ulam stability problem" of functional differential equation raised by Ulam [1] have been extended to different kinds of equations. Wang et al.[2] are the first mathematicians who investigated the Ulam stability and data dependence for fractional differential equations. Thereafter, several interesting works on different Ulam type stabilities of fractional differential and integral equations have been reported (see for instance [3],[4],[5],[6],[7]).

An overview pertaining to impulsive differential equations with instantaneous impulses and its applicability in the practical dynamical systems have provided in the monograph [8, 9, 10]. The impulsive differential equations with time variable impulses dealt in an interesting papers [11, 12, 13]. Wang et al. [14, 15] studied existence and uniqueness of solutions and established generalized β -Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability to differential equations with not instantaneous impulses in a $P\beta$ -normed Banach space.

Recently, Wang and Zang [16] introduced a new class of impulsive differential equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ x(t) = I_{t_i, t}^\beta h_i(t, x(t)), t \in (t_i, s_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \beta \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

and examined the existence and uniqueness of solutions in Bielecki's normed Banach spaces. Further, demonstrated that the corresponding equations are Bielecki-Ulam's-Hyer stable. It is seen that such sort of formulations are adequate to depict the memory procedures of the drugs in the circulation system and the subsequent absorption for the body.

Motivated by the work of Wang and Zang [16], we consider the following class of nonlinear fractional Volterra integrodifferential equation with fractional order integral impulses

of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^c_{\sigma_i} \mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha x(\tau) = f\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma\right), \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ x(\tau) = \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)), \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \beta \in (0, 1), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and research the existence and uniqueness of solutions and examine the outcomes relating to Bielecki–Ulam type stabilities viz. Bielecki–Ulam–Hyers and Bielecki–Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stabilities on a compact interval. Here τ_i and σ_i are pre-fixed numbers satisfying $0 = \tau_0 = \sigma_0 < \tau_1 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_m \leq \sigma_m < \tau_{m+1} = T$, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $h_i : (\tau_i, \sigma_i] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions, ${}^c_{\sigma_i} \mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order α with lower terminal at σ_i and $\mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order β with lower terminal τ_i .

We comment that within this scope, the class of equations considered in the present paper is more broad and the outcomes acquired are the generalization of the fundamental results obtained by Wang and Zang [16]. We support our main results with the examples.

In section 2, we introduce some preliminaries and auxiliary lemmas related to fractional calculus. In Section 3, we prove existence and uniqueness results for (1.1) by using Banach contraction principle via Bielecki norm. In Section 4, adopting the idea of Wang and Zang [16] we examine different BieleckiUlams type stability for the problem (1.1). Finally, an example has been provided to illustrate the results we obtained.

2 Preliminaries

Let $J = [0, T]$. Let $C(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; x \text{ is continuous function}\}$ be the Banach space endowed with a Bielecki norm

$$\|x\|_B = \sup_{\tau \in J} \frac{|x(\tau)|}{e^{\theta\tau}},$$

where $\theta > 0$ is a fixed real number. Let

$$PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \in C((\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}], \mathbb{R}), \text{ both } x(\tau_i^+) \text{ and } x(\tau_i^-) \text{ exists and } x(\tau_i) = x(\tau_i^-), i = 0, 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

If $PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ is endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_{PB} = \sup_{\tau \in J} \frac{|x(\tau)|}{e^{\theta\tau}}, \theta > 0$$

then $(PC(J, \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{PB})$ is a Banach space. The space

$$PC^1(J, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ x \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) : x' \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

with the norm $\|x\|_{PB'} = \max\{\|x\|_{PB}, \|x'\|_{PB}\}$ then $(PC^1(J, \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{PB'})$ is a Banach space.

Next, we use definitions and the results listed bellow from fractional calculus. For more details, we refer the readers to the monographs [17]

Definition 2.1 Let $g \in C[a, T]$ with $T > a \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$, then the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral $\mathcal{I}_{a, \tau}^\beta$ of order β of a function g is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{a, \tau}^\beta g(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} g(\sigma) d\sigma, \quad \tau > a \geq 0,$$

provided the integral exists.

Definition 2.2 Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ then the Caputo fractional derivative ${}^c\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$ of order α with lower terminal a of a function $g \in C^1[a, T]$ is defined as

$${}^c\mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha g(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{-\alpha} g'(\sigma) d\sigma, \quad \tau > a \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.1 Let $m - 1 < \alpha \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in C^m[a, T]$. Then

$$\mathcal{I}_{a, \tau}^\alpha [{}^c\mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha g(\tau)] = g(\tau) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{g^{(k)}(a)}{\Gamma(k+1)} \tau^k, \quad \tau > a \geq 0.$$

Following lemma plays an important role to obtain our results.

Lemma 2.2 [18] Let α, β, γ and p be constants such that $\alpha > 0$, $p(\gamma - 1) + 1 > 0$ and $p(\beta - 1) + 1 > 0$. Then

$$\int_0^\tau (\tau^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)^{p(\beta-1)} \sigma^{p(\gamma-1)} d\sigma = \frac{\tau^\theta}{\alpha} \mathbb{B} \left(\frac{p(\gamma-1)+1}{\alpha}, p(\beta-1)+1 \right), \quad \text{where } \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

where

$$\mathbb{B}(\xi, \sigma) = \int_0^1 \sigma^{\xi-1} (1-\sigma)^{\sigma-1} d\sigma, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\xi) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0$$

is a Beta function and $\theta = p[\alpha(\beta - 1) + \gamma - 1] + 1$

3 Existence and Uniqueness Results

Lemma 3.1 A function $x \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a classical solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^c\mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha x(\tau) = f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1) \\ x(\tau) = \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)), \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad \beta \in (0, 1) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

if x satisfies the fractional Volterra integral equation

$$x(\tau) = \begin{cases} x_0, \quad \tau = 0, \\ \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)), \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ x_0 + \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_0}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (0, \tau_1], \\ \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i)) + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Proof: For $i = 0$, on operating Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha$ on both sides of fractional differential equation (3.1), we get

$$\mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha [{}^c \mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha x(\tau)] = \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (0, \tau_1]$$

As $0 < \alpha < 1$, in view of lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$x(\tau) - x(0) = \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (0, \tau_1]$$

Therefore

$$x(\tau) = x_0 + \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (0, \tau_1]$$

Similarly, for each i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), operating $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha$ on both sides of (3.1), we get

$$x(\tau) = x(\sigma_i) + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]$$

But from equation (3.1), we have

$$x(\sigma_i) = \left[\mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)) \right]_{\tau=\sigma_i} = \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Therefore

$$x(\tau) = \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i)) + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}].$$

□ We list the following hypotheses in order to establish our main results.

(H1) The function $f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(\tau, x, y) - f(\tau, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq M_f |x - \bar{x}| + N_f |y - \bar{y}|, \quad \tau \in J; \quad x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $M_f > 0$, $N_f > 0$.

(H2) The function $h \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|h(\tau, x) - h(\tau, \bar{x})| \leq K_h |x - \bar{x}|, \quad \tau \in J; \quad x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $K_h > 0$.

(H3) For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$; $h_i \in C((\sigma_i, \tau_i] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and satisfies Lipschitz condition

$$|h_i(\tau, x) - h_i(\tau, \bar{x})| \leq L_{h_i} |x - \bar{x}|, \quad \text{for each } \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_i]; \quad x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $L_{h_i} > 0$.

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that hypotheses (H1)-(H3) holds. Then the problem (3.1) has a unique solution, provided that $\alpha, \beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.*

Proof: Define an operator $T : PC(J, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(Tx)(\tau) = \begin{cases} x_0, & \text{if } \tau = 0, \\ \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^{\beta} h_i(\tau, x(\tau)), & \text{if } \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], i = 1, \dots, m, \\ x_0 + \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^{\alpha} f(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^{\tau} h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma), & \text{if } \tau \in (0, \tau_1], \\ \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^{\beta} h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i)) + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^{\alpha} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^{\tau} h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma\right), & \text{if } \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], i = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

We shall show that the operator T is contraction with respect to Bielecki norm. Let $x, y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then using hypothesis (H3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^{\beta} h_i(\tau, x(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^{\beta} h_i(\tau, y(\tau))| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} h_i(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} h_i(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} |h_i(\sigma, x(\sigma)) - h_i(\sigma, y(\sigma))| d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} e^{\theta\sigma} \left(\sup_{\sigma \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]} e^{-\theta\sigma} |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| \right) d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

By Holders inequality, for $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma &\leq \left(\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} (\tau - \sigma)^{2(\beta-1)} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} e^{2\theta\sigma} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{(\tau - \tau_i)^{2\beta-1}}{2\beta-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{e^{2\theta\tau} - e^{2\theta\tau_i}}{2\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{(\sigma_i - \tau_i)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\beta-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{e^{\theta\tau} - e^{\theta\tau_i}}{(2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{(\sigma_i - \tau_i)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\beta-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\theta\tau} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Thus for any $x, y \in PC(J, R)$ and $\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\left| \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^{\beta} h_i(\tau, x(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^{\beta} h_i(\tau, y(\tau)) \right| \leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{(\sigma_i - \tau_i)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\beta-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\theta\tau} \|x - y\|_{PB}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now, for any $x, y \in PC(J, R)$ and $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, by using hypotheses (H1) – (H2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^{\alpha} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^{\tau} h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma\right) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^{\alpha} f\left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^{\tau} h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma\right) \right| \\ & \leq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^{\alpha} |f(\tau, x(\tau), \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 h(\tau, x(\tau))) - f(\tau, y(\tau), \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 h(\tau, y(\tau)))| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (M_f |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f |\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 h(\tau, y(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 h(\tau, x(\tau))|) \\
&\leq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (M_f |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 |h(\tau, x(\tau)) - h(\tau, y(\tau))|) \\
&\leq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (M_f |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 K_h |x(\tau) - y(\tau)|) \\
&= M_f \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f K_h \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 |x(\tau) - y(\tau)|) \\
&= M_f \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f K_h \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^{\alpha+1} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| \\
&= \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| d\sigma + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| d\sigma \\
&= \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} e^{\theta\sigma} \left(\sup_{\sigma \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} e^{-\theta\sigma} |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| \right) d\sigma \\
&\quad + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| e^{\theta\sigma} \left(\sup_{\sigma \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} e^{-\theta\sigma} |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| \right) d\sigma \\
&\leq \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma. \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, from the inequality (3.2), for any $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma \leq \frac{(\tau - \sigma_i)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\theta\tau} \leq \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\theta\tau} \quad (3.5)$$

By replacing α by $(\alpha+1)$ in the above inequality we get

$$\int_{\sigma_i}^t (t - \sigma)^\alpha e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma \leq \frac{(t_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\theta t}, \quad t \in (\sigma_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (3.6)$$

Using the inequalities (3.5) and (3.6), the inequality (3.4) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left[\frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] e^{\theta\tau} \|x - y\|_{PB} \quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of an operator T and hypothesis (H1)-(H3), for any $x, y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$, we obtain that

Case 1: For $\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, from the inequality (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tx(\tau) - Ty(\tau)| e^{-\theta\tau} &\leq \left| \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau)) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\
&\leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{(\sigma_i - \tau_i)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\beta-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|x - y\|_{PB}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: For $\tau \in (0, \tau_1]$, on similar line of (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|Tx(\tau) - Ty(\tau)| e^{-\theta\tau} \\
&= \left| \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) - \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left[\frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\tau_1^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{\tau_1^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \|x-y\|_{PB}$$

Case 3: For $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, using the inequalities (3.3) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |Tx(\tau) - Ty(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \\ &= \left| \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, y(\sigma_i)) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ &+ \left| \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ &\leq \frac{Lh_i}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{(\sigma_i - \tau_i)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\beta-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\theta(\sigma_i-\tau)} \|x-y\|_{PB} \\ &+ \left[\frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \|x-y\|_{PB} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{Lh_i}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{(\sigma_i - \tau_i)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\beta-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|x-y\|_{PB} \end{aligned}$$

From the cases 1-3, we can conclude that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_{PB} \leq L \|x - y\|_{PB}, \text{ for any } x, y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}),$$

where

$$L = \max \left\{ \frac{N_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{(\sigma_i - \tau_i)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(2\beta-1)\sqrt{2\theta}}} + \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(2\alpha-1)\sqrt{2\theta}}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{N_f N_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha}\sqrt{2\theta}}; i = 0, 1, \dots, m \right\}$$

Choose sufficiently large value of θ so that $L < 1$. Thus, T becomes a contraction mapping and has a unique fixed point due to Banach contraction principle. This fixed point of T act as unique solution of the problem (3.1). \square

Next, our aim is to extend the restriction of $\alpha, \beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ to $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$. For this purpose we use the following variants of the hypotheses (H2) and (H3):

($\tilde{H}1$) The function $f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(\tau, x, y) - f(\tau, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq M_f \tau^{\gamma_f} |x - \bar{x}| + N_f |y - \bar{y}|, \tau \in [0, T]; x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $M_f, N_f > 0$ and $\gamma_f > -\alpha$.

($\tilde{H}3$) For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$; $h_i \in C((\sigma_i, \tau_i] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and satisfies Lipschitz condition

$$|h_i(\tau, x) - h_i(\tau, \bar{x})| \leq \tau^\gamma N_{h_i} |x - \bar{x}|, \tau \in [\tau_i, \sigma_i]; x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $N_{h_i} > 0$ and $\gamma > -\beta$.

Theorem 3.3 Assume that hypotheses $(\tilde{H}1)$, $(H2)$ and $(\tilde{H}3)$ holds. Then the problem (3.1) has a unique solution.

Proof: Consider the operator T defined in the Theorem 3.2 . By $(\tilde{H}1)$, $\gamma_f > -\alpha = (1-\alpha)-1$ where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Choose $\sigma > 1$ such that $\sigma \gamma_f > \sigma(1-\alpha) - 1$ and $\sigma(\alpha-1) + 1 > 0$. Define $\sigma^* = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}$. Then $\sigma + \sigma^* = 1$. By $(\tilde{H}3)$, for any $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| \\
& \leq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha |f(\tau, x(\tau), \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 h(\tau, x(\tau))) - f(\tau, y(\tau), \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 h(\tau, y(\tau)))| \\
& \leq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (M_f \tau^{\gamma_f} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f |\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 h(\tau, y(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 h(\tau, x(\tau))|) \\
& \leq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (M_f \tau^{\gamma_f} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 |h(\tau, x(\tau)) - h(\tau, y(\tau))|) \\
& \leq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (M_f \tau^{\gamma_f} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 K_h |x(\tau) - y(\tau)|) \\
& = M_f \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha \tau^{\gamma_f} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| + N_f K_h \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^1 |x(\tau) - y(\tau)|) \\
& = M_f \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha (\tau^{\gamma_f} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)|) + N_f K_h \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^{\alpha+1} (|x(\tau) - y(\tau)|) \\
& = \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\gamma_f} |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| d\sigma + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| d\sigma \\
& \leq \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\gamma_f} e^{\theta\sigma} \left(\sup_{\sigma \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} e^{-\theta\sigma} |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| \right) d\sigma \\
& \quad + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| e^{\theta\sigma} \left(\sup_{\sigma \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} e^{-\theta\sigma} |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| \right) d\sigma \\
& \leq \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\gamma_f} e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Holders inequality, we get

$$\int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\gamma_f} e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma \leq \left(\int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\sigma(\alpha-1)} \sigma^{\sigma\gamma_f} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \left(\int_{\sigma_i}^\tau e^{\theta\sigma^* \sigma} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}$$

But

$$\left(\int_{\sigma_i}^\tau e^{\theta\sigma^* \sigma} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}} = \left(\frac{e^{\theta\sigma^* \tau} - e^{\theta\sigma^* \sigma_i}}{\theta\sigma^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}} \leq \left(\frac{e^{\theta\sigma^* \tau}}{\theta\sigma^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}} = \frac{e^{\theta\tau}}{(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}}$$

By lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\sigma(\alpha-1)} \sigma^{\sigma\gamma_f} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} & \leq \left(\int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\sigma(\alpha-1)} \sigma^{\sigma\gamma_f} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\
& = \left(\tau^{\sigma(\alpha-1) + \sigma\gamma_f + 1} \mathbb{B}(\sigma\gamma_f + 1, \sigma(\alpha-1) + 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\
& \leq \omega_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_1 = \left(\tau^{\sigma(\alpha-1) + \sigma\gamma_f + 1} \mathbb{B}(\sigma\gamma_f + 1, \sigma(\alpha-1) + 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$. Therefore

$$\int_{\sigma_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \sigma^{\gamma_f} e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma \leq \omega_1 \frac{e^{\theta\tau}}{(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} \quad (3.9)$$

Using inequations (3.6) and (3.9), from (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \leq \left(\omega_1 \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|x - y\|_{PB} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

On the similar line by ($\tilde{H}3$), as discussed above, we can chose $\sigma_1 > 1$ such that $\sigma_1\gamma > \sigma_1(1-\beta) - 1$ and $\sigma_1(\beta-1) + 1 > 0$. Then for $\sigma_1^* = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1-1}$ we have $\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^* = 1$. By ($\tilde{H}3$) and the Holders inequality, for any $\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau))| \\ & \leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{\tau_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} \sigma^\gamma |x(\sigma) - y(\sigma)| d\sigma \\ & \leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \int_{\tau_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\beta-1} \sigma^\gamma e^{\theta\sigma} d\sigma \\ & \leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \left(\int_{\tau_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\sigma_1(\beta-1)} \sigma^{\sigma_1\gamma} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1}} \left(\int_{\tau_i}^\tau e^{\theta\sigma_1^*\sigma} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}} \\ & \leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \left(\int_{\tau_i}^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\sigma_1(\beta-1)} \sigma^{\sigma_1\gamma} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1}} \left(\int_{\tau_i}^\tau e^{\theta\sigma_1^*\sigma} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}} \\ & \leq \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|x - y\|_{PB} \left(\int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\sigma_1(\beta-1)} \sigma^{\sigma_1\gamma} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1}} \frac{e^{\theta\tau}}{(\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} \\ & \leq \frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta) (\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} e^{\theta\tau} \|x - y\|_{PB}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_2 = (T^{\sigma_1(\beta-1)+\sigma_1\gamma+1} \mathbb{B}(\sigma_1\gamma+1, \sigma_1(\beta-1)+1))^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1}}$. Thus

$$\left| \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau)) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \leq \frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta) (\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} \|x - y\|_{PB}, \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i] \quad (3.11)$$

By definition of an operator T and using inequations (3.10) and (3.11), for any $x, y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$, we have:

Case (i): For $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |Tx(\tau) - Ty(\tau)| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \leq \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta |h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i)) - h_i(\sigma_i, y(\sigma_i))| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \quad + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha \left| f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) - f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta) (\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} + \omega_1 \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|x - y\|_{PB} \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii): For $\tau \in (0, \tau_1]$,

$$|Tx(\tau) - Ty(\tau)| e^{-\theta\tau}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mathcal{I}_{0,\tau}^\alpha \left| f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) - f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ &\leq \left(\omega_1 \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{\tau_1^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|x - y\|_{PB}. \end{aligned}$$

Case (iii): For $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(\tau) - Ty(\tau)| e^{-\theta\tau} &\leq \left| \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i,\tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i,\tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau)) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ &\leq \frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta)(\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} \|x - y\|_{PB} \end{aligned}$$

By cases (i)-(iii), we have

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_{PB} \leq L_1 \|x - y\|_{PB}, \text{ for any } x, y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 = \max \left\{ \frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta)(\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} + \omega_1 \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} : i = 0, 1, \dots, m \right\} \end{aligned}$$

By choosing sufficient large value of θ , we get $L_1 < 1$ and in this case T is a contraction and hence T has a unique fixed point, which is the unique solution of (3.1). \square

4 Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers Stability

We adopt the idea of Wang and Zang [16] to investigate the concepts of Bielecki-Ulams type stability for the class of nonlinear fractional order Volterra integrodifferential equation (1.1).

For any $\theta > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\psi \geq 0$, $\varphi \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is nondecreasing and $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, consider the following inequalities.

$$\begin{cases} \left| {}^c_{\sigma_i} \mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha y(\tau) - f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma) \right| \leq \epsilon, \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i,\tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau))| \leq \epsilon, \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \left| {}^c_{\sigma_i} \mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha y(\tau) - f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma) \right| \leq \varphi(\tau), \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i,\tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau))| \leq \psi, \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \left| {}^c_{\sigma_i} \mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha y(\tau) - f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma) \right| \leq \epsilon\varphi(\tau), \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i,\tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau))| \leq \epsilon\psi, \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Definition 4.1 The equation (1.1) is Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists a real number $C_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i} > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ for each solution $y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of inequality (4.1) there exists a solution $x \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of equation (1.1) with

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq C_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i}\epsilon, \quad \tau \in J.$$

Definition 4.2 The equation (1.1) is generalized Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists $\theta_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i} \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $\theta_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i}(0) = 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ for each solution $y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of inequality (4.1) there exists a solution $x \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of equation (1.1) with

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq \theta_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i}(\epsilon), \quad \tau \in J.$$

Definition 4.3 The equation (1.1) is Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (ϕ, ψ) if there exists $C_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi} > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ for each solution $y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of inequality (4.3) there exists a solution $x \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of equation (1.1) with

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq C_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi}\epsilon(\psi + \varphi(\tau)), \quad \tau \in J.$$

Definition 4.4 The equation (1.1) is generalized Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (φ, ψ) if there exists $C_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi} > 0$ such that for each solution $y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of inequality (4.2) there exists a solution $x \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of equation (1.1) with

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq C_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi}(\psi + \varphi(\tau)), \quad t \in J.$$

Lemma 4.1 If $y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of inequality (4.3) then y satisfies the following integral inequalities

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau))| \leq \epsilon\psi, \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ |y(\tau) - y(0) - \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma)| \leq \epsilon \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha \varphi(\tau), \quad \text{if } \tau \in (0, \tau_1], \\ |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, y(\sigma_i)) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma)| \leq \epsilon(\psi + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha \varphi(\tau)) \\ \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof: If $y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the inequality (4.3) then there exists $H \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ and constants H_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ (which depend on y) such that

$$(i) \quad |H(\tau)| \leq \epsilon\varphi(\tau), \quad \tau \in J \quad \text{and} \quad |H_i| \leq \epsilon\psi \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

$$(ii) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^c \mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha y(\tau) = f\left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma\right) + H(\tau), \quad \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ y(\tau) = \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau)) + H_i, \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{array} \right.$$

In the view of Lemma 3.1, above equation is equivalent to the integral equations

$$y(\tau) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau)) + \epsilon H_i, & \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ y(0) + \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha [f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma) + \epsilon H(\tau)], & \tau \in [0, \tau_1], \\ \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, y(\sigma_i)) + \epsilon H_i + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha [f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma) + \epsilon H(\tau)], \\ \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

For any $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, y(\sigma_i)) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| &= |H_i + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha H(\tau)| \\ &\leq |H_i| + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha |H(\tau)| \\ &\leq \epsilon (\psi + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha \varphi(\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

For $\tau \in (0, \tau_1]$,

$$\left| y(\tau) - y(0) - \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| = \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha |H(\tau)| \leq \epsilon \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha \varphi(\tau).$$

For $\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$\left| y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau)) \right| \leq |H_i| \leq \epsilon \psi, \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

The last three inequalities are the required equivalent integral inequalities in (4.4). \square Following additional assumption is needed to prove the Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability of equation (1.1).

(H4) Let $\varphi \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is nondecreasing and there exists $c_\varphi > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha \varphi \leq c_\varphi \varphi(\tau), \quad \tau \in J.$$

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that hypotheses $(\tilde{H}1)$, $(H2)$, $(\tilde{H}3)$ and $(H4)$ holds. Then, the equation (1.1) is Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (φ, ψ) , where $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof: Let $y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ be a solution of inequality (4.3). Then by lemma 4.1, y satisfies the integral inequalities

$$\begin{cases} |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau))| \leq \epsilon \psi, \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ |y(\tau) - y(0) - \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right)| \leq \epsilon c_\varphi \varphi(\tau), \quad \tau \in (0, \tau_1], \\ |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, y(\sigma_i)) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right)| \leq \epsilon (\psi + c_\varphi \varphi(\tau)), \\ \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Denote by x the classical solution of fractional Volterra integrodifferential equations

$$\begin{cases} {}^c \mathcal{D}_\tau^\alpha x(\tau) = f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ x(\tau) = \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)), \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) = y(0) \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Then, in the view of lemma 3.1, x satisfies the fractional integral equations

$$x(\tau) = \begin{cases} y(0), \quad \tau = 0, \\ \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau)), \quad \tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ y(0) + \mathcal{I}_{0, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (0, \tau_1], \\ \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i)) + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right), \quad \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, for any $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} &= \left| y(\tau) - \left(\mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i)) + \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\
&\leq \left| y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, y(\sigma_i)) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\
&\quad + |\mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, y(\sigma_i)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \sigma_i}^\beta h_i(\sigma_i, x(\sigma_i))| e^{-\theta\tau} \\
&\quad + \left| \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) - \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i, \tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\
&= \epsilon (\psi + c_\varphi \varphi(\tau)) e^{-\theta\tau} + \frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta) (\theta \sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} \left(\sup_{\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]} e^{-\theta\tau} |y(\tau) - x(\tau)| \right) \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{\omega_1 M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha) (\theta \sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h (\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) (2\alpha + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \left(\sup_{\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} e^{-\theta\tau} |y(\tau) - x(\tau)| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} e^{-\theta\tau} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| \\
&\leq \epsilon (1 + c_\varphi) (\psi + \varphi(\tau)) e^{-\theta\sigma_i} + \left(\frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta) (\theta \sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} + \frac{\omega_1 M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha) (\theta \sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{N_f K_h (\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) (2\alpha + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \sup_{\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} e^{-\theta\tau} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)|
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} e^{-\theta\tau} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon (1 + c_\varphi) (\psi + \varphi(\tau)) e^{-\theta\sigma_i}}{1 - \left(\frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta) (\theta \sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} + \frac{\omega_1 M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha) (\theta \sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h (\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) (2\alpha + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)} \quad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} &\leq |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau))| e^{-\theta\tau} \\
&\leq |y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau))| e^{-\theta\tau} + |\mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, y(\tau)) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_i, \tau}^\beta h_i(\tau, x(\tau))| e^{-\theta\tau} \\
&\leq \epsilon \psi e^{-\theta\tau_i} + \frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta) (\theta \sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} \left(\sup_{\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]} e^{-\theta\tau} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]} e^{-\theta\tau} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| \leq \epsilon \psi e^{-\theta\tau_i} + \frac{L_{h_i} \omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta) (\theta \sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} \left(\sup_{\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]} e^{-\theta\tau} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| \right)$$

Thus we have

$$\sup_{\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]} e^{-\theta\tau} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| \leq \frac{\epsilon\psi}{\left(1 - \frac{L_{h_i}\omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta)(\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}}\right)} e^{-\theta\tau_i} \quad (4.9)$$

Next, for any $\tau \in (0, \tau_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \leq \left| y(\tau) - y(0) - \mathcal{I}_{0,\tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \leq \left| y(\tau) - y(0) - \mathcal{I}_{0,\tau}^\alpha f \left(\tau, y(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma \right) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \quad + \mathcal{I}_{0,\tau}^\alpha \left| f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma) - f(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau h(\sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma) \right| e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \leq \epsilon c_\varphi \varphi(t) + \left(\frac{\omega_1 M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{\tau_1^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \sup_{\tau \in (0, \tau_1]} e^{-\theta\tau} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| \end{aligned}$$

Just computed as above, we get

$$\sup_{\tau \in (0, \tau_1]} |y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq \frac{\epsilon c_\varphi \varphi(\tau)}{1 - \left(\frac{\omega_1 M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{\tau_1^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)} \quad (4.10)$$

Since

$$J = (0, T] = \left[\bigcup_{i=0}^m (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}] \right] \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^m (\tau_i, \sigma_i] \right],$$

from the inequalities (4.8),(4.9) and (4.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\tau \in (0, T]} |y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} & \leq \sup_{\tau \in (0, \tau_1]} |y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sup_{\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}]} |y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \sup_{\tau \in (\tau_i, \sigma_i]} |y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \\ & \leq \epsilon c_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi} (\psi + \varphi(\tau)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi} & = \frac{c_\varphi}{1 - \left(\frac{\omega_1 M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{\tau_1^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\psi}{\left(1 - \frac{L_{h_i}\omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta)(\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} \right)} \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1 + c_\varphi}{1 - \left(\frac{L_{h_i}\omega_2}{\Gamma(\beta)(\theta\sigma_1^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^*}}} + \frac{\omega_1 M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\theta\sigma^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^*}}} + \frac{N_f K_h (\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1) (2\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from inequality (4.11), we have

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq \epsilon c_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi}(\psi + \varphi(\tau)), \tau \in J \quad (4.12)$$

This shows that equation (1.1) Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (φ, ψ) .
□

Corollary 4.3 *Assume that hypotheses $(\tilde{H}1)$, $(H2)$, $(\tilde{H}3)$ and $(H4)$ holds. Then, the equation (1.1) is generalized Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (φ, ψ) , where $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof: Set $\epsilon = 1$ in the proof of Theorem 5.3, we obtain

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq c_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,i,\varphi}(\psi + \varphi(\tau)), \tau \in J. \quad (4.13)$$

This proves the equation (1.1) is generalized Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (φ, ψ) . □

Corollary 4.4 *Assume that hypotheses $(\tilde{H}1)$, $(H2)$, $(\tilde{H}3)$ and $(H4)$ holds. Then, the equation (1.1) is Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers stable, where $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof: Set $\psi = 1$ and $\varphi(\tau) = 1$, $\tau \in J$ in theorem proof of Theorem 4.2. Then $\varphi \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{0,\tau}^\alpha \varphi \leq c_\varphi \varphi(\tau)$, $\tau \in J$, where $c_\varphi = \frac{T^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}$. Thus the hypothesis $(H4)$ is satisfied. Further, we have

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq \epsilon c_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i}, \tau \in J. \quad (4.14)$$

Therefore the equation (1.1) is Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers stable. □

Corollary 4.5 *Assume that hypotheses $(\tilde{H}1)$, $(H2)$, $(\tilde{H}3)$ and $(H4)$ holds. Then, the equation (1.1) is generalized Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers stable, where $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof: Define $\theta_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $\theta_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i}(\epsilon) = \epsilon c_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i}$. Then $\theta_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i} \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\theta_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i}(0) = 0$. Further from the inequation (4.14), we have

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq \theta(\epsilon), \tau \in J,$$

which shows that (1.1) is generalized Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers stable. □

Remark 4.6 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 one can obtain the Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers stability and Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability of equation when $\alpha, \beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.*

5 Example

Example 5.1: Consider Caputo fractional differential equation with fractional integrable impulse

$${}^c_{\sigma_i} \mathcal{D}_\tau^{\frac{2}{3}} x(\tau) = f\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^{\tau} h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma\right), \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], i = 0, 1 \quad (5.1)$$

$$x(\tau) = \mathcal{I}_{\tau_1, \tau}^{\frac{2}{3}} h_1(\tau, x(\tau)), \tau \in (\tau_1, \sigma_1], \quad (5.2)$$

$$x(0) = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

where $0 = \sigma_0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 = 1 < \sigma_1 = 2 < \tau_2 = 3$ and the functions $f : [0, 3] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; $h : [0, 3] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h_1 : (1, 2] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^{\tau} h(\sigma, x(\sigma)) d\sigma\right) &= g(\tau) + \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (\sin(x(\tau)) + \cos x(\tau)) + \int_{\sigma_i}^{\tau} \frac{\sigma}{e^{\sigma^2}} \sin(x(\sigma)) d\sigma \\ h(\tau, x(\tau)) &= \frac{\tau}{e^{\tau^2}} \sin(x(\tau)) \\ h_1(\tau, x(\tau)) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \frac{\tau(\tau-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(\tau-4)} \left(\frac{|x(\tau)|-3}{|x(\tau)|+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{9}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} \tau^{\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{1}{4}, & \tau \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \tau \in (1, 2] \\ \frac{9}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} (\tau-2)^{\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{1}{4e^4} (\cos 4 + \sin 4), & \tau \in (2, 3], \end{cases}$$

For any $x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tau \in [0, 3]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\tau, x, y) - f(\tau, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \\ &= \left| \left(g(\tau) + \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (\sin x + \cos x) + y \right) - \left(g(\tau) + \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (\sin \bar{x} + \cos \bar{x}) + \bar{y} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (|\sin x - \sin \bar{x}| + |\cos x - \cos \bar{x}|) + |y - \bar{y}| \\ &= \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} \left(\left| 2 \cos \left(\frac{x + \bar{x}}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{x - \bar{x}}{2} \right) \right| + \left| 2 \sin \left(\frac{x + \bar{x}}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{x - \bar{x}}{2} \right) \right| \right) + |y - \bar{y}| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{2} |x - \bar{x}| + |y - \bar{y}| \leq \frac{1}{2} |x - \bar{x}| + |y - \bar{y}| \end{aligned}$$

Further, for $\tau \in [1, 2]$

$$\begin{aligned} |h_1(\tau, x) - h_1(\tau, \bar{x})| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \frac{\tau(\tau-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(\tau-4)} \left(\frac{|x|-3}{|x|+1} \right) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \frac{\tau(\tau-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(\tau-4)} \left(\frac{|\bar{x}|-3}{|\bar{x}|+1} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \left| \frac{|x|-3}{|x|+1} - \frac{|\bar{x}|-3}{|\bar{x}|+1} \right| \leq \frac{4}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \frac{||x| - |\bar{x}||}{(|x|+1)(|\bar{x}|+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} |x - \bar{x}| \end{aligned}$$

and for $\tau \in [0, 3]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(\tau, x(\tau)) - h(\tau, \bar{x})| &= \left| \frac{\tau}{e^{\tau^2}} \sin x - \frac{\tau}{e^{\tau^2}} \sin \bar{x} \right| = \frac{\tau}{e^{\tau^2}} |\sin x - \sin \bar{x}| \\ &= \frac{\tau}{e^{\tau^2}} |\sin x - \sin \bar{x}| = \frac{\tau}{e^{\tau^2}} \left| 2 \cos\left(\frac{x + \bar{x}}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{x - \bar{x}}{2}\right) \right| \\ &\leq 6 \left| \frac{x - \bar{x}}{2} \right| = 3|x - \bar{x}| \end{aligned}$$

Thus f, h and h_1 satisfies Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constants

$$M_f = \frac{1}{2}, \quad N_f = 1, \quad K_h = 3, \quad L_{h_1} = \frac{4}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})}.$$

Thus by Theorem 3.2, the problem (5.1)-(5.3) has a unique solution on $[0, 3]$.

Let $\varphi(\tau) = 2.8361\mathbb{E}_{\frac{2}{3}}(\tau^{\frac{2}{3}})$, $\tau \in J$ and $\psi = 0$. Then $\varphi \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is nondecreasing and satisfy the condition

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,\tau}^{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi(\tau) = 2.8361\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_i,\tau}^{\frac{2}{3}}(\mathbb{E}_{\frac{2}{3}}(\tau^{\frac{2}{3}})) \leq 2.8361\mathbb{E}_{\frac{2}{3}}(\tau^{\frac{2}{3}}) = c_\varphi\varphi(\tau), \tau \in J,$$

where $c_\varphi = 1$. Note that all the assumptions of the Corollary 4.3 holds. therefore problem (5.1)-(5.2) is generalized Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (φ, ψ) .

Next, we shall discuss the generalized Bielecki-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability of the equation (5.1)-(5.2) by showing that there exist an exact solution $x(\tau)$ of the problem (5.1)-(5.3) corresponding to (φ, ψ) and the given solution $y(\tau)$ of the inequalities

$$\left| {}_c^c\mathcal{D}_\tau^{\frac{2}{3}}y(\tau) - f(\tau, y(\tau), \int_{\sigma_i}^{\tau} h(\sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma) \right| \leq \varphi(\tau), \tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1}], i = 0, 1 \quad (5.4)$$

$$\left| y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{\tau_1,\tau}^{\frac{2}{3}}h_1(\tau, y(\tau)) \right| \leq 0, \tau \in (\tau_1, \sigma_1]. \quad (5.5)$$

where $0 = \sigma_0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 = 1 < \sigma_1 = 2 < \tau_2 = 3$.

Let

$$y(\tau) = \begin{cases} \tau, & \tau \in [0, 1] \cup (2, 3] \\ (\tau - 1), & \tau \in (1, 2] \end{cases}$$

Then for $\tau \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| {}_c^c\mathcal{D}_\tau^{\frac{2}{3}}y(t) - g(\tau) - \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (\sin y(\tau) + \cos y(\tau)) - \int_0^\tau \frac{\sigma}{e^{\sigma^2}} \sin y(\sigma) d\sigma \right| \\ &= \left| {}_c^c\mathcal{D}_\tau^{\frac{2}{3}}(\tau) - \frac{9}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})}\tau^{\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (\sin \tau + \cos \tau) - \int_0^\tau \frac{\sigma}{e^{\sigma^2}} \sin \sigma d\sigma \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})}\tau^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{9}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})}\tau^{\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (|\sin \tau| + |\cos \tau|) + \int_0^\tau \frac{\sigma}{e^{\sigma^2}} |\sin \sigma| d\sigma \\ &\leq 4.5495 \end{aligned}$$

and for $\tau \in (2, 3]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| {}_2^c \mathcal{D}_\tau^{\frac{2}{3}} y(\tau) - g(\tau) - \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (\sin(y(\tau)) + \cos y(\tau)) - \int_2^\tau \frac{\sigma}{e^{\sigma^2}} \sin(y(\sigma)) d\sigma \right| \\
&= \left| {}_2^c \mathcal{D}_\tau^{\frac{2}{3}} [(\tau - 2) + 2] - \frac{9}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} (\tau - 2)^{\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{1}{4e^4} (\cos 4 + \sin 4) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (\sin \tau + \cos \tau) - \int_2^\tau \frac{\sigma}{e^{\sigma^2}} \sin \sigma d\sigma \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} (\tau - 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} + 0 + \frac{9}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} (\tau - 2)^{\frac{4}{3}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4e^4} (|\cos 4| + |\sin 4|) + \frac{1}{4e^4} (|\sin \tau| + |\cos \tau|) + \int_2^\tau \frac{\sigma}{e^{\sigma^2}} |\sin \sigma| d\sigma \\
&\leq 2.8361
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $\tau \in (\sigma_i, \tau_i]$, $i = 0, 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| {}_{\sigma_i}^c \mathcal{D}_\tau^{\frac{2}{3}} y(\tau) - g(\tau) - \frac{e^{-\tau^2}}{4} (\sin y(\tau) + \cos y(\tau)) - \int_{\sigma_i}^\tau \frac{\sigma}{e^{\sigma^2}} \sin y(\sigma) d\sigma \right| \\
&\leq \min \{4.5495, 2.8361\} = 2.8361 \leq 2.8361 \mathbb{E}_{\frac{2}{3}}(\tau^{\frac{2}{3}}) = \varphi(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

Also, for $\tau \in (1, 2]$, we get

$$|y(\tau) - \mathcal{I}_{1,\tau}^{\frac{2}{3}}(h_1(\tau, y(\tau)))| = |(\tau - 1) - (\tau - 1)| = 0$$

Hence $y(\tau)$ is a solution of an inequality (5.4)-(5.5).

Next, one can easily verify that

$$x(\tau) = \begin{cases} \tau^2, & \tau \in (0, 1] \cup (2, 3] \\ (\tau - 1), & \tau \in (1, 2], \end{cases}$$

is the unique solution of the problem (5.1)-(5.3).

As discussed in the proof of the Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \max \left\{ \frac{L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{(\sigma_i - \tau_i)^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(2\beta - 1)\sqrt{2\theta}}} + \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(2\alpha - 1)\sqrt{2\theta}}} + \frac{N_f L_{h_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \frac{(\tau_{i+1} - \sigma_i)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\alpha + 1}\sqrt{2\theta}}; i = 0, 1 \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\alpha - 1)\sqrt{2\theta}}} + \frac{N_f K_h}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}\sqrt{2\theta}}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{L_{h_1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\beta - 1)\sqrt{2\theta}}} + \frac{M_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\alpha - 1)\sqrt{2\theta}}} + \frac{N_f L_{h_1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha + 1}\sqrt{2\theta}}; \right\} \\
&= \frac{7.5188\sqrt{3}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})\sqrt{2\theta}}
\end{aligned}$$

Choose $\theta > \left(\frac{7.5188\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})}\right)^2 = 46.2473$ so that $L < 1$. For this choice of θ , we have: for $\tau \in (0, 1]$,

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} = |\tau - \tau^2|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq (\tau + \tau^2) \leq 2,$$

for $\tau \in (1, 2]$,

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} = 0,$$

and for $\tau \in (2, 3]$,

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} = |\tau - \tau^2|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq (\tau + \tau^2)e^{-2\theta} = 11e^{-2\theta}.$$

Thus,

$$|y(\tau) - x(\tau)|e^{-\theta\tau} \leq C_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi}(\psi + \varphi(\tau)), \quad \tau \in J = [0, 3],$$

where $C_{f,\theta,h,\alpha,\beta,h_i,\varphi} = 1$, $\psi = 0$ and $\varphi(\tau) = 2.8361\mathbb{E}_{\frac{2}{3}}(\tau^{\frac{2}{3}})$.

References

- [1] S. M. Ulam, A collection of the mathematical problems, *Interscience Publ.* New York, 1960.
- [2] J. Wang, L. Lv, Y. Zhou, Ulam stability and data dependence for fractional differential equations with Caputo derivative, *Qualit Th Diff Equat* 63 (2011), 1-10.
- [3] J. Wang, Y. Zhang, Ulam-Hyers-Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional-order delay differential equations, *Optimization* 63(8) (2014), 1181-1190.
- [4] Wei Wei, Xuezhong Li, Xia Li, New stability results for fractional integral equation, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 64 (2012), 3468-3476.
- [5] J. Wang, X. Li, E_α -Ulam type stability of fractional order ordinary differential equations, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 45(2014), 449-459.
- [6] N. Eghbali, V. Kalvandi, J.M. Rassias, A fixed point approach to the Mittag-Leffler-Hyers-Ulam stability of a fractional integral equation, *Open Mathematics* 14 (2016), 237246.
- [7] J. Wang, L. Lv, Y. Zhou, New concepts and results in stability of fractional differential equations, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* 17 (2012), 2530-2538.
- [8] A.M. Samoilenko, N.A. Perestyuk, Impulsive differential equations, *World scientific series on nonlinear science, Singapore*(14)1995.
- [9] D.D. Bainov, V. Lakshmikantham, P.S. Simeonov, Theory of impulsive differential equations, *series in modern applied mathematics: World Scientific, Singapore*(6), 1989.
- [10] M. Benchora, J. Henderson, S. Ntouyas, Impulsive differential equations and inclusions, *Contemporary mathematics and its applications* vol.7, New York, NY, USA; Hindawi; 2006.
- [11] M. Frigon, D. O'Regan, Existence results for first order impulsive differential equations *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 193 (1995), 96-113.

- [12] M. Frigon, D. O'Regan, First order impulsive initial and periodic problems with variable moments *J.Math.Anal.Appl.*233 (2)(1999), 730-739.
- [13] M. Frigon, D. O'Regan, Impulsive differential equations with variable time. *Nonlinear Analysis:TMA*26 (1996), 9113-22.
- [14] J.Wang, Y. Zhang, Existence and stability of solutions to nonlinear impulsive differential equations in β -normed spaces, *Electronic J.Differential Equations* 83 (2014), 1-10.
- [15] J.Wang, Z. Lin, Y. Zhou, On the stability of new impulsive ordinary differential equations, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis* 46 (1), 2015, 303314 .
- [16] J.Wang, Y. Zhang, A class of nonlinear differential equations with fractional integrable impulses, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* 19 (2014), 3001-3010.
- [17] I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations, *Academic Press, San Diego*, 1999.
- [18] A.P.Prudnikov, Y.A.Brychkov, O.I.Marichev, Integral and series, Elementary Functions *Nauka, Moscow* vol.I 1981.