

# A CERTAIN STRUCTURE OF ARTIN GROUPS AND THE ISOMORPHISM CONJECTURE

S. K. ROUSHON

ABSTRACT. We observe an inductive structure in a large class of Artin groups and exploit this information to deduce the Farrell-Jones isomorphism conjecture for several classes of Artin groups of finite real, complex and affine types.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We prove the isomorphism conjecture of Farrell and Jones ([12]), for a large class of Artin groups of finite real, complex and affine types. The classical braid group is an example of a finite type real Artin group (type  $A_n$ ), and we considered this group in [14] and [29] in the pseudoisotopy case. Here, we prove the conjecture for the  $K$ ,  $L$  and  $A$ -theory.

The classical braid group case as in [14] and [29], used the crucial idea that, the geometry of a certain class of 3-manifolds is involved in the building of the group. In the situation of the Artin groups considered in this paper, we find a similar structure. We exploit this information to prove the conjecture. More precisely, some of the Artin groups are realized as a subgroup of the orbifold fundamental group of the configuration space of unordered  $n$ -tuples of distinct points, on some 2-dimensional orbifolds. In the classical braid group case, the complex plane played the role of this 2-dimensional orbifold.

The isomorphism conjecture is an important conjecture in Geometry and Topology. Much work has been done in this area in recent times (e.g. [2], [3], [22], [23], [29]). The motivations behind the isomorphism conjecture are the Borel and the Novikov conjectures, which claim that any two closed aspherical homotopy equivalent manifolds are homeomorphic, and the homotopy invariance of rational Pontryagin classes of manifolds, respectively. Furthermore, it provides a better understanding of the  $K$ - and  $L$ -theory of the group. It is well-known that the isomorphism conjecture implies vanishing of the lower  $K$ -theory ( $Wh(-)$ ,  $\tilde{K}_0(-)$  and  $K_{-i}(-)$  for  $i \geq 1$ ) of any torsion free subgroup of the group. In fact, it is still an open conjecture that this should be the case for all torsion free groups. Another conjecture due to Hsiang is a consequence of the isomorphism conjecture, which says that  $K_{-i}(-) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 2$  and for all groups ([17]).

There are two classical exact sequences in  $K$ - and  $L$ -theory which summarizes the history of the Borel and the Novikov conjectures.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 19B99,19G24,20F36,57R67 Secondary: 57N37.

*Key words and phrases*. Artin groups, isomorphism conjecture, Whitehead group, reduced projective class group, surgery obstruction groups, Waldhausen  $A$ -theory.

December 25, 2018.

$$A_K : H_*(B\Gamma, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow K_*(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$$

and

$$A_L : H_*(B\Gamma, \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow L_*(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]).$$

In the case of torsion free groups, it is conjectured that the above two maps are isomorphisms. This is also implied by the isomorphism conjecture, which is stated for any discrete group ([12], §1.6.1).

Following Farrell-Jones and Farrell-Hsiang, in all of recent works on the isomorphism conjecture, geometric input on the group plays a significant role. Here also, we follow a similar path to prove the conjecture, but we needed to use an inductive structure in the groups, where the building blocks carry certain (non-positively curved) geometry. It is not yet known the existence of any global geometric structure on Artin groups.

We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be an Artin group of type  $A_n$ ,  $B_n (= C_n)$ ,  $D_n$ ,  $F_4$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $I_2(p)$ ,  $\tilde{A}_n$ ,  $\tilde{B}_n$ ,  $\tilde{C}_n$  or  $G(de, e, r)$ . Then, the isomorphism conjecture in  $K$ ,  $L$  and  $A$ -theory with coefficients and finite wreath product is true for any subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . That is, the isomorphism conjecture with coefficients is true for  $H \wr G$ , for any subgroup  $H$  of  $\Gamma$  and for any finite group  $G$ .*

*Proof.* In the next section we introduce a class  $\mathcal{C}$  (Definition 3.1) of groups defined inductively, using suitable group extensions, from fundamental groups of manifold of dimension  $\leq 3$ . Then, in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we show that the groups considered in Theorem 1.1 belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Finally, in Theorem 3.3 we deduce that groups in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the Farrell-Jones isomorphism conjecture with coefficients and finite wreath product.  $\square$

As an application, in Theorem 3.4, we extend our earlier computation of the surgery groups of the pure braid groups in [29], to the finite type pure Artin groups appearing in Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.1.** *For any subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is as in Theorem 1.1 the two assembly maps  $A_K$  and  $A_L$  are isomorphisms.*

Below we mention a well known consequence of the isomorphism of the  $K$ -theory assembly map. See [[12], §1.6.1].

**Corollary 1.2.** *The Whitehead group  $Wh(-)$ , the reduced projective class group  $\tilde{K}_0(-)$  and the negative  $K$ -groups  $K_{-i}(-)$ , for  $i \geq 1$ , vanish for any subgroup of the groups considered in Theorem 1.1.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some background on Artin groups and in Section 3 we state our results which are used to prove the main theorem. We describe orbifold braid groups and some work from [1] in Section 4, which is the key input to this work. Section 5 contains the proofs of the results of Section 3. The computation of the surgery groups of pure Artin groups is given in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7 we recall the statement of the isomorphism conjecture and all the basic facts needed to prove Theorem 3.3.

We end the Introduction with the following remark.

**Remark 1.1.** The vanishing of the higher homotopy groups of the configuration space mentioned before, in the case of aspherical 2-manifolds, is involved in the proofs. This result is well known (Theorem 2.1). For, aspherical 2-dimensional good orbifold, see Problem at the end of the paper. It is known that the finite type real Artin groups are fundamental groups of aspherical manifolds ([9]). In the affine type Artin group case, this is still a conjecture.

## 2. ARTIN GROUPS

The Artin groups are an important class of groups, and appear in different areas of Mathematics.

We are interested in those Artin groups, which appear as extensions of Coxeter groups by the fundamental group of hyperplane arrangement complements in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , for some  $n$ . We need the topology and geometry of this complement to work on the Artin groups.

The Coxeter groups are generalization of reflection groups, and is yet another useful class of groups ([8]). Several Coxeter groups appear as Weyl groups of simple Lie algebras. In fact, all the Weyl groups are Coxeter groups. There are two different types of reflection groups we consider in this article; spherical and affine. The spherical type is generated by reflections on hyperplanes passing through the origin in an Euclidean space, and the affine type is generated by reflections on hyperplanes without the requirement that the hyperplanes pass through the origin.

Next, we give the presentation of the Coxeter groups in terms of generators and relations. This way one has a better understanding of the corresponding Artin groups.

Let  $K = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  be a finite set, and  $m : K \times K \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$  be a function with the property that  $m(s, s) = 1$ , and  $m(s', s) = m(s, s') \geq 2$  for  $s \neq s'$ . The *Coxeter group* associated to the pair  $(K, m)$  is by definition the following group.

$$\mathcal{W}_{(K,m)} = \langle K \mid (ss')^{m(s,s')} = 1, s, s' \in S \text{ and } m(s, s') < \infty \rangle.$$

$(K, m)$  is called a *Coxeter system*.

Associated to a Coxeter system there is a graph called *Coxeter diagram*. This graph has vertex set  $K$ , two vertices  $s$  and  $s'$  are connected by an edge if  $m(s, s') \geq 3$ . If  $m(s, s') = 3$ , then the edge is not labeled, otherwise it gets the label  $m(s, s')$ . From this diagram, the presentation of the Coxeter group can be reproduced.

A complete classification of finite, irreducible Coxeter groups is known (see [8]). Here, irreducible means the corresponding Coxeter diagram is connected. That is, the Coxeter group becomes direct product of the Coxeter groups corresponding to the connected components of the Coxeter diagram. In this article, without any loss, by a Coxeter group we will always mean an irreducible Coxeter group. See Remark 3.4. We reproduce the list of all finite Coxeter groups in Table 1. These are exactly the finite (spherical) reflection groups. For a general reference on this subject we refer the reader to [18].

Also, there are infinite Coxeter groups which are affine reflection groups. See Table 2, which shows a list of only those we need for this paper. For a complete list see [18]. In the tables the associated Coxeter diagrams are also shown.

The symmetric groups  $S_n$  on  $n$  letters and the finite dihedral group are examples of Coxeter groups. These are the Coxeter group of type  $A_n$  and  $I_2(p)$  respectively in

the table. The *Artin group* associated to the Coxeter group  $\mathcal{W}_{(K,m)}$  is, by definition,

$$\mathcal{A}_{(K,m)} = \langle K \mid ss'ss' \cdots = s'ss's \cdots ; s, s' \in K \rangle.$$

Here, the number of times the factors in  $ss'ss' \cdots$  appear is  $m(s, s')$ ; e.g., if  $m(s, s') = 3$ , then the relation is  $ss's = s'ss'$ .  $\mathcal{A}_{(K,m)}$  is called the Artin group of type  $\mathcal{W}_{(K,m)}$ . There is an obvious surjective homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}_{(K,m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{(K,m)}$ . The kernel  $\mathcal{PA}_{(K,m)}$  (say) of this homomorphism is called the associated *pure Artin group*. In the case of type  $A_n$ , the (pure) Artin group is also known as the *classical (pure) braid group*. We will justify the word 'braid' in Section 4. When a Coxeter group is a finite or an affine reflection group, the associated Artin group is called of *finite* or *affine type*, respectively.

Table of finite Coxeter groups

Coxeter group	Coxeter diagram	Order of the group
$A_n (n > 2)$		$(n+1)!$
$B_n (n > 3)$		$2^n n!$
$D_n (n > 5)$		$2^{n-1} n!$
$I_2(k)$		$2k$
$H_3$		120
$F_4$		1152
$H_4$		14400
$E_6$		51840
$E_7$		2903040
$E_8$		696729600

Table 1

Table of some affine Coxeter groups

Coxeter group	Coxeter diagram
$\tilde{A}_n (n > 1)$	
$\tilde{B}_n (n > 2)$	
$\tilde{C}_n (n > 1)$	
$\tilde{D}_n (n > 2)$	

Table 2

Now, given a finite Coxeter group (equivalently a finite reflection group) and its standard faithful representation in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , consider the hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where each hyperplane is fixed pointwise by an involution of the group. Next, complexify  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and consider the corresponding complexified hyperplanes. We call these complex hyperplanes in this arrangement, *reflecting hyperplanes* associated to the finite reflection group. Let  $PA_{(K,n)}$  be the complement of this hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The fundamental group of  $PA_{(K,n)}$  is identified with the pure Artin group associated to the reflection group ([4]). The Coxeter group acts freely on this complement. The quotient space has fundamental group isomorphic to the Artin group, associated to the reflection group ([4]). That is, we get an exact sequence of the following type.

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{PA}_{(K,m)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{(K,m)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{(K,m)} \longrightarrow 1.$$

We call the space  $(PA_{(K,m)}) PA_{(K,m)}/\mathcal{W}_{(K,m)}$  the (*pure*) *Artin space* of the Artin group of type  $\mathcal{W}_{(K,m)}$ .

In this article, this geometric interpretation of the Artin groups is relevant for us.

Next, our aim is to discuss some generalization of this interpretation, replacing  $\mathbb{C}$  by some 2-dimensional orbifold, in the classical braid group case. These generalized groups are related to some of the Artin groups. This connection is exploited here to help extend our earlier result in [14] and [29], to the Artin groups of types  $A_n$ ,  $B_n (= C_n)$ ,  $D_n$ ,  $\tilde{A}_n$ ,  $\tilde{B}_n$  and  $\tilde{C}_n$ . Then, using a different method we treat the cases  $F_4$ ,  $G_2$  and  $I_2(p)$ . Although, we will see the core idea behind the proofs is the same; some kind of inductive structure with building blocks 3-manifold groups.

**Remark 2.1.** Recall that, a complex reflection group is a subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  generated by complex reflections. A *complex reflection* is an element of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  which fixes a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  pointwise. There is a classification of finite complex reflection groups in [33]. There are two classes.

1.  $G(de, e, r)$ , where  $d, e, r$  are positive integers.
2. 34 exceptional groups denoted by  $G_4, \dots, G_{37}$ .

There are associated Artin groups of the finite complex reflection groups.

**Definition 2.1.** For a connected topological space  $X$ , we define the *pure braid space* of  $X$  of  $n$  strings by the following.

$$PB_n(X) := X^n - \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

For  $n = 1$  we define  $PB_1(X) = X$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , the symmetric group  $S_n$  acts freely on the pure braid space. The quotient space  $PB_n(X)/S_n$  is denoted by  $B_n(X)$ , and is called the *braid space* of  $X$ . The fundamental group of  $(PB_n(X)) B_n(X)$  is called the (*pure*) *braid group* of  $n$  strings of the topological space  $X$ .

We will need the following well-known result.

**Theorem 2.1.** ([11]) *Let  $M$  be a connected manifold of dimension  $\geq 2$ . Then the projection  $M^n \rightarrow M^{n-1}$  to the first  $n - 1$  coordinates, induces a locally trivial fibration  $PB_n(M) \rightarrow PB_{n-1}(M)$  with fiber homeomorphic to  $M - \{(n - 1) \text{ points}\}$ .*

### 3. STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

In this section we state the results required to prove the theorems of the Introduction.

In [14] and [29], we proved the Farrell-Jones fibered isomorphism conjecture for  $H \wr F$ , where  $H$  is any subgroup of the classical braid group and  $F$  is a finite group. In this article we consider a general statement of the conjecture with coefficients, and we denote the *isomorphism conjecture with coefficients and finite wreath product* by  $FICwF$ . The finite wreath product version of the conjecture was introduced in [22], and its general properties were proved in [28] (or see [24]). The importance of this version of the conjecture was first observed in [14] and [22]. See Section 8, for more on this matter.

Now, we are in a position to state the results we prove in this paper.

We need to define the following class of groups, which contains the groups defined in [[23], Definition 1.2.1].

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the smallest class of groups satisfying the following conditions.

1. The fundamental group of any connected manifold of dimension  $\leq 3$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .
2. If  $H$  is a subgroup of a group  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  implies  $H \in \mathcal{C}$ . This reverse implication is also true if  $H$  is of finite index in  $G$ .
3. If  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  then  $G_1 \times G_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ .
4. If  $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a directed system of groups and  $G_i \in \mathcal{C}$  for each  $i \in I$ , then the  $\lim_{i \in I} G_i \in \mathcal{C}$ .
5. Let  $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$  be a short exact sequence of groups with  $p : G \rightarrow H$  being the last surjective homomorphism. If  $K, H$  and  $p^{-1}(C)$ , for any infinite cyclic subgroup  $C$  of  $H$ , belong to  $\mathcal{C}$  then  $G$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We will refer to the above conditions as ‘Condition (n)’ in the rest of the paper.

In the following two theorems, we prove that the Artin groups considered in this paper belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be an aspherical 2-manifold. Then the (pure) braid group of  $S$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Consequently, the Artin groups of types  $A_n, B_n (= C_n), \tilde{A}_n$  and  $\tilde{C}_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** *The Artin groups of types  $D_n, F_4, G_2, I_2(p), \tilde{B}_n$  and  $G(de, e, r)$  belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

Theorem 1.1 is then a consequence of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The  $FICwF$  is true for any group in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof immediately follows from Lemmas 7.1 to 7.6.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** It will be very interesting to know if all the Artin groups belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Finally, we state a corollary regarding an explicit computation of the surgery groups  $L_*(\cdot)$  of the pure Artin groups of finite type. This comes out of the isomorphisms of the two assembly maps  $A_K$  and  $A_L$ , for the groups stated in Theorem 1.1. The calculation was done in [29] for the classical pure braid group case. Together with the isomorphisms of  $A_K$  and  $A_L$ , the proof basically used the homotopy type of the suspension of the pure braid space and the knowledge of the surgery groups of the trivial group.

**Theorem 3.4.** *The surgery groups of finite type pure Artin groups take the following form*

$$L_i(\mathcal{PA}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 4k, \\ \mathbb{Z}^N & \text{if } i = 4k + 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } i = 4k + 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^N & \text{if } i = 4k + 3. \end{cases}$$

where,  $N$  is the number of reflecting hyperplanes associated to the finite Coxeter group, as given in the following table.

$\mathcal{PA}$ =pure Artin group of type	$N$
$A_{n-1}$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
$B_n(= C_n)$	$n^2$
$D_n$	$n(n-1)$
$F_4$	24
$I_2(p)$	$p$
$G_2$	6

Table 3

**Remark 3.2.** Recall here, that there are surgery groups for different kinds of surgery problems, and they appear in the literature with the notations  $L_i^*(-)$ , where  $*$  =  $h, s, \langle -\infty \rangle$  or  $\langle j \rangle$  for  $j \leq 0$ . But, all of them are naturally isomorphic for groups  $G$ , if the Whitehead group  $Wh(G)$ , the reduced projective class group  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ , and the negative  $K$ -groups  $K_{-i}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ , for  $i \geq 1$ , vanish. This can be checked by the Rothenberg exact sequence ([32], 4.13).

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_i^{\langle j+1 \rangle}(R) \rightarrow L_i^{\langle j \rangle}(R) \rightarrow \hat{H}^i(\mathbb{Z}/2; \tilde{K}_j(R)) \rightarrow L_{i-1}^{\langle j+1 \rangle}(R) \rightarrow L_{i-1}^{\langle j \rangle}(R) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Where  $R = \mathbb{Z}[G]$ ,  $j \leq 1$ ,  $Wh(G) = \tilde{K}_1(R)$ ,  $L_i^{(1)} = L_i^h$ ,  $L_i^{(2)} = L_i^s$  and  $L_i^{\langle -\infty \rangle}$  is the limit of  $L_i^{\langle j \rangle}$ . Therefore, because of Corollary 1.2, we use the simplified notation  $L_i(-)$  in the above corollary.

**Remark 3.3.** The same calculation holds for the other pure Artin groups corresponding to the finite type Coxeter groups and finite complex reflection groups (see Remark 2.1) also, provided we know that  $A_k$  and  $A_L$  are isomorphisms. We further need the fact that the Artin spaces are aspherical ([9]), which implies that the Artin groups are torsion free. The last fact can also be proved group theoretically ([15]). The Artin groups corresponding to the finite complex reflection groups  $G(de, e, r)$  is torsion free, since  $\mathcal{A}_{G(de, e, r)}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}_{B_r}$  ([7], Proposition 4.1).

We conclude this section with the following useful remark.

**Remark 3.4.** If we do not assume a Coxeter group  $C$  to be irreducible, then  $C \simeq C_1 \times \cdots \times C_k$ , where  $C_i$  is an irreducible Coxeter group for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{A}_C \simeq \mathcal{A}_{C_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_{C_k}$ . Therefore, by Condition (3),  $\mathcal{A}_C \in \mathcal{C}$ , if  $\mathcal{A}_{C_i} \in \mathcal{C}$  for each  $i$ .

#### 4. ARTIN GROUPS AND ORBIFOLD BRAID GROUPS

In this section we give a short introduction to orbifold braid group and describe a connection with Artin groups.

Let  $\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)$  denote the complex plane with  $k$  punctures at the points  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $l$  distinguished points (also called *cone points*)  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l \in \mathbb{C} - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$  and an integer  $q_i > 1$  attached to  $x_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .  $q_i$  is called the *order* of the cone point  $x_i$ .

One can define (pure) braid group of  $n$  strings of  $\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)$ . There are two different ways one can do this, which give the same result. First is geometric and the second is pictorial way as in the classical braid group case. In the former, one realizes  $\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)$  as a 2-dimensional orbifold and then consider  $PB_n(\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l))$ . Note that  $PB_n(\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l))$  is a high dimensional orbifold and one considers its orbifold fundamental group and follow Definition 2.1. We call this orbifold fundamental group as the (*pure*) *orbifold braid group* of  $n$  strings of  $\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)$ . For basics on orbifolds see [34]. The later pictorial definition is relevant for us. We describe it now from [1].

Recall that any element of the classical braid group  $\pi_1(B_n(\mathbb{C}))$ , can be represented by a braid as in the following picture, for  $n = 5$ . Stacking one braid over another gives the group operation.




Figure 1: A typical braid

Let  $S$  be the complex plane with only one cone point. The underlying topological space of  $S$  is nothing but the complex plane. Therefore,  $B_n(S)$  is an orbifold when we consider the orbifold structure of  $S$ , otherwise it is the classical braid space.

Therefore, although the fundamental group of the underlying topological space of  $B_n(S)$  has the classical pictorial braid representation as above, the orbifold fundamental group of  $B_n(S)$  needs different treatment. We point out here a similar pictorial braid representation of the orbifold fundamental group of  $B_n(S)$  from [1]. The following picture shows the case for  $n = 5$  and one cone point  $x$ . The thick line represent  $x \times I$ .





Figure 2: Orbifold braid

The group operation is again given by stacking up one braid onto another, and the identity element is also obvious.

Note that in the picture both the braids represent the same element in  $\pi_1(B_5(S))$ , but different in  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_5(S))$  depending on the order of the cone point.



In this orbifold situation the movement of the braids is restricted, because of the presence of the cone points. Therefore, one has to define new relations among braids, respecting the singular set of the orbifold. We produce one situation to see how this is done. The second picture in Figure 3 represents part of a typical element of  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_n(S))$ .



Infinite order braid

Figure 3: Braid movement around a puncture and cone point

Now, if a string in the braid wraps the thick line  $x \times I$ ,  $q$  times then, it is equal to the third picture. This is because, if a loop circles  $q$  times around the cone point  $x$ , then the loop gives the trivial element in the orbifold fundamental group of  $S$ . Therefore, both braids represents the same element in the orbifold fundamental group of  $B_n(S)$ . Furthermore, if the string wraps the thick line but less than  $q$  number of times, then it is not equal to the unwrapped braid. For more details see [1].

When there is a puncture  $p$  in the complex plane then the braids will have to satisfy a similar property, but in this case if any of the string wraps  $p \times I$  once then the braid will have infinite order. See the first picture in the above figure.

There is a useful connection between the braid group of  $\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)$  and some of the Artin groups. More precisely, to associate braid type representation to elements of an Artin group. In Remark 2.1, we already mentioned braid type representation of the Artin groups associated to a certain class of finite complex reflection groups. For example, in the case of finite complex reflection groups of type  $G(de, e, r)$ , one has to consider the braid group of the punctured plane (annulus).

For a given Artin group one has to choose a suitable 2-dimensional orbifold, as in the following table in Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.1.** ([1]) *Let  $A$  be an Artin group, and  $S$  be an orbifold as described in the following table. Then,  $A$  is a (normal) subgroup of the braid group  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_n(S))$  of the orbifold  $S$ . The third column gives the quotient group  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_n(S))/A$ .*

Artin group of type	Orbifold $S$	Quotient group	$n$
$A_{n-1}$	$\mathbb{C}$	$\langle 1 \rangle$	$n > 1$
$B_n (= C_n)$	$\mathbb{C}(1, 0)$	$\langle 1 \rangle$	$n > 1$
$D_n$	$\mathbb{C}(0, 1; 2)$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$n > 1$
$\tilde{A}_{n-1}$	$\mathbb{C}(1, 0)$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$n > 2$
$\tilde{B}_n$	$\mathbb{C}(1, 1; 2)$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$n > 2$
$\tilde{C}_n$	$\mathbb{C}(2, 0)$	$\langle 1 \rangle$	$n > 1$
$\tilde{D}_n$	$\mathbb{C}(0, 2; 2, 2)$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$n > 2$

Table 4

*Proof.* See [1] for the proof. □

The following proposition is crucial for this paper, which helps deducing some more inclusions as in the previous theorem.

**Proposition 4.1.** *There is an injective homomorphism from the orbifold braid group  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_n(\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)))$  to  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}(k-m, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)))$  for  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . That is, the braid group of  $n$  strings of  $\mathbb{C}(k, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)$  can be embedded in the braid group of  $n+m$  strings of  $\mathbb{C}(k-m, l; q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l)$ .*

*Proof.* We give a pictorial proof and also define the map on generators level.

Consider the particular case when  $k = l = m = 1$  and  $n = 5$ .

Let  $B \in \pi_1^{orb}(B_5(\mathbb{C}(1, 1; q)))$  as in the following figure. Here  $x$  is the cone point and  $p$  is the puncture. We send  $B$  to  $\bar{B}$  where the line  $p \times I$  is sent to a new string (no. 4 as in the figure) and hence  $\bar{B} \in \pi_1^{orb}(B_6(\mathbb{C}(0, 1; q)))$ .

It is easy to see that the map  $B \mapsto \bar{B}$  is an injective homomorphism.




Figure 4: Mapping a braid after filling a puncture

The orbifold braid group  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_n(\mathbb{C}(1, 1; q)))$  has the following generators,

$$X, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, P$$




Figure 5: Generators

with the relations

$$X^q = 1, XA_1XA_1 = A_1XA_1X, A_iA_{i+1}A_i = A_{i+1}A_iA_{i+1},$$

$$A_iA_j = A_jA_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1 \text{ and } PA_{n-1}PA_{n-1} = A_{n-1}PA_{n-1}P.$$

And  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}(0, 1; q)))$  has the following generators

$$\bar{X}, \bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_{n-1}, \bar{A}_n,$$




Figure 6: Generators

with the relations

$$\bar{X}^q = 1, \bar{X}\bar{A}_1\bar{X}\bar{A}_1 = \bar{A}_1\bar{X}\bar{A}_1\bar{X}, \bar{A}_i\bar{A}_{i+1}\bar{A}_i = \bar{A}_{i+1}\bar{A}_i\bar{A}_{i+1}$$

$$\text{and } \bar{A}_i\bar{A}_j = \bar{A}_j\bar{A}_i, \text{ for } |i - j| > 1.$$

See [1] for more details.

It is easy to see that the map defined above is obtained by the following map on the generator level.

$$X \mapsto \bar{X}, A_i \mapsto \bar{A}_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \text{ and } P \mapsto \bar{A}_n^2.$$

The proof in the general case is similar. □

## 5. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

In this section we give the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

We need the following lemma for some induction argument.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a locally trivial fibration of connected manifolds with fiber a 2-manifold and assume that  $\pi_2(N) = 1$ . If  $\pi_1(N) \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\pi_1(M) \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the 2-manifold fiber of the fibration. Then, we have the following short exact sequence of groups coming from the long exact sequence of homotopy groups applied to the fibration.

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(F) \longrightarrow \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_1(N) \longrightarrow 1$$

By Conditions (1) and (2),  $\pi_1(M) \in \mathcal{C}$  if  $\pi_1(N)$  is finite. Therefore, we can assume that  $\pi_1(N)$  is infinite. Let  $C \subset \pi_1(N)$  be an infinite cyclic subgroup generated by  $[\alpha] \in \pi_1(N)$ . Let  $f_\alpha$  be the monodromy homeomorphism of  $F$  corresponding

to  $\alpha$ . Then we get the following first diagram, as the mapping torus  $M_{f_\alpha}$  of  $f_\alpha$  is identified with the pullback  $\{(s, m) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times M \mid \alpha(s) = f(m)\}$  of the fibration  $f$  under  $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow N$ . The second diagram is obtained by applying the long exact homotopy sequence and its naturality on the two fibrations  $f$  and  $f|_{M_{f_\alpha}}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\pi_1(M_{f_\alpha})$  goes into  $f_*^{-1}(C)$ , which gives the third diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & 1 & & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F & \xrightarrow{id} & F & & \pi_1(F) \xrightarrow{id} \pi_1(F) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M_{f_\alpha} & \longrightarrow & M & & \pi_1(M_{f_\alpha}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(M) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_* \\
\mathbb{S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & N & & \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \pi_1(N) \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 1
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & 1 & & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi_1(F) & \xrightarrow{id} & \pi_1(F) & & \pi_1(F) \xrightarrow{id} \pi_1(F) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi_1(M_{f_\alpha}) & \longrightarrow & f_*^{-1}(C) & & \pi_1(M_{f_\alpha}) \longrightarrow f_*^{-1}(C) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & C & & \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} C \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 1
\end{array}$$

From the third commutative diagram and applying the five lemma we get that  $f_*^{-1}(C)$  is isomorphic to the fundamental group of  $M_{f_\alpha}$ , which is a 3-manifold. Hence,  $f_*^{-1}(C)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$  by Condition (2). Using Condition (5) we complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* First, note that the second half of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.1, once we prove that the braid group of  $S$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

By Condition (2), it is enough to prove that the pure braid group of  $S$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

So, let  $\Gamma_n = \pi_1(PB_n(S))$  be the pure braid group of  $S$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ .

**Case  $n = 1$ .** In this case  $\Gamma_1 \simeq \pi_1(S)$ . The proof is completed using Condition (1).

**Case  $n \geq 2$ .** Assume that we have proved the theorem for  $\Gamma_{n-1}$ . Consider the following projection to the first  $n - 1$  coordinates;  $PB_n(S) \rightarrow PB_{n-1}(S)$ . This is a locally trivial fibration with fiber  $S - \{(n - 1) \text{ points}\}$  (Theorem 2.1). By the induction hypothesis,  $\pi_1(PB_{n-1}(S)) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 5.1  $\pi_1(PB_n(S)) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

This completes the proof of the Theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** The same proof also applies to show that the fundamental group of any fiber-type hyperplane arrangement (see [19] for definition) complement in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Except for the  $\tilde{B}_n$  case, by Condition (2), it is enough to prove that the pure Artin groups of the other types belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ . We will prove the  $\tilde{B}_n$  case at the end.

First, we deduce the proof of the theorem in the  $F_4$  case.

The idea is to see that the pure Artin space of type  $F_4$  as the total space of a locally trivial fibration over another aspherical manifold, whose fundamental group belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the fiber is a 2-manifold. Then, Lemma 5.1 will be applicable.

For the rest of the section we refer to the discussion in the proof of [[5], Proposition 2].

We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $Z_n = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq 0, z_i \neq z_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ . Then,  $Z_n$  is aspherical and  $\pi_1(Z_n)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$  for all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* The proof that  $Z_n$  is aspherical follows easily by an induction argument, on taking successive projections and using the long exact sequence of homotopy groups for a fibration. (See Theorem 2.1 and note that  $Z_n = PB_n(\mathbb{C}^*)$ ).

The proof that  $\pi_1(Z_n)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ , follows from the first half of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

#### $F_4$ case.

The pure Artin group of type  $F_4$  is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the following hyperplane arrangement complement in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ .

$$PA_{F_4} = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid y_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } i; y_i \pm y_j \neq 0 \text{ for } i \neq j; \\ y_1 \pm y_2 \pm y_3 \pm y_4 \neq 0\}.$$

The signs above appear in arbitrary combinations. Next, consider the map  $PA_{F_4} \rightarrow Z_3$  defined by the following formula.

$$z_i = y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 (y_4^2 - y_i^2).$$

This map is a locally trivial fibration, with fiber a 2-manifold. Hence, by Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2,  $PA_{F_4}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

#### $I_2(p)$ -case.

First, note that this is a rank 2 case. Therefore, the pure Artin group of type  $I_2(p)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ , by the following more general statement.

**Lemma 5.3.** *The fundamental group of any hyperplane arrangement complement in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed to show that, in fact, any rank 2 hyperplane arrangement is a fiber-type arrangement. See Remark 5.1.

If there is only one (complex) line in the arrangement, then the complement has infinite cyclic fundamental group, hence there is nothing to prove in this case. So, assume that the arrangement has  $n$  lines, for  $n \geq 2$ . After a linear change of coordinates it is easy to make the equations of the lines look like the following.

$$x = 0, y = 0, y - c_1 x = 0, y - c_2 x = 0, \dots, y - c_{n-2} x = 0.$$

Where,  $c_i$ 's are distinct non-zero constants. Next, one just constructs a map (in fact a coordinate projection), from the arrangement complement to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , which is a locally trivial fibration with fiber  $\mathbb{C} - \{(n-1) \text{ points}\}$ . Then, applying Lemma 5.1 we complete the proof.  $\square$

#### $G_2$ -case.

Since  $G_2 = I_2(6)$ , the proof of the theorem is completed in this case.

#### $G(de, e, r)$ -case.

For the proof of the theorem in the  $G(de, e, r)$ -case we refer to Remark 2.1. In ([7], Proposition 4.1]) it is shown that  $\mathcal{A}_{G(de, e, r)}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}_{B_r}$ . (In [7],

these are called braid groups associated to the reflection groups). Therefore, using Condition (2) and the  $B_n$  case of Theorem 3.1,  $\mathcal{A}_{G(de,e,r)} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**$D_n$ -case.**

Again, the reference for this discussion is [[5], Proposition 2].

As this proof goes in the same line, as the proof of the  $F_4$  case, we just point out the differences. First, note that the pure Artin space of type  $D_n$  has the following form.

$$PA_{D_n} = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid y_i \pm y_j \neq 0 \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

Next, the map  $PA_{D_n} \rightarrow Z_{n-1}$  defined by  $z_i = y_n^2 - y_i^2$  is a locally trivial fibration. The proof now follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2.

**$\tilde{B}_n$ -case.**

By Theorem 4.1 the Artin group of type  $\tilde{B}_n$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_n(\mathbb{C}(1, 1; 2)))$  and by Proposition 4.1,  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_n(\mathbb{C}(1, 1; 2)))$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}(0, 1; 2)))$ . On the other hand again by Theorem 4.1,  $\pi_1^{orb}(B_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}(0, 1; 2)))$  contains the Artin group of type  $D_{n+1}$  as a subgroup of index 2. Therefore, using Condition (2) and the above  $D_n$ -case we complete the proof. The flow of the argument is given in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\
 & & & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}_n} & \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 3.1}} & \pi_1^{orb}(B_n(\mathbb{C}(1, 1; 2))) & \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3.1}} & \pi_1^{orb}(B_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}(0, 1; 2))) & \uparrow \\
 & & & & \text{Theorem 3.1} \\
 & & & & \mathcal{A}_{D_{n+1}}
 \end{array}$$

□

## 6. COMPUTATION OF THE SURGERY GROUPS

This section is devoted to some application related to computation of the  $L$ -theory of some of the discrete groups considered in this paper.

Since, the finite type pure Artin groups are torsion free, Corollary 1.2 is applicable to the finite type pure Artin groups considered in Theorem 1.1. A parallel to this  $K$ -theory vanishing result is the computation of the  $L$ -theory.

For finite groups, the computation of the  $L$ -theory is well established ([16]). The infinite groups case needs different techniques and is difficult, even when we have the isomorphism of the  $L$ -theory assembly map. For a survey on known results and techniques, on computation of surgery groups for infinite groups, see [28].

In the case of classical pure braid group, we did the computation in [29]. Here, we extend it to the pure Artin groups of the finite type Artin groups considered in Theorem 1.1.

The main idea behind the computation is the following lemma on the homotopy type of the first suspension of a hyperplane arrangement complement. This lemma was stated and proved in [29], in the case of hyperplane arrangements. We recall the proof here with some more elaboration.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The first suspension  $\Sigma(\mathbb{C}^n - \cup_{j=1}^N A_j)$  of the complement of a hyperplane arrangement  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , is homotopically equivalent to the wedge of spheres  $\vee_{j=1}^N S_j$ , where  $S_j$  is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .*

*Proof.* In [[31], (2) of Proposition 8], it is proved that  $\Sigma(\mathbb{C}^n - \cup_{j=1}^N A_j)$  is homotopically equivalent to the following space.

$$\Sigma(\vee_{p \in P} (\mathbb{S}^{2n-d(p)-1} - \Delta P_{<p})).$$

We recall the notations in the above display from [[31], p. 464].  $P$  is in bijection with  $\mathcal{A}$  under a map  $f$  (say). Also,  $P$  is partially ordered by the rule that  $p < q$  for  $p, q \in P$ , if  $f(q)$  is a subspace of  $f(p)$ . (Recall that, in [31],  $\mathcal{A}$  was, more generally, an arrangement of affine linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .)  $\Delta P$  is a simplicial complex, whose vertex set is  $P$  and chains in  $P$  define simplices.  $\Delta P_{<p}$  is the subcomplex of  $\Delta P$  consisting of all  $q \in P$ , so that  $q < p$ .  $d(p)$  denotes the dimension of  $f(p)$ . The construction of the embedding of  $\Delta P_{<p}$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{2n-d(p)-1}$  is also a part of [[31], Proposition 8].

Now, in the situation of the Lemma, obviously,  $d(p) = 2n - 2$  and  $\Delta P_{<p} = \emptyset$ , for all  $p \in P$ . Finally, note that the suspension of a wedge of spaces is homotopically equivalent to the wedge of the suspensions of the spaces. This completes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

We need one more result to prove Theorem 3.4.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$  be a hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Assume that the two assembly maps  $A_K$  and  $A_L$  in the Introduction are isomorphisms, for the group  $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n - \cup_{j=1}^N A_j)$ . Then, the surgery groups of  $\Gamma$  are given by the following.*

$$L_i(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}^N & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2^N & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 6.1, the proof is same as the proof of [[29], Theorem 2.2]. We recall the main ideas behind the proof. First, by Lemma 6.1, the first suspension of  $\mathbb{C}^n - \cup_{j=1}^N A_j = X$  (say) is homotopically equivalent to the wedge of  $N$  many 2-spheres. Hence, any generalized homology theory  $h_*$ , applied over  $X$  satisfies the following equation.

$$h_i(X) = h_i(*) \oplus h_{i-2}(*)^N.$$

Where  $*$  denotes a single point space. The proof is now completed using the following known computation of the surgery groups of the trivial group, and using the fact that surgery groups are 4-periodic. That is,  $L_i(\Gamma) \simeq L_{i+4}(\Gamma)$  for any group  $\Gamma$ .

$$L_i(\langle 1 \rangle) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

$\square$

Now, we are in a position to prove Theorem 3.4.

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* Note that, the pure Artin groups of the finite type Artin groups are torsion free, since they are fundamental groups of finite dimensional aspherical hyperplane arrangement complements in some complex space. See Remark 3.3. Hence, by Corollary 1.1 the assembly map  $A_L$  is an isomorphism for these hyperplane arrangement complements.

$\mathcal{PA} =$ pure Artin group type	Reflecting hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^n = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \mid z_i \in \mathbb{C}\}$	Number of reflecting hyperplanes
$A_{n-1}$	$z_i = z_j$ for $i \neq j$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
$B_n (= C_n)$	$z_i = 0$ for all $i$ ; $z_i = z_j, z_i = -z_j$ for $i \neq j$	$n^2$
$D_n$	$z_i = z_j, z_i = -z_j$ for $i \neq j$	$n(n-1)$
$F_4$	$n = 4$ ; $z_i = 0$ for all $i$ ; $z_i = z_j, z_i = -z_j$ for $i \neq j$ ; $z_1 \pm z_2 \pm z_3 \pm z_4 = 0$	24
$I_2(p)$	$n = 2$ ; roots are in one-to-one correspondence with the lines of symmetries of a regular $p$ -gon in $\mathbb{R}^2$ . See [[18], page 4]. Hence $p$ hyperplanes.	$p$
$G_2 = I_2(6)$		6

Table 5

Therefore, by Proposition 6.1 together with the calculation in Table 5 of the number of hyperplanes associated to the finite Coxeter groups we complete the proof of the theorem. For different cases, the number of hyperplanes is given in Table 5. We refer the reader to [5], for the equations of the hyperplanes given in the table. Or see [[18], p. 41-43] for a complete root structure.  $\square$

## 7. APPENDIX: THE ISOMORPHISM CONJECTURE AND RELATED BASIC RESULTS

In this section, we recall the statement of the Farrell-Jones fibered isomorphism conjecture, and related basic results. We state the original version of the conjecture for clarity of exposition, and also as we will be using it for some explicit computation.

Before getting into the technical formulation of the conjecture, we mention here that the conjecture says; if we can compute the  $K$ - and  $L$ -theory of all the virtually cyclic subgroups of a group, then the respective theory can be computed for the group also.

The following version of the conjecture is taken from [13]. The primary source is [12].

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be one of the following three functors from the category of topological spaces to the category of spectra: (a) the stable topological pseudo-isotopy functor  $\mathcal{P}()$ ; (b) the algebraic  $K$ -theory functor  $\mathcal{K}()$ ; and (c) the  $L$ -theory functor  $\mathcal{L}^{-\infty}()$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the category of continuous surjective maps. The objects of  $\mathcal{M}$  are continuous surjective maps  $p : E \rightarrow B$  between topological spaces  $E$  and  $B$ . And a morphism between two maps  $p : E_1 \rightarrow B_1$  and  $q : E_2 \rightarrow B_2$  is a pair of continuous maps  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2, g : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ , so that the following diagram commutes.



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & E_2 \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\
B_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & B_2.
\end{array}$$

There is a functor defined by Quinn ([20]), from  $\mathcal{M}$  to the category of  $\Omega$ -spectra, which associates to the map  $p : E \rightarrow B$  the spectrum  $\mathbb{H}(B, \mathcal{S}(p))$ , with the property that  $\mathbb{H}(B, \mathcal{S}(p)) = \mathcal{S}(E)$ , when  $B$  is a single point. For an explanation of  $\mathbb{H}(B, \mathcal{S}(p))$  see [[12], Section 1.4]. Also, the map  $\mathbb{H}(B, \mathcal{S}(p)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(E)$  induced by the morphism:  $\text{id} : E \rightarrow E$ ;  $B \rightarrow *$  in the category  $\mathcal{M}$ , is called the *Quinn assembly map*.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\Gamma$ -space, which is universal for the class of all virtually cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , and denote  $\mathcal{E}/\Gamma$  by  $\mathcal{B}$ . For definition of universal space see [[12], Appendix]. Let  $X$  be a space on which  $\Gamma$  acts freely and properly discontinuously, and  $p : X \times_{\Gamma} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/\Gamma = \mathcal{B}$  be the map induced by the projection onto the second factor of  $X \times \mathcal{E}$ .

The *fibered isomorphism conjecture* states that the map

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S}(p)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X \times_{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{S}(X/\Gamma)$$

is a (weak) equivalence of spectra. The equality is induced by the map  $X \times_{\Gamma} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ , and using the fact that  $\mathcal{S}$  is homotopy invariant.

Let  $Y$  be a connected *CW*-complex, and  $\Gamma = \pi_1(Y)$ . Let  $X$  be the universal cover  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y$ , and the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X$  is the action by a group of covering transformations. If we take an aspherical *CW*-complex  $Y'$  with  $\Gamma = \pi_1(Y')$ , and  $X$  is the universal cover  $\tilde{Y}'$  of  $Y'$ , then, by [[12], corollary 2.2.1], if the fibered isomorphism conjecture is true for the space  $\tilde{Y}'$ , it is true for  $\tilde{Y}$  also. Thus, whenever we say that the fibered isomorphism conjecture is true for a discrete group  $\Gamma$ , we mean it is true for the Eilenberg-MacLane space  $K(\Gamma, 1)$  and the functor  $\mathcal{S}()$ .

The main advantage of the fibered isomorphism conjecture is that it has hereditary property. That is, if the conjecture is true for a group, then it is true for any of its subgroup also. The wreath product version of the fibered isomorphism conjecture was defined in [22], and has several advantages. For example, it passes to finite index overgroups.

**Remark 7.1.** We remark here that the proofs of our results on the fibered isomorphism conjecture are still valid, without any modification, for the general version of the conjecture as stated in [3], that is, the Farrell-Jones conjecture with coefficients in additive categories.

Therefore, in this article we use the following definition of the FICwF.

**Definition 7.1.** ([22], Definition 2.1], [10]) A group  $\Gamma$  is said to satisfy the *isomorphism conjecture with coefficients and finite wreath product*, or in short *FICwF* is satisfied for  $\Gamma$ , if the isomorphism conjecture with coefficients for the  $K$ ,  $L$  and  $A$ -theory functors are true for the wreath product  $G \wr F$ , for any finite group  $F$ .

Note that, the fibered isomorphism conjecture is true for all virtually cyclic groups, and hence for all finite groups. The FICwF is also true for all virtually cyclic groups, but this needs a proof of the fibered isomorphism conjecture for the fundamental groups of closed flat manifolds, which is true by Theorem 7.1 below. Also, see [[14], Fact 3.1].

Now, we state a series of lemmas to recall some of the basic results in this area. These results have already been used widely in the literature, so we give some backgrounds, the statements and refer the reader to the original sources. See Theorem 1.1 in [10] for the proofs of the Lemmas in the  $A$ -theory case.

We begin with an obvious consequence of the hereditary property of the fibered version of the conjecture.

**Lemma 7.1.** *If the FICwF is true for a group  $G$ , then the FICwF is true for any subgroup of  $G$  also.*

The following (inverse) lemma is an important basic result in this subject. This lemma helps in induction steps, for proving the conjecture in several new classes of groups. In its original form (where  $V$  was assumed to be virtually cyclic) it appeared in [[12], Proposition 2.2]. The following improved version was first proved in [[25], Lemma 3.4] (also see [[28], Proposition 3.5.2] or [[24], Proposition 5.2]), and it makes induction steps easier to deal with.

**Lemma 7.2.** *([[25], Lemma 3.4] or [[26], Lemma 2.3]) Consider a surjective homomorphism of groups  $f : G \rightarrow H$ , with kernel  $K$ . Assume that the FICwF is true for  $K$ ,  $H$  and for  $f^{-1}(V)$ , for any infinite cyclic subgroup  $V$  of  $H$ . Then the FICwF is true for  $G$ .*

Now, we exhibit the special property of the FICwF. This property helps in deducing the conjecture for a group, if the group is commensurable with another group for which the FICwF is already known. This fact was very crucial in the proof of the conjecture for 3-manifold groups ([22] and [23]) and for classical braid groups ([14] and [29]), among other applications.

**Lemma 7.3.** *([Lemma 3.4, [25]] or [Lemma 2.3, [26]]) Let  $G$  be a finite index subgroup of a group  $K$ , and assume that the FICwF is true for  $G$ . Then, the FICwF is true for  $K$ .*

Lemma 7.3 also follows from Lemma 7.2 by taking  $H$  to be finite.

Filtering and then taking limit type argument was used in [21], in the context of proving the isomorphism of the classical  $L$ -theory assembly map for 3-manifold groups, which has infinite first homology. The following lemma gives the necessary tool for its application in the fibered version of the isomorphism conjecture. The above argument was another crucial ingredient in the proof of the FICwF for 3-manifold groups.

**Lemma 7.4.** *([Theorem 7.1, [13]]) Let  $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a directed system of groups and assume that the FICwF is true for each  $G_i$ , then the FICwF is true for the direct limit  $\lim_{i \in I} G_i$  also.*

Next, we do a little generalization of some existing results.

**Lemma 7.5.** *The FICwF is true for the orbifold fundamental group of any 2-dimensional orbifold and of any good 3-dimensional orbifold.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a 2-dimensional orbifold. Since the FICwF is true for finite groups, we can assume that  $\pi_1^{orb}(S)$  is infinite. Furthermore, we can also assume that  $\pi_1^{orb}(S)$  is finitely generated by Lemma 7.4 and the fact that any subgroup of  $\pi_1^{orb}(S)$  can be realized by an orbifold covering space of  $S$  ([6], Proposition 2.4.7). Therefore, by [[30], Theorem 1.1],  $S$  is good and hence, by [[30], Remark 1.1], has

a finite sheeted orbifold covering  $\tilde{S}$ , which is a manifold. Clearly,  $\tilde{S}$  has infinite fundamental group. Now, note that a 2-manifold with infinite fundamental group either has free fundamental group, or is a closed 2-manifold of genus  $\geq 1$ .

In the second case of a good 3-dimensional orbifold, the orbifold fundamental group has got a finite index 3-manifold subgroup ([30], Lemma 2.2).

So, using Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4 we have to show that the FICwF is true for finitely generated free groups, fundamental group of closed 2-manifold of genus  $\geq 1$ , and for 3-manifold groups. In the first case, the group can be embedded into the fundamental group of a closed 2-manifold of genus  $\geq 1$ . Now, since a closed 2-manifold of genus  $\geq 1$  supports a non-positively curved Riemannian metric, using the following result and Lemma 7.1, we are left with the 3-manifold groups case only.

**Theorem 7.1.** *The FICwF is true for the fundamental groups of closed non-positively curved Riemannian manifolds.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be the fundamental group of a closed nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold.  $L$ -theory and lower  $K$ -theory case of the fibered isomorphism conjecture for  $G$  was proved in [3]. The lower  $K$ -theory case was extended to all of  $K$ -theory in [35]. The FICwF for  $L$ -theory and  $K$ -theory can then be deduced from [[29], Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.1].  $\square$

Now, to complete the proof of Lemma 7.5 we need to consider the 3-manifold groups case. For this, we apply [[27], Theorem 2.1] in the  $L$ -theory case. The  $K$ -theory case is the combination of [[25], Theorem 2.2], [35] and [3].  $\square$

**Remark 7.2.** We remark here that the proof of Lemma 7.5 also shows that the orbifold fundamental groups of the orbifolds appearing in Lemma 7.5 belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We conclude with the following easy lemma.

**Lemma 7.6.** *([[22], Lemma 5.1]) If the FICwF is true for two groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , then the FICwF is true for the direct product  $G_1 \times G_2$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that both the groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are infinite, since if one of  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  is finite then Lemma 7.3 applies. Next, applying Lemma 7.2 twice, to the two projections of the direct product  $G_1 \times G_2$ , it is enough to prove that the FICwF is true for the free abelian group on two generators. But, a two generator free abelian group is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a flat torus. Hence, Theorem 7.1 is applicable here. This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 7.3.** For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we do not need the full strength of Lemma 7.5 in the 3-manifold case. We only need the fact that the FICwF is true for the fundamental group of the mapping torus of a non-compact 2-manifold. In this particular case, one just need to use the proof of [[29], Lemma 3.4], which in turn uses Theorem 7.1. We remark that, Theorem 1.1 follows for the pseudoisotopy version of the conjecture also using [10].

We end with the following problem.

**Problem.** Show that the orbifold braid group of any 2-dimensional good orbifold whose universal cover is contractible, belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ . In particular, this will prove that the FICwF is true for the affine Artin group of type  $\tilde{D}_n$ . See Theorem 4.1. Note that, the pure braid space of such a 2-dimensional good orbifold is again a

good orbifold. But it is not yet known if the universal cover of this pure braid space is contractible. Interesting simple case to prove this will be  $\mathbb{C}(0, 2; 2, 2)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Allcock, Braid pictures of Artin groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), no. 9, 3455-3474.
- [2] A. Bartels and M. Bestvina, The Farrell-Jones Conjecture for mapping class groups, *Invent. Math.* (to appear).
- [3] A. Bartels and W. Lück, The Borel conjecture for hyperbolic and  $CAT(0)$  groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 175 (2012), 631-689.
- [4] E. Brieskorn, Die Fundamentalgruppe des Raumes der regulären Orbits einer endlichen komplexen Spiegelungsgruppe, *Invent. Math.* 12 (1971), 57-61.
- [5] E. Brieskorn, Sur les groupes de tresses [d'après V.I. Arnol'd] Séminaire Bourbaki, 24ème année (1971/1972), Exp. No. 401, pp.21-44. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol 317, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [6] W. Chen, On a notion of maps between orbifolds. II. Homotopy and CW-complex, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 8 (2006), no. 6, 763-821.
- [7] R. Corran, E. Lee and S. Lee, Braid groups of imprimitive complex reflection groups, *J. Algebra* 427 (2015), 387-425.
- [8] H. S. M. Coxeter, The complete enumeration of finite groups of the form  $R_i^2 = (R_i R_j)^{k_{ij}} = 1$ , *Jour. London Math. Soc.* 10 (1935), 21-25.
- [9] P. Deligne, Les immeubles des groupes de tresses généralisés, *Invent. Math.* 17 (1972), 273-302.
- [10] Nils-Edvin Enkelmann, Wolfgang Lück, Malte Pieper, Mark Ullmann and Christoph Wings, On the Farrell-Jones Conjecture for Waldhausen's A-theory, *Geom. Topol.* 22 (2018), no. 6, 3321-3394.
- [11] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, Configuration spaces, *Math. Scand.* 10 (1962) 111-118.
- [12] F. T. Farrell and L. E. Jones, Isomorphism conjectures in algebraic K-theory, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6 (1993), 249-297.
- [13] F. T. Farrell and P. A. Linnell, K-Theory of solvable groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 87 (2003), 309-336.
- [14] F. T. Farrell and S. K. Roushon, The Whitehead groups of braid groups vanish, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, no. 10 (2000), 515-526.
- [15] F. A. Garside, The braid group and other groups, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 20 (1969) 235-254.
- [16] I. Hambleton and L. R. Taylor, A guide to the calculation of surgery obstruction groups for finite groups, *Surveys on surgery theory*, Vol 1, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 225-274.
- [17] W.-c. Hsiang, Geometric applications of algebraic K-theory, *Proc. Internat. Congress Math. Warsaw*, vol 1 (1983), 99-118.
- [18] J. E. Humphreys, Reflection groups and Coxeter groups, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 29, Cambridge University Press.
- [19] P. Orlik and H. Terao, Arrangements of Hyperplanes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1992.
- [20] F. Quinn, Ends of maps. II, *Invent. Math.* 68 (1982), 353-424
- [21] S. K. Roushon, L-theory of 3-manifolds with non-vanishing first Betti number, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* no. 3. (2000) 107-113.
- [22] S. K. Roushon, The Farrell-Jones isomorphism conjecture for 3-manifold groups, *J. K-Theory* 1 (2008), 49-82.
- [23] S. K. Roushon, The isomorphism conjecture for 3-manifold groups and K-theory of virtually poly-surface groups, *J. K-Theory* 1 (2008) 83-93.
- [24] S. K. Roushon, On the isomorphism conjecture for groups acting on trees, arXiv:math/0510297v6.
- [25] S. K. Roushon, Algebraic K-theory of groups wreath product with finite groups, *Topology Appl.* 154 (2007), 1921-1930.
- [26] S. K. Roushon, The isomorphism conjecture in L-theory: graphs of groups, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 14 (2012), no. 1, 1-17.
- [27] S. K. Roushon, Vanishing structure set of 3-manifolds, *Topology Appl.* 158 (2011), 810-812.
- [28] S. K. Roushon, The isomorphism conjecture for groups with generalized free product structure, *Handbook of Group Actions*, Vol II, ALM 32 (2014), 77-119. Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing-Boston.

- [29] S. K. Roushon, Surgery groups of the fundamental groups of hyperplane arrangement complements, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 96 (2011) no. 5, 491-500.
- [30] S. K. Roushon, A sufficient condition for a 2-dimensional orbifold to be good, arXiv:1811.03266.
- [31] Ch. Schaper, Suspensions of affine arrangements. *Math. Ann.* 309 (1997) no. 3, 463-473.
- [32] J. Shaneson, Wall's surgery obstruction groups for  $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ , *Ann. Math.* 90 no.2 (1969), 296-334.
- [33] G.C. Shephard and J.A. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups, *Canad. J. Math.* 25 (1954), 274-304.
- [34] W. P. Thurston, Three-dimensional Geometry & Topology, December 1991 version, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Notes, Berkeley, California.
- [35] C. Wegner, The  $K$ -theoretic Farrell-Jones conjecture for  $CAT(0)$ -groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140 (2012), 779-793.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

*E-mail address:* roushon@math.tifr.res.in

*URL:* <http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~roushton/>

