

# Cooperative Transmission and Probabilistic Caching for Clustered D2D Networks

Ramy Amer<sup>\*</sup>, Hesham ElSawy<sup>†</sup>, Jacek Kibilda<sup>\*</sup>, M. Majid Butt<sup>\*‡</sup>, Nicola Marchetti<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>CONNECT, Trinity College, University of Dublin, Ireland

<sup>†</sup>King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals (KFUPM)

<sup>‡</sup>Nokia Bell Labs, France

email:{ramyr, majid.butt, kibildj, nicola.marchetti}@tcd.ie, hesham.elsawy@kfupm.edu.sa

**Abstract**—In this paper, we aim at maximizing the cache offloading gain for a clustered device-to-device (D2D) caching network by exploiting probabilistic caching and cooperative transmission among the cluster devices. Devices with surplus memory probabilistically cache a content from a known library. A requested content is either brought from the device’s local cache, cooperatively transmitted from catering devices, or downloaded from the macro base station as a last resort. Using stochastic geometry, we derive a closed-form expression for the offloading gain and formulate the offloading maximization problem. In order to simplify the objective function and obtain analytically tractable expressions, we derive a lower bound on the offloading gain, for which a suboptimal solution is obtained when considering a special case. Results reveal that the obtained suboptimal solution can achieve up to 12% increase in the offloading gain compared to the Zipf’s caching technique. Besides, we show that the spatial scaling parameters of the network, e.g., density of clusters and distance between devices in the same cluster, play a crucial role in identifying the tradeoff between the content diversity gain and the cooperative transmission gain.

**Index Terms**—D2D communication, caching, clustered-process, CoMP.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The deployment of low power base stations (BSs) such as micro-, pico-, and femto-BSs provide short-range communication links and results in a higher density of spatial reuse of radio resources and thus in higher overall network throughput. However, deploying such a dense heterogeneous network comes with its own challenges. One such a challenge is the deployment cost associated with connecting all the small cells to the backbone with fast links. Motivated by this, caching finite popular files at mobile devices or access points in advance is considered a promising technique to relieve the overloaded network traffic. We are here particularly interested in device caching and D2D communication.

The architecture of device caching exploits the large storage available in modern smartphones to cache multimedia files that might be highly demanded by the devices. Devices can exchange multimedia content stored in their local storage with nearby devices [1]–[4]. Since the distance between a requesting device and a device that stores the requested file, called catering device, is small in most cases, D2D communication is commonly used for content transmission [1]. As more than one device might cache the same content, the signal-to-interference-plus-noise-ratio (SINR) can be improved by joint

transmission of the same cached content, which is denoted as cooperative transmission, e.g., via coordinated multi-point (CoMP) transmission.

The application of wireless caching along with CoMP transmission, where BSs (or devices) cooperatively serve a content, is widely adopted in literature [5]–[9]. For example, a cache-aided transmission scheme for downlink CoMP with limited local cache resources at the BS is proposed in [6] to improve the outage performance. The content is divided into two sets, a popular set and a less popular set. Based on this heterogeneity in file popularity, a cache placement strategy that minimizes the average outage probability is derived. In [7], the authors propose to combine distributed caching of content in small cells and cooperative transmissions from nearby BSs to achieve content delivery speeds while reducing backhaul cost and delay. In particular, it is reported that the optimal caching strategy tends to either cache different content to maximize the hit ratio, or cache the same content, albeit in multiple BSs to achieve multiplexing gains. In general, caching at the BSs or mobile devices with CoMP transmission reveals a tradeoff between content diversity gain, when caching and serving diverse content, and cooperative transmission gain, i.e., joint transmissions of the same content from multiple devices/caches [10]–[12].

Compared with the above existing works, the scope of the current paper is to investigate and maximize the cache offloading gain for a clustered D2D caching network. The devices are spatially clustered according to a Thomas cluster process (TCP), and have unused memory to cache some files following a random probabilistic caching scheme. Our network model effectively captures the stochastic nature of channel fading and the clustering nature of devices, which is not addressed yet in the literature, particularly in the context of caching and CoMP transmission. We formulate the offloading gain maximization problem, and a lower bound is then obtained, which is tractable. Based on the obtained lower bound, a closed-form suboptimal caching solution is obtained for the offloading maximization problem. Simulation results show considerable improvement in the offloading gain as compared to other benchmark caching techniques.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II and Section III present the system model and the offloading gain characterization, respectively. The rate analysis is introduced in Section IV and the suboptimal caching probability is

obtained in Section V. Numerical results are then presented in Section VI, and Section VII concludes the paper.

## II. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider a wireless caching network, where the mobile devices are randomly deployed and jointly transmit their cached content to serve a device. The set of locations of the devices  $\Phi$  is modelled as a TCP, wherein the parent points are drawn from a homogeneous Poisson point process (PPP)  $\Phi_p$  with density  $\lambda_p$ , and the offspring points are independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) around each parent point [13]. We will refer to the parent points and offspring as cluster centers and cluster members, respectively. Following [14, Definition 3.5], the locations of cluster members around a cluster center at  $x \in \Phi_p$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , are sampled from a normal distribution with variance  $\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  forming a Gaussian PPP, denoted as  $\Phi_c$ . Therefore, the density function of the location of a cluster member relative to its cluster center is

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\|y\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm. Finally, if  $\bar{n}$  denotes the mean number of members per cluster, the intensity of the process  $\Phi$  is  $\lambda = \bar{n}\lambda_p$  while the intensity of Gaussian PPP  $\Phi_c$  is given by  $\lambda_c(y) = \bar{n}f_Y(y)$ .

We consider out-of-band D2D communication whereby there is no cross-interference between the cellular network and D2D communication. All devices are equipped with a single transmit-receive isotropic antenna and they have no channel state information (CSI) from the device they are sending their content to. Furthermore, each D2D transmission uses all the available bandwidth, and the transmitted signals experience single-slope path loss with attenuation exponent  $\alpha > 2$  and the power fading, which we model as i.i.d. complex Gaussian random variable (RV) with zero mean and unit variance.

### A. Content Popularity and Probabilistic Caching Placement

We assume that each device has a surplus memory of size  $M$  files designated for caching content from a known file library  $\mathcal{F}$ . The total number of files is  $N_f > M$  and the set of content indices is denoted as  $\mathcal{F} = \{1, 2, \dots, N_f\}$ . These  $N_f$  files represent the content catalog that all the devices in a cell may request, which are indexed in a descending order of popularity. The probability that the  $m$ -th file is requested follows a Zipf distribution given by

$$q_m = \left(m^\beta \sum_{k=1}^{N_f} k^{-\beta}\right)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where  $q_m$  represents the probability of having the  $m$ -th file requested, and  $\beta$  is a parameter reflecting how skewed the popularity distribution is. Indeed, the lower indexed content has higher popularity, and by definition,  $\sum_{m=1}^{N_f} q_m = 1$ . It is also assumed that content of interest to the devices might be different across clusters. Therefore, we use Zipf distribution to model the popularity of files per cluster.

We adopt a random content placement where each file  $m$  is cached independently at each device according to the probability  $c_m$ , such that  $0 \leq c_m \leq 1$  for all  $m = \{1, \dots, N_f\}$ . To avoid duplicate caching of the same file within the memory of the same device, we follow the caching approach proposed in [15], which requires that  $\sum_{m=1}^{N_f} c_m = M$ . Notice that devices caching content  $m$  in a given cluster can be modeled as an Gaussian PPP  $\Phi_{cm}$  with the intensity function given by the independent thinning theorem as  $\lambda_{cm}(y) = c_m\lambda_c(y)$  [14].

## III. MAXIMUM OFFLOADING GAIN

In this section, we present the proposed probabilistic caching system with cooperative transmission. We adopt D2D communication between cluster members to share files among each other. We also introduce the offloading gain as our key performance metric, which is the probability that a device obtains a desired content either from the local cache or via D2D communication, at a rate that is at least  $\rho$  bits/sec/Hz.

Our cooperative caching scheme works as follows. If a device requires the  $m$ -th file, first, it searches for the file in its own internal memory. If the requested file is cached in the internal memory, no D2D link is scheduled for that file. However, if the requested file is not cached in the device's memory, the file can be downloaded via CoMP transmission from all neighboring devices that cache the file in the same cluster, henceforth called catering devices. If the content is not cached entirely in its own cluster, the requesting device requests a file download from the network via the nearest BS.

Given stationarity of the parent process and independence of the offspring process, we can conduct our analysis for the representative cluster, which is an arbitrary cluster whose center is located at  $x_0 \in \Phi_p$ , and the typical device, which is a randomly selected member of the representative cluster and requests the content. Without loss of generality, we assume the typical device is located in the origin  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . When the catering devices jointly transmit the same content  $m$ , the signal received at the typical device consists of two main components: the desired signal, which is the joint non-coherent transmission from the catering devices in the representative cluster, and the interference, which is created by other active D2D links in clusters other than the representative cluster, henceforth called remote clusters. This can be formally denoted as

$$y_m = \underbrace{\sum_{y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}} \sqrt{\gamma_d} G_{y_0} \|x_0 + y_0\|^{-\alpha/2} s_{y_0}}_{\text{desired signal}} + \underbrace{\sum_{x \in \Phi_p^1} \sum_{y \in \Phi_{ca}} \sqrt{\gamma_d} G_y \|x + y\|^{-\alpha/2} s_y + z}_{\text{interference}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}$  represents the set of catering devices for content  $m$ ,  $G_{y_0}$  denotes the power fading between a catering device at  $y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}$  relative to its cluster center at  $x_0$  and the typical device, see Fig. 1,  $\gamma_d$  denotes the D2D transmission power, and  $s_{y_0}$  is the symbol jointly transmitted by the catering devices  $y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}$ .  $\Phi_p^1 = \Phi_p \setminus \{x_0\}$  denotes the set of remote clusters,  $s_y$  is the symbol transmitted by device in  $y$ , and




Fig. 1. Illustration of the representative cluster and one interfering cluster.

$\Phi_{ca} \subseteq \Phi_c$  represents the set of transmitting devices in a remote cluster centered around  $x \in \Phi_p^1$ .  $z$  denotes the standard additive white Gaussian noise and  $G_y$  denotes the power fading between a potential interfering device at  $y$  relative to its cluster center at  $x$  and the typical device, see Fig. 1.

We focus on the interference-limited scenario with the effect of the thermal noise disregarded. Assuming unit power Gaussian symbols and treating interference as noise, the received signal-to-interference-ratio (SIR) at the typical receiver when downloading content  $m$  is given by

$$\text{SIR}_m = \frac{\gamma_d \left| \sum_{y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}} G_{y_0} \|x_0 + y_0\|^{-\alpha/2} \right|^2}{I_m} \quad (4)$$

where  $I_m$  is the sum of interfering signal power associated with the downloading of content  $m$ , given by

$$I_m = \sum_{x \in \Phi_p^1} \sum_{y \in \Phi_{ca}} \gamma_d G_y \|x + y\|^{-\alpha} \quad (5)$$

Accordingly, the instantaneous achievable data rate when downloading content  $m$  cooperatively from all the catering devices can be defined as

$$R_m = \log_2 [1 + \text{SIR}_m], \quad (6)$$

in bits/sec/Hz. It is assumed that CoMP transmission is adopted among all clusters, i.e.,  $\Phi_{ca}$  represents the set of active transmitters in the cluster centered at  $x$ . To simplify the mathematical analysis, we consider the worst case interference scenario when all the remote clusters' devices transmit a certain file, i.e., when  $\Phi_{ca} \rightarrow \Phi_c$ . For notational simplicity, we henceforth drop the superscript  $m$  from the interference.

Now, we are ready to introduce an expression for the offloading gain at the typical receiver as

$$\mathbb{P}_o(c) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_f} q_m \left( c_m + (1 - c_m) \mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho) \right) \quad (7)$$

#### IV. RATE ANALYSIS

In this section, we conduct the achievable rate analysis to obtain the probability  $\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)$ . The probability that the

achievable rate when downloading the content  $m$  via CoMP transmission is larger than  $\rho$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho) &= \mathbb{P}(\log_2 [1 + \text{SIR}_m] > \rho) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\text{SIR}_m > 2^\rho - 1) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{\gamma_d \left| \sum_{y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}} G_{y_0} \|x_0 + y_0\|^{-\alpha/2} \right|^2}{I} \geq \theta \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $\theta = 2^\rho - 1$ . Since  $G_{y_0}$  are i.i.d. and  $\sim \mathcal{CN}(0, 1)$ , we have  $\left| \sum_{y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}} \|x_0 + y_0\|^{-\alpha/2} G_{y_0} \right|^2 \sim \exp \left( \frac{1}{\sum_{y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}} \|x_0 + y_0\|^{-\alpha}} \right)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( - \frac{\theta I}{\gamma_d S_{\Phi_{cm}}} \right) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathcal{L}_I \left( t = \frac{\theta}{\gamma_d s_m} \right) \middle| S_{\Phi_{cm}} = s_m \right] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $S_{\Phi_{cm}} = \sum_{y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}} \|x_0 + y_0\|^{-\alpha}$  is a random variable that can be physically interpreted as the signal power from the catering devices  $y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}$  subject to path-attenuation only (as we already averaged over the fading), assuming normalized power, and (a) is the Laplace transform of the inter-cluster interference evaluated at  $t = \frac{\theta}{\gamma_d s_m}$ .

In the ensuing subsections, we first derive the Laplace transform of the inter-cluster interference to obtain  $\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)$ . Then, we propose an upper bound on the interference to simplify the calculation of  $\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)$ , and correspondingly, the offloading gain. The Laplace transform of the inter-cluster interference is presented in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *The Laplace transform of the inter-cluster interference, conditioned on the realization of the transmitters in the representative cluster  $\Phi_{cm}$  serving content  $m$  is expressed as*

$$\mathcal{L}_I(t) = \exp \left( - 2\pi\lambda_p \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-\bar{n}\zeta(v,t)} \right) v \, dv \right) \quad (10)$$

where  $t = \frac{\theta}{\gamma_d s_m}$ ,  $\zeta(v, t) = \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{t\gamma_d}{u^\alpha + t\gamma_d} f_{U|V}(u|v) \, du$ ,  $f_{U|V}(u|v) = \text{Rice}(u; v, \sigma)$  is the Rician probability distribution function (PDF) modeling the distance  $U = \|x + y\|$  between an interfering device at  $y$  relative to its cluster center at  $x \in \Phi_p$  and the origin  $(0, 0)$  conditioned on  $V = \|x\| = v$ .

*Proof:* Please refer to Appendix A. ■

We continue on by characterizing the serving distances' distribution. For a given realization  $S_{\Phi_{cm}} = s_m$ , let us assume that there are  $k$  catering devices in the representative cluster. Let us also denote joint distances from the typical device (origin) to the  $k$  serving devices in the representative cluster  $x_0$  as  $\mathbf{H}_k = \{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ . Then, conditioning on  $\mathbf{H}_k = \mathbf{h}_k$ , where  $\mathbf{h}_k = \{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$ , the conditional PDF of the joint serving distances' distribution is denoted as  $f_{\mathbf{H}_k}(\mathbf{h}_k)$ .

Since a serving device  $i$  in the representative cluster  $x_0$  has its coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  chosen independently from a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation  $\sigma$ , then, by definition, the distance from such a serving device to the origin, denoted as  $h_i = \|x_0 + y_0\|$ ,  $y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}$ , has Rician distribution

$f_{H_i|V_0}(h_i|v_0) = \text{Rice}(h_i; v_0, \sigma)$  conditioned on  $V_0 = \|x_0\| = v_0$ . Since also the serving devices and the typical device have their locations sampled from a normal distribution with variance  $\sigma^2$  relative to their cluster center  $x_0$ , then, by definition, the statistical distance distribution between any two points, e.g., from the  $i$ -th serving device to the typical device, follows Rayleigh distribution  $f_{H_i}(h_i) = \text{Rayleigh}(h_i, \sqrt{2}\sigma)$ .

If the serving distances from the typical device to the different points of the cluster were independent from each other,  $f_{\mathbf{H}_k}(\mathbf{h}_k)$  would simply be the product of  $k$  independent PDFs, each of them given by  $f_{H_i}(h_i) = \text{Rayleigh}(h_i, \sqrt{2}\sigma)$ . However, there is a correlation between the serving distances due to the common factor  $x_0$  in the serving distance equation  $h_i = \|x_0 + y_0\|$  with  $y_0 \in \Phi_{cm}$ , see also Fig. 1. To further simplify the analysis, we neglect this correlation and assume that the serving distances are i.i.d. Rayleigh distributed with marginal distributions  $f_{H_i}(h_i) = \text{Rayleigh}(h_i, \sqrt{2}\sigma)$ . Hence, the conditional PDF of the joint serving distances' distribution  $f_{\mathbf{H}_k}(\mathbf{h}_k)$  is directly obtained from

$$f_{\mathbf{H}_k}(\mathbf{h}_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{h_i}{2\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{h_i^2}{4\sigma^2}} \quad (11)$$

Conditioning on having  $k$  catering devices, i.e.,  $s_{\Phi_{cm}} = \sum_{i=1}^k h_i^{-\alpha}$ , now, the probability  $\mathbb{P}[R_m > \rho]$  in (7) can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}[R_m > \rho|k] = \int_{\mathbf{h}_k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_I \left( \frac{\theta}{\gamma_d \sum_{i=1}^k h_i^{-\alpha}} \middle| k \right) f_{\mathbf{H}_k}(\mathbf{h}_k) d\mathbf{h}_k \quad (12)$$

Given that  $\Phi_{cm}$  is a PPP, the number of catering devices for content  $m$  is a Poisson RV with mean  $c_m \bar{n}$ . Therefore, the probability that there are  $k$  catering devices is equal to  $\frac{(\bar{n}c_m)^k e^{-c_m \bar{n}}}{k!}$ . Invoking this along with (10), (11), and (12) into (7),  $\mathbb{P}_o(\mathbf{c})$  is given at the top of next page in (13).

Since the obtained expression for  $\mathbb{P}_o(\mathbf{c})$  in (13) involves multi-fold numerical integrals and summations, this renders our offloading maximization problem intractable. To circumvent this difficulty and gain a more general understanding of the problem at hand, we derive a lower bound and an approximation on  $\mathbb{P}_o(\mathbf{c})$  in the sequel.

**Proposition 1.** *The Laplace transform of interference derived in (10) can be bounded by*

$$\mathcal{L}_I(t) \approx \exp \left( -\pi \bar{n} \lambda_p t^{2/\alpha} \Gamma(1 + 2/\alpha) \Gamma(1 - 2/\alpha) \right). \quad (15)$$

*Proof:* Please refer to Appendix B. ■

**Remark 1.** The expression for the bound on the conditional Laplace transform of the interference in (15) is identical to the (un-conditional) Laplace transform of a PPP with density  $\bar{n} \lambda_p$ , which means that the obtained approximation is, indeed, a lower bound on the Laplace transform, accuracy of which we show in Fig. 2.

Plugging this result into (7), a lower bound on the offloading gain, denoted as  $\mathbb{P}_o^{\sim}(\mathbf{c})$ , is given in (14) at the top of next page.

## V. OPTIMIZED CACHING PROBABILITIES

Although  $\mathbb{P}_o^{\sim}(\mathbf{c})$  is much simpler to compute as compared to  $\mathbb{P}_o(\mathbf{c})$ , it is still challenging to obtain the optimal caching probability due to the multi-fold integration in (14). In what follows, we consider a special case for  $\mathbb{P}_o^{\sim}(\mathbf{c})$  wherein the offloading gain maximization problem turns out to be convex.

1) *One Serving Device ( $k=1$ ):* In this case, we solve for the caching probability for  $k=1$  and  $t = \frac{\theta h^\alpha}{\gamma_d}$ . Starting from (14) at the top of next page with  $k=1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho) = (\bar{n}c_m) e^{-c_m \bar{n}} \int_{h=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi \bar{n} \lambda_p (\theta h^\alpha)^{2/\alpha} \Gamma(1+2/\alpha) \Gamma(1-2/\alpha)} \frac{h}{2\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{h^2}{4\sigma^2}} dh \quad (16)$$

Solving the integral in the above, and substituting in (14), we get  $\mathbb{P}_o^{\sim 1}(\mathbf{c})$  written as

$$\mathbb{P}_o^{\sim 1}(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_f} q_m \left( c_m + (1 - c_m) (c_m \bar{n}) e^{-c_m \bar{n}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\theta, \alpha, \sigma)} \right) \quad (17)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}(\theta, \alpha, \sigma) = 4\sigma^2 \pi \bar{n} \lambda_p \theta^{2/\alpha} \Gamma(1 + 2/\alpha) \Gamma(1 - 2/\alpha) + 1$ .

Hence, the desirable caching placement can be found by solving the following problem

$$\mathbf{P1:} \quad \max_{\mathbf{c}} \quad \mathbb{P}_o^{\sim 1}(\mathbf{c}) \quad (18)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N_f} c_m = M, \quad c_m \in [0, 1] \quad (19)$$

The optimal solution for **P1** is formulated in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *The lower bound on the offloading gain  $\mathbb{P}_o^{\sim 1}(\mathbf{c})$  in (17) is concave w.r.t. the caching probability, and the optimal probabilistic caching  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}^*$  for **P1** is given by*

$$\underline{c}_m^* = \begin{cases} 1 & , v^* < q_m - \frac{q_m \bar{n} e^{-\bar{n}}}{\mathcal{Z}} \\ 0 & , v^* > q_m + \frac{q_m \bar{n}}{\mathcal{Z}} \\ \psi(v^*) & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\psi(v^*)$  is the solution of  $v^* = q_m + \frac{q_m \bar{n} e^{-c_m^* \bar{n}}}{\mathcal{Z}} (1 - c_m^* (2 + \bar{n} - \bar{n} c_m^*))$  that satisfies  $\sum_{m=1}^{N_f} c_m^* = M$ .

*Proof.* The details are omitted due to the limited space. □

It is worth mentioning that the optimal caching solution  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}^*$  for **P1** is strictly suboptimal relative to the caching solution for a problem with  $k$  cooperative caching devices, where  $k$  is random. However, when substituted to (13), it provides insights into system design and allows us to quantify the performance improvements over traditional caching techniques.

## VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

At first, we validate the developed mathematical model via Monte Carlo simulations. Then we benchmark the proposed probabilistic caching (PC) against conventional caching schemes. Unless otherwise stated, the network parameters are selected as shown in Table I. We hereafter call the coverage probability of content  $m$  and  $\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)$  interchangeably. In Fig. 2, we plot the closed-form expression, simulation,

$$\mathbb{P}_o(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_f} q_m \left( c_m + (1 - c_m) \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{n}c_m)^k e^{-c_m \bar{n}}}{k!} \int_{\mathbf{h}_k=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-2\pi\lambda_p \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\bar{n}(1-\zeta(v,t))}\right) v dv\right) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{h_i}{2\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{h_i^2}{4\sigma^2}} d\mathbf{h}_k}_{\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)} \right) \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbb{P}_o^{\sim}(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_f} q_m \left( c_m + (1 - c_m) \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{n}c_m)^k e^{-c_m \bar{n}}}{k!} \int_{\mathbf{h}_k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi\bar{n}\lambda_p \left(\frac{\theta}{\sum_{i=1}^k h_i^{-\alpha}}\right)^{2/\alpha} \Gamma(1+2/\alpha)\Gamma(1-2/\alpha)} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{h_i}{2\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{h_i^2}{4\sigma^2}} d\mathbf{h}_k}_{\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)} \right) \quad (14)$$

TABLE I  
SIMULATION PARAMETERS

Description	Parameter	Value
Displacement standard deviation	$\sigma$	50 m
Popularity index	$\beta$	0.5
Path loss exponent	$\alpha$	4
Library size	$N_f$	100 files
Cache size per device	$M$	5 files
Mean number of devices per cluster	$\bar{n}$	8
Density of clusters	$\lambda_p$	40 clusters/km <sup>2</sup>
SIR threshold	$\theta$	0 dB




Fig. 2. The derived lower bound on  $\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)$  in (14) versus intra-cluster displacement variance  $\sigma$  is plotted for various parent PPP density  $\lambda_p$ ,  $c_m = 1$ . "exact TCP" in the legend refers to the exact expression obtained for the TCP while "PPP approximation" refers to the lower bound of (15).

and lower bound on the coverage probability of content  $m$  versus displacement variance  $\sigma$  for various parent PPP densities  $\lambda_p$ . The theoretical and simulated results for the coverage probability are consistent. The derived lower bound is considerably tight when both  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda_p$  are relatively small. Also, it is noticeable that the coverage probability  $\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)$  monotonically decreases with both  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda_p$ , which reflects the fact that the desired signal is weaker when the distance between catering devices and the receiver is larger, and the effect of inter-cluster interference increases when the density of clusters increases, respectively. When  $\lambda_p$  and  $\sigma$  increase, the obtained lower bound becomes no longer tight, however, it still represents a decent tractable bound on the exact coverage probability.

Fig. 3 manifests the prominent effect of the files' popularity on the offloading gain. We compare the offloading gain of three different caching schemes, namely, the proposed PC, Zipf's caching (Zipf), and caching popular files (CPF). We can see




Fig. 3. The offloading probability versus the popularity of files  $\beta$  under different caching schemes, namely, PC, Zipf, and CPF.




Fig. 4. Histogram of the caching solution  $\underline{c}^*$  when (a)  $\sigma = 10$  m and  $\lambda_p = 20$  clusters/km<sup>2</sup> and (b)  $\sigma = 100$  m and  $\lambda_p = 50$  clusters/km<sup>2</sup>.

that the offloading gain under the PC scheme attains the best performance as compared to other schemes. Also, we note that both PC and Zipf schemes encompass the same offloading gain when  $\beta = 0$  owing to the uniformity of content popularity.

To show the trade-off between content diversity gain and cooperative transmission gain, in Fig. 4, we plot the histogram of the caching solution  $\underline{c}^*$  for two cases. The first case, in Fig. 4(a), represents a system with good transmission conditions, i.e., both  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda_p$  are small. The second case in Fig. 4(b), on contrary, is for large values of  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda_p$ . It is clear from the histograms that the caching solution  $\underline{c}^*$  tends to be more uniform and skewed for cases one and two, respectively. This shows that the caching probability tends to achieve diverse contents cached among the devices for a system with good transmission conditions. However, for a system with poor transmission conditions, caching redundancy is favorable to combat such adverse conditions via cooperative transmission.

## VII. CONCLUSION

In this work, we propose a cooperative transmission scheme and probabilistic caching for a clustered device-to-device (D2D) network. We first derive a closed-form expression for the offloading gain and then obtain a simpler yet tight lower bound on the offloading gain based on an upper bound on the interference power. Considering a special case when downloading content from only one serving device, the offloading gain maximization problem is shown to be convex, and the caching probability maximizing the simplified offloading gain is then obtained. We show that the network scaling parameters, e.g.,  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda_p$ , control the trade-off between content diversity gain and cooperative transmission gain. Results show up to 12% increase in the offloading gain compared to the Zipf's caching technique. In the extended version of this paper [16], we obtain an approximated yet accurate expression of the offloading gain that allows us to find the optimized caching probability.

### APPENDIX A PROOF OF LEMMA 1

In the following, by saying  $u \in \Phi_c$ , we mean that  $y \in \Phi_c$  where  $u = \|x + y\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_I(t) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-t\gamma_d \sum_{\Phi_p^l} \sum_{u \in \Phi_c} G_u u^{-\alpha}} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_p} \left[ \prod_{\Phi_p^l} \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_c} \prod_{u \in \Phi_c} \mathbb{E}_{u, G_u} e^{-t\gamma_d G_u u^{-\alpha}} \right] \quad (20) \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_p} \left[ \prod_{\Phi_p^l} \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_c} \prod_{u \in \Phi_c} \mathbb{E}_u \frac{1}{1 + t\gamma_d u^{-\alpha}} \right] \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_p} \left[ \prod_{\Phi_p^l} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + t\gamma_d u^{-\alpha}} f_{U|V}(u|v) du \right)^l P(n=l) \right] \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \exp \left( -2\pi\lambda_p \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + t\gamma_d u^{-\alpha}} f_U(u|v) du \right)^l \frac{\bar{n}^l e^{-n}}{l!} \right) v dv \right) \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $G_u = G_y$  for ease of notation. (a) follows from the Rayleigh fading assumption, (b) follows from the TCP definition with the number of devices per cluster being a Poisson RV, and  $P(n=l) = \frac{\bar{n}^l e^{-\bar{n}}}{l!}$  is the probability that there are  $l$  catering devices caching content  $m$  per each remote cluster, with  $c_m = 1$  for the assumed worst case interference scenario. (c) follows from the probability generating functional (PGFL) for a PPP. We denote  $\int_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+t\gamma_d u^{-\alpha}} f_{U|V}(u|v) du$  as  $\delta(v, t)$ . Using the fact that (Taylor's expansion of)  $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ , we rearrange  $\mathcal{L}_I(t)$  in the above equation as

$$\mathcal{L}_I(t) = \exp \left( -2\pi\lambda_p \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\bar{n}(1 - \delta(v, t)) \right) \right) v dv \right) \quad (22)$$

By denoting  $1 - \delta(v, t) = 1 - \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+t\gamma_d u^{-\alpha}} f_{U|V}(u|v) du = \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{t\gamma_d}{u^\alpha + t\gamma_d} f_{U|V}(u|v) du$  as  $\zeta(v, t)$ , Lemma 1 is proven.

### APPENDIX B PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

By conditioning on  $S_{\Phi_{cm}} = s_m = \sum_{i=1}^k h_i^{-\alpha}$ , we derive a bound on the Laplace transform of inter-cluster interference based on Taylor's series expansion. Starting from equation (20) in Appendix A, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_I(t|k) &= \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_p} \left[ \prod_{\Phi_p^l} \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_c} \prod_{u \in \Phi_c} \mathbb{E}_{u, G_u} \exp \left( -tG_u u^{-\alpha} \right) \right] \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{G_u} e^{-2\pi\lambda_p \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-\bar{n}(1 - \zeta'(v, t))} \right) v dv} \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{\approx} \mathbb{E}_{G_u} e^{-2\pi\lambda_p \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - (1 - \bar{n}(1 - \zeta'(v, t))) \right) v dv} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{G_u} e^{-2\pi\lambda_p \bar{n} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} (1 - \zeta'(v, t)) v dv} \\
&= e^{-2\pi\bar{n}\lambda_p \left( \int_{v=0}^{\infty} v dv - \mathbb{E}_{G_u} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \zeta'(v, t) v dv \right)} \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta'(v, t) = \int_{u=0}^{\infty} e^{-t\gamma_d G_u u^{-\alpha}} f_{U|V}(u|v) du$  and  $G_u = G_y$ ; (a) follows from tracking the proof of Lemma 1 up until equation (22) and (b) follows from Taylor series expansion for exponential function  $e^{-x} = 1 - x - \Theta(x^2)$  when  $x$  is small. It is worth mentioning that the obtained  $\mathcal{L}_I(t|k)$  in (23) is the Laplace transform of an upper bound on the interference. Correspondingly, the resulting  $\mathbb{P}(R_m > \rho)$  and  $\mathbb{P}_o^{\sim}(c)$  are lower bounds on their exact values. We proved in [17, Lemma 2] that  $\int_{v=0}^{\infty} v dv - \mathbb{E}_{G_u} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} \zeta'(v, t) v dv = \frac{(t\gamma_d)^{2/\alpha}}{2} \Gamma(1+2/\alpha) \Gamma(1-2/\alpha)$ , hence, Proposition 1 is proven.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ji, G. Caire, and A. F. Molisch, "Fundamental limits of caching in wireless D2D networks," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 849–869, 2016.
- [2] R. Amer, M. M. Butt, M. Bennis, and N. Marchetti, "Delay analysis for wireless D2D caching with inter-cluster cooperation," in *IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*, Singapore, Dec 2017, pp. 1–7.
- [3] —, "Inter-cluster cooperation for wireless D2D caching networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2018.
- [4] R. Amer, H. Elsawy, M. M. Butt, E. A. Jorswieck, M. Bennis, and N. Marchetti, "Optimizing joint probabilistic caching and communication for clustered D2D networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.05510*, 2018.
- [5] D. Liu, B. Chen, C. Yang, and A. F. Molisch, "Caching at the wireless edge: design aspects, challenges, and future directions," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 22–28, September 2016.
- [6] F. Zhou, L. Fan, N. Wang, G. Luo, J. Tang, and W. Chen, "A cache-aided communication scheme for downlink coordinated multipoint transmission," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 1416–1427, 2018.
- [7] W. C. Ao and K. Psounis, "Distributed caching and small cell cooperation for fast content delivery," in *Proceedings of the 16th ACM International Symposium on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing*, ACM, 2015, pp. 127–136.
- [8] G. Zheng, H. A. Suraweera, and I. Krikidis, "Optimization of hybrid cache placement for collaborative relaying," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 442–445, 2017.
- [9] R. Amer, W. Saad, H. Elsawy, M. M. Butt, and N. Marchetti, "Caching to the sky: Performance analysis of cache-assisted comp for cellular-connected UAVs," *arXived*, 2018.
- [10] S. H. Chae, T. Q. Quek, and W. Choi, "Content placement for wireless cooperative caching helpers: A tradeoff between cooperative gain and content diversity gain," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 6795–6807, 2017.
- [11] Z. Chen, J. Lee, T. Q. S. Quek, and M. Kountouris, "Cooperative caching and transmission design in cluster-centric small cell networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 3401–3415, May 2017.

- [12] A. Daghaj, H. Zhu, and J. Wang, "Content delivery analysis in multiple devices to single device communications," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2018.
- [13] D. J. Daley and D. Vere-Jones, *An introduction to the theory of point processes: volume II: general theory and structure*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [14] M. Haenggi, *Stochastic geometry for wireless networks*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [15] B. Blaszczyszyn and A. Giovanidis, "Optimal geographic caching in cellular networks," in *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, London, UK, 2015.
- [16] R. Amer, H. ElSawy, J. Kibilda, M. M. Butt, and N. Marchetti, "Probabilistic caching and joint transmission for clustered D2D networks," *work in progress*, 2018.
- [17] R. Amer, M. M. Butt, H. ElSawy, M. Bennis, J. Kibilda, and N. Marchetti, "On minimizing energy consumption for D2D clustered caching networks," *Accepted in IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM) 2018*. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.03050>.