

Mean field equations on a closed Riemannian surface with the action of an isometric group

Yunyan Yang, Xiaobao Zhu

Department of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P. R. China

Abstract

Let (Σ, g) be a closed Riemannian surface, $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N\}$ be an isometric group acting on it. Denote a positive integer $\ell = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} I(x)$, where $I(x)$ is the number of all distinct points of the set $\{\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_N(x)\}$. A sufficient condition for existence of solutions to the mean field equation

$$\Delta_g u = 8\pi\ell \left(\frac{he^u}{\int_{\Sigma} he^u dv_g} - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \right)$$

is given. This recovers results of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang (Asian J Math 1997) when $\ell = 1$ or equivalently $\mathbf{G} = \{Id\}$, where Id is the identity map.

Key words: Mean field equation, isometric group action

2010 MSC: 58J05

1. Introduction

Let (\mathbb{S}^2, g_0) be the 2-dimensional sphere $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$ with the metric $g_0 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ and the corresponding volume element dv_{g_0} . It was proved by Moser [13], via a symmetrization argument, that there exists some constant C such that if u satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla_{g_0} u|^2 dv_{g_0} \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u dv_{g_0} = 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{\alpha u} dv_{g_0} \leq C, \quad \forall \alpha \leq 4\pi; \quad (1)$$

moreover, 4π is the best constant in the sense that if $\alpha > 4\pi$, then the integrals in (1) are still finite, but there is no uniform constant C such that (1) holds. Also, it was pointed out by Moser [14] that the same argument in [13] indicates a similar inequality for even functions. Namely, there exists a constant C such that if u satisfies $u(-x) = u(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla_{g_0} u|^2 dv_{g_0} \leq 1$, and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u dv_{g_0} = 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{\alpha u} dv_{g_0} \leq C, \quad \forall \alpha \leq 8\pi. \quad (2)$$

Email addresses: yunyan yang@ruc.edu.cn (Yunyan Yang), zhuxiaobao@ruc.edu.cn (Xiaobao Zhu)

Observing that the inequality (2) can be applied to the prescribing Gaussian curvature equation, Moser [14] obtained the following: If $K : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying $K(-x) = K(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$, then there is a smooth solution to

$$\Delta_{g_0} u + 1 - Ke^{2u} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where Δ_{g_0} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The geometric meaning of the above equation is as follows: If u is a smooth solution to (3), then the metric $g = e^{2u}g_0$ has the Gaussian curvature K .

Moser's inequality (1) was generalized by Fontana [9] to Riemannian manifolds case. In particular, let (Σ, g) be a closed Riemannian surface. Then for all smooth functions u with $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1$ and $\int_{\Sigma} u dv_g = 0$, there exists a constant depending only on (Σ, g) such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g \leq C;$$

moreover, 4π is the best constant for the above inequality. Also Moser's inequality (2) was generalized to various versions. Let $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N\}$ be an isometric group acting on a closed Riemannian surface (Σ, g) , $I(x)$ be the number of all distinct elements in $\mathbf{G}(x) = \{\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_N(x)\}$, and $\ell = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} I(x)$. Using isoperimetric inequalities, among other results Chen [4] proved that there exists a constant C such that for all smooth functions u with $u(\sigma(x)) = u(x)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$ and all $x \in \Sigma$, $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1$ and $\int_{\Sigma} u dv_g = 0$, there holds

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi \ell u^2} dv_g \leq C. \quad (4)$$

Recently, motivated by [19, 11, 20], Fang-Yang [8] employed blow-up analysis to improve (4) to analogous inequalities involving eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g .

Another famous equation similar to the prescribing curvature equation (3) is the mean field equation, namely

$$\Delta_g u = \rho \left(\frac{he^u}{\int_{\Sigma} he^u dv_g} - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \right), \quad (5)$$

where ρ is some real number. When h is a smooth positive function, it was proved by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [6] that for $\rho < 8\pi$, (5) has a solution; under certain geometric condition on (Σ, g) , (5) has a solution for $\rho = 8\pi$ (when Σ is a flat torus, this result was independently proved by Nolasco-Tarantello [15]). The authors [21] derived the same conclusion when $h \geq 0$ and $h \not\equiv 0$. Struwe-Tarantello [16] obtained a non-constant solution of (5), when Σ is a flat torus, $h \equiv 1$ and $\rho \in (8\pi, 4\pi^2)$. When Σ is a compact Riemannian surface of positive genus and h is a smooth positive function, Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [7] proved that (5) admits a non-minimal solution for $\rho \in (8\pi, 16\pi)$. This result was generalized first by Chen-Lin [2, 3] to $\rho \in (8m\pi, 16m\pi)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$), then by Malchiodi [12] to $\rho \in (8m\pi, 16m\pi)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$) and Σ is a general Riemannian surface. Let K be the Gaussian curvature, Chen-Lin [2] also proved that, if $\Delta_g \log h(x) + \frac{8m\pi}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} - 2K(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \Sigma$, then (5) has a solution. This improved the result in [6]. By assuming Σ to be a flat torus and h a positive smooth function with certain symmetrization, Wang [18] obtained an analog of [6] for $\rho = 16\pi$.

Our aim is to extend Ding-Jost-Li-Wang's result [6] to closed Riemannian surface with an isometric group action. More precisely, let (Σ, g) , \mathbf{G} and ℓ be defined as in (4). If h is a smooth positive function and $h(\sigma(x)) = h(x)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$ and all $x \in \Sigma$, then for $\rho < 8\pi\ell$, (5) has a solution; for $\rho = 8\pi\ell$, under certain geometric hypothesis, (5) has a solution.

2. Notations and main results

Let (Σ, g) be a closed Riemannian surface and $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N\}$ be a finite isometric group acting on it. By definition, \mathbf{G} is a group and each $\sigma_i : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is an isometric map, particularly $\sigma_i^* g_x = g_{\sigma_i(x)}$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Let $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function, we say that $u \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{G}}$ if u is \mathbf{G} -invariant, namely $u(\sigma_i(x)) = u(x)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq N$ and almost every $x \in \Sigma$. We denote $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ the closure of $C^\infty(\Sigma)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)} = \left(\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) dv_g \right)^{1/2},$$

where ∇_g and dv_g stand for the gradient operator and the Riemannian volume element respectively. Define a Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}} = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g) \cap \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{G}} : \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g = 0 \right\} \quad (6)$$

with an inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}}} = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g v \rangle dv_g,$$

where $\langle \nabla_g u, \nabla_g v \rangle$ stands for the Riemannian inner product of $\nabla_g u$ and $\nabla_g v$. Let $\Delta_g = -\operatorname{div}_g \nabla_g$ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. For any $x \in \Sigma$, we set $I(x) = \#\mathbf{G}(x)$, where $\#A$ stands for the number of all distinct points in the set A , and $\mathbf{G}(x) = \{\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_N(x)\}$. Let

$$\ell = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} I(x). \quad (7)$$

Clearly we have $1 \leq \ell \leq N$ since $1 \leq I(x) \leq N$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Chen's inequality (4) is equivalent to the following: $\forall \beta \leq 4\pi\ell$, there holds

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}}, \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\beta u^2} dv_g < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Define a functional on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}}$ by

$$J_{\gamma}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g - \gamma \log \int_{\Sigma} h e^u dv_g.$$

For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, it follows from (8) and a direct method of variation that the minimizer u_{ϵ} of the subcritical functional $J_{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}$ exists. Denote $c_{\epsilon} = u_{\epsilon}(x_{\epsilon}) = \max_{\Sigma} u_{\epsilon}$. As we shall see, if c_{ϵ} is bounded, then up to a subsequence, u_{ϵ} would converge to some u_0 as ϵ tends to zero, and u_0 is a minimizer of the critical functional $J_{8\pi\ell}$; if u_{ϵ} blows up, i.e. $c_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \infty$, then up to a subsequence, x_{ϵ} converges to some x_0 , $I(x_0) = \ell$, and u_{ϵ} converges to certain Green function \tilde{G}_{x_0} satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \tilde{G}_{x_0} = 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_{\sigma_i(x_0)} - \frac{8\pi\ell}{\operatorname{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \\ \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{G}_{x_0} dv_g = 0, \end{cases}$$

where we assume without loss of generality $\mathbf{G}(x_0) = \{\sigma_1(x_0), \dots, \sigma_{\ell}(x_0)\}$. In a normal coordinate system $\{x_1, x_2\}$ near x_0 , the Green function \tilde{G}_{x_0} can be written as

$$\tilde{G}_{x_0}(x) = -4 \log r + \tilde{A}_{x_0} + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + c_1 x_1^2 + 2c_2 x_1 x_2 + c_3 x_2^2 + O(r^3), \quad (9)$$

where $\tilde{A}_{x_0}, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, c_3$ are constants, $r(x)$ denotes the geodesic distance between x and x_0 .

Our first result reads

Theorem 1. *Let (Σ, g) be a closed Riemannian surface, $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N\}$ be an isometric group acting on it, and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}}$ be a function space defined as in (6). Let ℓ be defined as in (7). Suppose h is a smooth positive function on Σ and $h(\sigma(x)) = h(x)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$ and all $x \in \Sigma$. Then (i) for any $\rho < 8\pi\ell$, the equation (5) has a solution; (ii) if (5) has no solution for $\rho = 8\pi\ell$, there holds*

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}}} J_{8\pi\ell}(u) \geq -4\pi\ell \max_{x \in \Sigma} (2 \log(\pi\ell h(x)) + \tilde{A}_x) - 8\pi\ell, \quad (10)$$

where \tilde{A}_x is defined as in (9).

Noting that $J_{8\pi\ell}(0) \geq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}}} J_{8\pi\ell}(u)$, we have the following:

Theorem 2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if in addition*

$$\log \int_{\Sigma} h dv_g > 1 + \frac{1}{2} \max_{x \in \Sigma} (2 \log(\pi\ell h(x)) + \tilde{A}_x), \quad (11)$$

then (5) has a solution for $\rho = 8\pi\ell$.

Later we shall give an example of (Σ, g) , \mathbf{G} and h satisfying the hypothesis (11). Assuming further $I(x)$ is a constant, we shall prove another existence result for the mean field equation (5), namely

Theorem 3. *Let (Σ, g) be a closed Riemannian surface, $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell\}$ be an isometric group acting on it, and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}}$ be a function space defined as in (6). Let $I(x)$ be defined as in (7). Suppose that $I(x) \equiv \ell$ for all $x \in \Sigma$, that h is a smooth positive function satisfying $h(\sigma(x)) = h(x)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$ and all $x \in \Sigma$, that $2 \log(\pi\ell h(p)) + \tilde{A}_p = \max_{x \in \Sigma} (2 \log(\pi\ell h(x)) + \tilde{A}_x)$, and that in a normal coordinate system near p ,*

$$h(x) - h(p) = k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 + k_3 x_1^2 + 2k_4 x_1 x_2 + k_5 x_2^2 + O(r^3).$$

If in addition

$$\frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} - 2K(p) + b_1^2 + b_2^2 - \frac{\Delta_g h(p)}{h(p)} + \frac{2(k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2)}{h(p)} > 0, \quad (12)$$

then (5) has a solution for $\rho = 8\pi\ell$, where $K(p)$ denotes the Gaussian curvature of (Σ, g) at p .

In the case $\mathbf{G} = \{Id\}$, where $Id : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the identity map, Theorems 1-3 are reduced to that of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [6]. Though we are in the spirit of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [6] for the proof of the general case of Theorems 1-3, many technical difficulties need to be smoothed. The first issue is to construct Green functions having many singular points by using elliptic estimates and the symmetric properties of (Σ, g) . The second one is to derive a lower bound of $J_{8\pi\ell}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{G}}$ by using the maximum principle. Here we use an argument of our previous work [22] which quite simplified that of [6]. The third issue is to construct test functions showing that (10) does not hold under the hypothesis (12), which implies that (5) has a solution. For some technical reason we assume $I(x)$ is a constant for all $x \in \Sigma$. Even in the case $\mathbf{G} = \{Id\}$, our test function is different from that of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [6].

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 3, we discuss existence and properties of certain Green function in our setting; In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1 and 2; In Section 5, we prove Theorem 3; In Section 6, we give examples of surfaces and isometric groups satisfying the hypotheses of Theorems 2 and 3. Throughout this paper, we do not distinguish sequence and subsequence.

3. Green functions

In this section, we discuss Green functions defined on a closed Riemannian surface (Σ, g) with the action of an isometric group $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N\}$. Let $G(x, y)$ be the usual Green function on (Σ, g) , which is a distributional solution to

$$\Delta_{g,y}G(x, y) = 8\pi\delta_x(y) - \frac{8\pi}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)}, \quad (13)$$

where $\Delta_{g,y}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to the variable y , δ_x is the standard Dirac measure centered at x . It follows from ([1], Theorem 4.13) that such a G does exist and can be normalized so that $\int_{\Sigma} G(x, y)dv_{g,y} = 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Moreover, $G(x, y) = G(y, x)$ and $G \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times \Sigma \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \Sigma\})$. A key observation is the following:

Proposition 4. *For all $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$ and all $x, y \in \Sigma$, $x \neq y$, there holds $G(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) = G(x, y)$.*

Proof. Take $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$. Replacing x and y by two points $\sigma(x)$ and $\sigma(y)$ in (13), we have that

$$\Delta_{g,\sigma(y)}G(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) = 8\pi\delta_{\sigma(x)}(\sigma(y)) - \frac{8\pi}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)}.$$

Since $\Delta_{g,\sigma(y)} = \Delta_{g,y}$ and $\delta_{\sigma(x)}(\sigma(y)) = \delta_x(y)$, there holds in the distributional sense

$$\Delta_{g,y}(G(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) - G(x, y)) = 0.$$

Hence $G(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) - G(x, y) \equiv C$ for some constant C . Since

$$\int_{\Sigma} G(\sigma(x), \sigma(y))dv_{g,\sigma(y)} = \int_{\Sigma} G(x, y)dv_{g,y} = 0,$$

we conclude $C = 0$ and the proposition. \square

Given any $x \in \Sigma$. Assume that $I(x) = \#\mathbf{G}(x) = \#\{\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_N(x)\} = j$. Recall the definition (7), $\ell = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} I(x)$. It follows that $\ell \leq j \leq N$. With no loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\mathbf{G}(x) = \{\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_j(x)\}. \quad (14)$$

Then we have the following existence result:

Proposition 5. *Let $x \in \Sigma$ be fixed such that $I(x) = j$ and (14) holds. Then there exists a unique Green function \tilde{G}_x satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \tilde{G}_x = 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^j \delta_{\sigma_i(x)} - \frac{8\pi j}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \\ \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{G}_x dv_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, \tilde{G}_x can be explicitly written as

$$\tilde{G}_x(y) = G(\sigma_1(x), y) + \dots + G(\sigma_j(x), y),$$

where $G(x, y)$ be a solution of (13) with $\int_{\Sigma} G(x, y)dv_{g,y} = 0$.

Proof. Note that in the distributional sense

$$\Delta_{g,y}(\tilde{G}_x(y) - G(\sigma_1(x), y) - \cdots - G(\sigma_j(x), y)) = 0.$$

It follows that $\tilde{G}_x(y) - G(\sigma_1(x), y) - \cdots - G(\sigma_j(x), y) \equiv C$ for some constant C . Since

$$\int_{\Sigma} \tilde{G}_x(y) dv_{g,y} = \int_{\Sigma} G(\sigma_i(x), y) dv_{g,y} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, j,$$

we have $C = 0$, which is the desired result. \square

By elliptic estimates, one writes near x

$$G(x, y) = -4 \log r + A_x + O(r), \quad (15)$$

where r denotes the geodesic distance between x and y , $A_x = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (G(x, y) + 4 \log r)$. In the same way, one can write near $\sigma_i(x)$

$$\tilde{G}_x = -4 \log r + \tilde{A}_{\sigma_i(x)} + O(r), \quad (16)$$

where r denotes the geodesic distance between $\sigma_i(x)$ and y , $\tilde{A}_{\sigma_i(x)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (\tilde{G}_x(y) + 4 \log r)$. Another key observation is the following:

Proposition 6. *Let $x \in \Sigma$ be such that $I(x) = j$ and (14) be satisfied. Assuming (15) and (16), we have $\tilde{A}_{\sigma_i(x)} = \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1(x)}$, $i = 1, \dots, j$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5,

$$\tilde{G}_x(y) = G(\sigma_1(x), y) + \cdots + G(\sigma_j(x), y).$$

Clearly

$$\tilde{A}_{\sigma_i(x)} = A_{\sigma_i(x)} + \sum_{k \neq i, k=1}^j G(\sigma_i(x), \sigma_k(x)). \quad (17)$$

By Proposition 4, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\sigma_i(x)} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (G(\sigma_i(x), y) + 4 \log r) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (G(\sigma_i(x), \sigma_i(z)) + 4 \log r) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (G(x, z) + 4 \log r) \\ &= A_{\sigma_1(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Here in the second equality, r denotes the geodesic distance between $\sigma_i(x)$ and $\sigma_i(z)$. While in the third equality, r denotes the geodesic distance between x and z . Since $G(\sigma_i(x), \sigma_k(x)) = G(\sigma_k(x), \sigma_i(x))$, we conclude

$$\sum_{k \neq i, k=1}^j G(\sigma_i(x), \sigma_k(x)) = \sum_{k=2}^j G(\sigma_1(x), \sigma_k(x)), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, j. \quad (19)$$

Inserting (18) and (19) into (17), we have $\tilde{A}_{\sigma_i(x)} = \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1(x)}$, $i = 1, \dots, j$, as desired. \square

4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1

Clearly (i) of Theorem 1 is implied by the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists some $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{H}_G$ such that*

$$J_{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}(u_\epsilon) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_G} J_{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}(u). \quad (20)$$

Moreover, u_ϵ is a solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u_\epsilon = 8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \left(\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} h e^{u_\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \right) \\ \lambda_\epsilon = \int_\Sigma h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Proof. In view of (8), a direct method of variation leads to the existence of $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{H}_G$ satisfying (20). Then a straightforward calculation shows u_ϵ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation (21). \square

For the proof of (ii) of Theorem 1, we modify an argument of blow-up analysis performed by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [6]. Let $c_\epsilon = u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) = \max_\Sigma u_\epsilon$. If c_ϵ is bounded, then applying elliptic estimates to (21), we conclude that up to a subsequence, $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u_0$ in $C^1(\Sigma, g)$. It is easy to see that u_0 is a solution of (5) for $\rho = 8\pi\ell$. Therefore, under the assumption of (ii) of Theorem 1, there must hold $c_\epsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. With no loss of generality we assume $x_\epsilon \rightarrow x_0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By definition, we have for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_G$

$$J_{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g - 8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \log \int_\Sigma h e^u dv_g.$$

It follows that for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_G$, $J_{8\pi\ell}(u) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}(u) \geq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}(u_\epsilon)$. To prove (ii) of Theorem 1, it then suffices to prove

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}(u_\epsilon) \geq -4\pi\ell \max_{x \in \Sigma} (2 \log(\pi\ell h(x)) + \tilde{A}_x) - 8\pi\ell, \quad (22)$$

where \tilde{A}_x is defined as in (9). Let

$$r_\epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_\epsilon}}{\sqrt{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)h(x_0)}} e^{-c_\epsilon/2} \quad (23)$$

and define a sequence of blow-up functions

$$\varphi_\epsilon(y) = u_\epsilon(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon y)) - c_\epsilon, \quad (24)$$

where $\exp_{x_\epsilon} : T_{x_\epsilon}\Sigma (\cong \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \Sigma$ is an exponential map. A straightforward calculation shows on the Euclidean ball $\mathbb{B}_{\partial r_\epsilon^{-1}}(0)$,

$$\Delta_{g_\epsilon} \varphi_\epsilon(y) = \frac{h(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon y))}{h(x_0)} e^{\varphi_\epsilon(y)} - \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} r_\epsilon^2. \quad (25)$$

Similar to ([22], Lemma 2.7), we have the following:

Lemma 8. *Let c_ϵ and r_ϵ be as above. Then there exists some constant C such that $r_\epsilon^2 \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}c_\epsilon}$.*

Proof. Testing the equation (21) by u_ϵ , one gets

$$\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Sigma, g)}^2 = \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\lambda_\epsilon} \int_\Sigma h u_\epsilon e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \leq 8\pi\ell c_\epsilon.$$

This together with Chen's inequality (4) leads to

$$\int_\Sigma h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \leq C \int_\Sigma e^{4\pi\ell \frac{u_\epsilon^2}{\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Sigma, g)}^2} + \frac{\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Sigma, g)}^2}{16\pi\ell}} dv_g \leq C e^{\frac{\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Sigma, g)}^2}{16\pi\ell}} \leq C e^{\frac{1}{2}c_\epsilon}.$$

Hence we have by (23) that

$$r_\epsilon^2 = \frac{e^{-c_\epsilon}}{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)h(x_0)} \int_\Sigma h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}c_\epsilon}.$$

This gives the desired result. \square

The power of the above lemma is evident. It leads to $r_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and a stronger estimate $r_\epsilon c_\epsilon^q \rightarrow 0$ for all $q > 1$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, which is very important during the process of blow-up analysis. Then applying elliptic estimates to (21), we obtain

$$\varphi_\epsilon \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } C_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (26)$$

where φ is a distributional solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(y) = e^{\varphi(y)} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\varphi(y)} dy < \infty. \end{cases}$$

A classification theorem of Chen-Li [5] gives $\varphi(y) = -2 \log(1 + |y|^2/8)$ and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\varphi(y)} dy = 8\pi. \quad (27)$$

We now claim that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g = \frac{1}{\ell}. \quad (28)$$

In fact, by a change of variable, (23) and (26)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g &= (1 + o_\epsilon(1)) \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} h(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon y)) e^{u_\epsilon(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon y))} r_\epsilon^2 dy \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{8\pi\ell} + o_\epsilon(1) \right) \int_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} e^{\varphi_\epsilon(y)} dy \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{8\pi\ell} + o_\epsilon(1) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} e^{\varphi(y)} dy + o_\epsilon(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (27) leads to (28).

Recalling $\ell = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} I(x) = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} \#\mathbf{G}(x)$, we now calculate $I(x_0) = \#\mathbf{G}(x_0)$ as below.

Lemma 9. $I(x_0) = \ell$.

Proof. Denote $I(x_0) = k_0$. Suppose that $k_0 > \ell$. With no loss of generality we assume $\mathbf{G}(x_0) = \{\sigma_1(x_0), \dots, \sigma_{k_0}(x_0)\}$. Fix some $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$B_\delta(\sigma_i(x_0)) \cap B_\delta(\sigma_j(x_0)) = \emptyset, \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq k_0.$$

Note that $x_\epsilon \rightarrow x_0$ and $r_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. For any fixed $R > 0$, one gets $B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon)) \subset B_\delta(\sigma_i(x_0))$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Since h is \mathbf{G} -invariant, we have by (28) that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g = \frac{1}{\ell}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k_0. \quad (29)$$

By (29) and the assumption $k_0 > \ell$, we obtain

$$1 = \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g = \frac{k_0}{\ell} > 1,$$

which is impossible. Therefore $k_0 = \ell$. \square

Hereafter, by Lemma 9, we assume $\sigma_i(x_0) \neq \sigma_j(x_0)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ and $\mathbf{G}(x_0) = \{\sigma_1(x_0), \dots, \sigma_\ell(x_0)\}$. It follows from (29) and the definition of λ_ϵ that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g = 0. \quad (30)$$

Since the functions on the righthand side of (21) are bounded in $L^1(\Sigma, g)$, we have by ([22], Lemma 2.10) that u_ϵ is bounded in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, g)$ for any $1 < q < 2$. As a consequence there exists some $\tilde{G}_{x_0} \in W^{1,q}(\Sigma, g)$ such that for $0 < r < 2q/(2-q)$, u_ϵ converges to \tilde{G}_{x_0} weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma)$, strongly in $L^r(\Sigma)$ and almost everywhere in Σ . In view of (29) and (30), \tilde{G}_{x_0} satisfies

$$\Delta_g \tilde{G}_{x_0} = 8\pi\ell \left(\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_{\sigma_i(x_0)} - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \right)$$

in the distributional sense. Moreover, $\int_{\Sigma} \tilde{G}_{x_0} dv_g = 0$. Let \tilde{G}_{x_ϵ} be a distributional solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} = 8\pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_{\sigma_i(x_\epsilon)} - \frac{\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \right), \\ \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} dv_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

The existence of \tilde{G}_{x_ϵ} is based on Proposition 5. By elliptic estimates and Proposition 6, \tilde{G}_{x_ϵ} can be written as

$$\tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon}(x) = -4 \log r + \tilde{A}_{x_\epsilon} + O(r) \quad (31)$$

near $\sigma_i(x_\epsilon)$, where r denotes the geodesic distance between x and $\sigma_i(x_\epsilon)$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Similarly \tilde{G}_{x_0} can be represented by $\tilde{G}_{x_0}(x) = -4 \log r + \tilde{A}_{x_0} + O(r)$ near $\sigma_i(x_0)$, where r denotes the geodesic distance between x and $\sigma_i(x_0)$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. We now claim that

$$\tilde{A}_{x_\epsilon} \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{x_0} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (32)$$

To see this, with no loss of generality, we assume that $\sigma_1 = Id$, the identity map. Write $A_{x_\epsilon} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (G_{x_\epsilon}(x) + 4 \log r)$ and $A_{x_0} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (G_{x_0}(x) + 4 \log r)$. By Proposition 5, $\widetilde{A}_{x_\epsilon} = \widetilde{A}_{x_\epsilon} + \sum_{i=2}^\ell G(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon), x_\epsilon)$. Since $A_{x_\epsilon} \rightarrow A_{x_0}$ and $x_\epsilon \rightarrow x_0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we immediately have $\widetilde{A}_{x_\epsilon} \rightarrow \widetilde{A}_{x_0} = A_{x_0} + \sum_{i=2}^\ell G(\sigma_i(x_0), x_0)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This confirms our claim (32).

One can easily see that

$$\Delta_g(u_\epsilon - \widetilde{G}_{x_\epsilon}) \geq 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon)).$$

In view of (26), (31) and (32), for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, there holds

$$(u_\epsilon - \widetilde{G}_{x_\epsilon})|_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} = -c_\epsilon + 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon - \widetilde{A}_{x_0} - 2 \log(\pi \ell h(x_0)) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1).$$

By the maximum principle, we have on $\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))$

$$u_\epsilon - \widetilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} \geq -c_\epsilon + 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon - \widetilde{A}_{x_0} - 2 \log(\pi \ell h(x_0)) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \quad (33)$$

By (26), one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^\ell B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &= \ell \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \\ &= \ell \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + o_\epsilon(1) \right) \\ &= 16\pi\ell \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) - 16\pi\ell + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $o_\epsilon(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any fixed $R > 0$, $o_R(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

It follows from the divergence theorem and (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} u_\epsilon \Delta_g u_\epsilon dv_g + \int_{\partial \Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} ds_g \\ &= 8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} h u_\epsilon e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g - \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} u_\epsilon dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial \Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} ds_g, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where ν defined on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ denotes the outer unit vector with respect to the domain Ω and we write for simplicity

$$\Sigma_{R,\epsilon} = \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon)).$$

By (33),

$$\begin{aligned} &8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \lambda_\epsilon^{-1} h u_\epsilon e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \\ &\geq 8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \widetilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} \frac{h e^{u_\epsilon}}{\lambda_\epsilon} dv_g - 8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} (c_\epsilon - 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon) \frac{h e^{u_\epsilon}}{\lambda_\epsilon} dv_g \\ &\quad - 8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \left(\widetilde{A}_{x_0} + 2 \log(\pi \ell h(x_0)) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1) \right) \frac{h e^{u_\epsilon}}{\lambda_\epsilon} dv_g. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

By the divergence and (21),

$$\begin{aligned}
8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \frac{\tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} h e^{u_\epsilon}}{\lambda_\epsilon} dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} \left(\Delta_g u_\epsilon + \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \right) dv_g \\
&= \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} u_\epsilon \Delta_g \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} dv_g - \int_{\partial\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} ds_g \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon}}{\partial \nu} ds_g - \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} dv_g \\
&= -\frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} u_\epsilon dv_g - \int_{\partial\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} ds_g \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon}}{\partial \nu} ds_g - \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} dv_g. \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

Also one has

$$\begin{aligned}
8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} (c_\epsilon - 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon) \frac{h e^{u_\epsilon}}{\lambda_\epsilon} dv_g &= (c_\epsilon - 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} \left(\Delta_g u_\epsilon + \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \right) dv_g \\
&= (c_\epsilon - 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon) \left\{ \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell \partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} ds_g \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} (\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma) - \ell \text{Vol}_g(B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon))) \right\} \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

and in view of (30),

$$8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon) \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} (\tilde{A}_{x_0} + 2 \log(\pi\ell h(x_0)) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1)) \frac{h e^{u_\epsilon}}{\lambda_\epsilon} dv_g = o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \tag{39}$$

Inserting (36)-(39) into (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &\geq \frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon dv_g - \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} dv_g \\
&\quad - \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell \partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} (u_\epsilon - \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} + c_\epsilon - 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon) ds_g \\
&\quad - \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell \partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon}}{\partial \nu} ds_g + \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon dv_g \\
&\quad + \frac{8\pi\ell(1-\epsilon)}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} (-c_\epsilon + 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon) (\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma) - \ell \text{Vol}_g(B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon))) \\
&\quad + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (24) and (26), for $y \in \partial\mathbb{B}_R(0)$, there holds

$$\frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu}(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(r_\epsilon y)) = \frac{1}{r_\epsilon} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}(y) + o_\epsilon(1) \right) = \frac{1}{r_\epsilon} \left(-\frac{R/2}{1 + R^2/8} + o_\epsilon(1) \right).$$

It follows that for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$

$$-\frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} = \frac{1}{r_\epsilon} \left(\frac{R/2}{1 + R^2/8} + o_\epsilon(1) \right).$$

This together with (33) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell \partial B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} (u_\epsilon - \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} + c_\epsilon - 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon) ds_g \\ & \geq \frac{\pi \ell R^2}{1 + R^2/8} (-2 \log(\pi \ell h(x_0)) - \tilde{A}_{x_0}) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

By (26) and (31), we have

$$- \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell \partial B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon}}{\partial \nu} ds_g = 8\pi \ell c_\epsilon - 16\pi \ell \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \quad (42)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell B_{R\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \tilde{G}_{x_\epsilon} dv_g = o_\epsilon(1), \quad \int_{\cup_{i=1}^\ell B_{R\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon dv_g = o_\epsilon(1) \quad (43)$$

and

$$(-c_\epsilon + 2 \log \lambda_\epsilon) \text{Vol}_g(B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(x_\epsilon))) = o_\epsilon(1). \quad (44)$$

Inserting (41)-(44) into (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{R,\epsilon}} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g & \geq 8\pi \ell (-2 \log(\pi \ell h(x_0)) - \tilde{A}_{x_0}) + 16\pi \ell (1 - \epsilon) \log \lambda_\epsilon \\ & \quad - 16\pi \ell \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (34) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g & \geq 8\pi \ell (-2 \log(\pi \ell h(x_0)) - \tilde{A}_{x_0}) + 16\pi \ell (1 - \epsilon) \log \lambda_\epsilon \\ & \quad - 16\pi \ell + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

In view of (21), it follows from (45) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{8\pi \ell (1-\epsilon)}(u_\epsilon) & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g - 8\pi \ell (1 - \epsilon) \log \int_{\Sigma} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \\ & \geq 4\pi \ell (-2 \log(\pi \ell h(x_0)) - \tilde{A}_{x_0}) - 8\pi \ell + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{8\pi \ell (1-\epsilon)}(u_\epsilon) \geq 4\pi \ell (-2 \log(\pi \ell h(x_0)) - \tilde{A}_{x_0}) - 8\pi \ell,$$

which immediately leads to (22) and completes the proof of (ii) of Theorem 1. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose that (5) has no solution. By Theorem 1,

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_G} J_{8\pi\ell}(u) \geq -4\pi\ell \max_{x \in \Sigma} (2 \log(\pi\ell h(x)) + \tilde{A}_x) - 8\pi\ell,$$

where \tilde{A}_x is defined as in (9). Hence

$$J_{8\pi\ell}(0) = -8\pi\ell \log \int_{\Sigma} h d\nu_g \geq -4\pi\ell \max_{x \in \Sigma} (2 \log(\pi\ell h(x)) + \tilde{A}_x) - 8\pi\ell,$$

which contradicts (11). Therefore (5) has a solution. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 3

In this section, under the assumptions of Theorem 3, we shall construct test functions to show

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_G} J_{8\pi\ell}(u) < -4\pi\ell \max_{x \in \Sigma} (2 \log(\pi\ell h(x)) + \tilde{A}_x) - 8\pi\ell, \quad (46)$$

where \tilde{A}_x is defined as in (9). This together with Theorem 1 concludes Theorem 3. In the sequel, we assume $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell\}$, $I(x) = \#\mathbf{G}(x) \equiv \ell$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Pick up some point $p \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\tilde{A}_p + 2 \log(\pi\ell h(p)) = \max_{x \in \Sigma} (\tilde{A}_x + 2 \log(\pi\ell h(x))). \quad (47)$$

It follows from $I(p) = \ell$ that $\sigma_1(p), \dots, \sigma_\ell(p)$ are different points on Σ . Let

$$\delta = \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ \text{inj}_g(\Sigma), \min_{1 \leq i < j \leq \ell} d_g(\sigma_i(p), \sigma_j(p)) \right\}. \quad (48)$$

Choose a normal coordinate system $(B_\delta(p), \exp_p^{-1}; \{y^1, y^2\})$ near p . More precisely, fixing an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of the tangent space $T_p\Sigma$, one can write $y = (y^1, y^2) = \exp_p^{-1}(x)$ if the exponential map $\exp_p : T_p\Sigma(\cong \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \Sigma$ maps the tangent vector $y^1 e_1 + y^2 e_2$ to the point $x \in B_\delta(p)$. One also denotes $\exp_p(y^1 e_1 + y^2 e_2)$ by $\exp_p(y)$, and thus $\exp_p^{-1}(B_\delta(p)) = \mathbb{B}_\delta(0)$. Let \tilde{G}_p be a Green function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \tilde{G}_p = 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_{\sigma_i(p)} - \frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \\ \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{G}_p d\nu_g = 0. \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

The existence of such a \tilde{G}_p is based on Proposition 4. By Propositions 5 and 6, we have

$$\tilde{G}_p(\sigma_i(y)) = \tilde{G}_p(y), \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell. \quad (50)$$

In the above mentioned normal coordinate system $(B_\delta(p), \exp_p^{-1}; \{y^1, y^2\})$, by elliptic estimates, \tilde{G}_p can be written as

$$\tilde{G}_p(\exp_p(y)) = -4 \log r + \tilde{A}_p + \alpha(y) + \beta(y), \quad (51)$$

where $r = |y| = d_g(p, \exp_p(y))$, \tilde{A}_p is a constant, $\alpha(y) = b_1 y^1 + b_2 y^2$, $\beta(y) \in C^1(\mathbb{B}_\delta(0))$ and

$$\beta(y) = c_1 (y^1)^2 + 2c_2 y^1 y^2 + c_3 (y^2)^2 + O(r^3).$$

To proceed, we have the following:

Lemma 10. Let c_1 and c_3 are constants in (51), $K(p)$ be the Gaussian curvature of (Σ, g) at p . Then the following identity holds

$$c_1 + c_3 + \frac{2}{3}K(p) = \frac{4\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)}.$$

Proof. We modify the argument of ([6], Proposition 3.2). In a normal coordinate system near p , the Riemannian metric can be written as $g = dr^2 + g^2(r, \theta)d\theta^2$ with

$$g(r, \theta) = r - \frac{K(p)}{6}r^3 + \phi(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)r^4 + O(r^5), \quad (52)$$

where $\phi(s, t) = \sum_{j=0}^3 a_j s^{3-j} t^j$ is a third order homogenous polynomial.

By the divergence theorem, we have for any $0 < r < \delta$

$$\int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial B_r(\sigma_i(p))} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_p}{\partial n} ds_g = \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_r(\sigma_i(p))} \Delta_g \tilde{G}_p dv_g = -\frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_r(\sigma_i(p))} dv_g. \quad (53)$$

Note that $\sigma^* g(x) = g(\sigma(x))$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$ and $x \in \Sigma$. In view of (50), (51) and (52), one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial B_r(\sigma_i(p))} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_p}{\partial n} ds_g &= \ell \int_0^{2\pi} \left(-\frac{4}{r} + 2c_1 r \cos^2 \theta + 4c_2 r \cos \theta \sin \theta + 2c_3 r \sin^2 \theta + O(r^2) \right) \\ &\quad \left(r - \frac{K(p)}{6}r^3 + O(r^4) \right) d\theta \\ &= -8\pi\ell + 2\pi\ell \left(c_1 + c_3 + \frac{2}{3}K(p) \right) r^2 + O(r^3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-\frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_r(\sigma_i(p))} dv_g = -\frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} (\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma) - \pi\ell r^2 + O(r^4)).$$

Inserting the above two estimates to (53) and comparing the the terms involving r^2 , we get the desired result. \square

We define a sequence of functions $(\phi_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$ by

$$\phi_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} c - 2 \log \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{8\epsilon^2} \right) + \tilde{A}_p + \alpha(\exp_p^{-1}(\sigma_i^{-1}(x))), & x \in B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(p)), i = 1, \dots, \ell \\ \tilde{G}_p(x) - \eta(\sigma_i^{-1}(x))\beta(\exp_p^{-1}(\sigma_i^{-1}(x))), & x \in B_{2R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(p)) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(p)) \\ \tilde{G}_p(x), & x \in \Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(p)), \end{cases}$$

where $R\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, R and c are constants depending only on ϵ and will be determined later, $r = r(x)$ denotes the geodesic distance between x and $\sigma_i(p)$ for $x \in B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(p))$, $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R\epsilon}(p))$ satisfies $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{R\epsilon}(p)$ and $|\nabla_g \eta| \leq 4/(R\epsilon)$, both α and β are functions defined as in (51).

To ensure $\phi_\epsilon \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$, we require for all $x \in \partial B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(p))$, there holds

$$c - 2 \log \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{8\epsilon^2} \right) + \tilde{A}_p + \alpha(\exp_p^{-1}(\sigma_i^{-1}(x))) = \tilde{G}_p(x) - \eta(\sigma_i(x))\beta(\exp_p^{-1}(\sigma_i^{-1}(x))).$$

This together with (51) implies that

$$c = 2 \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) - 4 \log(R\epsilon). \quad (54)$$

One can easily check that

$$\phi_\epsilon(\sigma_i(x)) = \phi_\epsilon(x), \quad \forall x \in \Sigma, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

A straightforward calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(p))} |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &= \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} \frac{16r^2}{(r^2 + 8\epsilon^2)^2} dv_g + \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} |\nabla_g(\alpha(\exp_p^{-1}(x)))|^2 dv_g \\ &\quad - \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} \frac{8r \nabla_g r \nabla_g(\alpha(\exp_p^{-1}(x)))}{r^2 + 8\epsilon^2} dv_g. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Since $\int_0^{2\pi} \phi(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) d\theta = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} \frac{16r^2}{(r^2 + 8\epsilon^2)^2} dv_g &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{R\epsilon} \frac{16r^2}{(r^2 + 8\epsilon^2)^2} \left(r - \frac{K(p)r^3}{6} + \phi(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)r^4 + O(r^5) \right) dr \\ &= 16\pi \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) - \frac{16\pi R^2}{R^2 + 8} + \frac{128}{3} \pi K(p) \epsilon^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{8}{3} \pi K(p) (R\epsilon)^2 + O(\epsilon^2) + O((R\epsilon)^4). \end{aligned}$$

In a normal coordinate system near p , $g^{ij}(y) = \delta^{ij} + O(r^2)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} |\nabla_g(\alpha(\exp_p^{-1}(x)))|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R\epsilon}(0)} g^{ij}(y) \partial_i (b_1 y^1 + b_2 y^2) \partial_j (b_1 y^1 + b_2 y^2) (1 + O(r^2)) dy \\ &= \pi (b_1^2 + b_2^2) (R\epsilon)^2 + O((R\epsilon)^4). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} \frac{8r \nabla_g r \nabla_g(\alpha(\exp_p^{-1}(x)))}{r^2 + 8\epsilon^2} dv_g &= 4 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{R\epsilon} \frac{\partial_r r^2 \partial_r (b_1 r \cos \theta + b_2 r \sin \theta)}{r^2 + 8\epsilon^2} g(r, \theta) dr \\ &= O((R\epsilon)^4). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above three estimates to (55), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(\sigma_i(p))} |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &= 16\pi \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) - \frac{16\pi R^2}{R^2 + 8} + \frac{128}{3} \pi K(p) \epsilon^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{8}{3} \pi K(p) (R\epsilon)^2 + \pi (b_1^2 + b_2^2) (R\epsilon)^2 \\ &\quad + O((R\epsilon)^4) + O(\epsilon^2) + O((R\epsilon)^4 \log(1 + R^2/8)). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Write $p_i = \sigma_i(p)$, $\eta_i = \eta \circ (\exp_p^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1})$, $\alpha_i = \alpha \circ \sigma_i^{-1}$ and $\beta_i = \beta \circ \sigma_i^{-1}$. By the divergence theorem and (49), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} |\nabla_g \tilde{G}_p|^2 dv_g + \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} |\nabla_g(\eta_i \beta_i)|^2 dv_g \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \nabla_g \tilde{G}_p \nabla_g(\eta_i \beta_i) dv_g \\
&= - \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \tilde{G}_p \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_p}{\partial n} ds_g + \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \tilde{G}_p \Delta_g \tilde{G}_p dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} |\nabla_g(\eta_i \beta_i)|^2 dv_g \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \eta_i \beta_i \Delta_g \tilde{G}_p dv_g + 2 \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \eta_i \beta_i \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_p}{\partial n} ds_g. \tag{57}
\end{aligned}$$

By (51), we have in a normal polar coordinate system near p

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_p(\exp_p(y)) &= -4 \log r + \tilde{A}_p + b_1 r \cos \theta + b_2 r \sin \theta + c_1 r^2 \cos^2 \theta + 2c_2 r^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta \\
&\quad + c_3 r^2 \sin^2 \theta + \varrho(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) r^3 + O(r^4), \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

where $y = (y^1, y^2) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$, $\varrho(s, t)$ is a third order homogenous polynomial with respect to s and t . Obviously

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \tilde{G}_p}{\partial n} &= -\frac{4}{r} + b_1 \cos \theta + b_2 \sin \theta + 2c_1 r \cos^2 \theta + 4c_2 r \cos \theta \sin \theta + 2c_3 r \sin^2 \theta \\
&\quad + 3r^2 \varrho(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) + O(r^3). \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (52), (58) and (59), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \tilde{G}_p \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_p}{\partial n} ds_g &= -\ell \int_{\partial B_{R\epsilon}(p)} \tilde{G}_p \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_p}{\partial n} ds_g \\
&= -32\pi\ell \log(R\epsilon) + 8\pi\ell \tilde{A}_p + 4\pi\ell(c_1 + c_3)(R\epsilon)^2 \\
&\quad - \pi\ell(b_1^2 + b_2^2)(R\epsilon)^2 - 2\pi\ell(c_1 + c_3 + \frac{2}{3}K(p))\tilde{A}_p(R\epsilon)^2 \\
&\quad + 8\pi\ell(c_1 + c_3 + \frac{2}{3}K(p))(R\epsilon)^2 \log(R\epsilon) + O((R\epsilon)^4 \log(R\epsilon)). \tag{60}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \tilde{G}_p \Delta_g \tilde{G}_p dv_g &= -\frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \tilde{G}_p dv_g = \frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \tilde{G}_p dv_g \\
&= -\frac{32\pi^2 \ell^2}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} (R\epsilon)^2 \log(R\epsilon) + \frac{16\pi^2 \ell^2}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} (R\epsilon)^2 + \frac{8\pi^2 \ell^2}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \tilde{A}_p(R\epsilon)^2 \\
&\quad + O((R\epsilon)^4 \log(R\epsilon)), \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} |\nabla_g(\eta_i \beta_i)|^2 dv_g = O((R\epsilon)^4), \quad (62)$$

$$-2 \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \eta_i \beta_i \Delta_g \tilde{G}_p dv_g = \frac{16\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \eta_i \beta_i dv_g = O((R\epsilon)^4) \quad (63)$$

and

$$2 \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \eta_i \beta_i \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_p}{\partial n} ds_g = -8\pi\ell(c_1 + c_3)(R\epsilon)^2 + O((R\epsilon)^4). \quad (64)$$

Inserting (60)-(64) to (57), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &= -32\pi\ell \log(R\epsilon) + 8\pi\ell \tilde{A}_p + 4\pi\ell(c_1 + c_3)(R\epsilon)^2 \\ &\quad - \pi\ell(b_1^2 + b_2^2)(R\epsilon)^2 - 2\pi\ell(c_1 + c_3 + \frac{2}{3}K(p))\tilde{A}_p(R\epsilon)^2 \\ &\quad + 8\pi\ell(c_1 + c_3 + \frac{2}{3}K(p))(R\epsilon)^2 \log(R\epsilon) \\ &\quad - \frac{32\pi^2\ell^2}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)}(R\epsilon)^2 \log(R\epsilon) + \frac{16\pi^2\ell^2}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)}(R\epsilon)^2 + \frac{8\pi^2\ell^2}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)}\tilde{A}_p(R\epsilon)^2 \\ &\quad - 8\pi\ell(c_1 + c_3)(R\epsilon)^2 + O((R\epsilon)^2 \log(R\epsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (56) and Lemma 10 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &= 16\pi\ell \log\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8}\right) - \frac{16\pi\ell R^2}{R^2 + 8} + \frac{128}{3}\pi\ell K(p)\epsilon^2 \log\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8}\right) \\ &\quad - 32\pi\ell \log(R\epsilon) + 8\pi\ell \tilde{A}_p + O((R\epsilon)^4 \log(R\epsilon)) + O(\epsilon^2) \\ &\quad + O((R\epsilon)^4 \log 1 + \frac{R^2}{8}). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Now we estimate the average of the integral of ϕ_ϵ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}_\epsilon &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} \phi_\epsilon dv_g \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \left(c - 2 \log\left(1 + \frac{r^2}{8\epsilon^2}\right) + \tilde{A}_p + \alpha_i \right) dv_g - \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \eta_i \beta_i dv_g \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i)} \tilde{G}_p dv_g + \int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} \tilde{G}_p dv_g \right) \\ &= -\frac{16\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \epsilon^2 \log\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8}\right) + O((R\epsilon)^4 \log(R\epsilon)) + O((R\epsilon)^4 \log(1 + R^2/8)). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Next we estimate $\int_{\Sigma} h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g &= \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g \\
&= e^{c+\bar{A}_p} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{R\epsilon} \frac{e^{b_1 r \cos \theta + b_2 r \sin \theta}}{(1+r^2/(8\epsilon^2))^2} \left(r - \frac{K(p)}{6} r^3 + O(r^4) \right) dr \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{R^2} \right)^2 e^{\bar{A}_p} \epsilon^{-2} \left\{ 8\pi \frac{R^2}{R^2+8} - \frac{32}{3} \pi K(p) \epsilon^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 16\pi (b_1^2 + b_2^2) \epsilon^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) + O(\epsilon^2) \right\}. \tag{67}
\end{aligned}$$

Let δ be defined as in (48). Note that for $y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta(0)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\bar{G}_p(\exp_p(y))} &= r^{-4} e^{\bar{A}_p} \left\{ 1 + b_1 r \cos \theta + b_2 r \sin \theta + c_1 r^2 \cos^2 \theta + 2c_2 r^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta + c_3 r^2 \sin^2 \theta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (b_1^2 r^2 \cos^2 \theta + 2b_1 b_2 r^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta + b_2^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta) + O(r^3) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By a straightforward calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\delta(p_i) \setminus B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i)} e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g &= \int_{B_\delta(p) \setminus B_{2R\epsilon}(p)} e^{\bar{G}_p} dv_g \\
&= \pi e^{\bar{A}_p} \left\{ (2R\epsilon)^{-2} - (c_1 + c_3 + \frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2}{2}) \log(R\epsilon) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{K(p)}{3} \log(R\epsilon) + O(1) \right\}. \tag{68}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g &= \int_{B_{2R\epsilon}(p) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p)} e^{\bar{G}_p - \eta_1 \beta_1} dv_g \\
&= \pi e^{\bar{A}_p} ((R\epsilon)^{-2} - (2R\epsilon)^{-2} + O(1)). \tag{69}
\end{aligned}$$

For $y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta(0)$, we write

$$h(\exp_p(y)) - h(p) = k_1 r \cos \theta + k_2 r \sin \theta + k_3 r^2 \cos^2 \theta + 2k_4 r^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta + k_5 r^2 \sin^2 \theta + O(r^3).$$

Noting that $h(p_i) = h(p)$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} (h - h(p_i)) e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g \\
&= \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p)} (h - h(p)) e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{R^2} \right)^2 e^{\bar{A}_p} \epsilon^{-2} \left\{ 32\pi (k_3 + k_5 + k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2) \epsilon^2 \log \left(1 + R^2/8 \right) + O(\epsilon^2) \right\}. \tag{70}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\int_{B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} (h - h(p_i)) e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g = \int_{B_{2R\epsilon}(p) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p)} (h - h(p)) e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g = O(1) \tag{71}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta(p_i) \setminus B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i)} (h - h(p_i)) e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g &= \int_{B_\delta(p) \setminus B_{2R\epsilon}(p)} (h - h(p)) e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g \\ &= -\pi e^{\bar{A}_p} (k_3 + k_5 + k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2) \log(R\epsilon) + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

It follows from (67) and (70) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g &= h(p_i) \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g + \int_{B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} (h - h(p_i)) e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g \\ &= \pi h(p) e^{\bar{A}_p} \epsilon^{-2} \left\{ \frac{R^2 + 8}{8R^2} + 16 \left(b_1^2 + b_2^2 - \frac{2}{3} K(p) + 2 \frac{k_3 + k_5 + k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2}{h(p)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{R^2} \right)^2 \epsilon^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) + O(\epsilon^2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

In view of (69) and (71),

$$\int_{B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i) \setminus B_{R\epsilon}(p_i)} h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g = \pi h(p) e^{\bar{A}_p} ((R\epsilon)^{-2} - (2R\epsilon)^{-2} + O(1)). \quad (74)$$

Also we have by (68) and (72) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta(p_i) \setminus B_{2R\epsilon}(p_i)} h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g &= \pi h(p) e^{\bar{A}_p} \left\{ (2R\epsilon)^{-2} - \left(c_1 + c_3 - \frac{K(p)}{3} + \frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2}{2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{k_3 + k_5 + k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2}{h(p)} \right) \log(R\epsilon) + O(1) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Clearly

$$\int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_\delta(p_i)} h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g = \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_\delta(p_i)} h e^{\bar{G}_p} dv_g = O(1),$$

which together with (73)-(75) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g &= \frac{\pi \ell h(p) e^{\bar{A}_p}}{8\epsilon^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{16}{R^2} + 128 \left(b_1^2 + b_2^2 - \frac{2}{3} K(p) + 2 \frac{k_3 + k_5 + k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2}{h(p)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{R^2} \right)^2 \epsilon^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) - 8 \left(c_1 + c_3 - \frac{K(p)}{3} + \frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{k_3 + k_5 + k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2}{h(p)} \right) \epsilon^2 \log(R\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Now we choose R such that $R^4 \epsilon^2 = \frac{1}{\log(-\log \epsilon)}$. It follows that $R^{-4} = o(\epsilon^2 \log \epsilon)$ and $\log R / \log \epsilon = -1/2 + o(1)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This together with (76) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \int_{\Sigma} h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g &= \log \frac{\pi \ell h(p) e^{\bar{A}_p}}{8\epsilon^2} + \frac{16}{R^2} + 4 \left\{ b_1^2 + b_2^2 - \frac{2}{3} K(p) + c_1 + c_3 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{k_3 + k_5 + k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2}{h(p)} + o_\epsilon(1) \right\} \epsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

In view of (65),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g &= 16\pi\ell \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 8\pi\ell \log 8 - 8\pi\ell + 4\pi\ell \widetilde{A}_p + \frac{128\pi\ell}{R^2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{64}{3} \pi\ell K(p) + o_\epsilon(1) \right) \epsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Moreover, (66) implies that

$$\bar{\phi}_\epsilon = \left(-\frac{16\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} + o_\epsilon(1) \right) \epsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \quad (79)$$

Noting that $2(k_3 + k_5) = -\Delta_g h(p)$ and combining (77)-(79) and Lemma 10, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} J_{8\pi\ell}(\phi_\epsilon - \bar{\phi}_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g \phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g - 8\pi\ell \log \int_{\Sigma} h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g + 8\pi\ell \bar{\phi}_\epsilon \\ &= -8\pi\ell - 4\pi\ell \widetilde{A}_p - 8\pi\ell \log(\pi\ell h(p)) - 32\pi\ell \left\{ \frac{8\pi\ell}{\text{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} - 2K(p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b_1^2 + b_2^2 - \frac{\Delta_g h(p)}{h(p)} + \frac{2(k_1 b_1 + k_2 b_2)}{h(p)} + o_\epsilon(1) \right\} \epsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

Under the hypothesis (12), one can see for (80) that

$$J_{8\pi\ell}(\phi_\epsilon - \bar{\phi}_\epsilon) < -4\pi\ell(2 \log(\pi\ell h(p)) + \widetilde{A}_p) - 8\pi\ell.$$

This together with (47) implies (46) and completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

6. Geometric conditions

In this section, we give examples of surfaces on which the assumptions of Theorems 2 and 3 may be satisfied. As a consequence, on such surfaces the mean field equation (5) may have a solution for appropriate h .

6.1. Geometric condition for Theorem 2

For $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $x \sim y$ if and only if $x_1 = y_1 + m$ and $x_2 = y_2 + k$ for some integers m and k . Define $\tau : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 / \sim$ by $\tau(x) = [x]$, the equivalent class of x . If U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , then $\tau(U)$ is defined as an open subset of the torus \mathbb{T}^2 . Let $g = (\tau^{-1})^*(g_0)$ be a Riemannian metric on \mathbb{T}^2 , where $g_0 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2$ is the standard Euclidean metric. Then (\mathbb{T}^2, g) is a flat torus. Let $\tau_0 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a transition given by $\tau_0(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + 1/2, x_2)$ for all $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We set $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, where $\sigma_1(P) = P$ and $\sigma_2(P) = \tau \circ \tau_0 \circ \tau^{-1}(P)$ for all $P \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Then \mathbf{G} is an isometric group acting on (\mathbb{T}^2, g) . Clearly $I(P) = \#\mathbf{G}(P) = 2$ for all $P \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Motivated by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [6], we define a function on \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x) &= 4\pi \left(x_2^2 - x_2 + \frac{1}{6} \right) - 2 \log \left(1 + e^{-4\pi x_2} - 2e^{-2\pi x_2} \cos(2\pi x_1) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \left(1 + e^{-4\pi n - 4\pi x_2} - 2e^{-2\pi n - 2\pi x_2} \cos(2\pi x_1) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \left(1 + e^{-4\pi n + 4\pi x_2} - 2e^{-2\pi n + 2\pi x_2} \cos(2\pi x_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to ([10], pages 42-47), we have $\lambda(x_1 + 1, x_2) = \lambda(x_1, x_2)$ and $\lambda(x_1, x_2 + 1) = \lambda(x_1, x_2)$. Moreover, for any fixed $P \in \mathbb{T}^2$, if we assume $0 \in \tau^{-1}(P)$, then $G(P, Q) = \lambda \circ \tau^{-1}(Q)$ is the usual Green function on \mathbb{T}^2 . Precisely G satisfies $G(P, Q) = G(Q, P)$ for all $P, Q \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{g,Q} G(P, Q) = 8\pi \delta_P(Q) - 8\pi \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} G(P, Q) dv_{g,Q} = 0. \end{cases}$$

It was proved by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [6] that $G(P, Q)$ has the following decomposition near P

$$G(P, Q) = -4 \log r + A_P + O(r^2),$$

where r denotes the geodesic distance between Q and P and

$$A_P = -4 \log(2\pi) + \frac{2\pi}{3} - 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - e^{-2\pi n}).$$

Let $\tilde{G}_P(Q) = G(P, Q) + G(\sigma_2(P), Q)$ for all $Q \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Then \tilde{G}_P is a \mathbf{G} -invariant Green function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \tilde{G}_P(Q) = 8\pi (\delta_P(Q) + \delta_{\sigma_2(P)}(Q)) - 16\pi \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{G}_P(Q) dv_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

By elliptic estimates, we can write near P

$$\tilde{G}_P(Q) = -4 \log r + \tilde{A}_P + O(r).$$

Clearly

$$\tilde{A}_P = A_P + G(\sigma_2(P), P) = A_P + G(P, \sigma_2(P)). \quad (81)$$

Using the inequality $\log(1 + t) \geq t/(t + 1)$ for $t > -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_P + 2 + 2 \log \pi &\leq 2 - 4 \log 2 - 2 \log \pi + \frac{2\pi}{3} + 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi n}}{1 - e^{-2\pi n}} \\ &\leq 2 - 4 \log 2 - 2 \log \pi + \frac{2\pi}{3} + \frac{8}{1 - e^{-2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi n} \\ &= 2 - 4 \log 2 - 2 \log \pi + \frac{2\pi}{3} + \frac{8e^{-2\pi}}{(1 - e^{-2\pi})^2} \\ &\approx -0.9752. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} G(P, \sigma_2(P)) + 2 \log 2 &= \lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) + 2 \log 2 \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{3} - 2 \log 2 - 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 + e^{-2\pi n}) \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi}{3} - 2 \log 2 - 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi n}}{1 + e^{-2\pi n}} \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi}{3} - 2 \log 2 - \frac{8e^{-2\pi}}{1 - e^{-4\pi}} \\ &\approx 0.6932. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

In view of (81), we have by combining (82) and (83) that $\widetilde{A}_P < -2 - 2 \log \pi - 2 \log 2$. Since \widetilde{A}_P is independent of the base point P , we conclude

$$\max_{P \in \mathbb{T}^2} \widetilde{A}_P < -2 - 2 \log \pi - 2 \log 2. \quad (84)$$

Since $\text{Vol}_g(\mathbb{T}^2) = 1$, (84) is exactly (11) where h is a constant and $\ell = 2$.

In conclusion, we construct a flat torus (\mathbb{T}^2, g) with the action of an isometric group $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ such that (11) holds when h is a positive constant. Set $h = c + \epsilon\phi$, where $c > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ are two constants, $\phi : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying $\phi(\sigma_1(x)) = \phi(\sigma_2(x))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$. If ϵ is chosen sufficiently small, then (11) still holds.

6.2. Geometric condition for Theorem 3

Let $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$ be a basis on \mathbb{R}^2 . Consider a torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 / \sim$, where $x \sim y$ if and only if $x - y = k\mathbf{a}$ for some integer k or $x - y = m\mathbf{b}$ for some integer m . Let g be a flat metric induced by the standard Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^2 . Denote the Gaussian curvature of (\mathbb{T}^2, g) by K . Then $K(x) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$. For any fixed positive integer ℓ , we set $\sigma_1(x) = x$, $\sigma_2(x) = x + \frac{1}{\ell}\mathbf{a}$, \dots , $\sigma_\ell(x) = x + \frac{\ell-1}{\ell}\mathbf{a}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Obviously $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell\}$ is an isometric group acting on (\mathbb{T}^2, g) . One can easily see that (12) holds when h is a positive constant. As in the previous subsection, we set $h = c + \epsilon\phi$, where $c > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ are two constants, $\phi : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying $\phi(\sigma(x)) = \phi(x)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$. If ϵ is chosen sufficiently small, then (12) still holds.

Acknowledgements. This work is partly supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11471014 and 11761131002).

References

- [1] T. Aubin, *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [2] C.-C. Chen, C.-S. Lin, Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 55 (2002), no. 6, 728-771.
- [3] C.-C. Chen, C.-S. Lin, Topological degree for a mean field equation on Riemann surfaces, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 56 (2003), no. 12, 1667-1727.
- [4] W. Chen, A Trudinger inequality on surfaces with conical singularities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 108 (1990), 821-832.
- [5] W. Chen, C. Li, Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, *Duke Math. J.* 63 (1991), 615-622.
- [6] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann surface, *Asian J. Math.* 1 (1997), 230-248.
- [7] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, Existence results for mean field equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 16 (1999), no. 5, 653-666.
- [8] Y. Fang, Y. Yang, Trudinger-Moser inequalities on a closed Riemannian surface with the action of a finite isometric group, arXiv: 1804.10386. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (In Press)*
- [9] L. Fontana, Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 68 (1993), 415-454.
- [10] S. Lang, *Introduction to Arakelov theory*, Springer, New York, 1988.
- [11] G. Lu, Y. Yang, The sharp constant and extremal functions for Moser-Trudinger inequalities involving L^p norms, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 25 (2009), 963-979.
- [12] A. Malchiodi, Topological methods for an elliptic equation with exponential nonlinearities, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 21 (2008), 277-294.
- [13] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 20 (1971), 1077-1091.
- [14] J. Moser, On a nonlinear problem in differential geometry, *Dynamical systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971)*, 273-280, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [15] M. Nolasco, G. Tarantello, On a sharp Sobolev-type inequality on two-dimensional compact manifolds, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 145 (1998), no. 2, 161-195.

- [16] M. Struwe, G. Tarantello, On multivortex solutions in Chern-Simons gauge theory, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat.* (8) 1 (1998), 109-121.
- [17] N. Trudinger, On embeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, *J. Math. Mech.* 17 (1967), 473-484.
- [18] M. Wang, A mean field equation with symmetry on torus, *Sci. China Math.* 54 (2011), no. 10, 2205-2211.
- [19] Y. Yang, A sharp form of the Moser-Trudinger inequality on a compact Riemannian surface, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007), 5761-5776.
- [20] Y. Yang, Extremal functions for Trudinger-Moser inequalities of Adimurthi-Druet type in dimension two, *J. Differential Equations* 258 (2015), 3161-3193.
- [21] Y. Yang, X. Zhu, A remark on a result of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 145 (2017), 3953-3959.
- [22] Y. Yang, X. Zhu, Existence of solutions to a class of Kazdan-Warner equations on compact Riemannian surface, *Sci. China Math.* 61 (2018), no. 6, 1109-1128.