

# An explicit representation and enumeration for self-dual cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ of length $2^s$

Yuan Cao<sup>a, b</sup>, Yonglin Cao<sup>a, \*</sup>, Hai Q. Dinh<sup>c, d</sup>, Somphong Jitman<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, Shandong 255091, China*

<sup>b</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha, Hunan 410114, China*

<sup>c</sup>*Division of Computational Mathematics and Engineering, Institute for Computational Science, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

<sup>d</sup>*Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

<sup>e</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand*

---

## Abstract

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  be a finite field of cardinality  $2^m$  and  $s$  a positive integer. Using properties for Kronecker product of matrices and calculation for linear equations over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , an efficient method for the construction of all distinct self-dual cyclic codes with length  $2^s$  over the finite chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ) is provided. On that basis, an explicit representation for every self-dual cyclic code of length  $2^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  and an exact formula to count the number of all these self-dual cyclic codes are given.

*Keywords:* Cyclic code; Self-dual code; Linear code; Finite chain ring; Kronecker product of matrices; Linear equations

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 94B15, 94B05, 11T71

---

\*corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: yuancao@sdut.edu.cn (Yuan Cao), ylcao@sdut.edu.cn (Yonglin Cao), hdinh@kent.edu (H. Q. Dinh), sjitman@gmail.com (S. Jitman).

## 1. Introduction

The class of self-dual codes is an interesting topic in coding theory duo to their connections to other fields of mathematics such as Lattices, Cryptography, Invariant Theory, Block designs, etc. A common theme for the construction of self-dual codes is the use of a computer search. In order to make this search feasible, special construction methods have been used to reduce the search field. In many instances, self-dual codes have been found by first finding a code over a ring and then mapping this code onto a code over a subring through a map that preserves duality. In the literatures, the mappings typically map to codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_4$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  since codes over these rings have had the most use.

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  be a finite field of  $p^m$  elements, where  $p$  is a prime number, and denote  $R = \frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[u]}{\langle u^2 \rangle} = \mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ). Then  $R$  is a finite chain ring and every invertible element in  $R$  is of the form:  $a + bu$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Let  $N$  be a fixed positive integer and

$$R^N = \{(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} \in R\}$$

be an  $R$ -free module with the usual componentwise addition and scalar multiplication by elements of  $R$ . Then a nonempty subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $R^N$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^N$  if  $\xi + \eta, a\xi \in \mathcal{C}$ , for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $a \in R$ . Let  $\gamma$  be an invertible element in  $R$ . The  $\gamma$ -cyclic shift operator  $\sigma_\gamma$  on  $R^N$  is defined by

$$\sigma_\gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-2}, a_{N-1}) = (\gamma a_{N-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-2}),$$

for all  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-2}, a_{N-1}) \in R^N$ . Then  $\sigma_\gamma$  is an invertible  $R$ -linear transformation on  $R^N$ . An  $R$ -submodule  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be  $\sigma_\gamma$ -invariant if

$$\sigma_\gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-2}, a_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \forall (a_0, \dots, a_{N-2}, a_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

In coding theory, an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^N$  is called a *linear code* over  $R$  of length  $N$  and every  $\sigma_\gamma$ -invariant  $R$ -submodule of  $R^N$  is called a  $\gamma$ -constacyclic code. In particular, a  $\gamma$ -constacyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *negacyclic code* when  $\gamma = -1$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *cyclic code* when  $\gamma = 1$ .

Let  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^N - \gamma \rangle} = \{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i x^i \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} \in R\}$  in which the arithmetic is done modulo  $x^N - \gamma$ . In this paper,  $\gamma$ -constacyclic codes over  $R$  of length  $N$  are identified with ideals of the ring  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^N - \gamma \rangle}$ , under the identification map  $\theta : R^N \rightarrow \frac{R[x]}{\langle x^N - \gamma \rangle}$  defined by  $\theta : (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \mapsto a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{N-1} x^{N-1}$  for all  $a_i \in R$  and  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ .

The *Euclidean inner product* on  $R^N$  is defined by  $[\alpha, \beta] = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i b_i \in R$  for all  $\alpha = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}), \beta = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{N-1}) \in R^N$ . Then the (Euclidean) *dual code* of a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $R$  of length  $N$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{\beta \in R^N \mid [\alpha, \beta] = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

which is also a linear code over  $R$  of length  $N$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be (Euclidean) *self-dual* if  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\alpha = a + bu \in R$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . As in [3], we define  $\phi(\alpha) = (b, a + b)$  and define the *Lee weight* of  $\alpha$  by  $w_L(\alpha) = w_H(b, a + b)$ , where  $w_H(b, a + b)$  is the Hamming weight of the vector  $(b, a + b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$ . Then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear space from  $R$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$ , and can be extended to an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear spaces from  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^N - 1 \rangle}$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{2N}$  by the rule:

$$\phi(\xi) = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{N-1}, a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_{N-1} + b_{N-1}),$$

for all  $\xi = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \alpha_i x^i \in \frac{R[x]}{\langle x^N - 1 \rangle}$ , where  $\alpha_i = a_i + b_i u$  with  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  and  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ .

The following conclusion is derived from Corollary 14 of [3]: *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an ideal of  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^N - 1 \rangle}$  and set  $\phi(\mathcal{C}) = \{\phi(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{C}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{2N}$ . Then*

- (i)  $\phi(\mathcal{C})$  is a 2-quasi-cyclic code over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2N$ .
- (ii) The Hamming weight distribution of  $\phi(\mathcal{C})$  is exactly the same as the Lee weight distribution of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (iii)  $\phi(\mathcal{C})$  is a self-dual code over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2N$  if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a self-dual code over  $R$  of length  $N$ .

Therefore, it is an effective way to obtain self-dual and 2-quasi-cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2N$  from self-dual code over  $R$  of length  $N$ .

There were a lot of literatures on linear codes, cyclic codes and constacyclic codes of length  $N$  over rings  $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ) for various prime  $p$  and positive integers  $m$  and some positive integer  $N$ . For example, [1]–[4], [6]–[17] and [19]. The classification of self-dual codes plays an important role in studying their structures and encoders. However, it is a very difficult task in general, and only some codes of special lengths over certain finite fields or finite chain rings are classified.

For example, all constacyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over the Galois extension rings of  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$  was classified and their detailed structures was also

established in [8]. Dinh [9] classified all constacyclic codes of length  $p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ . Dinh et al. [10] studied negacyclic codes of length  $2p^s$  over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ . Chen et al. [7] investigated constacyclic codes of length  $2p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ . Dinh et al. [11] studied constacyclic codes of length  $4p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  when  $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . These papers mainly used the methods in [8] and [9], and the main results and their proofs depend heavily on the code lengths  $p^s$ ,  $2p^s$  and  $4p^s$ . It is particularly important to note that *the representation and enumeration for self-dual cyclic codes were not studied in these papers.*

Dinh et al. [12] determined the algebraic structures of all cyclic and negacyclic codes of length  $4p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , established the duals of all such codes and given some subclass of self-dual negacyclic codes of length  $4p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  by Theorems 4.2, 4.4 and 4.9 of [12]. But *the representation and enumeration for all self-dual negacyclic codes and all self-dual cyclic codes were not obtained.*

In Section 4 of [5], Choosuwan et al. given an alternative characterization for cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$  of length  $p^s$  for any positive integer  $k, s$  and all primes  $p$ . The paper only provided a form for the Euclidean dual code of any cyclic code over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$  of length  $p^s$  (see Page 9, Theorem 19 in [5]). On that basis, the paper provided formulas to count the number of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes and Hermitian self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$  of length  $p^s$  respectively. For example, in Corollary 22(ii) of [5], the number of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ) was

$$1 + 2^k + 2(2^k)^2 \cdot \frac{(2^k)^{2^{s-2}-1} - 1}{2^k - 1}, \text{ if } s \geq 3.$$

But this statement requires correction. In fact, the above formula is missing a term (which proves to be quite important). In Theorem 2 of this paper, we prove the following correction: the number of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ) is

$$1 + 2^m + 2(2^m)^2 \cdot \frac{(2^m)^{2^{s-2}-1} - 1}{2^m - 1} + (2^m)^{2^{s-2}+1}, \text{ when } s \geq 3.$$

It seems that the error was caused by a mistake in taking the geometric sum. But the fundamental reason is that Choosuwan et al. [5] were not given an explicit representation for each Euclidean self-dual cyclic code of length  $2^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ .

Recently, in [6] we provided a new way different from the methods used in [7]–[13] to determine the algebraic structures, the generators and enumeration of  $\lambda$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  of length  $np^s$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer satisfying  $\gcd(p, n) = 1$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^\times$ . Then we given an explicit representation for the dual code of every cyclic code and every negacyclic code. Moreover, we provided a discriminant condition for the self-duality of each cyclic code and negacyclic code over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  of length  $np^s$ .

On the basis of the results obtained in [6], we can consider to give an explicit representation and enumeration for self-dual cyclic codes and self-dual negacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ . In this paper, we focus on (Euclidean) self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$ .

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the known results for self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  first. Then we prove that almost all of these self-dual cyclic codes are determined by a special kind of subsets  $\Omega_l$  in the residue class ring  $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^l \rangle}$  for certain integers  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq 2^s - 1$ . In Section 3, we study the calculation and representation of the set  $\Omega_l$  by use of properties for Kronecker product of matrices and calculation of linear equations over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . In Section 4, we give an explicit representation and enumeration for self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$ . As an application, we list precisely all distinct self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  for  $s = 3, 4$  in Section 5. Section 6 concludes the paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list the necessary notations and some known results for cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ) needed in the following sections.

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  be a finite field of  $p^m$  elements, where  $p$  is a prime number, and  $\lambda, \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^\times$  satisfying  $\lambda_0^{p^s} = \lambda$ . Then  $x^{p^s} - \lambda = (x - \lambda_0)^{p^s}$ . As in Section 7 of [6], we denote  $y = x - \lambda_0$ ,

$$\diamond \mathcal{A} = \frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]}{\langle x^{p^s} - \lambda \rangle} = \mathbb{F}_{p^m} + y\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + \dots + y^{p^s-1}\mathbb{F}_{p^m} \quad (y^{p^s} = 0).$$

$$\diamond y^\alpha(\mathcal{A}/\langle y^\beta \rangle) = \{ \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta-1} a_i y^i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}, \alpha \leq i \leq \beta - 1 \} \text{ and } y^\alpha(\mathcal{A}/\langle y^\alpha \rangle) = \{0\} \text{ for all integers } 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq p^s - 1.$$

Denote  $z = \widetilde{x - \lambda_0} = 1 - \lambda_0 x$  in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** (cf. [6] Corollary 7.1) *Denote  $y = x - \lambda_0$ ,  $z = 1 - \lambda_0 x$  and  $q = p^m$ . Then all distinct  $\lambda$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ) of length  $p^s$  and their dual codes are given by the following table:*

N	$\mathcal{C} \pmod{x^{p^s} - \lambda}, \mathcal{C}^\perp \pmod{x^{p^s} - \lambda^{-1}}$	$ \mathcal{C} $
$q^{p^s - \lceil \frac{p^s}{2} \rceil}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>\mathcal{C} = \langle yb(x) + u \rangle</math></li> <li><math>\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle zb(x) + u \rangle</math></li> <li><math>b(x) \in y^{\lceil \frac{p^s}{2} \rceil - 1}(\mathcal{A}/\langle y^{p^s-1} \rangle)</math></li> </ul>	$q^{p^s}$
$\sum_{k=1}^{p^s-1} q^{p^s-k-\lceil \frac{1}{2}(p^s-k) \rceil}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>\mathcal{C} = \langle y^{k+1}b(x) + uy^k \rangle</math></li> <li><math>\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle zb(x) + u, z^{p^s-k} \rangle</math></li> <li><math>b(x) \in y^{\lceil \frac{p^s-k}{2} \rceil - 1}(\mathcal{A}/\langle y^{p^s-k-1} \rangle)</math>,</li> <li><math>1 \leq k \leq p^s - 1</math></li> </ul>	$q^{p^s-k}$
$p^s + 1$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>\mathcal{C} = \langle y^k \rangle</math></li> <li><math>\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle z^{p^s-k} \rangle</math></li> <li><math>0 \leq k \leq p^s</math></li> </ul>	$q^{2(p^s-k)}$
$\sum_{t=1}^{p^s-1} q^{t-\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>\mathcal{C} = \langle yb(x) + u, y^t \rangle</math></li> <li><math>\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle z^{p^s-t+1}\overline{b(x)} + uz^{p^s-t} \rangle</math></li> <li><math>b(x) \in y^{\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil - 1}(\mathcal{A}/\langle y^{t-1} \rangle)</math>, <math>1 \leq t \leq p^s - 1</math></li> </ul>	$q^{2p^s-t}$
$\sum_{k=1}^{p^s-2} \sum_{t=1}^{p^s-k-1} q^{t-\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>\mathcal{C} = \langle y^{k+1}b(x) + uy^k, y^{k+t} \rangle</math></li> <li><math>\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle z^{p^s-k-t+1}\overline{b(x)} + uz^{p^s-k-t}, z^{p^s-k} \rangle</math></li> <li><math>b(x) \in y^{\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil - 1}(\mathcal{A}/\langle y^{t-1} \rangle)</math>,</li> <li><math>1 \leq t \leq p^s - k - 1, 1 \leq k \leq p^s - 2</math></li> </ul>	$q^{2p^s-2k-t}$

in which  $x^{-1} = \lambda x^{p^s-1}$  and  $\overline{b(x)} = -\lambda x^{p^s-1}b(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^{p^s} - \lambda^{-1}}$ .

Moreover, the number of  $\lambda$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  of length  $p^s$  is equal to

$$N_{(p^m, 1, p^s)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{2^s-1} (1+4i)2^{(2^s-1-i)m}, & \text{if } p = 2; \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{p^s-1}{2}} (3+4i)p^{(\frac{p^s-1}{2}-i)m}, & \text{if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we consider how to determine the self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ). We set  $p = 2$  and  $\lambda = 1$ . Then  $\lambda_0 = 1$  and  $-1 = 1$ . This implies the following:

$$\diamond y = z = x + 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{A} = \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^{2^s} \rangle};$$

$$\diamond y^\alpha(\mathcal{A}/\langle y^\beta \rangle) = (x+1)^\alpha \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^\beta \rangle} = \{ \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta-1} a_i(x+1)^i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, \alpha \leq i \leq \beta-1 \} \text{ (} (x+1)^\beta = 0 \text{), where } 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2^s - 1.$$

$$\diamond \overline{b(x)} = x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) \pmod{(x+1)^\beta} \text{ for any } b(x) \in (x+1)^\alpha \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^\beta \rangle}.$$

From this and by Lemma 1, we list all  $\sum_{i=0}^{2^s-1} (1+4i)2^{(2^s-1-i)m}$  cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  and their dual codes as follows.

Case I.  $2^{(2^s-2^{s-1})m}$  codes:

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (x+1)b(x) + u \rangle \text{ with } |\mathcal{C}| = 2^{2^s m} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (x+1) \cdot x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) + u \rangle, \\ \text{where } b(x) \in (x+1)^{2^s-1} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^{2^s-1} \rangle}.$$

Case II.  $\sum_{k=1}^{2^s-1} 2^{(2^s-k-\lceil \frac{1}{2}(2^s-k) \rceil)m}$  codes:

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (x+1)^{k+1}b(x) + u(x+1)^k \rangle \text{ with } |\mathcal{C}| = 2^{(2^s-k)m} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (x+1) \cdot \\ x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) + u, (x+1)^{2^s-k} \rangle, \text{ where } b(x) \in (x+1)^{\lceil \frac{2^s-k}{2} \rceil-1} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^{2^s-k-1} \rangle} \\ \text{and } 1 \leq k \leq 2^s - 1.$$

Case III.  $2^s + 1$  codes:

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (x+1)^k \rangle \text{ with } |\mathcal{C}| = 2^{2(2^s-k)} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (x+1)^{2^s-k} \rangle, \text{ where } \\ 0 \leq k \leq 2^s.$$

Case IV.  $\sum_{t=1}^{2^s-1} 2^{(t-\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil)m}$  codes:

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (x+1)b(x) + u, (x+1)^t \rangle \text{ with } |\mathcal{C}| = 2^{(2 \cdot 2^s - t)m} = 2^{(2^s + (2^s - t))m} \\ \text{and } \mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (x+1)^{2^s-t+1} \cdot x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) + u(x+1)^{2^s-t} \rangle, \text{ where } b(x) \in \\ (x+1)^{\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil-1} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^{t-1} \rangle} \text{ and } 1 \leq t \leq 2^s - 1.$$

Case V.  $\sum_{k=1}^{2^s-2} \sum_{t=1}^{2^s-k-1} 2^{(t-\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil)m}$  codes:

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (x+1)^{k+1}b(x) + u(x+1)^k, (x+1)^{k+t} \rangle \text{ with } |\mathcal{C}| = 2^{(2 \cdot 2^s - 2k - t)m} \text{ and } \\ \mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (x+1)^{2^s-k-t+1} \cdot x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) + u(x+1)^{2^s-k-t}, (x+1)^{2^s-k} \rangle, \text{ where } \\ b(x) \in (x+1)^{\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil-1} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^{t-1} \rangle}, 1 \leq t \leq 2^s - k - 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq 2^s - 2.$$

As  $|\langle \mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \rangle^{2^s}| = (2^{2^s m})^2$ , every self-dual cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  must contain  $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^{2^s m}$  codewords. From this, we deduce that there is no self-dual codes in Cases II and IV.

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x+1)b(x) + u \rangle$  be a code in Case I. Then  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$  if and only if  $b(x) \in (x+1)^{2^s-1} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^{2^s-1} \rangle}$  satisfying  $b(x) = x^{-1}b(x^{-1})$ , i.e.,  $b(x) + x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{2^s-1}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x+1)^k \rangle$  be a code in Case III. Then  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$  if and only if  $k = 2^s - k$ , i.e.,  $k = 2^{s-1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x+1)^{k+1}b(x) + u(x+1)^k, (x+1)^{k+t} \rangle$  be a code in Case III. Then  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$  if and only if  $2 \cdot 2^s - 2k - t = 2^s$  and  $b(x) \in (x+1)^{\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil - 1} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^{t-1} \rangle}$  satisfying  $b(x) = x^{-1}b(x^{-1})$ . The latter is equivalent to  $b(x) + x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{t-1}}$ , and the former is equivalent to  $t = 2^s - 2k$  and  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} - 1$ . When this condition is satisfied, we have  $\lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil = \frac{2^s - 2k}{2} = 2^{s-1} - k$ .

In the light of the above discussion, we have the following conclusion.

**Lemma 2** *For any integer  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq 2^s - 1$ , we denote*

$$\bullet \Omega_l = \left\{ b(x) \in \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^l \rangle} \mid b(x) + x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^l} \right\}.$$

*Then all distinct self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  are given by the following three cases:*

- (i)  $\langle (x+1)^{2^{s-1}} \rangle$ .
- (ii)  $\langle (x+1)b(x) + u \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = \sum_{i=2^{s-1}-1}^{2^s-2} b_i(x+1)^i \in \Omega_{2^{s-1}}$ .
- (iii)  $\langle (x+1)^{k+1}b(x) + u(x+1)^k, (x+1)^{2^s-k} \rangle$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} - 1$  and  $b(x) = \sum_{i=2^{s-1}-k-1}^{2^s-2k-2} b_i(x+1)^i \in \Omega_{2^s-2k-1}$ .

In order to present all cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  explicitly, by Lemma 2 we need to determine the subset  $\Omega_l$  of  $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^l \rangle}$  for  $l = 2^s - 1$  and  $l = 2^s - 2k - 1$  where  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} - 1$ .

### 3. Calculation and representation of the set $\Omega_l$

In this section, we consider how to calculate effectively and represent the subset  $\Omega_l$  of  $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle (x+1)^l \rangle}$  defined in Lemma 2, where  $1 \leq l \leq 2^s - 1$ .

For any matrix  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , let  $A^{\text{tr}}$  be the transposition of  $A$ . In the rest of this paper, we adopt the following notation

$$\bullet \mathcal{S}_l = \{ B_l = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{l-1})^{\text{tr}} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i(x+1)^i \in \Omega_l, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1 \}.$$

Then we have

$$\Omega_l = \{ (1, (x+1), \dots, (x+1)^{l-1}) B_l \mid B_l \in \mathcal{S}_l \} \text{ and } |\Omega_l| = |\mathcal{S}_l|.$$



In order to present the set  $\Omega_l$  of polynomial, it is sufficiency to determine the set  $\mathcal{S}_l$ .

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B$  be matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of sizes  $s \times t$  and  $u \times v$  respectively. Recall that the *Kronecker product* of  $A$  and  $B$  is defined by  $A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B)$  which is a matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of size  $su \times tv$ . Then we denote

- $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ;
- $G_{2^\lambda} = G_2 \otimes G_{2^{\lambda-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{2^{\lambda-1}} & 0 \\ G_{2^{\lambda-1}} & G_{2^{\lambda-1}} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda = 2, 3, \dots$

**Theorem 1** *Using the notation above, we have the following conclusions.*

- (i) *We have  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  with  $|\mathcal{S}_1| = 2^m$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $2 \leq l \leq 2^s - 1$  and assume  $\lambda$  be the least positive integer such that  $2^{\lambda-1} + 1 \leq l \leq 2^\lambda$ . Let  $I_{2^\lambda}$  be the identity matrix of order  $2^\lambda$ , and  $M_l$  be the submatrix in the upper left corner of  $I_{2^\lambda} + G_{2^\lambda}$ , i.e.,*

- $\begin{pmatrix} M_l & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} = I_{2^\lambda} + G_{2^\lambda}$ , where  $M_l$  is a matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  of size  $l \times l$ .

*Especially, we have  $M_{2^\lambda} = I_{2^\lambda} + G_{2^\lambda}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}_l$  is the solution space over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of the following homogeneous linear equations:*

$$M_l Y = 0, \text{ where } Y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{l-1})^{\text{tr}}.$$

*Moreover, we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\mathcal{S}_l) = \lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor$ , i.e.,  $|\mathcal{S}_l| = 2^{\lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor m}$ .*

- (iii) *Let  $\lambda \geq 2$  and  $l = 2^{\lambda-1} + \tau$ , where  $1 \leq \tau \leq 2^{\lambda-1}$ . Then each vector  $B_l \in \mathcal{S}_l$  can be calculated recursively by the following three steps:*

*Step 1. Choose column vector  $B_{2^{\lambda-1}} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{2^{\lambda-1}-1})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^{\lambda-1}}$  and set  $B_{2^{\lambda-1}}^{(1,\tau)} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{\tau-1})^{\text{tr}}$ .*

*Step 2. Solving the following linear equations over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ :*

$$M_\tau (y_{2^{\lambda-1}}, \dots, y_{l-1})^{\text{tr}} = B_{2^{\lambda-1}}^{(1,\tau)}$$

*where  $l = 2^{\lambda-1} + \tau$  and  $1 \leq \tau \leq 2^{\lambda-1}$ , we obtain solution vectors*

$$B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1,l)} = (b_{2^{\lambda-1}}, \dots, b_{l-1})^{\text{tr}}.$$

Step 3. Set  $B_l = \begin{pmatrix} B_{2^{\lambda-1}} \\ B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Therefore, for any integer  $\nu \geq 2$  we have the following conclusions:

- $\mathcal{S}_{2\nu-1} = \{(0, b_1, \dots, b_{2\nu-3}, b_{2\nu-2})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{2\nu-3}, b_{2\nu-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$ , where  $b_{2h}$  is a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear combination of  $b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{2h-1}$  for all  $h = 1, 2, \dots, \nu - 2$ .
- $\mathcal{S}_{2\nu} = \{(0, b_1, \dots, b_{2\nu-2}, b_{2\nu-1})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{2\nu-3}, b_{2\nu-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$ , where  $b_{2h}$  is a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear combination of  $b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{2h-1}$  for all  $h = 1, 2, \dots, \nu - 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $l$  be an integer with  $1 \leq l \leq 2^s - 1$ . By  $x(\sum_{i=0}^{l-1}(x+1)^i) = (1+(x+1))(\sum_{i=0}^{l-1}(x+1)^i) = 1+(x+1)^l \equiv 1 \pmod{(x+1)^l}$ , we obtain

$$x^{-1} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (x+1)^i \pmod{(x+1)^l}. \quad (1)$$

For simplification and clarity of the expression, in the following we denote

- ◇  $X_l = (1, (x+1), (x+1)^2, \dots, (x+1)^{l-1})$ .
- ◇  $\xi_l = 1 + (x+1) + \dots + (x+1)^{l-1} = x^{-1} \pmod{(x+1)^l}$ .
- ◇  $\Xi_l = (\xi_l, \xi_l^2(x+1), \dots, \xi_l^l(x+1)^{l-1}) = (x^{-1}, x^{-2}(x+1), x^{-3}(x+1)^2, \dots, x^{-l}(x+1)^{l-1}) \pmod{(x+1)^l}$ .

(i) Let  $l = 1$ . We have  $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{\langle x+1 \rangle} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , and hence

$$\Omega_1 = \{b(x) = a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \mid a_0 + a_0 x^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{x+1}\} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}.$$

This implies  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  with  $|\mathcal{S}_1| = 2^m$ .

(ii) Let  $l = 2$ . First, we prove the following statement:

$$\Xi_{2^\lambda} = X_{2^\lambda} G_{2^\lambda} \pmod{(x+1)^{2^\lambda}}, \quad 1 \leq \lambda \leq s-1. \quad (2)$$

We use mathematical induction on  $\lambda$ . When  $\lambda = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_2 &= (1 + (x + 1), (1 + (x + 1))^2(x + 1)) = (1 + (x + 1), x + 1) \\ &= (1, x + 1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = X_2 G_2 \pmod{(x + 1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence Equation (2) holds when  $\lambda = 1$ .

Let  $\lambda \geq 2$  and assume that

$$\Xi_{2^{\lambda-1}} = X_{2^{\lambda-1}} G_{2^{\lambda-1}} \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}}}.$$

Since  $(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} \xi_{2^\lambda}^{2^{\lambda-1}} \equiv (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} (\sum_{i=0}^{2^{\lambda-1}-1} (x + 1)^i)^{2^{\lambda-1}} \equiv (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^\lambda}}$ , by  $(x + 1)^{2^\lambda} \equiv 0 \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^\lambda}}$  and

$$\xi_{2^\lambda} = (\xi_{2^{\lambda-1}} \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}}}) + (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} \cdot \xi_{2^{\lambda-1}} \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^\lambda}},$$

we have

$$\xi_{2^\lambda}^i = (\xi_{2^{\lambda-1}}^i \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}}}) + (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} \cdot \xi_{2^{\lambda-1}}^i \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^\lambda}}$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{\lambda-1}$ . From these, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_{2^\lambda} &= (\xi_{2^\lambda}, \xi_{2^\lambda}^2(x + 1), \dots, \xi_{2^\lambda}^{2^\lambda}(x + 1)^{2^\lambda-1}) \\ &= \left( \xi_{2^\lambda}, \xi_{2^\lambda}^2(x + 1), \dots, \xi_{2^\lambda}^{2^{\lambda-1}}(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}-1}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \xi_{2^\lambda}^{2^{\lambda-1}}(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} \cdot \left( \xi_{2^\lambda}, \xi_{2^\lambda}^2(x + 1), \dots, \xi_{2^\lambda}^{2^{\lambda-1}}(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}-1} \right) \right) \\ &\equiv \left( (\Xi_{2^{\lambda-1}} \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}}}) + (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} (\Xi_{2^{\lambda-1}} \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}}}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} (\Xi_{2^{\lambda-1}} \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}}}) \right) \\ &\equiv \left( X_{2^{\lambda-1}} G_{2^{\lambda-1}} + (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} X_{2^{\lambda-1}} G_{2^{\lambda-1}}, (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} X_{2^{\lambda-1}} G_{2^{\lambda-1}} \right) \\ &= (X_{2^{\lambda-1}}, (x + 1)^{2^{\lambda-1}} X_{2^{\lambda-1}}) \begin{pmatrix} G_{2^{\lambda-1}} & 0 \\ G_{2^{\lambda-1}} & G_{2^{\lambda-1}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= X_{2^\lambda} G_{2^\lambda} \pmod{(x + 1)^{2^\lambda}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence Equation (2) holds for all  $\lambda$ .

Then we determine the set  $\mathcal{S}_l$ , where  $2^{\lambda-1} + 1 \leq l \leq 2^\lambda$  and  $2 \leq \lambda \leq s - 1$ . Let  $b(x) \in \frac{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]}{((x+1)^l)}$ . Then  $b(x)$  has a unique  $(x + 1)$ -expansion:

$$b(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i(x + 1)^i = X_l B_l, \quad B_l = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{l-1})^{\text{tr}} \text{ with } b_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}. \quad (3)$$

From this and by Equation (1), we deduce that

$$x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) = x^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i(x^{-1} + 1)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i x^{-(i+1)}(x+1)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i \xi_l^{i+1} (x+1)^i,$$

i.e.,  $x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) = \Xi_l B_l$ . From these, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} b(x) + x^{-1}b(x^{-1}) &\equiv X_l B_l + \Xi_l B_l = (X_{2^\lambda} + \Xi_{2^\lambda}) \begin{pmatrix} B_l \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv X_{2^\lambda} (I_{2^\lambda} + G_{2^\lambda}) \begin{pmatrix} B_l \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv (X_l, 0) \begin{pmatrix} M_l & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_l \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv X_l (M_l B_l) \pmod{(x+1)^l}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the definition of  $\Omega_l$  in Lemma 2 and Equation (3), we see that  $b(x) \in \Omega_l$  if and only if  $M_l B_l = 0$ . The latter is equivalent to that  $B_l$  is a solution vector of  $M_l Y = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}_l$  is the solution space over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of the homogeneous linear equations  $M_l Y = 0$ , by the definition of  $\mathcal{S}_l$ .

By  $G_{2^\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{2^{\lambda-1}} & 0 \\ G_{2^{\lambda-1}} & G_{2^{\lambda-1}} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} M_l & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} = I_{2^\lambda} + G_{2^\lambda}$ , we see that  $M_l$  is a strictly lower triangular matrix and  $\text{rank}(M_l) = \lceil \frac{l-1}{2} \rceil$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_l$  is the solution space of homogeneous linear equations  $M_l Y = 0$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , we have that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\mathcal{S}_l) = l - \lceil \frac{l-1}{2} \rceil = 1 + \lfloor \frac{l-1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor$  (For similar results, see [18, Proposition 3.3]). This implies  $|\Omega_l| = |\mathcal{S}_l| = 2^{\lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor m}$ .

(iii) Let  $\lambda \geq 2$  and  $l = 2^{\lambda-1} + \tau$ , where  $1 \leq \tau \leq 2^{\lambda-1}$ . By (ii) we know that  $M_{2^\lambda} = I_{2^\lambda} + G_{2^\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{2^{\lambda-1}} & 0 \\ I_{2^{\lambda-1}} + M_{2^{\lambda-1}} & M_{2^{\lambda-1}} \end{pmatrix}$ . This implies

$$M_l = \begin{pmatrix} M_{2^{\lambda-1}} & 0 \\ (I_\tau, 0) + (M_\tau, 0) & M_\tau \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each  $B_l \in \mathcal{S}_l$ , we write  $B_l = \begin{pmatrix} B_l^{(1, 2^{\lambda-1})} \\ B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)} \end{pmatrix}$  where

$$B_l^{(1, 2^{\lambda-1})} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{2^{\lambda-1}-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{2^{\lambda-1}} \\ \vdots \\ b_{l-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B_l^{(1, \tau)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{\tau-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by (ii), we have  $M_l B_l = 0$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} M_{2^{\lambda-1}} B_l^{(1, 2^{\lambda-1})} = 0; \\ B_l^{(1, \tau)} + M_\tau B_l^{(1, \tau)} + M_\tau B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

From the first equation, we deduce that  $B_l^{(1, 2^{\lambda-1})} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^{\lambda-1}}$ . This implies  $M_\tau B_l^{(1, \tau)} = 0$  by (ii) and  $1 \leq \tau \leq 2^{\lambda-1}$ . Then by the second equation, it follows that

$$M_\tau B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)} = B_l^{(1, \tau)}. \quad (4)$$

Now, denote  $\mathcal{S}'_{2^{\lambda-1}} = \{B_l^{(1, 2^{\lambda-1})} \mid B_l \in \mathcal{S}_l\}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{S}'_{2^{\lambda-1}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{2^{\lambda-1}}$ .

As the number of solutions of the linear equations (4) is equal to  $|\mathcal{S}_\tau| = 2^{\lfloor \frac{\tau+1}{2} \rfloor m}$  by linear algebra theory and (ii), it follows that

$$2^{\lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor m} = |\mathcal{S}_l| = |\mathcal{S}'_{2^{\lambda-1}}| |\mathcal{S}_\tau| = 2^{\lfloor \frac{\tau+1}{2} \rfloor m} |\mathcal{S}'_{2^{\lambda-1}}|.$$

From this and by  $|\mathcal{S}_l| = 2^{\lfloor \frac{l+1}{2} \rfloor m}$  and  $l = 2^{\lambda-1} + \tau$ , we deduce that

$$|\mathcal{S}'_{2^{\lambda-1}}| = 2^{(\lfloor \frac{2^{\lambda-1}+\tau+1}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{\tau+1}{2} \rfloor)m} = 2^{2^{\lambda-2}m} = 2^{\lfloor \frac{2^{\lambda-1}+1}{2} \rfloor m} = |\mathcal{S}_{2^{\lambda-1}}|.$$

Therefore, we have that  $\mathcal{S}'_{2^{\lambda-1}} = \mathcal{S}_{2^{\lambda-1}}$ . Hence the linear equations (4) are equivalent to

$$M_\tau B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)} = B_{2^{\lambda-1}}^{(1, \tau)},$$

where  $B_{2^{\lambda-1}} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{2^{\lambda-1}-1})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^{\lambda-1}}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}_{2^{\lambda-1}} = \{B_l^{(1, 2^{\lambda-1})} \mid B_l \in \mathcal{S}_l\} \text{ (for all } l = 2^{\lambda-1} + \tau \text{ and } 1 \leq \tau \leq 2^{\lambda-1}\text{),}$$

$B_{2^{\lambda-1}}^{(1, \tau)} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{\tau-1})^{\text{tr}}$  and the vector  $B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)}$  satisfies  $\begin{pmatrix} B_{2^{\lambda-1}} \\ B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_l$ .

As stated above, we conclude that  $B_l = B_{2^{\lambda-1}+\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{2^{\lambda-1}} \\ B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)} \end{pmatrix}$  where

$B_{2^{\lambda-1}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^{\lambda-1}}$  and  $B_l^{(2^{\lambda-1}+1, l)}$  is a solution of the linear equations  $M_\tau Y = B_{2^{\lambda-1}}^{(1, \tau)}$ , for all  $1 \leq \tau \leq 2^{\lambda-1}$ .

Finally, the conclusion for the structure and properties of the  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear space  $\mathcal{S}_l$  can be proved by the mathematical induction on the integer  $\lambda \geq 2$ . Here, we omit the specific processes.  $\square$

#### 4. Representation and enumeration for self-dual cyclic codes

In this section, we determine all self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ).

**Theorem 2** *For any integers  $l$  and  $\delta$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < l \leq 2^s - 1$ , denote*

$$\bullet \mathcal{S}_l^{[\delta]} = \{B_l^{[\delta]} = (b_\delta \dots, b_{l-1})^{\text{tr}} \mid (0, \dots, 0, b_\delta \dots, b_{l-1})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_l\}.$$

Then

(i) *We have the following conclusions:*

(i-1) *When  $s = 1$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-1]} = \mathcal{S}_1^{[0]} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .*

(i-2) *When  $s = 2$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-1]} = \mathcal{S}_3^{[1]} = \{(b_1, b_2)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$ .*

(i-3) *When  $s \geq 3$ , we have*

$\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-1]} = \{(b_{2^{s-1}-1}, b_{2^{s-1}}, \dots, b_{2^s-3}, b_{2^s-2})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_{2^{s-2}+2i-1}, b_{2^s-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{s-2} - 1\}$  *in which  $b_{2^{s-1}+2i}$  is a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear combination of  $b_{2^{s-1}+2z-1}$ ,  $0 \leq z \leq i$ , for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{s-2} - 2$ .*

*Hence*

$$|\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-1]}| = (2^m)^{2^{s-2}+1}.$$

◇ *When  $k = 2^{s-1} - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-2k-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]} = \mathcal{S}_1^{[0]} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .*

◇ *Let  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} - 2$ . We have the following two cases.*

◇ *If  $k = 2^{s-1} - 2h$  with  $1 \leq h \leq 2^{s-2} - 1$ , we have*

$\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-2k-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]} = \mathcal{S}_{4h-1}^{[2h-1]} = \{(b_{2h-1}, b_{2h}, b_{2h+1}, \dots, b_{4h-3}, b_{4h-2})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_{2h+2i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, i = 0, 1, \dots, h-1, \text{ and } b_{4h-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$  *in which  $b_{2h+2i}$  is a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear combination of  $b_{2h+2z-1}$ ,  $0 \leq z \leq i$ , for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, h-2$ . Hence*

$$|\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-2k-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]}| = |\mathcal{S}_{4h-1}^{[2h-1]}| = (2^m)^{h+1}.$$

◇ *If  $k = 2^{s-1} - 2h - 1$  with  $1 \leq h \leq 2^{s-2} - 1$ , we have*

$\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-2k-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]} = \mathcal{S}_{4h+1}^{[2h]} = \{(0, b_{2h+1}, \dots, b_{4h-1}, b_{4h})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_{2h+1+2i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, i = 0, 1, \dots, h-1, \text{ and } b_{4h} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}$  *in which  $b_{2h+2+2i}$  is a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear combination of  $b_{2h+2+2z-1}$ ,  $0 \leq z \leq i$ , for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, h-2$ . Hence*

$$|\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-2k-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]}| = |\mathcal{S}_{4h+1}^{[2h]}| = (2^m)^{h+1}.$$

(ii) All distinct self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length 2 are given by the following:

$$\langle x + 1 \rangle;$$

$$\langle (x + 1)b + u \rangle \text{ where } b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}.$$

Therefore, the number of self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length 2 is  $N_1 = 1 + 2^m$ .

(iii) All distinct self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length 4 are given by the following:

$$\langle (x + 1)^2 \rangle;$$

$$\langle (x + 1)b(x) + u \rangle, \text{ where } b(x) = b_1(x + 1) + b_2(x + 1)^2 \text{ with } b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m};$$

$$\langle (x + 1)^2b + u(x + 1), (x + 1)^3 \rangle \text{ where } b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}.$$

Therefore, the number of self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length 4 is  $N_2 = 1 + 2^m + (2^m)^2$ .

(iv) Let  $s \geq 3$ . All distinct self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  are given by the following:

$$\diamond \langle (x + 1)^{2^{s-1}} \rangle.$$

$$\diamond \langle (x+1)b(x)+u \rangle, \text{ where } b(x) = \sum_{i=2^{s-1}-1}^{2^s-2} b_i(x+1)^i \text{ with } (b_{2^{s-1}-1}, b_{2^s-1}, \dots, b_{2^s-2})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^s-1}^{[2^s-1]}.$$

$$\diamond \langle (x + 1)^{2^{s-1}}b + u(x + 1)^{2^{s-1}-1}, (x + 1)^{2^{s-1}+1} \rangle \text{ where } b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}.$$

$$\diamond \langle (x + 1)^{2^{s-1}-2h+1}b(x) + u(x + 1)^{2^{s-1}-2h}, (x + 1)^{2^{s-1}+2h} \rangle, \text{ where } b(x) = \sum_{i=2h-1}^{4h-2} b_i(x + 1)^i, (b_{2h-1}, b_{2h}, b_{2h+1}, \dots, b_{4h-3}, b_{4h-2})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{4h-1}^{[2h-1]} \text{ and } 1 \leq h \leq 2^{s-2} - 1.$$

$$\diamond \langle (x + 1)^{2^{s-1}-2h}b(x) + u(x + 1)^{2^{s-1}-2h-1}, (x + 1)^{2^{s-1}+2h+1} \rangle, \text{ where } b(x) = \sum_{i=2h+1}^{4h} b_i(x + 1)^i, (0, b_{2h+1}, b_{2h+2}, \dots, b_{4h-1}, b_{4h})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{4h+1}^{[2h]} \text{ and } 1 \leq h \leq 2^{s-2} - 1.$$

Therefore, the number of self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  is

$$N_s = 1 + 2^m + 2(2^m)^2 \cdot \frac{(2^m)^{2^{s-2}-1} - 1}{2^m - 1} + (2^m)^{2^{s-2}+1}, \quad s \geq 3.$$

**Proof.** (†) For each  $B_l = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{l-1})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_l$ , by Theorem 1(iii) we know that  $b_{2h}$  is a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear combination of  $b_{2i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , for all  $1 \leq h \leq \lceil \frac{l-1}{2} \rceil$ . By the definition of  $B_l^{[\delta]} = (b_\delta, \dots, b_{l-1})^{\text{tr}}$ , we have that  $b_{2i-1} = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, \lceil \frac{\delta-1}{2} \rceil$ . From this, we deduce the following conclusions:

▷ When  $l = 1$  and  $\delta = 0$ , we have  $B_1^{[0]} = b_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .

▷ When  $l \geq 2$  and  $\delta$  is odd, we have that  $b_{2h}$  is a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear combination of  $b_{2i-1}$ ,  $i = \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \dots, h$ , for all  $\frac{\delta+1}{2} \leq h \leq \lceil \frac{l-1}{2} \rceil$ .

▷ When  $l \geq 3$  and  $\delta$  is even, we have that  $b_\delta = 0$  and  $b_{2h}$  is a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear combination of  $b_{2i-1}$ ,  $i = \frac{\delta}{2} + 1, \dots, h$ , for all  $\delta + 1 \leq h \leq \lceil \frac{l-1}{2} \rceil$ .

From these, one can easily verify that all conclusions in (i) are hold. Here, we omit these details.

(‡) By Lemma 2, all distinct self-dual codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  are given by one of the following three cases:

**Case 1.**  $C = \langle (x+1)^{2^{s-1}} \rangle$ .

**Case 2.**  $\langle (x+1)b(x) + u \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = \sum_{i=2^{s-1}-1}^{2^s-2} b_i(x+1)^i \in \Omega_{2^s-1}$ .

Let  $b(x) = \sum_{i=2^{s-1}-1}^{2^s-2} b_i(x+1)^i \in \Omega_{2^s-1}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{S}_{2^s-1}$  in the beginning of section 3, we see that  $B_{2^s-1} = (0, \dots, 0, b_{2^{s-1}-1}, \dots, b_{2^s-2})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^s-1}$ , which is equivalent to that  $(b_{2^{s-1}-1}, \dots, b_{2^s-2})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^s-1}^{[2^{s-1}-1]}$ .

**Case 3.**  $\langle (x+1)^{k+1}b(x) + u(x+1)^k, (x+1)^{2^s-k} \rangle$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} - 1$  and  $b(x) = \sum_{i=2^{s-1}-k-1}^{2^s-2k-2} b_i(x+1)^i \in \Omega_{2^s-2k-1}$ .

Let  $b(x) = \sum_{i=2^{s-1}-k-1}^{2^s-2k-2} b_i(x+1)^i \in \Omega_{2^s-2k-1}$ . Then by the definition of  $\mathcal{S}_{2^s-2k-1}$ , we have  $B_{2^s-2k-1} = (0, \dots, 0, b_{2^{s-1}-k-1}, \dots, b_{2^s-2k-2})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^s-2k-1}$ , which is equivalent to that  $(b_{2^{s-1}-k-1}, \dots, b_{2^s-2k-2})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^s-2k-1}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]}$ .

(ii) Let  $s = 1$ . Then there is no integer  $k$  satisfying  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} - 1 = 0$ . Hence there is no codes in Case 3. From Cases 1 and 2, by  $\mathcal{S}_{2^s-1}^{[2^{s-1}-1]} = \mathcal{S}_1^{[0]} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  we deduce that all  $1 + 2^m$  distinct self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length 2 are given by:  $\langle x+1 \rangle$ ;  $\langle (x+1)b + u \rangle$  where  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .

(iii) Let  $s = 2$ . By  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} - 1 = 1$ , we have  $k = 1$ . Then from the expression for codes in Cases 1–3 and by

$$\mathcal{S}_{2^s-1}^{[2^{s-1}-1]} = \mathcal{S}_3^{[1]} = \{(b_1, b_2)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_{2^s-2k-1}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]} = \mathcal{S}_1^{[0]} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m},$$

we deduce that all distinct  $1 + 2^m + (2^m)^2$  self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^2$  are given by:  $\langle (x+1)^2 \rangle$ ;  $\langle (x+1)b(x) + u \rangle$  where  $b(x) = b_1(x+1) + b_2(x+1)^2$  with  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;  $\langle (x+1)^2b + u(x+1), (x+1)^3 \rangle$  where  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .



(iv) Let  $s \geq 3$ . Then the codes in Case 2 are given by:

$$\langle (x+1)b(x) + u \rangle,$$

where  $b(x) = \sum_{i=2^{s-1}-1}^{2^s-2} b_i(x+1)^i$  with  $(b_{2^{s-1}-1}, \dots, b_{2^s-2})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{2^{s-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-1]}$ . Hence the number of codes in Case 1 is  $|\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-1}}^{[2^{s-1}-1]}| = (2^m)^{2^{s-2}+1}$ .

In the following, we determine the codes in Case 3. In this case, we have  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} - 1$ . Then we have the following two subcases of Case 3:

**Subcase 3-1.**  $k = 2^{s-1} - 1$ . By  $\mathcal{S}_{2^s-2k-1}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]} = \mathcal{S}_1^{[0]} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , we see that there are  $2^m$  codes in this subcase given by:

$$\langle (x+1)^{2^{s-1}}b + u(x+1)^{2^{s-1}-1}, (x+1)^{2^{s-1}+1} \rangle \text{ where } b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}.$$

**Subcase 3-2.**  $1 \leq k = 2^{s-1} - 2$ . We set  $\nu = 2^{s-1} - k$ . Then  $k = 2^{s-1} - \nu$ , where  $2 \leq \nu \leq 2^{s-1} - 1$ , and we have the following two cases:

◊ When  $\nu = 2h$  with  $h \geq 1$ , we have  $k = 2^{s-1} - 2h$  where  $1 \leq h \leq 2^{s-2} - 1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}_{2^s-2k-1}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]} = \mathcal{S}_{4h-1}^{[2h-1]}$ . In this case, there are  $|\mathcal{S}_{4h-1}^{[2h-1]}| = (2^m)^{h+1}$  codes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (x+1)^{(2^{s-1}-2h)+1}b(x) + u(x+1)^{2^{s-1}-2h}, (x+1)^{2^s-(2^{s-1}-2h)} \rangle \\ &= \langle (x+1)^{2^{s-1}-2h+1}b(x) + u(x+1)^{2^{s-1}-2h}, (x+1)^{2^{s-1}+2h} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $b(x) = b_{2h-1}(x+1)^{2h-1} + b_{2h}(x+1)^{2h} + \dots + b_{4h-3}(x+1)^{4h-3} + b_{4h-2}(x+1)^{4h-2}$ , with  $(b_{2h-1}, b_{2h}, \dots, b_{4h-3}, b_{4h-2})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{4h-1}^{[2h-1]}$ .

◊ When  $\nu = 2h + 1$  with  $h \geq 1$ , we have  $k = 2^{s-1} - 2h - 1$  where  $1 \leq h \leq 2^{s-2} - 1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}_{2^s-2k-1}^{[2^{s-1}-k-1]} = \mathcal{S}_{4h+1}^{[2h]}$ . In this case, there are  $|\mathcal{S}_{4h+1}^{[2h]}| = (2^m)^{h+1}$  codes given by:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (x+1)^{(2^{s-1}-2h-1)+1}b(x) + u(x+1)^{2^{s-1}-2h-1}, (x+1)^{2^s-(2^{s-1}-2h-1)} \rangle \\ &= \langle (x+1)^{2^{s-1}-2h}b(x) + u(x+1)^{2^{s-1}-2h-1}, (x+1)^{2^{s-1}+2h+1} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $b(x) = b_{2h+1}(x+1)^{2h+1} + b_{2h+2}(x+1)^{2h+1} + \dots + b_{4h-1}(x+1)^{4h-1} + b_{4h}(x+1)^{4h}$  and  $(0, b_{2h+1}, b_{2h+2}, \dots, b_{4h-1}, b_{4h})^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_{4h+1}^{[2h]}$ .

As stated above, we see that the number  $N_s$  of self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  is equal to

$$N_s = 1 + 2^m + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{2^{s-2}-1} (2^m)^{h+1} + (2^m)^{2^{s-2}+1},$$

where  $\sum_{h=1}^{2^{s-2}-1} (2^m)^{h+1} = (2^m)^2 \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s-2}-2} (2^m)^{i-1} = (2^m)^2 \cdot \frac{(2^m)^{2^{s-2}-1}-1}{2^m-1}$ .  $\square$

## 5. Self-dual cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ of length $2^3$ and $2^4$

In this section, we show how to list precisely all distinct self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  for specific positive integer  $s$ .

Here, we take cases of  $s = 3, 4$  as examples. We first calculate sets  $\mathcal{S}_l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq 16$ ) by Theorem 1(iii) and we put the calculation process and results in the appendix of this paper. Then by use of Theorem 2, we provide an explicit representation for self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^3$  and  $2^4$ , respectively.

◇ Let  $s = 3$ . By Appendix of this paper, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-1}-1}^{[2^{s-1}-1]} &= \mathcal{S}_7^{[3]} = \{(b_3, 0, b_5, b_6)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_3, b_5, b_6 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_1^{[0]} &= \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{4-1}^{[2-1]} = \mathcal{S}_3^{[1]} = \{(b_1, b_2)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{4+1}^{[2]} &= \mathcal{S}_5^{[2]} = \{(0, b_3, b_4)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}.\end{aligned}$$

From these and by Theorem 2(iv), we list all distinct  $1 + 2^m + 2(2^m)^2 + (2^m)^3$  self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^3$  as follows:

- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^4 \rangle$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)b(x) + u \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_3(x+1)^3 + b_5(x+1)^5 + b_6(x+1)^6$  with  $b_3, b_5, b_6 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^4b + u(x+1)^3, (x+1)^5 \rangle$  where  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^3b(x) + u(x+1)^2, (x+1)^6 \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_1(x+1) + b_2(x+1)^2$  with  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^2b(x) + u(x+1), (x+1)^7 \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_3(x+1)^3 + b_4(x+1)^4$  with  $b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .

◇ Let  $s = 4$ . By Appendix of this paper, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{2^{s-1}-1}^{[2^{s-1}-1]} &= \mathcal{S}_{15}^{[7]} = \{(b_7, 0, b_9, b_9, b_{11}, b_9, b_{13}, b_{14})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_7, b_9, b_{11}, b_{13}, b_{14} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{8-1}^{[4-1]} &= \mathcal{S}_7^{[3]} = \{(b_3, 0, b_5, b_6)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_3, b_5, b_6 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{12-1}^{[6-1]} &= \mathcal{S}_{11}^{[5]} = \{(b_5, b_5, b_7, 0, b_9, b_{10})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_5, b_7, b_9, b_{10} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}; \\ \mathcal{S}_{8+1}^{[4]} &= \mathcal{S}_9^{[4]} = \{(0, b_5, b_5, b_7, b_8)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_5, b_7, b_8 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{12+1}^{[6]} &= \mathcal{S}_{13}^{[6]} = \{(0, b_7, 0, b_9, b_9, b_{11}, b_{12})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_7, b_9, b_{11}, b_{12} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}.\end{aligned}$$

From these and by Theorem 2(iv), we list all distinct

$$1 + 2^m + 2(2^m)^2(1 + 2^m + (2^m)^2) + (2^m)^5$$

self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^4$  as follows:

- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^8 \rangle$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)b(x) + u \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_7(x+1)^7 + b_9(x+1)^9 + b_9(x+1)^{10} + b_{11}(x+1)^{11} + b_9(x+1)^{12} + b_{13}(x+1)^{13} + b_{14}(x+1)^{14}$  and  $b_7, b_9, b_{11}, b_{13}, b_{14} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^8b + u(x+1)^7, (x+1)^9 \rangle$  where  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^7b(x) + u(x+1)^6, (x+1)^{10} \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_1(x+1) + b_2(x+1)^2$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^6b(x) + u(x+1)^5, (x+1)^{11} \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_3(x+1)^3 + b_4(x+1)^4$  and  $b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^5b(x) + u(x+1)^4, (x+1)^{12} \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_3(x+1)^3 + b_5(x+1)^5 + b_6(x+1)^6$  and  $b_3, b_5, b_6 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^4b(x) + u(x+1)^3, (x+1)^{13} \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_5(x+1)^5 + b_5(x+1)^6 + b_7(x+1)^7 + b_8(x+1)^8$  and  $b_5, b_7, b_8 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^3b(x) + u(x+1)^2, (x+1)^{14} \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_5(x+1)^5 + b_5(x+1)^6 + b_7(x+1)^7 + b_9(x+1)^9 + b_{10}(x+1)^{10}$  and  $b_5, b_7, b_9, b_{10} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ;
- ◇  $\langle (x+1)^2b(x) + u(x+1), (x+1)^{15} \rangle$ , where  $b(x) = b_7(x+1)^7 + b_9(x+1)^9 + b_9(x+1)^{10} + b_{11}(x+1)^{11} + b_{12}(x+1)^{12}$  and  $b_7, b_9, b_{11}, b_{12} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .

**Remark.** (i) In Corollary 22(ii) of [5], the authors claimed that the number of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ) is

$$\text{NE}(2^k, 2^s) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2^k & \text{if } s = 1, \\ 1 + 2^k + (2^k)^2 & \text{if } s = 2, \\ 1 + 2^k + 2(2^k)^2 \cdot \frac{(2^k)^{2^s-2-1-1}}{2^k-1} & \text{if } s \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that the above formula missed the term  $(2^k)^{2^{s-2}+1}$  when  $s \geq 3$ . For examples, there are  $1 + 2 + 2 \cdot 2^2 + 2^3 = 19$  Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^3$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ , not just  $1 + 2 + 2 \cdot 2^2 = 11$  Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^3$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ .

(ii) From self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^s$  and by the isomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ -linear spaces from  $\frac{(\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m})[x]}{(x^{2^s} - 1)}$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{2^s+1}$  defined in Section 1, we obtain  $N_s = 1 + 2^m + 2(2^m)^2 \cdot \frac{(2^m)^{2^s-2-1-1}}{2^m-1} + (2^m)^{2^{s-2}+1}$  self-dual and 2-quasi-cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  of length  $2^{s+1}$  for any  $s \geq 3$ .

## 6. Conclusions and further research

We have given an explicit representation for self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over the finite chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  ( $u^2 = 0$ ) and a clear mass formula to count the number of these codes, by a new way different from that used in [5]. Especially, we provide an effective method to list precisely all distinct self-dual cyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  by use of properties for Kronecker product of matrices and calculation of linear equations over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ .

Giving an explicit representation and enumeration for self-dual cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  for arbitrary even length and obtaining some bounds for minimal distance such as BCH-like of a self-dual cyclic code over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  by just looking at the representation of such codes are future topics of interest.

## Acknowledgments

Part of this work was done when Yonglin Cao was visiting Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin, China. Yonglin Cao would like to thank the institution for the kind hospitality. This research is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11671235, 11801324), the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China (Grant No. ZR2018BA007) and the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Mathematical Modeling and Analysis in Engineering (No. 2018MMAEZD04). H.Q. Dinh is grateful for the Centre of Excellence in Econometrics, Chiang Mai University, Thailand, for partial financial support.

## Appendix: Calculation for the set $\mathcal{S}_l$ , $1 \leq l \leq 16$

(†) First, we determine the matrices  $M_l$  by Theorem 1(ii), for all  $l = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \triangleright M_2 = I_2 + G_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } M_1 = (0) = 0. \\ \triangleright M_4 = I_4 + G_4 &= \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \text{ and } M_3 = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \triangleright M_8 = I_8 + G_8 &= \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \\ M_5 &= \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad M_6 = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ \text{and } M_7 &= \left( \begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

( $\ddagger$ ) Then we determine  $\mathcal{S}_l$  by Theorem 1(iii), for all  $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 16$ . Obviously, we have

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_2 = \{(0, b_1)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \text{ with } |\mathcal{S}_2| = 2^m.$$

( $\ddagger$ -1) Let  $B_2 = (0, b_1)^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_2$  arbitrary. Solving the linear equations  $M_1 B_3^{(3,3)} = B_2^{(1,1)} = 0$ , i.e.  $0 \cdot b_2 = 0$ , we obtain  $B_3^{(3,3)} = b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_3 = \{B_3 = \left( \begin{array}{c} B_2 \\ B_3^{(3,3)} \end{array} \right) = (0, b_1 \mid b_2)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}.$$

Solving  $M_2 B_4^{(3,4)} = B_2^{(1,2)} = B_2$ , i.e.  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain  $B_4^{(3,4)} = (b_1, b_3)^{\text{tr}}$  where  $b_1, b_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_4 = \{B_4 = \left( \begin{array}{c} B_2 \\ B_4^{(3,4)} \end{array} \right) = (0, b_1 \mid b_1, b_3)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\}.$$

(‡-2) Let  $B_4 = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3)^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_4$  arbitrary. Solving  $M_1 B_5^{(5,5)} = B_4^{(1,1)}$ , i.e.  $0 \cdot b_4 = 0$ , we obtain  $B_5^{(5,5)} = b_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_5 = \left\{ B_5 = \begin{pmatrix} B_4 \\ B_5^{(5,5)} \end{pmatrix} = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3 | b_4)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

Solving  $M_2 B_6^{(5,6)} = B_4^{(1,2)}$ , i.e.  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 \\ b_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain  $B_6^{(5,6)} = (b_1, b_5)^{\text{tr}}$  where  $b_1, b_5 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_6 = \left\{ B_6 = \begin{pmatrix} B_4 \\ B_6^{(5,6)} \end{pmatrix} = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3 | b_1, b_5)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

Solving  $M_3 B_7^{(5,7)} = B_4^{(1,3)}$ , i.e.  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 \\ b_5 \\ b_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain  $B_7^{(5,7)} = (b_1, b_5, b_6)^{\text{tr}}$  where  $b_1, b_5, b_6 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_7 = \left\{ B_7 = \begin{pmatrix} B_4 \\ B_7^{(5,7)} \end{pmatrix} = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3 | b_1, b_5, b_6)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5, b_6 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

Solving  $M_4 B_8^{(5,8)} = B_4^{(1,4)} = B_4$ , i.e.  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 \\ b_5 \\ b_6 \\ b_7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 \\ b_1 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain  $B_8^{(5,8)} = (b_1, b_5, b_1 + b_3 + b_5, b_7)^{\text{tr}}$  where  $b_1, b_5, b_7 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_8 = \left\{ B_8 = \begin{pmatrix} B_4 \\ B_8^{(5,8)} \end{pmatrix} = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3 | b_1, b_5, b_1 + b_3 + b_5, b_7)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5, b_7 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

(‡-3) Let  $B_8 = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3, b_1, b_5, b_1 + b_3 + b_5, b_7)^{\text{tr}} \in \mathcal{S}_8$  arbitrary. Solving  $M_1 B_9^{(9,9)} = B_8^{(1,1)}$ , i.e.  $0 \cdot b_8 = 0$ , we obtain  $B_9^{(9,9)} = b_8 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_9 = \left\{ B_9 = \begin{pmatrix} B_8 \\ B_9^{(9,9)} \end{pmatrix} = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3, b_1, b_5, b_1 + b_3 + b_5, b_7 | b_8)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5, b_7, b_8 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

Solving  $M_2 B_{10}^{(9,10)} = B_8^{(1,2)}$ , i.e.  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_8 \\ b_9 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain  $B_{10}^{(9,10)} = (b_1, b_9)^{\text{tr}}$  where  $b_1, b_9 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_{10} = \left\{ B_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} B_8 \\ B_{10}^{(9,10)} \end{pmatrix} = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3, b_1, b_5, b_1 + b_3 + b_5, b_7 \mid b_1, b_9)^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5, b_7, b_9 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

Solving  $M_3 B_{11}^{(9,11)} = B_8^{(1,3)}$ , i.e.  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_8 \\ b_9 \\ b_{10} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain  $B_{11}^{(9,11)} = (b_1, b_9, b_{10})^{\text{tr}}$  where  $b_1, b_9, b_{10} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_{11} = \left\{ B_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} B_8 \\ B_{11}^{(9,11)} \end{pmatrix} = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3, b_1, b_5, b_1 + b_3 + b_5, b_7 \mid b_1, b_9, b_{10})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, b_7, b_5, b_9, b_{10} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

Solving  $M_4 B_{12}^{(9,12)} = B_8^{(1,4)} = B_4$ , i.e.  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} b_8 \\ b_9 \\ b_{10} \\ b_{11} \end{pmatrix} = B_4$ , we obtain  $B_{12}^{(9,12)} = (b_1, b_9, b_1 + b_3 + b_9, b_{11})^{\text{tr}}$  where  $b_1, b_3, b_9, b_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_{12} = \left\{ B_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} B_8 \\ B_{12}^{(9,12)} \end{pmatrix} = (0, b_1, b_1, b_3, b_1, b_5, b_1 + b_3 + b_5, b_7 \mid b_1, b_9, b_1 + b_3 + b_9, b_{11})^{\text{tr}} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5, b_7, b_9, b_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

Solving  $M_5 B_{13}^{(9,13)} = B_8^{(1,5)}$ , i.e.  $\left( \begin{array}{c|c} M_4 & 0 \\ \hline (1, 0, 0, 0) & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} B_{12}^{(9,12)} \\ b_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_4 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain  $B_{13}^{(9,13)} = \left( \frac{B_{12}^{(9,12)}}{b_{12}} \right)$  where  $b_1, b_3, b_9, b_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ . Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_{13} = \left\{ B_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} B_8 \\ B_{13}^{(9,13)} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \frac{B_{12}}{b_{12}} \right) \mid B_{12} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}, b_{12} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \right\}.$$

We consider the matrix equation  $M_6 B_{14}^{(9,14)} = B_8^{(1,6)}$ , i.e.,

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} M_4 & 0 \\ \hline (G_2, 0) & M_2 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_{12}^{(9,12)}}{b_{12}} \\ b_{13} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_4}{b_1} \\ b_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is equivalent to  $M_4 B_{12}^{(9,12)} = B_4$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_9 \end{pmatrix} + M_2 \begin{pmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{13} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this we deduce that  $b_{12} = b_1 + b_5 + b_9$  and  $b_{13} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  arbitrary. Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_{14} = \{B_{14} = \begin{pmatrix} B_8 \\ B_{14}^{(9,14)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_{12}}{b_1 + b_5 + b_9} \\ b_{13} \end{pmatrix} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5, b_7, b_9, b_{11}, b_{13} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \text{ in which } B_{12} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}.$$

We consider the matrix equation  $M_7 B_{15}^{(9,15)} = B_8^{(1,7)}$ , i.e.,

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} M_4 & 0 \\ \hline (G_2, 0) & (M_2, 0) \\ (1, 0, 1, 0) & (1, 0, 0) \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_{12}^{(9,12)}}{b_{12}} \\ b_{13} \\ b_{14} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_4}{b_1} \\ b_5 \\ b_1 + b_3 + b_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is equivalent to  $M_4 B_{12}^{(9,12)} = B_4$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_9 \\ b_1 + b_3 + b_9 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ (1, 0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{13} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_5 \\ b_1 + b_3 + b_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this we deduce that  $b_{12} = b_1 + b_5 + b_9$  and  $b_{13}, b_{14} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  arbitrary. Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_{15} = \{B_{15} = \begin{pmatrix} B_8 \\ B_{15}^{(9,15)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_{12}}{b_1 + b_5 + b_9} \\ b_{13} \\ b_{14} \end{pmatrix} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5, b_7, b_9, b_{11}, b_{13}, b_{14} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \text{ in which } B_{12} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}.$$

We consider the matrix equation  $M_8 B_{16}^{(9,16)} = B_8^{(1,8)} = B_8$ , i.e.,

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} M_4 & 0 \\ \hline G_4 & M_4 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_{12}^{(9,12)}}{b_{12}} \\ b_{13} \\ b_{14} \\ b_{15} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_4}{b_1} \\ b_5 \\ b_1 + b_3 + b_5 \\ b_7 \end{pmatrix}.$$



This is equivalent to  $M_4 B_{12}^{(9,12)} = B_4$  and

$$G_4 \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_9 \\ b_1 + b_3 + b_9 \\ b_{11} \end{pmatrix} + M_4 \begin{pmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{13} \\ b_{14} \\ b_{15} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_5 \\ b_1 + b_3 + b_5 \\ b_7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this we deduce that  $b_{12} = b_1 + b_5 + b_9$ ,  $b_{14} = \sum_{i=1}^7 b_{2i-1}$  and  $b_{13}, b_{15} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  arbitrary. Hence

$$\diamond \mathcal{S}_{16} = \{B_{16} = \begin{pmatrix} B_8 \\ B_{16}^{(9,16)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_{12}}{b_1 + b_5 + b_9} \\ b_{13} \\ \sum_{i=1}^7 b_{2i-1} \\ b_{15} \end{pmatrix} \mid b_1, b_3, b_5, b_7, b_9, b_{11}, b_{13}, \\ b_{15} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}\} \text{ in which } B_{12} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}.$$

## References

- [1] T. Abualrub, I. Siap, Constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ , J. Franklin Inst. **346** (2009), 520–529.
- [2] M. C. V. Amerra, F. R. Nemenzo, On  $(1-u)$ -cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ , Appl. Math. Lett. **21** (2008), 1129–1133.
- [3] K. Betsumiya, S. Ling, and F. R. Nemenzo, Type II codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , Discrete Math. **275** (2004), no. 1-3, 43–65.
- [4] A. Bonnacaze, P. Udaya, Cyclic codes and self-dual codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ , IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **45** (1999), 1250–1255.
- [5] P. Choosuwan, S. Jitman, P. Udomkavanich, Self-dual abelian codes in some nonprincipal ideal group algebras, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Vol.2016, Article ID 9020173, 12 pages.
- [6] Y. Cao, Y. Cao, H. Q. Dinh, F-W. Fu, J. Gao, S. Sriboonchitta, Constacyclic codes of length  $np^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , Adv. Math. Commun. **12** (2018), 231–262.
- [7] B. Chen, H. Q. Dinh, H. Liu, L. Wang, Constacyclic codes of length  $2p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , Finite Fields Appl. **37** (2016), 108–130.

- [8] H. Q. Dinh, Constacyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over Galois extension rings of  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ , *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **55** (2009), 1730–1740.
- [9] H. Q. Dinh, Constacyclic codes of length  $p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , *J. Algebra*, **324** (2010), 940–950.
- [10] H. Q. Dinh, L. Wang, S. Zhu, Negacyclic codes of length  $2p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , *Finite Fields Appl.*, **31** (2015), 178–201.
- [11] H. Q. Dinh, S. Dhompongsa, and S. Sriboonchitta, On constacyclic codes of length  $4p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , *Discrete Math.* **340** (2017), 832–849.
- [12] H. Q. Dinh, A. Sharma, S. Rani, and S. Sriboonchitta, Cyclic and negacyclic codes of length  $4p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , *J. Algebra Appl.* Vol. 17, No. 9 (2018) 1850173 (22 pages).
- [13] H. Q. Dinh, S. R. López-Permouth, Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **50** (2004), 1728–1744.
- [14] S. T. Dougherty, P. Gaborit, M. Harada, P. Sole, Type II codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ , *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **45** (1999), 32–45.
- [15] S. T. Dougherty, J-L. Kim, H. Kulosman, H. Liu: Self-dual codes over commutative Frobenius rings, *Finite Fields Appl.* **16** (2010), 14–26.
- [16] T. A. Gulliver, M. Harada, Construction of optimal Type IV self-dual codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ , *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **45** (1999), 2520–2521.
- [17] W. C. Huffman, On the decomposition of self-dual codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$  with an automorphism of odd prime number, *Finite Fields Appl.* **13** (2007), 682–712.
- [18] H.M. Kiah, K. H. Leung, and S. Ling, A note on cyclic codes over  $\text{GR}(p^2, m)$  of length  $p^k$ , *Des., Codes and Cryptog.*, **63**, no. 1, (2012), 105–112.
- [19] J. F. Qian, L. N. Zhang, S. Zhu,  $(1 + u)$ -constacyclic and cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ , *Appl. Math. Lett.* **19** (2006), 820–823.