K₁-GROUPS VIA BINARY COMPLEXES OF FIXED LENGTH

DANIEL KASPROWSKI, BERNHARD KÖCK, AND CHRISTOPH WINGES

ABSTRACT. We modify Grayson's model of K_1 of an exact category to give a presentation whose generators are binary acyclic complexes of length at most k for any given $k \geq 2$. As a corollary, we obtain another, very short proof of the identification of Nenashev's and Grayson's presentations.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{N} be an exact category. Algebraic descriptions of Quillen's K_1 -group of \mathcal{N} in terms of explicit generators and relations have been given by Nenashev [Nen98] and Grayson [Gra12]. The generators in both descriptions are so-called binary acyclic complexes in \mathcal{N} . While Nenashev uses complexes of length at most 2, Grayson's generators are of arbitrary (finite) length. An algebraic proof of the fact that these two descriptions agree has been given in [KW]. In this paper, we will give another presentation of $K_1(\mathcal{N})$; this time the generators are binary acyclic complexes of length at most k for any $k \geq 2$, see Definition 2.2 and Theorem 2.4. A motivating question behind this new description is to determine the precise relations that in addition to Grayson's relations need to be divided out when restricting the generators in Grayson's description to complexes of length at most k. If k = 2, our relations are special cases of Nenashev's relations. In this sense, our presentation simplifies Nenashev's presentation. All this leads to a new, natural and sleek algebraic proof of the fact that Nenashev's and Grayson's descriptions agree, see Section 4.

The proof of our main result, see Section 3, basically proceeds by induction on k. The crucial ingredient in the inductive step is a shortening procedure for binary acyclic complexes, see Definition 3.4, as discovered by Grayson and also used in [KW]. The main new idea in this paper is the comparatively short and simple way of showing how this shortening procedure yields an inverse to passing from complexes of length k to complexes of length k+1, see Proposition 3.7.

Acknowledgements. C. Winges acknowledges support by the Max Planck Society and Wolfgang Lück's ERC Advanced Grant "KL2MG-interactions" (no. 662400) D. Kasprowski and C. Winges are members of the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics at the University of Bonn.

2. Background and Statement of Main Theorem

We recall a binary acyclic complex $\mathbb{P} = (P_*, d, d')$ in \mathcal{N} is a graded object P_* in \mathcal{N} supported on a finite subset of $[0, \infty]$ together with two degree -1 maps $d, d': P_* \to P_*$ such that both (P, d) and (P_*, d') are acyclic chain complexes in \mathcal{N} .

Date: November 28, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 19D06; Secondary 18E10, 19B99.

Here, acyclic means that each differential $d_n: P_n \to P_{n-1}$ admits a factorisation into an admissible epimorphism followed by an admissible monomorphism

$$P_n \twoheadrightarrow J_{n-1} \rightarrowtail P_{n-1}$$

such that $J_n \mapsto P_n \twoheadrightarrow J_{n-1}$ is a short exact sequence in \mathcal{N} for every n. The differentials d and d' are called the top and bottom differential. We also write \mathbb{P}^{\top} and \mathbb{P}^{\perp} for the complexes (P_*, d) and (P_*, d') . If d = d', we call \mathbb{P} a diagonal binary acylic complex.

A morphism between binary acyclic complexes \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} is a degree 0 map between the underlying graded objects which is a chain map with respect to both differentials. According to [Gra12, Section 3], the obvious definition of short exact sequences turns the category of binary acyclic complexes into an exact category. We denote its Grothendieck group by $B_1(\mathcal{N})$.

Definition 2.1. The quotient of $B_1(\mathcal{N})$ obtained by declaring the classes of diagonal binary acyclic complexes to be zero is called *Grayson's* K_1 -group of \mathcal{N} and denoted by $K_1(\mathcal{N})$.

Grayson proves in [Gra12, Corollary 7.2] that $K_1(\mathcal{N})$ is naturally isomorphic to Quillen's K_1 -group of \mathcal{N} . This justifies our notation. Note that Grayson uses complexes supported on $[-\infty, \infty]$. By [HKT17, Proposition 1.4], defining $K_1(\mathcal{N})$ with complexes supported on $[0, \infty]$ as above yields the same K_1 -group.

If we restrict the generators to be complexes supported on [0, k] (for $k \ge 0$), we write $B_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ and $K_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ for the resulting abelian groups.

Definition 2.2.

- (a) A binary ladder is a quadruple $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}, \sigma, \tau)$ consisting of two binary complexes \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} together with isomorphisms $\sigma \colon \mathbb{P}^\top \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}^\top$ and $\tau \colon \mathbb{P}^\perp \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}^\perp$.
- (b) For any object P in \mathcal{N} let

$$\tau_P := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{id} \\ \mathrm{id} & 0 \end{pmatrix} : P \oplus P \xrightarrow{\sim} P \oplus P$$

denote the automorphism of $P \oplus P$ which switches the two summands.

(c) Any two isomorphisms $\alpha, \beta \colon P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$ in \mathcal{N} define a binary acyclic complex

$$P \xrightarrow{\alpha \atop \beta} Q.$$

in \mathcal{N} supported on [0,1]. The corresponding element in any of the groups $B_1(\mathcal{N})$, $K_1(\mathcal{N})$ or $B_1^k(\mathcal{N})$, $K_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ for $k \geq 1$ is denoted by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$.

(d) Define $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ to be the quotient of $K_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ obtained by additionally imposing the relation

$$\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{Q} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \langle \sigma_i, \tau_i \rangle$$

for every binary ladder $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}, \sigma, \tau)$ in \mathcal{N} such that \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} are supported on [0, k], $\mathbb{P}^{\top} = \mathbb{Q}^{\top}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ and each τ_i is the direct sum of some automorphism τ_P with the identity on some object \tilde{P} .

Remark 2.3. The object $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ has of course nothing to do with L-Theory; the L here is rather meant to refer to 'ladder'.

In our definition of $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$, we use the smallest class of binary ladders such that the proofs below work. The proofs below show that choosing any other class of binary ladders of length k containing this smallest class doesn't change $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$.

The following theorem is the precise formulation of our main result. Note that Lemma 3.2 below implies that we have a natural map $L_1^k(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$.

Theorem 2.4. The canonical map

$$L_1^k(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$$

is an isomorphism for every $k \geq 2$.

Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 2.4.

3. Proof of the main theorem

Note that assigning $\langle \operatorname{id}_{P\oplus P}, \tau_P \rangle$ with any object $P \in \mathcal{N}$ defines a homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{N}) \to B_1^1(\mathcal{N})$. In particular, $\langle \operatorname{id}_{P\oplus P}, \tau_P \rangle = \langle \operatorname{id}_{Q\oplus Q}, \tau_Q \rangle$ if P and Q represent the same element in K_0 . As an aside, we remark that $\langle \operatorname{id}_{P\oplus P}, \tau_P \rangle$ is equal to $\langle \operatorname{id}_P, -\operatorname{id}_P \rangle$ in $B_1^1(\mathcal{N})$ and to its negative in $L_1^1(\mathcal{N})$; both of these two facts are easy to prove but won't be used in this paper.

Lemma 3.1. Let \mathbb{P} be a binary acyclic complex in \mathcal{N} supported on [0,k] and let $\mathrm{sw}(\mathbb{P})$ denote the binary complex obtained from \mathbb{P} by switching top and bottom differential. Then we have

$$\operatorname{sw}(\mathbb{P}) = -\mathbb{P}$$
 in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. We have $\mathbb{P} + \mathrm{sw}(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{P} \oplus \mathrm{sw}(\mathbb{P})$ in $B_1^k(\mathcal{N})$. The latter complex represents 0 in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$. To see this, consider the binary ladder $(\mathbb{P} \oplus \mathrm{sw}(\mathbb{P}), \mathbb{D}, \sigma, \tau)$ where \mathbb{D} is the diagonal complex with $\mathbb{D}^{\top} = \mathbb{D}^{\perp} = (\mathbb{P} \oplus \mathrm{sw}(\mathbb{P}))^{\top}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ and τ switches the two summands \mathbb{P} and $\mathrm{sw}(\mathbb{P})$, and note that $\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i P_i = 0$ in $K_0(\mathcal{N})$.

Regarding binary acyclic complexes supported on [0,k] as complexes supported on [0,k+1] defines a homomorphism

$$i_k \colon L_1^k(\mathcal{N}) \to L_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N}).$$

The following lemma is basically a special case of the generalised Nenashev relation, see Definition 4.1 below and [Har15, Proposition 2.12]. We include a short proof to convince the reader that complexes supported on [0, k+1] suffice to prove the desired relation. As usual, we write $\mathbb{P}[1]$ for the complex shifted by 1 (without changing the sign of the differentials d and d') so that $\mathbb{P}[1]_0 = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. The homomorphism i_k naturally factorises as

$$i_k \colon L_1^k(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N}) \twoheadrightarrow L_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N})$$

where the second map is the canonical epimorphism.

Proof. Let $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}, \sigma, \tau)$ be a binary ladder with \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} supported on [0, k]. Then all rows and columns of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 \\
 & & & \downarrow \downarrow \tau_2 & & \sigma_1 & \downarrow \downarrow \tau_1 & & \sigma_0 & \downarrow \downarrow \tau_0 \\
 & & & \longrightarrow & Q_2 & \longrightarrow & Q_1 & \longrightarrow & Q_0
\end{array}$$

are binary acyclic complexes, top differentials commute with top differentials and bottom differentials commute with bottom differentials. Filtering the associated total complex \mathbb{T} (which is a binary acyclic complex supported on [0, k+1]) "horizontally and vertically" then yields the relation

$$\mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{P}[1] = \mathbb{T} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \langle \sigma_i, \tau_i \rangle [i]$$

in $B_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N})$. If $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\sigma = \tau = \mathrm{id}$, this shows that

$$(3.3) \mathbb{P}[1] = -\mathbb{P}$$

in $K_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N})$. The two equalities above finally show that

$$\mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{P} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \langle \sigma_i, \tau_i \rangle$$

in $K_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N})$, as desired.

Definition 3.4. Let $k \geq 2$ and let $\mathbb{P} = (P_*, d, d')$ be a binary acyclic complex supported on [0, k+1], and choose factorisations

$$d_2 \colon P_2 \twoheadrightarrow J \rightarrowtail P_1$$
 and $d'_2 \colon P_2 \twoheadrightarrow K \rightarrowtail P_1$

witnessing that (P_*, d) and (P_*, d') are acyclic.

The *Grayson shortening of* \mathbb{P} is the binary acyclic complex $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ supported on [0,k] whose top component is given by

and whose bottom component is

Note that we have permuted the summands in the bottom component for better legibility, but that we consider the summation order in the top component to be the definitive one.

Remark 3.5. The complex $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ appears in handwritten notes by Grayson and has also been used in [KW, Section 5]. It may help to think of $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ in the following way although Grayson seems not to have found it this way.

Suppose we want to (non-naively) truncate \mathbb{P} at P_1 . As the image J of d_2 is normally different from the image K of d'_2 , we cannot just replace P_1 with J (or K) after omitting P_0 . We therefore first replace P_1 with $J \oplus K$ which, in general, is the

smallest object containing both J and K. In order to obtain an acyclic complex again, the smallest possible next step is then to add the isomorphism in the second line of each differential above. Then, in order to make the columns containing P_2 equal, we add J or K in those columns. Similarly to above, in order to obtain an acylic complex again, we add the third lines; it is crucial here that we add an incoming rather than an outgoing identity. We are finally in the lucky position that adding the fourth lines makes all columns equal (up to permutation of summands). As P_0 appears again in the fourth line, we haven't unfortunately really truncated \mathbb{P} , but we have at least achieved that the object at place 0 has disappeared.

Note that our definition of $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ includes a shift by -1 so $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ is supported on [0,k] rather than on [1,k+1]. This avoids bulky notations later.

For \mathbb{P} , J and K as in the definition above, we have $\langle \mathrm{id}, \tau_J \rangle = \langle \mathrm{id}, \tau_K \rangle$ because J = K in $K_0(\mathcal{N})$. We denote the latter element by $\tau_{\mathbb{P}}$. If in fact $J \cong K$, we replace the morphisms $P_1 \twoheadrightarrow K$ and $K \rightarrowtail P_1$ with $P_2 \twoheadrightarrow J$ and $J \rightarrowtail P_1$ by composing them with a fixed isomorphism between J and K. Then the ordinary (non-naive) truncations

$$t_{>1}(\mathbb{P}) := (\ldots \Longrightarrow P_3 \Longrightarrow P_2 \Longrightarrow J)$$

and

$$\mathbf{t}_{\leq 2}(\mathbb{P}) := (J \Longrightarrow P_1 \Longrightarrow P_0)$$

are binary acyclic complexes again. In this case, the following crucial lemma computes $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) \in L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ in terms of these truncations and $\tau_{\mathbb{P}}$.

Lemma 3.6. Let \mathbb{P} be a binary acyclic complex supported on [0, k+1] and suppose that $J \cong K$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) = \operatorname{t}_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{P})[-1] - \operatorname{t}_{\leq 2}(\mathbb{P}) - \tau_{\mathbb{P}} \quad in \quad L_1^k(\mathcal{N}).$$

In particular:

- (a) If \mathbb{P} is a diagonal complex, then $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) = -\tau_{\mathbb{P}}$ in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$.
- (b) If $P_0 = 0$, then $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{P}[-1] \tau_{\mathbb{P}}$ in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$.
- (c) If \mathbb{P} is supported on [0,2], let $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ be defined as above, but $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ is now supported on [0,2] again rather than on [0,1]. Then $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) = -\mathbb{P} \tau_{\mathbb{P}}$ in $L_1^2(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. Without permuting any summands in the bottom component, $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ has top component

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 & P_3 & P_2 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & J \\
 & \oplus & & \oplus & \oplus \\
 & & J & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & J \\
 & & J & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & J & \oplus \\
 & & J & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & J & \oplus \\
 & & J & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & P_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1'} & P_0
\end{array}$$

and bottom component

Now consider the binary ladder $(\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}), \operatorname{t}_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{P})[-1] \oplus \mathbb{J} \oplus \mathbb{J}[1] \oplus \operatorname{sw}(\operatorname{t}_{\leq 2}(\mathbb{P})), \sigma, \tau)$, where \mathbb{J} is the diagonal binary complex supported on [0,1] given by $\mathbb{J}^{\top} = \mathbb{J}^{\perp} = (J \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} J)$, $\sigma = \operatorname{id}$ and τ is the automorphism switching the two copies of J in degrees 0, 1 and 2 and the identity in all higher degrees. From this binary ladder we obtain the following equality in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$:

$$t_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{P})[-1] + sw(t_{\leq 2}(\mathbb{P})) = sh(\mathbb{P}) + \tau_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we finally obtain the desired equality in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$:

$$\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) = \operatorname{t}_{>1}(\mathbb{P})[-1] - \operatorname{t}_{\leq 2}(\mathbb{P}) - \tau_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

If \mathbb{P} is a diagonal complex, both truncations are diagonal again and part (a) follows. If $P_0 = 0$, then $\mathbf{t}_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{P}$, $J = P_1$ and $\mathbf{t}_{\leq 2}(\mathbb{P}) = \langle \mathrm{id}_{P_1}, \mathrm{id}_{P_1} \rangle[1] = 0$ in $L^2_1(\mathcal{N})$; this shows part (b). If k = 1, the main equality of Lemma 3.6 holds in $L^2_1(\mathcal{N})$ rather than in $L^1_1(\mathcal{N})$ and we have $J = P_2$, $\mathbf{t}_{\leq 2}(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{P}) = \langle \mathrm{id}_{P_2}, \mathrm{id}_{P_2} \rangle[1] = 0$; this proves part (c).

Proposition 3.7. The homomorphism

$$i_k \colon L_1^k(\mathcal{N}) \to L_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N})$$

is an isomorphism for all $k \geq 2$. Its inverse is induced by the assignment

$$\mathbb{P} \mapsto -\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) - \tau_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

Proof. We begin by showing that the assignment $\mathbb{P} \mapsto -\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) - \tau_{\mathbb{P}}$ induces a well-defined homomorphism $p_k: L_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N}) \to L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$. If $\mathbb{P}' \mapsto \mathbb{P} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{P}''$ is a short exact sequence of binary acyclic complexes supported

If $\mathbb{P}' \to \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}''$ is a short exact sequence of binary acyclic complexes supported on [0, k+1], then we have induced short exact sequences $J' \to J \to J''$ and $K' \to K \to K''$ by [Büh10, Corollary 3.6]. In particular, we obtain a short exact sequence $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}') \to \operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) \to \operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}')$. Since we also have $\tau_{\mathbb{P}} = \tau_{\mathbb{P}'} + \tau_{\mathbb{P}''}$, we obtain an induced homomorphism

$$p_k'': B_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N}) \to L_1^k(\mathcal{N}).$$

Let \mathbb{P} be a diagonal binary acyclic complex supported on [0, k+1], then we have $p_k''(\mathbb{P}) = 0$ in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ by Lemma 3.6(a). In particular, p_k'' induces a homomorphism

$$p'_k \colon K_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N}) \to L_1^k(\mathcal{N}).$$

If $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}, \sigma, \tau)$ is a binary ladder in \mathcal{N} with \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} supported on [0, k+1], then there are induced isomorphisms $\sigma_J \colon J_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{\sim} J_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\tau_K \colon K_{\mathbb{P}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\mathbb{Q}}$. These define a binary ladder $(\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}), \operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{Q}), \operatorname{sh}(\sigma), \operatorname{sh}(\tau))$ with

$$\operatorname{sh}(\sigma)_0 = \sigma_J \oplus \tau_K \oplus \tau_0, \quad \operatorname{sh}(\sigma)_1 = \sigma_2 \oplus \tau_K \oplus \sigma_J \oplus \tau_1, \quad \operatorname{sh}(\sigma)_2 = \sigma_3 \oplus \sigma_J \oplus \tau_K$$

 $\operatorname{sh}(\tau)_0 = \sigma_J \oplus \tau_K \oplus \sigma_0, \quad \operatorname{sh}(\tau)_1 = \tau_2 \oplus \tau_K \oplus \sigma_J \oplus \sigma_1, \quad \operatorname{sh}(\tau)_2 = \tau_3 \oplus \sigma_J \oplus \tau_K$
and $\operatorname{sh}(\sigma)_i = \sigma_{i+1}$ and $\operatorname{sh}(\tau)_i = \tau_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 3$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} \langle \operatorname{sh}(\sigma)_{i}, \operatorname{sh}(\tau)_{i} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \tau_{0}, \sigma_{0} \rangle - \langle \sigma_{2}, \tau_{2} \rangle - \langle \tau_{1}, \sigma_{1} \rangle + \langle \sigma_{3}, \tau_{3} \rangle + \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} (-1)^{i} \langle \sigma_{i+1}, \tau_{i+1} \rangle$$

$$= -\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{i} \langle \sigma_{i}, \tau_{i} \rangle$$

in $K_1^2(\mathcal{N})$ by Lemma 3.1. If the given ladder is as in Definition 2.2(d), it follows that

$$p'_k(\mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{P}) = -\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{Q}) - \tau_{\mathbb{Q}} + \operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) + \tau_{\mathbb{P}}$$

$$= -\sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^i \langle \operatorname{sh}(\sigma)_i, \operatorname{sh}(\tau)_i \rangle = p'_k \left(\sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^i \langle \sigma_i, \tau_i \rangle \right)$$

in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ since $J_{\mathbb{P}} \cong J_{\mathbb{Q}}$, hence $\tau_{\mathbb{P}} = \tau_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and since, by Lemma 3.6(c), p_k' maps $\langle \sigma_i, \tau_i \rangle \in K_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N})$ to $\langle \sigma_i, \tau_i \rangle \in L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$ for each i. Consequently, p_k' induces the desired homomorphism

$$p_k \colon L_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N}) \to L_1^k(\mathcal{N}).$$

We now show that $p_k \circ i_k = \text{id}$ for all $k \geq 2$. Let \mathbb{P} be a binary acyclic complex in \mathcal{N} supported on [0, k]. By Equation (3.3) and Lemma 3.6(b), we have

$$p_k(i_k(\mathbb{P})) = -p_k(\mathbb{P}[1]) = \operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}[1]) + \tau_{\mathbb{P}[1]} = (\mathbb{P} - \tau_{\mathbb{P}[1]}) + \tau_{\mathbb{P}[1]} = \mathbb{P}.$$

in $L_1^k(\mathcal{N})$, as was to be shown.

We are left with showing that p_k is also a right-inverse to i_k . Let \mathbb{P} be a binary acyclic complex in \mathcal{N} supported on [0, k+1]. Since the definition of $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P})$ is independent of whether we regard \mathbb{P} as a complex supported on [0, k+1] or [0, k+2], we have

$$i_k(p_k(\mathbb{P})) = p_{k+1}(i_{k+1}(\mathbb{P})) = \mathbb{P}$$

in $L_1^{k+1}(\mathcal{N})$, as desired.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. From Lemma 3.2 we obtain the directed system

$$K_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \twoheadrightarrow L_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1^3(\mathcal{N}) \twoheadrightarrow L_1^3(\mathcal{N}) \to \dots$$

Since the colimit of the cofinal sub-system $K_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1^3(\mathcal{N}) \to \dots$ is $K_1(\mathcal{N})$, the colimit of the displayed system is $K_1(\mathcal{N})$ as well. Hence, the colimit of the cofinal sub-system $L_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \to L_1^3(\mathcal{N}) \to \dots$ is also $K_1(\mathcal{N})$. Furthermore, all the connecting maps in this sub-system are isomorphisms by Proposition 3.7. The claim follows.

Remark 3.8. Grayson shows in the handwritten notes mentioned in Remark 3.5 that $\operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) \in K_1(\mathcal{N})$ differs from \mathbb{P} by classes of binary acyclic complexes of length at most 2. By induction, this proves that the canonical map $K_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$ is surjective. While Grayson uses slightly involved double complex arguments, we use simpler and at the same time more potent arguments and also prove the simple relation $\mathbb{P} + \operatorname{sh}(\mathbb{P}) = -\tau_{\mathbb{P}}$ in $K_1(\mathcal{N})$.

Corollary 3.9 ([KW, Theorem 1.4]). The canonical map $K_1^3(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$ is onto and admits a canonical section.

Proof. The right inverse is given by the inverse of the bijection $L_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$ from Theorem 2.4 composed with the map $L_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1^3(\mathcal{N})$ from Lemma 3.2. \square

Remark 3.10. The inverse of the isomorphism $L_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$ from Theorem 2.4 admits an explicit description. This agrees with the map Ψ appearing in the proof of [KW, Theorem 1.1].

4. The relation to Nenashev's description

In this section, we compare Nenashev's and Grayson's descriptions of K_1 .

Definition 4.1. Nenashev's K_1 -group $K_1^N(\mathcal{N})$ of \mathcal{N} is defined as the abelian group generated by binary acylic complexes \mathbb{P} of length 2 subject to the following relations:

- (1) If \mathbb{P} is a diagonal complex, then $\mathbb{P} = 0$.
- (2) If

$$P_2' \Longrightarrow P_1' \Longrightarrow P_0'$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \downarrow$$

$$P_2 \Longrightarrow P_1 \Longrightarrow P_0$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \downarrow$$

$$P_2'' \Longrightarrow P_1'' \Longrightarrow P_0''$$

is a diagram in \mathcal{N} such that all rows and columns are binary acyclic complexes, top differentials commute with top differentials and bottom differentials commute with bottom differentials, then

$$\mathbb{P}_0 - \mathbb{P}_1 + \mathbb{P}_2 = \mathbb{P}' - \mathbb{P} + \mathbb{P}''.$$

Nenashev proves in [Nen98] that $K_1^N(\mathcal{N})$ is canonically isomorphic to Quillen's K_1 -group of \mathcal{N} . The following corollary purely algebraically proves that $K_1^N(\mathcal{N})$ is isomorphic to $K_1(\mathcal{N})$, i.e., to Grayson's K_1 -group of \mathcal{N} . By [Gra12, Remark 8.1], regarding a binary acyclic complex of length 2 as a class in $K_1(\mathcal{N})$ defines a map $K_1^N(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$.

Corollary 4.2 ([KW, Theorem 1.1]). The canonical map

$$K_1^N(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since the relations used to define $L_1^2(\mathcal{N})$ are special cases of Nenashev's relation, the canonical surjection $K_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \twoheadrightarrow K_1^N(\mathcal{N})$ factors via $L_1^2(\mathcal{N})$, yielding a surjection $L_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \twoheadrightarrow K_1^N(\mathcal{N})$. Since we have a commutative diagram

$$L_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{} K_1(\mathcal{N})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$K_1^N(\mathcal{N})$$

it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $L_1^2(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1^N(\mathcal{N})$ and $K_1^N(\mathcal{N}) \to K_1(\mathcal{N})$ are isomorphisms.

References

- [Büh10] Theo Bühler, Exact categories, Expositiones Math. 28 (2010), 1–69.
- [Gra12] Daniel R. Grayson, Algebraic K-theory via binary complexes, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (2012), no. 4, 1149–1167. MR 2947948
- [Har15] Thomas K. Harris, Binary complexes and algebraic K-theory, http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/383999/, 2015, PhD Thesis, Southampton.
- [HKT17] Tom Harris, Bernhard Köck, and Lenny Taelman, Exterior power operations on higher K-groups via binary complexes, Ann. K-Theory 2 (2017), no. 3, 409–449. MR 3658990
- [KW] Daniel Kasprowski and Christoph Winges, Shortening binary complexes and the commutativity of K-theory with infinite products, arXiv:1705.09116.

[Nen98] A. Nenashev, K_1 by generators and relations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra ${\bf 131}$ (1998), no. 2, 195–212. MR 1637539

RHEINISCHE FRIEDRICH-WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT BONN, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT,

Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: kasprowski@uni-bonn.de}$

 URL : http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/daniel/

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, HIGHFIELD,

SOUTHAMPTON SO17 1BJ, UNITED KINGDOM

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{b.koeck@soton.ac.uk}$

 $\mathit{URL}{:}\ \mathtt{https://www.southampton.ac.uk/maths/about/staff/bk2.page}$

RHEINISCHE FRIEDRICH-WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT BONN, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT,

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Endenicher\ Allee\ 60,\ 53115\ Bonn,\ Germany} \\ {\it E-mail\ address:\ winges@math.uni-bonn.de} \end{array}$

 URL : http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/winges/