# On the relationship between classical and deformed Hopf fibrations

Tomasz Brzeziński<sup>1,2,a</sup>, James Gaunt<sup>3,b</sup> and Alexander Schenkel<sup>3,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Swansea University,

Swansea University Bay Campus, Fabian Way, Swansea, SA1 8EN, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Białystok, K. Ciołkowskiego 1M, 15-245 Białystok, Poland.

<sup>3</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom.

Email: <sup>a</sup> T.Brzezinski@swansea.ac.uk <sup>b</sup> jabgaunt92@gmail.com <sup>c</sup> alexander.schenkel@nottingham.ac.uk

November 2018

#### Abstract

The  $\theta$ -deformed Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3_{\theta} \to \mathbb{S}^2$  over the commutative 2-sphere is compared with its classical counterpart. It is shown that there exists a natural isomorphism between the corresponding associated module functors and that the affine spaces of classical and deformed connections are isomorphic. The latter isomorphism is equivariant under an appropriate notion of infinitesimal gauge transformations in these contexts. Gauge transformations and connections on associated modules are studied and are shown to be sensitive to the deformation parameter. A homotopy theoretic explanation for the existence of a close relationship between the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations is proposed.

**Keywords:** noncommutative geometry; principal comodule algebras; noncommutative principal bundles; Hopf fibrations; homotopy equivalence

MSC 2010: 81T75, 16T05

### Contents

1	Introduction and summary	2
2	Noncommutative Hopf fibrations2.1Principal comodule algebras2.2The classical Hopf fibration2.32-cocycle deformations	<b>4</b> 4 5 7
3	Associated modules3.1Modules associated to principal comodule algebras3.2Modules associated to Hopf fibrations	<b>8</b> 8 9
4	The Atiyah sequence and connections4.1Differential geometry of principal comodule algebras4.2The universal Atiyah sequence for Hopf fibrations4.3The Atiyah sequence for Kähler forms4.4Connections4.5Gauge transformations	<b>11</b> 11 14 15 18 20
<b>5</b>	Associated gauge transformations and connections	23
6	Outlook: Towards an explanation via homotopy equivalence	26

### 1 Introduction and summary

The Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$  is the prime example of a non-trivial principal U(1)-bundle over the 2-sphere. From an algebraic perspective, it can be described as a faithfully-flat Hopf-Galois extension, or equivalently as a principal comodule algebra, consisting of the algebra  $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^3)$  of functions on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  together with the canonically induced coaction  $\delta : A \to A \otimes H$  of the Hopf algebra  $H = \mathcal{O}(U(1))$  of functions on the structure group U(1). Due to its origin in ordinary geometry, this Hopf-Galois extension is special in the sense that the total space algebra A, the structure Hopf algebra H and consequently the base space algebra  $B := A^{\operatorname{co} H} \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  are commutative.

As Hopf-Galois theory does not require commutative algebras, it provides a natural framework in which to study noncommutative generalizations of principal bundles. In particular, there exists a 1-parameter family of deformations of the Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ , where the total space algebra is deformed to the Connes-Landi 3-sphere  $A_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^3_{\theta})$  and the structure Hopf algebra H and base space algebra B remain undeformed. These examples should be interpreted as noncommutative principal bundles over a commutative base space with a commutative structure Hopf algebra.

In this work, we shall study in detail the geometric structures on the deformed Hopf fibrations  $\mathbb{S}^3_{\theta} \to \mathbb{S}^2$  that are relevant for gauge theory and compare those with the corresponding structures on the classical Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ . An interesting observation is that many, however not all, of these geometric structures coincide for these examples even though  $\mathbb{S}^3_{\theta} \to \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$  are *not* isomorphic as Hopf-Galois extensions. This follows as  $A_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^3_{\theta})$  is a noncommutative algebra whilst  $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^3)$  is commutative. In more detail, we prove the following results: 1.) The associated module functors for the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations are naturally isomorphic, i.e. the theory of associated modules is insensitive to the deformation parameter  $\theta$ . In physics terminology, this means that we have the same matter fields on the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations. 2.) The affine spaces of connections, with respect to suitable Kähler-type differential calculi, for the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations are isomorphic. It is also shown that this isomorphism is compatible with the action of infinitesimal gauge transformations. In physics terminology, this means that we have the same gauge fields on the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations. 3.) In contrast to the previous two points, the action of infinitesimal gauge transformations and connections on associated modules does depend on the deformation parameter. Hence, it is different for the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations. In physics terminology, this means that the coupling of gauge fields to matter fields is sensitive to the deformation parameter.

Even though our direct calculations were able to unravel these striking similarities between the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations, they provide no conceptual reason for why these two non-isomorphic Hopf-Galois extensions should behave similarly in certain respects. As a first step towards a more conceptual explanation, we investigate our examples of Hopf-Galois extensions from the homotopy theoretic perspective proposed by Kassel and Schneider in [KS05]. We shall show that the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations are homotopy equivalent, however in a slightly different way as the one proposed by Kassel and Schneider. In more detail, while the interval object in [KS05] is modeled by the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[y]$  of the affine line, we require an interval object that is modeled by a larger algebra that also contains exponential functions. This is related to the fact that the deformation parameter  $\theta$ , which we would like to turn to zero by a homotopy, enters the deformed Hopf fibration in an exponential form  $q = e^{2\pi i \theta}$ . We give some indications, however not a full proof, that this homotopy equivalence could be the reason why the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations have naturally isomorphic associated module functors. A detailed study of these aspects, and in particular of the interplay between homotopy equivalence and connections, is beyond the scope of this paper. However, we hope to come back to this issue in a future work.

The outline of the remainder of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we provide a brief review of the theory of Hopf-Galois extensions and principal comodule algebras. We also introduce the examples of interest in this work, namely the classical Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$  and its deformation  $\mathbb{S}^3_{\theta} \to \mathbb{S}^2$  by a suitable family of 2-cocycles. In Section 3 we describe the associated module functors for both the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations and prove that they are naturally isomorphic functors. Section 4 starts with a brief review of the theory of Atiyah sequences and connections on principal comodule algebras. We shall discuss both the case of universal differential calculi and also the more general case of concordant differential calculi on principal comodule algebras. We then describe in detail the Atiyah sequence for universal and Kähler-type differential calculi on the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations and construct an isomorphism between the affine spaces of classical and deformed connections. It is also shown that this isomorphism is compatible with the action of infinitesimal gauge transformations. In Section 5 we study gauge transformations and connections on the associated modules of the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations and show that these structures are sensitive to the deformation parameter. In Section 6 we show that the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations are in a suitable sense homotopy equivalent and give some indications why this should imply the properties of associated modules described in Section 3.

Notation and conventions: Throughout the bulk of the paper, by an algebra we mean an associative and unital algebra over a field k. Unless otherwise stated,  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , the field of complex numbers. The exception is Section 6 where algebras over commutative rings are also admitted. The multiplication map in an algebra A is denoted by  $\mu_A$ :  $A \otimes A \to A$  and the unit map by  $\eta_A : k \to A$ . The unit element  $\mathbb{1}_A \in A$ , or simply  $\mathbb{1} \in A$ , is obtained by evaluating the unit map on  $1 \in k$ . We denote the category of left A-modules by  ${}_A\mathcal{M}$  and that of right A-modules by  $\mathcal{M}_A$ . The full subcategory of finitely generated projective left A-modules is denoted by  ${}_A\mathcal{P} \subseteq {}_A\mathcal{M}$ .

The comultiplication in a Hopf algebra H is denoted by  $\Delta$  (or  $\Delta_H$  if not sufficiently clear from the context), the counit by  $\epsilon$  (or  $\epsilon_H$ ), and the antipode by S (or  $S_H$ ). We always assume that S is a bijective map. For comultiplications  $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ , right H-coactions  $\delta : V \to V \otimes H$  and left H-coactions  $\rho : V \to H \otimes V$ , we use the following variant of Sweedler's notation (with suppressed summation)

$$\Delta(h) = h_{\underline{1}} \otimes h_{\underline{2}} \quad , \quad \delta(v) = v_{\underline{0}} \otimes v_{\underline{1}} \quad , \quad \rho(v) = v_{\underline{-1}} \otimes v_{\underline{0}} \quad . \tag{1.1}$$

The category of left *H*-comodules is denoted by  ${}^{H}\!\mathcal{M}$  and that of right *H*-comodules by  ${}^{\mathcal{M}}\!\mathcal{M}^{H}$ . The category of finite-dimensional left *H*-comodules is denoted by  ${}^{H}\!\mathcal{M}_{\text{fin}}$ .

### 2 Noncommutative Hopf fibrations

#### 2.1 Principal comodule algebras

The study of connections on noncommutative principal bundles has been initiated in [BM93] and developed further by the introduction of *strong connections* in [Haj96, DGH01]. This framework has been extended beyond Hopf algebras in [BM98, BM00] and then formalized in terms of *principal coalgebra extensions* in [BH09] and *principal coalgebra in* [BH09] and *principal coalgebra in* [BH09].

**Definition 2.1.** Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. A right H-comodule algebra  $(A, \delta)$  is called a *principal comodule algebra* if it admits a *strong connection*, i.e. a linear map  $\ell : H \to A \otimes A$ , such that

$$\ell(\mathbb{1}_H) = \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_A \qquad \text{(normalization)} \quad , \qquad (2.1a)$$

$$\mu_A \circ \ell = \mathbb{1}_A \circ \epsilon_H \qquad (\text{splitting property}) \quad , \qquad (2.1b)$$

 $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta) \circ \ell = (\ell \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_H$  (right colinearity) , (2.1c)

$$(\delta_S \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \ell = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \ell) \circ \Delta_H \qquad (\text{left colinearity}) \quad , \qquad (2.1d)$$

where  $\delta : A \to A \otimes H$  is the right *H*-coaction and  $\delta_S : A \to H \otimes A$  is the associated left *H*-coaction defined by

$$\delta_S := (S^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \mathrm{flip} \circ \delta : a \longmapsto S^{-1}(a_{\underline{1}}) \otimes a_{\underline{0}} .$$

$$(2.2)$$

In the context of noncommutative geometry, principal comodule algebras are interpreted as principal bundles. The algebra A is the algebra of functions on the (noncommutative) total space, the Hopf algebra H is the structure (quantum) group and the subalgebra of *coinvariants* 

$$B := A^{\operatorname{co} H} := \left\{ a \in A : \delta(a) = a \otimes \mathbb{1}_H \right\} \subseteq A$$

$$(2.3)$$

is the algebra of functions on the (noncommutative) base space. Note that since  $\delta$  is an algebra homomorphism, B is indeed a subalgebra of A. The existence of a strong connection ensures that A is a *Hopf-Galois extension* of B, i.e. that the *canonical Galois* map

$$\operatorname{can} := (\mu_A \otimes \operatorname{id}) \circ (\operatorname{id} \otimes \delta) : A \otimes_B A \longrightarrow A \otimes H , \ a \otimes_B a' \longmapsto a a'_{\underline{0}} \otimes a'_{\underline{1}}$$
(2.4)

is bijective. Explicitly, the inverse of the canonical Galois map is given by the composite of

$$A \otimes H \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id} \otimes \ell} A \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu_A \otimes \operatorname{id}} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} A \otimes_B A \quad . \tag{2.5}$$

The Galois property encodes freeness of the action of the structure (quantum) group.

The existence of a strong connection also implies that A is an *H*-equivariantly projective left *B*-module, i.e. the restriction of the multiplication map to  $B \otimes A$  has a right *H*-comodule left *B*-module splitting. Explicitly,

$$\sigma := (\mu_A \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \ell) \circ \delta : A \longrightarrow B \otimes A .$$
(2.6)

In fact, a principal comodule algebra is the same as an H-equivariantly projective Hopf-Galois extension. The projectivity property gives the notion of a principal comodule algebra full geometric meaning, as it implies that A admits a noncommutative connection in the sense of [CQ95]. This is in perfect concord with Cartan's definition of a principal action of a compact Lie group [Car50]. We return to these differential geometric aspects of principal comodule algebras in Section 4.

Furthermore, a principal comodule algebra is the same as a faithfully-flat Hopf-Galois extension, i.e. a Hopf-Galois extension A of B such that the tensor product functor  $(-) \otimes_B A : \mathscr{M}_B \to \mathscr{M}_k$  both preserves and reflects exact sequences. This gives an algebraic geometry flavor to the notion of a principal comodule algebra, as it leads to the faithfully flat descent property. As observed by H.-J. Schneider, in one of the key results of Hopf-Galois theory [Sch90, Theorem I], if H admits an invariant integral (i.e. H is coseparable) such as the Haar measure on the coordinate algebra of a compact quantum group [Wor87], then surjectivity of the canonical Galois map (2.4) implies its injectivity as well as faithful-flatness of A as a left B-module. An explicit construction of a strong connection, in the more general situation of extensions by coalgebras, is given in [BB08]. As a consequence, Hopf-Galois extensions given by typical Hopf algebras that feature in noncommutative geometry, and in particular those found in the present text, are automatically principal comodule algebras.

The reader interested in studying further the meaning of principal comodule algebras in classical geometry is encouraged to consult [BHMS07].

#### 2.2 The classical Hopf fibration

The Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$  can be described algebraically as follows. The total space is given by the \*-algebra  $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^3)$  of functions on the algebraic 3-sphere. Concretely, A is the free commutative \*-algebra generated by  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , modulo the \*-ideal generated by the 3-sphere relation

$$z_1^* z_1 + z_2^* z_2 = 1 \quad . \tag{2.7}$$

The structure group is described by the \*-Hopf algebra  $H = \mathcal{O}(U(1))$  of functions on the algebraic circle group U(1). Concretely, H is the free commutative \*-algebra generated by t, modulo the \*-ideal generated by the circle relation

$$t^* t = 1$$
 . (2.8)

The coproduct, counit and antipode read as

$$\Delta(t) = t \otimes t \quad , \quad \epsilon(t) = 1 \quad , \quad S(t) = t^* \quad . \tag{2.9}$$

We endow A with the structure of a right H-comodule \*-algebra by defining the right H-coaction  $\delta : A \to A \otimes H$  on the generators as

$$\delta(z_1) = z_1 \otimes t$$
,  $\delta(z_2) = z_2 \otimes t$ . (2.10a)

The compatibility condition  $\delta \circ * = (* \otimes *) \circ \delta$  between the coaction and \*-involution gives

$$\delta(z_1^*) = z_1^* \otimes t^*$$
,  $\delta(z_2^*) = z_2^* \otimes t^*$ . (2.10b)

Lemma 2.2. The \*-subalgebra of H-coinvariants

$$B := A^{\operatorname{co} H} := \left\{ a \in A : \delta(a) = a \otimes \mathbb{1} \right\} \subseteq A$$
(2.11)

is isomorphic to the \*-algebra  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  of functions on the algebraic 2-sphere.

*Proof.* We find that  $A^{\operatorname{co} H} \subseteq A$  is generated as a \*-algebra by

$$z := 2 z_1 z_2^* \in A^{\operatorname{co} H}$$
,  $x := z_1^* z_1 - z_2^* z_2 \in A^{\operatorname{co} H}$ . (2.12)

These generators satisfy the relations

$$x^* = x$$
 ,  $z^* z + x^2 = 1$  , (2.13)

hence  $A^{\operatorname{co} H} \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** The right H-comodule \*-algebra  $(A, \delta)$  described above is a principal comodule algebra.

*Proof.* This is a special case of what was proven in [BS03]. We note in passing that a strong connection can be defined iteratively by

$$\ell(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \quad , \quad \ell(t^n) = \begin{cases} z_1^* \,\ell(t^{n-1}) \, z_1 + z_2^* \,\ell(t^{n-1}) \, z_2 & , & \text{for } n > 0 \\ z_1 \,\ell(t^{n+1}) \, z_1^* + z_2 \,\ell(t^{n+1}) \, z_2^* & , & \text{for } n < 0 \\ \end{cases}$$
(2.14)

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### **2.3** 2-cocycle deformations

We construct a 1-parameter family of noncommutative Hopf fibrations via 2-cocyle deformations, see e.g. [ABCS17] for the general framework. Let  $K = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}^2)$  denote the \*-Hopf algebra of functions on the algebraic 2-torus. As a vector space, K is spanned by the basis

$$\{t_{\mathbf{m}} : \mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$$
, (2.15)

on which the product, unit and involution are defined by

$$t_{\mathbf{m}} t_{\mathbf{m}'} = t_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{m}'} , \quad \mathbb{1} = t_{\mathbf{0}} , \quad t_{\mathbf{m}}^* = t_{-\mathbf{m}} .$$
 (2.16)

The coproduct, counit and antipode are given by

$$\Delta(t_{\mathbf{m}}) = t_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes t_{\mathbf{m}} \quad , \quad \epsilon(t_{\mathbf{m}}) = 1 \quad , \quad S(t_{\mathbf{m}}) = t_{\mathbf{m}}^* \quad . \tag{2.17}$$

We endow A with the structure of a left K-comodule \*-algebra by defining the left K-coaction  $\rho: A \to K \otimes A$  on the generators as

$$\rho(z_1) = t_{(1,0)} \otimes z_1 \quad , \quad \rho(z_2) = t_{(0,1)} \otimes z_2 \quad .$$
(2.18a)

The compatibility condition  $\rho \circ * = (* \otimes *) \circ \rho$  between the coaction and \*-involution implies

$$\rho(z_1^*) = t_{(-1,0)} \otimes z_1^* , \quad \rho(z_2^*) = t_{(0,-1)} \otimes z_2^* .$$
(2.18b)

Recalling the right *H*-coaction from (2.10), we observe that  $(A, \rho, \delta)$  is a (K, H)-bicomodule \*-algebra, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
A & & & \delta & \\
& \rho \downarrow & & & \downarrow \rho \otimes \mathrm{id} \\
& K \otimes A & & & & K \otimes A \otimes H
\end{array}$$
(2.19)

commutes and both  $\delta$  and  $\rho$  are algebra maps.

Every 2-cocycle  $\sigma : K \otimes K \to \mathbb{C}$  on K defines a deformation of K into a new Hopf algebra  $K_{\sigma}$  as well as a deformation of the (K, H)-bicomodule algebra  $(A, \rho, \delta)$  into a deformed  $(K_{\sigma}, H)$ -bicomodule algebra  $(A_{\sigma}, \rho, \delta)$ . Our focus will be on the family of 2-cocycles defined by

$$\sigma_{\theta}(t_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes t_{\mathbf{m}'}) = \exp\left(\pi i \,\mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{T}} \Theta \mathbf{m}'\right) = \exp\left(\pi i \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} m_{j} \Theta^{jk} m_{k}'\right) \quad , \qquad (2.20a)$$

where

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta \\ -\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R} \quad . \tag{2.20b}$$

As  $K = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is commutative and cocommutative it follows that  $K_{\theta} = K$  as Hopf algebras. The deformed (K, H)-bicomodule \*-algebra  $(A_{\theta}, \rho, \delta)$  is given as follows. As a (K, H)-bicomodule, we have that  $(A_{\theta}, \rho, \delta) = (A, \rho, \delta)$ , i.e. the left K-coaction and right H-coaction remain undeformed. The product is deformed to the  $\star_{\theta}$ -product defined by

$$a \star_{\theta} a' := \sigma_{\theta} \left( a_{\underline{-1}} \otimes a'_{\underline{-1}} \right) a_{\underline{0}} a'_{\underline{0}} \quad . \tag{2.21}$$

The unit  $\mathbb{1}_{\theta} = \mathbb{1}$  and involution  $a^{*_{\theta}} = a^*$  remain undeformed. Using (2.18), (2.20) and (2.21), we find the following commutation relations for the generators

$$z_{1} \star_{\theta} z_{1}^{*} = z_{1}^{*} \star_{\theta} z_{1} , \qquad z_{2} \star_{\theta} z_{2}^{*} = z_{2}^{*} \star_{\theta} z_{2} ,$$
  

$$z_{1} \star_{\theta} z_{2} = q \, z_{2} \star_{\theta} z_{1} , \qquad z_{1} \star_{\theta} z_{2}^{*} = q^{-1} \, z_{2}^{*} \star_{\theta} z_{1} , \qquad (2.22)$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i \theta}$ . A further calculation shows that

$$z_1^* \star_\theta z_1 + z_2^* \star_\theta z_2 = z_1^* z_1 + z_2^* z_2 = \mathbb{1} \quad , \tag{2.23}$$

i.e.  $A_{\theta}$  describes the Connes-Landi 3-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{3}_{\theta}$ , see e.g. [CL01, CD-V02, LvS05].

**Lemma 2.4.** The \*-subalgebra  $A_{\theta}^{\operatorname{co}H} \subseteq A_{\theta}$  of *H*-coinvariants does not depend on the deformation parameter  $\theta$ , i.e.  $A_{\theta}^{\operatorname{co}H} = A^{\operatorname{co}H} = B \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  is the commutative 2-sphere.

*Proof.* Recall from the proof of Lemma 2.2 that the undeformed \*-algebra  $A^{\text{co}H}$  of coinvariants is generated by the elements  $z := 2 z_1 z_2^*$  and  $x := z_1^* z_1 - z_2^* z_2$ . Using (2.18), we find that the left K-coaction on these elements is

$$\rho(z) = t_{(1,-1)} \otimes z \quad , \quad \rho(z^*) = t_{(-1,1)} \otimes z^* \quad , \quad \rho(x) = \mathbb{1} \otimes x \quad , \tag{2.24}$$

which implies via (2.21) and (2.20) that their  $\star_{\theta}$ -products coincide with their undeformed products.

**Proposition 2.5.** The right *H*-comodule \*-algebra  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  described above is a principal comodule algebra.

*Proof.* This follows directly from Proposition 2.3 and [ABCS17, Corollary 3.19]. Explicitly, a strong connection can be defined iteratively by

$$\ell(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} , \quad \ell(t^n) = \begin{cases} z_1^* \star_\theta \ell(t^{n-1}) \star_\theta z_1 + z_2^* \star_\theta \ell(t^{n-1}) \star_\theta z_2 & , & \text{for } n > 0 \\ z_1 \star_\theta \ell(t^{n+1}) \star_\theta z_1^* + z_2 \star_\theta \ell(t^{n+1}) \star_\theta z_2^* & , & \text{for } n < 0 \\ \end{cases}$$
(2.25)

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Compare this expression with (2.14)).

### 3 Associated modules

#### 3.1 Modules associated to principal comodule algebras

Let *H* be a Hopf algebra. Given any right *H*-comodule  $(M, \delta : M \to M \otimes H)$  and left *H*-comodule  $(V, \rho : V \to H \otimes V)$ , the cotensor product  $M \Box_H V$  is defined as the equalizer

$$M \Box_H V \longrightarrow M \otimes V \xrightarrow{\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}} M \otimes H \otimes V$$
 (3.1a)

in the category of vector spaces. Explicitly,

$$M\Box_{H}V = \left\{\sum_{j} m_{j} \otimes v_{j} \in M \otimes V : \sum_{j} \delta(m_{j}) \otimes v_{j} = \sum_{j} m_{j} \otimes \rho(v_{j})\right\} .$$
(3.1b)

If M is a (K, H)-bicomodule and V is an (H, L)-bicomodule for some other Hopf algebras K and L, then the cotensor product  $M \square_H V$  is a (K, L)-bicomodule.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(A, \delta)$  be a principal *H*-comodule algebra with coinvariant subalgebra  $B = A^{\operatorname{co} H}$  and let  $(V, \rho)$  be a left *H*-comodule. Since  $\delta$  is a left *B*-module homomorphism, the cotensor product  $E_A(V) := A \Box_H V$  is a left *B*-module via

$$B \otimes E_A(V) \longrightarrow E_A(V) , \quad b \otimes (a \otimes v) \longmapsto (b a) \otimes v \quad .$$
 (3.2)

The corresponding functor

$$E_A : {}^{H}\!\!\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow {}_{B}\!\!\mathcal{M} \tag{3.3}$$

is called the *associated module functor* for the principal comodule algebra A.

As explained in [BH04], if V is finite-dimensional, then  $E_A(V)$  is a finitely generated projective left *B*-module. In other words, (3.3) restricts to a functor

$$E_A : \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{fin}} \longrightarrow {}_B \mathscr{P} \quad . \tag{3.4}$$

An idempotent for  $E_A(V)$  can be explicitly constructed from a strong connection  $\ell$  on A and a basis of V, see [BH04] and [BB05].

### 3.2 Modules associated to Hopf fibrations

The aim of this section is to compare the associated module functor  $E_{A_{\theta}}$  for the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  (cf. Proposition 2.5) with the functor  $E_A$  for the classical Hopf fibration  $(A, \delta)$  (cf. Proposition 2.3). As vector spaces,

$$E_{A_{\theta}}(V) = A_{\theta} \Box_H V = A \Box_H V = E_A(V) \quad . \tag{3.5}$$

However, the left *B*-actions on these spaces are different. In view of (3.2), the left *B*-module structure of  $E_A(V)$  comes from the commutative multiplication in *A*, restricted to  $B \otimes A$ , while the left *B*-module structure of  $E_{A_{\theta}}(V)$  uses the noncommutative multiplication by  $\star_{\theta}$ . We shall prove below that the two functors  $E_{A_{\theta}}$  and  $E_A$  are naturally isomorphic. This means that the theory of associated modules for the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  is equivalent to that for the classical Hopf fibration. Loosely speaking, it could be said that associated modules do not depend on the deformation parameter  $\theta$ .

In order to construct this natural isomorphism we have to analyze the two different left *B*-module structures in more detail. For this, it is convenient to decompose the underlying (K, H)-bicomodule  $(A_{\theta}, \rho, \delta) = (A, \rho, \delta)$  into irreducible representations. We define the homogeneous (K, H)-bicomodules

$$A^{(\mathbf{m},n)} := \left\{ a \in A : \rho(a) = t_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes a , \ \delta(a) = a \otimes t^n \right\} \subseteq A \quad , \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** There exists a decomposition of the (K, H)-bicomodule  $(A_{\theta}, \rho, \delta) = (A, \rho, \delta)$  as

$$A = \bigoplus_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{((m+n,-m),n)} \quad . \tag{3.7}$$

The  $\star_{\theta}$ -product of homogeneous elements  $a \in A^{((m+n,-m),n)}$  and  $a' \in A^{((m'+n',-m'),n')}$  is

$$a \star_{\theta} a' = e^{\pi i \,\theta \,(m \,n' - n \,m')} \,a \,a' \in A^{((m + m' + n + n', -m - m'), n + n')} \quad . \tag{3.8}$$

*Proof.* Because A is the (K, H)-bicomodule underlying a finitely presented (K, H)-bicomodule algebra, there exists a decomposition  $A = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2, n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{(\mathbf{m}, n)}$ , cf. [BSS17, Lemma A.3]. As the generators are homogeneous elements

$$z_1 \in A^{((1,0),1)}$$
,  $z_2 \in A^{((0,1),1)}$ ,  $z_1^* \in A^{((-1,0),-1)}$ ,  $z_2^* \in A^{((0,-1),-1)}$ , (3.9)

we observe that the non-vanishing components are as in (3.7). The formula for the  $\star_{\theta}$ -product on homogeneous elements follows directly from (2.21) and (2.20).

**Corollary 3.3.** From (3.7) it follows that

$$B = A^{\operatorname{co} H} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{((m, -m), 0)} \subseteq A$$
(3.10)

for the \*-subalgebra of H-coinvariants.

Given any left *H*-comodule  $(V, \rho)$ , the vector space underlying the associated left *B*-module admits a decomposition

$$A\Box_H V = \bigoplus_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{((m+n,-m),n)} \otimes V^n \quad , \tag{3.11a}$$

where

$$V^{n} := \left\{ v \in V : \rho(v) = t^{n} \otimes v \right\} \quad . \tag{3.11b}$$

By (3.8), the deformed left *B*-action of homogeneous elements  $b \in A^{((m,-m),0)} \subseteq B$  and  $a \otimes v \in A^{((m'+n',-m'),n')} \otimes V^{n'} \subseteq A \square_H V$  is given by

$$b \star_{\theta} (a \otimes v) = (b \star_{\theta} a) \otimes v = e^{\pi i \theta mn'} (b a) \otimes v \quad . \tag{3.12}$$

**Proposition 3.4.** For every left H-comodule  $(V, \rho)$ , define a linear isomorphism

$$L_V : A \Box_H V \longrightarrow A_\theta \Box_H V$$
 (3.13a)

by setting

$$L_V(a \otimes v) = e^{\pi i \theta \, mn} \, a \otimes v \quad , \tag{3.13b}$$

for all homogeneous elements  $a \otimes v \in A^{((m+n,-m),n)} \otimes V^n$ . This linear isomorphism is a left B-module isomorphism for  $E_A(V)$  and  $E_{A_{\theta}}(V)$ . Moreover, the components (3.13) define a natural isomorphism  $L: E_A \Longrightarrow E_{A_{\theta}}$  between the associated module functor for the classical Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$  and the one for the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$ . *Proof.* For homogeneous elements  $b \in A^{((m,-m),0)} \subseteq B$  and  $a \otimes v \in A^{((m'+n',-m'),n')} \otimes V^{n'} \subseteq A \Box_H V$ , we obtain

$$L_V(b(a \otimes v)) = e^{\pi i \,\theta \,(m+m')n'} \,(b \,a) \otimes v \quad, \tag{3.14a}$$

where  $(b a) \otimes v \in A^{((m+m'+n',-m-m'),n')} \otimes V^{n'}$ , and

$$b \star_{\theta} L_{V}(a \otimes v) = e^{\pi i \theta m' n'} (b \star_{\theta} a) \otimes v = e^{\pi i \theta m' n'} e^{\pi i \theta m n'} (b a) \otimes v \quad . \tag{3.14b}$$

These two terms coincide, hence  $L_V$  is a left *B*-module isomorphism. Naturality is a straightforward check.

### 4 The Atiyah sequence and connections

#### 4.1 Differential geometry of principal comodule algebras

**Definition 4.1.** A *(first-order) differential calculus* on an algebra A is a pair  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$  consisting of an A-bimodule  $\Omega^1(A)$  and a linear map  $d : A \to \Omega^1(A)$ , such that

- (i) d(a a') = a d(a') + d(a) a', for all  $a, a' \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $\Omega^1(A) = A d(A) = \left\{ \sum_j a_j d(a'_j) : a_j, a'_j \in A \right\}.$

We say that  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$  is *connected* if d(a) = 0 if and only if  $a \in k \mathbb{1} \subseteq A$ .

Every differential calculus is a quotient of the universal calculus ( $\Gamma^1(A)$ ,  $d_u$ ). Recall that the A-bimodule of universal 1-forms  $\Gamma^1(A) := \ker \mu_A \subseteq A \otimes A$  is the kernel of the multiplication map and that the universal differential  $d_u : A \to \Gamma^1(A)$  is defined as  $d_u(a) = \mathbb{1} \otimes a - a \otimes \mathbb{1}$ , for all  $a \in A$ . We find that ( $\Gamma^1(A)$ ,  $d_u$ ) is a connected differential calculus. Given any A-subbimodule  $N \subseteq \Gamma^1(A)$ , the A-bimodule  $\Omega^1(A) = \Gamma^1(A)/N$  and



defines a differential calculus ( $\Omega^1(A)$ , d). Vice versa, every differential calculus is of this form.

The case where H is a Hopf algebra was studied in detail in [Wor89]. Any right ideal  $Q \subseteq H^+$  of the augmentation ideal  $H^+ := \ker \epsilon$  that is invariant under the right adjoint H-coaction ad :  $H^+ \to H^+ \otimes H$ ,  $h \mapsto h_2 \otimes S(h_1)h_3$ , i.e.  $\operatorname{ad}(Q) \subseteq Q \otimes H$ , induces a bicovariant differential calculus on H. The corresponding H-subbimodule  $N_H \subseteq \Gamma^1(H)$  is generated by the image of  $Q \subseteq H^+$  under the linear map  $\kappa := (S \otimes \operatorname{id}) \circ \Delta : H^+ \to \Gamma^1(H)$ . The quotient right H-comodule

$$\mathfrak{h}_Q^\vee := H^+/Q \tag{4.2}$$

plays the role of the dual of the quantum Lie algebra of H relative to Q. By construction, there exists a map  $\kappa_Q$  that fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & H^{+} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h}_{Q}^{\vee} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{H} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma^{1}(H) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{1}(H) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$
(4.3)

whose rows are short exact sequences. The resulting *H*-bimodule of 1-forms  $\Omega^1(H) = \Gamma^1(H)/N_H$  is freely generated by  $\kappa_Q(\chi_i) \in \Omega^1(H)$ , where  $\{\chi_i\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{h}_Q^{\vee}$ .

For a right *H*-comodule algebra  $(A, \delta)$ , it is natural to demand that the *A*-subbimodule  $N \subseteq \Gamma^1(A)$  is invariant under the tensor product right *H*-coaction, i.e.  $\delta(N) \subseteq N \otimes H$ . More explicitly,

$$\sum_{j} (a_j)_{\underline{0}} \otimes (a'_j)_{\underline{0}} \otimes (a_j)_{\underline{1}} (a'_j)_{\underline{1}} \in N \otimes H \quad , \tag{4.4}$$

for all  $\sum_j a_j \otimes a'_j \in N$ . The corresponding differential calculus  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$  then satisfies the property that  $\Omega^1(A)$  is a right *H*-comodule *A*-bimodule and that  $d : A \to \Omega^1(A)$  is a right *H*-comodule morphism. Further, given any ad-invariant right ideal  $Q \subseteq H^+$ , we may require that Q and N are compatible in the sense that  $\operatorname{ver}(N) \subseteq A \otimes Q$ , where the *(universal) vertical lift* is defined as the linear map

$$\operatorname{ver} := (\mu_A \otimes \operatorname{id}) \circ (\operatorname{id} \otimes \delta) : \Gamma^1(A) \longrightarrow A \otimes H^+ , \quad \sum_j a_j \otimes a'_j \longmapsto \sum_j a_j (a'_j)_{\underline{0}} \otimes (a'_j)_{\underline{1}} \quad .$$

$$(4.5)$$

Observe that in this case, the (universal) vertical lift descends to a linear map  $\overline{\text{ver}}$ :  $\Omega^1(A) \to A \otimes \mathfrak{h}_Q^{\vee}$  defined by the diagram

If moreover there is an equality  $\operatorname{ver}(N) = A \otimes Q$ , we say that the two differential calculi  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$  and  $(\Omega^1(H), d)$  are *concordant*.

It is well-known, see e.g. [BJM, Part VII, Proposition 6.6], that a right *H*-comodule algebra  $(A, \delta)$  is a Hopf-Galois extension of the coinvariant subalgebra  $B = A^{coH}$  if and only if the *(universal) Atiyah sequence* 

$$0 \longrightarrow A \Gamma^{1}(B) A \longrightarrow \Gamma^{1}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{ver}} A \otimes H^{+} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(4.7)$$

of right *H*-comodule left *A*-modules is short exact. In particular, for every principal *H*-comodule algebra  $(A, \delta)$ , the sequence (4.7) is short exact. The right *H*-comodule *A*-bimodule

$$A\Gamma^{1}(B)A := \left\{ \sum_{j} a_{j} b_{j} \otimes b'_{j} a'_{j} : a_{j}, a'_{j} \in A, \ b_{j}, b'_{j} \in B \text{ with } \sum_{j} a_{j} b_{j} b'_{j} a'_{j} = 0 \right\}$$
(4.8)

is called the *module of universal horizontal* 1-forms. Let us also recall that the right H-coactions on both  $A\Gamma^1(B)A$  and  $\Gamma^1(A)$  are induced by the tensor product coaction on  $A \otimes A$ . As well, the right H-coaction on  $A \otimes H^+$  is the tensor product coaction with  $H^+$  endowed with the right adjoint H-coaction ad :  $h \mapsto h_2 \otimes S(h_1)h_3$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A connection with respect to the universal differential calculus on a principal *H*-comodule algebra  $(A, \delta)$  is a right *H*-comodule left *A*-module splitting  $s : A \otimes H^+ \to \Gamma^1(A)$  of (4.7), i.e. ver  $\circ s =$  id. Every connection s is fully determined by its connection form

$$\omega : H^+ \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(A) , \quad h \longmapsto \mathsf{s}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes h) \quad . \tag{4.9}$$

Associated to a connection  $\mathbf{s} : A \otimes H^+ \to \Gamma^1(A)$ , or equivalently to its connection form  $\omega : H^+ \to \Gamma^1(A)$ , is its *covariant derivative* 

$$D := (\mathrm{id} - \mathbf{s} \circ \mathrm{ver}) \circ \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{u}} : A \longrightarrow A\Gamma^{1}(B)A , \quad a \longmapsto \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{u}}(a) - a_{\underline{0}}\,\omega\left(a_{\underline{1}} - \epsilon(a_{\underline{1}})\mathbb{1}_{H}\right) .$$
(4.10)

A connection is called *strong* provided that  $D(A) \subseteq \Gamma^1(B) A$ . Strong connections are in one-to-one correspondence with maps  $\ell : H \to A \otimes A$  satisfying the conditions (2.1) in Definition 2.1, see e.g. [DGH01] or [BH09]. A covariant derivative D of a strong connection is a connection on the left *B*-module A, in the sense of [CQ95]. Every strong connection defines a connection, for the universal calculus, on the associated left *B*-module  $E_A(V) = A \Box_H V$  via

$$\nabla : E_A(V) \longrightarrow \Gamma^1(B) \otimes_B E_A(V) , \quad a \otimes v \longmapsto D(a) \otimes v \quad . \tag{4.11}$$

It has been observed in [Haj97] that for concordant differential calculi  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$  and  $(\Omega^1(H), d)$ , i.e.  $\operatorname{ver}(N) = A \otimes Q$ , exactness of the universal Atiyah sequence (4.7) implies exactness of the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}(A)_{\text{hor}} \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}(A) \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{ver}}} A \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{Q}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(4.12)$$

of right H-comodule left A-modules. The module of horizontal 1-forms is defined by

$$\Omega^{1}(A)_{\text{hor}} := \frac{A\Gamma^{1}(B)A}{A\Gamma^{1}(B)A \cap N} = A\Omega^{1}(B)A \quad , \tag{4.13}$$

where  $(\Omega^1(B), d)$  is the differential calculus on B that is determined by the B-subbimodule  $N_B := (\ker \mu_B) \cap N \subseteq \Gamma^1(B)$ . Equivalently, this differential calculus may by obtained from  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$  as a restriction, i.e.  $\Omega^1(B) = B d(B) \subseteq \Omega^1(A)$ , see e.g. [BM19, Chapter 5]. The short exact sequence (4.12) will be referred to as the *Atiyah sequence* for the concordant differential calculi  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$  and  $(\Omega^1(H), d)$ .

**Definition 4.3.** A connection with respect to concordant differential calculi  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$ and  $(\Omega^1(H), d)$  on a principal *H*-comodule algebra  $(A, \delta)$  is a right *H*-comodule left *A*module splitting  $\overline{\mathbf{s}} : A \otimes \mathfrak{h}_Q^{\vee} \to \Omega^1(A)$  of the Atiyah sequence (4.12), i.e.  $\overline{\text{ver}} \circ \overline{\mathbf{s}} = \text{id}$ . Every connection  $\overline{\mathbf{s}}$  is fully determined by its connection form

$$\overline{\omega} : \mathfrak{h}_Q^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Omega^1(A) , \quad h \longmapsto \overline{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes h) \quad . \tag{4.14}$$

Similarly to the case of the universal calculus above, associated to a connection  $\overline{\mathbf{s}} : A \otimes \mathfrak{h}_Q^{\vee} \to \Omega^1(A)$ , or equivalently to its connection form  $\overline{\omega} : \mathfrak{h}_Q^{\vee} \to \Omega^1(A)$ , is its covariant derivative

$$\overline{D} := (\mathrm{id} - \overline{\mathbf{s}} \circ \overline{\mathrm{ver}}) \circ \mathrm{d} : A \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}_{\mathrm{hor}}(A) , \quad a \longmapsto \mathrm{d}(a) - a_{\underline{0}} \overline{\omega} \left( a_{\underline{1}} - \epsilon(a_{\underline{1}}) \mathbb{1}_{H} \right) .$$
(4.15)

A connection is said to be *strong* provided that  $\overline{D}(A) \subseteq \Omega^1(B) A$ . Every strong connection defines a connection for the differential calculus  $(\Omega^1(B), d)$  on the associated left *B*-module  $E_A(V) = A \Box_H V$  via

$$\overline{\nabla} : E_A(V) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(B) \otimes_B E_A(V) , \quad a \otimes v \longmapsto \overline{D}(a) \otimes v \quad . \tag{4.16}$$

### 4.2 The universal Atiyah sequence for Hopf fibrations

Consider the (K, H)-bicomodule algebra  $(A_{\theta}, \rho, \delta)$  from Section 2.3 which describes the deformed Hopf fibration. As the underlying right *H*-comodule algebra  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  is a principal comodule algebra (cf. Proposition 2.5), we obtain from (4.7) the corresponding (universal) Atiyah sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_{\theta} \Gamma^{1}(B) A_{\theta} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{1}(A_{\theta}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ver}_{\theta}} A_{\theta} \otimes H^{+} \longrightarrow 0 \quad .$$

$$(4.17)$$

Let us emphasize that  $B = A_{\theta}^{\operatorname{co} H} \subseteq A_{\theta}$  is the algebra of functions on the classical 2-sphere (cf. Lemma 2.4) and that the vertical lift (4.5), in the present case, involves the  $\star_{\theta}$ -product of  $A_{\theta}$ , i.e.

$$\operatorname{ver}_{\theta} : \Gamma^{1}(A_{\theta}) \longrightarrow A_{\theta} \otimes H^{+} , \quad \sum_{j} a_{j} \otimes a'_{j} \longmapsto \sum_{j} \left( a_{j} \star_{\theta} (a'_{j})_{\underline{0}} \right) \otimes (a'_{j})_{\underline{1}} \quad .$$
(4.18)

Note that (4.17) is a short exact sequence of (K, H)-bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ -modules. Setting the deformation parameter  $\theta = 0$ , we obtain the (universal) Atiyah sequence of the classical Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ 

$$0 \longrightarrow A \Gamma^{1}(B) A \longrightarrow \Gamma^{1}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{ver}} A \otimes H^{+} \longrightarrow 0 \quad . \tag{4.19}$$

This is a short exact sequence of (K, H)-bicomodule left A-modules. Utilizing the 2cocycle deformation functor from [ABCS17, Proposition 2.25 (ii)], we obtain a short exact sequence of (K, H)-bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow (A \Gamma^{1}(B) A)_{\theta} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{1}(A)_{\theta} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ver}} (A \otimes H^{+})_{\theta} \longrightarrow 0 \quad . \tag{4.20}$$

As a sequence of (K, H)-bicomodules, (4.20) coincides with (4.19). The left  $A_{\theta}$ -module structures on the objects in (4.20) are obtained by the following construction: Given any (K, H)-bicomodule left A-module M, the deformed left  $A_{\theta}$ -action on the (K, H)bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ -module  $M_{\theta}$  is

$$a \star_{\theta} m := \sigma_{\theta}(a_{\underline{-1}} \otimes m_{\underline{-1}}) a_{\underline{0}} m_{\underline{0}} \quad , \tag{4.21}$$

for all  $a \in A_{\theta}$  and  $m \in M_{\theta}$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Consider the (K, H)-bicomodule isomorphism

$$\varphi_{\theta} : A_{\theta} \otimes A_{\theta} \longrightarrow (A \otimes A)_{\theta} , \quad a \otimes a' \longmapsto \sigma_{\theta}(a_{\underline{-1}} \otimes a'_{\underline{-1}}) \ a_{\underline{0}} \otimes a'_{\underline{0}} \quad .$$
(4.22)

Then

$$0 \longrightarrow A_{\theta} \Gamma^{1}(B) A_{\theta} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{1}(A_{\theta}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ver}_{\theta}} A_{\theta} \otimes H^{+} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \varphi_{\theta} \downarrow & \varphi_{\theta} \downarrow & \downarrow^{\operatorname{id}} \\ 0 \longrightarrow (A \Gamma^{1}(B) A)_{\theta} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{1}(A)_{\theta} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ver}} (A \otimes H^{+})_{\theta} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(4.23)$$

is an isomorphism of short exact sequences of (K, H)-bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ -modules.

Proof. Note that (4.22) relates the deformed and undeformed product via  $\mu_{A_{\theta}} = \mu_A \circ \varphi_{\theta}$ . As a consequence, it restricts to the middle vertical arrow in (4.23). The 2-cocycle property of  $\sigma_{\theta}$  implies that the middle vertical arrow is a (K, H)-bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ module isomorphism. From (2.24) we find that  $\varphi_{\theta}$  acts as the identity on  $\Gamma^1(B) \subseteq$  $B \otimes B \subseteq A_{\theta} \otimes A_{\theta}$ . Together with the previous result, this implies that the left vertical arrow in (4.23) has the claimed domain and codomain and that it is a (K, H)-bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ -module isomorphism. The left square commutes by construction.

The right vertical arrow in (4.23) is a (K, H)-bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ -module isomorphism because the K-coaction on  $H^+$  is trivial. We see directly that the right square commutes by

$$\operatorname{ver} \circ \varphi_{\theta} \left( \sum_{j} a_{j} \otimes a'_{j} \right) = \sum_{j} \sigma_{\theta} \left( (a_{j})_{\underline{-1}} \otimes (a'_{j})_{\underline{-1}} \right) (a_{j})_{\underline{0}} (a'_{j})_{\underline{0}_{\underline{0}}} \otimes (a'_{j})_{\underline{0}_{\underline{1}}}$$
$$= \sum_{j} \sigma_{\theta} \left( (a_{j})_{\underline{-1}} \otimes (a'_{j})_{\underline{0}_{\underline{-1}}} \right) (a_{j})_{\underline{0}} (a'_{j})_{\underline{0}_{\underline{0}}} \otimes (a'_{j})_{\underline{1}}$$
$$= \sum_{j} a_{j} \star_{\theta} (a'_{j})_{\underline{0}} \otimes (a'_{j})_{\underline{1}} = \operatorname{ver}_{\theta} \left( \sum_{j} a_{j} \otimes a'_{j} \right) , \qquad (4.24)$$

for all  $\sum_j a_j \otimes a'_j \in \Gamma^1(A_\theta)$ , where in the second step we used (2.19).

### 4.3 The Atiyah sequence for Kähler forms

For a commutative algebra A, the product map  $\mu_A : A \otimes A \to A$  is an algebra homomorphism when  $A \otimes A$  is endowed with the tensor algebra structure  $(a \otimes a')(\widetilde{a} \otimes \widetilde{a}') :=$  $(a \widetilde{a}) \otimes (a' \widetilde{a}')$ . This implies that ker  $\mu_A \subseteq A \otimes A$  is an ideal. Recall that the module of Kähler 1-forms on A is defined as the quotient A-bimodule

$$\Omega^{1}(A) := \frac{\ker \mu_{A}}{(\ker \mu_{A})^{2}} = \frac{\Gamma^{1}(A)}{(\ker \mu_{A})^{2}} \quad .$$
(4.25)

The Kähler differential  $d : A \to \Omega^1(A)$  is the composition of the universal differential  $d_u : A \to \Gamma^1(A)$  and the quotient map  $\Gamma^1(A) \twoheadrightarrow \Omega^1(A)$ . In other words,  $(\Omega^1(A), d)$  is the first-order differential calculus presented by the quotient of the universal calculus  $(\Gamma^1(A), d_u)$  by the A-subbimodule  $N := (\ker \mu_A)^2 \subseteq \Gamma^1(A)$ .

For a commutative Hopf algebra H, the Kähler differential calculus on H is bicovariant and it corresponds to the ad-invariant right ideal  $Q = (H^+)^2$ , where  $H^+ = \ker \epsilon$ . Given further a commutative principal H-comodule algebra  $(A, \delta)$ , with coinvariants  $B = A^{\operatorname{co} H}$ , both  $\Gamma^1(A) = \ker \mu_A \subseteq A \otimes A$  and  $A \otimes H^+ \subseteq A \otimes H$  are ideals with respect to the tensor algebra structures. It is easily checked that the vertical lift ver :  $\Gamma^1(A) \to A \otimes H^+$  is an algebra homomorphism, hence it maps  $(\ker \mu_A)^2$  to  $(A \otimes H^+)^2 = A \otimes (H^+)^2$ . Because the latter map is surjective, the Kähler differential calculi on A and H are concordant. Hence, there is a corresponding short exact Atiyah sequence (4.12) for Kähler forms in which

$$\Omega^{1}_{\text{hor}}(A) := \frac{A \Gamma^{1}(B) A}{(A \Gamma^{1}(B) A) \cap (\ker \mu_{A})^{2}} = A \Omega^{1}(B) A \quad , \quad \mathfrak{h}^{\vee} := \mathfrak{h}_{Q}^{\vee} = \frac{H^{+}}{(H^{+})^{2}} \quad , \quad (4.26)$$

and  $\Omega^1(B) = \Gamma^1(B)/N_B$  is determined by  $N_B = (\ker \mu_A)^2 \cap \Gamma^1(B) \subseteq \Gamma^1(B)$ .

It might be worth pointing out that, in general,  $\Omega^1(B)$  defined above by the restriction of the Kähler 1-forms on A is not necessarily the module of Kähler 1-forms on B.

**Example 4.5.** Let k be a field of characteristic p and  $A = k[x, x^{-1}]$  the commutative algebra of Laurent polynomials in x. Further, let  $H = k\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the group Hopf algebra of the cyclic group of order p. The latter may be presented as the commutative algebra  $H = k[\xi]/(\mathbb{1} - \xi^p)$ , equipped with the coproduct  $\Delta(\xi) = \xi \otimes \xi$ , counit  $\epsilon(\xi) = 1$  and antipode  $S(\xi) = \xi^{-1}$ . (By  $\xi^{-1} \in H$  we mean the element represented by  $\xi^{p-1} \in k[\xi]$ .) We endow A with the structure of a right H-comodule algebra by defining  $\delta(x) = x \otimes \xi$ and  $\delta(x^{-1}) = x^{-1} \otimes \xi^{-1}$ . The H-coinvariant subalgebra  $B = k[x^p, x^{-p}] \subseteq k[x, x^{-1}] = A$ is the algebra of Laurent polynomials in  $x^p$ . We further observe that  $(A, \delta)$  is a principal comodule algebra with strong connection  $\ell : H \to A \otimes A$  defined by

$$\ell(\xi^n) = x^{-n} \otimes x^n$$
, for  $n = 0, \dots, p-1$ . (4.27)

Because  $A = k[x, x^{-1}] \cong k[x, y]/(xy - 1)$  admits a finite presentation by two generators x and y, the corresponding module of Kähler 1-forms is isomorphic to the quotient  $\Omega^1(A) \cong (A \, dx \oplus A \, dy)/\langle y \, dx + x \, dy \rangle$ , see e.g. [Eis95, Section 16.1]. The generator dy can be eliminated by the relation  $dy = -y^2 \, dx$ , which implies that  $\Omega^1(A) = A \, dx$ . (Note that  $dx^{-1} = -x^{-2} dx$  in this calculus.) Restricting the Kähler 1-forms  $\Omega^1(A)$  to  $B = k[x^p, x^{-p}] \subseteq k[x, x^{-1}] = A$  defines the trivial differential calculus  $\Omega^1(B) = 0$ . This follows as k is a field of characteristic p, by hypothesis, and hence  $d(x^p) = p \, x^{p-1} \, dx = 0$ . On the other hand, the Kähler differential calculus on B is non-trivial.<sup>1</sup>

In view of the above comment and example, it is useful to observe the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/J_A$  be a finitely generated algebra and  $B \subseteq A$  the subalgebra generated by  $X_1, \ldots, X_m \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . If the set  $\{d_x(X_1), \ldots, d_x(X_m)\}$  is free in the module underlying the Kähler differential calculus  $(\Omega^1(k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]), d_x)$  on the free algebra  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , then the Kähler differential calculus  $(\Omega^1(B), d_B)$  on B is isomorphic to the restriction  $(B d_A(B), d_A) \subseteq (\Omega^1(A), d_A)$  to  $B \subseteq A$  of the Kähler differential calculus on A.

*Proof.* Recall from [Eis95, Proposition 16.1] that  $\Omega^1(k[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$  and  $\Omega^1(k[X_1, \ldots, X_m])$  are free modules. By our hypothesis that  $\{d_x(X_1), \ldots, d_x(X_m)\}$  is free in  $\Omega^1(k[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ , it follows that the map

$$\Omega^{1}(k[X_{1},\ldots,X_{m}]) \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}(k[x_{1},\ldots,x_{n}]) , \quad \sum_{j} f_{j} \,\mathrm{d}_{X}(X_{j}) \longmapsto \sum_{j} f_{j} \,\mathrm{d}_{x}(X_{j}) \quad (4.28a)$$

is injective and hence it defines an isomorphism

$$\Omega^{1}(k[X_{1},\ldots,X_{m}]) \cong k[X_{1},\ldots,X_{m}] \operatorname{d}_{x}(k[X_{1},\ldots,X_{m}]) \quad .$$
(4.28b)

As  $\Omega^1(A)$  is given by the quotient of  $\Omega^1(k[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$  by the ideal  $(J_A, d_x J_A)$  generated by  $J_A$  and  $d_x J_A$ , and likewise  $\Omega^1(B) = \Omega^1(k[X_1, \ldots, X_m])/(J_B, d_X J_B)$  with  $J_B := J_A \cap k[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ , see e.g. [Eis95, Section 16.1], the required isomorphism is induced by the following diagram with exact rows

where the solid vertical arrows arise from (4.28).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We are grateful to Christian Lomp for his comment, which led us to Example 4.5.

Let us consider now the Atiyah sequence (4.12) for the *classical* Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$  and the Kähler differential calculi on  $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^3)$  and  $H = \mathcal{O}(U(1))$ , i.e.

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{hor}(A) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(A) \xrightarrow{\overline{ver}} A \otimes \mathfrak{h}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0 \quad . \tag{4.30}$$

The vector space  $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee} = H^+/(H^+)^2$  is the algebraic cotangent space of U(1) at the unit element. Its dual is the vector space underlying the Lie algebra of U(1), i.e. the vector space  $\mathfrak{h} := \operatorname{Der}_{\epsilon}(H)$  of derivations relative to  $\epsilon : H \to \mathbb{C}$ . Recall that  $X \in \operatorname{Der}_{\epsilon}(H)$  is a linear map  $X : H \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $X(h h') = X(h) \epsilon(h') + \epsilon(h) X(h')$ , for all  $h, h' \in H$ . It is easily checked that  $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{Der}_{\epsilon}(H) \cong \mathbb{C}$  is 1-dimensional. Furthermore, since the generators  $z = 2z_1 z_2^*$ ,  $z^* = 2z_1^* z_2$  and  $x = z_1^* z_1 - z_2^* z_2$  of  $B = A^{\operatorname{co} H} \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  (cf. Lemma 2.2) satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.6, the horizontal forms in (4.30) are

$$\Omega_{\rm hor}^{1}(A) = A\Omega^{1}(B)A = A\Omega^{1}(B) = \Omega^{1}(B)A \quad , \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega^{1}(B) = \frac{\ker \mu_{B}}{\left(\ker \mu_{B}\right)^{2}} \quad . \tag{4.31}$$

As  $(A, \delta, \rho)$  is a (K, H)-bicomodule algebra, it follows that (4.30) is a short exact sequence of (K, H)-bicomodule left A-modules. Applying the 2-cocycle deformation functor from [ABCS17, Proposition 2.25 (ii)], we obtain the short exact sequence of (K, H)-bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}_{hor}(A)_{\theta} \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}(A)_{\theta} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ver}} (A \otimes \mathfrak{h}^{\vee})_{\theta} \longrightarrow 0 \quad .$$

$$(4.32)$$

A similar construction applies to the deformed Hopf fibration described by the (K, H)bicomodule algebra  $(A_{\theta}, \rho, \delta)$  from Section 2.3. The key point is that  $A_{\theta}$  is braided commutative (see e.g. [BSS17]) in the sense of

$$a \star_{\theta} a' = R_{\theta}(a'_{\underline{-1}} \otimes a_{\underline{-1}}) a'_{\underline{0}} \star_{\theta} a_{\underline{0}} \quad , \tag{4.33a}$$

for all  $a, a' \in A_{\theta}$ , with cotriangular structure  $R_{\theta} : K \otimes K \to \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$R_{\theta}(t_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes t_{\mathbf{m}'}) = \sigma_{\theta}(t_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes t_{\mathbf{m}'})^{-2} = \exp\left(-2\pi i \,\mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{T}} \Theta \mathbf{m}'\right) \quad .$$
(4.33b)

The product map  $\mu_{A_{\theta}} : A_{\theta} \otimes A_{\theta} \to A_{\theta}$  is an algebra homomorphism when  $A_{\theta} \otimes A_{\theta}$  is endowed with the *braided tensor algebra* structure  $(a \otimes a') (\tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{a}') := R_{\theta} (\tilde{a}_{-1} \otimes a'_{-1}) (a \star_{\theta} \tilde{a}_{0}) \otimes (a'_{0} \star_{\theta} \tilde{a}')$ . Consequently, ker  $\mu_{A_{\theta}} \subseteq A_{\theta} \otimes A_{\theta}$  is an ideal and the *deformed Kähler* forms may be defined as

$$\Omega^1(A_\theta) := \frac{\ker \mu_{A_\theta}}{(\ker \mu_{A_\theta})^2} \quad . \tag{4.34}$$

The vertical lift in (4.17) is an algebra homomorphism with respect to the braided tensor algebra structures. Hence, it maps  $(\ker \mu_{A_{\theta}})^2$  to  $(A_{\theta} \otimes H^+)^2 = A_{\theta} \otimes (H^+)^2$ . In analogy to (4.30), we obtain the quotient short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{\text{hor}}(A_\theta) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(A_\theta) \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{ver}}_\theta} A_\theta \otimes \mathfrak{h}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0 \quad . \tag{4.35}$$

**Proposition 4.7.** The isomorphism from Proposition 4.4 descends to an isomorphism of short exact sequences of (K, H)-bicomodule left  $A_{\theta}$ -modules

between (4.35) and (4.32).

*Proof.* Using the 2-cocycle property of  $\sigma_{\theta}$ , it can be shown that the map  $\varphi_{\theta} : A_{\theta} \otimes A_{\theta} \rightarrow (A \otimes A)_{\theta}$  given in (4.22) is an algebra isomorphism with respect to the following algebra structures: As above, the domain  $A_{\theta} \otimes A_{\theta}$  is endowed with the braided tensor algebra structure, i.e.

$$(a \otimes a') (\widetilde{a} \otimes \widetilde{a}') := R_{\theta} (\widetilde{a}_{\underline{-1}} \otimes a'_{\underline{-1}}) (a \star_{\theta} \widetilde{a}_{\underline{0}}) \otimes (a'_{\underline{0}} \star_{\theta} \widetilde{a}') .$$

$$(4.37a)$$

The codomain  $(A \otimes A)_{\theta}$  is endowed with the 2-cocycle deformation of the usual tensor algebra structure on  $A \otimes A$ , i.e.

$$(a \otimes a') \star_{\theta} (\widetilde{a} \otimes \widetilde{a}') := \sigma_{\theta}(a_{\underline{-1}} a'_{\underline{-1}} \otimes \widetilde{a}_{\underline{-1}} \widetilde{a}'_{\underline{-1}}) \ (a_{\underline{0}} \widetilde{a}_{\underline{0}}) \otimes (a'_{\underline{0}} \widetilde{a}'_{\underline{0}}) \quad .$$
(4.37b)

As a consequence,  $\varphi_{\theta}$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\varphi_{\theta} : (\ker \mu_{A_{\theta}})^2 \to (\ker \mu_A)^2_{\theta}$ , which implies the claimed isomorphism.

**Corollary 4.8.**  $\Omega^1_{hor}(A_\theta) = A_\theta \Omega^1(B) A_\theta = A_\theta \Omega^1(B) = \Omega^1(B) A_\theta$  with  $\Omega^1(B)$  the Kähler 1-forms on  $B = A_\theta^{coH} \subseteq A_\theta$ .

*Proof.* By (4.31) and invertibility of the 2-cocycle  $\sigma_{\theta}$ , it follows that

$$\Omega^{1}_{\text{hor}}(A)_{\theta} = A_{\theta} \star_{\theta} \Omega^{1}(B) \star_{\theta} A_{\theta} = A_{\theta} \star_{\theta} \Omega^{1}(B) = \Omega^{1}(B) \star_{\theta} A_{\theta} \quad , \tag{4.38}$$

where  $\star_{\theta}$  denotes the deformed left and right  $A_{\theta}$ -module structures on  $\Omega^{1}(A)_{\theta}$ . The isomorphism given by the left vertical arrow in (4.36) implies our claim. Explicitly, it maps  $a d_{\theta}(b) a' \in A_{\theta} \Omega^{1}(B) A_{\theta} \subseteq \Omega^{1}(A_{\theta})$  to  $a \star_{\theta} d(b) \star_{\theta} a' \in A_{\theta} \star_{\theta} \Omega^{1}(B) \star_{\theta} A_{\theta} \subseteq \Omega^{1}(A)_{\theta}$ , where d denotes the differential on  $\Omega^{1}(A)$  and  $d_{\theta}$  the one on  $\Omega^{1}(A_{\theta})$ .

#### 4.4 Connections

The aim of this section is to characterize connections with respect to the Kähler differential calculi for both the classical Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$  and the deformed Hopf fibration. It will be shown that they are equivalent in a suitable sense.

We first consider the classical Hopf fibration  $(A, \delta)$  from Section 2.2. By Definition 4.3, the set of connections is the set of splittings of (4.30) or equivalently the set of connection forms

$$\operatorname{Con}(A,\delta) := \left\{ \overline{\omega} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{H}(\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}, \Omega^{1}(A)) : \overline{\operatorname{ver}} \circ \overline{\omega} = \mathbb{1} \otimes \operatorname{id} \right\} , \qquad (4.39)$$

where  $\operatorname{Hom}^{H}$  denotes the set of right *H*-comodule morphisms. Let us recall that  $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{Der}_{\epsilon}(H) \cong \mathbb{C}$  is 1-dimensional and choose a basis  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ , e.g. the linear map

$$X : H \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} , \quad t^n \longmapsto n \quad .$$
 (4.40)

Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$  be the dual basis defined by  $\langle \chi, X \rangle = 1$ . Composing ver with the evaluation map  $\langle -, X \rangle : \mathfrak{h}^{\vee} \to \mathbb{C}$  defines the morphism

$$\overline{\operatorname{ver}}^X : \Omega^1(A) \longrightarrow A , \quad \omega \longmapsto \overline{\operatorname{ver}}^X(\omega) := \langle \overline{\operatorname{ver}}(\omega), X \rangle \quad .$$
 (4.41)

As the right adjoint *H*-coaction on  $\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$  is trivial, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Con}(A,\delta) \cong \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^1(A)^{\operatorname{co}H} : \overline{\operatorname{ver}}^X(\omega) = \mathbb{1} \right\} .$$
(4.42)

The bijection is given explicitly by  $\overline{\omega}(\chi) = \omega$ , for the dual basis vector  $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^{\vee}$ . Analogously, the set of connections for the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  from Section 2.3 is the set of splittings of (4.35) or equivalently the set of connection forms

$$\operatorname{Con}(A_{\theta}, \delta) := \left\{ \overline{\omega}_{\theta} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{H}(\mathfrak{h}^{\vee}, \Omega^{1}(A_{\theta})) : \overline{\operatorname{ver}}_{\theta} \circ \overline{\omega}_{\theta} = \mathbb{1} \otimes \operatorname{id} \right\}$$
$$\cong \left\{ \omega_{\theta} \in \Omega^{1}(A_{\theta})^{\operatorname{co}H} : \overline{\operatorname{ver}}_{\theta}^{X}(\omega_{\theta}) = \mathbb{1} \right\} .$$
(4.43)

Notice that every connection on  $(A, \delta)$  and also every connection on  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  is strong because of (4.31) and Corollary 4.8.

**Proposition 4.9.** The map  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta}$  introduced in Proposition 4.7 defines a bijection

$$\overline{\varphi}_{\theta} : \operatorname{Con}(A_{\theta}, \delta) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Con}(A, \delta) , \quad \omega_{\theta} \longmapsto \overline{\varphi}_{\theta}(\omega_{\theta}) \quad .$$

$$(4.44)$$

Proof. Commutativity of the diagram (4.36) implies  $\overline{\operatorname{ver}}^X(\overline{\varphi}_{\theta}(\omega_{\theta})) = \overline{\operatorname{ver}}^X_{\theta}(\omega_{\theta}) = \mathbb{1}$ , i.e.  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta}(\omega_{\theta}) \in \operatorname{Con}(A, \delta)$ . The inverse map  $\operatorname{Con}(A, \delta) \to \operatorname{Con}(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  is given by  $\omega \mapsto \overline{\varphi}_{\theta}^{-1}(\omega)$ .

**Remark 4.10.** Similar results for connections on modules were proven in [AS14, BSS16].  $\triangle$ 

Our next aim is to refine the result of Proposition 4.9 by using more explicit features of the example under investigation. Using [Eis95, Section 16.1], the module of Kähler 1-forms on A can be computed as

$$\Omega^{1}(A) = \frac{A \, \mathrm{d}z_{1} \oplus A \, \mathrm{d}z_{2} \oplus A \, \mathrm{d}z_{1}^{*} \oplus A \, \mathrm{d}z_{2}^{*}}{\langle \mathrm{d}z_{1}^{*} \, z_{1} + z_{1}^{*} \, \mathrm{d}z_{1} + \mathrm{d}z_{2}^{*} \, z_{2} + z_{2}^{*} \, \mathrm{d}z_{2} \rangle} , \qquad (4.45)$$

where the right A-action is defined by sa := as, for all  $a \in A$  and  $s \in A dz_1 \oplus A dz_2 \oplus A dz_1^* \oplus A dz_2^*$ . The differential  $d : A \to \Omega^1(A)$  is specified by mapping each generator  $z_1, z_2, z_1^*, z_2^*$  of A to the corresponding generator  $dz_1, dz_2, dz_1^*, dz_2^*$  of (4.45) and the Leibniz rule. Moreover, the vertical lift  $\overline{\operatorname{ver}}^X : \Omega^1(A) \to A$  is given by

$$\overline{\operatorname{ver}}^{X}(a \, \mathrm{d}a') = a \, a'_{\underline{0}} \, X(a'_{\underline{1}}) \quad , \tag{4.46}$$

for all  $a, a' \in A$ .

Using braided commutativity of the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta, \rho)$ , a similar computation shows that the module of Kähler 1-forms on  $A_{\theta}$  reads as

$$\Omega^{1}(A_{\theta}) = \frac{A_{\theta} \operatorname{d}_{\theta} z_{1} \oplus A_{\theta} \operatorname{d}_{\theta} z_{2} \oplus A_{\theta} \operatorname{d}_{\theta} z_{1}^{*} \oplus A_{\theta} \operatorname{d}_{\theta} z_{2}^{*}}{\langle \operatorname{d}_{\theta} z_{1}^{*} z_{1} + z_{1}^{*} \operatorname{d}_{\theta} z_{1} + \operatorname{d}_{\theta} z_{2}^{*} z_{2} + z_{2}^{*} \operatorname{d}_{\theta} z_{2} \rangle} , \qquad (4.47)$$

where the right  $A_{\theta}$ -action is defined using the cotriangular structure (4.33) by  $sa := R_{\theta}(a_{-1} \otimes s_{-1}) a_0 s_0$ , for all  $a \in A_{\theta}$  and  $s \in A_{\theta} d_{\theta} z_1 \oplus A_{\theta} d_{\theta} z_2 \oplus A_{\theta} d_{\theta} z_1^* \oplus A_{\theta} d_{\theta} z_2^*$ . The differential  $d_{\theta} : A_{\theta} \to \Omega^1(A_{\theta})$  is specified by mapping each generator  $z_1, z_2, z_1^*, z_2^*$  of  $A_{\theta}$  to the corresponding generator  $d_{\theta} z_1, d_{\theta} z_2, d_{\theta} z_1^*, d_{\theta} z_2^*$  of (4.47) and the Leibniz rule. The vertical lift  $\overline{\operatorname{ver}}_{\theta}^X : \Omega^1(A_{\theta}) \to A_{\theta}$  is given by

$$\overline{\operatorname{ver}}_{\theta}^{X}(a \operatorname{d}_{\theta} a') = a \star_{\theta} a'_{\underline{0}} X(a'_{\underline{1}}) \quad , \tag{4.48}$$

for all  $a, a' \in A_{\theta}$ .

**Lemma 4.11.** The 1-form  $\omega^0 := z_1^* dz_1 + z_2^* dz_2 \in \Omega^1(A)$  defines a K-coinvariant connection on the classical Hopf fibration  $(A, \delta)$ . Hence, there exists a bijection

$$\operatorname{Con}(A,\delta) \cong \left\{ \omega^0 + \alpha \, : \, \alpha \in \Omega^1(B) \right\} \quad . \tag{4.49}$$

Analogously, the 1-form  $\omega_{\theta}^{0} := z_{1}^{*} d_{\theta} z_{1} + z_{2}^{*} d_{\theta} z_{2} \in \Omega^{1}(A_{\theta})$  defines a K-coinvariant connection on the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$ . Hence, there exists a bijection

$$\operatorname{Con}(A_{\theta}, \delta) \cong \left\{ \omega_{\theta}^{0} + \alpha : \alpha \in \Omega^{1}(B) \right\} .$$

$$(4.50)$$

*Proof.* The one-form  $\omega^0$  is clearly *H*-coinvariant and *K*-coinvariant. Using (4.46) and (4.40), it is found that

$$\overline{\operatorname{ver}}^{X}(\omega^{0}) = z_{1}^{*} z_{1} X(t) + z_{2}^{*} z_{2} X(t) = z_{1}^{*} z_{1} + z_{2}^{*} z_{2} = \mathbb{1} \quad .$$

$$(4.51)$$

The bijection is a consequence of  $\Omega^1_{hor}(A)^{coH} = \Omega^1(B)$  being the Kähler forms on B, cf. (4.31).

Analogously,  $\omega_{\theta}^{0}$  is *H*-coinvariant and *K*-coinvariant. Using (4.48) and (4.40), it is found that

$$\overline{\operatorname{ver}}^X_{\theta}(\omega^0_{\theta}) = z_1^* \star_{\theta} z_1 + z_2^* \star_{\theta} z_2 = \mathbb{1} \quad , \tag{4.52}$$

where the last step uses (2.23). The bijection is a consequence of  $\Omega^1_{hor}(A_\theta)^{coH} = \Omega^1(B)$ being the Kähler forms on B, cf. Corollary 4.8.

**Proposition 4.12.** Expressed in the form of Lemma 4.11, the bijection from Proposition 4.9 reads explicitly as

$$\overline{\varphi}_{\theta} : \operatorname{Con}(A_{\theta}, \delta) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Con}(A, \delta) , \quad \omega_{\theta}^{0} + \alpha \longmapsto \omega^{0} + \alpha \quad .$$
 (4.53)

In other words, the affine map  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta}$  preserves the points  $\omega_{\theta}^{0}$  and  $\omega^{0}$ , and its linear part given by the identity map  $\mathrm{id}: \Omega^{1}(B) \to \Omega^{1}(B)$ .

*Proof.* The isomorphism  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta} : \Omega^1(A_{\theta}) \to \Omega^1(A)_{\theta}$  is explicitly given by

$$\overline{\varphi}_{\theta} \left( a \, \mathrm{d}_{\theta} a' \right) = \sigma_{\theta} \left( a_{\underline{-1}} \otimes a'_{\underline{-1}} \right) a_{\underline{0}} \, \mathrm{d} a'_{\underline{0}} = a \star_{\theta} \, \mathrm{d} a' \quad , \tag{4.54}$$

for all  $a, a' \in A_{\theta}$ . From this, it is easily confirmed that  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta}(\omega_{\theta}^{0}) = \omega^{0}$  and that  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta}(b d_{\theta} b') = b db'$ , for all  $b, b' \in B$ .

#### 4.5 Gauge transformations

We describe a notion of (infinitesimal) gauge transformations for both the deformed and the classical Hopf fibration, together with their actions on connections. We shall show that our identification of connections from Propositions 4.9 and 4.12 intertwines between the deformed and classical gauge transformations. In other words, the theory of connections and their infinitesimal gauge transformations on the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  is equivalent to that on the classical Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ .

Let us note that our notion of gauge transformations will be formalized by *braided* derivations and hence it makes explicitly use of the braided commutativity of  $A_{\theta}$ . There

also exists a more flexible concept of gauge transformations given by right *H*-comodule left *B*-module automorphisms  $f : A \to A$  satisfying f(1) = 1, see e.g. [Brz96]. Note that such f are not required to be algebra homomorphisms. However, for commutative principal comodule algebras, this definition does not recover the usual concept of gauge transformations in classical geometry, in contrast to our more special approach by braided derivations. As a last remark, let us note that it would also be possible to describe finite gauge transformations by using the noncommutative mapping spaces from [BSS17]. This is technically more involved and will not be discussed here.

We describe presently the case of infinitesimal gauge transformations of the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$ , which includes the classical case  $(A, \delta)$  by setting  $\theta = 0$ . Consider the associated left *B*-module  $E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h}) = A_{\theta} \Box_{H} \mathfrak{h}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Der}_{\epsilon}(H)$  is endowed with the adjoint left *H*-coaction, which is trivial as U(1) is Abelian. Hence,  $E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h}) \cong B \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  as left *B*-modules and, using the basis element  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  from (4.40), any element  $\zeta \in E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$ can be written as  $\zeta = b \otimes X$ , for a unique  $b \in B$ . We define an action of  $E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$  on  $A_{\theta}$ by setting

$$a_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta := a_{\underline{0}} \star_{\theta} b X(a_{\underline{1}}) \quad , \tag{4.55}$$

for all  $\zeta = b \otimes X \in E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$  and  $a \in A_{\theta}$ . It is easily checked that

$$(a \star_{\theta} a')_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta = a \star_{\theta} (a'_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta) + R_{\theta}(\zeta_{\underline{-1}} \otimes a'_{\underline{-1}}) (a_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta_{\underline{0}}) a'_{\underline{0}} , \qquad (4.56)$$

for all  $a, a' \in A_{\theta}$  and  $\zeta \in E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$ , i.e.  $E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$  acts on  $A_{\theta}$  from the right by braided derivations. In particular, this action preserves the left *B*-module structure on  $A_{\theta}$ , i.e.  $(b' \star_{\theta} a)_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta = b' \star_{\theta} (a_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta)$ , for all  $b' \in B$ ,  $a \in A_{\theta}$  and  $\zeta \in E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$ .

This action can be extended to the differential graded algebra  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta}), \wedge_{\theta}, d_{\theta})$  of deformed Kähler forms. The latter is obtained by the *braided exterior algebra* of the symmetric  $A_{\theta}$ -bimodule  $\Omega^{1}(A_{\theta})$ , i.e. the wedge-product  $\wedge_{\theta}$  satisfies the braided graded commutativity property  $\lambda \wedge_{\theta} \lambda' = (-1)^{nm} R_{\theta}(\lambda'_{-1} \otimes \lambda_{-1}) \lambda'_{0} \wedge_{\theta} \lambda_{0}$ , for all homogeneous  $\lambda \in \Omega^{n}(A_{\theta})$  and  $\lambda' \in \Omega^{m}(A_{\theta})$ , while the differential satisfies the graded Leibniz rule<sup>2</sup>

$$d_{\theta}(\lambda \wedge_{\theta} \lambda') = \lambda \wedge_{\theta} (d_{\theta} \lambda') + (-1)^{m} (d_{\theta} \lambda) \wedge_{\theta} \lambda' \quad , \tag{4.57}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta})$  and all homogeneous  $\lambda' \in \Omega^m(A_{\theta})$ .

**Remark 4.13.** Using that the isomorphism  $\Omega^1(A_\theta) \cong \Omega^1(A)_\theta$  from Proposition 4.7 is an isomorphism of (K, H)-bicomodule  $A_\theta$ -bimodules, we obtain a canonical isomorphism of differential graded algebras

$$(\Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta}), \wedge_{\theta}, \mathbf{d}_{\theta}) \cong (\Omega^{\bullet}(A), \wedge, \mathbf{d})_{\theta}$$

$$(4.58)$$

to the 2-cocycle deformation of the differential graded algebra of undeformed Kähler forms. By a convenient abuse of notation, we shall often suppress this isomorphism in what follows, i.e. we simply identify  $\lambda \wedge_{\theta} \lambda' \in \Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta})$  with the deformed wedge-product  $\lambda \wedge_{\theta} \lambda' = \sigma_{\theta}(\lambda_{-1} \otimes \lambda'_{-1}) \lambda_{0} \wedge \lambda'_{0} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(A)_{\theta}$  and  $d_{\theta}\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta})$  with  $d\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}(A)_{\theta}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Our variant of the graded Leibniz rule is obtained by thinking of  $d_{\theta}$  as acting from the right. This is a convenient choice of convention for the present work, because all other operations (e.g. covariant derivatives and gauge transformations) also act from the right.

For every  $\zeta = b \otimes X \in E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$ , we define the contraction map  $\iota_{\zeta}^{\theta} : \Omega^{1}(A_{\theta}) \to A_{\theta}$  by setting

$$\iota_{\zeta}^{\theta}(a \operatorname{d}_{\theta} a') := a \star_{\theta} (a'_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta) = \overline{\operatorname{ver}}_{\theta}^{X}(a \operatorname{d}_{\theta} a') \star_{\theta} b \quad , \tag{4.59}$$

for all  $a, a' \in A_{\theta}$ . Because  $\overline{\operatorname{ver}}_{\theta}^{X}$  is a left *B*-module morphism,  $\iota_{\zeta}^{\theta}$  preserves the left *B*-module structures too, i.e.  $\iota_{\zeta}^{\theta}(b' \star_{\theta} \lambda) = b' \star_{\theta} \iota_{\zeta}^{\theta}(\lambda)$ , for all  $b' \in B$  and  $\lambda \in \Omega^{1}(A_{\theta})$ . This map can be extended to the whole of  $\Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta})$  as a braided anti-derivation, i.e.

$$\iota^{\theta}_{\zeta}(\lambda \wedge_{\theta} \lambda') = \lambda \wedge_{\theta} \iota^{\theta}_{\zeta}(\lambda') + (-1)^{m} R_{\theta}(\zeta_{\underline{-1}} \otimes \lambda'_{\underline{-1}}) \iota^{\theta}_{\zeta\underline{0}}(\lambda) \wedge_{\theta} \lambda'_{\underline{0}} \quad , \tag{4.60}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta})$  and all homogeneous  $\lambda' \in \Omega^{m}(A_{\theta})$ . The action of  $\zeta \in E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$  on general forms  $\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta})$  is then defined by Cartan's magic formula

$$\lambda_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta := \mathrm{d}_{\theta} \iota^{\theta}_{\zeta}(\lambda) + \iota^{\theta}_{\zeta}(\mathrm{d}_{\theta}\lambda) \quad .$$

$$(4.61)$$

**Lemma 4.14.** Let  $\omega_{\theta} \in \text{Con}(A_{\theta}, \delta) \subseteq \Omega^{1}(A_{\theta})$  be a deformed connection, cf. (4.43). Then  $\omega_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta = d_{\theta}b$ , for all  $\zeta = b \otimes X \in E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $\iota_{\zeta}^{\theta}(\omega_{\theta}) = \overline{\operatorname{ver}}_{\theta}^{X}(\omega_{\theta}) \star_{\theta} b = \mathbb{1} \star_{\theta} b = b$  by (4.43). Recalling (4.47), it is found that  $\omega_{\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a^{i} d_{\theta} z_{i}$ , where  $z_{3} = z_{1}^{*}$  and  $z_{4} = z_{2}^{*}$ , for some  $a^{i} \in A_{\theta}$ . As  $\omega_{\theta}$  is *H*-coinvariant by hypothesis and  $\delta(d_{\theta} z_{i}) = d_{\theta} z_{i} \otimes t_{i}$  with  $t_{1} = t_{2} = t$  and  $t_{3} = t_{4} = t^{*}$ , it follows that  $\delta(a^{i}) = a^{i} \otimes t_{i}^{*}$ . Hence,

$$\omega_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta = d_{\theta} \iota^{\theta}_{\zeta}(\omega_{\theta}) + \iota^{\theta}_{\zeta}(d_{\theta}\omega_{\theta}) = d_{\theta}b - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \iota^{\theta}_{\zeta}(d_{\theta}a^{i} \wedge_{\theta} d_{\theta}z_{i})$$

$$= d_{\theta}b - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( d_{\theta}a^{i} z_{i} X(t_{i}) - a^{i} X(t_{i}^{*}) d_{\theta}z_{i} \right) b$$

$$= d_{\theta}b - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( d_{\theta}a^{i} z_{i} + a^{i} d_{\theta}z_{i} \right) b X(t_{i})$$

$$= d_{\theta}b - d_{\theta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4} a^{i} \star_{\theta} z_{i} X(t_{i}) \right) b = d_{\theta}b - \left( d_{\theta} \overline{\operatorname{ver}}_{\theta}^{X}(\omega_{\theta}) \right) b = d_{\theta}b \quad , \qquad (4.62)$$

where the third line uses (4.40) and the last step (4.43).

Setting  $\theta = 0$ , we obtain the usual infinitesimal gauge transformations  $E_A(\mathfrak{h}) = A \Box_H \mathfrak{h}$  for the classical Hopf fibration  $(A, \delta)$ , together with their action  $\triangleleft$  on the undeformed Kähler forms  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(A), \wedge, \mathrm{d})$ . As the left *H*-coaction on  $\mathfrak{h}$  is trivial, it follows that the left *B*-module isomorphism  $L_{\mathfrak{h}} : E_A(\mathfrak{h}) \to E_{A_\theta}(\mathfrak{h})$  from Proposition 3.4 is the identity map, i.e. undeformed and deformed gauge transformations are identified 'on the nose'.

**Proposition 4.15.** The bijection  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta}$  : Con $(A_{\theta}, \delta) \rightarrow$  Con $(A, \delta)$  from Proposition 4.9 preserves infinitesimal gauge transformations, i.e.

$$\overline{\varphi}_{\theta} \Big( \omega_{\theta} \,_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta \Big) = \overline{\varphi}_{\theta} (\omega_{\theta}) \triangleleft \zeta \quad , \tag{4.63}$$

for all  $\zeta \in E_A(\mathfrak{h}) = E_{A_\theta}(\mathfrak{h})$  and  $\omega_\theta \in \operatorname{Con}(A_\theta, \delta)$ .

*Proof.* Recalling (4.54), it is found that  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta}(\omega_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta) = \overline{\varphi}_{\theta}(\mathrm{d}_{\theta}b) = \mathrm{d}b = \overline{\varphi}_{\theta}(\omega_{\theta}) \triangleleft \zeta.$ 

### 5 Associated gauge transformations and connections

Let  $(V, \rho)$  be a left *H*-comodule and consider the associated left *B*-modules  $E_A(V) = A \Box_H V$  and  $E_{A_{\theta}}(V) = A_{\theta} \Box_H V$  from Section 3. By Proposition 3.4, there exists a natural left *B*-module isomorphism  $L_V : E_A(V) \to E_{A_{\theta}}(V)$ . We shall show that this isomorphism does not intertwine between the actions of gauge transformations and connections on associated modules. Physically speaking, this means that the coupling of gauge and matter fields on the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  is different to that on the classical Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ .

Let us start with the associated gauge transformations. Using (4.55), there exists an induced action of  $E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$  on  $E_{A_{\theta}}(V)$  which is given by

$$(a \otimes v)_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta := (a_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta) \otimes v = a_{\underline{0}} \star_{\theta} b \otimes v \ X(a_{\underline{1}}) = a \star_{\theta} b \otimes X(v_{\underline{-1}}) v_{\underline{0}} \quad , \tag{5.1}$$

for all  $a \otimes v \in E_{A_{\theta}}(V)$  and  $\zeta = b \otimes X \in E_{A_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{h})$ , with the last equality following from the definition of the cotensor product (3.1). Setting  $\theta = 0$ , we obtain a similar expression without the  $\star_{\theta}$ -product, i.e.  $(a \otimes v) \triangleleft \zeta = a b \otimes X(v_{-1}) v_{\underline{0}}$ . Consider now any homogeneous  $a \otimes v \in A^{((m+n,-m),n)} \otimes V^n$  and  $\zeta = b \otimes X \in A^{((m',-m'),0)}$  and apply the left *B*-module isomorphism  $L_V : E_A(V) \to E_{A_{\theta}}(V)$ . On the one hand, we obtain

$$L_V((a \otimes v) \triangleleft \zeta) = e^{\pi i \,\theta(m+m')n} \, a \, b \otimes X(v_{\underline{-1}}) \, v_{\underline{0}} \quad , \tag{5.2a}$$

while on the other hand

$$L_V(a \otimes v)_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta = e^{\pi i \,\theta (m - m')n} \, a \, b \otimes X(v_{\underline{-1}}) \, v_{\underline{0}} \quad , \tag{5.2b}$$

where (3.8) is also used for computing the  $\star_{\theta}$ -products. Notice that the phase factors differ for  $n \neq 0$ , hence the left *B*-module isomorphism  $L_V : E_A(V) \to E_{A_\theta}(V)$  does not in general intertwine between the classical and deformed gauge transformations. This feature can be understood from the fact that gauge transformations of *left B*-modules act from the *right* (cf. (5.1) where *b* is to the right of *a*) and hence they are not intertwined by the *left B*-module isomorphism  $L_V$ .

Let us now discuss the covariant derivatives (4.15) and their corresponding associated connections (4.16). We fix any connection form  $\omega = \omega^0 + \alpha \in \text{Con}(A, \delta)$  on the classical Hopf fibration (cf. Lemma 4.11) and determine the corresponding connection form on the deformed Hopf fibration  $(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  via the bijection given in Proposition 4.12, i.e.  $\omega_{\theta} = \omega_{\theta}^0 + \alpha \in \text{Con}(A_{\theta}, \delta)$  with the same  $\alpha$ . For later convenience, we consider the canonical lift of the covariant derivative  $\overline{D} : A \to \Omega_{\text{hor}}^1(A)$  to the graded subalgebra  $(\Omega_{\text{hor}}^{\bullet}(A), \wedge) \subseteq$  $(\Omega^{\bullet}(A), \wedge)$  of horizontal Kähler forms. Note that  $\Omega_{\text{hor}}^{\bullet}(A) = \Omega^{\bullet}(B)A$  because of (4.31) and graded commutativity of  $\wedge$ . This yields a linear map  $\overline{D} : \Omega_{\text{hor}}^{\bullet}(A) \to \Omega_{\text{hor}}^{\bullet+1}(A)$ , which explicitly reads as

$$\overline{D}(\lambda) = \mathrm{d}\lambda - \lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge \omega \ X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) = \mathrm{d}\lambda - \lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge (\omega^0 + \alpha) \ X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) \quad , \tag{5.3}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A)$ . By construction,  $\overline{D}$  satisfies the graded Leibniz rule  $\overline{D}(\beta \wedge \lambda) = (-1)^m d\beta \wedge \lambda + \beta \wedge \overline{D}(\lambda)$ , for all  $\beta \in \Omega^{\bullet}(B)$  and all homogeneous  $\lambda \in \Omega^m_{hor}(A)$ . (Compare this with the similar graded Leibniz rule for d in (4.57).) The canonical lift  $\overline{D}_{\theta} : \Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A_{\theta}) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}_{hor}(A_{\theta})$  of the corresponding deformed covariant derivative to the

graded subalgebra  $(\Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A_{\theta}), \wedge_{\theta}) \subseteq (\Omega^{\bullet}(A_{\theta}), \wedge_{\theta})$  of horizontal deformed Kähler forms explicitly reads as

$$\overline{D}_{\theta}(\lambda) = d_{\theta}\lambda - \lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge_{\theta} \omega_{\theta} X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) = d_{\theta}\lambda - \lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge_{\theta} (\omega_{\theta}^{0} + \alpha) X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) \quad , \tag{5.4}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A_{\theta})$ . By construction,  $\overline{D}_{\theta}$  satisfies the graded Leibniz rule  $\overline{D}_{\theta}(\beta \wedge_{\theta} \lambda) = (-1)^m d_{\theta}\beta \wedge_{\theta}\lambda + \beta \wedge_{\theta}\overline{D}_{\theta}(\lambda)$ , for all  $\beta \in \Omega^{\bullet}(B)$  and all homogeneous  $\lambda \in \Omega^m_{hor}(A_{\theta})$ . Notice that  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A_{\theta}) = \Omega^{\bullet}(B)A_{\theta}$  because of Corollary 4.8 and braided graded commutativity of  $\wedge_{\theta}$ .

Regarding both  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A) = \Omega^{\bullet}(B)A$  and  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A_{\theta}) = \Omega^{\bullet}(B)A_{\theta}$  as graded left  $\Omega^{\bullet}(B)$ -modules, we obtain in analogy to Proposition 3.4 a graded left  $\Omega^{\bullet}(B)$ -module isomorphism  $L^{\bullet}: \Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A) \to \Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A_{\theta})$  by setting

$$L^{\bullet}(\lambda) := e^{\pi i \,\theta \, mn} \,\lambda \quad , \tag{5.5}$$

for all homogeneous elements  $\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A)^{((m+n,-m),n)} \subseteq \Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A)$ . Analogously to the case of gauge transformations above, this isomorphism *does not* intertwine between the covariant derivatives  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{D}_{\theta}$  in general. For homogeneous  $\lambda \in \Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A)^{((m+n,-m),n)}$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega^{1}(B)^{((m',-m'),0)}$ , we find after a short calculation using the identification explained in Remark 4.13 and the analogue of (3.8) for deformed wedge-products

$$(L^{\bullet})^{-1} \left( \overline{D}_{\theta} L^{\bullet}(\lambda) \right) = \mathrm{d}\lambda - \lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge \left( \omega^{0} + e^{-2\pi i \,\theta \, m' n} \,\alpha \right) \, X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) \quad , \tag{5.6}$$

which is in general different to  $\overline{D}(\lambda)$ , cf. (5.3). Again, this feature can be understood from the fact that covariant derivatives act from the *right* on the *left*  $\Omega^{\bullet}(B)$ -modules  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A)$ and  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{hor}(A_{\theta})$  and hence they are not intertwined by the *left*  $\Omega^{\bullet}(B)$ -module isomorphism  $L^{\bullet}$ . An exception is given by m' = 0, i.e.  $\alpha \in \Omega^{1}(B)^{((m', -m'), 0)}$  is K-coinvariant.

**Remark 5.1.** As a side-remark, we note the following expressions for the classical and deformed *curvatures*, which are defined as the squares of the corresponding covariant derivatives. In the classical case, we obtain by a direct calculation using (5.3)

$$\overline{D}^{2}(\lambda) = d\left(d\lambda - \lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge \omega \ X(\lambda_{\underline{1}})\right) - \left(d\lambda_{\underline{0}} - \lambda_{\underline{0}_{\underline{0}}} \wedge \omega \ X(\lambda_{\underline{0}_{\underline{1}}})\right) \wedge \omega \ X(\lambda_{\underline{1}})$$
$$= -\lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge d\omega \ X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) + \lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge \omega \wedge \omega \ X(\lambda_{\underline{1}_{\underline{1}}}) \ X(\lambda_{\underline{1}_{\underline{2}}})$$
$$= -\lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge d\omega \ X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) \quad ,$$
(5.7)

where in the last equality we used that by graded commutativity  $\omega \wedge \omega = -\omega \wedge \omega = 0$ . In the deformed case, we obtain by a similar direct calculation using (5.4)

$$\overline{D}_{\theta}^{2}(\lambda) = -\lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge_{\theta} \mathrm{d}_{\theta} \omega_{\theta} X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) \quad .$$
(5.8)

Here we also use that  $\omega_{\theta} = \omega_{\theta}^{0} + \alpha$ , where  $\omega_{\theta}^{0}$  is *K*-coinvariant and  $\alpha \in \Omega^{1}(B)$ , hence by braided graded commutativity  $\omega_{\theta} \wedge_{\theta} \omega_{\theta} = -R_{\theta}((\omega_{\theta})_{-1} \otimes (\omega_{\theta})_{-1}) (\omega_{\theta})_{0} \wedge_{\theta} (\omega_{\theta})_{0} = -\omega_{\theta} \wedge_{\theta} \omega_{\theta} = 0$ . Under the identification explained in Remark 4.13, the underlying deformed curvature form  $d_{\theta}\omega_{\theta}$  and classical curvature form  $d\omega$  coincide. However, by a similar argument as for the covariant derivatives above, the actions of these curvature forms on, respectively,  $\Omega_{hor}^{\bullet}(A_{\theta})$  and  $\Omega_{hor}^{\bullet}(A)$  are in general *not* intertwined by the isomorphism  $L^{\bullet}$ . Let now  $(V, \rho)$  be any left *H*-comodule and consider the corresponding associated connections  $\overline{\nabla} : E_A(V) \to \Omega^1(B) \otimes_B E_A(V)$  and  $\overline{\nabla}_{\theta} : E_{A_{\theta}}(V) \to \Omega^1(B) \otimes_B E_{A_{\theta}}(V)$ . The latter are obtained concretely by composing, respectively,  $\overline{D} \otimes \operatorname{id} : A \Box_H V \to \Omega^1_{\operatorname{hor}}(A) \Box_H V$  and  $\overline{D}_{\theta} \otimes \operatorname{id} : A_{\theta} \Box_H V \to \Omega^1_{\operatorname{hor}}(A_{\theta}) \Box_H V$  with the inverse of the corresponding left *B*-module isomorphism

$$\Omega^{1}(B) \otimes_{B} E_{A}(V) \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}_{hor}(A) \Box_{H}V , \quad \beta \otimes_{B} (a \otimes v) \longmapsto \beta a \otimes v ,$$
  
$$\Omega^{1}(B) \otimes_{B} E_{A_{\theta}}(V) \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}_{hor}(A_{\theta}) \Box_{H}V , \quad \beta \otimes_{B} (a \otimes v) \longmapsto \beta \star_{\theta} a \otimes v .$$
(5.9)

These isomorphisms are compatible with the isomorphisms  $L_V$  in Proposition 3.4 and  $L^{\bullet}$  in (5.5) in the sense that the diagram

commutes. Hence, our computation in (5.6) shows that  $L_V$  does in general not intertwine between the associated connections, i.e.  $\overline{\nabla}_{\theta} \circ L_V \neq (\mathrm{id} \otimes_B L_V) \circ \overline{\nabla}$ . Again, an exception is when  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(B)$  in the connection form  $\omega = \omega^0 + \alpha \in \mathrm{Con}(A, \delta)$  is K-coinvariant.

**Example 5.2.** Let us consider the explicit example where  $(V, \rho) = \mathbb{C}^{(n)} := (\mathbb{C}, \rho^{(n)})$ is the 1-dimensional irreducible left *H*-comodule given by  $\rho^{(n)} : c \mapsto t^n \otimes c$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The associated left *B*-modules  $E_A(\mathbb{C}^{(n)}) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{((m+n,-m),n)}$  and  $E_{A_\theta}(\mathbb{C}^{(n)}) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{((m+n,-m),n)}$  describe a matter field of charge  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  on the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations respectively. The deformed gauge transformations can be interpreted in terms of the undeformed gauge transformations by defining an *effective gauge transformation parameter*  $\zeta_{\theta}^{(n)} \in E_A(\mathfrak{h})$  according to

$$\lambda \triangleleft \zeta_{\theta}^{(n)} := L_V^{-1} \big( L_V(\lambda)_{\theta} \triangleleft \zeta \big) \quad , \tag{5.11a}$$

for all  $\lambda \in E_A(\mathbb{C}^{(n)})$  and  $\zeta \in E_A(\mathfrak{h})$ . Decomposing  $\zeta$  as  $\zeta = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta_m$ , where  $\zeta_m \in A^{((m,-m),0)}$ , and using (5.2), we obtain

$$\zeta_{\theta}^{(n)} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2\pi i \theta \, m n} \, \zeta_m \quad . \tag{5.11b}$$

Analogously, the deformed covariant derivative can be interpreted in terms of an undeformed covariant derivative by defining

$$\overline{D}_{\theta}^{(n)}(\lambda) := (L^{\bullet})^{-1} \left( \overline{D}_{\theta} L^{\bullet}(\lambda) \right) , \qquad (5.12a)$$

for all  $\lambda \in E_A(\mathbb{C}^{(n)})$ . Decomposing the 1-form  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(B)$  in (5.4) as  $\alpha = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_m$ , where  $\alpha_m \in \Omega^1(B)^{((m,-m),0)}$ , and using (5.6), we obtain

$$\overline{D}_{\theta}^{(n)}(\lambda) = d\lambda - \lambda_{\underline{0}} \wedge (\omega^0 + \alpha_{\theta}^{(n)}) X(\lambda_{\underline{1}}) \quad , \tag{5.12b}$$

where the *effective gauge potential* is given by

$$\alpha_{\theta}^{(n)} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2\pi i \theta \, m n} \, \alpha_m \quad . \tag{5.12c}$$

Summing up, the physical effect of our deformation on charged matter fields is that they experience effective gauge transformations and covariant derivatives that depend on both the charge  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and on the deformation parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## 6 Outlook: Towards an explanation via homotopy equivalence

In this section, we construct a suitable type of homotopy equivalence between the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations. Our concept of homotopy equivalence is slightly different from the one proposed by Kassel and Schneider [KS05] in the sense that for our purposes it is more convenient to work with a larger algebra of complex-valued functions on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  than the one given by the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[y]$  in one generator y. In particular, we would like the exponential functions  $y \mapsto e^{i c y}$ , for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , to be contained in our algebra in order to obtain a homotopy that relates the exponentiated deformation parameter  $q = e^{2\pi i \theta}$  to the classical value 1.

Let us fix any \*-subalgebra  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$  of the \*-algebra of complex-valued functions on the real line that contains the monomials  $y^n \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ , for  $n \geq 0$ , and also the exponential functions  $e^{i cy} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ , for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . For example, we could choose the algebra of analytic functions  $C^{\omega}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ , the algebra of smooth functions  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$  or the algebra of continuous functions  $C^0(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ . Note that the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[y]$  used in [KS05] does not satisfy our criteria because it does not contain the exponential functions. Consider the two evaluation maps  $\operatorname{ev}_p : \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(p)$ , for p = 0, 1, and note that they are algebra homomorphisms. We say that two algebra homomorphisms  $\kappa_0, \kappa_1 : B \to B'$  between two, possibly noncommutative, algebras B and B' are  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ homotopic if there exists an algebra homomorphism  $h : B \to B' \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  such that (id  $\otimes \operatorname{ev}_p) \circ h = \kappa_p$  for p = 0, 1. Notice that the two evaluation maps  $\operatorname{ev}_p : \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$ are  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopic via the identity  $h = \operatorname{id} : \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}) \to \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ . The following definition generalizes [KS05, Definition 2.3] to our concept of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopy.

**Definition 6.1.** Let H be a Hopf algebra and B an algebra. Let  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  be two principal H-comodule algebras over B. We say that  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopic if there exists a principal  $H \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -comodule algebra over  $B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  with ground ring  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\operatorname{ev}_{p_*}(A) := A \otimes_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})} \mathbb{C} \cong A_p$  are isomorphic as H-comodule algebras, for  $p = 0, 1.^3$ 

In the following, we let  $H = \mathcal{O}(U(1))$  and  $B = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}^2)$  as in Section 2.2. We denote the classical Hopf fibration from Section 2.2 by  $A_0$  and the deformed Hopf fibration, with a fixed deformation parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , from Section 2.3 by  $A_1$ . Our aim is to construct for this scenario a principal  $H \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -comodule algebra over  $B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  with ground ring  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ , as in Definition 6.1, that implements an  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopy between the classical Hopf fibration  $A_0$  and the deformed Hopf fibration  $A_1$ . This requires a few preparations. Let us first note that the change of base ring functor  $(-) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}) : \mathscr{M}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathscr{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})}$  maps free algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$  to the corresponding free algebras over  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  because it is monoidal, i.e.  $(V \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})} (W \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})) \cong (V \otimes W) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $V, W \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and it preserves all small colimits. Because of the latter property, it also follows that  $(-) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  maps finitely presented algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$  to the corresponding finitely presented algebras over  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ . This implies that  $H \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  is the commutative \*-algebra over  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  generated by one generator t that satisfies the circle relation  $t^*t = 1$ , and that  $B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Here  $\operatorname{ev}_{p_*}$  denotes the usual change of base ring construction along the algebra maps  $\operatorname{ev}_p : \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$ . It is given concretely by the relative tensor product  $\operatorname{ev}_{p_*}(A) = A \otimes_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})} \mathbb{C}$  where the left  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev}_p \otimes \operatorname{id}} \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbb{C}$ .

commutative \*-algebra over  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  generated by two generators z and x that satisfy  $x^* = x$  and the 2-sphere relation  $z^*z + x^2 = \mathbb{1}$ . In other words,  $H \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  are described by the same formulae as the ones for H and B in Section 2.2, however the base ring is now  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  instead of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let us denote by  $Q \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  the function given by

$$Q: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} , \quad y \longmapsto Q(y) = e^{2\pi i\theta \, y} \quad . \tag{6.1}$$

Note that Q(0) = 1 and  $Q(1) = q = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ . We define A to be the noncommutative \*-algebra over  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  generated by two generators  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  satisfying the commutation relations

$$z_1 z_1^* = z_1^* z_1 , \quad z_2 z_2^* = z_2^* z_2 , \quad z_1 z_2 = Q z_2 z_1 , \quad z_1 z_2^* = Q^{-1} z_2^* z_1 , \quad (6.2)$$

and the 3-sphere relation

$$z_1^* z_1 + z_2^* z_2 = 1 \quad . \tag{6.3}$$

Compare this to (2.22) and (2.23). We further endow A with a right  $H \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -comodule structure  $\delta : A \to A \otimes_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})} (H \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}))$  by using the same formulae (2.10) for the generators as for the case where the base ring is  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** The  $H \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -comodule algebra  $(A, \delta)$  described above is a principal comodule algebra with subalgebra of coinvariants isomorphic to  $B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the one for algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ , cf. Sections 2.2 and 2.3 and also [BS03].

We can now show that this principal comodule algebra implements an  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopy between the classical Hopf fibration  $A_0$  and the deformed Hopf fibration  $A_1$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** There exist isomorphisms of *H*-comodule algebras  $ev_{p_*}(A) \cong A_p$ , for p = 0, 1. Hence, the classical Hopf fibration  $A_0$  is  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopic to the deformed Hopf fibration  $A_1$  for any value of the deformation parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. Using the map  $\mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $c \mapsto c$  that assigns to a complex number the corresponding constant function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can consider A as an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The homomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras  $A \to \operatorname{ev}_{p_*}(A) = A \otimes_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})} \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \mapsto a \otimes_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})} 1$  is surjective as  $a \otimes_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})} c = ac \otimes_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})} 1$ . The kernel of this map is the two-sided ideal of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A generated by  $(f - f(p)) \mathbb{1} \in A$ , for all  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence,  $\operatorname{ev}_{p_*}(A)$  is isomorphic to the quotient  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $A/((f - f(p)) \mathbb{1} : f \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}))$ , i.e. the evaluation of all coefficient functions in  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$  at  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ . Recalling (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3), we obtain that  $\operatorname{ev}_{0*}(A)$  is isomorphic to the classical 3-sphere due to Q(0) = 1 and that  $\operatorname{ev}_{1*}(A)$  is isomorphic to the deformed 3-sphere with deformation parameter  $\theta$  as  $Q(1) = q = e^{2\pi i \theta}$ . These isomorphisms are clearly compatible with the H-coactions.

We finish this section by explaining why we believe that Proposition 6.3 is the conceptual reason for the results in Section 3.2 stating that the associated module functors for the classical and deformed Hopf fibration are naturally isomorphic. The following argument is inspired by [KS05, Remark 2.4 (4)]. Let us first note that the underlying vector space of the Hopf algebra  $H = \mathcal{O}(U(1))$  admits a decomposition  $H = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C_n$ , where  $C_n = \mathbb{C} t^n$  is the (1-dimensional) vector space spanned by the *n*-th power of the generator *t*. (Recall that  $t^{-1} = t^*$ .) As  $\Delta(t^n) = t^n \otimes t^n$ , each  $C_n$  is a left *H*-comodule via the coaction  $\Delta : C_n \to H \otimes C_n$ . It follows that  $A \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A \Box_H C_n$  is a direct sum of associated modules  $E_A(C_n) = A \Box_H C_n$  with  $C_n \in {}^H_{\mathscr{M}_{\text{fin}}}$  finite-dimensional. By [BH04], each  $E_A(C_n)$  is a finitely generated projective left  $B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -module and hence it defines an element  $[E_A(C_n)]$  of the zeroth *K*-theory group  $K_0(B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Analogously, there exists a decomposition  $A_p \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_p \Box_H C_n$  into a direct sum of associated modules  $E_{A_p}(C_n) = A_p \Box_H C_n$  and we obtain elements  $[E_{A_p}(C_n)] \in K_0(B)$ , for p = 0, 1. Because of Proposition 6.3, we know that  $[E_{A_p}(C_n)] \in K_0(B)$  is the image under

$$K_0(\operatorname{ev}_{p_*}) : K_0(B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow K_0(B)$$
 (6.4)

of the element  $[E_A(C_n)] \in K_0(B \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}))$ , for p = 0, 1. The same holds true for the modules  $E_A(V)$ ,  $E_{A_0}(V)$  and  $E_{A_1}(V)$  associated to any finite-dimensional left *H*-comodule as any such *V* decomposes as a finite direct sum of  $C_n$ 's.

If we could prove that the  $K_0$ -groups are invariant under  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopies, the concrete result of Section 3.2, namely that the associated modules  $E_{A_0}(V)$  and  $E_{A_1}(V)$  of the classical and deformed Hopf fibrations are isomorphic, would follow from the more conceptual argument in this section. While results in this direction are available for homotopies described by the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[y]$ , see e.g. [Bas68], we are not aware of generalizations to our setup of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopies. We expect that addressing this question might provide some insights on appropriate choices of the algebra  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ , which we have left unspecified above, besides assuming that it contains exponential functions and polynomials. Developing a theory of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R})$ -homotopies would be useful and interesting also for other typical examples in noncommutative geometry where the deformation parameter appears in an exponentiated form  $q = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ . We hope to come back to this issue in a future work.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Christian Lomp and Alexander Vishik for useful comments related to this work. The research of T.B. is partially supported by the Polish National Science Centre grant 2016/21/B/ST1/02438. A.S. gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Royal Society (UK) through a Royal Society University Research Fellowship, a Research Grant and an Enhancement Award.

### References

- [ABCS17] P. Aschieri, P. Bieliavsky, C. Pagani and A. Schenkel, "Noncommutative principal bundles through twist deformation," Commun. Math. Phys. 352 no. 1, 287 (2017) [arXiv:1604.03542 [math.QA]].
- [AS14] P. Aschieri and A. Schenkel, "Noncommutative connections on bimodules and Drinfeld twist deformation," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 18, no. 3, 513 (2014) [arXiv:1210.0241 [math.QA]].

- [BSS16] G. E. Barnes, A. Schenkel and R. J. Szabo, "Nonassociative geometry in quasi-Hopf representation categories II: Connections and curvature," J. Geom. Phys. 106, 234 (2016) [arXiv:1507.02792 [math.QA]].
- [BSS17] G. E. Barnes, A. Schenkel and R. J. Szabo, "Mapping spaces and automorphism groups of toric noncommutative spaces," Lett. Math. Phys. 107, no. 9, 1591 (2017) [arXiv:1606.04775 [math.QA]].
- [BHMS07] P. F. Baum, P. M. Hajac, R. Matthes and W. Szymański, "Noncommutative geometry approach to principal and associated bundles," arXiv:math/0701033 [math.DG].
- [Bas68] H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam (1968).
- [BB08] E. J. Beggs and T. Brzeziński, "An explicit formula for a strong connection," Appl. Categor. Str. 16, 57–63 (2008) [arXiv:math/0606586 [math.QA]].
- [BM19] E. J. Beggs and S. Majid, *Quantum Riemannian Geometry*, Springer Verlag (in press).
- [BB05] G. Böhm and T. Brzeziński, "Strong connections and the relative Chern-Galois character for corings," Int. Math. Res. Notices 2005:42, 2579–2625 (2005) [arXiv:math/0503469 [math.RA]].
- [Brz96] T. Brzeziński, "Translation map in quantum principal bundles," J. Geom. Phys. 20, 349 (1996) [hep-th/9407145].
- [BH04] T. Brzeziński and P. M. Hajac, "The Chern-Galois character," C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **338**, 113–116 (2004) [arXiv:math/0306436 [math.KT]].
- [BH09] T. Brzeziński and P. M. Hajac, "Galois-type extensions and equivariant projectivity," arXiv:0901.0141 [math.QA].
- [BJM] T. Brzeziński, G. Janelidze and T. Maszczyk, "Galois structures," in: P. M. Hajac (Ed.), Lecture notes on noncommutative geometry and quantum groups. Available at http://www.mimuw.edu.pl/~pwit/toknotes/toknotes.pdf
- [BM93] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid, "Quantum group gauge theory on quantum spaces," Commun. Math. Phys. 157, 591–638 (1993). Erratum: 167, 235 (1995). [arXiv:hep-th/9208007]
- [BM98] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid, "Coalgebra bundles," Comm. Math. Phys. 191, 467–492 (1998).
- [BM00] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid, "Quantum geometry of algebra factorisations and coalgebra bundles," Commun. Math. Phys. 213, 491–521 (2000) [arXiv:math/9808067 [math.QA]].
- [BS03] T. Brzeziński and A. Sitarz, "Dirac monopoles from the Matsumoto noncommutative spheres," in: J.-P. Gazeau et al. (eds.), Group 24: Physical and Mathematical Aspects of Symmetries, Institute of Physics Conference Series 173, 791–794, IOP, Bristol and Philadelphia (2003) [math-ph/0304037].

- [Car50] H. Cartan, "Seminaire Cartan," E.N.S. 1949/1950, reprinted by W. A. Benjamin, INC., New York, Amsterdam (1967).
- [CD-V02] A. Connes and M. Dubois-Violette, "Noncommutative finite-dimensional manifolds: Spherical manifolds and related examples," Commun. Math. Phys. 230, 539 (2002) [arXiv:math.QA/0107070].
- [CL01] A. Connes and G. Landi, "Noncommutative manifolds: The instanton algebra and isospectral deformations," Commun. Math. Phys. 221, 141 (2001) [arXiv:math.QA/0011194].
- [CQ95] J. Cuntz and D. Quillen, "Algebra extensions and nonsingularity," J. Amer. Math. Soc. 8, 251–289 (1995).
- [DGH01] L. Dąbrowski, H. Grosse and P. M. Hajac, "Strong connections and Chern-Connes pairing in the Hopf-Galois theory," Comm. Math. Phys. 220, 301–331 (2001) [arXiv:math/9912239 [math.QA]].
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry, Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg (1995).
- [Haj96] P. M. Hajac, "Strong connections on quantum principal bundles," Commun. Math. Phys. 182, 579–617 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9406129].
- [Haj97] P. M. Hajac, "A note on first order differential calculus on quantum principal bundles," Czechoslovak J. Phys. 47, 1139–1143 (1997) [arXiv:q-alg/9708014].
- [HKMZ11] P. M. Hajac, U. Krähmer, R. Matthes and B. Zieliński, "Piecewise principal comodule algebras," J. Noncommut. Geom. 5, 591–614 (2011) [arXiv:0707.1344 [math.QA]].
- [KS05] C. Kassel and H.-J. Schneider, "Homotopy theory of Hopf Galois extensions," Ann. Inst. Fourier 55, no. 7, 2521–2550 (2005) [arXiv:math/0402034 [math.QA]].
- [LvS05] G. Landi and W. van Suijlekom, "Principal fibrations from noncommutative spheres," Commun. Math. Phys. 260, 203 (2005) [arXiv:math.QA/0410077].
- [Sch90] H.-J. Schneider, "Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras," Israel J. Math. 72, 167–195 (1990).
- [Wor87] S. L. Woronowicz, "Compact matrix pseudogroups," Commun. Math. Phys. 111, 613–665 (1987).
- [Wor89] S. L. Woronowicz, "Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups)," Comm. Math. Phys. **122**, 125–170 (1989).