

# Weyl invariance of string theories in generalized supergravity backgrounds

José J. Fernández-Melgarejo,<sup>1,\*</sup> Jun-ichi Sakamoto,<sup>2,†</sup> Yuho Sakatani,<sup>3,‡</sup> and Kentaroh Yoshida<sup>2,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Departamento de Física, Universidad de Murcia*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Kyoto University*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto 606-0823, Japan*

We revisit Weyl invariance of string theories in generalized supergravity backgrounds. A possible counterterm was constructed in [1], but it seems to be a point of controversy in some literatures whether it is non-local or not. To settle down this issue, we show that the counterterm may be local and exactly cancels out the one-loop trace anomaly in generalized supergravity backgrounds.

**Introduction.**— A great progress in the recent study of String Theory is that the generalized supergravity equations of motion (GSE) [2, 3]<sup>1</sup> have been derived from the  $\kappa$ -symmetry constraints in the Green–Schwarz (GS) formulation of superstring theories [3]. It is well known that the usual supergravity equations of motion are solutions to the  $\kappa$ -symmetry constraints [7, 8], but the discovery of this new supergravity indicates that there might exist more generalized supergravities.

In this letter, we are concerned with string theory defined on generalized supergravity backgrounds (i.e. solutions to GSE). As a remarkable characteristic of GSE, a non-dynamical vector field  $I$  is contained. In order to solve the  $\kappa$ -symmetry constraints, it should be a Killing vector, and this Killing condition plays a crucial role in our later discussion. It is instructive to note that this Killing condition was not taken into account in the old literature [6, 9], where a prototype of GSE was derived from the one-loop finiteness (or the scale invariance) of string theory. In addition, this extra vector field may be identified with the trace of non-geometric  $Q$ -flux, and many solutions of GSE can be regarded as  $T$ -folds [10].

There is an issue with the consistency of string theories in generalized supergravity backgrounds. As a matter of course, at a classical level, there is no problem. Thanks to the work [3], the  $\kappa$ -symmetry is ensured in generalized supergravity backgrounds and the GS formulation is consistently available. The issue arises at a quantum level. Indeed, Weyl anomaly may appear in string theories on generalized supergravity backgrounds [2, 6]. In the recent work [1], Weyl invariance of bosonic string theories on generalized supergravity backgrounds was shown by constructing a possible counterterm as<sup>2</sup>

$$S_{\text{FT}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} R^{(2)} \Phi_*, \quad \Phi_* \equiv \Phi + I^i \tilde{Y}_i. \quad (1)$$

This is a generalization of the standard coupling to dilaton  $\Phi$ , the so-called Fradkin–Tseytlin (FT) term [11]: in

deed the standard FT term is reproduced when  $I^i = 0$ . To be more concrete, in generalized supergravity backgrounds, the Weyl anomaly takes the following form

$$\langle T^a{}_a \rangle = -\mathcal{D}_a [(Z_m \gamma^{ab} - I_m \varepsilon^{ab}) \partial_b X^m], \quad (2)$$

which is canceled out introducing the counterterm (1). Compared to the sigma model action, the counterterm (1) is higher order in  $\alpha'$ , and it should be regarded as a quantum correction. Note also that the Killing vector  $I$  entering the GSE, does not appear in the classical action of string sigma model, but first appears as a quantum correction at a stringy level.

A point of controversy in some literature [12–18] is whether the counterterm (1) is local or not. The integrand depends on the dual coordinate  $\tilde{Y}_i$ . In computing its contribution to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor  $T^a{}_a$ , we need to use the equation of motion of the double sigma model [19, 20],

$$\partial_a \tilde{Y}_i = g_{in} \varepsilon^b{}_a \partial_b X^n + B_{in} \partial_a X^n. \quad (3)$$

This equation implies that  $\tilde{Y}_i$  would be a non-local function of  $X^m$  and one may suspect that the counterterm (1) is non-local as well. However, as we show in this letter, we can construct a possible local counterterm by taking account of the fact that the two-dimensional Ricci scalar  $R^{(2)}$  is locally a total derivative<sup>3</sup> and  $I$  is a Killing vector. That is, the (possible) non-locality in the integrand of (1) can be removed. This is the main claim in this letter.

**Weyl invariance of bosonic string.**— Let us first recall the basics on Weyl invariance of bosonic string theory in  $D = 26$  dimensions,

$$S_b = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} (g_{mn} \gamma^{ab} - B_{mn} \varepsilon^{ab}) \partial_a X^m \partial_b X^n.$$

The Weyl anomaly of this system takes the form,

$$2\alpha' \langle T^a{}_a \rangle = (\beta_{mn}^g \gamma^{ab} - \beta_{mn}^B \varepsilon^{ab}) \partial_a X^m \partial_b X^n. \quad (4)$$

<sup>1</sup> Historically, GSE were discovered in the study of Yang–Baxter deformations of the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  superstring [4, 5], though the bosonic part has already appeared in much older literature [6].

<sup>2</sup> This counterterm was inspired from the embedding of GSE into double field theory (DFT) [1]. For the detail of the notation, see [1].

<sup>3</sup> We really appreciate J. Maldacena for elucidating this point.

Here, the  $\beta$ -functions at the one-loop level have been computed (for example in [6]) as

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{mn}^g &= \alpha' (R_{mn} - \frac{1}{4} H_{mpq} H_n{}^{pq}), \\ \beta_{mn}^B &= \alpha' (-\frac{1}{2} D^k H_{kmn}),\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

where  $D_m$  and  $R_{mn}$  are the covariant derivative and the Ricci tensor associated with the spacetime metric  $g_{mn}$  and  $H_{mnp} \equiv 3 \partial_{[m} B_{np]}$ . For the Weyl invariance of the worldsheet theory, it is not necessary to require  $\beta_{mn}^g = \beta_{mn}^B = 0$ . As long as they take the form

$$\beta_{mn}^g = -2 \alpha' D_m \partial_n \Phi, \quad \beta_{mn}^B = -\alpha' \partial_k \Phi H^k{}_{mn}, \quad (6)$$

the Weyl anomaly has a simple form

$$\langle T^a{}_a \rangle \stackrel{\text{e.o.m.}}{\sim} -\mathcal{D}^a \partial_a \Phi, \quad (7)$$

under the equations of motion. Here,  $\mathcal{D}_a$  is the covariant derivative associated with  $\gamma_{ab}$  and  $\stackrel{\text{e.o.m.}}{\sim}$  represents the equality up to the equations of motion. This anomaly can be canceled out by adding the FT term [11],

$$S_{\text{FT}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} R^{(2)} \Phi. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, as long as the target space satisfies the equations (6), namely the supergravity equations of motion,

$$\begin{aligned}R_{mn} - \frac{1}{4} H_{mpq} H_n{}^{pq} + 2 D_m \partial_n \Phi &= 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2} D^k H_{kmn} + \partial_k \Phi H^k{}_{mn} &= 0,\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

the Weyl invariance is ensured. As shown in [21], equations (9) imply that

$$\beta^\Phi \equiv R + 4 D^m \partial_m \Phi - 4 |\partial\Phi|^2 - \frac{1}{12} H_{mnp} H^{mnp}, \quad (10)$$

is constant, and by choosing  $\beta^\Phi = 0$ , we obtain the usual dilaton equation of motion.

The main observation of this letter is that the requirement (6) is a sufficient condition for the Weyl invariance but is not necessary.

**Local counterterm for GSE.**— Let us consider a milder requirement,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{mn}^g &= -2 \alpha' D_{(m} Z_{n)}, \\ \beta_{mn}^B &= -\alpha' (Z^k H_{kmn} + 2 D_{[m} I_{n]}),\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

where  $I_m$  and  $Z_m$  are certain vector fields in the target space, which are functions of  $X^m(\sigma)$ . The condition (11) reduces to (6) when  $Z_m = \partial_m \Phi$  and  $I^m = 0$ .

Suppose here that  $I_m$  and  $Z_m$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_I g_{mn} = 0, \quad I^p H_{pmn} + 2 \partial_{[m} Z_{n]} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_I \Phi = 0, \quad Z_m I^m &= 0.\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

In this case, the string sigma model has a conserved current associated with the global symmetry  $X^m \rightarrow$

$X^m + \epsilon I^m$ , where  $\epsilon$  is an infinitesimal constant. Then the on-shell conserved Noether current is given by

$$J^a \equiv [I^m (g_{mn} \gamma^{ab} - B_{mn} \varepsilon^{ab}) - \tilde{I}_n \varepsilon^{ab}] \partial_b X^n, \quad (13)$$

where the 1-form  $\tilde{I}_m$  is defined through

$$Z_m = \partial_m \Phi + I^n B_{nm} + \tilde{I}_m. \quad (14)$$

When the  $\beta$ -functions take the forms (11), the Weyl anomaly (4) becomes

$$\langle T^a{}_a \rangle \stackrel{\text{e.o.m.}}{\sim} -\mathcal{D}_a [(Z_m \gamma^{ab} - I_m \varepsilon^{ab}) \partial_b X^m]. \quad (15)$$

Then, there is a rigid scale invariance [6], but it had been believed that the Weyl invariance would be broken because the counterterm (8) cannot cancel out the anomaly (15). However, we will construct a *modified* local counterterm so as to cancel out (15).

Recall that the Lagrangian of the two-dimensional gravity is locally a total derivative,

$$\sqrt{-\gamma} R^{(2)} = \partial_a \alpha^a, \quad (16)$$

where  $\alpha^a$  is a vector density that should transform as

$$\delta_\xi \alpha^a = \mathcal{L}_\xi \alpha^a = \xi^b \partial_b \alpha^a - \alpha^b \partial_b \xi^a + \partial_b \xi^b \alpha^a, \quad (17)$$

under diffeomorphisms on the world-sheet. We then introduce the following counterterm<sup>4</sup>

$$S_{\text{FT}}^{(I,Z)} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \alpha^a (Z_m \partial_a X^m - I_m \varepsilon_a{}^b \partial_b X^m). \quad (18)$$

Note that this reduces to the FT term (8) when  $I^m = 0$  and  $Z_m = \partial_m \Phi$ . Supposing that  $Z_m$  and  $I_m$  are independent of  $\gamma_{ab}$ , the contribution of the counterterm (18) to the Weyl anomaly becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T \rangle_{\text{FT}} &= \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \gamma^{ab} \frac{\delta S_{\text{FT}}^{(I,Z)}}{\delta \gamma^{ab}} \\ &= \mathcal{D}_a [(Z_m \gamma^{ab} - I_m \varepsilon^{ab}) \partial_b X^m] \\ &\quad - \varphi^a{}_a \mathcal{D}_c [(I_m \gamma^{cd} - Z_m \varepsilon^{cd}) \partial_d X^m].\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

Here, suggested by the identity in two dimensions,

$$\delta(\sqrt{-\gamma} R^{(2)}) = \partial_c [\sqrt{-\gamma} (\gamma^{ca} \mathcal{D}^b \delta \gamma_{ab} - \gamma^{ab} \mathcal{D}^c \delta \gamma_{ab})], \quad (20)$$

we have used the variation

$$\begin{aligned}\delta \alpha^c &= \sqrt{-\gamma} (\gamma^{ca} \mathcal{D}^b \delta \gamma_{ab} - \gamma^{ab} \mathcal{D}^c \delta \gamma_{ab}) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{cd} \partial_d (\varphi^{ab} \delta \gamma_{ab}),\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

<sup>4</sup> Since  $\alpha^a$  is defined only locally, the integral itself here should be defined more carefully depending on topologies of the string worldsheet.

where  $\varphi^{ab}$  is a symmetric tensor made of the fundamental fields and their derivatives. In fact, the divergence in the last term of (19) vanishes by using the on-shell conservation law of a Noether current

$$\mathcal{D}_c [(I_m \gamma^{cd} - Z_m \varepsilon^{cd}) \partial_d X^m] = \mathcal{D}_c J^c \stackrel{\text{e.o.m.}}{\sim} 0, \quad (22)$$

and we obtain

$$\langle T \rangle_{\text{FT}} \stackrel{\text{e.o.m.}}{\sim} \mathcal{D}_a [(Z_m \gamma^{ab} - I_m \varepsilon^{ab}) \partial_b X^m]. \quad (23)$$

Thus, this can exactly cancel out the anomaly (15).

Actually, the requirement (11) was proposed as the condition for the one-loop finiteness of string sigma model [6]. Now, we found that the Weyl symmetry can also be preserved upon introducing the above counterterm, hence one may anticipate that string theory should be consistently defined with the relaxed condition (11). In the following, we will explain the condition (11) in terms of supergravity.

**Generalized supergravity e.o.m.**— From (5) and (11), the condition for the Weyl invariance can be expressed as modified supergravity equations of motion,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{mn} - \frac{1}{4} H_{mpq} H_n{}^{pq} + 2 D_{(m} Z_{n)} &= 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2} D^k H_{kmn} + Z^k H_{kmn} + 2 D_{[m} I_{n]} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In fact, these are GSE for  $g_{mn}$  and  $B_{mn}$  originally proposed in [2] and later derived in [3] from the requirement for the  $\kappa$ -invariance of the GS type IIB superstring theory on an arbitrary background. There, the conditions (12) are also required for the  $\kappa$ -invariance, and then equations of motion (24) lead to the following generalized dilaton equation of motion:

$$R - \frac{1}{12} |H|^2 + 4 D_m Z^m - 4(|I|^2 + |Z|^2) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Equations of motion in (24) and (25) define the NS–NS sector of the generalized supergravity. See [1–3] for the modified equations of motion for the Ramond–Ramond fields. In particular, when  $Z_m = \partial_m \Phi$  and  $I^m = 0$ , these reduce to the conventional supergravity equations of motion.

In general, from the condition (12), we can choose a particular gauge where the 1-form  $\tilde{I}_m$  in (14) vanishes [1, 2]. Therefore, in the generalized supergravity, the generalization is characterized only by the vector field  $I^m$ . Note also that due to the presence of a Killing vector, any solution to GSE may be regarded as a nine dimensional background via compactification on a circle.

In earlier works, many solutions to GSE have been obtained from the  $q$ -deformation [22], homogeneous Yang–Baxter deformations [10, 23–25], and non-Abelian  $T$ -duality [10, 15, 26] (see also [13]), while it was not clarified whether these solutions are consistent string backgrounds at a quantum level or not. However, the cancellation of the Weyl anomaly that we have explicitly shown

here would be an important step towards clarifying the quantum consistency<sup>5</sup>.

As presented in [1, 27], we can regard solutions to GSE as solutions in DFT [28–31], which is a manifestly  $T$ -duality covariant formulation of supergravity. For the solutions of DFT, by using adapted coordinates where the Killing vector  $I^m$  is constant, we find that the dilaton has a linear dependence on the dual coordinate  $\tilde{x}_m$  [1]. Moreover, if we perform a formal  $T$ -duality<sup>6</sup> along the  $I^m$ -direction, an arbitrary solution to GSE is mapped to a solution of the conventional supergravity that has a linear coordinate dependence in the dilaton [1, 2, 32].

**Constructions of local  $\alpha^a$** — So far, we have not presented an explicit form of the vector density  $\alpha^a$ . Let us explain here two ways to construct  $\alpha^a$ . Naively, from the defining relation,

$$\sqrt{-\gamma} R^{(2)} = \partial_a \alpha^a, \quad (26)$$

one might expect that  $\alpha^a$  can be expressed consistently in terms of the metric  $\gamma_{ab}$ . However, it is not the case as it is clearly explained in [33, 34]. To construct  $\alpha^a$  in terms of the metric  $\gamma_{ab}$ , the general covariance on the worldsheet should be broken. On the other hand, similarly to the approach of [33], if we introduce a zweibein  $e_{\bar{a}}{}^a$  on the worldsheet ( $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{b}$  are the flat indices), we find that

$$\alpha^a = -2\sqrt{-\gamma} e_{\bar{a}}{}^a \omega_{\bar{b}}{}^{\bar{a}}, \quad (27)$$

satisfies (26), where  $\omega_{\bar{a}}{}^{\bar{b}\bar{c}}$  is the spin connection. In this case, despite  $\alpha^a$  is manifestly covariant under diffeomorphisms, it is not covariant under the local Lorentz symmetry. In the following, we will introduce two possible manners to construct covariant expressions of  $\alpha^a$ .

**(i) A construction with Noether current:** The first approach is based on the approach explained in Section II.B. of [34]. In two dimensions, if there exists a normalized vector field  $n^a$  ( $\gamma_{ab} n^a n^b = \pm 1 \equiv \sigma$ ), we can show

$$\sqrt{-\gamma} R^{(2)} = 2\sigma \partial_a [\sqrt{-\gamma} (n^b \mathcal{D}_b n^a - n^a \mathcal{D}_b n^b)]. \quad (28)$$

In string theories on generalized supergravity backgrounds, there exists a natural vector field on the worldsheet, which is the Noether current  $J^a$  in (13). Supposing  $J^a$  is not a null vector on the worldsheet, the vector field  $n^a$  can be defined as  $n^a \equiv \frac{J^a}{\sqrt{\sigma \gamma_{cd} J^c J^d}}$ . Then  $\alpha^a$  is defined as

$$\alpha^a \equiv 2\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} (n^b \mathcal{D}_b n^a - n^a \mathcal{D}_b n^b), \quad (29)$$

<sup>5</sup> The solution obtained from the  $q$ -deformation includes an imaginary Ramond–Ramond field, and would not be a consistent string background.

<sup>6</sup> A formal  $T$ -duality means the factorized  $T$ -duality along a non-isometry direction  $x^z$ , which maps the coordinate  $x^z$  into the dual coordinate  $\tilde{x}_z$ . Such a transformation is a symmetry of the equations of motion of DFT.

which is manifestly covariant and a local function of the fundamental fields. Moreover, by taking a variation of this  $\alpha^a$  in terms of  $\gamma_{ab}$ , where the Noether current transforms as

$$\delta(\sqrt{-\gamma} J^a) = \delta(\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ab}) \partial_b X^m I_m, \quad (30)$$

after a tedious computation, we find the desired variation formula (21) with  $\varphi^{ab}$  given by

$$\varphi^{ab} = \sigma \left[ n^c \varepsilon_c^{(a} n^{b)} + \frac{2\varepsilon^{(a} \delta_d^{b)}}{\sqrt{\sigma \gamma_{gh} J^g J^h}} \mathcal{D}^c X^m I_m n^d \right]. \quad (31)$$

Therefore, this fully determines the variation of  $\alpha^a$ , for which the Weyl anomaly is canceled out in generalized supergravity backgrounds.

**(ii) A construction from a gauged sigma model:** As the second approach, we shall introduce some auxiliary fields to construct  $\alpha^a$ . For simplicity, we take a gauge  $\tilde{I}_m = 0$  here.

Let us consider the action of a gauged sigma model

$$S' = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} [(g_{mn} \gamma^{ab} - B_{mn} \varepsilon^{ab}) D_a X^m D_b X^n - \tilde{Z} \varepsilon^{ab} F_{ab}], \quad (32)$$

where  $D_a X^m \equiv \partial_a X^m - I^m A_a$ ,  $F_{ab} \equiv \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a$ , and  $I \equiv I^m \partial_m$  satisfies the Killing equations. This model has a local symmetry,

$$X^m \rightarrow X^m + I^m v, \quad A_a \rightarrow A_a + \partial_a v. \quad (33)$$

This action can reproduce the bosonic string action  $S_b$  after integrating out the auxiliary field  $\tilde{Z}$ . In order to cancel out the one-loop Weyl anomaly, we have to add the following local term to  $S'$ :

$$S_c \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} R^{(2)} (\Phi + \tilde{Z}), \quad (34)$$

which is higher order in  $\alpha'$ . The contribution to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor coming from  $S_c$  is

$$\langle T \rangle_c = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \gamma^{ab} \frac{\delta S_c}{\delta \gamma^{ab}} \stackrel{\text{e.o.m.}}{\sim} \mathcal{D}^a (\partial_a \Phi + \partial_a \tilde{Z}). \quad (35)$$

The equations of motion for  $A_a$  and  $\tilde{Z}$  give

$$\partial_a \tilde{Z} = \varepsilon^b{}_a J_b - |I|^2 \varepsilon^b{}_a A_b, \quad \varepsilon^{ab} F_{ab} = -\alpha' R^{(2)}, \quad (36)$$

where  $J_a$  is the Noether current defined in (13). Since the field strength  $F_{ab}$  vanishes to the leading order in  $\alpha'$ , by using the local symmetry (33), we can find a gauge where the order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^0)$  term vanishes

$$A_a = 0 + \alpha' \mathcal{A}_a, \quad \varepsilon^{ab} (\partial_a \mathcal{A}_b - \partial_b \mathcal{A}_a) = -R^{(2)}. \quad (37)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{A}_a$  is a quantity of order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^0)$ . Then the trace (35) is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T \rangle_c &\stackrel{\text{e.o.m.}}{\sim} \mathcal{D}^a (\partial_a \Phi + \varepsilon^b{}_a J_b) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha') \\ &= \mathcal{D}_a [(Z_m \gamma^{ab} - I_m \varepsilon^{ab}) \partial_b X^m] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

This completely cancels out the one-loop Weyl anomaly (15), which comes from  $S'$ .

After eliminating  $\tilde{Z}$ , the action  $S' + S_c$  becomes

$$S' + S_c = S_b + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} [R^{(2)} \Phi + \varepsilon_{ab} (-2\varepsilon^{ac} \mathcal{A}_c) J^b - \alpha' |I|^2 \gamma^{ab} \mathcal{A}_a \mathcal{A}_b]. \quad (39)$$

As it is clear from (37), the gauge field  $\mathcal{A}_a$  may be regarded as the desired  $\alpha^a$  via  $\alpha^a = -2\varepsilon^{ab} \mathcal{A}_b$ . Then, by neglecting the higher order term in  $\alpha'$ , the resulting expression is precisely the same as the standard sigma model action including our local counterterm  $S_{\text{FT}}^{(I,Z)}$  (18).

Note that the second term in the action (39) is the same as Eq. (5.13) of [16]. There, it was obtained by rewriting the non-local piece of the effective action  $S_{\text{non-local}}$  of [12] through the identifications of  $I_m$  and  $Z_m$  with some quantities in the Yang–Baxter sigma model. In [12], the non-local action  $S_{\text{non-local}}$  appeared in the process of non-Abelian  $T$ -duality, and it played an important role to show the tracelessness of  $T_{ab}$ . However, according to the non-local nature of the effective action, by truncating the non-linear term by hand, it was concluded in [12] that the string model (called the B'-model) is scale invariant but not Weyl invariant. On the other hand, the action (39) or our local counterterm (18) with  $\alpha^a$  defined as (29) is local and free from the Weyl anomaly.

**Conclusion and Discussion**— We have constructed a local counterterm (18) that cancels out the Weyl anomaly of bosonic string theory defined in generalized supergravity backgrounds, without introducing a  $T$ -duality manifest formulation of string theory. This result supports the Weyl invariance of string theory in generalized supergravity backgrounds. In order to claim the quantum consistency of string theory in generalized supergravity backgrounds, it may be necessary to study some aspects of the associated CFT picture in more detail (e.g., higher genus cases), but the first non-trivial test has been passed. Here, we have considered the bosonic string theory, but the same counterterm should work in the RNS superstring theory as well.

Our result indicates new possibilities of string theory in more general backgrounds. In fact, if we appropriately choose the parameters of the nine-dimensional gauged supergravity [35, 36] and perform a formal  $T$ -duality along the ten-dimensional direction, we can obtain the GSE [37]. In DFT or its extension, the exceptional field theory, we can construct various deformed supergravities that are similar to GSE by performing the formal  $T$ -dualities and  $S$ -dualities [38]. It is important to study the consistency of string theories defined on solutions of these deformed supergravities. A reasonable conjecture is that as long as the target space satisfies the equations of motion of the exceptional field theory, the string theory could be defined consistently. We hope to come back on this interesting topic in our future researches.



## Acknowledgments

K.Y. is very grateful to S. Iso, J. Maldacena, J.-H. Park, P. Townsend, A. Tseytlin and K. Zarembo for valuable comments and discussions. Discussions during “11th Taiwan String Workshop,” the workshop YITP-T-18-04 “New Frontiers in String Theory 2018” and “The 5th Conference of the Polish Society on Relativity” were useful to complete this work. J.J.F.-M. acknowledges financial support of Fundaci3n S3neca/Universidad de Murcia (Programa Saavedra Fajardo). The work of J.S. is supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). The work of Y.S. is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research (C) 18K13540 and (B) 18H01214. The work of K.Y. is supported by the Supporting Program for Interaction based Initiative Team Studies (SPIRITS) from Kyoto University and by a JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No.18H01214. This work is also supported in part by the JSPS Japan-Russia Research Cooperative Program.

---

\* jj.fernandezmelgarejo@um.es

† saka jun@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

‡ yuho@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp

§ kyoshida@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

- [1] J. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, “Weyl invariance for generalized supergravity backgrounds from the doubled formalism,” *PTEP* **2017** (2017) no.5, 053B07 [arXiv:1703.09213 [hep-th]].
- [2] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, B. Hoare, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, “Scale invariance of the  $\eta$ -deformed  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  superstring, T-duality and modified type II equations,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **903** (2016) 262 [arXiv:1511.05795 [hep-th]].
- [3] L. Wulff and A. A. Tseytlin, “Kappa-symmetry of superstring sigma model and generalized 10d supergravity equations,” *JHEP* **1606** (2016) 174 [arXiv:1605.04884 [hep-th]].
- [4] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, “An integrable deformation of the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  superstring action,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) no.5, 051601 [arXiv:1309.5850 [hep-th]].
- [5] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, “Jordanian deformations of the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  superstring,” *JHEP* **1404** (2014) 153 [arXiv:1401.4855 [hep-th]].
- [6] C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend, “Finiteness and Conformal Invariance in Nonlinear  $\sigma$  Models,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **274** (1986) 349.
- [7] M. T. Grisaru, P. S. Howe, L. Mezincescu, B. Nilsson and P. K. Townsend, “N=2 Superstrings in a Supergravity Background,” *Phys. Lett.* **162B** (1985) 116.
- [8] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P. K. Townsend, “Superstring Actions in  $D = 3, 4, 6, 10$  Curved Superspace,” *Phys. Lett.* **169B** (1986) 191.
- [9] J. Polchinski, “Scale and Conformal Invariance in Quantum Field Theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **303** (1988) 226.
- [10] J. J. Fernandez-Melgarejo, J. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, “T-folds from Yang-Baxter deformations,” *JHEP* **1712** (2017) 108 [arXiv:1710.06849 [hep-th]].
- [11] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, “Effective Field Theory from Quantized Strings,” *Phys. Lett.* **158B** (1985) 316.
- [12] S. Elitzur, A. Giveon, E. Rabinovici, A. Schwimmer and G. Veneziano, “Remarks on nonAbelian duality,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **435** (1995) 147 [hep-th/9409011].
- [13] B. Hoare and A. A. Tseytlin, “Homogeneous Yang-Baxter deformations as non-abelian duals of the  $\text{AdS}_5$  sigma-model,” *J. Phys. A* **49** (2016) no.49, 494001 [arXiv:1609.02550 [hep-th]].
- [14] B. Hoare and F. K. Seibold, “Poisson-Lie duals of the  $\eta$  deformed symmetric space sigma model,” *JHEP* **1711** (2017) 014 [arXiv:1709.01448 [hep-th]].
- [15] M. Hong, Y. Kim and E. 3. Colg3in, “On non-Abelian T-duality for non-semisimple groups,” arXiv:1801.09567 [hep-th].
- [16] L. Wulff, “Trivial solutions of generalized supergravity vs non-abelian T-duality anomaly,” *Phys. Lett. B* **781** (2018) 417 [arXiv:1803.07391 [hep-th]].
- [17] R. Borsato and L. Wulff, “Non-abelian T-duality and Yang-Baxter deformations of Green-Schwarz strings,” *JHEP* **1808** (2018) 027 [arXiv:1806.04083 [hep-th]].
- [18] B. Hoare and F. K. Seibold, “Supergravity backgrounds of the eta-deformed  $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^2 \times T^6$  and  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  superstrings,” arXiv:1811.07841 [hep-th].
- [19] M. J. Duff, “Duality Rotations in String Theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **335** (1990) 610.
- [20] C. M. Hull, “A Geometry for non-geometric string backgrounds,” *JHEP* **0510** (2005) 065 [hep-th/0406102].
- [21] C. G. Callan, Jr., E. J. Martinec, M. J. Perry and D. Friedan, “Strings in Background Fields,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **262** (1985) 593.
- [22] G. Arutyunov, R. Borsato and S. Frolov, “Puzzles of  $\eta$ -deformed  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ ,” *JHEP* **1512** (2015) 049 [arXiv:1507.04239 [hep-th]].
- [23] H. Kyono and K. Yoshida, “Supercoset construction of Yang-Baxter deformed  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  backgrounds,” *PTEP* **2016** (2016) no.8, 083B03 [arXiv:1605.02519 [hep-th]].
- [24] D. Orlando, S. Reffert, J. Sakamoto and K. Yoshida, “Generalized type IIB supergravity equations and non-Abelian classical r-matrices,” *J. Phys. A* **49** (2016) no.44, 445403 [arXiv:1607.00795 [hep-th]].
- [25] J. Sakamoto and Y. Sakatani, “Local  $\beta$ -deformations and Yang-Baxter sigma model,” *JHEP* **1806** (2018) 147 [arXiv:1803.05903 [hep-th]].
- [26] M. Gasperini, R. Ricci and G. Veneziano, “A Problem with nonAbelian duality?,” *Phys. Lett. B* **319** (1993) 438 [hep-th/9308112].
- [27] Y. Sakatani, S. Uehara and K. Yoshida, “Generalized gravity from modified DFT,” *JHEP* **1704** (2017) 123 [arXiv:1611.05856 [hep-th]].
- [28] W. Siegel, “Two vierbein formalism for string inspired axionic gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993) 5453 [hep-th/9302036].
- [29] W. Siegel, “Superspace duality in low-energy superstrings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 2826 [hep-th/9305073].
- [30] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Double Field Theory,” *JHEP* **0909** (2009) 099 [arXiv:0904.4664 [hep-th]].
- [31] O. Hohm, C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Generalized metric formulation of double field theory,” *JHEP* **1008** (2010) 008 [arXiv:1006.4823 [hep-th]].
- [32] B. Hoare and A. A. Tseytlin, “Type IIB supergravity solution for the T-dual of the  $\eta$ -deformed  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  super-

- string,” JHEP **1510** (2015) 060 [arXiv:1508.01150 [hep-th]].
- [33] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, “Energy momentum tensor improvements in two-dimensions,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **10** (1996) 1499 [hep-th/9510145].
- [34] A. Yale and T. Padmanabhan, “Structure of Lanczos-Lovelock Lagrangians in Critical Dimensions,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **43** (2011) 1549 [arXiv:1008.5154 [gr-qc]].
- [35] E. Bergshoeff, T. de Wit, U. Gran, R. Linares and D. Roest, “(Non)Abelian gauged supergravities in nine-dimensions,” JHEP **0210** (2002) 061 [hep-th/0209205].
- [36] J. J. Fernandez-Melgarejo, T. Ortin and E. Torrente-Lujan, “The general gaugings of maximal d=9 supergravity,” JHEP **1110** (2011) 068 [arXiv:1106.1760 [hep-th]].
- [37] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for a proof.
- [38] J. J. Fernández-Melgarejo, T. Kimura and Y. Sakatani, “Weaving the Exotic Web,” JHEP **1809** (2018) 072 [arXiv:1805.12117 [hep-th]].

**GSE as a formal  $T$ -dual of a 9D gauged supergravity.**— In this section we show that, by performing a formal  $T$ -duality, GSE are equivalent to the equations of motion of a nine-dimensional gauged supergravity studied in [1, 2]. For convenience, here we choose a gauge in which the Killing vector has the form  $I = m \partial_y$  ( $y \equiv x^9$ ,  $m$ : constant) and  $\tilde{I}_m = 0$ .

As discussed in [3, 4], GSE can be derived from the equations of motion of DFT by adopting an ansatz for the bosonic fields,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{mn} &= g_{mn}(x^\mu), & B_{mn} &= B_{mn}(x^\mu), \\ \Phi &= \phi(x^\mu) + m \tilde{y}, & \hat{C}_p &= \hat{C}_p(x^\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu = 0, \dots, 8$ ,  $\tilde{y}$  is the dual coordinate associated with  $y$ , and  $\hat{C}_p$  is the Ramond–Ramond potential (see [4] for our convention). If we perform a formal  $T$ -duality along the  $y$ -direction, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{mn} &= g'_{mn}(x^\mu), & B'_{mn} &= B'_{mn}(x^\mu), \\ \Phi' &= \phi'(x^\mu) + m y, & \hat{C}'_p &= \hat{C}'_p(x^\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Removing the prime, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{mn} &= e^{-\frac{m}{2} y} G_{mn}(x^\mu), & B_{mn} &= B_{mn}(x^\mu), \\ \Phi &= \phi(x^\mu) + m y, & \hat{C}_p &= e^{-m y} \hat{C}_p(x^\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where we have introduced the Einstein-frame metric  $G_{mn} = e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}} g_{mn}$  and the standard Ramond–Ramond potential  $\hat{C}_p \equiv e^{-\phi} \hat{C}_p$  with  $G_{mn} \equiv e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}} g_{mn}$  and  $\hat{C}_p \equiv e^{-\phi} \hat{C}_p$ .

The ansatz (3) is precisely the one used in [1] to obtain a nine-dimensional gauged supergravity from 10D effective theories. Indeed, in the type IIA case given in (C.9) of [1], by choosing

$$m_{\text{IIA}} = -\frac{2m}{9}, \quad m_4 = \frac{4m}{3}, \quad (4)$$

(3) is recovered. Similarly, in the type IIB case given in (C.14) of [1], (3) is recovered by choosing

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\text{IIB}} &= -\frac{m}{4}, & m_1 &= -m, \\ m_2 &= m_3 = 0, & \alpha &= -\frac{m}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Therefore, both type IIA/IIB GSE are related to the well-known nine-dimensional gauged supergravity through a formal  $T$ -duality in DFT. As shown in Fig. 1 of [1], when a mass parameter  $m_{\text{IIA}}$  or  $m_{\text{IIB}}$  (which corresponds to a scaling symmetry, called trombone symmetry) is turned on, the gauged supergravity does not have the action which leads to the equations of motion. This is consistent with the absence of the supergravity action for GSE

[5]. For the gauged supergravity where the trombone symmetry is gauged, higher-derivative corrections have not been known in the literature. Therefore, it is interesting to study the higher-derivative corrections for GSE by computing the  $\beta$ -functions at the one-loop level.

For a general nine-dimensional supergravity without choosing the above parameters, we can still perform a formal  $T$ -duality and find a generalization of GSE, where the Killing vector  $I^m$  enters the equations of motion in a more intricate manner. It is also interesting to study string theory in solutions of such generalized gauged supergravities.

**Examples of generalized supergravity backgrounds.**— In this section we consider some known solutions to GSE that are obtained via non-Abelian  $T$ -dualities [6–8]. We then show that the  $T$ -dualized background is a solution of the usual supergravity that has a linear dilaton. We also find a combination of  $T$ -dualities and coordinate transformations so as to remove the linear dilaton.

**Example 1**— Let us consider the following background, which was studied in [6, 7],

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + \frac{1}{t^2(t^4 + y^2 + z^2)} [(t^4 + z^2) dy^2 \\ &\quad - 2yz dy dz + (t^4 + y^2) dz^2 + t^4 dx^2] + ds_{M_6}^2, \\ B_2 &= \frac{(y dy + z dz) \wedge dx}{t^4 + y^2 + z^2}, & \Phi &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{1}{t^2(t^4 + y^2 + z^2)} \right], \\ I &= -2 \partial_x, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $ds_{M_6}^2$  is a six-dimensional flat metric. This is a solution to GSE.

By performing a  $T$ -duality along the  $x$ -direction, we obtain a solution of the usual supergravity

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} [(t^4 + y^2 + z^2) dx^2 \\ &\quad + 2(y dy + z dz) dx + dy^2 + dz^2] + ds_{M_6}^2, \\ B_2 &= 0, & \Phi &= -2(\ln t + x), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which has a linear  $x$ -dependence in the dilaton.

By further performing a coordinate transformation

$$t \equiv T, \quad x \equiv -\ln X, \quad y \equiv Y X, \quad z \equiv Z X, \quad (8)$$

we obtain a simple solution

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -dT^2 + \frac{T^2}{X^2} dX^2 + \frac{X^2}{T^2} (dY^2 + dZ^2) + ds_{M_6}^2, \\ B_2 &= 0, & \Phi &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{X^4}{T^4} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Finally, performing  $T$ -dualities along the  $Y$ - and  $Z$ -directions, we obtain a purely gravitational background

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + T^2 \left( \frac{dX^2 + dY^2 + dZ^2}{X^2} \right) + ds_{M_6}^2, \quad (10)$$

$$B_2 = 0, \quad \Phi = 0.$$

In fact, this is the original background before performing the non-Abelian  $T$ -duality (see (2) and (37) in [6]). Namely, the non-Abelian  $T$ -duality can be realized as a combination of Abelian  $T$ -dualities and coordinate transformations.

**Example 2**— The second example is a solution of GSE obtained in [8]

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{t^2}{t^4 + y^2} (dx^2 + dy^2) + ds_{M_7}^2,$$

$$B_2 = \frac{y}{t^4 + y^2} dx \wedge dy, \quad \Phi = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(t^4 + y^2), \quad I = \partial_x, \quad (11)$$

where  $ds_{M_7}^2$  is a seven-dimensional flat metric.

Again, performing a  $T$ -duality along the  $x$ -direction leads to a linear-dilaton solution,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{(t^4 + y^2) dx^2 - 2y dx dy + dy^2}{t^2} + ds_{M_7}^2,$$

$$B_2 = 0, \quad \Phi = -(\ln t - x). \quad (12)$$

By further performing a coordinate transformation

$$t \equiv T, \quad x \equiv \ln X, \quad y \equiv Y X, \quad (13)$$

we obtain

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + \frac{T^2}{X^2} dX^2 + \frac{X^2}{T^2} dY^2 + ds_{M_7}^2,$$

$$B_2 = 0, \quad \Phi = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{X^2}{T^2} \right]. \quad (14)$$

Finally, a  $T$ -duality along the  $Y$ -direction leads to the following background:

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + T^2 \left( \frac{dX^2 + dY^2}{X^2} \right) + ds_{M_6}^2, \quad (15)$$

$$B_2 = 0, \quad \Phi = 0.$$

This is again the original background before performing the non-Abelian  $T$ -duality.

---

\* jj.fernandezmelgarejo@um.es

† sakajun@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

‡ yuho@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp

§ kyoshida@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

- [1] E. Bergshoeff, T. de Wit, U. Gran, R. Linares and D. Roest, “(Non)Abelian gauged supergravities in nine-dimensions,” JHEP **0210** (2002) 061 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/10/061 [hep-th/0209205].
- [2] J. J. Fernandez-Melgarejo, T. Ortin and E. Torrente-Lujan, “The general gaugings of maximal d=9 supergravity,” JHEP **1110** (2011) 068 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)068 [arXiv:1106.1760 [hep-th]].
- [3] Y. Sakatani, S. Uehara and K. Yoshida, “Generalized gravity from modified DFT,” JHEP **1704** (2017) 123 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2017)123 [arXiv:1611.05856 [hep-th]].
- [4] J. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, “Weyl invariance for generalized supergravity backgrounds from the doubled formalism,” PTEP **2017** (2017) no.5, 053B07 doi:10.1093/ptep/ptx067 [arXiv:1703.09213 [hep-th]].
- [5] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, B. Hoare, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, “Scale invariance of the  $\eta$ -deformed  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  superstring, T-duality and modified type II equations,” Nucl. Phys. B **903** (2016) 262 [arXiv:1511.05795 [hep-th]].
- [6] M. Gasperini, R. Ricci and G. Veneziano, “A Problem with nonAbelian duality?,” Phys. Lett. B **319** (1993) 438 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(93)91748-C [hep-th/9308112].
- [7] J. J. Fernandez-Melgarejo, J. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, “ $T$ -folds from Yang-Baxter deformations,” JHEP **1712** (2017) 108 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2017)108 [arXiv:1710.06849 [hep-th]].
- [8] M. Hong, Y. Kim and E. Ó Colgáin, “On non-Abelian T-duality for non-semisimple groups,” arXiv:1801.09567 [hep-th].