

Sheffer homeomorphisms of spaces of entire functions in infinite dimensional analysis

Dmitri Finkelshtein

Department of Mathematics, Computational Foundry, Swansea University, Bay Campus, Swansea SA1 8EN, U.K.;

e-mail: d.l.finkelshtein@swansea.ac.uk

Yuri Kondratiev

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany;

e-mail: kondrat@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de

Eugene Lytvynov

Department of Mathematics, Computational Foundry, Swansea University, Bay Campus, Swansea SA1 8EN, U.K.;

e-mail: e.lytvynov@swansea.ac.uk

Maria João Oliveira

DCeT, Universidade Aberta, 1269-001 Lisbon, Portugal; CMAFCIO, University of Lisbon, 1749-016 Lisbon, Portugal;

e-mail: mjoliveira@ciencias.ulisboa.pt

Ludwig Streit

BiBoS, Universität Bielefeld, Germany;

CIMA, Universidade da Madeira, Funchal, Portugal;

e-mail: streit@uma.pt

Abstract

For certain Sheffer sequences $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ on \mathbb{C} , Grabiner (1988) proved that, for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the corresponding Sheffer operator $z^n \mapsto s_n(z)$ extends to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$, the Fréchet topological space of entire functions of order at most α and minimal type (when the order is equal to $\alpha > 0$). In particular, every function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$ admits a unique decomposition $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n s_n(z)$, and the series converges in the topology of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$. Within the context of a complex nuclear space Φ and its dual space Φ' , in this work we generalize Grabiner's result to the case of Sheffer operators corresponding to Sheffer sequences on Φ' . In particular, for $\Phi = \Phi' = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $n \geq 2$, we obtain the multivariate extension of Grabiner's theorem. Furthermore, for an Appell sequence on a general co-nuclear space Φ' , we find a sufficient condition for the corresponding Sheffer operator to extend to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ when $\alpha > 1$. The latter result is new even in the one-dimensional case.

Keywords: Infinite dimensional holomorphy; nuclear and co-nuclear spaces; sequence of polynomials of binomial type; Sheffer operator; Sheffer sequence; spaces of entire functions.

2010 MSC. Primary: 46E50, 46G20, 32A10, 32A15, 05A40. **Secondary:** 60H40.

1 Introduction

Let $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of monic polynomials on \mathbb{C} . (Although all polynomials sequences in this paper are assumed to be monic, this assumption is not essential for our purposes but allows us to slightly simplify the notations.) Then $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is called a *Sheffer sequence* if its (exponential) generating function has the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} s_n(z) = \frac{\exp[za(u)]}{r(a(u))}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $a(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n u^n$ and $r(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n u^n$ are formal power series in $u \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 1$ and $r_0 = 1$. In the special case $r(u) \equiv 1$, $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is called a *sequence of polynomials of binomial type*, since it satisfies

$$s_n(z_1 + z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} s_k(z_1) s_{n-k}(z_2).$$

In the special case $a(u) \equiv u$, the Sheffer sequence $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is called an *Appell sequence*. Modern *umbral calculus* (e.g. [25, 34]) studies Sheffer sequences and related operators.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ denote the space of polynomials on \mathbb{C} . A Sheffer sequence $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ forms a basis in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, we can define a linear operator \mathfrak{S} acting on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ by $\mathfrak{S}z^n = s_n(z)$. The operator \mathfrak{S} is called a *Sheffer operator*. In the special case where $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of polynomials of binomial type, the operator \mathfrak{S} is called an *umbral operator*. The umbral, and more general Sheffer operators play a fundamental role in umbral calculus.

Grabiner [12] determined Banach and Fréchet spaces of entire functions between which Sheffer operators (in particular, umbral operators) can be extended as continuous linear operators, or even linear homeomorphisms.

Let us now briefly recall one of the central results of [12]. Recall that an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of order at most $\alpha > 0$ and minimal type (when the order is equal to α) if f satisfies the estimate

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)| \exp(-t|z|^\alpha) < \infty \quad \text{for each } t > 0.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$ the linear space of such functions. This space is endowed with a natural Fréchet topology. Note that $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha_1}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha_2}(\mathbb{C})$ for $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$. We further denote $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^0(\mathbb{C}) := \bigcap_{\alpha > 0} \mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$, the Fréchet topological space of entire functions of order 0 (the lower index min in the notation $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^0(\mathbb{C})$ being kept just for consistency with the case $\alpha > 0$). Note that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$ for each $\alpha \geq 0$. The following result is Theorem (3.13) and part of Theorem (6.6) in [12].

Theorem 1.1 ([12]). *Assume that functions $a(u)$ and $r(u)$ are holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero. Let a Sheffer sequence $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be defined by (1.1). Then, for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} corresponding to $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of the space $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, each function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$ admits a unique representation*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n s_n(z), \quad c_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the series on the right-hand side of formula (1.2) converges in the topology of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C})$.

Many extensions of umbral calculus to the case of polynomials of several, or even infinitely many variables were discussed e.g. in [2, 8, 9, 31–33, 36], for a longer list of such papers see the introduction to [9]. However, in the multivariate case, no analog of Grabiner’s results was proved for Sheffer sequences.

In infinite dimensional (stochastic) analysis, one often meets examples of sequences of polynomials defined on a co-nuclear space. More precisely, one considers a Gel’fand triple $\Phi \subset \mathcal{H}_0 \subset \Phi'$, where Φ is a nuclear space that is topologically (i.e., densely and continuously) embedded into a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 , and Φ' is the dual of the space Φ (i.e., a co-nuclear space) and the dual pairing between elements of Φ' and Φ is given by a continuous extension of the scalar product in \mathcal{H}_0 . Usually, $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}$, while Φ is either \mathcal{S} , the Schwartz space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions on \mathbb{R}^d , or \mathcal{D} , the space of smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support. To study stochastic analysis related to a probability measure on Φ' (called a *generalized stochastic process*), one uses sequences of polynomials on Φ' .

In paper [11], the definition of a Sheffer sequence on Φ' was given and general properties of Sheffer sequences were studied. This research was motivated by numerous available examples of such sequences of polynomials: Hermite polynomials in Gaussian white noise analysis (e.g. [4, 13, 14]), Charlier polynomials in analysis related to the Poisson point process (e.g. [15, 18, 22]), Laguerre polynomials in analysis related to the gamma random measure (e.g. [21, 22]), Meixner polynomials in analysis related to the Meixner white noise measure (e.g. [28, 29]), falling factorials on Φ' appearing in the theory of point processes (e.g. [5, 17, 19]), and a class of sequences of polynomials on Φ' with a generating function of a certain exponential type appearing in biorthogonal analysis related to a rather general probability measures on Φ' (e.g. [1, 23]).

We assume that Φ' is a complex co-nuclear space, in which case $\Phi' = \bigcup_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$, a union of complex Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$. By analogy with [4, Chapter 2, Section 5.4] or [23, Section 2.2], one can naturally define $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$, the space of entire functions on Φ' of order at most $\alpha \geq 0$ and minimal type (when the order is equal to $\alpha > 0$).

It should be noted that, when the nuclear space Φ is countably-Hilbert (i.e., the set T is countable), functions from $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ are entire on the space Φ' equipped with the

inductive limit topology of the $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$ spaces, see Corollary 2.12 below. If, however, T is not a countable set, functions from $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$ are entire on each Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$ but it is not known whether they are continuous (hence entire) with respect to the inductive limit topology on Φ' .

One of the central results of Gaussian white noise analysis is an internal description of the Hida Test Space [4, Chapter 2, Section 5.4], see also [27, Section 3] and [26, 30]. By analogy with Theorem 1.1, this result can now be stated as follows: *The Sheffer operator corresponding to the sequence of Hermite polynomials on Φ' extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of the space $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^2(\Phi')$.* (Note that Grabiner's paper [12] does not deal with spaces $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$ where $\alpha > 1$.)

Furthermore, in paper [23] (see also [20]), for a class of Sheffer polynomials on Φ' (which includes the Hermite and Charlier polynomials), a similar result was proved for a smaller space of test functions (which is nowadays referred to as the Kondratiev Test Space, cf. [16]). Again by analogy with Theorem 1.1, this result can now be stated as follows: *For each Sheffer sequence considered in [23], the corresponding Sheffer operator extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of the space $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^1(\Phi')$.*

The main result of the present paper (Theorem 3.3) is a direct extension of Theorem 1.1: *If the infinite dimensional analogs of the functions $a(u)$ and $r(u)$ satisfy an assumption generalizing that of Theorem 1.1, then for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the corresponding Sheffer operator extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of the space $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$.* In the special case $\Phi = \mathcal{H}_0 = \Phi' = \mathbb{C}$, we recover Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, by choosing $\Phi = \mathcal{H}_0 = \Phi' = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $n \geq 2$, we obtain the (finite-dimensional) multivariate extension of Theorem 1.1.

Using the ideas from the proof of Theorem 3.3, we also obtain the following result (Theorem 3.10) regarding Appell sequences on Φ' : *Let $\alpha > 1$ and assume that the infinite dimensional analog of the function $r(u)$ satisfies a certain assumption, which depends on α . Then the corresponding Sheffer operator extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$.* This result contains the internal description of the Hida Test Space as a special case. It should be noted that this result is new even in the one-dimensional case $\Phi' = \mathbb{C}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect the required preliminaries of complex analysis on nuclear and co-nuclear spaces. The proofs of several new propositions appearing in this section are given in Appendix. In Subsection 2.1, we recall the definitions of nuclear and co-nuclear spaces and the construction of a Gel'fand triple. In Subsection 2.2, we define and discuss holomorphic functions on nuclear and co-nuclear spaces. Here our standard reference for complex analysis on locally convex topological spaces is Dineen's book [10]. In Subsection 2.3, we discuss the spaces $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$. For each $\alpha > 0$, we obtain a new useful representation of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$ as the projective limit of certain Banach spaces. This result develops further the theory from [4, Chapter 2, Section 5.4] and [23, Section 2.2].

In Section 3, we discuss the umbral and Sheffer operators. First, in Subsection 3.1,

we recall the required definitions and results from [11], regarding Sheffer sequences on Φ' . Next, in Subsection 3.2, we formulate and prove the main results of the paper.

Finally, in Section 4, we discuss some examples of Sheffer sequences on Φ' . We show, in particular, that every Sheffer sequence on \mathbb{C} satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 can be lifted to a Sheffer sequence on $\Phi' = \mathcal{S}'$ or \mathcal{D}' that satisfies the assumption of our main result, Theorem 3.3.

2 Complex analysis on nuclear and co-nuclear spaces

2.1 Preliminaries on complex nuclear and co-nuclear spaces

Let us first recall the definition of a nuclear space, for details see e.g. [6, Chapter 14, Section 2.2]. Consider a family of real separable Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}})_{\tau \in T}$, where T is an arbitrary index set. Assume that, for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T$, there exists a $\tau_3 \in T$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_3, \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau_1, \mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_3, \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau_2, \mathbb{R}}$ and both embeddings are continuous. Further assume that, for each $\tau_1 \in T$, there exists a $\tau_2 \in T$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_2, \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau_1, \mathbb{R}}$, and the embedding operator is of Hilbert–Schmidt class. Consider the set $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}} := \bigcap_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ and assume that $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ is dense in each Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$. We introduce in $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ the projective limit topology of the $\mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ spaces. Then the linear topological space $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *nuclear*.

Let us assume that, for some $\tau_0 \in T$, each Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ with $\tau \in T$ is continuously embedded into $\mathcal{H}_{0, \mathbb{R}} := \mathcal{H}_{\tau_0, \mathbb{R}}$. We will call $\mathcal{H}_{0, \mathbb{R}}$ the *center space*. Let $\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the dual space of $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to the center space $\mathcal{H}_{0, \mathbb{R}}$, i.e., the dual pairing between $\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained by continuously extending the inner product on $\mathcal{H}_{0, \mathbb{R}}$, see e.g. [6, Chapter 14, Section 2.3] for details. The space $\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}$ is often called *co-nuclear*. We will use the notation $(\omega, \xi)_{\mathcal{H}_{0, \mathbb{R}}}$ for the dual pairing between $\omega \in \Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\xi \in \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$.

By the Schwartz theorem (e.g. [6, Chapter 14, Theorem 2.1]), $\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigcup_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_{-\tau, \mathbb{R}}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ denotes the dual space of $\mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ with respect to the center space $\mathcal{H}_{0, \mathbb{R}}$. We endow $\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the topology of the inductive limit of the $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ spaces. (Note that this is the locally convex inductive limit, i.e., the inductive limit is taken in the category of locally convex spaces and continuous linear maps.) Thus, we obtain the real *Gel'fand triple*

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{proj lim}_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{0, \mathbb{R}} \subset \text{ind lim}_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_{-\tau, \mathbb{R}} = \Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The above construction can now be extended to the complex case. For each $\tau \in T$, we define the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{τ} as the complexification of $\mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$. (We assume that the inner product on \mathcal{H}_{τ} is linear in the first variable and antilinear in the second variable.) As a result, we obtain a complex nuclear space Φ that is the complexification of $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$. Similarly, we define complex Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$ and their inductive limit Φ' . Each $\omega \in \Phi'$ determines a linear continuous functional on Φ by the formula $\langle \omega, \xi \rangle := (\omega, \bar{\xi})_{\mathcal{H}_0}$, where $\bar{\xi}$ denotes the complex conjugate of ξ . Hence, Φ' is the dual of the complex nuclear space Φ (i.e., a complex co-nuclear space). Thus, we get the complex Gel'fand

triple

$$\Phi = \operatorname{proj} \lim_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_\tau \subset \mathcal{H}_0 \subset \operatorname{ind} \lim_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_{-\tau} = \Phi'. \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.1. As the dual of the locally convex topological vector space Φ , the space Φ' can be endowed with several standard topologies, in particular, the weak topology $\sigma(\Phi', \Phi)$ (e.g. [35, Chapter II, Subsection 5.2]), the Mackey topology $\tau(\Phi', \Phi)$ (e.g. [35, Chapter IV, Subsection 2.2]), and the strong topology $\beta(\Phi', \Phi)$ (e.g. [35, Chapter IV, Section 5]). In fact, the inductive limit topology on Φ' , that we use in this paper, coincides with the Mackey topology $\tau(\Phi', \Phi)$, see e.g. [35, Chapter IV, Subsection 4.4]. If the set T is countable (i.e., Φ is a countably-Hilbert nuclear space), then the inductive limit/Mackey topology also coincides with the strong topology $\beta(\Phi', \Phi)$, see e.g. [3, Theorem 4.16]. Furthermore, in the latter case, Φ' is a nuclear space itself, see e.g. [35, Chapter IV, Subsection 9.6].

Below, we will denote by \otimes the *tensor product* and by \odot the *symmetric tensor product*. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Starting with Gel'fand triple (2.1), one constructs its n th symmetric tensor power as follows:

$$\Phi^{\odot n} := \operatorname{proj} \lim_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_\tau^{\odot n} \subset \mathcal{H}_0^{\odot n} \subset \operatorname{ind} \lim_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}^{\odot n} =: \Phi'^{\odot n},$$

see e.g. [4, Section 2.1] for details. In particular, $\Phi^{\odot n}$ is a complex nuclear space and $\Phi'^{\odot n}$ is its dual. We will also define $\Phi^{\odot 0} = \mathcal{H}_0^{\odot 0} = \Phi'^{\odot 0} := \mathbb{C}$. The norm in each Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\tau^{\odot n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\tau$. Similarly, we will use the notation $\|\cdot\|_{-\tau}$ for the norms in $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}^{\odot n}$.

2.2 Holomorphic mappings on complex nuclear and co-nuclear spaces

Let us first recall some definitions and statements related to holomorphic mappings between locally convex spaces [10]. Let E and F be complex locally convex topological vector spaces. Let U be an open subset of E . A mapping $f : U \rightarrow F$ is called *Gâteaux holomorphic* if, for any $\xi \in U$, $\eta \in E$ and $\Psi \in F'$, the \mathbb{C} -valued function

$$z \mapsto \langle \Psi, f(\xi + z\eta) \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphic on some neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{C} . If additionally the mapping $f : U \rightarrow F$ is continuous, then f is called *holomorphic*.

We note that, if $F = \mathbb{C}$, the above definition of a Gâteaux holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ means that, for any $\xi \in U$ and $\eta \in E$, the function $z \mapsto f(\xi + z\eta) \in \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic on some neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{C} .

A function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is holomorphic on a whole locally convex space E is called *entire*.

Below we will use the spaces Φ and Φ' from the Gel'fand triple (2.1). The space Φ' , equipped with the inductive limit topology, is a locally convex space. By using the results of [10, Section 3.1], it is not difficult to show that each entire function $f : \Phi' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has the property that, for each $\tau \in T$, the restriction $f|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}}$ is an entire function on $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$. However, the converse statement is, generally speaking, not true. We will say that a function $f : \Phi' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *restricted-entire on Φ'* if its restriction is entire on $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$ for each $\tau \in T$. (See Corollary 2.12 below which shows that, in the case of a countably-Hilbert nuclear space Φ , the functions from the spaces appearing in this paper are actually entire on Φ' .)

For the proofs of the following two propositions, see Appendix.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f : \Phi' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a restricted-entire function on Φ' . Then there exist kernels $\varphi^{(n)} \in \Phi^{\odot n}$ such that, for all $\omega \in \Phi'$,*

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Here $\omega^{\otimes 0} := 1$.

Let E, F be complex locally convex topological vector spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the space of continuous linear operators acting from E into F . We will also denote $\mathcal{L}(E) := \mathcal{L}(E, E)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{G} be a separable complex Hilbert space. Let U be an open neighborhood of zero in Φ , and let $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be holomorphic. Then there exist U' , an open neighborhood of zero in Φ that is a subset of U , $\tau \in T$, and operators $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau}^{\odot n}, \mathcal{G})$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that, for all $\xi \in U'$, $F(\xi) = F(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \xi^{\otimes n}$, where the series converges in \mathcal{G} . Furthermore, for some $C_1 \geq 0$, we have $\|A_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau}^{\odot n}, \mathcal{G})} \leq C_1^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The following two corollaries are now immediate.

Corollary 2.4. *Let U be an open neighborhood of zero in Φ , and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic. Then there exist U' , an open neighborhood of zero in Φ that is a subset of U , $\tau \in T$, and $\rho^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}^{\odot n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that, for all $\xi \in U'$, $f(\xi) = f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \rho^{(n)}, \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle$. Furthermore, for some $C_2 \geq 0$, we have $\|\rho^{(n)}\|_{-\tau} \leq C_2^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Corollary 2.5. *Let U be an open neighborhood of zero in Φ and let $F : U \rightarrow \Phi$ be holomorphic. Then there exist operators $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi^{\odot n}, \Phi)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) for which the following statements hold:*

(a) *For each $\tau \in T$, there exists U_{τ} , an open neighborhood of zero in Φ , that is a subset of U , such that, for all $\xi \in U_{\tau}$, $F(\xi) = F(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \xi^{\otimes n}$, where the series converges in the \mathcal{H}_{τ} space.*

(b) For each $\tau \in T$, there exist $\tau' \in T$ and $C_3 \geq 0$ such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, A_n extends to a bounded linear operator $A_n^\tau \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\odot n}, \mathcal{H}_\tau)$ with $\|A_n^\tau\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\odot n}, \mathcal{H}_\tau)} \leq C_3^n$.

Remark 2.6. Below we will drop the upper index τ in the notation A_n^τ , hence we will use the same symbol A_n for all extensions of the operator $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi^{\odot n}, \Phi)$ to an operator from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\odot n}, \mathcal{H}_\tau)$.

Let us now fix arbitrary operators $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi^{\odot n}, \Phi)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and consider the formal power series $F : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ given by

$$F(\xi) = F(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \xi^{\otimes n}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $F(0)$ is a fixed element of Φ . Note that, for a fixed $\xi \in \Phi$, we get $F(z\xi) = F(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n A_n \xi^{\otimes n}$, which is a formal series in powers of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with coefficients from Φ . See [11] for further details on such formal power series.

Assume that the operators A_n satisfy the following condition:

(QH) For each $\tau \in T$, there exist $\tau' \in T$ and $C_4 \geq 0$ such that $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\odot n}, \mathcal{H}_\tau)$ and $\|A_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\odot n}, \mathcal{H}_\tau)} \leq C_4^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.7. We will say that a formal power series $F : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ given by (2.3) is *quasi-holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero* if the operators $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi^{\odot n}, \Phi)$ satisfy condition (QH).

By Corollary 2.5, if U is an open neighborhood of zero in Φ and a function $F : U \rightarrow \Phi$ is holomorphic, then it is also quasi-holomorphic. Note, however, that, for a sequence of operators A_n satisfying the condition (QH), it may happen that the $F(\xi)$ given by the convergent series (2.3) belongs to Φ only for $\xi = 0$.

2.3 Spaces $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$

Let $\alpha > 0$. For $\tau \in T$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$ the vector space of all entire functions $f : \mathcal{H}_{-\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy

$$\mathbf{n}_{\tau, l, \alpha}(f) := \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}} |f(\omega)| \exp(-2^{-l} \|\omega\|_{-\tau}^\alpha) < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

The function $\mathbf{n}_{\tau, l, \alpha}(\cdot)$ determines a norm on $\mathcal{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$.

We now define $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ as the set of all functions $f : \Phi' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the restriction of f to each Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$ ($\tau \in T$) belongs to $\mathcal{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We call $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ the *space of (restricted-)entire functions on Φ' of order at most α and minimal type (when the order is equal to α)*. We endow $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ with the topology of the projective limit:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi') := \text{proj lim}_{\tau \in T, l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}),$$

compare with [4, 20, 23]. For any $0 < \alpha < \alpha'$, we obviously have $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi') \subset \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha'}(\Phi')$, and the embedding is continuous. So, we also define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\min}^0(\Phi') := \text{proj} \lim_{\alpha > 0} \mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi'). \quad (2.5)$$

We will now present an alternative description of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$. Recall that, by Proposition 2.2, each function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ with $\alpha \geq 0$ has a representation (2.2) with $\varphi^{(n)} \in \Phi^{\odot n}$. Let $\tau \in T$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\alpha > 0$. Let $\mathbf{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$ denote the vector space of all entire functions $f : \mathcal{H}_{-\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form (2.2) with $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}^{\odot n}$ that satisfy

$$\|f\|_{\tau, l, \alpha} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{1/\alpha} 2^{ln} \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_{\tau} < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

The function $\|\cdot\|_{\tau, l, \alpha}$ determines a norm on $\mathbf{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$, which makes the latter a Banach space.

For the proof of the following theorem, see Appendix.

Theorem 2.8. *For each $\alpha > 0$, the following equality of topological spaces holds:*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi') = \text{proj} \lim_{\tau \in T, l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}).$$

Remark 2.9. The reader is advised to compare Theorem 2.8 with [23, Theorem 2.5], which deals with the case $\alpha \in [1, 2]$ and a different collection of norms as compared with $\|\cdot\|_{\tau, l, \alpha}$.

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ and let $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$. Represent f in the form (2.2) (see Proposition 2.2). Then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle$ converges in the topology of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$.*

Remark 2.11. By analogy with the proof of Theorem 2.8, it is not difficult to show that, for each $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ is a nuclear space.

Corollary 2.12. *Assume $T = \mathbb{N}_0$ and for each $\tau \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\tau+1}$ is continuously embedded into \mathcal{H}_{τ} (equivalently, Φ is a countably-Hilbert nuclear space). Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, each function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ is entire on the space Φ' equipped with the inductive limit topology.*

The proof of Corollary 2.12 is a modification of the proof of [24, Theorem 3.2]. We leave the details to the interested reader.

3 Umbral and Sheffer operators

Let us first briefly recall some definitions and results from [11].

Remark 3.1. It should be noted that paper [11] deals with the real Gel'fand triple $\mathcal{D} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx) \subset \mathcal{D}'$, where \mathcal{D} is the nuclear space of all smooth compactly supported functions on \mathbb{R}^d . In fact, all the results of [11] can be immediately extended to the complexification of this real Gel'fand triple. Furthermore, it is easy to see that the results of [11] that we will use in the present paper are true for an arbitrary complex Gel'fand triple (2.1).

3.1 Sheffer sequences on Φ'

A function $p : \Phi' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *polynomial on Φ'* if $p(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle \omega^{\otimes k}, \varphi^{(k)} \rangle$, where $\varphi^{(k)} \in \Phi^{\otimes k}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $\varphi^{(n)} \neq 0$, one says that p is a *polynomial of degree n* . We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\Phi')$ the linear space of all polynomials on Φ' .

Assume that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a mapping $P^{(n)} : \Phi' \rightarrow \Phi'^{\otimes n}$ is of the form $P^{(n)}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^n U_{n,k} \omega^{\otimes k}$ with $U_{n,k} \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi'^{\otimes k}, \Phi'^{\otimes n})$. Furthermore, assume that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $U_{n,n} = \mathbf{1}$, the identity operator on $\Phi'^{\otimes n}$. Then we call $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ a *sequence of monic polynomials on Φ'* .

Note that

$$\langle P^{(n)}(\omega), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle = \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle \omega^{\otimes k}, V_{k,n} \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \quad \varphi^{(n)} \in \Phi^{\otimes n}.$$

Here $V_{k,n} := U_{n,k}^* \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi^{\otimes n}, \Phi^{\otimes k})$, i.e., $V_{k,n}$ is the adjoint operator of $U_{n,k}$. In particular, $\langle P^{(n)}(\cdot), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\Phi')$. Note also that each $P^{(n)}(\omega) \in \Phi'^{\otimes n}$ is completely determined by the values $(\langle P^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle)_{\xi \in \Phi}$.

Let $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ be sequence of monic polynomials on Φ' . Then each polynomial $p : \Phi' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of degree n has a unique representation $p(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle P^{(k)}(\omega), \varphi^{(k)} \rangle$ with $\varphi^{(k)} \in \Phi^{\otimes k}$. Define a linear mapping $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{P}(\Phi') \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Phi')$ by

$$\mathfrak{S} \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle := \langle P^{(n)}(\omega), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \quad \varphi^{(n)} \in \Phi^{\otimes n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.1)$$

Then \mathfrak{S} is a bijective mapping.

Let $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of monic polynomials on Φ' . We say that $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is of *binomial type* if, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\omega, \zeta \in \Phi'$,

$$P^{(n)}(\omega + \zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} P^{(k)}(\omega) \odot P^{(n-k)}(\zeta).$$

Here $P^{(k)}(\omega) \odot P^{(n-k)}(\zeta) \in \Phi'^{\otimes n}$ is the symmetrization of $P^{(k)}(\omega) \otimes P^{(n-k)}(\zeta) \in \Phi'^{\otimes n}$. By [11, Theorem 4.1], a sequence of monic polynomials, $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$, is of binomial type

if and only if it has the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle P^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \exp[\langle \omega, A(\xi) \rangle], \quad \omega \in \Phi', \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$A(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \xi^{\otimes k}, \quad (3.3)$$

with $A_k \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi^{\otimes k}, \Phi)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A_1 = \mathbf{1}$, the identity operator on Φ .

Remark 3.2. For $A(\xi)$ as in formula (3.3), there exists a formal power series $B(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \xi^{\otimes k}$ with $B_k \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi^{\otimes k}, \Phi)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $B_1 = \mathbf{1}$, that is the *compositional inverse* of $A(\xi)$, i.e., $A(B(\xi)) = B(A(\xi)) = \xi$. Hence, formula (3.2) implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle P^{(n)}(\omega), (B(\xi))^{\otimes n} \rangle = \exp[\langle \omega, \xi \rangle], \quad \omega \in \Phi'. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of monic polynomials on Φ' . We call $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ a *Sheffer sequence* if it has the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \frac{\exp[\langle \omega, A(\xi) \rangle]}{\rho(A(\xi))}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $A(\xi)$ is as in formula (3.3) and

$$\rho(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \rho^{(n)}, \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

with $\rho^{(n)} \in \Phi'^{\otimes n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\rho^{(0)} = 1$. The sequence of polynomials of binomial type, $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$, with generating function (3.2) is called the *basic sequence for the Sheffer sequence* $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

A Sheffer sequence $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with generating function (3.5) in which $A(\xi) = \xi$ is called an *Appell sequence on Φ'* . Thus, in this case, the sequence $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ has the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \frac{\exp[\langle \omega, \xi \rangle]}{\rho(\xi)}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\rho(\xi)$ is given by (3.6). Equivalently, an Appell sequence is a Sheffer sequence for which the basic sequence is $(\omega^{\otimes n})_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

3.2 Sheffer homeomorphisms

Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a Sheffer sequence on Φ' . Consider the bijective linear mapping $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{P}(\Phi') \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Phi')$ given by the formula (3.1) in which $P^{(n)}$ is replaced by $S^{(n)}$. Then \mathfrak{S} is called the *Sheffer operator corresponding to the Sheffer sequence* $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$. In the special case where $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is of binomial type, we will denote the corresponding Sheffer operator by \mathfrak{U} and call it an *umbral operator*.

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a Sheffer sequence with generating function (3.5). Assume that ρ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero in Φ . Let $B(\xi)$ denote the compositional inverse of $A(\xi)$, and assume that the formal power series $A(\xi)$ and $B(\xi)$ are quasi-holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero in Φ (see Definition 2.7). Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then the corresponding Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$.*

Remark 3.4. Let O be an open neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{C}^n and let $f : O \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be a holomorphic function such that $f(0) = 0$ and the differential (the Jacobian matrix) of f at 0 is the identity operator on \mathbb{C}^n . Then, as we know from complex multivariate analysis, the function f is invertible on a neighborhood of zero and its inverse function, f^{-1} , is holomorphic. Hence, it would be natural to expect that if $A(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \xi^{\otimes k}$ with $A_1 = \mathbf{1}$ is quasi-holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero in Φ , then so is its compositional inverse $B(\xi)$. It is an open problem whether this is indeed the case.

Remark 3.5. In view of Theorem 2.8 and the definition of the projective limit topology, a linear operator $\mathfrak{A} : \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$ is continuous if and only if for any $\tau \in T$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exist $\tau' \in T$ and $l' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that \mathfrak{A} extends to $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{E}_{l'}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}), \mathbf{E}_l^{\alpha}(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}))$.

Before proving Theorem 3.3, let us first formulate an immediate corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a Sheffer sequence as in Theorem 3.3.*

(i) *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Each function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$ admits a unique representation as*

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\varphi^{(n)} \in \Phi^{\odot n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and the series on the right-hand side of (3.8) converges in $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$.

(ii) *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and let $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$ be of the form (3.8). For each $\tau \in T$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, define*

$$\|f\|_{\tau, l, \alpha} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{1/\alpha} 2^{ln} \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_{\tau} < \infty. \quad (3.9)$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{\tau,l,\alpha}$ determines a norm on $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$, and we denote by $\mathbb{E}_{l,\tau}^\alpha$ the Banach space obtained as the completion of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ in this norm. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi') = \text{proj lim}_{\tau \in T, l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{E}_{l,\tau}^\alpha.$$

Remark 3.7. Note that we do not state that, for given $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\tau \in T$, and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathbb{E}_{l,\tau}^\alpha$ consists of entire functions on $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$. Nevertheless, for a given $\tau \in T$, one can find $\tau' \in T$ and $l' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{l',\tau'}^\alpha$ consists of entire functions on $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. In view of definition (2.5), it is sufficient to prove the result for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. We divide the proof of this case into several steps.

Step 1. We will first prove the result for the umbral operator \mathfrak{U} corresponding to a sequence of polynomials of binomial type, $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$. By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle P^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle A_k^* \omega, \xi^{\otimes k} \rangle \right)^m \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \langle (A_{k_1}^* \omega) \odot \dots \odot (A_{k_m}^* \omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Hence, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P^{(n)}(\omega) = n! \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} (A_{k_1}^* \omega) \odot \dots \odot (A_{k_m}^* \omega). \quad (3.11)$$

Let $p(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^N \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\Phi')$. Then by (3.11) and the definition of \mathfrak{U} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{U}p(\omega) &= \sum_{n=0}^N \langle P^{(n)}(\omega), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \\ &= \varphi^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^N n! \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \langle \omega^{\otimes m}, (A_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{k_m}) \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \\ &= \varphi^{(0)} + \sum_{m=1}^N \left\langle \omega^{\otimes m}, \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{n=m}^N n! \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} (A_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{k_m}) \varphi^{(n)} \right\rangle \\ &= \varphi^{(0)} + \sum_{m=1}^N \left\langle \omega^{\otimes m}, \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_m=1}^{\infty} (k_1 + \dots + k_m)! (A_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{k_m}) \varphi^{(k_1 + \dots + k_m)} \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where we set $\varphi^{(n)} = 0$ for $n \geq N + 1$. Fix $\tau \in T$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, by (2.6) and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{U}p\|_{\tau,l,\alpha} &\leq |\varphi^{(0)}| + \sum_{m=1}^N 2^{lm} (m!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_m=1}^{\infty} (k_1 + \cdots + k_m)! \|(A_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{k_m})\varphi^{(k_1+\cdots+k_m)}\|_{\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

By (QH), there exist $\tau' \in T$ and a constant $C_5 \geq 0$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|A_k\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\circ k}, \mathcal{H}_{\tau})} \leq C_5^k$. Hence, by (3.13),

$$\|\mathfrak{U}p\|_{\tau,l,\alpha} \leq |\varphi^{(0)}| + \sum_{m=1}^N 2^{lm} (m!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_m=1}^{\infty} (k_1 + \cdots + k_m)! C_5^{k_1+\cdots+k_m} \|\varphi^{(k_1+\cdots+k_m)}\|_{\tau'}. \quad (3.14)$$

We evidently have, for each $l' \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\|\varphi^{(k)}\|_{\tau'} \leq 2^{-l'k} (k!)^{-1/\alpha} \|p\|_{\tau',l',\alpha}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.15)$$

By (3.14) and (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{U}p\|_{\tau,l,\alpha} &\leq |\varphi^{(0)}| + \sum_{m=1}^N 2^{lm} (m!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_m=1}^{\infty} ((k_1 + \cdots + k_m)!)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{C_5}{2^{l'}}\right)^{k_1+\cdots+k_m} \|p\|_{\tau',l',\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Since $k_1 + \cdots + k_m \geq m$ and $1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \leq 0$, formula (3.16) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{U}p\|_{\tau,l,\alpha} &\leq |\varphi^{(0)}| + \sum_{m=1}^N 2^{lm} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{C_5}{2^{l'}}\right)^k \right)^m \|p\|_{\tau',l',\alpha} \\ &= |\varphi^{(0)}| + \sum_{m=1}^N 2^{lm} \left(\frac{C_5}{2^{l'} - C_5} \right)^m \|p\|_{\tau',l',\alpha} \\ &\leq \|p\|_{\tau',l',\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^l C_5}{2^{l'} - C_5} \right)^m \\ &= \|p\|_{\tau',l',\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{2^l C_5}{2^{l'} - C_5} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for $l' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfying $2^{l'} > C_5(1 + 2^l)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.8, \mathfrak{U} extends by continuity to $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi'))$.

Step 2. By using formula (3.4) and analogously to (3.10), (3.11), we see that

$$\omega^{\otimes n} = n! \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} (B_{k_1}^* \odot \dots \odot B_{k_m}^*) P^{(m)}(\omega).$$

Hence, analogously to (3.12), we find, for $p(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^N \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\Phi')$,

$$p(\omega) = \varphi^{(0)} + \sum_{m=1}^N \left\langle P^{(m)}(\omega), \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_m=1}^{\infty} (k_1 + \dots + k_m)! (B_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes B_{k_m}) \varphi^{(k_1 + \dots + k_m)} \right\rangle.$$

This implies

$$\mathfrak{U}^{-1} p(\omega) = \varphi^{(0)} + \sum_{m=1}^N \left\langle \omega^{\otimes m}, \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_m=1}^{\infty} (k_1 + \dots + k_m)! (B_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes B_{k_m}) \varphi^{(k_1 + \dots + k_m)} \right\rangle.$$

Analogously to (3.13)–(3.17), we now conclude that \mathfrak{U}^{-1} can be extended by continuity to an operator $\mathfrak{U}' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi'))$. Since $\mathfrak{U}' = \mathfrak{U}^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{P}(\Phi')$, we get $\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{U}'p = \mathfrak{U}'\mathfrak{U}p = p$ for each $p \in \mathcal{P}(\Phi')$. By continuity, this implies $\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{U}'f = \mathfrak{U}'\mathfrak{U}f = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi'))$ is a bijective mapping and $\mathfrak{U}^{-1} = \mathfrak{U}' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi'))$. Thus, the statement of the theorem (hence also the statement of Corollary 3.6) are proved in the case of a sequence of polynomials of binomial type.

Step 3. Let now $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a Sheffer sequence and let $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be its basic sequence. We will use the lemma below, which follows from [11], Theorem 6.2, the statement (SS4) with $\omega = 0$, and Corollary 6.6.

Lemma 3.8. *Let sequences of polynomials $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ have generating functions (3.2) and (3.5), respectively. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$S^{(n)}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \theta^{(k)} \odot P^{(n-k)}(\omega), \quad (3.18)$$

$$P^{(n)}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \mathfrak{z}^{(k)} \odot S^{(n-k)}(\omega), \quad (3.19)$$

where $\theta^{(k)}, \mathfrak{z}^{(k)} \in \Phi'^{\odot k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are given through the formulas

$$\frac{1}{\rho(A(\xi))} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle \theta^{(k)}, \xi^{\otimes k} \rangle, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\rho(A(\xi)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathfrak{z}^{(k)}, \xi^{\otimes k} \rangle. \quad (3.21)$$

By Corollary 2.4, there exist $\tau \in T$ and $C_6 \geq 0$ such that the function ρ extends by continuity to a holomorphic function $\rho : U_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where

$$U_\tau := \{\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\tau \mid \|\xi\|_\tau < C_6^{-1}\}$$

is an open neighborhood of zero in \mathcal{H}_τ . Furthermore, by the definition of a quasi-holomorphic formal power series, there exists an open neighborhood of zero in Φ , denoted by V , such that the formal power series $A(\xi)$ determines a holomorphic function $A : V \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\tau$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $A(V) \subset U_\tau$. Hence, the function $\rho(A(\cdot)) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic. Since $A(0) = 0$ and $\rho(0) = 1$, this also implies that $1/\rho(A(\cdot))$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero.

We define a bijective linear mapping $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}} : \mathcal{P}(\Phi') \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Phi')$ by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}\langle P^{(n)}(\omega), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle = \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \quad \varphi^{(n)} \in \Phi^{\odot n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For $\varphi^{(n)} \in \Phi^{\odot n}$ and $\Psi^{(k)} \in \Phi'^{\odot k}$ with $k < n$, we denote by $\langle \Psi^{(k)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle$ the element of $\Phi^{\odot(n-k)}$ that satisfies

$$\langle \Gamma^{(n-k)}, \langle \Psi^{(k)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \rangle = \langle \Gamma^{(n-k)} \odot \Psi^{(k)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \quad \text{for all } \Gamma^{(n-k)} \in \Phi'^{\odot(n-k)}.$$

Let $p(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^N \langle P^{(n)}(\omega), \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\Phi')$. By (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}p(\omega) &= \varphi^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!} \langle P^{(k)}(\omega), \langle \theta^{(n-k)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N n! \langle \theta^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle P^{(k)}(\omega), \sum_{n=k}^N \frac{n!}{k!} \langle \theta^{(n-k)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{\tau, l, \alpha}$ ($\tau \in T$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$) denote the norms on $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ as defined in Corollary 3.6, (ii), but associated with the sequence of polynomials $(P^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$. Let $\tau \in T$ be fixed. In view of (3.20) and Corollary 2.4, without loss of generality we may assume that, for some $C_7 \geq 1$, we have $\|\theta^{(n)}\|_{-\tau} \leq C_7^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3.9),

$$\|\varphi^{(n)}\|_\tau \leq (n!)^{-1/\alpha} 2^{-ln} \|p\|_{\tau, l, \alpha}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Hence, by (3.22), we get for $l, l' \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}p\|_{\tau, l, \alpha} &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^N n! \langle \theta^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \right\| + \sum_{k=1}^N (k!)^{1/\alpha} 2^{lk} \left\| \sum_{n=k}^N \frac{n!}{k!} \langle \theta^{(n-k)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^N n! \|\theta^{(n)}\|_{-\tau} \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_\tau + \sum_{k=1}^N (k!)^{1/\alpha} 2^{lk} \sum_{n=k}^N \frac{n!}{k!} \|\theta^{(n-k)}\|_{-\tau} \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^N C_7^n (n!)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} 2^{-l'n} + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^n (k!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} 2^{lk} C_7^{n-k} (n!)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} 2^{-l'n} \right) \|p\|_{\tau, l', \alpha} \quad (3.23) \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^N C_7^n 2^{-l'n} + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{k!}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} 2^{lk} C_7^{n-k} 2^{-l'n} \right) \|p\|_{\tau, l', \alpha} \\
&\leq C_8 \|p\|_{\tau, l', \alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_8 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{C_7}{2^{l'}}\right)^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \left(\frac{2^l C_7}{2^{l'}}\right)^n < \infty$$

for sufficiently large $l' \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ extends by continuity to $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi'))$.

Step 4. Finally, using formulas (3.19) and (3.21), analogously to Steps 2 and 3, we prove that $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}^{-1}$ extends by continuity to a linear continuous operator on $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi'))$ is a self-homeomorphism, hence so is $\mathfrak{S} = \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}\mathfrak{U}$. \square

Now a natural question arises as to whether it is possible to extend the result of Theorem 3.3 to $\alpha > 1$. The following proposition, which deals with the one-dimensional case only, immediately implies that this not always possible.

Proposition 3.9. (i) *Let $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a Sheffer sequence on \mathbb{C} , and let \mathfrak{S} be the corresponding Sheffer operator. Assume that, for some $\alpha > 1$, \mathfrak{S} extends by continuity to $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C}))$. Denote by*

$$G(u, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} s_n(z) \quad (3.24)$$

the generating function of $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then, for all $(u, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the series on the right-hand side of (3.24) converges in \mathbb{C} , and $G : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an entire function.

(ii) *Let $(p_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of polynomials on \mathbb{C} of binomial type, and let \mathfrak{U} be the corresponding umbral operator. Then, for each $\alpha > 1$, \mathfrak{U} cannot be extended by continuity to $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C}))$, unless $p_n(z) = z^n$ (in which case \mathfrak{U} is the identity operator).*

Proof. (i) Fix $u \in \mathbb{C}$ and define $\exp_u(z) := e^{uz} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} z^n$. Obviously, $\exp_u \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence,

$$(\mathfrak{S} \exp_u)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} s_n(z) = G(u, z), \quad (3.25)$$

and the series converges in $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$, in particular, it converges point-wise. Note that $G(u, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$, in particular, $G(u, \cdot)$ is an entire function on \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, by (3.25), for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $G(\cdot, z)$ is an entire function on \mathbb{C} . It is easy to see that the function $G : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, hence it is entire.

(ii) Assume there exists $\alpha > 1$ such that \mathfrak{U} extends by continuity to $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C}))$. By (i), the corresponding generating function $G(u, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} p_n(z)$ is entire on \mathbb{C}^2 . Recall that $G(u, z) = \exp[za(u)]$. Since $a(u) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} G(u, z)$, $a(u)$ is an entire function on \mathbb{C} . By Remark 3.5 and the continuity of \mathfrak{U} , there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that \mathfrak{U} extends to $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{E}_l^\alpha(\mathbb{C}), \mathbf{E}_0^\alpha(\mathbb{C}))$. Hence, there exists $C_9 > 0$ such that $\|G(u, \cdot)\|_{0, \alpha} \leq C_9 \|\exp_u\|_{l, \alpha}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$ (we obviously dropped τ from the notation $\|\cdot\|_{\tau, l, \alpha}$). Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} |a(u)|^n \leq C_9 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} 2^{ln} |u|^n. \quad (3.26)$$

For $s \in [0, \infty)$, define $\phi(s) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} s^n$. Then, inequality (3.26) becomes

$$\phi(|a(u)|) \leq C_9 \phi(2^l |u|). \quad (3.27)$$

As easily seen, there exists a constant $C_{10} > 0$ such that $C_9 \phi(2^l s) \leq \phi(C_{10} s)$ for all $s \geq 1$. Hence, (3.27) implies

$$\phi(|a(u)|) \leq \phi(C_{10} |u|) \quad \text{for } |u| \geq 1. \quad (3.28)$$

Since the function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is monotone increasing, formula (3.28) implies that $|a(u)| \leq C_{10} |u|$ for $|u| \geq 1$. Because $a(u)$ is an entire function, $a(0) = 0$ and $a'(0) = 1$, this implies that $a(u) = u$. \square

In the case of an Appell sequence, we will now find a sufficient condition for the corresponding Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} to extend by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ for $\alpha > 1$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an Appell sequence with generating function (3.7), and let $\beta \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that there exist $\tau \in T$ and a constant $C_{11} \geq 1$ such that*

$$\|\theta^{(n)}\|_{-\tau} \leq C_{11}^n (n!)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}, \quad \|\rho^{(n)}\|_{-\tau} \leq C_{11}^n (n!)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.29)$$

where $\theta^{(n)}$ and $\rho^{(n)}$ are given by (3.20) (with $A(\xi) = \xi$) and (3.6), respectively. Then, for each $\alpha \in [0, \beta]$, the corresponding Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$.

Remark 3.11. Note that, in the limiting case $\beta = 1$, the estimates (3.29) become $\|\theta^{(n)}\|_{-\tau} \leq C_{11}^n$ and $\|\rho^{(n)}\|_{-\tau} \leq C_{11}^n$, which means that the function $\rho(\cdot)$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero in Φ .

Proof of Theorem 3.10. Note that, when β is increasing, the right-hand side of the inequalities (3.29) is decreasing. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove the statement of the theorem only for the space $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$ when $\alpha = \beta$. To this end, we just need to slightly

modify Steps 3 and 4 of the proof of Theorem 3.3. We will use the notation from that proof. So, analogously to (3.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{S}p\|_{\tau,l,\alpha} &\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^N C_{11}^n (n!)^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} 2^{-l'n} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^n (k!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} 2^{lk} C_{11}^{n-k} ((n-k)!)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} (n!)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} 2^{-l'n} \right) \|p\|_{\tau,l',\alpha} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^N C_{11}^n 2^{-l'n} + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{2^l C_{11}}{2^{l'}} \right)^n \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k}^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) \|p\|_{\tau,l',\alpha} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^N C_{11}^n 2^{-l'n} + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{2^l C_{11}}{2^{l'}} \right)^n \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \right) \|p\|_{\tau,l',\alpha} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^N C_{11}^n 2^{-l'n} + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{2^{l+1} C_{11}}{2^{l'}} \right)^n \right) \|p\|_{\tau,l',\alpha} \\
&= C_{12} \|p\|_{\tau,l',\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{12} < \infty$ for a sufficiently large $l' \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, \mathfrak{S} extends by continuity to $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi'))$. The rest of the proof is similar to Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square

Let us now apply our results to the finite-dimensional setting. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose $\Phi = \mathcal{H}_0 = \Phi' = \mathbb{C}^d$. In particular, the index set T contains only one element. Note also the result from the multivariate complex analysis that is recalled in Remark 3.4. Hence, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.12. (i) *Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Sheffer sequence on \mathbb{C}^d with the generating function (3.5). Assume that there exists U , an open neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{C}^d , such that the functions $A : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\rho : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic. Then, for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the corresponding Sheffer operator $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C}^d)$.*

(ii) *Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ be an Appell sequence on \mathbb{C}^d with generating function (3.7). Assume that there exist $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ and a constant $C_{13} \geq 1$ such that*

$$\|\theta^{(n)}\| \leq C_{13}^n (n!)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}, \quad \|\rho^{(n)}\| \leq C_{13}^n (n!)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.30)$$

where $\theta^{(n)}$ and $\rho^{(n)}$ are given by (3.20) (with $A(\xi) = \xi$) and (3.6), respectively, and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on \mathbb{C}^d . Then, for each $\alpha \in [0, \beta]$, the corresponding Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\mathbb{C}^d)$.

4 Examples

We will now consider some examples of application of our results.

4.1 Hermite polynomials on \mathbb{C}^d

Let $\mathcal{C} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a symmetric, strictly positive linear operator. Let μ denote the centered Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}^d with correlation operator \mathcal{C} :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle \omega, \xi \rangle} d\mu(\omega) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{C}\xi, \xi \rangle \right], \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We extend \mathcal{C} by linearity to a linear operator on \mathbb{C}^d , and consider the entire function $\rho : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\rho(\xi) = \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{C}\xi, \xi \rangle \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the corresponding Appell sequence on \mathbb{C}^d with the generating function (3.7). Then $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of *multivariate Hermite polynomials*. In particular, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $f^{(m)} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\odot m}$, $g^{(n)} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\odot n}$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle S^{(m)}(\omega), f^{(m)} \rangle \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), g^{(n)} \rangle d\mu(\omega) = \delta_{m,n} n! (\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n} f^{(n)}, g^{(n)})_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\odot n}},$$

where $\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker symbol, see e.g. [4, Chapter 2, Section 2.2]

Let $\tilde{\Delta} \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes 2}$ be given by $\langle \tilde{\Delta}, \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{C}\xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{C}^d$. (In fact, $\tilde{\Delta} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes 2} \subset (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes 2}$.) Let $\Delta \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\odot 2}$ denotes the symmetrization of $\tilde{\Delta}$. In particular, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $\langle \Delta, \xi^{\otimes 2} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{C}\xi, \xi \rangle$. Then, by (4.1),

$$\rho(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}, k \text{ even}} \frac{1}{(k/2)! 2^{k/2}} \langle \Delta^{\odot(k/2)}, \xi^{\otimes k} \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}^d, \quad (4.2)$$

and similarly

$$\frac{1}{\rho(\xi)} = 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}, k \text{ even}} \frac{(-1)^{k/2}}{(k/2)! 2^{k/2}} \langle \Delta^{\odot(k/2)}, \xi^{\otimes k} \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}^d. \quad (4.3)$$

It easily follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that the functions $\rho(\xi)$ and $1/\rho(\xi)$ satisfy condition (3.30) with $\beta = 2$. Hence, by Corollary 3.12, (ii), for each $\alpha \in [0, 2]$, the corresponding Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C}^d)$.

4.2 Infinite dimensional Hermite polynomials

The above considerations related to the multivariate Hermite polynomials admit a straightforward generalization to the case of a general Gel'fand triple (2.1). Let $\tau \in T$ and let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{H}_{-\tau, \mathbb{R}})$ be such that, for each $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$, $\xi \neq 0$, we have $\langle \mathcal{C}\xi, \xi \rangle > 0$.

Let μ denote the Gaussian measure on $\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}$ (equipped with the cylinder σ -algebra) with correlation operator \mathcal{C} :

$$\int_{\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}} e^{i\langle \omega, \xi \rangle} d\mu(\omega) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{C}\xi, \xi \rangle \right], \quad \xi \in \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$$

(see e.g. [4, Chapter 2, Section 1.9]). Similarly to Subsection 4.1, we define an entire function $\rho : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by formula (4.1). Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the corresponding Appell sequence on Φ' with generating function (3.7). Then $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of *Hermite polynomials on Φ'* . In particular, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $f^{(m)} \in \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}^{\odot m}$, $g^{(n)} \in \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}^{\odot n}$, one has

$$\int_{\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}}} \langle S^{(m)}(\omega), f^{(m)} \rangle \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), g^{(n)} \rangle d\mu(\omega) = \delta_{m,n} n! \langle \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n} f^{(n)}, g^{(n)} \rangle.$$

Consider the continuous bilinear form $\langle \mathcal{C}\xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$ on \mathcal{H}_{τ}^2 . Let $\tau' \in T$ be such that $\mathcal{H}_{\tau'} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau}$ and the embedding operator is of Hilbert–Schmidt class. Then, by the Kernel Theorem, there exists $\tilde{\Delta} \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}^{\otimes 2}$ satisfying $\langle \tilde{\Delta}, \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{C}\xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau'}$. Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}^{\odot 2}$ denote the symmetrization of $\tilde{\Delta}$. In particular, for all $\xi \in \Phi$, we have $\langle \Delta, \xi^{\otimes 2} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{C}\xi, \xi \rangle$. Hence, analogously to Subsection 4.1, we conclude from Theorem 3.10, that, *for each $\alpha \in [0, 2]$, the corresponding Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\Phi')$* .

For $\alpha = 2$, this result was shown in [4, Chapter 2, Section 5.4] and, in the case of the standard Gaussian measure ($\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{1}$), it is a fundamental result of (Gaussian) white noise analysis, see also [26, 27, 30]. It gives an internal description of the space of test functions whose dual space is called the *space of Hida distributions*. For $\alpha = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{1}$, this result was shown in [20, Theorem 9]. In this case, it gives an inner description of the space of test functions whose dual space is nowadays called the *space of Kondratiev distributions*.

4.3 Lifted Sheffer sequences

Let $\mathcal{H}_{0, \mathbb{R}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$, the real L^2 -space on \mathbb{R}^d , so that $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, dx)$. By the nuclear space Φ we will now understand either \mathcal{D} , the space of all complex-valued smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support, or \mathcal{S} , the Schwartz space of complex-valued rapidly decreasing smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^d .

Let us now briefly recall the construction of lifting of a Sheffer sequence on \mathbb{C} to a Sheffer sequence on $\Phi' = \mathcal{D}'$ or \mathcal{S}' , see [11] for details. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define an operator $\mathbb{D}_k \in \mathcal{L}(\Phi^{\odot k}, \Phi)$ by

$$(\mathbb{D}_k f^{(k)})(x) := f^{(k)}(x, \dots, x), \quad f^{(k)} \in \Phi^{\odot k}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (4.4)$$

(\mathbb{D}_1 being the identity operator on Φ). In particular, for $\xi \in \Phi$, we get $\mathbb{D}_k \xi^{\otimes k} = \xi^k$.

Let $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Sheffer sequence on \mathbb{C} with generating function (1.1). We write the generating function in the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} s_n(z) = \exp [za(u) - c(a(u))],$$

where $c(u) := \log r(u)$. We write $a(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k u^k$ with $a_1 = 1$ and $c(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k u^k$.

Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Sheffer sequence on Φ' . We say that $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is the *lifting of the Sheffer sequence* $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ if the generating function of $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \exp [\langle \omega, A(\xi) \rangle - C(A(\xi))],$$

where

$$A(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \mathbb{D}_k \xi^{\otimes k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \xi^k = a(\xi), \quad (4.5)$$

$$C(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbb{D}_k \xi^{\otimes k})(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi^k(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(\xi(x)) dx. \quad (4.6)$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Sheffer sequence on \mathbb{C} with generating function (1.1). Assume that functions $a(u)$ and $r(u)$ are holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{C} (i.e., the assumption of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied). Let $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ be the lifting of the Sheffer sequence $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ to a Sheffer sequence on $\Phi' = \mathcal{D}'$ or \mathcal{S}' . Then $(S^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.3, and hence, for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the corresponding Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} extends by continuity to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^\alpha(\Phi')$.*

Proof. We will prove the proposition for $\Phi = \mathcal{D}$, the proof for \mathcal{S} being similar. Let T denote the set of all pairs (m, φ) with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For each $\tau = (m, \varphi) \in T$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ the Sobolev space $W^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \varphi(x) dx)$, and let \mathcal{H}_τ be its complexification. We have $\mathcal{D} = \text{projlim}_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_\tau$, see e.g. [6, Chapter 14, Subsec. 4.3].

A straightforward generalization of [7, Theorem 7.1] shows that, for $m \geq d+1$, \mathcal{H}_τ is a Banach algebra under the pointwise multiplication of functions, i.e., for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$, we have $fg \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$, and furthermore there exists $C_{14} > 0$ such that, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$, $\|fg\|_\tau \leq C_{14} \|f\|_\tau \|g\|_\tau$. This, in turn, implies that, for any $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$, we have $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$ and

$$\|f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k\|_\tau \leq C_{14}^{k-1} \|f_1\|_\tau \|f_2\|_\tau \cdots \|f_k\|_\tau.$$

Let $\tau' \in T$ be such that $\mathcal{H}_{\tau'} \subset \mathcal{H}_\tau$ and the embedding operator is of Hilbert-Schmidt class. Then, by the Kernel Theorem and its proof, see [4, Chapter 1, Theorem

2.3], the operator \mathbb{D}_k given by (4.4) extends by continuity to a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\odot k}$ into \mathcal{H}_τ , and furthermore there exists a constant $C_{15} > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{D}_k\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\odot k}, \mathcal{H}_\tau)} \leq C_{15}^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.7)$$

The function $a(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k u^k$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{C} . Hence, there exists a constant $C_{16} > 0$ such that $|a_k| \leq C_{16}^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by (4.7), for each $\tau \in T$, there exist $\tau' \in T$ and a constant $C_{17} > 0$ such that

$$\|a_k \mathbb{D}_k\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\odot k}, \mathcal{H}_\tau)} \leq C_{17}^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (4.5), this implies that the formal power series $A(\xi)$ is quasi-holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero.

Let $b(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k u^k$ be the compositional inverse of $a(u)$. Then

$$B(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \mathbb{D}_k \xi^{\otimes k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \xi^k = b(\xi)$$

is the compositional inverse of $A(\xi)$. Since $b(u)$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero, we analogously conclude that $B(\xi)$ is quasi-holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero.

Finally, since $r(u)$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero and $r(0) = 1$, the function $c(u)$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero. Therefore, the formal power series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \mathbb{D}_k \xi^{\otimes k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \xi^k$ is quasi-holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero. By (4.6), this implies that the function $\rho(\xi) = \exp[C(\xi)]$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of zero. \square

Let us now present some examples of lifted Sheffer sequences that are important for different applications of infinite dimensional analysis, and that satisfy the assumption of Proposition 4.1. For more details and references, see [11].

Example 4.2 (Falling and rising factorials on Φ'). The falling factorials form the sequence of monic polynomials on \mathbb{C} of binomial type that are explicitly given by

$$s_n(z) = (z)_n := z(z-1)(z-2)\cdots(z-n+1).$$

The generating function of the falling factorials is

$$G(z, u) = \exp[z \log(1+u)] = (1+u)^z.$$

The corresponding lifted sequence of polynomials of binomial type on Φ' has the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \exp[\langle \omega, \log(1+\xi) \rangle].$$

Similarly, the rising factorials on \mathbb{C} form the sequence of monic polynomials on \mathbb{C} of binomial type that are explicitly given by

$$s_n(z) = (z)_n := (-1)^n (-z)_n = z(z+1)(z+2)\cdots(z+n-1),$$

and their generating function is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} s_n(z) = \exp[-z \log(1-u)] = (1-u)^{-z}.$$

The corresponding rising factorials on Φ' are of binomial type and have the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \exp[\langle \omega, -\log(1-\xi) \rangle].$$

Remark 4.3. In the theory of point processes, the so-called K -transform is utilized to define the correlation measure of a point process, see e.g. [17]. This operator transforms functions defined on the space of finite configurations into functions defined on the space of infinite configurations in \mathbb{R}^d . In fact, the K -transform can also be understood as the umbral operator \mathfrak{U} corresponding to the falling factorials on Φ' . Under this interpretation, the statement that the umbral operator \mathfrak{U} extends to a linear self-homeomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^1(\Phi')$ becomes a stronger result than [19, Theorem 5.1].

Example 4.4 (Charlier polynomials on Φ'). The classical Charlier polynomials on \mathbb{C} (or rather \mathbb{R}) is a Sheffer sequence with the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} s_n(z) = \exp[z \log(1+u) - u].$$

Its lifting is the sequence of Charlier polynomials on Φ' and it has the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \exp \left[\langle \omega, \log(1+\xi) \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(x) dx \right].$$

These polynomials play a fundamental role in Poisson analysis as they are orthogonal with respect to Poisson random measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Note that the falling factorials on Φ' is the basic sequence for the Charlier polynomials on Φ' .

Example 4.5 (Laguerre polynomials on Φ'). The (monic) Laguerre polynomials on \mathbb{C} (or rather \mathbb{R}), corresponding to a parameter $k \geq -1$, have the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} s_n(z) = \exp \left[\frac{zu}{1+u} \right] (1+u)^{-(k+1)} = \exp \left[\frac{zu}{1+u} - (k+1) \log(1+u) \right].$$

Its lifting gives a sequence of the Laguerre polynomials on Φ' which has the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle S^{(n)}(\omega), \xi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \exp \left[\left\langle \omega, \frac{\xi}{1+\xi} \right\rangle - (k+1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log(1+\xi(x)) dx \right].$$

In particular, for $k = -1$, this sequence is of binomial type. For $k = 0$, these polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the gamma random measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

Remark 4.6. Note that, in view of Proposition 3.9, for all the Sheffer polynomials on \mathbb{C} appearing in Examples 4.2–4.5, the corresponding Sheffer operator \mathfrak{S} cannot be extended to $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C}))$ with $\alpha > 1$.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for valuable comments that improved the manuscript. MJO and LS were supported by the Portuguese national funds through FCT–Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, within the project UID/MAT/04561/2019.

Appendix: Proofs of propositions in Section 2

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let $\tau \in T$. Choose $\tau' \in T$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{\tau'} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau}$ and the embedding operator is of Hilbert–Schmidt class. Since the function $f \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}}: \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is entire, it can be represented in the form $f(\omega) = f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^{(n)}(\omega, \dots, \omega)$. Here, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi^{(n)}: \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a symmetric bounded n -linear form, see [10, Section 3.1]. Obviously, we can identify $\psi^{(1)}$ with $\varphi^{(1)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau'}$, so that $\psi^{(1)}(\omega) = \langle \omega, \varphi^{(1)} \rangle$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}$. For each $n \geq 2$, by using the Kernel Theorem, see e.g. [4, Chapter 1, Theorem 2.3], we conclude that there exists a unique $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^{\otimes n}$ such that

$$\psi^{(n)}(\omega, \dots, \omega) = \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau} \subset \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}.$$

Since $\tau \in T$ was arbitrary, we have that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi^{(n)} \in \bigcap_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{H}_{\tau}^{\otimes n} = \Phi^{\otimes n}$. From here the statement of the proposition follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \mathcal{G} is the complexification of a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and for any $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, we denote $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle := \langle g_1, \overline{g_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Since the function F is holomorphic, by [10, Section 3.1], there exist U' , an open neighborhood of zero in Φ that is a subset of U , and a symmetric continuous n -linear mapping $\Psi^{(n)}: \Phi^n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that, for all $\xi \in U'$, $F(\xi) = F(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi^{(n)}(\xi, \dots, \xi)$, where the series converges uniformly on U' in the \mathcal{G} space. In particular, $\|F(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{G}}$ is bounded on U' . Let $C_{18} := \sup_{\xi \in U'} \|F(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Choose $\tau' \in T$ and $r > 0$ such that $\{\xi \in \Phi \mid \|\xi\|_{\tau'} < 2r\} \subset U'$. Fix any $\xi \in \Phi$ with $\|\xi\|_{\tau'} = r$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Consider the holomorphic function

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 2\} \ni z \mapsto \langle F(z\xi), g \rangle = \langle F(0), g \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \langle \Psi^{(n)}(\xi, \dots, \xi), g \rangle \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By applying Cauchy's Integral Formula on the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$, we get

$$|\langle \Psi^{(n)}(\xi, \dots, \xi), g \rangle| \leq C_{18} \|g\|_{\mathcal{G}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, for all $\xi \in \Phi$ and all $g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$|\langle \Psi^{(n)}(\xi, \dots, \xi), g \rangle| \leq C_{18} \frac{\|\xi\|_{\tau'}^n}{r^n} \|g\|_{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

By using a consequence of the polarization formula on a Hilbert space (see [10, Proposition 1.44]), we conclude from (A.1) that, for any $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Phi$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$|\langle \Psi^{(n)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), g \rangle| \leq C_{18} \frac{1}{r^n} \|\xi_1\|_{\tau'} \cdots \|\xi_n\|_{\tau'} \|g\|_{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In particular, formula (A.2) implies that $\langle \Psi^{(n)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), g \rangle$ extends to a bounded $(n+1)$ -linear form on $\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}^n \times \mathcal{G}$ that is symmetric in the first n variables.

Let $\tau \in T$ be such that $\mathcal{H}_{\tau} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau'}$ and the embedding operator is of Hilbert–Schmidt class. By using the Kernel Theorem, we conclude that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\Theta^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau}^{\circ n} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ such that, for all $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Phi$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\langle \Psi^{(n)}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), g \rangle = \langle \Theta^{(n)}, \xi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \xi_n \otimes g \rangle.$$

Furthermore, it follows from (A.2) and the proof of the Kernel Theorem that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\Theta^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}^{\circ n} \otimes \mathcal{G}} \leq C_{18} \frac{c_{\tau, \tau'}^n}{r^n}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $c_{\tau, \tau'}$ is the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the operator of embedding of \mathcal{H}_{τ} into $\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}$.

For each $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau}^{\circ n}$, we denote by $\langle \Theta^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle$ the element of \mathcal{G} that satisfies, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\langle \langle \Theta^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle, g \rangle = \langle \Theta^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \otimes g \rangle. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau}^{\circ n}, \mathcal{G})$ by the formula $A_n \varphi^{(n)} := \langle \Theta^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle$ for $\varphi^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau}^{\circ n}$. By (A.3), and (A.4),

$$\|A_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau}^{\circ n}, \mathcal{G})} \leq C_{18} \frac{c_{\tau, \tau'}^n}{r^n}.$$

From here the statement of the proposition follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.8. We start with

Lemma A.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $\tau \in T$, and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then there exists $l' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathbf{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}) \subset \mathcal{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$, the embedding operator is continuous and for all $f \in \mathbf{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$*

$$\mathbf{n}_{\tau,l,\alpha}(f) \leq \|f\|_{\tau,l',\alpha}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Proof. Let $f(\omega)$ be of the form (2.2). Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Furthermore, we assume $q \geq \alpha$. By, using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\omega)| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\omega\|_{-\tau}^n \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_{\tau} \frac{2^{rn}(n!)^{1/\alpha}}{2^{rn}(n!)^{1/\alpha}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_{\tau}^p 2^{rnp} (n!)^{p/\alpha} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\|\omega\|_{-\tau}^{n\alpha} \frac{1}{2^{rn\alpha}} \frac{1}{n!} \right)^{q/\alpha} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_{\tau} 2^{rn} (n!)^{1/\alpha} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\omega\|_{-\tau}^{n\alpha} \frac{1}{2^{rn\alpha}} \frac{1}{n!} \right)^{1/\alpha} \\ &= \|f\|_{\tau,r,\alpha} \exp \left[\frac{1}{\alpha 2^{r\alpha}} \|\omega\|_{-\tau}^\alpha \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

For the given $\alpha > 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, choose $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^l \leq \alpha 2^{r\alpha}$. Let $l' := r$. Then, by (2.4) and (A.6), formula (A.5) holds. \square

Lemma A.2. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $\tau \in T$, and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $\tau' \in T$ be such that the operator of embedding of $\mathcal{H}_{\tau'}$ into \mathcal{H}_{τ} is of Hilbert–Schmidt class. Then there exists $l' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathcal{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}) \subset \mathbf{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$, and the embedding operator is continuous, i.e., there exists $C_{19} > 0$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau'})$,*

$$\|f\|_{\tau,l,\alpha} \leq C_{19} \mathbf{n}_{\tau',l',\alpha}(f). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Remark A.3. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\tau'} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau}$, we get $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau} \subset \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}$. So Lemma A.2 states, in particular, that each function from $\mathcal{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau'})$ restricted to $\mathcal{H}_{-\tau}$ belongs to $\mathbf{E}_l^\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{-\tau})$.

Proof of Lemma A.2. The proof is partially similar to the proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $f : \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function and let f be of the form (2.2). For a fixed $\omega \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}$, $\|\omega\|_{-\tau'} = 1$, consider the entire function $\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto f(z\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle$. By applying Cauchy's Integral Formula on the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \rho_n\}$ with $\rho_n > 0$, and using (2.4), we obtain

$$|\langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{\rho_n^n} \mathbf{n}_{\tau',l',\alpha}(f) \exp(2^{-l'} \rho_n^\alpha), \quad l' \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Hence, for all $\omega \in \mathcal{H}_{-\tau'}$,

$$|\langle \omega^{\otimes n}, \varphi^{(n)} \rangle| \leq \frac{\|\omega\|_{-\tau'}^n}{\rho_n^n} \mathbf{n}_{\tau',l',\alpha}(f) \exp(2^{-l'} \rho_n^\alpha), \quad l' \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

By using a consequence of the polarization formula on a Hilbert space and the Kernel Theorem, we then get

$$\|\varphi^{(n)}\|_\tau \leq \frac{c_{\tau',\tau}^n}{\rho_n^n} \mathbf{n}_{\tau',l',\alpha}(f) \exp(2^{-l'} \rho_n^\alpha), \quad l' \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Choosing $\rho_n = (2^{l'} n/\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$ and using the estimate $n! \leq n^n$, we get from (A.8)

$$\|\varphi^{(n)}\|_\tau \leq \frac{1}{(n!)^{1/\alpha}} \left(\frac{(\alpha e)^{1/\alpha} c_{\tau',\tau}}{2^{l'/\alpha}} \right)^n \mathbf{n}_{\tau',l',\alpha}(f).$$

From here we easily conclude that inequality (A.7) holds for a sufficiently large l' . \square

Finally, the statement of Theorem 2.8 follows from Lemmas A.1 and A.2. \square

References

- [1] Albeverio, S., Daletsky, Y.L., Kondratiev, Y.G., Streit, L.: Non-Gaussian infinite-dimensional analysis. *J. Funct. Anal.* 138 (1996), 311–350.
- [2] Barnabei, M., Brini, A., Nicoletti, G.: A general umbral calculus in infinitely many variables. *Adv. Math.* 50 (1983), 49–93.
- [3] Becnel, J.J.: Equivalence of topologies and Borel fields for countably-Hilbert spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134 (2006), 581–590.
- [4] Berezansky, Y.M., Kondratiev, Y.G.: Spectral methods in infinite-dimensional analysis. Vol. 1. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995 (translated from the 1988 Russian original).
- [5] Berezansky, Y.M., Kondratiev, Y.G., Kuna, T., Lytvynov, E.: On a spectral representation for correlation measures in configuration space analysis. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* 5 (1999), no. 4, 87–100.
- [6] Berezansky, Y.M., Sheftel, Z.G., Us, G.F.: Functional analysis. Vol. II. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [7] Berezansky, Yu.M., Tesko, V.A.: An orthogonal approach to the construction of the theory of generalized functions of an infinite number of variables, and Poissonian white noise analysis. *Ukrainian Math. J.* 56 (2004), 1885–1914
- [8] Brown, J.: On multivariable Sheffer sequences. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 69 (1979), 398–410.

- [9] Di Bucchianico, A., Loeb, D., Rota, G.-C.: Umbral calculus in Hilbert space. In: *Mathematical essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota* (Cambridge, MA, 1996), *Progr. Math.*, 161, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998, pp. 213–238.
- [10] Dineen, S.: *Complex analysis on infinite-dimensional spaces*. Springer, London, 1999.
- [11] Finkelshtein, F., Kondratiev, Y., Lytvynov, E., Oliveira, M.J.: An infinite dimensional umbral calculus. *J. Funct. Anal.* 276 (2019), 3714–3766.
- [12] Grabiner, S.: Convergent expansions and bounded operators in the umbral calculus. *Adv. in Math.* 72 (1988), 132–167.
- [13] Hida, T., Kuo, H.-H., Potthoff, J., Streit, L.: *White noise. An infinite dimensional calculus*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [14] Holden, H., Øksendal, B., Ubøe, J., Zhang, T.: *Stochastic partial differential equations. A modeling, white noise functional approach*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [15] Ito, Y., Kubo, I.: Calculus on Gaussian and Poisson white noises. *Nagoya Math. J.* 111 (1988), 41–84.
- [16] Kondratiev, Y.G.: *Generalized functions in problems of infinite dimensional analysis*. PhD thesis, Kiev, 1978.
- [17] Kondratiev, Y.G., Kuna, T.: Harmonic analysis on configuration space. I. General theory. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 5 (2002), 201–233.
- [18] Kondratiev, Y.G., Kuna, T., Oliveira, M.J.: Analytic aspects of Poissonian white noise analysis. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* 8 (2002), no. 4, 15–48.
- [19] Kondratiev, Y.G., Kuna, T., Oliveira, M.J.: On the relations between Poissonian white noise analysis and harmonic analysis on configuration spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* 213 (2004), 1–30.
- [20] Kondratiev, Y.G., Leukert, P., Streit, L.: Wick calculus in Gaussian analysis. *Acta Appl. Math.* 44 (1996), 269–294.
- [21] Kondratiev, Y.G., Lytvynov, E.W.: Operators of gamma white noise calculus. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 3 (2000), 303–335.
- [22] Kondratiev, Y.G., da Silva, J.L., Streit, L., Us, G.F.: Analysis on Poisson and gamma spaces. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 1 (1998), 91–117.

- [23] Kondratiev, Y.G., Streit, L., Westerkamp, W., Yan, J.: Generalized functions in infinite-dimensional analysis. *Hiroshima Math. J.* 28 (1998), 213–260.
- [24] Kubo, I., Yokoi, Y.: A remark on the space of testing random variables in the white noise calculus. *Nagoya Math. J.* 115 (1989), 139–149.
- [25] Kung, J.P.S., Rota, G.-C., Yan, C.H.: *Combinatorics: the Rota way*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [26] Kuo, H.-H., Potthoff, J., Streit, L.: A characterization of white noise test functionals. *Nagoya Math. J.* 121 (1991), 185–194.
- [27] Lee, Y.-J.: Analytic version of test functionals, Fourier transform, and a characterization of measures in white noise calculus. *J. Funct. Anal.* 100 (1991), 359–380.
- [28] Lytvynov, E.: Polynomials of Meixner’s type in infinite dimensions—Jacobi fields and orthogonality measures. *J. Funct. Anal.* 200 (2003), 118–149.
- [29] Lytvynov, E.: Orthogonal decompositions for Lévy processes with an application to the gamma, Pascal, and Meixner processes. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 6 (2003), 73–102.
- [30] Meyer, P.-A., Yan, J.A.: Les ”fonctions caractéristiques” des distributions sur l’espace de Wiener. *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXV*, 61–78, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1485, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [31] Parrish, C.: Multivariate umbral calculus. *J. Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 6 (1978), 93–109.
- [32] Reiner, D.: Multivariate sequences of binomial type. *Stud. Appl. Math.* 57 (1977), 119–133.
- [33] Roman, S.: The algebra of formal series. III. Several variables. *J. Approx. Theory* 26 (1979), 340–381.
- [34] Roman, S.: *The umbral calculus*. Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [35] Schaefer, H.H., Wolff, M.P.: *Topological vector spaces*. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [36] Ueno, K.: General power umbral calculus in several variables. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 59 (1989), 299–308.