

A bijection between self-conjugate and ordinary partitions and counting simultaneous cores as its application

Hyunsoo Cho^a, JiSun Huh^{b,*}, Jaebum Sohn^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Ajou University, Suwon 16499, Republic of Korea


Abstract

We give a bijection between the set of self-conjugate partitions and that of ordinary partitions. Also, we show the relation between hook lengths of self conjugate partition and corresponding partition via the bijection. As a corollary, we give new combinatorial interpretations for the Catalan number and the Motzkin number in terms of self-conjugate simultaneous core partitions.

Keywords: partition, self-conjugate partition, hook length, simultaneous core partition

1. Introduction

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ be a partition of n . The *Young diagram* of λ is a collection of n boxes in ℓ rows with λ_i boxes in row i . We label the columns of the diagram from left to right starting with column 1. The box in row i and column j is said to be in position (i, j) . For example, the Young diagram for $\lambda = (5, 4, 2, 1)$ is below.



For the Young diagram of λ , the partition $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_{\lambda_1})$ is called the *conjugate* of λ , where λ'_j denotes the number of boxes in column j . For each box in its Young diagram, we define its *hook length* by counting the number of boxes directly to its right or below, including the box itself. Equivalently, for the box in position (i, j) , the hook length of λ is defined by

$$h_\lambda(i, j) = \lambda_i + \lambda'_j - i - j + 1.$$

For example, hook lengths in the first row above are 8, 6, 4, 3, and 1, respectively. We denote $h_\lambda(i, j)$ by $h(i, j)$ when λ is clear.

For a positive integer t , a partition λ is called a t -*core* if none of its hook lengths are multiples of t . The number of t -core partitions of n is denoted by $c_t(n)$. The study of core partitions arises from the representation theory of the symmetric group S_n . (See [13] for details)

In [13], we can find the generating function of $c_t(n)$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_t(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{nt})^t}{1 - q^n}. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, the generating function of $c_t(n)$ can be represented as the product of the Dedekind eta function. Therefore, many researches on core partitions are being made through various ways, such as representation theory and

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: coconut@yonsei.ac.kr (Hyunsoo Cho), hyunyjia@ajou.ac.kr (JiSun Huh), jsohn@yonsei.ac.kr (Jaebum Sohn)

analytic methods—see, for example, [7, 8, 10, 12, 15, 16]. Stanton [17] conjectured the monotonicity of $c_t(n)$, that is, $c_{t+1}(n) \geq c_t(n)$ for $t \geq 4$ and $n \geq t + 1$. Motivated by this conjecture, Anderson [4], Kim and Rouse [14] found the asymptotics of $c_t(n)$ and proved Stanton’s conjecture partially.

A partition whose conjugate is equal to itself is called *self-conjugate*. Let $sc_t(n)$ denote the number of t -core partitions of n which are self-conjugate. Garvan, Kim, and Stanton [9] obtained the generating function of $sc_t(n)$. Among them, the generating function of $sc_{2t}(n)$ is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} sc_{2t}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{4nt})^t (1 + q^{2n-1}). \quad (2)$$

A number of properties of self-conjugate core partitions have been found and proved. (See, [5, 6]) Similarly, monotonicity conjecture on $sc_t(n)$ has been suggested in [11] and asymptotics are provided in [1].

The main result in this paper is to construct a bijection between the set $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ of self-conjugate partitions with some restrictions and the set \mathcal{P} of ordinary partitions which will be defined and explained in Sections 2 and 3.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a bijection between $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ and \mathcal{P} .*

If we let $p(n)$ be the number of partitions of n and $sc(n)$ be the number of self-conjugate partitions of n , then as a result of our main theorem we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *For $|q| < 1$,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} sc(n)q^n = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^{4n} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \right).$$

Moreover, from the bijection, we can find a relation between hook lengths of self-conjugate partition and that of corresponding partition. (See Theorem 4.4) As an application of this relation, we can prove the following theorem. We use the notation of a (t_1, \dots, t_p) -core partition if it is simultaneously a t_1 -core, \dots , and a t_p -core.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $c_{(t_1, \dots, t_p)}(n)$ be the number of (t_1, \dots, t_p) -core partitions of n and $sc_{(t_1, \dots, t_p)}(n)$ be the number of self-conjugate (t_1, \dots, t_p) -core partitions of n . For $|q| < 1$,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} sc_{(2t_1, \dots, 2t_p)}(n)q^n = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{(t_1, \dots, t_p)}(n)q^{4n} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \right).$$

A special case of $p = 1$ is the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *For $|q| < 1$,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} sc_{2t}(n)q^n = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_t(n)q^{4n} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \right).$$

We remark that Corollary 1.2 and Corollary 1.4 can also be proved by analytic method using equations (1), (2), and Gauss’s well-known identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{2n}}{1 - q^{2n-1}}.$$

However, it might be difficult to prove Theorem 1.3 by analytic approach.

At the end of this paper, a new expression of the Catalan number and the Motzkin number in terms of self-conjugate simultaneous core partitions is given (See Corollary 4.11) as a corollary of Theorem 1.3.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce new classification of the set of self-conjugate partitions. In Section 3, we give a bijection between the set of self-conjugate partitions and that of ordinary partitions. In Section 4, we explain the relation between even hook lengths in a self-conjugate partition and hook lengths in the corresponding partition via the bijection. Furthermore, we give some new results of the number of self-conjugate simultaneous core partitions.

2. Preliminaries

We give some basic notions and introduce a set partition of the set \mathcal{SC} of self-conjugate partitions. For a number a , $|a|$ is the absolute value, for the set A , $|A|$ is the cardinality of the set, and for a partition λ , $|\lambda|$ is the number of being partitioned.

2.1. Main diagonal hook lengths

Let λ be a partition. We often use the notation δ_i for the hook length $h(i, i)$ of the i th box on the main diagonal. The set $D(\lambda) = \{\delta_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is called the *set of main diagonal hook lengths of λ* . It is clear that if λ is self-conjugate, then $D(\lambda)$ determines λ , and elements of $D(\lambda)$ are all distinct and odd. Hence, for a self-conjugate partition λ , $D(\lambda)$ can be divided into the following two subsets;

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\lambda) &= \{\delta_i \in D(\lambda) : \delta_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}, \\ D_3(\lambda) &= \{\delta_i \in D(\lambda) : \delta_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.1. Let $\lambda = (4, 4, 4, 3)$ be a self-conjugate partition of 15. Figure 1 shows the Young diagram and hook lengths of λ . The set $D(\lambda) = \{7, 5, 3\}$ of main diagonal hook lengths is divided into $D_1(\lambda) = \{5\}$ and $D_3(\lambda) = \{7, 3\}$.

7	6	5	3
6	5	4	2
5	4	3	1
3	2	1	

Figure 1: The Young diagram of a self-conjugate partition and its hook lengths

The set of hook lengths of boxes in the first column of the Young diagram of λ is called the *beta-set* of λ and denoted by $\beta(\lambda)$. If λ' is the conjugate partition of λ , then the $\beta(\lambda')$ is the set of hook lengths of boxes in the first row of the Young diagram of λ . One may notice that if λ is self-conjugate, then $\beta(\lambda) = \beta(\lambda')$. The following lemma plays an important role when we deal with hook lengths.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ be a self-conjugate partition with $D(\lambda) = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_d\}$. If we let

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\leq d}(\lambda) &= \{h(i, 1) : i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}, \\ \beta_{> d}(\lambda) &= \{h(i, 1) : i = d + 1, d + 2, \dots, \lambda_1\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\beta(\lambda) = \beta_{\leq d}(\lambda) \cup \beta_{> d}(\lambda)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\leq d}(\lambda) &= \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_d}{2} \right\}, \\ \beta_{> d}(\lambda) &= \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 - 1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 - 3}{2}, \dots, 1 \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_d}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_{d-1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_2}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We consider the set $\beta_{\leq d}(\lambda)$ first. Since λ is self-conjugate, $\delta_i = 2\lambda_i - 2i + 1$ for $i \leq d$. Hence,

$$h(i, 1) = \lambda_i + \lambda_1 - i = \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_i}{2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Now, we consider the set $\beta_{> d}(\lambda)$. If λ has exactly d parts, then one can notice that $\beta_{> d}(\lambda)$ must be an empty set. Since λ is the partition of d^2 with $\lambda_i = d$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, we have $\delta_d = 1$, $\delta_{d-1} = 3$, \dots , $\delta_2 = \delta_1 - 2$, and therefore,

$$\beta_{> d}(\lambda) = \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 - 1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 - 3}{2}, \dots, 1 \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_d}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_{d-1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_2}{2} \right\} = \emptyset.$$

If λ has more than d elements, then

$$h(d+1, 1) = \lambda_{d+1} + \lambda_1 - (d+1) \leq d + \lambda_1 - (d+1) = \lambda_1 - 1 = \frac{\delta_1 - 1}{2}.$$

Since $h(d+1, 1)$ is the largest element of $\beta_{>d}(\lambda)$, the set $\beta_{>d}(\lambda)$ is a subset of $\left\{\frac{\delta_1-1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1-3}{2}, \dots, 1\right\}$. If we suppose that $\frac{\delta_1-\delta_j}{2}$ is an element of $\beta_{>d}(\lambda)$ for some $j \leq d$, then for some $i \in \{d+1, d+2, \dots, \lambda_1\}$,

$$h(i, 1) - \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_j}{2} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_i - i) - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j + j - 1) = \lambda_i + \lambda_j - i - j + 1 = 0.$$

But $\lambda_i + \lambda_j - i - j + 1$ is the hook length $h(i, j)$, so it should be nonzero even if the box (i, j) is not in the Young diagram of λ . Hence, we have come to the conclusion that $\frac{\delta_1-\delta_j}{2} \notin \beta_{>d}(\lambda)$ for all $j \leq d$ and

$$\beta_{>d}(\lambda) \subset \left\{\frac{\delta_1-1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1-3}{2}, \dots, 1\right\} - \left\{\frac{\delta_1-\delta_d}{2}, \frac{\delta_1-\delta_{d-1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_1-\delta_2}{2}\right\}.$$

In fact, $\beta_{>d}(\lambda) = \left\{\frac{\delta_1-1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1-3}{2}, \dots, 1\right\} - \left\{\frac{\delta_1-\delta_d}{2}, \frac{\delta_1-\delta_{d-1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_1-\delta_2}{2}\right\}$ since $|\beta_{>d}(\lambda)| = \lambda_1 - d$. \square

2.2. Self-conjugate partitions with same disparity

We denote the set of self-conjugate partitions of n by $\mathcal{SC}(n)$. Using the value $|D_1(\lambda)| - |D_3(\lambda)|$ of $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}(n)$, we split $\mathcal{SC}(n)$ as follows: For nonnegative integers m and n , we define a set $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(n)$ by

$$\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(n) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}(n) : |D_1(\lambda)| - |D_3(\lambda)| = (-1)^{m+1} \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil\},$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ is the least integer greater than or equal to x . We note that for a self-conjugate partition λ , if $|D_1(\lambda)| - |D_3(\lambda)| = k$ for $k \geq 1$, then $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(2k-1)}(n)$. Otherwise, if $|D_1(\lambda)| - |D_3(\lambda)| = -k$ for $k \geq 0$, then $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(2k)}(n)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{SC}(n) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(n)$.

We use the notation $sc^{(m)}(n)$ for $|\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(n)|$. If we let $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(n)$, then $\mathcal{SC} = \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$.

For a partition λ , we define the *disparity* of λ by

$$\text{dp}(\lambda) = |\{(i, j) \in \lambda : h(i, j) \text{ is odd}\}| - |\{(i, j) \in \lambda : h(i, j) \text{ is even}\}|.$$

For example, for the partition $\lambda = (4, 4, 4, 3)$ given in Example 2.1, $|D_1(\lambda)| - |D_3(\lambda)| = -1$. Therefore, λ is an element of $\mathcal{SC}^{(2)}(15)$ with $\text{dp}(\lambda) = 9 - 6 = 3$.

In the following proposition, we show that each element of the set $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(n)$ has the same disparity.

Proposition 2.3. *For a nonnegative integer m , if λ is in the set $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$, then its disparity $\text{dp}(\lambda)$ is $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ be a self-conjugate partition with $D(\lambda) = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_d\}$.

First, we focus on d^2 hook lengths $h(i, j)$ of λ for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. Since $\delta_i = 2\lambda_i - 2i + 1$ for $i \leq d$,

$$h(i, j) = \lambda_i + \lambda_j - i - j + 1 = \frac{\delta_i + \delta_j}{2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

Thus, among these d^2 hook lengths, there are $2|D_1(\lambda)||D_3(\lambda)|$ even numbers, and then

$$|\{h(i, j): \text{odd} : 1 \leq i, j \leq d\}| - |\{h(i, j): \text{even} : 1 \leq i, j \leq d\}| = d^2 - 4|D_1(\lambda)||D_3(\lambda)|.$$

Now, we consider the multiset $H(\lambda)$ of hook lengths $h(i, j)$ of λ for $i > d$ and $j \leq d$. If we let

$$H_j(\lambda) = \{h(i, j) : d+1 \leq i \leq \lambda_j\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq d,$$

then $H(\lambda) = \bigcup_{j=1}^d H_j(\lambda)$. We note that $H_1(\lambda)$ is the set $\beta_{>d}(\lambda)$, $H_2(\lambda)$ is the set $\beta_{>d-1}(\bar{\lambda})$, where $\bar{\lambda}$ is the self-conjugate partition with $D(\bar{\lambda}) = \{\delta_2, \delta_3, \dots, \delta_d\}$, and $H_3(\lambda), \dots, H_d(\lambda)$ are defined similarly. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(\lambda) &= \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 - 1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 - 3}{2}, \dots, 1 \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_d}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_{d-1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_2}{2} \right\}, \\ H_2(\lambda) &= \left\{ \frac{\delta_2 - 1}{2}, \frac{\delta_2 - 3}{2}, \dots, 1 \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_d}{2}, \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_{d-1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_2 - \delta_3}{2} \right\}, \\ &\vdots \\ H_d(\lambda) &= \left\{ \frac{\delta_d - 1}{2}, \frac{\delta_d - 3}{2}, \dots, 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can rewrite the multiset $H(\lambda)$ as follows.

$$H(\lambda) = \bigcup_{k=1}^d \left\{ \frac{\delta_k - 1}{2}, \frac{\delta_k - 3}{2}, \dots, 1 \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\delta_i - \delta_j}{2} : 1 \leq i < j \leq d \right\}.$$

We note that depend on whether $\delta_k \in D_1(\lambda)$ or $\delta_k \in D_3(\lambda)$, the number of odd elements is same as the number of even elements, or we have one more odd element in the set $\{\frac{\delta_k-1}{2}, \frac{\delta_k-3}{2}, \dots, 1\}$.

Since there are $|D_1(\lambda)||D_3(\lambda)|$ odd elements in the multiset $\{\frac{\delta_i-\delta_j}{2} : 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}$, we can conclude that among the hook lengths $h(i, j)$ with $i > d$ and $j \leq d$,

$$|\{h(i, j) : \text{odd}\}| - |\{h(i, j) : \text{even}\}| = |D_3(\lambda)| - \left\{ |D_1(\lambda)||D_3(\lambda)| - \binom{d}{2} - |D_1(\lambda)||D_3(\lambda)| \right\}.$$

Since λ is self-conjugate, the multiset of hook lengths $h(i, j)$ with $i \leq d$ and $j > d$ is equal to the set $H(\lambda)$. Hence, the value $|\{h(i, j) : \text{odd}\}| - |\{h(i, j) : \text{even}\}|$ is equal to that one in the case when $i > d$ and $j \leq d$. By combining all of these, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dp}(\lambda) &= (d^2 - 4|D_1(\lambda)||D_3(\lambda)|) + 2 \left(|D_3(\lambda)| + \binom{d}{2} - 2|D_1(\lambda)||D_3(\lambda)| \right) \\ &= 2d^2 - d + 2|D_3(\lambda)| - 8|D_1(\lambda)||D_3(\lambda)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider two cases:

- Let $m = 2k - 1$ for a positive integer k . By the definition of $\text{SC}^{(m)}$, $|D_1(\lambda)| - |D_3(\lambda)| = k$. Since $|D_1(\lambda)| + |D_3(\lambda)| = d$, we have $|D_1(\lambda)| = \frac{d+k}{2}$, $|D_3(\lambda)| = \frac{d-k}{2}$, and

$$\text{dp}(\lambda) = 2d^2 - d + (d - k) - 2(d^2 - k^2) = 2k^2 - k = \frac{2k(2k - 1)}{2} = \frac{(m + 1)m}{2}.$$

- Let $m = 2k$ for a nonnegative integer k . In this case, $|D_1(\lambda)| - |D_3(\lambda)| = -k$. Hence, we have $|D_1(\lambda)| = \frac{d-k}{2}$, $|D_3(\lambda)| = \frac{d+k}{2}$, and

$$\text{dp}(\lambda) = 2d^2 - d + (d + k) - 2(d^2 - k^2) = 2k^2 + k = \frac{2k(2k + 1)}{2} = \frac{m(m + 1)}{2}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

By Proposition 2.3, one may notice that the disparity of a self-conjugate partition is a triangular number $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ for some integer $m \geq 0$, and the set of self-conjugate partitions with the disparity $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ is $\text{SC}^{(m)}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The set of partitions of n is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(n)$, and the set of partitions is denoted by \mathcal{P} . In this section, we construct a bijection between two sets $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(4n + m(m + 1)/2)$ and $\mathcal{P}(n)$ which play a key role throughout the paper.

Before constructing a bijection, we give some notations. For a self-conjugate partition λ , if

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\lambda) &= \{4a_1 + 1, 4a_2 + 1, \dots, 4a_r + 1\}, \\ D_3(\lambda) &= \{4b_1 - 1, 4b_2 - 1, \dots, 4b_s - 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

we say that λ has the *diagonal sequence pair* $((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s))$, where $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_r \geq 0$ and $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_s \geq 1$. For convenience, we allow empty sequence if r or s is equal to 0.

For $\lambda = (4, 4, 4, 3) \in \mathcal{SC}^{(2)}(15)$ considered in Example 2.1, its diagonal sequence pair is $((1), (2, 1))$.

We note that if $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(4n + m(m + 1)/2)$ has the diagonal sequence pair $((a_1, \dots, a_r), (b_1, \dots, b_s))$, then

$$r - s + (-1)^m \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil = 0$$

and

$$4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i + \sum_{j=1}^s b_j \right) + r - s = 4n + \frac{m(m + 1)}{2}.$$

Now, we are ready to construct our mapping.

Mapping $\phi_n^{(m)} : \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(4n + m(m + 1)/2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}(4n + m(m + 1)/2)$ with the diagonal sequence pair $((a_1, \dots, a_r), (b_1, \dots, b_s))$. We define $\phi_n^{(m)}(\lambda)$ by the partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ such that

$$\mu_i = a_i + i + s - r \quad \text{for } i \leq r,$$

and $(\mu_{r+1}, \mu_{r+2}, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ is the conjugate of the partition $\gamma = (b_1 - s, b_2 - s + 1, \dots, b_s - 1)$. (We allow that γ has some zero parts.)

In the figure below, the diagram after deleting the gray portion is the Young diagram of μ .

Now, we show that the mapping $\phi_n^{(m)}$ is well-defined:

Since $\mu_i = a_i + i + s - r$ for $i \leq r$, $\mu_r = a_r + r + s - r = a_r + s$. From $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_r \geq 0$, we have $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_r \geq s$. Since γ has at most s parts, $\mu_{r+1} \leq s$. Therefore, μ is a partition of

$$|\mu| = \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i + i + s - r) + \sum_{j=1}^s (b_j - s + j - 1).$$

- If $m = 2k - 1$, then $r - s = k$ and

$$|\mu| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i + \sum_{j=1}^s b_j \right) - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} = \frac{4n + 2k^2 - 2k}{4} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} = n.$$

- If $m = 2k$, then $r - s = -k$ and

$$|\mu| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i + \sum_{j=1}^s b_j \right) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} = \frac{4n + 2k^2 + 2k}{4} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} = n.$$




Figure 2: Graphical interpretations of mapping $\phi_n^{(m)}$

Theorem 3.1. For nonnegative integers m and n , the mapping $\phi_n^{(m)}$ is bijective.

Proof. We prove the theorem by constructing the inverse mapping $\psi_n^{(m)}$. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$.

- For $m = 2k - 1$, there exist a unique $s \geq 0$ such that $\mu_{s+k} \geq s$ and $\mu_{s+k+1} \leq s$. Let $r = s + k$.
- For $m = 2k$, there exist a unique $r \geq 0$ such that $\mu_r \geq r + k$ and $\mu_{r+1} \leq r + k$. Let $s = r + k$.

For any m , let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_s)$ be the conjugate of the partition $(\mu_{r+1}, \dots, \mu_\ell)$. Now, we define $\psi_n^{(m)}(\mu)$ by the partition λ with the diagonal sequence pair $((a_1, \dots, a_r), (b_1, \dots, b_s))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \mu_i - i - s + r & \text{for } i \leq r, \\ b_j &= \gamma_j + s - j + 1 & \text{for } j \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\psi_n^{(m)}$ is well-defined. Moreover, one can see that $\phi_n^{(m)}(\psi_n^{(m)}(\mu)) = \mu$ and $\psi_n^{(m)}(\phi_n^{(m)}(\lambda)) = \lambda$. Therefore, $\psi_n^{(m)}$ is the inverse of the mapping $\phi_n^{(m)}$. \square

We define the bijection $\phi^{(m)} : \mathcal{SC}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by $\phi^{(m)}(\lambda)$, for a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ of $4n + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$. We say that μ is the *corresponding partition* of λ when $\phi^{(m)}(\lambda) = \mu$.

We give two examples of the bijection $\phi^{(m)}$.

Example 3.2. We consider two self-conjugate partitions λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ with the set of main diagonal hook lengths $D(\lambda) = \{21, 15, 13, 9, 3, 1\}$ and $D(\tilde{\lambda}) = \{31, 19, 11, 5\}$, respectively.

- Since $D_1(\lambda) = \{21, 13, 9, 1\}$ and $D_3(\lambda) = \{15, 3\}$, λ is in the set $\mathcal{SC}^{(3)}$ and $((5, 3, 2, 0), (4, 1))$ is the diagonal sequence pair of λ . If we let μ be the partition $\phi_{14}^{(3)}(\lambda)$, then

$$\mu_1 = 5 + 1 - 2 = 4, \quad \mu_2 = 3 + 2 - 2 = 3, \quad \mu_3 = 2 + 3 - 2 = 3, \quad \mu_4 = 0 + 4 - 2 = 2$$

and (μ_5, μ_6, \dots) is the conjugate of the partition $(4 - 2, 1 - 2 + 1)$. Therefore, $\mu = (4, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$.

- Since $D_1(\tilde{\lambda}) = \{5\}$ and $D_3(\tilde{\lambda}) = \{31, 19, 11\}$, $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathcal{SC}^{(4)}$ and $((1), (8, 5, 3))$ is the diagonal sequence pair of $\tilde{\lambda}$. If we let $\tilde{\mu}$ be the partition $\phi_{14}^{(4)}(\tilde{\lambda})$, then $\mu_1 = 1 + 1 + 2 = 4$ and (μ_2, μ_3, \dots) is the conjugate of the partition $(8 - 3, 5 - 3 + 1, 3 - 3 + 2)$. Therefore, $\tilde{\mu} = (4, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$.

For given $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ and $m \geq 0$, we consider the following diagram to find the correspondence of μ . For convenience, even if $i \leq 0$, we set the i th column is the column on the left side of the $(i + 1)$ st column and the i th row is on the above of the $(i + 1)$ st row.

- For $m = 2k - 1$, we consider the diagram ν obtained from the Young diagram of μ by attaching $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ boxes on the left side such that ν has $\mu_i + k - i$ boxes in row i for $i < k$ and μ_i boxes in row i for $i \geq k$. Then, the number of (white) boxes (i, j) in row i such that $i - j < k$ is equal to a_i and the number of (gray) boxes (i, j) in column j such that $i - j \geq k$ is equal to b_j . See the first diagram in Figure 3 for $\mu = (4, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$ and $m = 3$.
- For $m = 2k$, we consider the diagram ν obtained from the Young diagram of μ by attaching $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ boxes on the above such that ν has $k - i$ boxes in row $-i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ and μ_i boxes in row i for $i > 0$. Then, the number of (white) boxes (i, j) in row i such that $i - j < -k$ is equal to a_i and the number of (gray) boxes (i, j) in column j such that $i - j \geq -k$ is equal to b_j . See the second diagram in Figure 3 for $\mu = (4, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$ and $m = 4$.




Figure 3: Graphical interpretations for odd m and even m of the bijection $\phi^{(m)}$

Proposition 3.3. For integer $m \geq 0$, the number of self-conjugate partitions of n with the disparity $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ is

$$sc^{(m)}(n) = \begin{cases} p(k) & \text{if } n = 4k + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For a self-conjugate partition λ with the disparity $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$, suppose there are ℓ boxes (i, j) in the Young diagram of λ such that $h(i, j)$ is even. By Proposition 2.3, there are $\ell + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ boxes with odd hook lengths. Since λ is self-conjugate, ℓ must be even. If we let $\ell = 2k$, then $n = 4k + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$. Bijection $\phi_n^{(m)}$ gives that $sc^{(m)}\left(4k + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}\right) = p(k)$. \square

By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.3, we have the following corollary and as a consequence of Corollary 3.4, we have Corollary 1.2.

Corollary 3.4. For a nonnegative integer m , we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}} q^{|\lambda|} = q^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} q^{4|\mu|}.$$

4. Relations between hook lengths of $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ and \mathcal{P}

In this section we provide some relations between hook lengths of $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ and that of $\phi^{(m)}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{P}$.

4.1. Hook lengths of the first row or column

For the partitions $\lambda \in SC^{(m)}$ and $\mu = \phi^{(m)}(\lambda)$, we give a relation between their hook lengths in the first row or in the first column.

Lemma 4.1. For a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell)$, if we let $\bar{\beta}(\mu) = \{h(1, 1) - h(i, 1) : 2 \leq i \leq \ell\}$, then

$$\bar{\beta}(\mu) = \{1, 2, \dots, h(1, 1)\} - \beta(\mu'),$$

where μ' is the conjugate of μ .

Proof. For some i and j , if $h(1, 1) - h(i, 1) = h(1, j)$, then $\mu_i + \mu'_j - i - j + 1 = 0$. Since any hook length $h(i, j)$ can not be zero, $h(1, 1) - h(i, 1) \neq h(1, j)$ for all i and j . This implies that $\bar{\beta}(\mu) \subset \{1, 2, \dots, h(1, 1)\} - \beta(\mu')$. Since $|\bar{\beta}(\mu)| = h(1, 1) - \mu_1$, we are done. \square

For a self-conjugate partition λ , we define the *half-even beta set* of λ by

$$\beta_{e/2}(\lambda) = \{h(i, 1)/2 : h(i, 1) \text{ is even, } 1 \leq i \leq \lambda_1\}.$$

Proposition 4.2. Let $\lambda \in SC^{(m)}$ be a partition with $D(\lambda) = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_d\}$. If $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ is the corresponding partition of λ , then the half-even beta set of λ is

$$\beta_{e/2}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \beta(\mu') & \text{if } \delta_1 \in D_1(\lambda), \\ \beta(\mu) & \text{if } \delta_1 \in D_3(\lambda). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We let $((a_1, \dots, a_r), (b_1, \dots, b_s))$ be the diagonal sequence pair of λ so that

$$D(\lambda) = \{4a_1 + 1, 4a_2 + 1, \dots, 4a_r + 1\} \cup \{4b_1 - 1, 4b_2 - 1, \dots, 4b_s - 1\}.$$

First we will find two sets $\beta(\mu)$ and $\beta(\mu')$. The partition $\phi^{(m)}(\lambda) = \mu$ is defined by

$$\mu_i = a_i + i + s - r \quad \text{for } i \leq r,$$

and $(\mu_{r+1}, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ is the conjugate of the partition $\gamma = (b_1 - s, b_2 - s + 1, \dots, b_s - 1)$. We suppose that γ has u nonzero parts so that $b_i - s + i - 1 = 0$ for $i > u$.

To find $\beta(\mu)$, we consider hook lengths of boxes $(i, 1)$ in the Young diagram of μ . For $i \leq r$,

$$h_\mu(i, 1) = \mu_i + \mu'_1 - i = (a_i + i + s - r) + (r + b_1 - s) - i = a_i + b_1.$$

We note that the set $\{h_\mu(i, 1) : i > r\}$ is equal to the set $\beta(\gamma')$, where γ' is the conjugate of γ . Since

$$h_\gamma(i, 1) = \gamma_i + \gamma'_1 - i = (b_i - s + i - 1) + u - i = b_i - s + u - 1,$$

we have

$$\bar{\beta}(\gamma) = \{h_\gamma(1, 1) - h_\gamma(i, 1) : 2 \leq i \leq u\} = \{b_1 - b_i : 2 \leq i \leq u\}.$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\beta(\gamma') = \{1, 2, \dots, h_\gamma(1, 1)\} - \bar{\beta}(\gamma) = \{1, 2, \dots, b_1 - s + u - 1\} - \{b_1 - b_i : 2 \leq i \leq u\}.$$

Since $\{b_1 - b_i : u < i \leq s\} = \{b_1 - s + i - 1 : u < i \leq s\}$, we can write as

$$\beta(\gamma') = \{1, 2, \dots, b_1 - 1\} - \{b_1 - b_i : 2 \leq i \leq s\},$$

and therefore, the beta set of μ is

$$\beta(\mu) = \{a_i + b_1 : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, b_1 - 1\} - \{b_1 - b_i : 2 \leq i \leq s\}.$$

To find the set $\beta(\mu')$, we consider hook lengths of boxes $(1, j)$ in the Young diagram of μ . For $j \leq s$, since $\mu'_j = r + \gamma_j$,

$$h_\mu(1, j) = \mu_1 + \mu'_j - j = (a_1 + 1 + s - r) + (r + b_j - s + j - 1) - j = a_1 + b_j.$$

We note that if we set $\nu = (\mu_1 - s, \mu_2 - s, \dots, \mu_r - s)$, then the set $\{h_\mu(1, j) : j > s\}$ is equal to the set $\beta(\nu')$, where ν' is the conjugate of ν . We suppose that ν has ν nonzero parts so that $a_i + i - r = 0$ for $i > \nu$. Since

$$h_\nu(i, 1) = \nu_i + \nu'_1 - i = (\mu_i - s) + \nu - i = (a_i + i + s - r - s) + \nu - i = a_i - r + \nu,$$

we have

$$\bar{\beta}(\nu) = \{h_\nu(1, 1) - h_\nu(i, 1) : 2 \leq i \leq \nu\} = \{a_1 - a_i : 2 \leq i \leq \nu\}.$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\beta(\nu') = \{1, 2, \dots, h_\nu(1, 1)\} - \bar{\beta}(\nu) = \{1, 2, \dots, a_1 - r + \nu\} - \{a_1 - a_i : 2 \leq i \leq \nu\}.$$

Since $\{a_1 - a_i : \nu < i \leq r\} = \{a_1 - r + i : \nu < i \leq r\}$, we can write as

$$\beta(\nu') = \{1, 2, \dots, a_1\} - \{a_1 - a_i : 2 \leq i \leq r\},$$

and therefore, we have the beta set of μ' ,

$$\beta(\mu') = \{a_1 + b_j : 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, a_1\} - \{a_1 - a_i : 2 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Now, we consider the half-even beta set $\beta_{e/2}(\lambda)$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\beta(\lambda) = \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_d}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 - 1}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 - 3}{2}, \dots, 1 \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_d}{2}, \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_{d-1}}{2}, \dots, \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_2}{2} \right\}.$$

We consider two cases:

Case 1) Let $\delta_1 \in D_1(\lambda)$ so that $\delta_1 = 4a_1 + 1$. Then,

$$\beta_{e/2}(\lambda) = \{a_1 + b_j : 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, a_1\} - \{a_1 - a_i : 2 \leq i \leq r\} = \beta(\mu').$$

Case 2) Let $\delta_1 \in D_3(\lambda)$ so that $\delta_1 = 4b_1 - 1$. Then,

$$\beta_{e/2}(\lambda) = \{b_1 + a_i : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, b_1 - 1\} - \{b_1 - b_i : 2 \leq i \leq s\} = \beta(\mu).$$

□

Example 4.3. Let $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}$ be self-conjugate partitions we considered in Example 3.2. We remind that $\phi^{(3)}(\lambda) = \phi^{(4)}(\tilde{\lambda}) = \mu = (4, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$. We note that $h_\lambda(1, 1) = 21 \in D_1(\lambda)$ and $h_{\tilde{\lambda}}(1, 1) = 31 \in D_3(\tilde{\lambda})$. As in Proposition 4.2, $\beta_{e/2}(\lambda) = \beta(\mu') = \{9, 6, 4, 1\}$ and $\beta_{e/2}(\tilde{\lambda}) = \beta(\mu) = \{9, 7, 6, 4, 2, 1\}$. See Figure 4 for the Young diagrams of $\mu, \lambda, \tilde{\lambda}$, and their hook lengths.

4.2. Relations between hook lengths of $\mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ and \mathcal{P}

One may notice that there are more relations between hook lengths of corresponding partitions from Figure 4. Now, we give our main theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ be a self-conjugate partition and let μ be its corresponding partition. For each positive integer k , the number of boxes (i, j) with $h_\lambda(i, j) = 2k$ is equal to twice the number of boxes (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) with $h_\mu(\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) = k$.

The following proposition is necessary to prove Theorem 4.4.




Figure 4: Hook length relations between corresponding partitions

Proposition 4.5. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ with $D(\lambda) = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_d\}$, let $\bar{\lambda}$ be the self-conjugate partition with $D(\bar{\lambda}) = \{\delta_i \in D(\lambda) : 2 \leq i \leq d\}$, and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ and $\bar{\mu}$ be the corresponding partitions of λ and $\bar{\lambda}$, respectively. If $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell)$, then

$$\bar{\mu} = \begin{cases} (\mu_2, \mu_3, \dots, \mu_\ell) & \text{if } \delta_1 \in D_1(\lambda) \\ (\mu_1 - 1, \mu_2 - 1, \dots, \mu_\ell - 1) & \text{if } \delta_1 \in D_3(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

Proof. We let $((a_1, \dots, a_r), (b_1, \dots, b_s))$ be the diagonal sequence pair of λ so that $\phi^{(m)}(\lambda) = \mu$ is constructed by

$$\mu_i = a_i + i + s - r \quad \text{for } i \leq r,$$

and $(\mu_{r+1}, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ is the conjugate of the partition $\gamma = (b_1 - s, b_2 - s + 1, \dots, b_s - 1)$.

We denote $\bar{\mu} = (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2, \dots)$ and consider the following two cases.

Case 1 If $\delta_1 \in D_1(\lambda)$, the diagonal sequence pair of $\bar{\lambda}$ is $((a_2, \dots, a_r), (b_1, \dots, b_s))$ for $r \geq 2$ or $(\emptyset, (b_1, \dots, b_s))$ for $r = 1$. Then the corresponding partition $\bar{\mu}$ of $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined by

$$\bar{\mu}_i = a_{i+1} + i + s - (r - 1) = a_{i+1} + (i + 1) + s - r \quad \text{for } i \leq r - 1,$$

and $(\bar{\mu}_r, \bar{\mu}_{r+1}, \dots)$ is the conjugate of $(b_1 - s, b_2 - s + 1, \dots, b_s - 1)$. Hence, $\bar{\mu}_i = \mu_{i+1}$ for all i .

Case 2 If $\delta_1 \in D_3(\lambda)$, the diagonal sequence pair of $\bar{\lambda}$ is $((a_1, \dots, a_r), (b_2, \dots, b_s))$ for $s \geq 2$ or $((a_1, \dots, a_r), \emptyset)$ for $s = 1$. In this case, the corresponding partition $\bar{\mu}$ of $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined by

$$\bar{\mu}_i = a_i + i + (s - 1) - r = a_i + i + s - r - 1 \quad \text{for } i \leq r,$$

and $(\bar{\mu}_{r+1}, \bar{\mu}_{r+2}, \dots)$ is the conjugate of $\bar{\gamma} = (b_2 - (s - 1), \dots, b_s - 1) = (b_2 - s + 1, \dots, b_s - 1)$. Therefore, $\bar{\mu}_i = \mu_i - 1$ for all i . □

Proof of Theorem 4.4. We apply strong induction on $|\lambda|$. For $|\lambda| = 0$, $\lambda = \emptyset \in \mathcal{SC}^{(0)}$. Since $\phi^{(0)}(\lambda) = \emptyset$, the assertion holds.

Now, we assume that the assertion holds for $|\lambda| < n$. Let λ be a self-conjugate partition of $n \geq 1$ with $D(\lambda) = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_d\}$ and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ be the corresponding partition of λ . If $\bar{\lambda}$ is the self-conjugate partition of $n - \delta_1$ with $D(\bar{\lambda}) = \{\delta_i : 2 \leq i \leq d\}$ and $\bar{\mu}$ is its corresponding partition, then by our assumption, the number of boxes (i, j) with $h_\lambda(i, j) = 2k$ is equal to twice the number of boxes (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) with $h_{\bar{\mu}}(\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) = k$ for each $k \geq 1$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1 Let $\delta_1 \in D_1(\lambda)$. By Proposition 4.5, $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_2, \mu_3, \dots, \mu_\ell)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that the number of boxes (i, j) either in the first row or in the first column of λ with $h_\lambda(i, j) = 2k$ is equal to twice the number of boxes (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) in the first row in μ with $h_\mu(\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) = k$ for each $k \geq 1$. By Proposition 4.2, $\beta_{e/2}(\lambda) = \beta(\mu')$. Moreover, $\beta_{e/2}(\lambda') = \beta(\mu')$ since λ is self-conjugate.

Case 2 Let $\delta_1 \in D_3(\lambda)$. By Proposition 4.5, $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_1 - 1, \mu_2 - 1, \dots, \mu_\ell - 1)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that the number of boxes (i, j) either in the first row or in the first column of λ with $h_\lambda(i, j) = 2k$ is equal to twice the number of boxes (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) in the first column in μ with $h_\mu(\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) = k$ for each $k \geq 1$. By Proposition 4.2, $\beta_{e/2}(\lambda) = \beta_{e/2}(\lambda') = \beta(\mu)$, as we desired. □

The following corollary is obtained directly from Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. *For a self-conjugate partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$, let μ be the corresponding partition of λ . Then λ is a $(2t_1, 2t_2, \dots, 2t_p)$ -core partition if and only if μ is a (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p) -core partition.*

We denote the set of self-conjugate (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p) -core partitions $\lambda \in \mathcal{SC}^{(m)}$ of n by $\mathcal{SC}_{(t_1, \dots, t_p)}^{(m)}(n)$, and use notation $sc_{(t_1, \dots, t_p)}^{(m)}(n)$ for $|\mathcal{SC}_{(t_1, \dots, t_p)}^{(m)}(n)|$.

By using Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.4, we obtain the cardinality of $\mathcal{SC}_{(2t_1, \dots, 2t_p)}^{(m)}(n)$.

Proposition 4.7. *For $m \geq 0$, the number of self-conjugate $(2t_1, 2t_2, \dots, 2t_p)$ -core partitions of n with the disparity $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ is*

$$sc_{(2t_1, \dots, 2t_p)}^{(m)}(n) = \begin{cases} c_{(t_1, \dots, t_p)}(k) & \text{if } n = 4k + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From the previous proposition, we have Theorem 1.3.

4.3. Counting self-conjugate $(2t_1, \dots, 2t_p)$ -core partitions with the disparity $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$

In this subsection, we give some sets of self-conjugate partitions each of them is counted by known special numbers. It is well-known that there are finitely many (t_1, \dots, t_p) -core partitions when t_1, \dots, t_p are relatively prime numbers. From Proposition 4.7, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.8. *For relatively prime numbers t_1, \dots, t_p , the number of self-conjugate $(2t_1, \dots, 2t_p)$ -core partitions with the disparity $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ is equal to the number of (t_1, \dots, t_p) -core partitions.*

Anderson [3] gives an expression for the Catalan number in terms of simultaneous core partitions, and Amderberhan and Leven [2], Yang, Zhong, and Zhou [19], Wang [18], respectively, gives an identity for the Motzkin number.

Theorem 4.9. [3] For relatively prime integers $t_1, t_2 \geq 1$, the number of (t_1, t_2) -core partitions is

$$c_{(t_1, t_2)} = \frac{1}{t_1 + t_2} \binom{t_1 + t_2}{t_1}.$$

In particular, $c_{(n, n+1)} = C_n$, where $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$ is the n th Catalan number.

Theorem 4.10. [18] For relatively prime integers $n, d \geq 1$, the number of $(n, n + d, n + 2d)$ -core partitions is

$$c_{(n, n+d, n+2d)} = \frac{1}{n + d} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n + d}{i, i + d, n - 2i}.$$

In particular, $c_{(n, n+1, n+2)}$ is the n th Motzkin number $M_n = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{n}{2i} \binom{2i}{i}$.

By using Corollary 4.8 and the above known results, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.11. Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer.

- (a) For relatively prime integers $t_1, t_2 \geq 1$, the number of self-conjugate $(2t_1, 2t_2)$ -core partitions with the disparity $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ is

$$sc_{(t_1, t_2)}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{t_1 + t_2} \binom{t_1 + t_2}{t_1}.$$

In particular, $sc_{(2n, 2n+2)}^{(m)} = C_n$, where C_n is the n th Catalan number.

- (b) For relatively prime integers $n, d \geq 1$, the number of self-conjugate $(2n, 2n + 2d, 2n + 4d)$ -core partitions with the disparity $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ is

$$sc_{(2n, 2n+2d, 2n+4d)}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{n + d} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n + d}{i, i + d, n - 2i}.$$

In particular, $sc_{(2n, 2n+2, 2n+4)}^{(m)}$ is the n th Motzkin number.

Acknowledgement

The third named author's work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) NRF-2017R1A2B4009501.

References

- [1] Alpoge, L., 2014. Self-conjugate core partitions and modular forms. *J. Number Theory* 140, 60–92.
- [2] Amdeberhan, T., Leven, E. S., 2015. Multi-cores, posets, and lattice paths. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 71, 1–13.
- [3] Anderson, J., 2002. Partitions which are simultaneously t_1 - and t_2 -core. *Discrete Math.* 248 (1-3), 237–243.
- [4] Anderson, J., 2008. An asymptotic formula for the t -core partition function and a conjecture of Stanton. *J. Number Theory* 128 (9), 2591–2615.
- [5] Baldwin, J., Depweg, M., Ford, B., Kunin, A., Sze, L., 2006. Self-conjugate t -core partitions, sums of squares, and p -blocks of A_n . *J. Algebra* 297 (2), 438–452.
- [6] Baruah, N. D., Nath, K., 2014. Infinite families of arithmetic identities for self-conjugate 5-cores and 7-cores. *Discrete Math.* 321, 57–67.
- [7] Baruah, N. D., Nath, K., 2014. Some results on 3-cores. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (2), 441–448.
- [8] Berkovich, A., Yesilyurt, H., 2008. New identities for 7-cores with prescribed BG-rank. *Discrete Math.* 308 (22), 5246–5259.
- [9] Garvan, F., Kim, D., Stanton, D., 1990. Cranks and t -cores. *Invent. Math.* 101 (1), 1–17.
- [10] Granville, A., Ono, K., 1996. Defect zero p -blocks for finite simple groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348 (1), 331–347.
- [11] Hanusa, C. R. H., Nath, R., 2013. The number of self-conjugate core partitions. *J. Number Theory* 133 (2), 751–768.
- [12] Hirschhorn, M. D., Sellers, J. A., 1996. Some amazing facts about 4-cores. *J. Number Theory* 60 (1), 51–69.
- [13] James, G., Kerber, A., 1981. The representation theory of the symmetric group. Vol. 16 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.

- [14] Kim, B., Rouse, J., 2014. Explicit bounds for the number of p -core partitions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 366 (2), 875–902.
- [15] Olsson, J. B., 2009. Core partitions and block coverings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (9), 2943–2951.
- [16] Olsson, J. B., 2009. A theorem on the cores of partitions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 116 (3), 733–740.
- [17] Stanton, D., 1999. Open positivity conjectures for integer partitions. *Trends Math* 2, 19–25.
- [18] Wang, V. Y., 2016. Simultaneous core partitions: parameterizations and sums. *Electron. J. Combin.* 23 (1), Paper 1.4, 34.
- [19] Yang, J. Y. X., Zhong, M. X. X., Zhou, R. D. P., 2015. On the enumeration of $(s, s + 1, s + 2)$ -core partitions. *European J. Combin.* 49, 203–217.