

LOCAL INTERSECTIONS OF LAGRANGIAN MANIFOLDS CORRESPOND TO CATASTROPHE THEORY

CHRISTIAN OFFEN 

ABSTRACT. Two smooth map germs are right-equivalent if and only if they generate two Lagrangian submanifolds in a cotangent bundle which have the same contact with the zero-section. In this paper we provide a reverse direction to this classical result of Golubitsky and Guillemin. Two Lagrangian submanifolds have the same contact with a third Lagrangian submanifold if and only if the intersection problems correspond to stably right equivalent map germs. We, therefore, obtain a correspondence between local Lagrangian intersection problems and catastrophe theory while the classical version only captures tangential intersections. Moreover, we provide an extension of the correspondence to families of local Lagrangian intersection problems. This gives rise to a framework which allows a natural transportation of the notions of catastrophe theory such as stability, unfolding and (uni-)versality to the geometric setting such that we obtain a classification of families of local Lagrangian intersection problems. An application is the classification of Lagrangian boundary value problems for symplectic maps. Furthermore, we prove a result which applies to symmetric settings: invariances of generating functions of Lagrangian intersection problems correspond to exactly those symplectic diffeomorphisms which arise as cotangent lifts.

1. INTRODUCTION

Local singularities of smooth, scalar valued maps have been studied extensively under the headlines *catastrophe theory* and *singularity theory* because the local behaviour of the set of critical points of a smooth map under perturbations is related to bifurcation phenomena in dynamical systems [2, 3]. Thanks to the work of Whitney, Thom, Mather, Arnold and others, classification results for singularities are known [1, 11, 16]. Of fundamental importance for the classification results is the notion of right equivalence of map germs.

Definition 1.1 (right equivalence of map germs). Two germs of smooth maps $f, g: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ are *right equivalent* if there exists a germ of a diffeomorphism $h: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ such that $f = g \circ h$.

In [6] Golubitsky and Guillemin show that the question whether two map germs are right equivalent has a geometric analogue, namely whether two Lagrangian submanifolds in a cotangent bundle have the same contact with the zero-section.

Definition 1.2 (contact of tangential Lagrangian intersections). Let Λ, Λ' be two Lagrangian submanifolds in the cotangent bundle T^*X intersecting a point $z \in X \subset$

Date: February 13, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D12, 58K35, 37J20, 58K05.

Key words and phrases. Symplectic Geometry, Lagrangian manifolds, contact equivalence, catastrophe theory.

T^*X tangentially. The submanifolds Λ, Λ' have the *same contact with X at z* if and only if there exists a symplectomorphism Φ defined on an open neighbourhood U of $z \in T^*X$ such that

$$\Phi(\Lambda \cap U) = \Lambda' \cap U, \quad \Phi(z) = z, \quad \Phi(X \cap U) = X \cap U.$$

They prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 ([6]). *Let U be an open neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^k . Two smooth maps $f, g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(0) = 0 = g(0)$ and vanishing gradients at 0, i.e. $df|_0 = 0 = dg|_0$, are right equivalent if and only if the Lagrangian manifolds $df(U)$ and $dg(U)$ have the same contact with the zero-section at 0.*

In the above theorem $df(U)$ and $dg(U)$ denote the image of $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ under the 1-form df or dg , respectively, where 1-forms are interpreted as maps $U \rightarrow T^*U$, i.e. as sections of the cotangent bundle $T^*U \rightarrow U$.

Remark 1.4. For a function $F: \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $F(0) = 0$ there always exists a change of coordinates such that F is of the form

$$F(x) = f(x^1, \dots, x^{k(F)}) + Q(x^{k(F)+1}, \dots, x^K)$$

for a non-degenerate quadratic map Q such that the gradient of f vanishes at 0 (lemma 2.12). Two maps

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= f(x^1, \dots, x^{k(F)}) + Q_F(x^{k(F)+1}, \dots, x^K) \\ G(x) &= g(x^1, \dots, x^{k(G)}) + Q_G(x^{k(G)+1}, \dots, x^K) \end{aligned}$$

with $f(0) = 0 = g(0)$, $df|_0 = 0 = dg|_0$ and non-degenerate quadratic forms Q_F and Q_G are right-equivalent if and only if the rank and signature of the Hessian matrices of F and G coincide and f is right-equivalent to g . Thus, the assumption of theorem 1.3 that the gradients of f and g vanishes at 0 is no restriction.

Theorem 1.3 is very appealing because it allows us to turn an analysis problem into a geometric problem. The geometric problem itself, i.e. the description of intersecting Lagrangian manifolds, is, however, important in its own right. In dynamical systems, for instance, intersections of Lagrangian invariant manifolds in phase spaces encode important information about the dynamics [7, 9]. For global aspects of Lagrangian intersections we refer to [5] and references therein. Moreover, boundary value problems in Hamiltonian systems can be phrased as Lagrangian intersection problems and local properties of the intersections are of high significance for a description of the bifurcation behaviour of solutions [14, 15, 18]. It is, therefore, desirable, to obtain a reverse direction of theorem 1.3, i.e. a statement which allows to turn the description of intersecting Lagrangian manifolds into a problem in classical singularity theory or catastrophe theory.

In this paper we will show that we can assign smooth function germs to local Lagrangian intersection problems such that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X, Λ and X', Λ' be Lagrangian submanifolds of a manifold Z such that Λ intersects X in an isolated point z and Λ' intersects X' in an isolated point z . Let f be the function germ assigned to the problem $z \in X \cap \Lambda$ and f' be the function germ assigned to the problem $z' \in X' \cap \Lambda'$. The germs f and f' are stably*

right equivalent¹ if and only if there exists a local symplectomorphism Φ mapping an open neighbourhood U of z to an open neighbourhood U' of z' with

$$\Phi(z) = z', \quad \Phi(X \cap U) = X' \cap U', \quad \Phi(\Lambda \cap U) = \Lambda' \cap U'.$$

In other words (notions will be made precise later):

Theorem 1.6. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between Lagrangian contact problems modulo stably contact equivalence and smooth real-valued function germs up to stably right equivalence.*

The theorems overcome the following issues which occur when trying to reverse theorem 1.3.

- Let X, Λ be Lagrangian submanifolds of a symplectic manifold Z such that Λ intersects X in an isolated point z . After shrinking all occurring manifolds around z , if necessary, there are many ways of mapping Z to a neighbourhood of the zero-section $X \subset T^*X$ (choice of cotangent bundle structure). For most of the choices Λ is the image of a section df in the bundle $T^*X \rightarrow X$. However, f is not defined independently of the auxiliary cotangent bundle structure.
- The Lagrangian manifold $df(U)$ from theorem 1.3 intersects the zero-section of $U \subset T^*U$ tangentially at 0. Golubitsky and Guillemin's proof of the *only if* direction fails when the intersection of U with $df(U)$ is not tangential.

Furthermore, we provide a parameter-dependent version of the results and relate families of intersecting Lagrangian manifolds to unfoldings of singular map germs:

Theorem 1.7. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem up to stably right equivalence and unfoldings of smooth, real-valued function germs up to stably right equivalences as unfoldings.*

This allows transporting the highly-developed notions and algebraic framework of catastrophe theory to Lagrangian contact problems and bifurcations of Lagrangian intersection problems and classification results for singularities apply to contact problems.

Moreover, intersection problems of Lagrangian manifolds are often subject to symmetry constraints which have an effect on which singularities occur generically and how the intersections unfold when parameters are present. Such symmetry constraints appear, for instance, in Hamiltonian boundary value problems, where the Hamiltonian is invariant under a symplectic or conformal-symplectic symmetry [14, 15]. We will show under which conditions symmetries in Lagrangian contact problems and in boundary value problems for symplectic maps, in particular, yield invariances of the assigned function germs.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we review some of Golubitsky and Guillemin's results and prove that not necessarily tangential Lagrangian contact problems up to contact equivalence correspond to map germs up to stably right equivalence. In section 3 we consider a symmetric setting and prove that invariances of generating functions of Lagrangian contact problems correspond to cotangent lifted maps leaving the manifolds of the contact problem invariant. In section 4 we extend the identification results of section 2 to families of Lagrangian

¹Two function germs are stably right equivalent if they become right equivalent after adding non-degenerate quadratic forms in new variables (see definition 2.3).

contact problems. In section 5 we conclude theorem 1.6 and theorem 1.7 and show an application to boundary value problems for symplectic maps.

2. LAGRANGIAN CONTACT PROBLEMS AND CATASTROPHE THEORY

Let us introduce the notion of *Lagrangian contact problems* and review some definitions based on [6, 13].

Definition 2.1 (Lagrangian contact problem). Let X, Λ be two Lagrangian submanifolds of a symplectic manifold Z intersecting in an isolated point $z \in \Lambda \cap X$. Then (X, Λ, z) is called a *Lagrangian contact problem (in Z)*. We say Λ has contact with X in z . In the special case where X and Λ are tangential at z the problem (X, Λ, z) is called a *tangential Lagrangian contact problem*.

Definition 2.2 (contact equivalence of Lagrangian contact problems). Let (X, Λ, z) and (X', Λ', z') be two Lagrangian contact problems in Z and Z' , respectively. We say that (X, Λ, z) and (X', Λ', z') are *contact equivalent* or Λ has the same contact with X at z as Λ' has contact with X' at z' if there exist open neighbourhoods $U \subset Z$ of z and $U' \subset Z'$ of z' and a symplectic diffeomorphism $\Phi: U \rightarrow U'$ such that $\Phi(X \cap U) = X' \cap U'$ and $\Phi(\Lambda \cap U) = \Lambda' \cap U'$.

Definition 2.3 (stably right equivalence of function germs). Two germs of smooth maps $f: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$, $x \mapsto f(x)$ and $g: (\mathbb{R}^l, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$, $y \mapsto g(y)$ are *stably right equivalent* if there exist non-degenerate quadratic forms $Q_1(u)$ and $Q_2(v)$ such that $F(x, u) = f(x) + Q_1(u)$ and $G(y, v) = g(y) + Q_2(v)$ are right equivalent.

Theorem 2.4 ([17]). *Two germs of smooth maps $f, g: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$, $x \mapsto f(x)$ in the same amount of variables are stably right equivalent if and only if they are right equivalent.*

Remark 2.5 (Warning). Contact equivalence for Lagrangian contact problems is not to be confused with Mather's notion of contact equivalence for map germs which is related to the contact of a smooth manifold with a zero section of a smooth bundle (i.e. without symplectic structure) [13].

For reference, let us recall some of Golubitsky and Guillemin's results.

Lemma 2.6 ([6, Lemma 3.1]). *Let X be a Lagrangian submanifold of a symplectic manifold Z . Let x_1, \dots, x_n be local coordinates on X . Consider two cotangent bundle structures $\Phi^\alpha: Z \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*X$ and $\Phi^\beta: Z \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*X$. Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be the conjugate momenta to x_1, \dots, x_n with respect to the first structure. Let λ denote the canonical 1-form on T^*X , $\alpha = (\Phi^\alpha)^*\lambda$, $\beta = (\Phi^\beta)^*\lambda$. Then the closed 1-form $\alpha - \beta$ can locally be written as dH with*

$$(2.1) \quad H(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(x, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j.$$

If the Lagrangian submanifold $\Lambda \subset Z$ is the image of the section $d\phi^\alpha$ w.r.t. the Φ^α -structure as well as the image of the section $d\phi^\beta$ w.r.t. the Φ^β -structure then

$$(2.2) \quad (\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta})(x) = \phi^\alpha(x) + H(x, \nabla\phi^\alpha(x)) + \text{const.},$$

where $k_{\alpha\beta}$ is the diffeomorphism $k_{\alpha\beta} = \pi^\beta \circ (\pi^\alpha|_\Lambda)^{-1}$.

Proof. The 1-forms α and β are primitives of the symplectic form on Z . Moreover, $\alpha|_z = 0$ if and only if $z \in X$. Analogously for β . Therefore, $\alpha - \beta$ is closed and has a local primitive H . The primitive must be of the form (2.1): in local coordinates we have

$$\alpha = \sum_j \xi_j dx_j, \quad \beta = \sum_j (b_j dx_j + c_j d\xi_j), \quad dH = \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j} dx_j + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_j} d\xi_j \right).$$

Now

$$\sum_j ((\xi_j - b_j) dx_j + c_j d\xi_j) = \alpha - \beta = dH = \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j} dx_j + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_j} d\xi_j \right).$$

It follows from $\beta|_{(x,\xi)=(x,0)} = 0$ that $c_j(x,0) \equiv 0$ such that $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_j}(x,0) \equiv 0$. The primitive, thus, has the form (2.1) by Taylor's theorem.

Let $\iota: \Lambda \hookrightarrow Z$ denote the embedding of Λ into Z . The 1-forms $\iota^*\alpha$ and $\iota^*\beta$ are closed since Λ is Lagrangian. Locally around a point of interest $\iota^*\alpha$ and $\iota^*\beta$ have primitives which we denote by ϕ^α and ϕ^β , respectively. Due to $\iota^*\alpha - \iota^*\beta = d(H \circ \iota)$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \phi^\beta = \phi^\alpha + H \circ \iota + \text{const.}$$

on Λ . Expressing relation (2.3) in the canonical coordinates (x, ξ) of the α -cotangent bundle structure yields (2.2). \square

Remark 2.7. If the manifolds X and Λ intersect non-trivially and x_1, \dots, x_n are centred coordinates at an intersection point of Λ and X then the constant in (2.2) vanishes if $\phi^\alpha(0) = 0 = \phi^\beta(0)$.

Lemma 2.8 ([6, Prop.4.2]). *Let*

$$H(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(x, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j$$

be defined on an open neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider a real valued map ϕ defined on a neighbourhood of \mathbb{R}^n such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\nabla\phi(0) = 0$, $\text{Hess}\phi(0) = 0$. The map

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(x) = \phi(x) + H(x, \nabla\phi(x))$$

is right equivalent to ϕ on a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n and the right equivalence fixes the origin.

Proof. To simplify notation, we set

$$\bar{H}(x) = H(x, \nabla\phi(x)), \quad \bar{h}_{ij}(x) = h_{ij}(x, \nabla\phi(x)).$$

We prove the assertion using the homotopy method: define

$$(2.5) \quad \psi_t(x) = \phi(x) + t\bar{H}(x).$$

We seek a family of local diffeomorphisms f_t fixing 0 such that

$$(2.6) \quad \psi_t \circ f_t = \phi.$$

Differentiating (2.6) w.r.t. t we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\psi_t) \circ f_t + \left\langle \nabla\psi_t \circ f_t, \frac{d}{dt}f_t \right\rangle = 0.$$

Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n . An evaluation at $f_t^{-1}(x)$ yields

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\psi_t + \langle \nabla\psi_t, w(x, t) \rangle = 0$$

with

$$(2.8) \quad w(x, t) = \frac{d}{dt}(f_t)(f_t^{-1}(x)).$$

We will show that (2.7) is solvable for w around $x = 0$ with $w(0, t) = 0$. Then there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of 0 such that the initial value problem

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt}f_t(x) = w(f_t(x), t), \quad f_0(x) = x$$

can be solved for all $x \in U$ on the interval $t \in [0, 1]$. The obtained family of functions f_t fulfils $\frac{d}{dt}(\psi_t \circ f_t) = 0$ with $f_0 = \text{id}$ and, therefore, (2.6). Moreover, $f_t(0) \equiv 0$ such that f_1 is the required right equivalence.

We now show that near $x = 0$ (2.7) is solvable for w with $w(0, t) = 0$. Differentiating (2.5) w.r.t. x yields

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\psi_t}{\partial x_l} &= \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_l} + t \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial\bar{h}_{ij}}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_j} + 2\bar{h}_{ij} \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_l} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &= \sum_j \underbrace{\left(\delta_{lj} + t \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial\bar{h}_{ij}}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i} + 2\bar{h}_{ij} \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_l} \right) \right)}_{=: B_{lj}(t, x)} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_j} \end{aligned}$$

The maps $B_{lj}(t, x)$ form a matrix B with $B(t, 0) = \text{Id}$. Therefore, there exists a neighbourhood of $x = 0$ such that B is invertible for all $t \in [0, 1]$. We have

$$(2.11) \quad \nabla\phi = B^{-1}\nabla\psi_t.$$

The functions \bar{h}_{ij} constitute a matrix which we denote by \mathcal{H} . Differentiating (2.5) w.r.t. t and using (2.11) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi_t = \nabla\phi^T \mathcal{H} \nabla\phi \stackrel{(2.11)}{=} \nabla\psi_t^T \underbrace{B^{-T} \mathcal{H} \nabla\phi}_{=: -w}.$$

Now $w(0, t) = 0$ and w solves (2.7). This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.6 and lemma 2.6 imply the following proposition.

Proposition 2.9. *Let (X, Λ, z) be a tangential Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Consider two cotangent bundle structures over X near z such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi^\alpha$ and $d\phi^\beta$ with $\phi^\alpha(z) = 0 = \phi^\beta(z)$. Then ϕ^α and ϕ^β are right equivalent.*

Remark 2.10. As X and Λ are tangential, there is no restriction in the choice of cotangent bundle structures because around z the submanifold Λ will always be the image of a section of the cotangent bundle.

Theorem 2.11. *Let (X, Λ, z) and (X, Λ', z) be two tangential Lagrangian contact problems in Z . For any two cotangent bundle structures over X near z such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi$ and Λ' the image of $d\phi'$ with $\phi(z) = 0 = \phi'(z)$, the*

map germs ϕ and ϕ' are right equivalent if and only if the tangential Lagrangian intersection problems are contact equivalent.

Proof. Assume $\phi = \phi' \circ r$ for a right equivalence r . Note that r fixes z . Its cotangent lifted map R (see [12, 6.3] for definitions) fixes X and

$$R(d\phi'|_x) = d\phi'|_x \circ dr|_{r^{-1}(x)} = d(\phi' \circ r)|_{r^{-1}(x)} = d\phi|_{r^{-1}(x)}, \quad x \in X.$$

Therefore, the symplectic diffeomorphism R maps Λ' to Λ and, thus, provides a contact equivalence between (X, Λ, z) and (X, Λ', z) .

Now assume there exists a symplectic diffeomorphism with $\Phi(X) = X$, $\Phi(z) = z$ and $\Phi(\Lambda) = \Lambda'$ (locally around z). Choose a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi$ and Λ' the image of the section $d\phi'$ around z with $\phi(z) = 0 = \phi'(z)$. Consider the cotangent bundle structure $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$ with $\pi' = \pi \circ \Phi^{-1}$. The map $\Phi \circ d\phi$ maps X onto Λ' and is a section of $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$. This means Λ' can be represented by $d\phi$ in the new structure. Therefore, by proposition 2.9, the map germs ϕ and ϕ' must be right equivalent. \square

We recall the well-known *parametric Morse Lemma* or *Splitting Lemma*.

Lemma 2.12 (parametric Morse lemma). *Let $\phi: (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be a function germ with critical point at the origin 0 . Consider the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = \bar{X} \oplus \underline{X}$ for two linear subspaces \bar{X} and \underline{X} such that the Hessian matrix B of the restriction $\phi|_{\underline{X}}: (\underline{X}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ is invertible. There exists a change of coordinates K on a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $K(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (\bar{x}, \kappa(\bar{x}, \underline{x}))$ with $K(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ such that*

$$(\phi \circ K)(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}.$$

If we choose the dimension of \underline{X} to be maximal then the 2-jet of f vanishes.

A proof is given in [4, §14.12] or [16, Lemma 5.12]. A fibred version including uniqueness results can be found in [17].

We now leave the setting of tangential Lagrangian contact problems and extend proposition 2.9: a function germ assigned to a (not necessarily tangential) Lagrangian intersection problem using any cotangent bundle structure for which the intersection problem is graphical is well-defined up to stably right equivalence. For this we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.13. *On $\mathbb{R}^n = \bar{X} \oplus \underline{X}$ consider coordinates $x = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$, a non-degenerate symmetric matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$, a map germ $g: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ whose 2-jet vanishes at 0 and a matrix valued function $\mathcal{H}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}(n)$ with*

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = (h_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{11}(x) & H^{12}(x) \\ H^{12}(x)^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}(n) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= g(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T Q \underline{x} \\ \psi_t(x) &= g(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T Q \underline{x} + t(\nabla\psi(x))^T \mathcal{H}(x) \nabla\psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ_t is right equivalent to $\psi = \psi_0$ around $x = 0$ and the right equivalence fixes 0 .

Proof. Motivated by the proof of lemma 2.8 we define the components $B_{lj}(t, x)$ of a matrix $B(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as

$$B_{lj} = \delta_{lj} + t \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} + 2h_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_l} \right).$$

We have

$$B(t, 0) = \text{Id}_n + 2t \text{Hess } \psi(0) \mathcal{H}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_k & 0 \\ 4tQH^{12T} & \text{Id}_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is invertible for all t . In analogy to (2.10)

$$\nabla \psi_t(x) = B(t, x) \nabla \psi(x).$$

Therefore,

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \psi_t = \nabla \psi^T \mathcal{H} \nabla \psi = \nabla \psi_t^T \underbrace{B^{-T} \mathcal{H} \nabla \psi}_{=:-\omega} = -\langle \nabla \psi_t, \omega \rangle.$$

There exists a neighbourhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} f_t(x) = \omega(f_t(x), t), \quad f_0(x) = x$$

is solvable for all $x \in U$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$. Since $\omega(0, t) = 0$ we have $f_t(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t \circ f_t) &= \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t) \circ f_t + \left\langle \nabla \psi_t \circ f_t, \frac{d}{dt} f_t \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t) \circ f_t + \langle \nabla \psi_t, \omega \rangle \circ f_t \\ &\stackrel{(2.12)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_0 = \text{id}_U$ we have

$$\psi_t \circ f_t = \psi_0 \circ f_0 = \psi$$

and f_t is the required right equivalence. \square

Proposition 2.14. *Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Consider two cotangent bundle structures $\pi^\alpha: Z \rightarrow X$, $\pi^\beta: Z \rightarrow X$ over X such that Λ is given as the image of the section $d\phi^\alpha$ and $d\phi^\beta$ locally around $z \in Z$ with $\phi^\alpha(z) = 0 = \phi^\beta(z)$, respectively. Then ϕ^α and ϕ^β are stably right equivalent locally around z .*

Proof. By the parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.12) there exist coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ on X centred at z such that

$$\phi^\alpha(x) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for a smooth function germ f with vanishing 2-jet at $\bar{x} = 0$ and an invertible symmetric matrix B . The map ϕ^α is stably right equivalent to f . By lemma 2.6 we have

$$(2.13) \quad \phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta} = \phi^\alpha + H(x, \nabla \phi^\alpha),$$

for a map

$$H(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(x, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j = \xi^T \begin{pmatrix} H^{11}(x, \xi) & H^{12}(x, \xi) \\ H^{12}(x, \xi)^T & H^{22}(x, \xi) \end{pmatrix} \xi$$

with symmetric matrices $H^{11}(x, \xi)$ and $H^{22}(x, \xi)$ and with $k_{\alpha\beta} = \pi^\beta \circ (\pi^\alpha|_\Lambda)^{-1}$. For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ define

$$\mathcal{H}(x) := \mathcal{H}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) := H(x, \nabla\phi^\alpha(x)), \quad \mathcal{H}^{ij}(x) := \mathcal{H}^{ij}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) := H^{ij}(x, \nabla\phi^\alpha(x)).$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^\beta(k_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{x}, \underline{x})) &\stackrel{(2.13)}{=} \phi^\alpha(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) + \mathcal{H}(x) \\ (2.14) \quad &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T(B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(x))\underline{x} \\ &+ \nabla_{\bar{x}}f(\bar{x})^T \mathcal{H}^{11}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}}f(\bar{x}) \\ &+ 2\underline{x}^T \mathcal{H}^{12}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}}f(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of $\text{Hess}(\phi^\alpha)$ and the kernel of $\text{Hess}(\phi^\beta)$ at $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (0, 0)$ both describe the intersection $T_z X \cap T_z \Lambda$ (but in different coordinates). Therefore, the kernel of $\text{Hess}(\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta})$ must coincide with the kernel of $\text{Hess}(\phi^\alpha)$ which is $\bar{X} = \{\underline{x} = 0\}$. We calculate the Hessian matrix of $\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}$ at $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (0, 0)$ using (2.14) and obtain

$$\text{Hess}(\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta})(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2(B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(0)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since Λ is graphical in both cotangent bundle structures,

$$(2.15) \quad B' := B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(0)$$

must be invertible by a dimension argument. Now ϕ^α is stably right-equivalent to

$$\phi_1^\alpha(x) := f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B' \underline{x}.$$

For x in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of 0 the signature of $B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(x)$ is constant. By Sylvester's law of inertia, there exists a smooth family of invertible matrices $A(x)$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad A(x)^{-T}(B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(x))A^{-1}(x) = B'$$

for all x near 0. Consider

$$r(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (\bar{x}, A(x)\underline{x}).$$

The map r fixes $x = 0$ and is a diffeomorphism on a neighbourhood of $x = 0$: the Jacobian matrix of r is given by the block matrix

$$Dr(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_k & 0 \\ (\partial A(x))_{l=1, \dots, k} & (\partial A(x))_{l=k+1, \dots, n} + A(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $(\partial A(x))_{l=1, \dots, k}$ denotes the first k columns and $(\partial A(x))_{l=k+1, \dots, n}$ the remaining $n + k$ columns of an $(n - k) \times n$ matrix $\partial A(x)$ whose l -th column is given as

$$(\partial A(x))_l = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x^l}(x)\underline{x},$$

where the derivative $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x^l}$ is taken component-wise. Now the determinant of $Dr(0)$ coincides with the determinant of $A(0)$ which is non-zero, so r is indeed a right equivalence.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} (2.17) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{11}(x) &= \mathcal{H}^{11}(r^{-1}(x)) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{12}(x) &= A(r^{-1}(x))^{-T} \mathcal{H}^{12}(r^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.13 the map ϕ_1^α is right equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2^\alpha(x) &:= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B' \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x})^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{11}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{12}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}).\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_2^\alpha \circ r)(x) &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T A(x)^T B' A(x) \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x})^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{11}(r(x)) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T A(x)^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{12}(r(x)) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}).\end{aligned}$$

By (2.16), (2.17) and (2.14) the map $\phi_2^\alpha \circ r$ above coincides with $\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus, the maps ϕ^α and ϕ^β are stably right equivalent. \square

Remark 2.15. We see from the proof of proposition 2.14 that if the intersection of Λ and X is not tangential then the dimension of \underline{X} is greater than 0 and there exist two cotangent bundle structures such that ϕ^α and ϕ^β are stably right equivalent but *not* right equivalent: to a cotangent bundle structure over X defined by a canonical 1-form α for which Λ is graphical chose another cotangent bundle structure $\beta = \alpha + dH$ with

$$H(x, \xi) = \xi^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B + D \end{pmatrix} \xi,$$

where D is an invertible symmetric matrix which has a different signature than B . As in the proof of proposition 2.14, the coordinates (x, ξ) refer to canonical coordinates w.r.t. the α -structure. We get $B' = D$ which is invertible such that Λ is graphical for the cotangent bundle structure defined by β . However, the signatures of $\text{Hess } \phi^\alpha(0)$ and $\text{Hess } \phi^\beta(0)$ do not coincide.

Remark 2.16. Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in a symplectic manifold Z . It is easy to see from a perturbation argument or from the classification of intersections of Lagrangian linear subspaces of finite-dimensional symplectic vector spaces [10] that there is always a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that Λ is graphical, i.e. the image of a smooth section of $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ locally around z or, equivalently, s.t. $\pi|_\Lambda: \Lambda \rightarrow X$ is an immersion around z [14, Lemma 2.1].

Definition 2.17 ((fully reduced) generating function). Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Consider a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that Λ is given as the image of the section $d\phi$ locally around $z \in Z$ with $\phi(z) = 0$. We call ϕ a *generating function* of (X, Λ, z) . By the parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.12) there exist coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ on X centred at z such that

$$\phi(x) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for a smooth function germ f with vanishing 2-jet and an invertible matrix B . The map germ f is called a *fully reduced generating function* of (X, Λ, z) .

Lemma 2.18. Consider a symplectic diffeomorphism $\Phi: Z \rightarrow Z'$. The generating function of a Lagrangian contact problem (X, Λ, z) in Z is right equivalent to the generating function of the Lagrangian contact problem $(\Phi(X), \Phi(\Lambda), \Phi(z))$ in Z'

Proof. Cotangent bundle structures on Z over X correspond to cotangent bundle structures on Z' over $\Phi(X)$, whereas the corresponding canonical 1-forms λ and λ' relate by $\lambda = \Phi^*\lambda'$. Therefore, if $\iota: \Lambda \hookrightarrow Z$ is the embedding of Λ into Z and $\iota' = \Phi \circ \iota \circ (\Phi^{-1})|_{\Phi(\Lambda)}$ the embedding of $\Phi(\Lambda)$ into Z' then

$$\iota'^*\lambda' = (\Phi^{-1})|_{\Phi(\Lambda)}^*\iota^*\lambda.$$

Thus, the primitive of $\iota'^*\lambda'$ around $\Phi(z)$ which vanishes at $\Phi(z)$ and the primitive of $\iota^*\lambda$ around z which vanishes at z relate by $(\Phi^{-1})|_{\Phi(\Lambda)}$. Expressing the primitives in coordinates on X or $\Phi(X)$, we obtain generating functions which are right-equivalent. \square

We can now extend theorem 2.11 to non-tangential Lagrangian contact problems.

Theorem 2.19. *Two Lagrangian contact problems (X, Λ, z) and (X', Λ', z') in Z are contact equivalent if and only if their generating functions are stably right equivalent.*

Proof. By lemma 2.18 it is sufficient to prove the assertion for $X' = X$ and $z' = z$.

Assume there exists a symplectic diffeomorphism with $\Phi(X) = X$, $\Phi(z) = z$ and $\Phi(\Lambda) = \Lambda'$ (locally around z). Choose a cotangent bundle structure on $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi$ and Λ' the image of the section $d\phi'$ around z with $\phi(z) = 0 = \phi'(z)$. Consider the cotangent bundle structure $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$ with $\pi' = \pi \circ \Phi^{-1}$. The map $\Phi \circ d\phi$ maps X onto Λ' and is a section of $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$. This means Λ' can be represented by $d\phi$ in the new structure. Therefore, by proposition 2.14, the map germs ϕ and ϕ' must be stably right equivalent.

Now let ϕ be a generating function of (X, Λ, z) and ϕ' of (X, Λ', z) . Let us assume that ϕ, ϕ' refer to the same cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ and that the function germs ϕ and ϕ' are stably right equivalent.

By the parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.12) there exist coordinates $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ and $(\bar{x}', \underline{x}') = ((x'^1, \dots, x'^k), (x'^{k+1}, \dots, x'^n))$ on X centred at z , function germs f, f' with vanishing 2-jets at 0 and invertible, symmetric matrices B and B' such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x} \\ \phi'(\bar{x}', \underline{x}') &= f'(\bar{x}') + \underline{x}'^T B' \underline{x}'.\end{aligned}$$

Let D be an invertible, diagonal, $n - k$ -dimensional matrix such that the matrix $-B + DB'D$ is invertible. Define the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(1)}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B' \underline{x} \\ \phi^{(2)}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T DB'D \underline{x}.\end{aligned}$$

We now show that we can map $\Lambda' = d\phi'(X)$ to $d\phi^{(1)}(X)$, then to $d\phi^{(2)}(X)$ and finally to $\Lambda = d\phi(X)$ with symplectic diffeomorphisms which fix X and z .

Since ϕ and ϕ' are stably right equivalent, there exists a right equivalence r such that $f = f' \circ r$ (theorem 2.4). The cotangent lifted map of r maps $df'|_{\bar{x}'}$ to $df|_{r^{-1}(\bar{x}')}$. Define $\tilde{r}(\bar{x}', \underline{x}') = (r(\bar{x}'), \underline{x}')$ and denote the cotangent lift of \tilde{r} by $\Phi^{(1)}$. Now $\Phi^{(1)}$ maps $\Lambda' = d\phi'(X)$ to the manifold $d\phi^{(1)}(X)$. Denote the cotangent lift of the map $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) \mapsto (\bar{x}, D\underline{x})$ by $\Phi^{(2)}$. The symplectomorphism $\Phi^{(2)} \circ \Phi^{(1)}$ maps Λ' to the manifold $d\phi^{(2)}(X)$.

It remains to show that there exists a symplectomorphism mapping $d\phi^{(2)}(X)$ to Λ which fixes X and z . Let λ denote the canonical 1-form of the cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$. We define another cotangent bundle structure over X by setting its canonical 1-form to $\lambda' = \lambda + dH$ with

$$H(x, \xi) = \xi^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B + DB'D \end{pmatrix} \xi.$$

The manifold Λ is graphical w.r.t. the cotangent bundle structure defined by λ' by the choice of D . Let $\phi^{\lambda'}$ denote the generating function of Λ w.r.t. the new structure λ' . Applying lemma 2.6 we get

$$(\phi^{\lambda'} \circ k)(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T DB'D \underline{x}$$

for a diffeomorphism k on X relating the cotangent bundle structures via Λ . Its cotangent lifted map K via the λ -structure maps $d\phi^{\lambda'}|_x$ to $d(\phi^{\lambda'} \circ k)|_{k^{-1}(x)} = d(\phi^{(2)})|_{k^{-1}(x)}$ for each $x \in X$. Therefore,

$$d\phi^{\lambda'}(X) = (K^{-1} \circ \Phi^{(2)} \circ \Phi^{(1)})(\Lambda').$$

The symplectomorphism relating the cotangent bundle structures λ and λ' maps $d\phi^{\lambda'}(X)$ to Λ and fixes X and z . It follows that (X, Λ, z) and (X, Λ', z) are contact-equivalent. \square

Rather than using X as a zero section for a cotangent bundle structure to describe the Lagrangian contact problem (X, Λ, z) in Z we can use any other Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset Z$ as a reference manifold as specified in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.20. *Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in the symplectic manifold $Z = T^*L$. Assume that X and Λ are graphical and given as the images of $d\phi^X$ and $d\phi^\Lambda$, where $\phi^X, \phi^\Lambda: L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfil $\phi^X(\pi(z)) = \phi^\Lambda(\pi(z))$, where $\pi: Z \rightarrow L$ is the bundle projection. The map*

$$(2.18) \quad S = \phi^\Lambda - \phi^X$$

expressed in local coordinates around $\pi(z)$ is stably right equivalent to a generating function of (X, Λ, z) .

Proof. Denote the canonical 1-form on $Z = T^*L$ by λ , the embedding of Λ or X into Z by ι_Λ or ι_X , respectively. Since X and Λ are Lagrangian, there exist maps $S^X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S^\Lambda: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined around z such that $S^X(z) = 0 = S^\Lambda(z)$ and

$$dS^X = \iota_X^* \lambda, \quad dS^\Lambda = \iota_\Lambda^* \lambda.$$

We have

$$S = S^\Lambda \circ \pi|_\Lambda^{-1} - S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1},$$

where S is the same map as in (2.18). Now we construct a cotangent bundle structure with the same fibres as in T^*L but with X as a zero section and show that the map S lifted to X is a generating function for Λ in the new cotangent bundle structure. Thus, by proposition 2.14, the generating function of Λ in any other cotangent bundle structure of Z over X for which Λ is graphical must be stably right equivalent to S (when expressed in local coordinates around $\pi(z)$ or z on the zero section).

Consider the fibre preserving symplectic diffeomorphism

$$\chi: Z \rightarrow Z, \quad z \mapsto z - d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1})|_z.$$

The 1-form $\lambda' := \chi^* \lambda$ on Z vanishes exactly at the points in X since λ vanishes at the points in L and χ is a diffeomorphism. Moreover, $d\lambda' = \chi^* d\lambda = d\lambda$ which is the symplectic form on $Z = T^*L$. Therefore, the 1-form λ' defines a cotangent bundle structure on $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$ for which Λ is graphical since χ is fibre-preserving. The differential of the generating function of Λ with respect to the cotangent bundle structure defined by λ' considered as a function on Λ is given as $\iota_\Lambda^* \lambda'$. To prove that $S \circ \pi'|_L^{-1}$ is a generating function for Λ in $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$ it suffices to verify

$$\iota_\Lambda^* \lambda' = d(S \circ \pi'|_L^{-1}).$$

For this let $s \in \Lambda$ and $v \in T_s \Lambda$.

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_\Lambda^* \lambda'|_s(v) &= (\chi \circ \iota_\Lambda)^* \lambda|_s(v) \\ &= \lambda|_{\iota_\Lambda(s) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1})|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)}}((\chi \circ \iota_\Lambda)_* v) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} (\iota_\Lambda(s) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1})|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)})((\pi \circ \chi \circ \iota_\Lambda)_* v) \\ &= (\iota_\Lambda(s) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1})|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)})((\pi \circ \iota_\Lambda)_* v) \\ &= \iota_\Lambda(s)(\pi_* \iota_\Lambda_* v) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1} \circ \pi)|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)}(\iota_\Lambda_* v) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \lambda|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)}(\iota_\Lambda_* v) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1} \circ \pi)|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)}(\iota_\Lambda_* v) \\ &= \iota_\Lambda^* \lambda|_s(v) - \iota_\Lambda^* d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1} \circ \pi)|_s(v) \\ &= (dS^\Lambda - \iota_\Lambda^* d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1} \circ \pi))|_s(v) \\ &= (d(S^\Lambda \circ \pi|_\Lambda^{-1} \circ \pi'|_L^{-1}) - \iota_\Lambda^* d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1} \circ \pi'|_L^{-1}))|_s(v) \\ &= d(S \circ \pi'|_L^{-1})|_s(v). \end{aligned}$$

In equations marked with (*) we have used that λ is the canonical 1-form of $Z = T^*L$. □

3. SYMMETRIES

If a fully reduced generating function f of a Lagrangian contact problem (X, Λ, z) is invariant under a diffeomorphism \bar{h} defined on a neighbourhood of 0^2 , i.e. $f \circ \bar{h} = f$, then any other fully reduced generating function is of the form $f \circ r$ for a right equivalence r and is, therefore, invariant under $r^{-1} \circ \bar{h} \circ r$. Invariance of fully reduced generating functions is, thus, a well-defined concept. The fully reduced generating function f arises as the restriction of a generating function

$$\phi(x) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for (X, Λ, z) to some appropriate submanifold $\bar{X} = \{(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) \mid \underline{x} = 0\} \subset X$ (obtained by the parametric Morse lemma (lemma 2.12), for instance). The map $h(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (\bar{h}(\bar{x}), \underline{x})$ extends \bar{h} to a diffeomorphism on a neighbourhood of z in X . We have $\phi \circ h = f$.

Consider a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ which yields ϕ as a generating function for (X, Λ, z) . The cotangent lifted map H of h leaves X and Λ invariant. Indeed, the following proposition provides a correspondence between symmetries for generating functions and cotangent lifted maps: *a diffeomorphism on a submanifold*

²We do *not* require $\bar{h}(0) = 0$.

of Λ leaves a generating function invariant if and only if it arises as the cotangent lift of a map on X .

Proposition 3.1 (symmetries arise as cotangent lifts). *Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem and let $\tilde{h}: \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}$ be a diffeomorphism on a submanifold $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of Λ containing z . Consider a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ for which Λ is graphical with generating function ϕ . Choose coordinates x^1, \dots, x^n around z on X such that x^1, \dots, x^l are coordinates for $\pi(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Consider*

$$h(x) = (\tilde{h}(\pi|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^{-1}(x^1, \dots, x^l)), x^{l+1}, \dots, x^n)$$

with cotangent lift H . Now H restricts to \tilde{h} on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ if and only if $\phi \circ h = \phi$.

Proof. Let $(x, d\phi|_x) \in \Lambda$. We have

$$H(x, d\phi|_x) = (h^{-1}(x), d\phi|_x \circ dh|_{h^{-1}(x)}) = (h^{-1}(x), d(\phi \circ h)|_{h^{-1}(x)}).$$

Therefore, $H(x, d\phi|_x) \in \Lambda$ if and only if

$$d(\phi \circ h)|_{h^{-1}(x)} = d\phi|_{h^{-1}(x)}.$$

Thus, invariance of Λ under H is equivalent to ϕ being h -invariant. Moreover, if H restricts to \tilde{h} on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ then the whole manifold Λ is invariant by construction of h and H . The manifold $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is the image of $\pi(\tilde{\Lambda})$ under $d\phi$. Therefore, for $(x, d\phi|_x) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ we have $H(x, d\phi|_x) \in \Lambda$ if and only if $H(x, d\phi|_x) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. Now if ϕ is h -invariant then H must restrict to \tilde{h} on $\tilde{\Lambda}$. \square

Remark 3.2. The symmetries characterised in the above proposition (proposition 3.1) yield symmetries of the reduced generating function if \tilde{h} leaves a submanifold of $d\phi(\tilde{X}) \subset \Lambda$ invariant, where \tilde{X} is such that ϕ is stably right equivalent to $\phi|_{\tilde{X}}$. (The manifold \tilde{X} may be obtained by the parametric Morse lemma (lemma 2.12).)

Example 3.3 (boundary value problem for a symplectic map with symmetries). Consider a symplectic map $\psi: T^*N \rightarrow T^*N$ which commutes with a diffeomorphism H defined on T^*N . Let Z be the product manifold $T^*N \times T^*N$. Consider the projections $\text{pr}_j: Z \rightarrow T^*N$ where pr_j projects to the j -th component of the product. The manifold Z can be equipped with the symplectic form $\Omega = \text{pr}_1^*\omega - \text{pr}_2^*\omega$, where ω is the canonical symplectic structure on T^*N . Notice that the symplectic structure (Z, Ω) is *not* identical with the structure obtained using the identification $Z = T^*N \times T^*N \cong T^*(N \times N)$ which carries the structure $\text{pr}_1^*\omega + \text{pr}_2^*\omega$. The sub-bundle $\text{pr}_2(Z)$ of (Z, Ω) carries the symplectic structure $-\omega$. However, the cotangent lifts in $\text{pr}_2(Z)$ and T^*N coincide. The graph $\Lambda = \{(m, \psi(m)) \mid m \in T^*N\}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold and invariant under $H \times H$. Consider a graphical Lagrangian submanifold $X \subset Z$ and a point $z \in X \cap \Lambda$. Assume that there exists a submanifold $\bar{N} \subset N \times N$ such that

- the map $H \times H$ leaves $\tilde{X} = \pi|_X^{-1}(\bar{N})$ invariant and $z \in \tilde{X}$,
- the map $H \times H$ leaves $\tilde{\Lambda} = \pi|_{\Lambda}^{-1}(\bar{N})$ invariant and $z \in \tilde{\Lambda}$

We obtain generating functions ϕ^X and ϕ^Λ with respect to the considered cotangent bundle structure. If H is the cotangent lift of a diffeomorphism $h: N \rightarrow N$ in T^*N then the restrictions of ϕ^X and ϕ^Λ to \bar{N} are invariant under $(h \times h)|_{\bar{N}}$ by proposition 3.1. By proposition 2.20 the map $\phi = \phi^\Lambda - \phi^X$ is a generating function for the Lagrangian contact problem (X, Λ, z) . Its restriction to \bar{N} is invariant under $(h \times h)|_{\bar{N}}$. On the other hand we can conclude from proposition 3.1 that

if ϕ is invariant under $(H \times H)|_{\tilde{N}}$ then $H \times H$ must coincide with the cotangent lift of $(H \times H)|_{\tilde{N}}$ in the sub-bundle $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{N}) \subset Z$ on $\tilde{X} \cup \tilde{\Lambda}$. In particular we have $(\psi \circ Th)(m) = (Th \circ \psi)(m)$ for all m with $(m, \phi(m)), (H \times H)(m, \phi(m)) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$, where Th denotes the cotangent lift of $H|_{\tilde{N}}$ in $T^*\tilde{N}$. For this, let m with $(m, \phi(m)), (H \times H)(m, \psi(m)) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. We have

$$(H \times H)(m, \psi(m)) = T(H \times H)|_{\tilde{N}}(m, \psi(m)) = (Th(m), Th(\psi(m))).$$

Since this element lies in $\tilde{\Lambda}$ we must have $(Th \circ \psi)(m) = (\psi \circ Th)(m)$.

4. PARAMETER DEPENDENT LAGRANGIAN CONTACT PROBLEMS

For the *unfolding* of singularities in catastrophe theory, the *local algebra* of a map germ is an important notion [1, 11, 16]. We show that we can assign local algebras to Lagrangian contact problems in a way which only depends on the contact equivalence class of the problem.

For a point z in a smooth n -dimensional manifold X consider the ring $\epsilon(n)$ of smooth, scalar valued function germs at z . The ring $\epsilon(n)$ is a local ring and its (unique) maximal proper ideal is given by $\mathfrak{m}(n) = \{f \mid f(z) = 0\}$ [11, Thm. 2.1]. Let $\phi \in \mathfrak{m}^2(n)$, i.e. $\phi \in \mathfrak{m}(n)$ such that $d\phi|_z = 0$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be local coordinates on X centred at z . We denote the ideal in $\mathfrak{m}(n)$ generated by the partial derivatives of ϕ by

$$I_\phi := \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle.$$

The *local algebra*³ of ϕ at z is defined as

$$Q_\phi := \mathfrak{m}(n)/I_\phi.$$

For different choices of coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n or for stably right equivalent maps ϕ and ϕ' the local algebras are isomorphic. Therefore, proposition 2.14 and theorem 2.19 have the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. *Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in Z with cotangent bundle structures over X such that Λ is the image of the 1-form $d\phi$ or $d\phi'$, respectively, for $\phi, \phi' \in \mathfrak{m}^2(n)$. The algebras Q_ϕ and $Q_{\phi'}$ are isomorphic.*

Corollary 4.2. *Let (X, Λ, z) and (X, Λ', z) be two contact equivalent Lagrangian contact problem in Z . If for any cotangent bundle structure on Z over X the Lagrangian manifold Λ is the image of the 1-form $d\phi$ and Λ' the image of $d\phi'$ for $\phi, \phi' \in \mathfrak{m}^2(n)$ then the algebras Q_ϕ and $Q_{\phi'}$ are isomorphic.*

Notice that the following parameter dependent version of lemma 2.13 holds true as can be seen from its proof.

Lemma 4.3. *On $\mathbb{R}^n = \tilde{X} \oplus \underline{X}$ consider coordinates $x = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$, a smooth family of non-degenerate symmetric matrices $Q_\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$, a smooth family of maps g_μ defined on an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that the 2-jet of g_0 vanishes at 0. Here $\mu \in I$ is the family parameter and I is an open*

³This is the definition used in [11, 16]. In [1] the definition corresponds to the quotient $\epsilon(n)/I_\phi$, with $\phi \in \mathfrak{m}(n)$ but $\phi \in \mathfrak{m}^2(n)$ is not required.

neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$. Moreover, consider a smooth family of matrix valued function $\mathcal{H}_\mu: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}(n)$ with

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(x) = (h_\mu^{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = \begin{pmatrix} H_\mu^{11}(x) & H_\mu^{12}(x) \\ H_\mu^{12T}(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}(n) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mu, x) &= g_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T Q_\mu \underline{x} \\ \psi_t(\mu, x) &= g_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T Q_\mu \underline{x} + t \nabla \psi(\mu, x)^T \mathcal{H}_\mu(x) \nabla \psi(\mu, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ_t is right equivalent to ϕ around $(\mu, x) = (0, 0)$. The right equivalence is fibred, i.e. of the form $(\mu, x) \mapsto (\mu, r_\mu(x))$, and fixes $(\mu, x) = (0, 0)$.

Definition 4.4 (smooth Lagrangian family). Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ be an open neighbourhood of the origin. A family $(\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ of Lagrangian submanifolds of a symplectic manifold Z is *smooth* at $\mu = 0$ around $z \in \Lambda_0$ if there exists an open neighbourhood \tilde{Z} of z , a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda_0 \cap \tilde{Z}$, an open neighbourhood $\tilde{I} \subset I$ of 0 and a smooth family of maps $(\phi_\mu)_{\tilde{I}}$ such that $\Lambda_\mu \cap \tilde{Z}$ is the image of the section $d\phi_\mu: \Lambda_0 \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ for all $\mu \in \tilde{I}$.

Definition 4.4 is independent of the cotangent bundle structure $\pi: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda_0 \cap \tilde{Z}$ because two different structures relate by a smooth transition (lemma 2.6).

Definition 4.5 (parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem). Let $(X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ and $(\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ be two smooth Lagrangian families in a symplectic manifold Z such that $X_0 \cap \Lambda_0$ intersects in an isolated point z_0 and such that the set $X_\mu \cap \Lambda_\mu$ is discrete for all $\mu \in I$. Then $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ is called a (*parameter-dependent*) *Lagrangian contact problem in Z* .

Definition 4.6 (Morse-reduced form). Consider an open neighbourhood I of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and a family of scalar valued maps $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ defined around $z_0 \in X$, where X is an n -dimensional manifold. Consider coordinates $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ centred at 0 such that ϕ_μ is of the form

$$\phi_\mu(x) = f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for a symmetric, non-degenerate matrix B and a smooth family of maps $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ such that $\nabla_{\bar{x}} f_0(0) = 0$ and $\text{Hess } f_0(0) = 0$. Then $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ is a *Morse-reduced form* of $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$.

Remark 4.7. A possible parameter-dependence of the coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x})$ is suppressed in our notation.

Lemma 4.8 (existence and uniqueness of Morse-reduced forms). *Consider an open neighbourhood I of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and a smooth family of scalar valued maps $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ defined around $z_0 \in X$, where X is an n -dimensional manifold. The family $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ has a Morse-reduced form $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ and $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ is locally around 0 determined up to a right-action with a diffeomorphism of the form $K(\mu, \bar{x}) = (\mu, r_\mu(\bar{x}))$ with $K(0, 0) = 0$ and addition of a term $\chi(\mu)$, where χ is smooth and $\chi(0) = 0$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from the parametric Morse Lemma / Splitting Lemma as formulated in [17] which, compared with lemma 2.12, incorporates uniqueness properties. For the claimed existence result, we can use lemma 2.12 as follows. Let the dimension of the kernel of the Hessian matrix of ϕ_0 at z_0 be k . We find an

$n - k$ -dimensional submanifold $\underline{X} \subset X$ through z_0 such that the Hessian matrix of $\phi_0|_{\underline{X}}$ at z_0 is non-degenerate. Consider a transversal submanifold \bar{X} through z_0 . We apply the parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.12) to

$$(\mu, x) \mapsto \phi_\mu(x) - \underbrace{\langle \nabla_\mu \phi_\mu(0) |_{\mu=0, \mu} \rangle}_{=:\chi(\mu)} - \phi_0(0)$$

with respect to the splitting $(I \oplus \bar{X}) \oplus \underline{X}$ and obtain coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ on X centred at z_0 such that $(\bar{x}, 0)$ are coordinates on \bar{X} and $(0, \underline{x})$ are coordinates on \underline{X} and

$$\phi_\mu(x) = \tilde{f}_\mu(\bar{x}) + \phi_0(0) + \chi(\mu) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x} =: f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

The function $\bar{x} \mapsto f_0(\bar{x}) - \phi_0(0)$ has a vanishing 2-jet at $\bar{x} = 0$ and $B = \text{Hess } \phi_0|_{\underline{X}}$ is invertible. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Let $(X, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ be a parameter dependent Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ denote an open neighbourhood of 0. Consider smooth families of cotangent bundle structures $\Phi_\mu^\alpha, \Phi_\mu^\beta: T^*X \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$ ($\mu \in I$) such that Λ_μ is given as the image of the section $d\phi_\mu^\alpha$ and $d\phi_\mu^\beta$ locally around $z_0 \in Z$ with $\phi_0^\alpha(z_0) = 0 = \phi_0^\beta(z_0)$, respectively. Then $(\phi_\mu^\alpha)_\mu$ and $(\phi_\mu^\beta)_\mu$ admit the same Morse-reduced forms up to an addition of a smooth map $\chi(\mu)$ with $\chi(0) = 0$.*

Proof. The following proof is a parameter-dependent version of the proof of proposition 2.14 using lemma 4.3 instead of lemma 2.13. Let the dimension of the kernel of the Hessian matrix of ϕ_0^α at z_0 be k and the dimension of X n .

By lemma 4.8 there exist coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ on X centred at z_0 such that

$$\phi_\mu^\alpha(x) = f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}.$$

The function $\bar{x} \mapsto f_0(\bar{x})$ has a vanishing 2-jet at $\bar{x} = 0$ and $B = \text{Hess } \phi_0^\alpha|_{\underline{X}}$ is invertible. By lemma 2.6 we have

$$(4.1) \quad \phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \phi_\mu^\alpha + H_\mu(x, \nabla \phi_\mu^\alpha) + \chi(\mu),$$

for a smooth map χ with $\chi(0) = 0$ and

$$H_\mu(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}^\mu(x, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j = \xi^T \begin{pmatrix} H_\mu^{11}(x, \xi) & H_\mu^{12}(x, \xi) \\ H_\mu^{12}(x, \xi)^T & H_\mu^{22}(x, \xi) \end{pmatrix} \xi$$

with symmetric matrices $H_\mu^{11}(x, \xi)$ and $H_\mu^{22}(x, \xi)$ and with $k_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \pi^\beta \circ (\pi^\alpha|_{\Lambda_\mu})^{-1}$. For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ define

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(x) = \mathcal{H}_\mu(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = H_\mu(x, \nabla \phi_\mu^\alpha(x)), \quad \mathcal{H}_\mu^{ij}(x) = \mathcal{H}_\mu^{ij}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = H_\mu^{ij}(x, \nabla \phi^\alpha(x)).$$

We calculate

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_\mu^\beta(k_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(\bar{x}, \underline{x})) &\stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \phi_\mu^\alpha(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) + \mathcal{H}_\mu(x) + \chi(\mu) \\ &= f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T (B_\mu + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(x)) \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x})^T \mathcal{H}_\mu^{11}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2 \underline{x}^T \mathcal{H}_\mu^{12}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + \chi(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the Hessian matrix of $\phi_\mu^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}$ at $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (0, 0)$ using (4.2) and obtain

$$\text{Hess}(\phi_\mu^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta})(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2(B + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(0)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since Λ_μ is graphical in both cotangent bundle structures,

$$(4.3) \quad B'_\mu := B + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(0)$$

must be invertible by a dimension argument. For (μ, x) in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$ the signature of $B + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(x)$ is constant. By Sylvester's law of inertia, there exists a smooth family of invertible matrices $A_\mu(x)$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad A_\mu(x)^{-T}(B + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(x))A_\mu^{-1}(x) = B'_\mu$$

for all (μ, x) near $(0, 0)$. Consider

$$r_\mu(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (\bar{x}, A_\mu(x)\underline{x}).$$

For all μ the map r_μ fixes $x = 0$ and is a diffeomorphism on a neighbourhood of $x = 0$ which can be verified by calculating its Jacobian matrix at $(\mu, x) = (0, 0)$. Let us define

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{11}(x) &= \mathcal{H}_\mu^{11}(r_\mu^{-1}(x)) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{12}(x) &= A_\mu(r_\mu^{-1}(x))^{-T} \mathcal{H}_\mu^{12}(r_\mu^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 4.3 the map

$$\phi_1^\alpha := f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B'_\mu \underline{x}$$

is right equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2^\alpha(\mu, x) &:= f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B'_\mu \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x})^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{11}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{12}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_2^\alpha \circ r_\mu)(x) &= f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T A_\mu(x)^T B'_\mu A_\mu(x) \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x})^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{11}(r(x)) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T A_\mu(x)^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{12}(r(x)) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4), (4.5) and (4.2) the map $\phi_2^\alpha \circ r_\mu$ above coincides with $\phi_\mu^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \chi(\mu)$. This proves the claim. \square

Definition 4.10 (stably equivalent as unfoldings). Consider an open neighbourhoods I of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and I' of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l'}$ and two families of scalar valued maps $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ and $(\phi'_{\mu'})_{\mu' \in I'}$ defined around $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ or $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n'}$, respectively. Assume that $\phi_0(0) = 0$ and $\phi'_0(0) = 0$. If after a re-parametrisation fixing $\mu' = 0$ the family $(\phi'_{\mu'})_{\mu' \in I'}$ admits up to addition of a smooth map χ in the parameter μ with $\chi(\mu) = 0$ the same Morse-reduced form as $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ then we call $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ and $(\phi'_{\mu'})_{\mu' \in I'}$ *stably right equivalent as unfoldings*.

Remark 4.11. The above definition definition 4.10 corresponds to the equivalence relation in definition 5.3 in [16, p.124] using right-equivalence wherever the reference uses right-left equivalence.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ be a parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Consider two cotangent bundle structures on Z over X_0 locally around $z_0 \in X_0 \cap \Lambda_0$ such that for each $\mu \in I$ near 0 the submanifold Λ_μ is the image of the section $d\phi_\mu$ and X_μ the image of the section $d\psi_\mu$ w.r.t. the first cotangent bundle structure and Λ_μ is the image of the section $d\phi'_\mu$ and X_μ is the image of the section $d\psi'_\mu$ w.r.t. the second cotangent bundle structure such that $\phi_0, \psi_0, \phi'_0, \psi'_0$ vanish at z_0 . Then the families $(\rho_\mu)_\mu = (\phi_\mu - \psi_\mu)_\mu$ and $(\rho'_\mu)_\mu = (\phi'_\mu - \psi'_\mu)_\mu$ are stably right equivalent as unfoldings.*

Proof. In analogy to proposition 2.20 we modify the first cotangent bundle structure using the fibre-preserving symplectic diffeomorphism $\xi \mapsto \xi - d\psi_\mu|_{\pi^{-1}(\xi)}$ and the second cotangent bundle structure by $\xi \mapsto \xi - d\psi'_\mu|_{\pi'^{-1}(\xi)}$ fibre-wise. In the updated structures all X_μ are zero-sections and Λ_μ is given as the image of the section $d(\phi_\mu - \psi_\mu) = d\rho_\mu$ w.r.t. the first structure and as the image of the section $d(\phi'_\mu - \psi'_\mu) = d\rho'_\mu$ w.r.t. the second structure. Now the claim follows by proposition 4.9. \square

Definition 4.13 (generating family). The smooth family $(\rho_\mu)_\mu$ of maps constructed in proposition 4.12 for the parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ is called *generating family* for $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$.

Definition 4.14 (contact equivalence of parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problems). Let $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ and $((X'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z'_0)$ be Lagrangian contact problems in Z and Z' . The families are called *contact equivalent* if, after shrinking Z to an open neighbourhood of z_0 and Z' to an open neighbourhood of z'_0 , there exists a smooth family of symplectomorphisms $\Phi_\mu: Z \rightarrow Z'$ such that $\Phi_\mu(X_\mu) = X'_{\theta(\mu)}$, $\Phi(\Lambda_\mu) = \Lambda'_{\theta(\mu)}$ and $\Phi_0(z_0) = z'_0$, where θ is a diffeomorphism defined around $0 \in I$ fixing $\mu = 0$.

We can conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 4.15. *Two parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problems in a symplectic manifold are contact equivalent if and only if their generating families are stably right equivalent as unfoldings.*

Proof. Let $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ and $((X'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z'_0)$ be parameter dependent Lagrangian contact problems in Z . Since symplectic manifolds are locally symplectomorphic, we can assume $z_0 = z'_0$. (Also see lemma 2.18.) As seen from the proof of proposition 4.12 (in analogy to proposition 2.20) we can reduce the problem to a problem with a constant family $X_\mu \equiv X$. Assume that $(X, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ and $(X, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ are contact equivalent. Consider a cotangent bundle structure over X such that Λ_μ are graphical for μ near 0. After a re-parametrisation of $(X, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$, if necessary, there exists a family of cotangent bundle structures over X such that the generating family for $(X, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ coincides with the generating family for $(X, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ as can be seen from the proof of theorem 2.19. It now follows from proposition 4.9 that the generating families of the contact problems admit the same Morse-reduced form. On the other hand, if the problems $(X, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ and $(X, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ have generating families which admit the same Morse-reduced form then we can use a parameter version of the proof of theorem 2.19 to construct symplectomorphisms $\Phi_\mu: Z \rightarrow Z$ locally defined around z_0 such that $\Phi_\mu(X_\mu) = X_{\theta(\mu)}$, $\Phi(\Lambda_\mu) = \Lambda'_{\theta(\mu)}$ and $\Phi_0(z_0) = z_0$, where θ is a diffeomorphism defined around $0 \in I$ fixing $\mu = 0$. \square

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND APPLICATION TO BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS
OF SYMPLECTIC MAPS

5.1. Stably contact equivalence. It is now justified to introduce the notion of *stably contact equivalence* for Lagrangian contact problems to compare Lagrangian contact problems in *different dimensions*.

Definition 5.1. Two Lagrangian contact problems (in symplectic manifolds of possibly different dimensions) are *stably contact equivalent* if their generating functions are stably right equivalent. Moreover, two parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problems (in symplectic manifolds of possibly different dimensions) are *stably contact equivalent* if their generating families are stably right equivalent as unfoldings.

Proposition 5.2. *Two Lagrangian contact problems in symplectic manifolds of the same dimension are stably contact equivalent if and only if they are contact equivalent.*

Proof. The statement follows by theorem 2.19 and theorem 4.15. \square

We obtain the theorems announced in section 1.

Theorem 1.6. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between Lagrangian contact problems modulo stably contact equivalence and smooth real-valued function germs up to stably right equivalence.*

Theorem 1.7. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem up to stably right equivalence and unfoldings of smooth, real-valued function germs up to stably right equivalences as unfoldings.*

Remark 5.3. Classification results in catastrophe theory (see [1], for instance) apply to Lagrangian contact problems and parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problems.

5.2. Boundary value problems for symplectic maps. An application is the classification of singularities and bifurcations which occur in boundary value problems for symplectic maps [14]: consider a smooth family of symplectic maps $\phi_\mu : Z \rightarrow Z'$ for $\mu \in I$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ is an open neighbourhood of the origin. Let us denote the symplectic form of Z by ω and the symplectic form of Z' by ω' . Let $\text{pr} : Z \times Z' \rightarrow Z$ and $\text{pr} : Z \times Z' \rightarrow Z'$ denote projections to the first or second component of the product. Define the symplectic form $\Omega = \text{pr}^*\omega - \text{pr}'^*\omega'$ on $Z \times Z'$. The graphs of $(\phi_\mu)_\mu$ define a smooth family $(\Lambda_\mu)_\mu$ of Lagrangian submanifolds in $Z \times Z'$. The Lagrangian contact problems $((\Lambda_\mu)_\mu, (X_\mu)_\mu, z)$ for a smooth family $(X_\mu)_\mu$ of Lagrangian submanifolds of $Z \times Z'$ and a point $z \in Z \times Z'$ can be interpreted as a family of boundary value problems for the symplectic maps $(\phi_\mu)_\mu$.

Example 5.4 (periodic boundary conditions). Consider $Z' = Z$, let $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ be a family of symplectic maps on Z , let Λ_μ denote the graph of ϕ_μ viewed as a subset of $Z \times Z$ and let $X_\mu \equiv X$ be the diagonal embedding of Z into $Z \times Z$. The elements of the intersection $\Lambda_\mu \cap X$ correspond to solutions to the boundary value problem $\phi_\mu(z) = z, z \in Z$.

Example 5.5 (Dirichlet-type boundary conditions). Consider a family of Hamiltonians $(H_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ on a cotangent bundle space T^*U with bundle projection $\pi : T^*U \rightarrow$

U . Let $q^*, Q^* \in U$ and let ϕ_μ denote the time-1-map corresponding to the Hamiltonian system $(H_\mu, T^*U, -d\lambda)$. Let (q, p) denote local canonical coordinates on T^*U around a point of interest where Z (Z') is a coordinate patch such that $q^* \in \pi(Z)$ ($Q^* \in \pi(Z')$). The equation

$$(5.1) \quad \pi(\phi_\mu(q^*, p)) = Q^*$$

is a boundary value problem for ϕ_μ . This kind of boundary value problems can occur for first-order formulations of parameter-dependent second-order systems of ordinary differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions, for instance. Let Λ_μ denote the graph of $\phi_\mu: Z \rightarrow Z'$ viewed as a subset of $Z \times Z'$ and let $X_\mu \equiv X = \text{pr}^{-1}(q^*) \times \text{pr}'^{-1}(Q^*)$. The elements of the intersection $\Lambda_\mu \cap X$ correspond to solutions to the boundary value problem (5.1).

Classification results of catastrophe theory (see [1, Part II], for instance) apply to Lagrangian boundary value problems whose generating families constitute versal unfoldings. Indeed, we obtain a rigorous framework for the work done in [14], i.e. the analysis of bifurcations in boundary value problems for symplectic maps and of problems with symmetry constraints. (See example 3.3.) In particular, theorem 4.15 fills a gap in the argumentation of proposition 2.1 in [14].

Example 5.6. Singularities in conjugate loci can be viewed as singularities of exponential maps. Interpreting exponential maps as Lagrangian maps [8], the singularities can be classified via generating families up to stably R^+ -equivalence [1, p.304]. Alternatively, elements of conjugate loci can be interpreted as singularities of boundary value problems for exponential maps. Using a slightly different notion to identify the singularities, elements in the conjugate loci correspond to map germs up to right equivalence with certain symmetries [15].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Robert I McLachlan for many useful discussions. This research was supported by the Marsden Fund of the Royal Society Te Apārangi.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnold, V., Gusein-Zade, S., Varchenko, A.: Singularities of Differentiable Maps, Volume 1. Birkhuser Boston (2012). DOI 10.1007/978-0-8176-8340-5. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-8340-5>
- [2] Arnold, V.I.: Catastrophe Theory. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1992). DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-58124-3. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-58124-3>
- [3] Arnold, V.I., Afrajmovich, V., Il'yashenko, Y., Shil'nikov, L.: Dynamical Systems V: Bifurcation Theory and Catastrophe Theory. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (1994). DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-57884-7. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-57884-7>
- [4] Bröcker, T.: Differentiable Germs and Catastrophes. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press (1975). DOI 10.1017/CBO9781107325418. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107325418>
- [5] Fukaya, K.: Lagrangian Intersection Floer Theory: Anomaly and Obstruction, Part I. AMS/IP studies in advanced mathematics. American Mathematical Society (2010). URL <https://books.google.co.nz/books?id=TEp8AwAAQBAJ>
- [6] Golubitsky, M., Guillemin, V.: Contact equivalence for Lagrangian manifolds. Advances in Mathematics **15**(3), 375–387 (1975). DOI 10.1016/0001-8708(75)90143-7. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0001870875901437>
- [7] Haro, À.: The primitive function of an exact symplectomorphism. Non-linearity **13**(5), 1483–1500 (2000). DOI 10.1088/0951-7715/13/5/304. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/13/5/304>

- [8] Janeczko, S., Mostowski, T.: Relative generic singularities of the exponential map. *Compositio Mathematica* **96**(3), 345–370 (1995). URL http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1995__96_3_345_0
- [9] Lomeli, H.E., Meiss, J.D., Ramírez-Ros, R.: Canonical Melnikov theory for diffeomorphisms. *Nonlinearity* **21**(3), 485–508 (2008). DOI 10.1088/0951-7715/21/3/007. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088%2F0951-7715%2F21%2F3%2F007>
- [10] Lorand, J., Weinstein, A.: (Co)isotropic pairs in Poisson and presymplectic vector spaces. *SIGMA* **11** (2015). DOI 10.3842/SIGMA.2015.072. URL <https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2015.072>
- [11] Lu, Y.: Singularity theory and an introduction to catastrophe theory. Universitext (1979). Springer-Verlag (1976). DOI 10.1007/978-1-4612-9909-7. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-9909-7>
- [12] Marsden, J.E., Ratiu, T.S.: Cotangent Bundles, pp. 165–180. Springer New York, New York, NY (1999). DOI 10.1007/978-0-387-21792-5_6. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21792-5_6
- [13] Mather, J.N.: Stability of C^∞ mappings: III. finitely determined map-germs. *Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques* **35**(1), 127–156 (1968). DOI 10.1007/BF02698926. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02698926>
- [14] McLachlan, R.I., Offen, C.: Bifurcation of solutions to Hamiltonian boundary value problems. *Nonlinearity* **31**(6), 2895 (2018). DOI 10.1088/1361-6544/aab630. URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0951-7715/31/i=6/a=2895>
- [15] McLachlan, R.I., Offen, C.: Hamiltonian boundary value problems, conformal symplectic symmetries, and conjugate loci. *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics (NZJM)* **48**, 83–99 (2018). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07479>
- [16] Wassermann, G.: Stability of Unfoldings. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1974). DOI 10.1007/bfb0061658. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0061658>
- [17] Weinstein, A.: Singularities of families of functions. *Differentialgeometrie im Grossen (Ber. Tagung, Math. Forschungsinst., Oberwolfach, 1969)* **4**, 323–330 (1971)
- [18] Weinstein, A.: Lagrangian submanifolds and hamiltonian systems. *The Annals of Mathematics* **98**(3), 377 (1973). DOI 10.2307/1970911. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307%2F1970911>

SCHOOL OF FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE, MASSEY UNIVERSITY, PRIVATE BAG 11 222, PALMERSTON NORTH, 4442, NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: c.offen@massey.ac.nz