

CONTACT BETWEEN LAGRANGIAN MANIFOLDS

CHRISTIAN OFFEN

ABSTRACT. Tangential intersections of Lagrangian manifolds up to contact equivalence correspond to smooth function germs (generating functions) up to right equivalence locally around the intersection point. We extend this result of Golubitsky and Guillemin for tangential intersections to arbitrary intersections of Lagrangian manifolds and to intersections of two families of Lagrangian manifolds. This provides a framework which allows a natural transportation of the notions of catastrophe theory such as stability, unfolding and (uni-)versality to the geometric setting such that we obtain a classification of families of Lagrangian intersection problems. An application is the classification of Lagrangian boundary value problems for symplectic maps. Moreover, we prove a result which applies to symmetric settings: invariances of generating functions of Lagrangian intersection problems correspond to exactly those symplectic diffeomorphisms which arise as cotangent lifts.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** Local singularities of smooth, scalar valued maps have been studied extensively under the headlines *catastrophe theory* and *singularity theory* because the local behaviour of the set of critical points of a smooth map under perturbations is related to bifurcation phenomena in dynamical systems [2, 3]. Thanks to the work of Whitney, Thom, Mather, Arnold and others, classification results for singularities are known [1, 11]. Of fundamental importance for the classification results is the notion of right equivalence of map germs: two map germs $\phi, \phi': (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ are *right equivalent* if there exists a local diffeomorphism r on \mathbb{R}^k defined around zero and fixing 0 such that $\phi' = \phi \circ r$.

In [6] Golubitsky and Guillemin show that the question whether two map germs are right equivalent has a geometric analogue: using representatives of the map germs as generating functions one obtains two Lagrangian submanifold in a cotangent bundle which intersect the zero section tangentially. The maps are right equivalent if and only if the tangential intersections are *contact equivalent*, i.e. if and only if there exists a local symplectomorphism mapping one Lagrangian submanifold to the other while fixing the zero section and the intersection point. The statement is very appealing because it connects the analysis side including all its algebraic tools with geometric concepts. On the other hand, the geometric problem of intersecting Lagrangian manifolds is important in its own right. In dynamical systems, for instance, intersections of Lagrangian invariant manifolds in phase spaces encode important information about the dynamics [7, 9]. For global aspects of Lagrangian

Date: November 27, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D12, 58K35, 37J20, 58K05.

Key words and phrases. Symplectic Geometry, Lagrangian manifolds, contact equivalence.

intersections we refer to [5] and references therein. Moreover, boundary value problems in Hamiltonian systems can be phrased as Lagrangian intersection problems and local properties of the intersections are of high significance for a description of the bifurcation behaviour of solutions [14, 15, 17].

However, in these applications intersections of Lagrangian submanifolds are typically not tangential. In this paper we extend Golubitsky and Guillemin's result to a local result for arbitrary intersections of two Lagrangian submanifolds in a symplectic manifold. We prove that two intersections of two Lagrangian submanifolds in a symplectic manifold are contact equivalent, i.e. there exists a local symplectomorphism between the pairs, if and only if the assigned function germs obtained using auxiliary cotangent bundle structures are *stably* right equivalent. Moreover, intersection problems of Lagrangian manifolds are often subject to symmetry constraints which have an effect on which singularities occur generically and how the intersections unfold when parameters are present. Such symmetry constraints appear, for instance, in Hamiltonian boundary value problems, where the Hamiltonian is invariant under a symplectic or conformal-symplectic symmetry [14, 15]. We will show under which conditions symmetries in Lagrangian contact problems and in boundary value problems for symplectic maps, in particular, yield invariances of the assigned function germs.

1.2. Correspondence of tangential Lagrangian intersection problems with smooth, scalar-valued function germs up to right equivalence. We introduce the notion of *Lagrangian contact problems*, review some definitions originating from [6, 13] and sketch results obtained by Golubitsky and Guillemin in [6] and our proposed extension before starting a rigorous treatment in the remaining sections.

Definition 1.1 (Lagrangian contact problem). Let X, Λ be two Lagrangian submanifolds of a symplectic manifold Z intersecting in an isolated point $z \in \Lambda \cap X$. Then (X, Λ, z) is called a *Lagrangian contact problem (in Z)*. We say Λ *has contact with X in z* . In the special case where X and Λ are tangential in z the problem (X, Λ, z) is called a *tangential Lagrangian contact problem*.

Definition 1.2 (contact equivalence of Lagrangian contact problems). Let (X, Λ, z) and (X', Λ', z') be two Lagrangian contact problems in Z and Z' , respectively. We say that (X, Λ, z) and (X', Λ', z') are *contact equivalent* or Λ *has the same contact with X at z as Λ' has contact with X' at z'* if there exist open neighbourhoods $U \subset Z$ of z and $U' \subset Z'$ of z' and a symplectic diffeomorphism $\Phi: U \rightarrow U'$ such that $\Phi(X \cap U) = X' \cap U'$ and $\Phi(\Lambda \cap U) = \Lambda' \cap U'$.

Definition 1.3 (right equivalence of function germs). Two germs of smooth maps $f, g: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ are *right equivalent* if there exists a germ of a diffeomorphism $h: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ such that $f = g \circ h$.

Definition 1.4 (stably right equivalence of function germs). Two germs of smooth maps $f: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$, $x \mapsto f(x)$ and $g: (\mathbb{R}^l, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$, $y \mapsto g(y)$ are *stably right equivalent* if there exist non-degenerate quadratic forms $Q_1(u)$ and $Q_2(v)$ such that $F(x, u) = f(x) + Q_1(u)$ and $G(y, v) = g(y) + Q_2(v)$ are right equivalent.

To assign a Lagrangian intersection problem to a smooth function germ, the function germ is reduced by the Splitting Lemma or parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.7) to a stably right equivalent germ f possibly in fewer variables such that f vanishes to second order at the origin. The assigned Lagrangian manifold Λ

is the graph of df considered as a section in the cotangent bundle over the domain of definition of f . Notice that the graph of df is tangent to the zero section X at 0. In this way, we obtain a Lagrangian intersection problem which is defined up to contact equivalence of Λ with X at 0. Moreover, germs which are right equivalent to f correspond to Lagrangian manifolds which have the same contact with x at X as Λ (theorem 2.5).

On the other hand, one can assign a function germ to a situation where a Lagrangian submanifold Λ of a symplectic manifold is tangent to another (distinguished¹) Lagrangian submanifold X as follows: choose a cotangent bundle structure on X around the intersection point x . Since Λ is tangent at x , it is a section of the cotangent bundle around x and, since Λ is Lagrangian, it is given by the graph of a closed 1-form. Locally around x the 1-form has a primitive which constitutes a smooth function germ f . This construction determines the function germ f up to right equivalence. Moreover, the germ assigned to any other contact equivalent Lagrangian submanifold is right equivalent to f (theorem 2.5).

Remark 1.5 (Warning). Contact equivalence for Lagrangian contact problems is not to be confused with Mather's notion of contact equivalence for map germs which is related to the contact of smooth manifolds up to diffeomorphisms (i.e. without symplectic structure) [13].

1.3. Correspondence of general Lagrangian intersection problems with smooth, scalar-valued function germs up to stably right-equivalence. It is easy to see from a perturbation argument or from the classification of intersections of Lagrangian linear subspaces of finite-dimensional symplectic vector spaces [10] that there is always a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that Λ is graphical, i.e. the image of a section of $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ locally around z or, equivalently, $\pi|_{\Lambda}: \Lambda \rightarrow X$ is an immersion around z [14, Lemma 2.1.]. Thus, in the same way as described in section 1.2, one can assign germs of functions f to a Lagrangian intersection problem of Λ with X in a symplectic manifold Z if Λ and X are not necessarily tangential at an isolated intersection point z , i.e. $T_z\Lambda \supsetneq T_z\Lambda \cap T_zX \subsetneq T_zX$.

However, in this more general setting Golubitsky and Guillemin's construction of a right equivalence between function germs corresponding to the same manifold Λ but different cotangent bundle structures does not work. Indeed, for non-tangential intersections we will see that there always exist cotangent bundle structures which are sufficiently "far apart" such that the obtained function germs are not right equivalent. However, we can extend Golubitsky and Guillemin's result to arbitrary Lagrangian contact problems as follows: two Lagrangian contact problems yield *stably* right equivalent germs if and only if the contact problems are contact equivalent. Once we will have achieved this, we can define *stably contact equivalence* of Lagrangian contact problems to obtain a sensible notion for contact problems in different dimensions.

Definition 1.6. Let (X, Λ, z) and (X', Λ', z') be two Lagrangian contact problems in Z and Z' , respectively. We say that (X, Λ, z) and (X', Λ', z') are *stably contact equivalent* if for a cotangent bundle structure over X defined around $z \in Z$ such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi$ with $\phi(z) = 0$ and for a cotangent bundle structures over X' defined around $z' \in Z'$ such that Λ' is the image of the section

¹The Lagrangian contact problems (X, Λ, z) and (Λ, X, z) are considered as distinct.

$d\phi'$ with $\phi'(z') = 0$ the germs ϕ and ϕ' presented in centred coordinates around $z \in X$ and $z' \in X'$ are stably right equivalent.

The situation can then be summarised in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between Lagrangian contact problems modulo stably contact equivalence and smooth real-valued function germs up to stably right equivalence.*

Moreover, we will derive a notion for parameter-dependent problems and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem up to stably right equivalence and unfoldings of smooth, real-valued function germs up to stably right equivalences as unfoldings.*

This allows transporting the highly-developed notions and algebraic framework of catastrophe theory to Lagrangian contact problems and bifurcations of Lagrangian intersection problems and classification results for singularities apply to contact problems.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we prove that (not necessarily tangential) Lagrangian contact problems up to contact equivalence correspond to map germs up to stably right equivalence. In section 3 we prove that invariances of generating functions of Lagrangian contact problems correspond to cotangent lifted maps leaving the manifolds of the contact problem invariant. In section 4 we extend the identification results of section 2 to families of Lagrangian contact problems. In section 5 we conclude theorem 1.7 and theorem 1.8 and show an application to boundary value problems for symplectic maps.

2. LAGRANGIAN CONTACT PROBLEMS AND STABLY RIGHT EQUIVALENT GERMS

For reference, let us recall some of Golubitsky and Guillemin's results.

Lemma 2.1 ([6, Lemma 3.1]). *Let X be a Lagrangian submanifold of a symplectic manifold Z . Let x_1, \dots, x_n be local coordinates on X . Consider two cotangent bundle structures $\Phi^\alpha: Z \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*X$ and $\Phi^\beta: Z \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*X$. Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be the conjugate momenta to x_1, \dots, x_n with respect to the α structure. Let λ denote the canonical 1-form on T^*X , $\alpha = (\Phi^\alpha)^*\lambda$, $\beta = (\Phi^\beta)^*\lambda$. Then the closed 1-form $\alpha - \beta$ can locally be written as dH with*

$$(2.1) \quad H(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(x, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j.$$

If the Lagrangian submanifold $\Lambda \subset Z$ is the image of the section $d\phi^\alpha$ w.r.t. the Φ^α -structure as well as the image of the section $d\phi^\beta$ w.r.t. the Φ^β -structure then

$$(2.2) \quad (\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta})(x) = \phi^\alpha(x) + H(x, \nabla\phi^\alpha) + \text{const.},$$

where $k_{\alpha\beta}$ is the diffeomorphism $k_{\alpha\beta} = \pi^\beta \circ (\pi^\alpha|_\Lambda)^{-1}$.

Proof. The 1-forms α and β are primitives of the symplectic form on Z . Moreover, $\alpha|_z = 0$ if and only if $z \in X$. Analogously for β . Therefore, $\alpha - \beta$ is closed and has a primitive H of the form (2.1).

Let $\iota: \Lambda \hookrightarrow Z$ denote the embeddings of Λ into Z . The 1-forms $\iota^*\alpha$ and $\iota^*\beta$ are closed since Λ is Lagrangian. We denote their primitives defined around a common

point, which projects under ϕ^α to $x = 0 \in X$, by ϕ^α and ϕ^β , respectively. Due to $\iota^*\alpha - \iota^*\beta = d(H \circ \iota)$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \phi^\beta = \phi^\alpha + H \circ \iota + \text{const.}$$

on Λ . Expressing relation (2.3) in the canonical coordinates (x, ξ) of the α -cotangent bundle structure yields (2.2). \square

Remark 2.2. If the manifolds X and Λ intersect non-trivially and x_1, \dots, x_n are centred coordinates at an intersection point of Λ and X then the constant in (2.2) vanishes if $\phi^\alpha(0) = 0 = \phi^\beta(0)$.

Lemma 2.3 ([6, Prop.4.2]). *Let*

$$H(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(x, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j$$

be defined on an open neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider a real valued map ϕ defined on a neighbourhood of \mathbb{R}^n such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\nabla\phi(0) = 0$, $\text{Hess}\phi(0) = 0$. The map

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(x) = \phi(x) + H(x, \nabla\phi(x))$$

is right equivalent to ϕ on a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n and the right equivalence fixes the origin.

Proof. To simplify notation, we set

$$\bar{H}(x) = H(x, \nabla\phi(x)), \quad \bar{h}_{ij}(x) = h_{ij}(x, \nabla\phi(x)).$$

We prove the assertion using the homotopy method. Define

$$(2.5) \quad \psi_t(x) = \phi(x) + t\bar{H}(x).$$

We seek a family of local diffeomorphisms f_t fixing 0 such that

$$(2.6) \quad \psi_t \circ f_t = \phi.$$

Differentiating (2.6) w.r.t. t we find

$$\dot{\psi}_t \circ f_t + \langle \nabla\psi_t \circ f_t, \dot{f}_t \rangle = 0.$$

Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n . An evaluation at $f_t^{-1}(x)$ yields

$$(2.7) \quad \dot{\psi}_t + \langle \nabla\psi_t, w(x, t) \rangle = 0$$

with

$$(2.8) \quad w(x, t) = \dot{f}_t(f_t^{-1}(x)).$$

We will show that (2.7) is solvable for w around $x = 0$ with $w(0, t) = 0$. Then there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of 0 such that the initial value problem

$$(2.9) \quad \dot{f}_t(x) = w(f_t(x), t), \quad f_0(x) = x$$

can be solved for all $x \in U$ on the interval $t \in [0, 1]$. The obtained family of functions f_t fulfils $\frac{d}{dt}(\psi_t \circ f_t) = 0$ with $f_0 = \text{id}$ and, therefore, (2.6). Moreover, $f_t(0) \equiv 0$ such that f_1 is the required right equivalence.

We now show that (2.7) is solvable for w with $w(0, t) = 0$ near $x = 0$. Differentiating (2.5) w.r.t. x yields

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial x_l} &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_l} + t \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{h}_{ij}}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} + 2\bar{h}_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_l} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &= \sum_j \underbrace{\left(\delta_{lj} + t \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial \bar{h}_{ij}}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} + 2\bar{h}_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_l} \right) \right)}_{=: B_{lj}(t,x)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \end{aligned}$$

The maps $B_{lj}(t, x)$ form a matrix B with $B(t, 0) = \text{Id}$. Therefore, there exists a neighbourhood of $x = 0$ such that B is invertible for all $t \in [0, 1]$. We have

$$(2.11) \quad \nabla \phi = B^{-1} \nabla \psi_t.$$

The functions \bar{h}_{ij} constitute a matrix which we denote by \mathcal{H} . Differentiating (2.5) w.r.t. t and using (2.11) we get

$$\dot{\psi}_t = \nabla \phi^T \mathcal{H} \nabla \phi \stackrel{(2.11)}{=} \nabla \psi_t^T \underbrace{B^{-T} \mathcal{H} \nabla \phi}_{=: -w}.$$

Now $w(0, t) = 0$ and w solves (2.7). This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.1 and lemma 2.1 imply the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let (X, Λ, z) be a tangential Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Consider two cotangent bundle structures over X near z such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi^\alpha$ and $d\phi^\beta$ with $\phi^\alpha(z) = 0 = \phi^\beta(z)$. Then ϕ^α and ϕ^β are right equivalent.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let (X, Λ, z) and (X, Λ', z) be two tangential Lagrangian contact problems in Z . For any cotangent bundle structures over X near z such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi$ and Λ' the image of $d\phi'$ with $\phi(z) = 0 = \phi'(z)$, the map germs ϕ and ϕ' are right equivalent if and only if the tangential Lagrangian intersection problems are contact equivalent.*

Proof. Assume $\phi = \phi' \circ r$ for a right equivalence r . Note that r fixes z . Its cotangent lifted map R (see [12, 6.3] for definitions) fixes X and

$$R(d\phi'|_x) = d\phi'|_x \circ dr|_{r^{-1}(x)} = d(\phi' \circ r)|_{r^{-1}(x)} = d\phi|_{r^{-1}(x)}, \quad x \in X.$$

Therefore, the symplectic diffeomorphism R maps Λ' to Λ and, thus, provides a contact equivalence between (X, Λ, z) and (X, Λ', z) .

Now assume there exists a symplectic diffeomorphism with $\Phi(X) = X$, $\Phi(z) = z$ and $\Phi(\Lambda) = \Lambda'$ (locally around z). Choose a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi$ and Λ' the image of the section $d\phi'$ around z with $\phi(z) = 0 = \phi'(z)$. Consider the cotangent bundle structure $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$ with $\pi' = \pi \circ \Phi^{-1}$. The map $\Phi \circ d\phi$ maps X onto Λ' and is a section of $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$. This means Λ' can be represented by $d\phi$ in the new structure. Therefore, by proposition 2.4, the map germs ϕ and ϕ' must be right equivalent. \square

We recall the well-known *Morse Lemma* and its parametric version which is referred to as *parametric Morse Lemma* or *Splitting Lemma*.

Lemma 2.6 (Morse Lemma - [1, §6.2]). *Let $\phi: (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ be a function germ with critical point and an invertible Hessian matrix at 0. There exists a local diffeomorphism r on \mathbb{R}^n defined around the origin and fixing 0 such that*

$$(\phi \circ r)(x) = x^T \text{Hess } \phi(0)x.$$

Proof. We define

$$f(x) = x^T \text{Hess } \phi(0)x, \quad \psi(x) = \phi(x) - f(x), \quad f_t = f + t\psi.$$

and use the homotopy method to show that ϕ is right equivalent to f . For this we seek a family of local diffeomorphisms $(g_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ defined around $x = 0$ such that

$$(2.12) \quad f_t \circ g_t = f, \quad g_t(0) = 0, \quad g_0(x) = x.$$

Differentiation of $f_t \circ g_t = f$ w.r.t. t (denoted by a dot in the following) yields

$$D(f + t\psi)(g_t(x))\dot{g}_t(g_t(x)) + \psi(g_t(x)) = 0.$$

Evaluation at $g_t^{-1}(x)$ gives

$$(2.13) \quad D(f + t\psi)(x)\dot{g}_t(x) = -\psi(x).$$

The linear map $D(f + t\psi)(x)$ can be represented as a row vector $(y_1(t, x), \dots, y_n(t, x))$. Its Jacobian matrix $\text{Hess } f + t\text{Hess } \psi$ is invertible near 0 for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Denoting the components of \dot{g}_t by subscripts, equation (2.13) reads

$$y_1(\dot{g}_t)_1 + \dots + y_n(\dot{g}_t)_n = -\psi.$$

Since the 2-jet of ψ vanishes at 0, we can obtain the maps $(\dot{g}_t)_1, \dots, (\dot{g}_t)_n$ by an application of Hadamard's lemma [16, I, Lemma 2.1] to ψ and (y_1, \dots, y_n) with $\dot{g}_t(0) = 0$. Now g_t is obtained from \dot{g}_t by an integration w.r.t. t for the initial condition $g_0(x) = x$. Such a family g_t fulfils (2.12). \square

An alternative proof is given in [16, I, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.7 (parametric Morse lemma). *Let $\phi: (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be a function germ with critical point at the origin 0. Consider the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = \bar{X} \oplus \underline{X}$ for two linear subspaces \bar{X} and \underline{X} such that the Hessian matrix B of the restriction $\phi|_{\underline{X}}: (\underline{X}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ is invertible. There exists a change of coordinates K on a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $K(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (\bar{x}, \kappa(\bar{x}, \underline{x}))$ with $K(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ such that*

$$(\phi \circ K)(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}.$$

If we choose the dimension of \underline{X} to be maximal then the 2-jet of f vanishes.

A proof using the tools of Singularity theory is given in [4, §14.12].

Remark 2.8. If ϕ in lemma 2.7 is smooth then K is smooth.

We now leave the setting of tangential Lagrangian contact problems and extend proposition 2.4: a function germ assigned to a (not necessarily tangential) Lagrangian intersection problem using any cotangent bundle structure for which the intersection problem is graphical is well-defined up to stably right equivalence.

Lemma 2.9. *On $\mathbb{R}^n = \bar{X} \oplus \underline{X}$ consider coordinates $x = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$, a non-degenerate symmetric matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$, a map germ $g: (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow$*

$(\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ whose 2-jet vanishes at 0 and a matrix valued function $\mathcal{H}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}(n)$ with

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = (h_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{11}(x) & H^{12}(x) \\ H^{12}(x)^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}(n) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= g(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T Q \underline{x} \\ \psi_t(x) &= g(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T Q \underline{x} + t(\nabla\psi(x))^T \mathcal{H}(x) \nabla\psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ_t is right equivalent to $\psi = \psi_0$ around $x = 0$ and the right equivalence fixes 0.

Proof. Motivated by the proof of lemma 2.3 we define the components $B_{lj}(t, x)$ of a matrix $B(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as

$$B_{lj} = \delta_{lj} + t \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} + 2h_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_l} \right).$$

We have

$$B(t, 0) = \text{Id}_n + 2t \text{Hess} \psi(0) \mathcal{H}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_k & 0 \\ 4tQH^{12T} & \text{Id}_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is invertible for all t . In analogy to (2.10)

$$\nabla\psi_t(x) = B(t, x) \nabla\psi(x).$$

Therefore,

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \psi_t = \nabla\psi^T \mathcal{H} \nabla\psi = \nabla\psi_t^T \underbrace{B^{-T} \mathcal{H} \nabla\psi}_{=:\omega} = -\langle \nabla\psi_t, \omega \rangle.$$

There exists a neighbourhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} f_t(x) = \omega(f_t(x), t), \quad f_0(x) = x$$

is solvable for all $x \in U$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$. Since $\omega(0, t) = 0$ we have $f_t(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t \circ f_t) &= \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t) \circ f_t + \left\langle \nabla\psi_t \circ f_t, \frac{d}{dt} f_t \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t) \circ f_t + \langle \nabla\psi_t, \omega \rangle \circ f_t \\ &\stackrel{(2.14)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_0 = \text{id}_U$ we have

$$\psi_t \circ f_t = \psi_0 \circ f_0 = \psi$$

and f_t is the required right equivalence. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Consider two cotangent bundle structures $\Phi^\alpha, \Phi^\beta: T^*X \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$ such that Λ is given as the image of the section $d\phi^\alpha$ and $d\phi^\beta$ locally around $z \in Z$ with $\phi^\alpha(z) = 0 = \phi^\beta(z)$, respectively. Then ϕ^α and ϕ^β are stably right equivalent locally around z .*

Proof. By the parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.7) there exist coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ on X centred at z such that

$$\phi^\alpha(x) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for a smooth function germ f with vanishing 2-jet at $\bar{x} = 0$ and an invertible symmetric matrix B . The map ϕ^α is stably right equivalent to f . By lemma 2.1 we have

$$(2.15) \quad \phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta} = \phi^\alpha + H(x, \nabla \phi^\alpha),$$

for a map

$$H(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(x, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j = \xi^T \begin{pmatrix} H^{11}(x, \xi) & H^{12}(x, \xi) \\ H^{12}(x, \xi)^T & H^{22}(x, \xi) \end{pmatrix} \xi$$

with symmetric matrices $H^{11}(x, \xi)$ and $H^{22}(x, \xi)$ and with $k_{\alpha\beta} = \pi^\beta \circ (\pi^\alpha|_\Lambda)^{-1}$. For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ define

$$\mathcal{H}(x) := \mathcal{H}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) := H(x, \nabla \phi^\alpha(x)), \quad \mathcal{H}^{ij}(x) := \mathcal{H}^{ij}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) := H^{ij}(x, \nabla \phi^\alpha(x)).$$

We calculate

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^\beta(k_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{x}, \underline{x})) &\stackrel{(2.15)}{=} \phi^\alpha(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) + \mathcal{H}(x) \\ &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T (B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(x)) \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x})^T \mathcal{H}^{11}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T \mathcal{H}^{12}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of $\text{Hess}(\phi^\alpha)$ and the kernel of $\text{Hess}(\phi^\beta)$ at $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (0, 0)$ both describe the intersection $T_z X \cap T_z \Lambda$ (but in different coordinates). Therefore, the kernel of $\text{Hess}(\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta})$ must coincide with the kernel of $\text{Hess}(\phi^\alpha)$ which is $\overline{X} = \{\underline{x} = 0\}$. We calculate the Hessian matrix of $\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}$ at $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (0, 0)$ using (2.16) and obtain

$$\text{Hess}(\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta})(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2(B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(0)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since Λ is graphical in both cotangent bundle structures,

$$(2.17) \quad B' := B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(0)$$

must be invertible by a dimension argument. Now ϕ^α is stably right-equivalent to

$$\phi_1^\alpha(x) := f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B' \underline{x}.$$

For x in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of 0 the signature of $B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(x)$ is constant. By Sylvester's law of inertia, there exists a smooth family of invertible matrices $A(x)$ such that

$$(2.18) \quad A(x)^{-T} (B + \mathcal{H}^{22}(x)) A^{-1}(x) = B'$$

for all x near 0. Consider

$$r(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (\bar{x}, A(x)\underline{x}).$$

The map r fixes $x = 0$ and is a diffeomorphism on a neighbourhood of $x = 0$: the Jacobian matrix of r is given by the block matrix

$$\text{Dr}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_k & 0 \\ (\partial A(x))_{l=1, \dots, k} & (\partial A(x))_{l=k+1, \dots, n} + A(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $(\partial A(x))_{l=1,\dots,k}$ denotes the first k columns and $(\partial A(x))_{l=k+1,\dots,n}$ the remaining $n-k$ columns of an $(n-k) \times n$ matrix $\partial A(x)$ whose l -th column is given as

$$(\partial A(x))_l = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x^l}(x)\underline{x},$$

where the derivative $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x^l}$ is taken component-wise. Now the determinant of $\text{Dr}(0)$ coincides with the determinant of $A(0)$ which is non-zero, so r is indeed a right equivalence.

Let us define

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{11}(x) &= \mathcal{H}^{11}(r^{-1}(x)) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{12}(x) &= A(r^{-1}(x))^{-T} \mathcal{H}^{12}(r^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.9 the map ϕ_1^α is right equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2^\alpha(x) &:= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B' \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x})^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{11}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{12}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_2^\alpha \circ r)(x) &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T A(x)^T B' A(x) \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x})^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{11}(r(x)) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T A(x)^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{12}(r(x)) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.18), (2.19) and (2.16) the map $\phi_2^\alpha \circ r$ above coincides with $\phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus, the maps ϕ^α and ϕ^β are stably right equivalent. \square

Remark 2.11. We see from the proof of proposition 2.10 that if the intersection of Λ and X is not tangential then the dimension of \underline{X} is greater than 0 and there exist two cotangent bundle structures such that ϕ^α and ϕ^β are stably right equivalent but *not* right equivalent: to a cotangent bundle structure over X defined by a canonical 1-form α for which Λ is graphical chose another cotangent bundle structure $\beta = \alpha + dH$ with

$$H(x, \xi) = \xi^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B + D \end{pmatrix} \xi,$$

where D is an invertible symmetric matrix which has a different signature than B . As in the proof of proposition 2.10, the coordinates (x, ξ) refer to canonical coordinates w.r.t. the α -structure. We get $B' = D$ which is invertible such that Λ is graphical for the cotangent bundle structure defined by β . However, the signatures of $\text{Hess } \phi^\alpha(0)$ and $\text{Hess } \phi^\beta(0)$ do not coincide.

Definition 2.12 (generating function). Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Consider a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that Λ is given as the image of the section $d\phi$ locally around $z \in Z$ with $\phi(z) = 0$. We call ϕ a *generating function of (X, Λ, z)* . By the parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.7) there exist coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ on X centred at z such that

$$\phi(x) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for a smooth function germ f with vanishing 2-jet and an invertible matrix B . The map germ f is called a *fully reduced generating function of (X, Λ, z)* .

Lemma 2.13. *Consider a symplectic diffeomorphism $\Phi: Z \rightarrow Z'$. The generating function of a Lagrangian contact problem (X, Λ, z) in Z is right equivalent to the generating function of the Lagrangian contact problem $(\Phi(X), \Phi(\Lambda), \Phi(z))$ in Z'*

Proof. Cotangent bundle structures on Z over X correspond to cotangent bundle structures on Z' over $\Phi(X)$, whereas the corresponding canonical 1-forms λ and λ' relate by $\lambda = \Phi^*\lambda'$. Therefore, if $\iota: \Lambda \hookrightarrow Z$ is the embedding of Λ into Z and $\iota' = \Phi \circ \iota \circ (\Phi^{-1})|_{\Phi(\Lambda)}$ the embedding of $\Phi(\Lambda)$ into Z' then

$$\iota'^*\lambda' = (\Phi^{-1})|_{\Phi(\Lambda)}^*\iota^*\lambda.$$

Thus, the primitive of $\iota'^*\lambda'$ around $\Phi(z)$ which vanishes at $\Phi(z)$ and the primitive of $\iota^*\lambda$ around z which vanishes at z relate by $(\Phi^{-1})|_{\Phi(\Lambda)}$. Thus, expressing the primitives in coordinates on X or $\Phi(X)$, we obtain generating functions which are right-equivalent. \square

We can now extend theorem 2.5 to non-tangential Lagrangian contact problems.

Theorem 2.14. *Two Lagrangian contact problems (X, Λ, z) and (X', Λ', z') in Z are contact equivalent if and only if their generating functions are stably right equivalent.*

Proof. By lemma 2.13 it is sufficient to prove the assertion for $X' = X$ and $z' = z$.

Assume there exists a symplectic diffeomorphism with $\Phi(X) = X$, $\Phi(z) = z$ and $\Phi(\Lambda) = \Lambda'$ (locally around z). Choose a cotangent bundle structure on $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that Λ is the image of the section $d\phi$ and Λ' the image of the section $d\phi'$ around z with $\phi(z) = 0 = \phi'(z)$. Consider the cotangent bundle structure $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$ with $\pi' = \pi \circ \Phi^{-1}$. The map $\Phi \circ d\phi$ maps X onto Λ' and is a section of $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$. This means Λ' can be represented by $d\phi$ in the new structure. Therefore, by proposition 2.10, the map germs ϕ and ϕ' must be stably right equivalent.

Now let ϕ be a generating function of (X, Λ, z) and ϕ' of (X, Λ', z) . Let us assume that ϕ, ϕ' refer to the same cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ and that the function germs ϕ and ϕ' are stably right equivalent.

By the parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.7) there exist coordinates $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ and $(\bar{x}', \underline{x}') = ((x'^1, \dots, x'^k), (x'^{k+1}, \dots, x'^n))$ on X centred at z , function germs f, f' with vanishing 2-jets at 0 and invertible, symmetric matrices B and B' such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x} \\ \phi'(\bar{x}', \underline{x}') &= f'(\bar{x}') + \underline{x}'^T B' \underline{x}'.\end{aligned}$$

Let D be an invertible, diagonal, $n - k$ -dimensional matrix such that the matrix $-B + DB'D$ is invertible. Define the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(1)}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B' \underline{x} \\ \phi^{(2)}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) &= f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T DB'D \underline{x}.\end{aligned}$$

We now show that we can map $\Lambda' = d\phi'(X)$ to $d\phi^{(1)}(X)$, then to $d\phi^{(2)}(X)$ and finally to $\Lambda = d\phi(X)$ with symplectic diffeomorphisms which fix X and z .

Since ϕ and ϕ' are stably right equivalent, there exists a right equivalence r such that $f = f' \circ r$. The cotangent lifted map of r maps $df'|_{\bar{x}'}$ to $df|_{r^{-1}(\bar{x}')}$. Define $\tilde{r}(\bar{x}', \underline{x}') = (r(\bar{x}'), \underline{x}')$ and denote the cotangent lift of \tilde{r} by $\Phi^{(1)}$. Now $\Phi^{(1)}$ maps $\Lambda' = d\phi'(X)$ to the manifold $d\phi^{(1)}(X)$. Denote the cotangent lift of the

map $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) \mapsto (\bar{x}, D\underline{x})$ by $\Phi^{(2)}$. The symplectomorphism $\Phi^{(2)} \circ \Phi^{(1)}$ maps Λ' to the manifold $d\phi^{(2)}(X)$. Let λ denote the canonical 1-form of the cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$. We define another cotangent bundle structure over X by setting its canonical 1-form to $\lambda' = \lambda + dH$ with

$$H(x, \xi) = \xi^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B + DB'D \end{pmatrix} \xi.$$

The manifold Λ is graphical w.r.t. the cotangent bundle structure defined by λ' by the choice of D . Applying lemma 2.1 to Λ we get

$$(\phi \circ k)(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T DB'D \underline{x}$$

for a diffeomorphism k on X relating the cotangent bundle structures via Λ . Its cotangent lifted map K via the λ -structure maps $d\phi|_x$ to $d(\phi \circ k)|_{k^{-1}(x)} = d(\phi^{(2)})|_{k^{-1}(x)}$ for each $x \in X$. Therefore,

$$\Lambda = (K^{-1} \circ \Phi^{(2)} \circ \Phi^{(1)})(\Lambda').$$

This proves that (X, Λ, z) and (X, Λ', z) are contact-equivalent. \square

Rather than using X as a zero section for a cotangent bundle structure to describe the Lagrangian contact problem (X, Λ, z) in Z we can use any other Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset Z$ which admits a cotangent bundle structure for which X and Λ are graphical. This is proven in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.15. *Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in the symplectic manifold $Z = T^*L$. Assume that X and Λ are graphical and given as the images of $d\phi^X$ and $d\phi^\Lambda$, where $\phi^X, \phi^\Lambda: L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfil $\phi^X(\pi(z)) = \phi^\Lambda(\pi(z))$. The map $S = \phi^\Lambda - \phi^X$ expressed in local coordinates around $\pi(z)$ is stably right equivalent to a generating function of (X, Λ, z) .*

Proof. Denote the canonical 1-form on $Z = T^*L$ by λ , the embedding of Λ or X into Z by ι_Λ or ι_X , respectively. Since X and Λ are Lagrangian, there exist maps $S^X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S^\Lambda: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined around z such that $S^X(z) = 0 = S^\Lambda(z)$ and

$$dS^X = \iota_X^* \lambda, \quad dS^\Lambda = \iota_\Lambda^* \lambda.$$

We have

$$S = S^\Lambda \circ \pi|_\Lambda^{-1} - S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1},$$

where S is the same map as in the assertion. Now we construct a cotangent bundle structure with the same fibres as in T^*L but with X as a zero section and show that the map S lifted to X is a generating function for Λ in the new cotangent bundle structure. Thus, by proposition 2.10, the generating function of Λ in any other cotangent bundle structure of Z over X for which Λ is graphical must be stably right equivalent to S (when expressed in local coordinates around $\pi(z)$ or z on the zero section).

Consider the fibre preserving symplectic diffeomorphism

$$\chi: Z \rightarrow Z, \quad z \mapsto z - d(S^X \circ \pi|_X^{-1})|_z.$$

The 1-form $\lambda' := \chi^* \lambda$ on Z vanishes exactly at the points in X since λ vanishes at the points in L and χ is a diffeomorphism. Moreover, $d\lambda' = \chi^* d\lambda = d\lambda$ which is the symplectic form on $Z = T^*L$. Therefore, the 1-form λ' defines a cotangent bundle structure on $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$ for which Λ is graphical since χ is fibre-preserving. The differential of the generating function of Λ with respect to the cotangent bundle

structure defined by λ' considered as a function on Λ is given as $\iota_\Lambda^* \lambda'$. To prove that $S \circ \pi'|_{L^{-1}}$ is a generating function for Λ in $\pi': Z \rightarrow X$ it suffices to verify

$$\iota_\Lambda^* \lambda' = d(S \circ \pi'|_{L^{-1}}).$$

For this let $s \in \Lambda$ and $v \in T_s \Lambda$.

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_\Lambda^* \lambda'|_s(v) &= (\chi \circ \iota_\Lambda)^* \lambda|_s(v) \\ &= \lambda|_{\iota_\Lambda(s) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_{X^{-1}})|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)}}((\chi \circ \iota_\Lambda)_* v) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} (\iota_\Lambda(s) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_{X^{-1}})|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)})((\pi \circ \chi \circ \iota_\Lambda)_* v) \\ &= (\iota_\Lambda(s) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_{X^{-1}})|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)})((\pi \circ \iota_\Lambda)_* v) \\ &= \iota_\Lambda(s)(\pi_* \iota_{\Lambda*} v) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_{X^{-1}} \circ \pi)|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)}(\iota_{\Lambda*} v) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \lambda|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)}(\iota_{\Lambda*} v) - d(S^X \circ \pi|_{X^{-1}} \circ \pi)|_{\iota_\Lambda(s)}(\iota_{\Lambda*} v) \\ &= \iota_\Lambda^* \lambda|_s(v) - \iota_\Lambda^* d(S^X \circ \pi|_{X^{-1}} \circ \pi)|_s(v) \\ &= (dS^\Lambda - \iota_\Lambda^* d(S^X \circ \pi|_{X^{-1}} \circ \pi))|_s(v) \\ &= (d(S^\Lambda \circ \pi|_{\Lambda^{-1}} \circ \pi'|_{L^{-1}}) - \iota_\Lambda^* d(S^X \circ \pi|_{X^{-1}} \circ \pi'|_{L^{-1}}))|_s(v) \\ &= d(S \circ \pi'|_{L^{-1}})|_s(v). \end{aligned}$$

In equations marked with (*) we have used that λ is the canonical 1-form of $Z = T^*L$. □

3. SYMMETRIES

If a fully reduced generating function f of a Lagrangian contact problem (X, Λ, z) is invariant under a diffeomorphism \bar{h} defined on a neighbourhood of 0^2 , i.e. $f \circ \bar{h} = f$, then any other fully reduced generating function is of the form $f \circ r$ for a right equivalence r . It is, therefore, invariant under $r^{-1} \circ \bar{h} \circ r$. Invariance of fully reduced generating functions is, thus, a well-defined concept. The fully reduced generating function f arises as the restriction of a generating function

$$\phi(x) = f(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for (X, Λ, z) to some appropriate submanifold $\bar{X} = \{(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) \mid \underline{x} = 0\} \subset X$ (obtained by the parametric Morse lemma (lemma 2.7), for instance). The map $h(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (\bar{h}(\bar{x}), \underline{x})$ extends \bar{h} to a diffeomorphism on a neighbourhood of z in X . We have $\phi \circ h = \phi$.

Consider a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ which yields ϕ as a generating function for (X, Λ, z) . The cotangent lifted map H of h leaves X and Λ invariant. Indeed, the following proposition provides a correspondence between symmetries for generating functions and cotangent lifted maps: *a diffeomorphism on a submanifold of Λ leaves a generating function invariant if and only if it arises as the cotangent lift of a map on X .*

Proposition 3.1 (symmetries arise as cotangent lifts). *Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem and let $\tilde{h}: \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}$ be a diffeomorphism on a submanifold $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of Λ containing z . Consider a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ for which*

²We do not require $\bar{h}(0) = 0$.

Λ is graphical with generating function ϕ . Choose coordinates x^1, \dots, x^n around z on \tilde{X} such that x^1, \dots, x^l are coordinates for $\pi(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Consider

$$h(x) = (\tilde{h}(\pi|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^{-1}(x^1, \dots, x^l)), x^{l+1}, \dots, x^n)$$

with cotangent lift H . Now H restricts to \tilde{h} on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ if and only if $\phi \circ h = \phi$.

Proof. Let $(x, d\phi|_x) \in \Lambda$. We have

$$H(x, d\phi|_x) = (h^{-1}(x), d\phi|_x \circ dh|_{h^{-1}(x)}) = (h^{-1}(x), d(\phi \circ h)|_{h^{-1}(x)}).$$

Therefore, $H(x, d\phi|_x) \in \Lambda$ if and only if

$$d(\phi \circ h)|_{h^{-1}(x)} = d\phi|_{h^{-1}(x)}.$$

Thus, invariance of Λ under H is equivalent to ϕ being h -invariant. Moreover, if H restricts to \tilde{h} on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ then the whole manifold Λ is invariant by construction of h and H . The manifold $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is the image of $\pi(\tilde{\Lambda})$ under $d\phi$. Therefore, for $(x, d\phi|_x) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ we have $H(x, d\phi|_x) \in \Lambda$ if and only if $H(x, d\phi|_x) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. Now if ϕ is h -invariant then H must restrict to \tilde{h} on $\tilde{\Lambda}$. \square

Remark 3.2. The symmetries characterised in the above proposition (proposition 3.1) yield symmetries of the reduced generating function if \tilde{h} leaves a submanifold of $d\phi(\tilde{X}) \subset \Lambda$ invariant, where \tilde{X} is such that ϕ is stably right equivalent to $\phi|_{\tilde{X}}$. (The manifold \tilde{X} may be obtained by the parametric Morse lemma (lemma 2.7).)

Example 3.3 (boundary value problem for a symplectic map with symmetries). Consider a symplectic map $\psi: T^*N \rightarrow T^*N$ which commutes with a diffeomorphism H defined on T^*N . Let Z be the product manifold $T^*N \times T^*N$. Consider the projections $\text{pr}_j: Z \rightarrow T^*N$ where pr_j projects to the j -th component of the product. The manifold Z can be equipped with the symplectic form $\Omega = \text{pr}_1^*\omega - \text{pr}_2^*\omega$, where ω is the canonical symplectic structure on T^*N . Notice that the symplectic structure (Z, Ω) is *not* identical with the structure obtained using the identification $Z = T^*N \times T^*N \cong T^*(N \times N)$ which carries the structure $\text{pr}_1^*\omega + \text{pr}_2^*\omega$. The sub-bundle $\text{pr}_2(Z)$ of (Z, Ω) carries the symplectic structure $-\omega$. However, the cotangent lifts in $\text{pr}_2(Z)$ and T^*N coincide. The graph $\Lambda = \{(m, \psi(m)) \mid m \in T^*N\}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold and invariant under $H \times H$. Consider a graphical Lagrangian submanifold $X \subset Z$ and a point $z \in X \cap \Lambda$. Assume that there exists a submanifold $\tilde{N} \subset N \times N$ such that

- the map $H \times H$ leaves $\tilde{X} = \pi|_{\tilde{X}}^{-1}(\tilde{N})$ invariant and $z \in \tilde{X}$,
- the map $H \times H$ leaves $\tilde{\Lambda} = \pi|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^{-1}(\tilde{N})$ invariant and $z \in \tilde{\Lambda}$

We obtain generating functions ϕ^X and ϕ^Λ with respect to the considered cotangent bundle structure. If H is the cotangent lift of a diffeomorphism $h: N \rightarrow N$ in T^*N then the restrictions of ϕ^X and ϕ^Λ to \tilde{N} are invariant under $(h \times h)|_{\tilde{N}}$ by proposition 3.1. By proposition 2.15 the map $\phi = \phi^\Lambda - \phi^X$ is a generating function for the Lagrangian contact problem (X, Λ, z) . Its restriction to \tilde{N} is invariant under $(h \times h)|_{\tilde{N}}$. On the other hand we can conclude from proposition 3.1 that if ϕ is invariant under $(H \times H)|_{\tilde{N}}$ then $H \times H$ must coincide with the cotangent lift of $(H \times H)|_{\tilde{N}}$ in the sub-bundle $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{N}) \subset Z$ on $\tilde{X} \cup \tilde{\Lambda}$. In particular we have $(\psi \circ Th)(m) = (Th \circ \psi)(m)$ for all m with $(m, \phi(m)), (H \times H)(m, \phi(m)) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$, where Th denotes the cotangent lift of $H|_{\tilde{N}}$ in $T^*\tilde{N}$. For this, let m with $(m, \phi(m)), (H \times H)(m, \psi(m)) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. We have

$$(H \times H)(m, \psi(m)) = T(H \times H)|_{\tilde{N}}(m, \psi(m)) = (Th(m), Th(\psi(m))).$$

Since this element lies in $\tilde{\Lambda}$ we must have $(Th \circ \psi)(m) = (\psi \circ Th)(m)$.

4. PARAMETER DEPENDENT LAGRANGIAN CONTACT PROBLEMS

For the *unfolding* of singularities in catastrophe theory, the *local algebra* of a map germ is an important notion [1, 11]. We show that we can assign local algebras to Lagrangian contact problems in a way which only depends on the contact equivalence class of the problem.

For a point z in a smooth n -dimensional manifold X consider the ring $\epsilon(n)$ of smooth, scalar valued function germs at z . The ring $\epsilon(n)$ is a local ring and its (unique) maximal proper ideal is given by $\mathfrak{m}(n) = \{f \mid f(z) = 0\}$ [11, Thm. 2.1]. Let $\phi \in \mathfrak{m}^2(n)$, i.e. $\phi \in \mathfrak{m}(n)$ such that $d\phi|_z = 0$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be local coordinates on X centred at z . We denote the ideal in $\mathfrak{m}(n)$ generated by the partial derivatives of ϕ by

$$I_\phi := \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle.$$

The *local algebra of ϕ at z* ³ is defined as

$$Q_\phi := \mathfrak{m}(n)/I_\phi.$$

For different choices of coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n or for stably right equivalent maps ϕ and ϕ' the local algebras are isomorphic. Therefore, proposition 2.10 and theorem 2.14 have the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. *Let (X, Λ, z) be a Lagrangian contact problem in Z with cotangent bundle structures over X such that Λ is the image of the 1-form $d\phi$ or $d\phi'$, respectively, for $\phi, \phi' \in \mathfrak{m}^2(n)$. The algebras Q_ϕ and $Q_{\phi'}$ are isomorphic.*

Corollary 4.2. *Let (X, Λ, z) and (X, Λ', z) be two contact equivalent Lagrangian contact problem in Z . If for any cotangent bundle structure on Z over X the Lagrangian manifold Λ is the image of the 1-form $d\phi$ and Λ' the image of $d\phi'$ for $\phi, \phi' \in \mathfrak{m}^2(n)$ then the algebras Q_ϕ and $Q_{\phi'}$ are isomorphic.*

Notice that the following parameter dependent version of lemma 2.9 holds true.

Lemma 4.3. *On $\mathbb{R}^n = \bar{X} \oplus \underline{X}$ consider coordinates $x = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$, a smooth family of non-degenerate symmetric matrices $Q_\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-k) \times (n-k)}$, a smooth family of maps g_μ defined on an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that the 2-jet of g_0 vanishes at 0. Here $\mu \in I$ is the family parameter and I is an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$. Moreover, consider a smooth family of matrix valued function $\mathcal{H}_\mu: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}(n)$ with*

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(x) = (h_\mu^{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = \begin{pmatrix} H_\mu^{11}(x) & H_\mu^{12}(x) \\ H_\mu^{12T}(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}(n) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mu, x) &= g_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T Q_\mu \underline{x} \\ \psi_t(\mu, x) &= g_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T Q_\mu \underline{x} + t \nabla \psi(\mu, x)^T \mathcal{H}_\mu(x) \nabla \psi(\mu, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ_t is right equivalent to ϕ around $(\mu, x) = (0, 0)$. The right equivalence is fibred, i.e. of the form $(\mu, x) \mapsto (\mu, r_\mu(x))$, and fixes $(\mu, x) = (0, 0)$.

³This is the definition used in [11]. In [1] the definition corresponds to the quotient $\epsilon(n)/I_\phi$, with $\phi \in \mathfrak{m}(n)$ but $\phi \in \mathfrak{m}^2(n)$ is not required.

Definition 4.4 (smooth Lagrangian family). Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ be an open neighbourhood of the origin. A family $(\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ of Lagrangian submanifolds of a symplectic manifold Z is *smooth* at $\mu = 0$ around $z \in \Lambda_0$ if there exists an open neighbourhood \tilde{Z} of z , a cotangent bundle structure $\pi: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda_0 \cap \tilde{Z}$, an open neighbourhood $\tilde{I} \subset I$ of 0 and a smooth family of maps $(\phi_\mu)_{\tilde{I}}$ such that $\Lambda_\mu \cap \tilde{Z}$ is the image of the section $d\phi_\mu: \Lambda_0 \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ for all $\mu \in \tilde{I}$.

Definition 4.4 is independent of the cotangent bundle structure $\pi: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda_0 \cap \tilde{Z}$ because two different structures relate by a smooth transition (lemma 2.1).

Definition 4.5 (parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem). Let $(X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ and $(\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ be two smooth Lagrangian families in a symplectic manifold Z such that $X_0 \cap \Lambda_0$ intersects in an isolated point z_0 and such that the set $X_\mu \cap \Lambda_\mu$ is discrete for all $\mu \in I$. Then $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ is called a (*parameter-dependent*) *Lagrangian contact problem* in Z .

Definition 4.6 (Morse-reduced form). Consider an open neighbourhood I of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and a family of scalar valued maps $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ defined around $z_0 \in X$, where X is an n -dimensional manifold. Consider coordinates $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ centred at 0 such that ϕ_μ is of the form

$$\phi_\mu(x) = f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for a symmetric, non-degenerate matrix B and a smooth family of maps $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ such that $\nabla_{\bar{x}} f_0(0) = 0$ and $\text{Hess } f_0(0) = 0$. Then $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ is a Morse-reduced form of $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$.

Remark 4.7. A possible parameter-dependence of the coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x})$ is suppressed in our notation.

Lemma 4.8 (existence and uniqueness of Morse-reduced forms). *Consider an open neighbourhood I of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and a smooth family of scalar valued maps $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ defined around $z_0 \in X$, where X is an n -dimensional manifold. The family $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ has a Morse-reduced form $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ and $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ is locally around 0 determined up to a right-action with a diffeomorphism of the form $K(\mu, \bar{x}) = (\mu, r_\mu(\bar{x}))$ with $K(0, 0) = 0$ and addition of a term $\chi(\mu)$, where χ is smooth and $\chi(0) = 0$.*

Proof. Let the dimension of the kernel of the Hessian matrix of ϕ_0 at z_0 be k . We find an $n - k$ -dimensional submanifold $\underline{X} \subset X$ through z_0 such that the Hessian matrix of $\phi_0|_{\underline{X}}$ at z_0 is non-degenerate. Consider a transversal submanifold \bar{X} through z_0 . We apply the parametric Morse Lemma (lemma 2.7) to

$$(\mu, x) \mapsto \phi_\mu(x) - \underbrace{\langle \nabla_\mu \phi_\mu(0) |_{\mu=0, \mu} \rangle}_{=:\chi(\mu)} - \phi_0(0)$$

with respect to the splitting $(I \oplus \bar{X}) \oplus \underline{X}$ and obtain coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ on X centred at z_0 such that $(\bar{x}, 0)$ are coordinates on \bar{X} and $(0, \underline{x})$ are coordinates on \underline{X} and

$$\phi_\mu(x) = \tilde{f}_\mu(\bar{x}) + \phi_0(0) + \chi(\mu) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x} =: f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

The function $\bar{x} \mapsto f_0(\bar{x}) - \phi_0(0)$ has a vanishing 2-jet at $\bar{x} = 0$ and $B = \text{Hess } \phi_0|_{\underline{X}}$ is invertible. This proves the existence of a Morse-reduced form.

Now consider representations of $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ in coordinates (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) and $(\bar{x}', \underline{x}')$ centred at z_0 such that

$$f'_\mu(\bar{x}') + \underline{x}'^T B \underline{x}' = \phi_\mu(x) = f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}$$

for symmetric, non-degenerate matrices B, B' and smooth families $(f_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (f'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ such that $\nabla_{\bar{x}} f_0(0) = 0 = \nabla_{\bar{x}'} f'_0(0)$ and $\text{Hess } f_0(0) = 0 = \text{Hess } f'_0(0)$. We see that for each μ the map germ ϕ_μ is stably right equivalent to f_μ as well as to f'_μ . Since f_0 and f'_0 coincide at 0 and their first and second derivatives vanish at 0, they must be right equivalent. Moreover, for μ near 0 the signatures of the Morse-part of f_μ and f'_μ must coincide such that f_μ and $f'_\mu + \chi(\mu)$ are right-equivalent for an appropriate smooth function χ with $\chi(0) = 0$. This proves the claimed uniqueness property of the Morse-reduced form. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Let $(X, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ be a parameter dependent Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ denote an open neighbourhood of 0. Consider smooth families of cotangent bundle structures $\Phi_\mu^\alpha, \Phi_\mu^\beta: T^*X \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$ ($\mu \in I$) such that Λ_μ is given as the image of the section $d\phi_\mu^\alpha$ and $d\phi_\mu^\beta$ locally around $z_0 \in Z$ with $\phi_0^\alpha(z_0) = 0 = \phi_0^\beta(z_0)$, respectively. Then $(\phi_\mu^\alpha)_\mu$ and $(\phi_\mu^\beta)_\mu$ admit the same Morse-reduced forms up to an addition of a smooth map $\chi(\mu)$ with $\chi(0) = 0$.*

Proof. The following proof is a parameter-dependent version of the proof of proposition 2.10 using lemma 4.3 instead of lemma 2.9. Let the dimension of the kernel of the Hessian matrix of ϕ_0^α at z_0 be k and the dimension of X be n .

By lemma 4.8 there exist coordinates $x = (\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = ((x^1, \dots, x^k), (x^{k+1}, \dots, x^n))$ on X centred at z_0 such that

$$\phi_\mu^\alpha(x) = f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B \underline{x}.$$

The function $\bar{x} \mapsto f_0(\bar{x})$ has a vanishing 2-jet at $\bar{x} = 0$ and $B = \text{Hess } \phi_0^\alpha|_{\underline{X}}$ is invertible. By lemma 2.1 we have

$$(4.1) \quad \phi^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \phi_\mu^\alpha + H_\mu(x, \nabla \phi_\mu^\alpha) + \chi(\mu),$$

for a smooth map χ with $\chi(0) = 0$ and

$$H_\mu(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}^\mu(x, \xi) \xi_i \xi_j = \xi^T \begin{pmatrix} H_\mu^{11}(x, \xi) & H_\mu^{12}(x, \xi) \\ H_\mu^{12}(x, \xi)^T & H_\mu^{22}(x, \xi) \end{pmatrix} \xi$$

with symmetric matrices $H_\mu^{11}(x, \xi)$ and $H_\mu^{22}(x, \xi)$ and with $k_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \pi^\beta \circ (\pi^\alpha|_{\Lambda_\mu})^{-1}$. For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ define

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(x) = \mathcal{H}_\mu(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = H_\mu(x, \nabla \phi_\mu^\alpha(x)), \quad \mathcal{H}_\mu^{ij}(x) = \mathcal{H}_\mu^{ij}(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = H_\mu^{ij}(x, \nabla \phi_\mu^\alpha(x)).$$

We calculate

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_\mu^\beta(k_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(\bar{x}, \underline{x})) &\stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \phi_\mu^\alpha(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) + \mathcal{H}_\mu(x) + \chi(\mu) \\ &= f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T (B_\mu + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(x)) \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x})^T \mathcal{H}_\mu^{11}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2 \underline{x}^T \mathcal{H}_\mu^{12}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + \chi(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the Hessian matrix of $\phi_\mu^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}$ at $(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (0, 0)$ using (4.2) and obtain

$$\text{Hess}(\phi_\mu^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta})(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2(B + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(0)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since Λ_μ is graphical in both cotangent bundle structures,

$$(4.3) \quad B'_\mu := B + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(0)$$

must be invertible by a dimension argument. For (μ, x) in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$ the signature of $B + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(x)$ is constant. By Sylvester's law of inertia, there exists a smooth family of invertible matrices $A_\mu(x)$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad A_\mu(x)^{-T}(B + \mathcal{H}_\mu^{22}(x))A_\mu^{-1}(x) = B'_\mu$$

for all (μ, x) near $(0, 0)$. Consider

$$r_\mu(\bar{x}, \underline{x}) = (\bar{x}, A_\mu(x)\underline{x}).$$

For all μ the map r_μ fixes $x = 0$ and is a diffeomorphism on a neighbourhood of $x = 0$ which can be verified by calculating its Jacobian matrix at $(\mu, x) = (0, 0)$. Let us define

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{11}(x) &= \mathcal{H}_\mu^{11}(r_\mu^{-1}(x)) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{12}(x) &= A_\mu(r_\mu^{-1}(x))^{-T} \mathcal{H}_\mu^{12}(r_\mu^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 4.3 the map

$$\phi_1^\alpha := f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B'_\mu \underline{x}$$

is right equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2^\alpha(\mu, x) &:= f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T B'_\mu \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x})^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{11}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{12}(x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_2^\alpha \circ r_\mu)(x) &= f_\mu(\bar{x}) + \underline{x}^T A_\mu(x)^T B'_\mu A_\mu(x) \underline{x} \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x})^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{11}(r(x)) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{x}^T A_\mu(x)^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu^{12}(r(x)) \nabla_{\bar{x}} f_\mu(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4), (4.5) and (4.2) the map $\phi_2^\alpha \circ r_\mu$ above coincides with $\phi_\mu^\beta \circ k_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \chi(\mu)$. This proves the claim. \square

Definition 4.10 (stably equivalent as unfoldings). Consider an open neighbourhoods I of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and I' of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l'}$ and two families of scalar valued maps $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ and $(\phi'_{\mu'})_{\mu' \in I'}$ defined around $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ or $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n'}$, respectively. Assume that $\phi_0(0) = 0$ and $\phi'_0(0) = 0$.

If after a re-parametrisation fixing $\mu' = 0$ the family $(\phi'_{\mu'})_{\mu' \in I'}$ admits up to addition of a smooth map χ in the parameter μ with $\chi(\mu) = 0$ the same Morse-reduced form as $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ then we call $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ and $(\phi'_{\mu'})_{\mu' \in I'}$ *stably right equivalent as unfoldings*.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ be a parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem in Z . Consider two cotangent bundle structures on Z over X_0 locally around $z_0 \in X_0 \cap \Lambda_0$ such that for each $\mu \in I$ near 0 the submanifold Λ_μ is the image of the section $d\phi_\mu$ and X_μ the image of the section $d\psi_\mu$ w.r.t. the first cotangent bundle structure and Λ_μ is the image of the section $d\phi'_\mu$ and X_μ is the image of the section $d\psi'_\mu$ w.r.t. the second cotangent bundle structure such that $\phi_\mu, \psi_\mu, \phi'_\mu, \psi'_\mu$ vanish at z_0 for all μ near 0. Then the families $(\rho_\mu)_\mu = (\phi_\mu - \psi_\mu)_\mu$ and $(\rho'_\mu)_\mu = (\phi'_\mu - \psi'_\mu)_\mu$ are stably right equivalent as unfoldings.*

Proof. In analogy to proposition 2.15 we modify the first cotangent bundle structure using the fibre-preserving symplectic diffeomorphism $\xi \mapsto \xi - d\psi_\mu|_{\pi(\xi)}$ and the second cotangent bundle structure by $\xi \mapsto \xi - d\psi'_\mu|_{\pi'(\xi)}$ fibre-wise. In the updated structures all X_μ are zero-sections and Λ_μ is given as the image of the section $d(\phi_\mu - \psi_\mu) = d\rho_\mu$ w.r.t. the first structure and as the image of the section $d(\phi'_\mu - \psi'_\mu) = d\rho'_\mu$ w.r.t. the second structure. Now the claim follows by proposition 4.9. \square

Definition 4.12 (generating family). The smooth family $(\rho_\mu)_\mu$ of maps constructed in proposition 4.11 for the parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ is called *generating family* for $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$.

Definition 4.13 (contact equivalence of parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problems). Let $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ and $((X'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z'_0)$ be Lagrangian contact problems in Z and Z' . The families are called *contact equivalent* if, after shrinking Z to an open neighbourhood of z_0 and Z' to an open neighbourhood of z'_0 , there exists a smooth family of symplectomorphisms $\Phi_\mu: Z \rightarrow Z'$ such that $\Phi_\mu(X_\mu) = X'_{\theta(\mu)}$, $\Phi(\Lambda_\mu) = \Lambda'_{\theta(\mu)}$ and $\Phi_0(z_0) = z'_0$, where θ is a diffeomorphism defined around $0 \in I$ fixing $\mu = 0$.

We can conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 4.14. *Two parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problems in a symplectic manifold are contact equivalent if and only if their generating families are stably right equivalent as unfoldings.*

Proof. Let $((X_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ and $((X'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z'_0)$ be parameter dependent Lagrangian contact problems in Z . Since symplectic manifolds are locally symplectomorphic, we can assume $z_0 = z'_0$. (Also see lemma 2.13.) As seen from the proof of proposition 4.11 (in analogy to proposition 2.15) we can reduce the problem to a problem with a constant family $X_\mu \equiv X$. Assume that $(X, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ and $(X, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ are contact equivalent. Consider a cotangent bundle structure over X such that Λ_μ are graphical for μ near 0. After a re-parametrisation of $(X, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$, if necessary, there exists a family of cotangent bundle structures over X such that the generating family for $(X, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ coincides with the generating family for $(X, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ as can be seen from the proof of theorem 2.14. It now follows from proposition 4.9 that the generating families of the contact problems admit the same Morse-reduced form. On the other hand, if the problems $(X, (\Lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ and $(X, (\Lambda'_\mu)_{\mu \in I}, z_0)$ have generating families which admit the same Morse-reduced form then we can use a parameter version of the proof of theorem 2.14 to construct symplectomorphisms $\Phi_\mu: Z \rightarrow Z$ locally defined around z_0 such that $\Phi_\mu(X_\mu) = X_{\theta(\mu)}$, $\Phi(\Lambda_\mu) = \Lambda'_{\theta(\mu)}$ and $\Phi_0(z_0) = z_0$, where θ is a diffeomorphism defined around $0 \in I$ fixing $\mu = 0$. \square

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND APPLICATION TO BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS
OF SYMPLECTIC MAPS

5.1. Stably contact equivalence. It is now justified to introduce the notion of *stably contact equivalence* for Lagrangian contact problems to obtain a sensible notion for contact problems in different dimensions as announced in section 1.3 (see definition 1.6).

Definition 5.1. Two Lagrangian contact problems (in symplectic manifolds of possibly different dimensions) are *stably contact equivalent* if their generating functions are stably right equivalent. Moreover, two parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problems (in symplectic manifolds of possibly different dimensions) are *stably contact equivalent* if their generating families are stably right equivalent as unfoldings.

We obtain the theorems announced in section 1.

Theorem 1.7. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between Lagrangian contact problems modulo stably contact equivalence and smooth real-valued function germs up to stably right equivalence.*

Theorem 1.8. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problem up to stably right equivalence and unfoldings of smooth, real-valued function germs up to stably right equivalences as unfoldings.*

Remark 5.2. Classification results in catastrophe theory (see [1], for instance) apply to Lagrangian contact problems and versal parameter-dependent Lagrangian contact problems.

5.2. Boundary value problems for symplectic maps. An application is the classification of singularities and bifurcations which occur in boundary value problems for symplectic maps [14]: consider a smooth family of symplectic maps $\phi_\mu : Z \rightarrow Z'$ for $\mu \in I$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ is an open neighbourhood of the origin. Let us denote the symplectic form of Z by ω and the symplectic form of Z' by ω' . Let $\text{pr} : Z \times Z' \rightarrow Z$ and $\text{pr} : Z \times Z' \rightarrow Z'$ denote projections to the first or second component of the product. Define the symplectic form $\Omega = \text{pr}^*\omega - \text{pr}'^*\omega'$ on $Z \times Z'$. The graphs of $(\phi_\mu)_\mu$ define a smooth family $(\Lambda_\mu)_\mu$ of Lagrangian submanifolds in $Z \times Z'$. The Lagrangian contact problems $((\Lambda_\mu)_\mu, (X_\mu)_\mu, z)$ for a smooth family $(X_\mu)_\mu$ of Lagrangian submanifolds of $Z \times Z'$ and a point $z \in Z \times Z'$ can be interpreted as a family of boundary value problems for the symplectic maps $(\phi_\mu)_\mu$.

Example 5.3 (periodic boundary conditions). Consider $Z' = Z$, let $(\phi_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ be a family of symplectic maps on Z , let Λ_μ denote the graph of ϕ_μ viewed as a subset of $Z \times Z$ and let $X_\mu \equiv X$ be the diagonal embedding of Z into $Z \times Z$. The elements of the intersection $\Lambda_\mu \cap X$ correspond to solutions to the boundary value problem $\phi_\mu(z) = z$, $z \in Z$.

Example 5.4 (Dirichlet-type boundary conditions). Consider a family of Hamiltonians $(H_\mu)_{\mu \in I}$ on a cotangent bundle space T^*U with bundle projection $\pi : T^*U \rightarrow U$. Let $q^*, Q^* \in U$ and let ϕ_μ denote the time-1-map corresponding to the Hamiltonian system $(H_\mu, T^*U, -d\lambda)$. Let (q, p) denote local canonical coordinates on T^*U around a point of interest where Z (Z') is a coordinate patch such that $q^* \in \pi(Z)$ ($Q^* \in \pi(Z')$). The equation

$$(5.1) \quad \pi(\phi_\mu(q^*, p)) = Q^*$$

is a boundary value problem for ϕ_μ . This kind of boundary value problems can occur for first-order formulations of parameter-dependent second-order systems of ordinary differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions, for instance. Let Λ_μ denote the graph of $\phi_\mu: Z \rightarrow Z'$ viewed as a subset of $Z \times Z'$ and let $X_\mu \equiv X = \text{pr}^{-1}(q^*) \times \text{pr}'^{-1}(Q^*)$. The elements of the intersection $\Lambda_\mu \cap X$ correspond to solutions to the boundary value problem (5.1).

Classification results of catastrophe theory (see [1, Part II], for instance) apply to Lagrangian boundary value problems whose generating families constitute versal unfoldings. Indeed, we obtain a rigorous framework for the work done in [14], i.e. the analysis of bifurcations in boundary value problems for symplectic maps and of problems with symmetry constraints. (See example 3.3.) In particular, theorem 4.14 fills a gap in the argumentation of proposition 2.1 in [14].

Example 5.5. Singularities in conjugate loci can be viewed as singularities of exponential maps. Interpreting exponential maps as Lagrangian maps [8], the singularities can be classified via generating families up to stably R^+ -equivalence [1, p.304]. Alternatively, elements of conjugate loci can be interpreted as singularities of boundary value problems for exponential maps. Using a slightly different notion to identify the singularities, elements in the conjugate loci correspond to map germs up to right equivalence with certain symmetries [15].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Robert I McLachlan for many useful discussions. This research was supported by the Marsden Fund of the Royal Society Te Apārangi.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnold, V., Gusein-Zade, S., Varchenko, A.: Singularities of Differentiable Maps, Volume 1. Birkhuser Boston (2012). DOI 10.1007/978-0-8176-8340-5. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-8340-5>
- [2] Arnold, V.I.: Catastrophe Theory. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1992). DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-58124-3. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-58124-3>
- [3] Arnold, V.I., Afrajmovich, V., Il'yashenko, Y., Shil'nikov, L.: Dynamical Systems V: Bifurcation Theory and Catastrophe Theory. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (1994). DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-57884-7. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-57884-7>
- [4] Bröcker, T.: Differentiable Germs and Catastrophes. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press (1975). DOI 10.1017/CBO9781107325418. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107325418>
- [5] Fukaya, K.: Lagrangian Intersection Floer Theory: Anomaly and Obstruction, Part I. AMS/IP studies in advanced mathematics. American Mathematical Society (2010). URL <https://books.google.co.nz/books?id=TEp8AwAAQBAJ>
- [6] Golubitsky, M., Guillemin, V.: Contact equivalence for Lagrangian manifolds. Advances in Mathematics **15**(3), 375–387 (1975). DOI 10.1016/0001-8708(75)90143-7. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0001870875901437>
- [7] Haro, À.: The primitive function of an exact symplectomorphism. Nonlinearity **13**(5), 1483–1500 (2000). DOI 10.1088/0951-7715/13/5/304. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/13/5/304>
- [8] Janeczko, S., Mostowski, T.: Relative generic singularities of the exponential map. Compositio Mathematica **96**(3), 345–370 (1995). URL http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1995__96_3_345_0
- [9] Lomelí, H.E., Meiss, J.D., Ramírez-Ros, R.: Canonical Melnikov theory for diffeomorphisms. Nonlinearity **21**(3), 485–508 (2008). DOI 10.1088/0951-7715/21/3/007. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/21/3/007>

- [10] Lorand, J., Weinstein, A.: (Co)isotropic pairs in Poisson and presymplectic vector spaces. *SIGMA* 11 **11** (2015). DOI 10.3842/SIGMA.2015.072. URL <https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2015.072>
- [11] Lu, Y.: Singularity theory and an introduction to catastrophe theory. Universitext (1979). Springer-Verlag (1976). DOI 10.1007/978-1-4612-9909-7. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-9909-7>
- [12] Marsden, J.E., Ratiu, T.S.: Cotangent Bundles, pp. 165–180. Springer New York, New York, NY (1999). DOI 10.1007/978-0-387-21792-5_6. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21792-5_6
- [13] Mather, J.N.: Stability of C^∞ mappings: III. finitely determined map-germs. *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques* **35**(1), 127–156 (1968). DOI 10.1007/BF02698926. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02698926>
- [14] McLachlan, R.I., Offen, C.: Bifurcation of solutions to Hamiltonian boundary value problems. *Nonlinearity* **31**(6), 2895 (2018). DOI 10.1088/1361-6544/aab630. URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0951-7715/31/i=6/a=2895>
- [15] McLachlan, R.I., Offen, C.: Hamiltonian boundary value problems, conformal symplectic symmetries, and conjugate loci. *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics (NZJM)*, in press. **48** (2018). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07479>
- [16] Milnor, J.: Morse Theory, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 51. Princeton University Press (1969)
- [17] Weinstein, A.: Lagrangian submanifolds and hamiltonian systems. *The Annals of Mathematics* **98**(3), 377 (1973). DOI 10.2307/1970911. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970911>