

ANDERSON-WITTING MODEL OF THE RELATIVISTIC BOLTZMANN EQUATION NEAR EQUILIBRIUM

BYUNG-HOON HWANG AND SEOK-BAE YUN

ABSTRACT. Anderson-Witting model is a relaxational model equation of the relativistic Boltzmann equation, which sees a wide application in physics. In this paper, we study the existence of classical solutions and its asymptotic behavior when the solution starts sufficiently close to a global relativistic Maxwellian.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for Anderson-Witting model [2]:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t F + \hat{q} \cdot \nabla_x F &= \frac{U_\mu q^\mu}{q^0} (J(F) - F), \\ F_0(x, q) &= F(0, x, q). \end{aligned}$$

The momentum distribution function $F(x^\mu, q^\mu)$ represents the number density of relativistic particles at the phase point (x^μ, q^μ) ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) in the Minkowski space where $x^\mu = (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3$ denotes the space-time coordinate and $q^\mu = (\sqrt{1 + |q|^2}, q) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is the energy-momentum four-vector. The normalized momentum \hat{q} is defined by q/q^0 . The relativistic Maxwellian $J(F)$ is given by

$$J(F) = \frac{n}{M(\beta)} e^{-\beta U^\mu q_\mu},$$

where $M(\beta)$ denotes

$$M(\beta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\beta \sqrt{1 + |q|^2}} dq.$$

Throughout this paper, we employ the signature of the metric $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$, so that the Minkowski inner product $p^\mu q_\mu$ is given by

$$p^\mu q_\mu = p^0 q^0 - \sum_{i=1}^3 p^i q^i.$$

To define the macroscopic fields, we consider the particle four-flow N^μ and energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$:

$$N^\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^\mu F \frac{dq}{q^0}, \quad T^{\mu\nu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^\mu q^\nu F \frac{dq}{q^0}.$$

Then, the particle density n and the macroscopic velocity U^μ are defined by

$$(1.2) \quad n^2 = N^\mu N_\mu = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F dq \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right)^2,$$

and

$$U^\mu = u^\mu + \frac{\mathbf{q}^\mu}{nh},$$

Key words and phrases. special relativity, kinetic theory of gases, relativistic Boltzmann equation, relativistic BGK model, Anderson-Witting model, nonlinear energy method.

where the Eckart four-velocity u^μ , the heat flux \mathbf{q}^μ , the enthalpy function h , the internal energy per particle e and the pressure p are defined as follows (We follow the Einstein summation convention):

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.3) \quad u^\mu &= \frac{1}{n} N^\mu = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F q^\mu \frac{dq}{q^0}, \\
\mathbf{q}^\mu &= \Delta_\gamma^\mu u_\nu T^{\nu\gamma} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u^\nu q_\nu) q^\mu \frac{dq}{q^0} - u^\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u^\nu q_\nu)^2 \frac{dq}{q^0}, \\
e &= \frac{1}{n} u^\mu u^\nu T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u^\mu q_\mu)^2 \frac{dq}{q^0}, \\
p &= -\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u^\mu q_\mu)^2 \frac{dq}{q^0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \frac{dq}{q^0} \right), \\
h &= e + \frac{p}{n} = \frac{1}{3n} \left(4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u^\mu q_\mu)^2 \frac{dq}{q^0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \frac{dq}{q^0} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The projection operators $\Delta^{\mu\nu}$ and Δ_ν^μ are defined by

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu, \quad \Delta_\nu^\mu = g_\nu^\mu - u^\mu u_\nu,$$

where g_ν^μ denotes Kronecker delta. Note from (1.2) and (1.3)₁ that

$$u^\mu u_\mu = \frac{1}{n^2} N^\mu N_\mu = 1,$$

which implies u^μ takes the form of

$$u^\mu = \left(\sqrt{1 + |u|^2}, u \right).$$

Finally, the equilibrium temperature $1/\beta$ is determined through the following nonlinear relation:

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{K_1}{K_2}(\beta) + \frac{3}{\beta} = e.$$

For later convenience, we denote

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{e}(\beta) = \frac{K_1}{K_2}(\beta) + \frac{3}{\beta}.$$

The unique solvability of the nonlinear relation (1.4) will be considered in section 3.

The r.h.s of (1.1) is called a relativistic relaxation operator that satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad U_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (J(F) - F) q^\mu \left(\frac{1}{q^\nu} \right) \frac{dq}{q^0} = 0, \quad U_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (J(F) - F) \ln F q^\mu \frac{dq}{q^0} \leq 0.$$

The cancellation property (1.6)₁ gives the conservation laws of total mass, momentum and energy

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \left(\frac{1}{q^\nu} \right) dq dx = 0,$$

and (1.6)₂ leads to the celebrated H -theorem:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \ln F dq dx \leq 0.$$

The classical BGK model [7] is widely used in physics and engineering to understand the transport phenomena in a more simplified and numerically amenable manner. In the relativistic case, there are two such relaxational models for the Boltzmann equation. The first relaxation model was introduced by Marle [35, 36]. Then Anderson and Witting [2] suggested another model that provides a better agreement with the relativistic Boltzmann equation in terms of the viscosity and the heat conductivity in the ultra-relativistic limit. The difference between them comes from the way in which the macroscopic fields are represented. The Marle model uses the Eckart decomposition [9, 15] to represent the macroscopic fields, whereas the Landau-Lifshitz decomposition [9, 32] is employed for the Anderson-Witting model. The Anderson-Witting model has been fruitfully applied to a wide range of physical problems in relativistic kinetic theory [3, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 25, 26, 27, 34, 37, 38, 39, 40, 46, 47].

However, the existence problems for Anderson-Witting model have never been addressed, which is the main motivation of the current work.

In this paper, we establish the global in time existence of unique smooth solution and its exponential decay to the equilibration when the initial data is sufficiently close to a global equilibrium state. For this we decompose the distribution function into a global equilibrium and the perturbation around it:

$$(1.7) \quad F = J^0 + f\sqrt{J^0}$$

where J^0 is a relativistic global Maxwellian defined by

$$(1.8) \quad J^0 = \frac{1}{M(\beta_0)} e^{-\beta_0 q^0}.$$

Inserting (1.7), the Anderson-Witting model (1.1) is rewritten as

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t f + \hat{q} \cdot \nabla_x f &= L(f) + \Gamma(f), \\ f_0(x, q) &= f(0, x, q), \end{aligned}$$

where the linearized relaxation operator L and the nonlinear perturbation Γ are given in Proposition 3.2. The initial perturbation f_0 is determined by $F_0 = J^0 + f_0\sqrt{J^0}$.

To state our main result, we introduce the following notations and definitions:

- We define standard L^2 norm by

$$\|f\|_{L^2_q}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(q)|^2 dq, \quad \|f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x, q)|^2 dq dx.$$

- We define usual L^2 inner product by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(q)g(q) dq, \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{x,q} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, q)g(x, q) dq dx.$$

- Multi-index α and β are defined by

$$\alpha = [\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3], \quad \beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3]$$

and

$$\partial_\beta^\alpha = \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x^1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x^2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x^3}^{\alpha_3} \partial_{q^1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{q^2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{q^3}^{\beta_3}.$$

- We use $\mathbb{P}(x, y, \dots)$ to denote a homogeneous generic polynomial:

$$\mathbb{P}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_i C_i x_1^{a_{i,1}} x_2^{a_{i,2}} \dots x_n^{a_{i,n}}.$$

where $a_{i,j}$ are sequences of nonnegative integers. By homogeneous polynomial we mean that $\mathbb{P}(0) = 0$.

- We define the energy functional E by

$$E(f)(t) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2.$$

When there's no risk of confusion, we use $E(f)(t)$ and $E(t)$ interchangeably for brevity.

The main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N \geq 4$. Assume $F_0 = J^0 + \sqrt{J^0}f_0 \geq 0$ and suppose F_0 and J^0 have the same total mass, momentum and energy:*

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_0 \sqrt{J^0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ q \\ q^0 \end{pmatrix} dq dx = 0.$$

Then, if $E(f_0)$ is sufficiently small, there exists a unique global in time solution to (1.1) satisfying

- (1) The momentum distribution function is non-negative:

$$F(t, x, q) = J^0 + \sqrt{J^0}f(t, x, q) \geq 0.$$

(2) *The energy functional is uniformly bounded:*

$$E(f)(t) + \int_0^t E(f)(s) ds \leq CE(f_0).$$

(3) *The energy functional decays exponentially fast:*

$$E(f)(t) \leq Ce^{-C't}E(f_0).$$

The mathematical study of relativistic BGK models has just started and the literature is limited. For the Marle model, Bellouquid et al. [5] carried out an initial research in 2012 where the determination of equilibrium variables, asymptotic limits and linearized solution were considered. The global existence of mild solution and its asymptotic behavior in the periodic domain is studied in [6]. In 2018, the authors [24] studied the stationary problem in a slab. To the best of our knowledge, no existence results were reported for Anderson-Witting model (1.1) so far.

The situation is far better in the case of the relativistic Boltzmann equation. The local existence and linearized solution was studied in [4, 12, 13]. We refer to [19, 20, 23, 42, 45] for the global existence and asymptotic behavior in the near-equilibrium regime, and [14, 28, 29] for the existence of the general large data. For the study on the spatially homogeneous case, we refer to [33, 44]. The propagation of the uniform upper bound was recently established in [30]. Results on the regularizing effect of the gain term can be found in [1, 31, 48]. We refer to [8, 43] for the Newtonian limit and [41] for the hydrodynamic limit.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, various useful technical lemmas are presented. In Section 3, we study the linearization of the Anderson-Witting relaxation operator. In Section 4, we provide the estimates for the macroscopic fields and nonlinear perturbation. The proof of the main theorem is given in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We record useful results for the Lorentz transformation, and the modified Bessel function of the second kind:

$$K_i(\beta) = \int_0^\infty \cosh(ir) \exp\{-\beta \cosh(r)\} dr.$$

Some of them can be found in [5] with or without proof. Even in the former case, we provide the proof for reader's convenience. The following identities obtained from the use of the change of variable $y = \sinh r$ are frequently used throughout this section:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} K_0(\beta) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1+y^2}\} dy, \\ K_1(\beta) &= \int_0^\infty \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1+y^2}\} dy, \\ K_2(\beta) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2y^2+1}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1+y^2}\} dy. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma enables us to compute various quantities in the local rest frame.

Lemma 2.1. [43] *For $U^\mu = (\sqrt{1+|U|^2}, U)$, define Λ by*

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} U^0 & -U^1 & -U^2 & -U^3 \\ -U^1 & 1 + (U^0 - 1)\frac{(U^1)^2}{|U|^2} & (U^0 - 1)\frac{U^1 U^2}{|U|^2} & (U^0 - 1)\frac{U^1 U^3}{|U|^2} \\ -U^2 & (U^0 - 1)\frac{U^1 U^2}{|U|^2} & 1 + (U^0 - 1)\frac{(U^2)^2}{|U|^2} & (U^0 - 1)\frac{U^2 U^3}{|U|^2} \\ -U^3 & (U^0 - 1)\frac{U^1 U^3}{|U|^2} & (U^0 - 1)\frac{U^2 U^3}{|U|^2} & 1 + (U^0 - 1)\frac{(U^3)^2}{|U|^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, Λ transforms U^μ into the local rest frame:

$$\Lambda U^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0).$$

Proof. The proof that Λ is the Lorentz transformation can be found in [43]. The identity $\Lambda U = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ can be verified by an explicit computation:

$$\Lambda U^\mu = \begin{bmatrix} (U^0)^2 - (U^1)^2 - (U^2)^2 - (U^3)^2 \\ -U^0 U^1 + U^1 + \frac{(U^0-1)U^1}{|U|^2} |U|^2 \\ -U^0 U^2 + U^2 + \frac{(U^0-1)U^2}{|U|^2} |U|^2 \\ -U^0 U^3 + U^3 + \frac{(U^0-1)U^3}{|U|^2} |U|^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{1+|U|^2})^2 - |U|^2 \\ -U^0 U^1 + U^1 + (U^0-1)U^1 \\ -U^0 U^2 + U^2 + (U^0-1)U^2 \\ -U^0 U^3 + U^3 + (U^0-1)U^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. [5] $K_i(\beta)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are related to $M(\beta)$ through the following identity:

$$\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{3}{\beta} = -\frac{M'(\beta)}{M(\beta)}.$$

Proof. Recall that $M(\beta)$ takes the form of

$$M(\beta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp \left\{ -\beta \sqrt{1 + |q|^2} \right\} dq.$$

Using the spherical coordinates and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\beta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp \left\{ -\beta \sqrt{1 + |q|^2} \right\} dq \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty y^2 \exp \left\{ -\beta \sqrt{1 + y^2} \right\} dy \\ (2.2) \quad &= -\frac{4\pi}{\beta} \int_0^\infty y \sqrt{1 + y^2} \frac{d}{dy} \left\{ \exp \left\{ -\beta \sqrt{1 + y^2} \right\} \right\} dy \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{2y^2 + 1}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} \exp \left\{ -\beta \sqrt{1 + y^2} \right\} dy \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta} K_2(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, differentiating $K_2(\beta)$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad \frac{d}{d\beta} \{K_2(\beta)\} &= - \int_0^\infty (2y^2 + 1) \exp \left\{ -\beta \sqrt{1 + y^2} \right\} dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\beta} \int_0^\infty y \sqrt{1 + y^2} \frac{d}{dy} \left\{ \exp \left\{ -\beta \sqrt{1 + y^2} \right\} \right\} dy - K_1(\beta) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{2y^2 + 1}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} \exp \left\{ -\beta \sqrt{1 + y^2} \right\} dy - K_1(\beta) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\beta} K_2(\beta) - K_1(\beta), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.2), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\beta} \{M(\beta)\} &= \frac{d}{d\beta} \left\{ \frac{4\pi}{\beta} K_2(\beta) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{4\pi}{\beta^2} K_2(\beta) + \frac{4\pi}{\beta} \frac{d}{d\beta} \{K_2(\beta)\} \\ &= -\frac{3}{\beta} M(\beta) - \frac{4\pi}{\beta} K_1(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the last identity by $M(\beta)$ gives the desired result. □

Lemma 2.3. [5] $K_i(\beta)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) satisfy the following relation:

$$K_2(\beta) = \frac{2}{\beta}K_1(\beta) + K_0(\beta).$$

Proof. It is straightforward from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(\beta) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2y^2 + 1}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1 + y^2}\} dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2y^2}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1 + y^2}\} dy + K_0(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from simple integration by parts, the first term on r.h.s can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{2y^2}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1 + y^2}\} dy &= \int_0^\infty -\frac{2y}{\beta} \frac{d}{dy} \left\{ \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1 + y^2}\} \right\} dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1 + y^2}\} dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\beta} K_1(\beta), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result. □

Lemma 2.4. [5] The following identity holds for $K_i(\beta)$ ($i = 1, 2$)

$$\left(\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} \right)' = \frac{3}{\beta} \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \left(\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} \right)^2 - 1.$$

Proof. Differentiating $K_1(\beta)$ with respect to β , we have from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\beta} \{K_1(\beta)\} &= - \int_0^\infty \sqrt{1 + y^2} \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1 + y^2}\} dy \\ (2.4) \quad &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{2y^2 + 1}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} \right) \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1 + y^2}\} dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (K_2(\beta) + K_0(\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

We then recall from Lemma 2.3 that

$$K_2(\beta) = \frac{2}{\beta}K_1(\beta) + K_0(\beta)$$

to express the last identity in r.h.s of (2.4) as

$$-\frac{1}{2} (K_2(\beta) + K_0(\beta)) = -\frac{1}{\beta}K_1(\beta) - K_0(\beta)$$

so that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{d}{d\beta} \{K_1(\beta)\} = -\frac{1}{\beta}K_1(\beta) - K_0(\beta).$$

In the same manner, we have from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad \frac{d}{d\beta} \{K_2(\beta)\} &= -\frac{2}{\beta}K_2(\beta) - K_1(\beta) \\ &= \left(-\frac{4}{\beta^2} - 1 \right) K_1(\beta) - \frac{2}{\beta}K_0(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{d\beta} \{K_1(\beta)\} K_2(\beta) - K_1(\beta) \frac{d}{d\beta} \{K_2(\beta)\} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\beta} K_1(\beta) K_0(\beta) - (K_0(\beta))^2 + \left(\frac{2}{\beta^2} + 1\right) (K_1(\beta))^2 \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\beta} K_1(\beta) \left(K_2(\beta) - \frac{2}{\beta} K_1(\beta)\right) - \left(K_2(\beta) - \frac{2}{\beta} K_1(\beta)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2}{\beta^2} + 1\right) (K_1(\beta))^2 \\
 &= \frac{3}{\beta} K_1(\beta) K_2(\beta) + (K_1(\beta))^2 - (K_2(\beta))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We divide the both sides by $(K_2(\beta))^2$ to obtain the desired result. \square

3. LINEARIZATION

In this section, we study the linearization of Anderson-Witting model (1.1) around the global relativistic Maxwellian (1.8).

3.1. Unique determination of β . Before we linearize the Anderson-Witting model (1.1), we need to resolve the question raised in introduction, namely, that the nonlinear relation (1.4) uniquely determine β . First, we need to prove the following monotonicity result.

Lemma 3.1. *The function $\tilde{\epsilon}(\beta)$ defined in (1.5), satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) $\tilde{\epsilon}(\beta)$ is strictly decreasing on $0 < \beta < \infty$.
- (2) $\tilde{\epsilon}(\beta) > 1$ on $0 < \beta < \infty$.

Proof. (1) Strict monotonicity: For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{3}{\beta}\right)' &= \frac{3}{\beta} \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \left(\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)}\right)^2 - 1 - \frac{3}{\beta^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{3}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \\
 &< 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that

$$0 < \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1+y^2}\} dy}{\int_0^\infty \frac{2y^2+1}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \exp\{-\beta\sqrt{1+y^2}\} dy} < 1.$$

For $\beta \in [1, \infty)$, we use the change of variable $z = \sinh(r/2)$ to see that

$$K_0(\beta) + K_1(\beta) = \int_0^\infty (1 + \cosh(r)) e^{-\beta \cosh(r)} dr = 4e^{-\beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{1+z^2}{\sqrt{1+z^2}} e^{-2\beta z^2} dz.$$

This together with

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z^2}} \leq 1 - \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8}z^4, \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-2\beta z^2} dz = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8\beta}},$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_0(\beta) + K_1(\beta) &= 4e^{-\beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{1+z^2}{\sqrt{1+z^2}} e^{-2\beta z^2} dz \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} e^{-\beta} \int_0^\infty (8 + 4z^2 - z^4 + 3z^6) e^{-2\beta z^2} dz \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\beta} \left(8 + \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{3}{16\beta^2} + \frac{45}{64\beta^3}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8\beta}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z^2}} \geq 1 - \frac{z^2}{2}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\beta) &= 2e^{-\beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z^2}} e^{-2\beta z^2} dz \\ &\geq 2e^{-\beta} \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2}\right) e^{-2\beta z^2} dz \\ &= 2e^{-\beta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8\beta}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates, we have

$$\frac{K_0(\beta) + K_1(\beta)}{K_0(\beta)} \leq \frac{512\beta^3 + 64\beta^2 - 12\beta + 45}{256\beta^3 - 32\beta^2},$$

which implies

$$\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_0(\beta)} \leq \frac{256\beta^3 + 96\beta^2 - 12\beta + 45}{256\beta^3 - 32\beta^2}.$$

Also, using Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\frac{K_2(\beta)}{K_1(\beta)} \geq \frac{2}{\beta} + \frac{256\beta^3 - 32\beta^2}{256\beta^3 + 96\beta^2 - 12\beta + 45} = \frac{256\beta^4 + 480\beta^3 + 192\beta^2 - 24\beta + 90}{256\beta^4 + 96\beta^3 - 12\beta^2 + 45\beta}.$$

Therefore we have from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{3}{\beta}\right)' &= \frac{3}{\beta} \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \left(\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)}\right)^2 - 1 - \frac{3}{\beta^2} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\beta} \frac{256\beta^4 + 96\beta^3 - 12\beta^2 + 45\beta}{256\beta^4 + 480\beta^3 + 192\beta^2 - 24\beta + 90} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{256\beta^4 + 96\beta^3 - 12\beta^2 + 45\beta}{256\beta^4 + 480\beta^3 + 192\beta^2 - 24\beta + 90}\right)^2 - 1 - \frac{3}{\beta^2} \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (1).

(2) If $\beta \in (0, 2)$, the desired result follows easily from the positivity of K_i :

$$\tilde{e}(\beta) = \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{3}{\beta} \geq \frac{3}{\beta} > 1.$$

For the case $\beta \in [2, \infty)$ We recall the following inequality from [5, Appendix]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} &\geq \frac{128\beta^3 + 48\beta^2 - 33\beta}{128\beta^3 + 240\beta^2 + 105\beta - 66} \\ &\geq 1 + \frac{-192\beta^2 - 138\beta + 66}{128\beta^3 + 240\beta^2 + 105\beta - 66}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{3}{\beta} &\geq 1 + \frac{192\beta^3 + 582\beta^2 + 381\beta - 198}{128\beta^4 + 240\beta^3 + 105\beta^2 - 66\beta} \\ &> 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the following proposition, we show that (1.4) admits a unique solution, at least, when the solution is sufficiently close to equilibrium.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $E(f)(t)$ is sufficiently small. Then (1.4) uniquely determines β . Therefore we can write*

$$\beta = (\tilde{e})^{-1}(e).$$

Proof. Recall from (1.4) that β is determined by the nonlinear relation:

$$\frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{3}{\beta} = e,$$

where e is given in (1.3). First we denote e_0 by

$$e_0 = \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} + \frac{3}{\beta_0},$$

and observe from Lemma 3.1 that $e_0 > 1$. Then, Lemma 4.2 (1) implies that

$$e \geq e_0 - \sqrt{E(f)(t)} > 1,$$

when $E(f)(t)$ is sufficiently small. We mention that the estimate in Lemma 4.2 depends only on the moment estimate of f , and it's free from circular argument. Therefore we can conclude that e lies in the range of $\tilde{e}(\beta)$. Then the strict monotonicity of $\tilde{e}(\beta)$ which is proved in Lemma 3.1 gives the desired result. \square

3.2. Linearization of Anderson-Witting model. We start with the linearization of the relativistic Maxwellian $J(F)$. We first provide the following lemma which is frequently used throughout this paper.

Lemma 3.2. *J^0 satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1, q, q^0, (q^i)^2, qq^0, (q^0)^2) J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} = \left(\frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)}, 0, 1, \frac{1}{\beta_0}, 0, \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} + \frac{3}{\beta_0} \right).$$

Proof. We have from the spherical coordinates and integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} &= \frac{4\pi}{M(\beta_0)} \int_0^\infty \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+y^2}} dy \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 M(\beta_0)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+y^2}} dy, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.1)₂ and (2.2), gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} = \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)}.$$

In the same manner, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 J^0 dq &= \frac{1}{M(\beta_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+|q|^2} e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+|q|^2}} dq \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 M(\beta_0)} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+y^2}} dy + \frac{3}{\beta_0} \int_0^\infty \frac{2y^2+1}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+y^2}} dy \right) \\ &= \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} + \frac{3}{\beta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the spherical symmetry, we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^i)^2 J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} = \frac{1}{M(\beta_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{|q|^2}{\sqrt{1+|q|^2}} e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+|q|^2}} dq,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^i)^2 J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} &= \frac{4\pi}{3M(\beta_0)} \int_0^\infty \frac{y^4}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+y^2}} dy \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 M(\beta_0)} \int_0^\infty y^2 e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+y^2}} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is straightforward that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q, q^0, qq^0) J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} = (0, 1, 0).$$

□

We now linearize the relativistic Maxwellian $J(F)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $E(f)(t)$ is sufficiently small. Then, for $F = J^0 + f\sqrt{J^0}$, we have*

$$\frac{J(F) - J^0}{\sqrt{J^0}} = P(f) + \sum_{i=1}^5 \Gamma_i(f).$$

- The projection operator P is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P(f) &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) \sqrt{J^0} + \frac{\beta_0}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) \cdot q \sqrt{J^0} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^0 - e_0) f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) (q^0 - e_0) \sqrt{J^0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{h}(\beta)$ denotes

$$\tilde{h}(\beta) = \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{4}{\beta}.$$

- The nonlinear perturbations $\Gamma_i(f)$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(f) &= \left(\frac{\Psi_1}{2} - \frac{\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right) \sqrt{J^0}, \\ \Gamma_2(f) &= \left\{ \left(\frac{\Psi_1}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right) \left(e_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0 \Phi + \Phi^2) F \frac{dq}{q^0} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq + \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0 \Phi + \Phi^2) F \frac{dq}{q^0} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)} (q^0 - e_0) \sqrt{J^0}, \\ \Gamma_3(f) &= -\beta_0 \left\{ \frac{\Psi}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \cdot q \sqrt{J^0} + \frac{\beta_0}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)} \Gamma_3^*(f) \cdot q \sqrt{J^0} \\ &\quad - \beta_0 \left\{ \frac{4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0 \Phi + \Phi^2) J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \right\} \mathbf{q} \cdot q \sqrt{J^0}, \\ \Gamma_4(f) &= -\beta_0 q^0 (U^0 - 1) \sqrt{J^0}, \\ \Gamma_5(f) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{J^0}} \int_0^1 (1 - \theta)(n - 1, U^0 - 1, U, e - e_0) D^2 J(\theta)(n - 1, U^0 - 1, U, e - e_0)^T d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where Γ_3^* is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_3^*(f) &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i q f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi_1 q F \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &\quad + e_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \left\{ \frac{\Psi}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0 \Phi + \Phi^2) F \frac{dq}{q^0} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}, \end{aligned}$$

and Ψ, Ψ_1, Φ and Φ_1 denote

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \Psi &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right)^2, \\ \Psi_1 &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right)^2, \\ \Phi &= u_\mu q^\mu - q^0, \\ \Phi_1 &= u_\mu q^\mu - q^0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 q^i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define the transitional macroscopic fields between F and J^0 :

$$(n_\theta, U_\theta^0, U_\theta, e_\theta) = \theta (n, U^0, U, e) + (1 - \theta) (1, 1, 0, e_0),$$

and the transitional relativistic Maxwellian:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{n_\theta}{M(\beta_\theta)} e^{-\beta_\theta U_\theta^\mu q_\mu}, \quad \text{where } \beta_\theta = (\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_\theta).$$

Then $J(F)$ and J^0 can be rewritten by

$$J(F) = J(n, U^0, U, e) \equiv J(1), \quad J^0 = J(1, 1, 0, e_0) \equiv J(0).$$

We then apply Taylor expansion to have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad J(F) - J^0 &= J(1) - J(0) \\ &= J'(0) + \int_0^1 (1 - \theta) J''(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{\partial J(0)}{\partial n_\theta} \frac{\partial n_\theta}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=0} + \frac{\partial J(0)}{\partial U_\theta^0} \frac{\partial U_\theta^0}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=0} + \nabla_{U_\theta} J(0) \cdot \frac{\partial U_\theta}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=0} + \frac{\partial J(0)}{\partial e_\theta} \frac{\partial e_\theta}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=0} \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1 - \theta) (n - 1, U^0 - 1, U, e - e_0) D^2 J(\theta) (n - 1, U^0 - 1, U, e - e_0)^T d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial n_\theta} &= \frac{1}{n_\theta} J(\theta), \quad \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial U_\theta^0} = -\beta_\theta q^0 J(\theta), \quad \nabla_{U_\theta} J(\theta) = \beta_\theta q J(\theta), \\ \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial e_\theta} &= -\frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_\theta)} \left(\frac{M'(\beta_\theta)}{M(\beta_\theta)} + U_\theta^\mu q_\mu \right) J(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad \frac{\partial J(0)}{\partial n_\theta} &= J^0, \quad \frac{\partial J(0)}{\partial U_\theta^0} = -\beta_0 q^0 J^0, \quad \nabla_{U_\theta} J(0) = \beta_0 q J^0, \\ \frac{\partial J(0)}{\partial e_\theta} &= -\frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)} (q^0 - e_0) J^0. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we used Lemma 2.2:

$$\frac{M'(\beta_0)}{M(\beta_0)} = -\frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} - \frac{3}{\beta_0} = -e_0.$$

Inserting (3.3) into (3.2), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{J(F) - J^0}{\sqrt{J^0}} &= (n-1)\sqrt{J^0} - \frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)}(e - e_0)(q^0 - e_0)\sqrt{J^0} + \beta_0 U \cdot q\sqrt{J^0} - \beta_0 q^0(U^0 - 1)\sqrt{J^0} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{J^0}} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)(n-1, U^0 - 1, U, e - e_0) D^2 J(\theta)(n-1, U^0 - 1, U, e - e_0)^T d\theta \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5. \end{aligned}$$

We consider each I_i ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) separately. Note in the following that I_1, I_2, I_3 are decomposed into the linear part and the nonlinear part.

- Decomposition of I_1 : Inserting $F = J^0 + f\sqrt{J^0}$, a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad n &= \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F dq \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\{ 1 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f\sqrt{J^0} dq + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f\sqrt{J^0} dq \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f\sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{1 + \Psi}. \end{aligned}$$

To extract the linear part from n , we recall the following identity [5]:

$$(3.5) \quad \sqrt{1 + \Psi} = 1 + \frac{\Psi}{2} - \frac{\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})}.$$

Using this identity together with (3.1) and (3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)\sqrt{J^0} &= (\sqrt{1 + \Psi} - 1)\sqrt{J^0} \\ &= \left(\frac{\Psi}{2} - \frac{\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right) \sqrt{J^0} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f\sqrt{J^0} dq + \frac{\Psi_1}{2} - \frac{\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right) \sqrt{J^0} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f\sqrt{J^0} dq \sqrt{J^0} + \Gamma_1(f). \end{aligned}$$

- Decomposition of I_2 : First, we consider the following identity [5]:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Psi}} = 1 - \frac{\Psi}{2} - \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})},$$

to decompose $1/n$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad \frac{1}{n} &= 1 - \frac{\Psi}{2} - \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \\ &= 1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f\sqrt{J^0} dq - \frac{\Psi_1}{2} - \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})}. \end{aligned}$$

This identity, together with (3.1)₃ enables one to express $e - e_0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad e - e_0 &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^\mu q_\mu)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} - e_0 \\
&= \left\{ 1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq - \frac{\Psi_1}{2} - \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{(q^0)^2 + 2q^0\Phi + \Phi^2\} F \frac{dq}{q^0} - e_0 \\
&\equiv \left\{ \left(1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^0)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} - e_0 \right\} + R_{I_2}(f).
\end{aligned}$$

We then extract the linear part from the above expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad &\left(1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^0)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} - e_0 \\
&= \left(1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 (J^0 + f \sqrt{J^0}) dq - e_0 \\
&= e_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq - e_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq - e_0 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^0 - e_0) f \sqrt{J^0} dq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq,
\end{aligned}$$

to write (3.7) as

$$(3.9) \quad e - e_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^0 - e_0) f \sqrt{J^0} dq + \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq + R_{I_2}(f) \right\}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the following decomposition of I_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= - \frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)} (e - e_0) (q^0 - e_0) \sqrt{J^0} \\
&= - \frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^0 - e_0) f \sqrt{J^0} dq (q^0 - e_0) \sqrt{J^0} + \Gamma_2(f).
\end{aligned}$$

• Decomposition of I_3 : We recall from (1.3) that nh takes the form of

$$nh = \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^\mu q_\mu)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} - \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \frac{dq}{q^0}$$

to derive from Lemma 3.2 and (3.1)₃ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad nh &= \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{(q^0)^2 + 2q^0\Phi + \Phi^2\} J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (J^0 + f \sqrt{J^0}) \frac{dq}{q^0} \\
&= \frac{4}{3} e_0 + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0\Phi + \Phi^2) J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} - \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \\
&= \tilde{h}(\beta_0) + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0\Phi + \Phi^2) J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we used

$$\frac{4}{3} e_0 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} + \frac{3}{\beta_0} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} = \tilde{h}(\beta_0).$$

From this, we can express $1/(nh)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{nh} &= \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}(\beta_0)} - \frac{4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0\Phi + \Phi^2) J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}}{3\widetilde{h}(\beta_0)(nh)} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}(\beta_0)} + R_{I_3}(f). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad U &= u + \frac{\mathbf{q}}{nh} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \left\{ \frac{H}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\widetilde{h}(\beta_0)} + R_{I_3}(f)\mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we used (3.6):

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad u &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qF \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &= \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Psi}{2} - \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \left\{ \frac{\Psi}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}. \end{aligned}$$

We now focus on \mathbf{q} to extract the linear part, which is defined in (1.3)₂ by

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbf{q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu) qF \frac{dq}{q^0} - u \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0}.$$

Recall from (3.1)₄ that

$$u_\mu q^\mu = q^0 - \sum_{i=1}^3 q^i \int q^i f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \Phi_1,$$

and insert this into the first term of (3.13) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu) qF \frac{dq}{q^0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(q^0 - \sum_{i=1}^3 q^i \int q^i f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \Phi_1 \right) q \left(J^0 + f \sqrt{J^0} \right) \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi_1 qF \frac{dq}{q^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used Lemma 3.2 so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i qJ^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}.$$

On the other hand, in view of (3.1)₃, we compute the second term of (3.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{ (q^0)^2 + 2q^0\Phi + \Phi^2 \} (J^0 + f \sqrt{J^0}) \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &= e_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0\Phi + \Phi^2) F \frac{dq}{q^0}. \end{aligned}$$

We now go back to (3.13) with (3.14) and (3.15) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{q} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}dq - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i f\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi_1 qF\frac{dq}{q^0} \\
 &\quad - \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Psi}{2} - \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} \\
 (3.16) \quad &\times \left(e_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f\sqrt{J^0}dq + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0\Phi + \Phi^2) F\frac{dq}{q^0} \right) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}dq - \left(\frac{1}{\beta_0} + e_0 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} + \Gamma_3^*(f) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}dq - \tilde{h}(\beta_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} + \Gamma_3^*(f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (3.16) into (3.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 U &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} - \left\{ \frac{\Psi}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}dq - \tilde{h}(\beta_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} + \Gamma_3^*(f) \right) + R_{I_3}(f)\mathbf{q} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}dq - \left\{ \frac{\Psi}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}\frac{dq}{q^0} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)} \Gamma_3^*(f) + R_{I_3}(f)\mathbf{q},
 \end{aligned}$$

and thus we have

$$I_3 = \beta_0 U \cdot q\sqrt{J^0} = \frac{\beta_0}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf\sqrt{J^0}dq \cdot q\sqrt{J^0} + \Gamma_3(f).$$

• I_4, I_5 : We note that $I_4 = \Gamma_4(f)$ and $I_5 = \Gamma_5(f)$. This completes the proof. \square

The following proposition gives the linearized Anderson-Witting model:

Proposition 3.2. *For the solution $F = J^0 + f\sqrt{J^0}$ to the Anderson-Witting model (1.1), the perturbation f verifies*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.17) \quad &\partial_t f + \hat{q} \cdot \nabla_x f = L(f) + \Gamma(f), \\
 &f_0(x, q) = f(0, x, q),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the linearized operator $L(f)$ is defined by $P(f) - f$, and the nonlinear perturbation $\Gamma(f)$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(f) = \frac{U_\mu q^\mu}{q^0} \sum_{i=1}^5 \Gamma_i(f) + \frac{1}{q^0} \left(\Phi + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\mu q^\mu}{nh} \right) L(f).$$

Proof. Insert $F = J^0 + f\sqrt{J^0}$ into (1.1) to obtain

$$\partial_t f + \hat{q} \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{U_\mu q^\mu}{q^0} \left(\frac{J(F) - J^0}{\sqrt{J^0}} - f \right).$$

Using (3.1)₃, we express $U_\mu q^\mu/q^0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U_\mu q^\mu}{q^0} &= \frac{1}{q^0} \left(u_\mu q^\mu + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\mu q^\mu}{nh} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^0} \left(q^0 + \Phi + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\mu q^\mu}{nh} \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{q^0} \left(\Phi + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\mu q^\mu}{nh} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 3.3, gives the desired result. \square

3.3. Analysis of the linearized operator. Let N be the five dimensional space spanned by $\{\sqrt{J^0}, q^\alpha \sqrt{J^0}\}$. Denote e_i ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) by

$$e_1 = \sqrt{J^0}, \quad e_{2,3,4} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_0}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)}} q \sqrt{J^0}, \quad e_5 = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)}} (q^0 - e_0) \sqrt{J^0},$$

so that $P(f)$ can be written by

$$(3.18) \quad P(f) = \langle f, e_1 \rangle_q e_1 + \langle f, e_{2,3,4} \rangle_q \cdot e_{2,3,4} + \langle f, e_5 \rangle_q e_5.$$

Notice that e_5 is well defined since, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$-\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0) = -\frac{d}{d\beta} \left\{ \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{3}{\beta} \right\}_{\beta=\beta_0} > 0.$$

Lemma 3.4. P is an orthonormal projection from $L_q^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ onto N .

Proof. It is enough to show that $\{e_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) forms an orthonormal basis.

- $\|e_1\|_{L_q^2} = 1$: It is straightforward from the definition of J^0 that

$$\langle e_1, e_1 \rangle_q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^0 dq = 1.$$

- $\|e_{i+1}\|_{L_q^2} = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$): A direct computation, using the spherical coordinates and integration by parts, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |q|^2 J^0 dq &= \frac{1}{M(\beta_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |q|^2 e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+|q|^2}} dq \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{M(\beta_0)} \int_0^\infty r^4 e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+r^2}} dr \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0^2 M(\beta_0)} \left(3 \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+r^2}} dr + \frac{12}{\beta_0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta_0 \sqrt{1+r^2}} \frac{2r^2 + 1}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (2.1) and (2.2), leads to

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |q|^2 J^0 dq = \frac{12}{\beta_0^2} + \frac{3}{\beta_0} \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{i+1}, e_{i+1} \rangle_q &= \frac{\beta_0}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^i)^2 J^0 dq \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\beta_0} \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} + \frac{4}{\beta_0^2} \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{3} |q|^2 J^0 dq \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

- $\|e_5\|_{L_q^2} = 1$: We use Lemma 2.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0) &= -\frac{d}{d\beta} \left\{ \frac{K_1(\beta)}{K_2(\beta)} + \frac{3}{\beta} \right\}_{\beta=\beta_0} \\ &= -\frac{3}{\beta_0} \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} - \left(\frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} \right)^2 + 1 + \frac{3}{\beta_0^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have from Lemma 3.2 and (3.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_5, e_5 \rangle_q &= -\frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^0 - e_0)^2 J^0 dq \\ &= -\frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |q|^2) J^0 dq - 2e_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 J^0 dq + e_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^0 dq \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_0)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{12}{\beta_0^2} + \frac{3}{\beta_0} \frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} - \left(\frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} + \frac{3}{\beta_0} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

- $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_q = 0$ ($i \neq j$): The orthogonality can be proved in a similar manner. We omit the proof. \square

We are ready to prove the dissipative property of L .

Proposition 3.3. *The linearized operator $L := P - I$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) $\text{Ker}(L) = N$.
- (2) L is dissipative in the following sense:

$$\langle L(f), f \rangle_q = -\| \{I - P\} f \|_{L_q^2}^2 \leq 0.$$

Proof. (1) follows from the definition of P . To prove (2), we use the orthonormality of P to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(f), \{I - P\}(f) \rangle_q &= \langle P(f), f \rangle_q - \langle P(f), P(f) \rangle_q \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^5 |\langle f, e_i \rangle_q|^2 - \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^5 \langle f, e_i \rangle_q e_i, \sum_{j=1}^5 \langle f, e_j \rangle_q e_j \right\rangle_q \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L(f), f \rangle_q &= -\langle \{I - P\}(f), P(f) + \{I - P\}(f) \rangle_q \\ &= -\langle \{I - P\}(f), \{I - P\}(f) \rangle_q \\ &= -\| \{I - P\}(f) \|_{L_q^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

\square

4. ESTIMATES ON THE MACROSCOPIC FIELDS AND THE NONLINEAR TERMS

In this section, we study the estimates on the nonlinear perturbation Γ necessary for local in time existence and energy estimates. We start with the estimates of the macroscopic fields.

4.1. Estimates of macroscopic fields. We first need to estimate Ψ, Ψ_1, Φ and Φ_1 whose definition is given in (3.1).

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $E(f)(t)$ is sufficiently small. Then Ψ, Ψ_1, Φ and Φ_1 satisfy*

$$(1) \quad |\partial^\alpha \Psi| + |\partial^\alpha \Phi| \leq C(1 + q^0) \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

$$(2) \quad |\partial^\alpha \Psi_1| + |\partial^\alpha \Phi_1| \leq C(1 + q^0) \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Proof. • Estimates of Ψ and Ψ_1 : From Hölder inequality, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha \Psi| &= \left| \partial^\alpha \left\{ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right)^2 \right\} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L_q^2} + C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \|\partial^{\alpha-k} f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we apply the Sobolev embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ to lower order terms to get

$$(4.2) \quad |\partial^\alpha \Psi| \leq 2 \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L_q^2} + C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha \Psi_1| &= \left| \partial^\alpha \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right)^2 \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \|\partial^{\alpha-k} f\|_{L_q^2} \\ &\leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

• Estimates of Φ : We observe that

$$\Phi = u^\mu q_\mu - q^0 = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^\mu F \frac{dq}{q^0} q_\mu - q^0.$$

Inserting (3.6) and $F = J^0 + f \sqrt{J^0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 q^i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} - q^0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 q^i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right)^2 + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left(q^0 + q^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq - \sum_{i=1}^3 q^i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} q^i \frac{dq}{q^0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi| &\leq C(1 + q^0) \left(\|f\|_{L_q^2} + \|f\|_{L_q^2}^2 \right) + C \left(\|f\|_{L_q^2}^2 + \frac{\|f\|_{L_q^2}^3 + \|f\|_{L_q^2}^2}{2 - \|f\|_{L_q^2} - \|f\|_{L_q^2}^2} \right) q^0 \left(1 + \|f\|_{L_q^2} \right) \\ &\leq C(1 + q^0) \|f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \neq 0$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha \Phi &= \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \partial^k \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} \partial^{\alpha-k} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^\mu F \frac{dq}{q^0} q_\mu \right\} \\ &= \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} \left\{ q^0 \left(e_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) + q \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{|k| < |\alpha|} \partial^k \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^\mu \partial^{\alpha-k} f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} q_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$(4.4) \quad |\partial^\alpha \Phi| \leq C q^0 \left| \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right| \left(1 + \|f\|_{L_q^2} \right) + C q^0 \sum_{|k| < |\alpha|} \left| \partial^k \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right| \|\partial^{\alpha-k} f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

To estimate $1/n$, we recall (3.4) and (3.5) to write

$$\frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Psi}}$$

so that

$$\partial^k \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Psi}} \right\} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Psi, \partial \Psi, \dots, \partial^k \Psi)}{(1 + \Psi)^{2|\alpha| - \frac{1}{2}}}$$

for some homogeneous generic polynomial \mathbb{P} . Then an explicit computation using (4.1) gives

$$(4.5) \quad \left| \partial^k \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Psi}} \right\} \right| \leq C \sum_{|\iota| \leq |k|} \|\partial^\iota f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Substituting (4.5) into (4.4) leads to

$$(4.6) \quad |\partial^\alpha \Phi| \leq C q^0 \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

• Estimates of Φ_1 : In the same manner with Φ , we can obtain

$$(4.7) \quad |\partial^\alpha \Phi_1| \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Now, (1) follows from (4.1), (4.6), and combining (4.3) and (4.7) gives (2). \square

The following two lemmas give the desired estimates for macroscopic fields.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $E(t)$ is sufficiently small. Then we have*

$$(1) \quad |\partial^\alpha \{n - 1\}| + |\partial^\alpha u| + |\partial^\alpha \{e - e_0\}| \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

$$(2) \quad |\partial^\alpha \{u^0 - 1\}| \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Proof. • $\partial^\alpha \{n - 1\}$: It follows from (3.4), (3.5) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |n - 1| &= \left| \frac{\Psi}{2} - \frac{\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L_q^2} + C \frac{\|f\|_{L_q^2}^2}{2(2 - \|f\|_{L_q^2} + 2\sqrt{1 - \|f\|_{L_q^2}})} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \neq 0$, we write

$$\partial^\alpha \{n-1\} = \partial^\alpha \left\{ \sqrt{1+\Psi} \right\} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Psi, \partial\Psi, \dots, \partial^\alpha \Psi)}{(\sqrt{1+\Psi})^{2^{|\alpha|-1}}}$$

for some homogeneous generic polynomial \mathbb{P} . Then, it is straightforward from (4.2) that

$$|\partial^\alpha \{n-1\}| \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

- $\partial^\alpha u$: The case of $\alpha = 0$ follows similarly as in the above case:

$$|u| = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\Psi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qF \frac{dq}{q^0} \right| \leq \frac{C\|f\|_{L_q^2}}{\sqrt{1-\|f\|_{L_q^2}}} \leq C\|f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

For the case $\alpha \neq 0$, we compute using (4.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha u| &= \left| \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \partial^k \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\Psi}} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^{\alpha-k} \{F\} q \frac{dq}{q^0} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \sum_{|l| \leq |k|} \|\partial^l f\|_{L_q^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^{\alpha-k} \{f\} q \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \sum_{|l| \leq |k|} \|\partial^l f\|_{L_q^2} \|\partial^{\alpha-k} f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ to the lower order terms gives

$$|\partial^\alpha u| \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

- $\partial^\alpha \{e - e_0\}$: We observe from (3.9) that $e - e_0$ takes the form of

$$e - e_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (q^0 - e_0) f \sqrt{J^0} dq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} dq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq + R_{I_2}(f).$$

We notice that $R_{I_2}(f)$ consists entirely of the integrals for $f \sqrt{J^0}$, and can be estimated similarly as in the previous case. we omit the proof.

- $\partial^\alpha \{u^0 - 1\}$: We use (3.5) to express $u^0 - 1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u^0 - 1 &= \sqrt{1+|u|^2} - 1 \\ &= \frac{|u|^2}{2} - \frac{|u|^4}{2(2+|u|^2+2\sqrt{1+|u|^2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the previous result of u gives

$$\begin{aligned} |u^0 - 1| &= \left| \frac{|u|^2}{2} - \frac{|u|^4}{2(2+|u|^2+2\sqrt{1+|u|^2})} \right| \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{L_q^2}^2 + \frac{C\|f\|_{L_q^2}^4}{8} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{E(t)}\|f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha \{u^0 - 1\}| &= \left| \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{|u|^2}{2} - \frac{|u|^4}{2(2 + |u|^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + |u|^2})} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} |\partial^k u| |\partial^{\alpha-k} u| + \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{1 + |u|^2}, u, \partial u, \dots, \partial^\alpha u)}{(2 + |u|^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + |u|^2})^{2|\alpha|} (\sqrt{1 + |u|^2})^{2|\alpha|-1}} \right| \\ &\leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $E(t)$ is sufficiently small. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad |\partial^\alpha U| &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}. \\ (2) \quad |\partial^\alpha \{U^0 - 1\}| &\leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. • $\partial^\alpha U$: From the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$(4.8) \quad 1 \leq u^\mu q_\mu \leq 2\sqrt{1 + |u|^2} q^0.$$

Using this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |U| &= \left| u + 3 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q(u^\mu q_\mu) F \frac{dq}{q^0} - u \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^\mu q_\mu)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0}}{4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^\mu q_\mu)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \frac{dq}{q^0}} \right| \\ &\leq |u| + \frac{2\sqrt{1 + |u|^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q F dq \right| + 4(1 + |u|^2) |u| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 F dq}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \frac{dq}{q^0}} \\ &= |u| + \frac{2\sqrt{1 + |u|^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right| + 4(1 + |u|^2) |u| \left(e_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right)}{\frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}}. \end{aligned}$$

We then apply Lemma 4.2 to get

$$|U| \leq C \|f\|_{L_q^2} + C \frac{(1 + \|f\|_{L_q^2}) \|f\|_{L_q^2} + (1 + \|f\|_{L_q^2})^3 \|f\|_{L_q^2}}{\frac{K_1(\beta_0)}{K_2(\beta_0)} - \|f\|_{L_q^2}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

For the case of $\alpha \neq 0$, we recall from (3.16) that

$$\mathbf{q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} dq - \tilde{h}(\beta_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \Gamma_3^*(f)$$

where $\Gamma_3^*(f)$ denotes

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_3^*(f) &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i q f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi_1 q F \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &\quad + e_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \left\{ \frac{\Psi}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Psi}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0 \Phi + \Phi^2) F \frac{dq}{q^0} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, an explicit computation with Lemma 4.1 and (4.5) gives

$$|\partial^\alpha \Gamma_3^*(f)| \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.9) \quad |\partial^\alpha \mathbf{q}| \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

On the other hand, we recall from (3.10) that

$$nh = \tilde{h}(\beta_0) + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0 \Phi + \Phi^2) J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\alpha q^\alpha)^2 f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0},$$

which, together with Lemma 4.1 and (4.8), leads to

$$(4.10) \quad \left| nh - \tilde{h}(\beta_0) \right| + |\partial^\alpha \{nh\}| \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Using this, we have

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{nh} \right\} \right| &= \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(nh, \partial\{nh\}, \dots, \partial^\alpha \{nh\})}{(nh)^{2^{|\alpha|}}} \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \frac{\|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}}{\left(\tilde{h}(\beta_0) - C \|f\|_{L_q^2} \right)^{2^{|\alpha|}}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.9) and (4.11) gives

$$(4.12) \quad \left| \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{q}}{nh} \right\} \right| \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Therefore we have from Lemma 4.2 and (4.12) that

$$|\partial^\alpha U| = \left| \partial^\alpha u + \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{q}}{nh} \right\} \right| \leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

• $\partial^\alpha \{U^0 - 1\}$: For $\alpha = 0$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}^0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^\mu q_\mu) F dq - u^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^\mu q_\mu)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &= u^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 F dq - u \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q F dq - u^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^0 q^0 - u \cdot q)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting $F = J^0 + f\sqrt{J^0}$, a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}^0 &= u^0 \left(e_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) - u \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} dq \\ &\quad - (u^0)^3 \left(e_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq \right) + 2(u^0)^2 u \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} dq - u^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot q)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} \\ &= -e_0 u^0 |u|^2 + u^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq - u \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} dq \\ &\quad - (u^0)^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq + 2(u^0)^2 u \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q f \sqrt{J^0} dq - u^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot q)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 4.2, gives

$$(4.13) \quad |\mathbf{q}^0| \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L_q^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L_q^2}^3 + \|f\|_{L_q^2}^4 \right) \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \|f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Combining Lemma 4.2, (4.10) and (4.13), we conclude that

$$|U^0 - 1| = \left| u^0 - 1 + \frac{\mathbf{q}^0}{nh} \right| \leq C\sqrt{E(t)}\|f\|_{L_q^2}.$$

We omit the proof for $\alpha \neq 0$ to avoid the repetition. \square

4.2. Estimates of nonlinear perturbation Γ . We now estimate the nonlinear term. We first need to clarify the explicit form of the nonlinear perturbation $\Gamma_5(f)$.

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$D_{n_\theta, U_\theta^0, U_\theta, e_\theta}^2 J(\theta) = \mathcal{Q}J(\theta),$$

where \mathcal{Q} is a 6×6 matrix whose elements are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{1,1} &= 0, & \mathcal{Q}_{1,2} &= -\frac{\beta_\theta}{n_\theta}q^0, & \mathcal{Q}_{1,i+2} &= \frac{\beta_\theta}{n_\theta}q^i, & \mathcal{Q}_{1,6} &= -\frac{1}{n_\theta \{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_\theta)} \left(\frac{M'(\beta_\theta)}{M(\beta_\theta)} + U_\theta^\mu q_\mu \right), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{2,2} &= \beta_\theta^2 (q^0)^2, & \mathcal{Q}_{2,i+2} &= -\beta_\theta^2 q^0 q^i, & \mathcal{Q}_{2,6} &= -\frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_\theta)} q^0 + \frac{(\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_\theta)}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_\theta)} q^0 \left(\frac{M'(\beta_\theta)}{M(\beta_\theta)} + U_\theta^\mu q_\mu \right), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{i+2,j+2} &= \beta_\theta^2 q^i q^j, & \mathcal{Q}_{i+2,6} &= \frac{1}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_\theta)} q^i - \frac{(\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_\theta)}{\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_\theta)} q^i \left(\frac{M'(\beta_\theta)}{M(\beta_\theta)} + U_\theta^\mu q_\mu \right), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{6,6} &= -\{(\tilde{e})^{-1}\}''(e_\theta) \left(\frac{M'(\beta_\theta)}{M(\beta_\theta)} + U_\theta^\mu q_\mu \right) + \frac{1}{(\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_\theta))^2} \left(\frac{M'(\beta_\theta)}{M(\beta_\theta)} + U_\theta^\mu q_\mu \right)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(\{\tilde{e}\}'(\beta_\theta))^2} \left(\frac{M''(\beta_\theta)M(\beta_\theta) - \{M'(\beta_\theta)\}^2}{M^2(\beta_\theta)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. We omit it. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose $E(t)$ is sufficiently small. Then we have*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma(f) g dq \right| \leq C\sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \|g\|_{L_q^2}.$$

Proof. We recall from Proposition 3.2 that

$$\Gamma(f) = \frac{U_\mu q^\mu}{q^0} \sum_{i=1}^5 \Gamma_i(f) + \frac{1}{q^0} \left(\Phi + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\mu q^\mu}{nh} \right) L(f).$$

For brevity, and to avoid unnecessary repetition, we only deal with

$$I_1 := \frac{U_\mu q^\mu}{q^0} \Gamma_3(f), \quad I_2 := \frac{U_\mu q^\mu}{q^0} \Gamma_5(f).$$

Throughout the proof, we use the following elementary estimates without explicitly mentioning them:

$$|\partial_\beta \hat{q}| + \left| \partial_\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{q^0} \right\} \right| \leq C, \quad |\partial_\beta \sqrt{J^0}| + |\partial_\beta (q^\mu \sqrt{J^0})| \leq C\sqrt{J^0}, \quad \left| \partial_\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{J^0}} \right\} \right| \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{J^0}}.$$

- Estimate of I_1 : It follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14) \quad |\partial_\beta^\alpha \{I_1\}| &= \left| \partial_\beta^\alpha \left\{ \frac{U_\mu q^\mu}{q^0} \Gamma_3(f) \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq |\alpha| \\ |l| \leq |\beta|}} \left| \left(\partial^{\alpha-k} \{U^0\} - \partial^{\alpha-k} \{U\} \cdot \partial_{\beta-l} \{\hat{q}\} \right) \partial_l^k \Gamma_3(f) \right| \\
&\leq C \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq |\alpha| \\ |l| \leq |\beta|}} \left(1 + \sum_{|m| \leq |\alpha-k|} \|\partial^m f\|_{L_q^2} \right) |\partial_l^k \Gamma_3(f)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Gamma_3(f)$ is given in Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_3(f) &= -\beta_0 \left\{ \frac{\Psi}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \cdot q\sqrt{J^0} \\
&\quad - \beta_0 \left\{ \frac{4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0 \Phi + \Phi^2) J^0 \frac{dq}{q^0} + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}}{3\tilde{h}(\beta_0)(nh)} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu) qF \frac{dq}{q^0} - u \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\mu q^\mu)^2 F \frac{dq}{q^0} \right) \cdot q\sqrt{J^0} + \frac{\beta_0}{\tilde{h}(\beta_0)} \Gamma_3^*(f) \cdot q\sqrt{J^0}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_3^*(f)$ denotes

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_3^*(f) &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i f \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^i qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi_1 qF \frac{dq}{q^0} \\
&\quad + e_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0} \left\{ \frac{\Psi}{2} + \frac{\Psi^3 - 3\Psi^2}{2(2 + \Psi - \Psi^2 + 2\sqrt{1 + \Psi})} \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Psi}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q^0 f \sqrt{J^0} dq + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (2q^0 \Phi + \Phi^2) F \frac{dq}{q^0} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} qf \sqrt{J^0} \frac{dq}{q^0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.1, (4.5) and (4.10), one can derive

$$(4.15) \quad |\partial^k \Gamma_3(f)| \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|l| \leq |k|} \|\partial^l f\|_{L_q^2} \sqrt{J^0}.$$

We go back to (4.14) with (4.15) to have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\beta^\alpha \{I_1\} g dq \right| &\leq C \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq |\alpha| \\ |l| \leq |\beta|}} \left(1 + \sum_{|m| \leq |\alpha-k|} \|\partial^m f\|_{L_q^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_l^k \{\Gamma_3(f)\} g| dq \\
&\leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \left(1 + \sum_{|m| \leq |\alpha-k|} \|\partial^m f\|_{L_q^2} \right) \sum_{|l| \leq |k|} \|\partial^l f\|_{L_q^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g| \sqrt{J^0} dq \\
&\leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \|g\|_{L_q^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we applied $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ to lower order terms.

- Estimate of I_2 : Let $(n-1, U^0-1, U, e-e_0) = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6)$ and recall from Lemma 4.4 that

$$D_{n_\theta, U_\theta^0, U_\theta, \alpha_\theta}^2 J(\theta) = \mathcal{Q}J(\theta).$$

We then have

$$(y_1, \dots, y_6) D_{n_\theta, U_\theta^0, U_\theta, \alpha_\theta}^2 J(\theta) (y_1, \dots, y_6)^T = \sum_{i,j=1}^6 y_i y_j \mathcal{Q}_{ij} J(\theta),$$

which gives

$$(4.16) \quad \partial_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_5(f) = \sum_{i,j=1}^6 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|+|\alpha_3| \\ +|\alpha_4|=|\alpha|}} \partial^{\alpha_1} y_i \partial^{\alpha_2} y_j \left\{ \sum_{\substack{|\beta_1|+|\beta_2|+|\beta_3| \\ =|\beta|}} \int_0^1 \partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_3} \mathcal{Q}_{ij} \partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_4} J(\theta) d\theta \partial_{\beta_3} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{J^0}} \right) \right\}.$$

Claim: Assume $E(f)(t)$ is sufficiently small. Then, there exist positive constants $C, C' > 0$, independent of θ , such that

$$J(\theta) \leq C e^{-C' \sqrt{1+|q|^2}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_{ij}| &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L^2_q}, \\ |\partial_\beta^\alpha J(\theta)| &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L^2_q} e^{-C' \sqrt{1+|q|^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the claim: We observe from Lemma 3.1 that since $\tilde{e}(\beta)$ is a decreasing function, its inverse $\beta_\theta = (\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_\theta)$ is also decreasing. So it follows from Lemma 4.2 that β_θ is bounded by

$$(\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_0 + \sqrt{E(t)}) \leq \beta_\theta \leq (\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_0 - \sqrt{E(t)}).$$

From this observation with Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\theta U_\theta^\mu q_\mu &\geq (\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_0 + \sqrt{E(t)}) \left(\min\{1, U^0\} \sqrt{1+|q|^2} - \max\{|U||q|\} \right) \\ &= (\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_0 + \sqrt{E(t)}) \left\{ (1 - \sqrt{E(t)}) \sqrt{1+|q|^2} - (\sqrt{E(t)})|q| \right\} \\ &\geq (\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_0 + \sqrt{E(t)}) (1 - 2\sqrt{E(t)}) \sqrt{1+|q|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

When $E(t)$ is sufficiently small, we can take C' such that

$$(4.17) \quad (\tilde{e})^{-1}(e_0 + \sqrt{E(t)}) (1 - 2\sqrt{E(t)}) > C' > \frac{3}{4} \beta_0.$$

We thus have from Lemma 4.2 that

$$(4.18) \quad J(\theta) = \frac{n_\theta}{M(\beta_\theta)} e^{-\beta_\theta U_\theta^\mu q_\mu} \leq C e^{-C' \sqrt{1+|q|^2}}.$$

This gives the first estimate.

For the second estimate, we observe by an explicit, tedious computation that the derivatives of $J(\theta)$ and \mathcal{Q} are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\beta^\alpha J(\theta) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|+\dots+|\alpha_4| \\ =|\alpha|}} \sum_l \mathbb{P}_J(n_\theta, \dots, \partial^{\alpha_1} n_\theta, U_\theta^\mu, \dots, \partial^{\alpha_2} U_\theta, e_\theta, \dots, \partial^{\alpha_3} e_\theta)_l \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}_J(q^\mu, M(\beta_\theta), \dots, \partial_{e_\theta}^{\alpha_4} M(\beta_\theta), \beta_\theta, \dots, \partial_{e_\theta}^{\alpha_4} \beta_\theta)}{\mathbb{M}_J(n_\theta, M(\beta_\theta), q^0)} \right)_l J(\theta), \\ \partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_{ij} &= \partial_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(n_\theta, U_\theta^\mu, e_\theta, q^\mu) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|+\dots+|\alpha_4| \\ =|\alpha|}} \sum_k \mathbb{P}_\mathcal{Q}(n_\theta, \dots, \partial^{\alpha_1} n_\theta, U_\theta^\mu, \dots, \partial^{\alpha_2} U_\theta^\mu, e_\theta, \dots, \partial^{\alpha_3} e_\theta)_k \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}_\mathcal{Q}(q^\mu, M(\beta_\theta), \dots, \partial_{e_\theta}^{\alpha_4} M(\beta_\theta), \beta_\theta, \dots, \partial_{e_\theta}^{\alpha_4} \beta_\theta)}{\mathbb{M}_\mathcal{Q}(n_\theta, M(\beta_\theta), q^0)} \right)_k \right\}_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

for some generically defined polynomials \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{M} . Then, the desired result follows from Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3 and the estimate (4.18). This ends the proof of the claim.

Now, substituting the estimates in the claim into (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} \Gamma_5(f)| \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|+|\alpha_3| \\ +|\alpha_4|=|\alpha|}} |\partial^{\alpha_1} y_i| |\partial^{\alpha_2} y_j| \sum_{\substack{|\beta_1|+|\beta_2|+|\beta_3| \\ =|\beta|}} \int_0^1 |\partial_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_3} \mathcal{Q}_{ij}| |\partial_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_4} J(\theta)| d\theta \left| \partial_{\beta_3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{J^0}} \right\} \right| \\
& \leq C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|+|\alpha_3| \\ +|\alpha_4|=|\alpha|}} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha_1|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha_2|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha_3|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha_4|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} e^{-C' \sqrt{1+|q|^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{J^0}} \\
& \equiv B(f) \frac{e^{-C' \sqrt{1+|q|^2}}}{\sqrt{J^0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we apply the Sobolev embedding $H^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ to the lower order terms to get

$$B(f) \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2},$$

and observe from (4.17) that $C' - \frac{\beta_0}{2} > \frac{\beta_0}{4}$, that gives

$$\frac{e^{-C' \sqrt{1+|q|^2}}}{\sqrt{J^0}} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{4} \beta_0 \sqrt{1+|q|^2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$|\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} \Gamma_5(f)| \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4} \beta_0 \sqrt{1+|q|^2}}.$$

The desired estimate follows directly from this:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} \{I_2\} g dq \right| & \leq \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq |\alpha| \\ |l| \leq |\beta|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \left(\partial^{\alpha-k} \{U^0\} - \partial^{\alpha-k} \{U\} \cdot \partial_{\beta-l} \{\hat{q}\} \right) \partial_l^k \Gamma_5(f) g \right| dq \\
& \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \left(1 + \sum_{|m| \leq |\alpha-k|} \|\partial^m f\|_{L_q^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1}{4} \beta_0 \sqrt{1+|q|^2}} |g| dq \\
& \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} \sum_{|k| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial^k f\|_{L_q^2} \|g\|_{L_q^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma on the difference of distribution functions is also needed for the local existence and uniqueness. We omit the proof since it can be treated similarly.

Lemma 4.6. *Assume $\bar{F} := J^0 + \bar{f} \sqrt{J^0}$ is another solution of (1.1). For sufficiently small $E(f)(t)$ and $E(\bar{f})(t)$, we then have*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{\Gamma(f) - \Gamma(\bar{f})\} (f - \bar{f}) dq dx \right| \leq C \|f - \bar{f}\|_{L_{x,q}^2}^2.$$

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Now, we are ready to prove the main result. Since it is rather standard, we only sketch the proof.

5.1. Local existence. With the estimates on the nonlinear parts established in the previous section, the local in time existence then follows by standard argument [21, 22]:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $N \geq 4$ and $F_0 = J^0 + \sqrt{J^0}f_0 \geq 0$. Then there exist $M_0 > 0, T_* > 0$, such that if $T_* \leq \frac{M_0}{2}$ and $E(f_0) \leq \frac{M_0}{2}$, there is a unique global solution $F(x, q, t)$ to the Anderson-Witting model (1.1) such that*

(1) *The energy functional is continuous in $[0, T_*)$ and uniformly bounded:*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} E(f)(t) \leq M_0.$$

(2) *The distribution function remains positive in $[0, T_*)$:*

$$F(x, q, t) = J^0 + \sqrt{J^0}f(x, q, t) \geq 0.$$

5.2. Coercivity of L. We decompose f into the macro and micro parts:

$$f = P(f) + \{I - P\}(f)$$

where $P(f)$ takes the form of

$$P(f) = \tilde{a}\sqrt{J^0} + b \cdot q\sqrt{J^0} + cq^0\sqrt{J^0},$$

and rewrite the linearized Anderson-Witting model (3.17) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\partial_t + \hat{q} \cdot \nabla_x\}P(f) &= \{-\partial_t - \hat{q} \cdot \nabla_x + L\}\{I - P\}(f) + \Gamma(f) \\ &\equiv l\{I - P\}(f) + h(f). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing both sides of the equation with respect to the basis:

$$(5.1) \quad \{e_{a_0}, e_{a_i}, e_{bc_i}, e_{ij}, e_c\} = \left\{ \sqrt{J_0}, \frac{q_i}{q^0} \sqrt{J_0}, q_i \sqrt{J_0}, \frac{q_i q_j}{q^0} \sqrt{J_0}, q^0 \sqrt{J_0} \right\},$$

we obtain the following micro-macro system:

- (1) $\partial_t \tilde{a} = l_{a_0} + h_{a_0}$,
- (2) $\partial_t c = l_c + h_c$,
- (3) $\partial_t b_i + \partial_{x_i} c = l_{bc_i} + h_{bc_i}$,
- (4) $\partial_{x_i} \tilde{a} = l_{a_i} + h_{a_i}$,
- (5) $(1 - \delta_{ij}) \partial_{x_i} b_j + \partial_{x_j} b_i = l_{ij} + h_{ij}$,

where l_{a_0}, \dots, l_c and h_{a_0}, \dots, h_c denote the inner product of $l\{I - P\}(f)$ and $h(f)$ with the corresponding basis (5.1). The standard analysis of the system [21, 22] gives

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha P(f)\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \left\{ \|\{I - P\}(\partial^\alpha f)\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 + \sqrt{E(t)} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 \right\}.$$

This, combined with Proposition 3.3 (2), gives the dissipative estimate of L for sufficiently small $E(f)$:

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \langle L(\partial^\alpha f), \partial^\alpha f \rangle_{x,q} \leq -\delta \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying ∂_β^α to (3.17), taking inner product with $\partial_\beta^\alpha f$, and employing Lemma 4.5 and (5.2), we have from standard arguments [22] that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 + \delta \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 \leq C \sqrt{E(t)} E(t) \quad (\beta = 0),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 + \delta \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 \leq C \left\{ \sum_{|k| < |\beta|} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_{x_i} \partial_k^\alpha f\|_{L^2_{x,q}}^2 + \sqrt{E(t)} E(t) \right\} \quad (\beta \neq 0).$$

We then combine these estimates to derive the following energy estimate [22]:

$$\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N} \left(C_{|\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_{x,q}^2}^2 + \delta_N \|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\|_{L_{x,q}^2}^2 \right) \leq C_{N*} \sqrt{E(t)} E(t)$$

for some positive constants $C_{|\beta|}$, δ_N . Then, the desired result follows from the standard continuity argument.

Acknowledgement The work of Seok-Bae Yun was supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA1801-02.

REFERENCES

1. Andreasson, H.: Regularity of the gain term and strong L^1 -convergence to equilibrium for the relativistic Boltzmann equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **27** (1996) 1386–1405
2. Anderson, J. L., Witting, H. R.: A relativistic relaxation-time model for the Boltzmann equation. *Physica.* **74** (1974) 466–488
3. Anderson, J. L., Witting, H. R.: Relativistic quantum transport coefficients. *Physica.* **74** (1974) 489–495
4. Bichteler, K.: On the Cauchy problem of the relativistic Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **4** (1967) 352–364
5. Bellouquid, A., Calvo, J., Nieto, J., Soler, J.: On the relativistic BGK-Boltzmann model: asymptotics and hydrodynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.* **149** (2012) 284–316
6. Bellouquid, A., Nieto, J., Urrutia, L.: Global existence and asymptotic stability near equilibrium for the relativistic BGK model. *Nonlinear Anal.* **114** (2015) 87–104.
7. Bhatnagar, P. L., Gross, E. P. and Krook, M. L.: A model for collision processes in gases. I. Small amplitude processes in charged and neutral one-component systems, *Phys. Rev.* **94** (1954) 511–525.
8. Calogero, S.: The Newtonian limit of the relativistic Boltzmann equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004) 4042–4052
9. Cercignani, C., Kremer, G. M.: *The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation: Theory and Applications*. Birkhäuser, Basel (2002)
10. Denicol, G. S., Heinz, U., Martinez, M., Noronha, J., Strickland, M.: New exact solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation and its hydrodynamic limit. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 202301
11. Denicol, G. S., Heinz, U., Martinez, M., Noronha, J., Strickland, M.: Studying the validity of relativistic hydrodynamics with a new exact solution of the Boltzmann equation. *Phys. Rev. D.* **90** (2014) 125026
12. Dudyński, M.: On the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation. II. Existence of hydrodynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.* **57** (1-2) (1989) 199–245
13. Dudyński, M., Ekiel-Jeżewska, M. L.: On the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation. I. Existence of solutions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **115** (4) (1985) 607–629
14. Dudyński, M., Ekiel-Jeżewska, M. L.: Global existence proof for relativistic Boltzmann equation, *J. Stat. Phys.* **66** (3) (1992) 991–1001.
15. Eckart, C., *Phys. Rev.* **58** (1940) 919.
16. Florkowski, W., Maksymiuk, E., Ryblewski, R., Strickland, M.: Exact solution of the (0+1)-dimensional Boltzmann equation for a massive gas. *Phys. Rev. C.* **89** (2014) 054908
17. Florkowski, W., Ryblewski, R., Strickland, M.: Anisotropic hydrodynamics for rapidly expanding systems. *Nucl. Phys. A* **916** (2013) 249–259
18. Florkowski, W., Ryblewski, R., Strickland, M.: Testing viscous and anisotropic hydrodynamics in an exactly solvable case. *Phys. Rev. C.* **88** (2013) 024903
19. Glassey, R. T., Strauss, W. A.: Asymptotic stability of the relativistic Maxwellian. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **29** (2) (1993) 301–347
20. Glassey, R. T., Strauss, W. A.: Asymptotic stability of the relativistic Maxwellian via fourteen moments. *Trans. Th. Stat. Phys.* **24** (4–5) (1995) 657–678
21. Guo, Y.: The Boltzmann equation in the whole space. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004). no.4, 1081–1094
22. Guo, Y.: The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians. *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003) no.3, 593–630
23. Guo, Y., Strain, Robert M.: Momentum regularity and stability of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **310** (3) (2012) 649–673.
24. Hwang, B.-H., Yun, S.-B.: Stationary solutions to the boundary value problem for relativistic BGK model in a slab. submitted.
25. Jaiswal, A.: Relativistic dissipative hydrodynamics from kinetic theory with relaxation time approximation. *Phys. Rev. C.* **87** (2013) 051901
26. Jaiswal, A.: Relativistic third-order dissipative fluid dynamics from kinetic theory. *Phys. Rev. C.* **88** (2013) 021903
27. Jaiswal, A., Ryblewski, R., Strickland, M.: Transport coefficients for bulk viscous evolution in the relaxation time approximation. *Phys. Rev. C.* **90** (2014) 044908
28. Jiang, Z.: On the relativistic Boltzmann equation, *Acta Math. Sci.* **18** (3) (1998) 348–360.

29. Jiang, Z.: On the Cauchy problem for the relativistic Boltzmann equation in a periodic box: global existence. *Transport Theory Statist. Phys.* **28** (6) (1999) 617–628
30. Jang, J. W., Strain, Robert M., Yun, S.-B.: Propagation of uniform upper bound for the spatially homogeneous relativistic Boltzmann equation. Preprint.
31. Jang, J. W., Yun, S.-B.: Gain of regularity for the relativistic collision operator. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.10147>
32. Landau, L. D., Lifshitz, E. M.: *Fluid Mechanics*. Pergamon Press. (1959)
33. Lee, H., Rendall, A.: The spatially homogeneous relativistic Boltzmann equation with a hard potential, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **38** (12) (2013) 2238–2262
34. Kremer, G. M., Patsko, C. H.: Relativistic ionized gases: Ohm and Fourier laws from Anderson and Witting model equation. *Physica A.* **322** (2003) 329–344
35. Marle, C.: Sur l'établissement des equations de l'hydrodynamique des fluides relativistes dissipatifs. II. Méthodes de résolution approchée de l'équation de Boltzmann relativiste. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **10** (1969) 127–194
36. Marle, C.: Modele cinétique pour l'établissement des lois de la conduction de la chaleur et de la viscosité en théorie de la relativité. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **260** (1965) 6539–6541
37. Mendoza, M., Boghosian, B. M., Herrmann, H. J., Succi, S.: Derivation of the lattice Boltzmann model for relativistic hydrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. D.* **82** (2010) 105008
38. Mendoza, M., Karlin, I., Succi, S., Herrmann, H. J.: Relativistic lattice Boltzmann model with improved dissipation. *Phys. Rev. D.* **87** (2013) 065027
39. Molnár, E., Niemi, H., Rischke, D. H.: Derivation of anisotropic dissipative fluid dynamics from the Boltzmann equation. *Phys. Rev. D.* **93** (2016) 114025
40. Maartens, R., Wolvaardt, F. P.: Exact non-equilibrium solutions of the Einstein–Boltzmann equations. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** (1994) 203–225.
41. Speck, J., Strain, Robert M.: Hilbert expansion from the Boltzmann equation to relativistic fluids. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **304** (2011) 229–280
42. Strain, Robert M.: Asymptotic stability of the relativistic Boltzmann equation for the soft potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **300** (2010) 529–597
43. Strain, Robert M.: Global Newtonian limit for the relativistic Boltzmann equation near vacuum. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **42** (2010) 1568–1601
44. Strain, Robert M., Yun, S.-B.: Spatially homogenous Boltzmann equation for relativistic particles, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **46** (1) (2014) 917–938
45. Strain, Robert M., Zhu, K.: Large-time decay of the soft potential relativistic Boltzmann equation in \mathbb{R}_x^3 . *Kinet. Relat. Models* **5** (2) (2012) 383–415.
46. Trigriner, J., Zimdahl, W., Pavon, D.: Kinetic theory for particle production. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13** (1996) 403–416
47. Zimdahl, W., Trigriner, J., Pavon, D.: Collisional equilibrium, particle production, and the inflationary universe. *Phys. Rev. D.* **54** (1996) 6101
48. Wennberg, B.: The geometry of binary collisions and generalized Radon transforms. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **139** (1997) no. 3, 291–302

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, SUWON 440-746, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: bhh0116@skku.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, SUWON 440-746, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: sbyun01@skku.edu