Directly search for Ultra-High Energy WIMPs at IceCube

Ye Xu

School of Information Science and Engineering, Fujian University of Technology, Fuzhou 350118, China e-mail address: xuy@fjut.edu.cn

Abstract

I study the possibility of directly detecting Ultra-high energy (UHE from now on) WIMPs by the IceCube experiment, via the WIMPs interaction with the nuclei in the ice. I evaluate galactic and extragalactic UHE WIMP and astrophysical and atmospheric neutrino event rates at energy range of 10 TeV - 10 PeV. I assume UHE WIMPs χ are only from the decay of superheavy dark matter ϕ , that is $\phi \to \chi \bar{\chi}$. If the lifetime of superheavy dark matter τ_{ϕ} is taken to be 5×10^{21} , WIMPs can be detected at energies above ~50TeV in this detection. Since UHE WIMP fluxes are actually depended on τ_{ϕ} , if superheavy dark matter can decay to standard model particles (that is τ_{ϕ} is constrained to be larger than $O(10^{26}-10^{28})$ s), according to my work, UHE WIMPs could not be detected by IceCube.

Keywords: UHE WIMPs, Superheavy dark matter, Direct detection of dark matter

1 Introduction

It is indicated by the Planck data with measurements of the cosmic microwave background that 26.6% of the overall energy density of the Universe is non-baryonic dark matter [\[1\]](#page-5-0). Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs from now on), predicted by extensions of the Standard Model of particle physics, are a class of candidates for dark matter [\[2\]](#page-5-1). They are distributed in a halo surrounding a galaxy. At present, one mainly searches for thermal WIMPs via direct and indirect detections [\[3–](#page-5-2)[10\]](#page-5-3). Because of the very small cross sections of the interactions between the thermal WIMPs and nucleus (maybe $O(10^{-47} \text{ cm}^2)$) [\[5,](#page-5-4)7], so far one has not found dark matter yet.

It is a reasonable assumption that there exist various dark matter particles in the Universe. Then it is possible that this sector may comprise of non-thermal components. And these particles may also contain a small component which is relativistic. Although the fraction of these relativistic dark matter particles is small in the Universe, their large interaction cross sections (including between themselves and between them and the Standard Model (SM from now on) particles) make it possible to search for them. Due to the reasons mentioned above, one has to shift more attention to direct and indirect detection of UHE WIMPs. In fact, A. Bhattecharya et al. and I have discussed the possibility of searching for UHE dark matter particles in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-5-6) and Ref. [\[12\]](#page-5-7), respectively.

There is a non-thermal dark sector generated by the early Universe with its bulk comprised of a very massive relic ϕ in the Universe. This superheavy dark matter [\[13](#page-5-8)[–17\]](#page-5-9) decays to another much lighter WIMPs χ and its lifetime is greater than the age of the Universe. This lead to a small but significant flux of relativistic WIMPs [\[11,](#page-5-6) [18–](#page-5-10)[21\]](#page-6-0). Actually, a few anomalous events may be UHE signatures of a Beyond SM particle in the recent observations from the IceCube and ANITA experiments [\[22,](#page-6-1) [23\]](#page-6-2).

In the present work, I only focus on direct detection of the UHE WIMPs χ induced by the decay of superheavy dark matter ϕ ($\phi \rightarrow \chi \bar{\chi}$). These relativistic WIMPs χ , which pass through the Earth and ice and interact with nuclei, can be detected by a detector like IceCube. In this detection, the main contamination is from astrophysical and atmospheric neutrinos.

In what follows, I will estimate the UHE WIMP and neutrino event rates at IceCube. And it is discussed the possibility of direct detection of UHE WIMPs induced by the decay of superheavy dark matter at IceCube.

2 UHE WIMPs flux from the Galaxy and Extra Galaxy

I consider a scenario where the dark matter sector is composed of at least two particle species in the Universe. One is a co-moving non-relativistic scalar species ϕ , with mass $m_{\phi} > 10$ TeV, the other is much lighter particle species χ $(m_{\chi} \ll m_{\phi})$, due to the decay of ϕ , with a very large lifetime. And χ comprises the bulk of present-day dark matter. Since I assume the superheavy dark matter ϕ does not decay to SM particles, the constraint on the ϕ lifetime are only those based on cosmology [\[24–](#page-6-3)[27\]](#page-6-4), that is $\tau_{\phi} \geq 10^{17}$ s. In the present work, τ_{ϕ} is taken to be 5×10^{21} s [\[11\]](#page-5-6).

The UHE WIMPs flux is composed of galactic and extragalactic components. So the total flux $\Phi_{\chi} = \Phi_{\chi}^G + \Phi_{\chi}^{EG}$, where Φ_{χ}^G and Φ_{χ}^{EG} are the galactic and extragalactic fluxes, respectively. The UHE WIMPs flux from the Galaxy is obtained via the following equation [\[11,](#page-5-6) [19\]](#page-5-11):

$$
\Phi_{\chi}^{G} = F^{G} \int_{E_{min}}^{E_{max}} \frac{dN_{\chi}}{dE_{\chi}} dE \tag{1}
$$

with

$$
F^{G} = 1.7 \times 10^{-8} cm^{-2} s^{-1} \times \frac{10^{26} s}{\tau_{\phi}} \times \frac{1 \text{TeV}}{m_{\phi}} cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}.
$$
 (2)

The UHE WIMPs flux from the extra galaxy is obtained via the following equation [\[11,](#page-5-6) [19\]](#page-5-11):

$$
\Phi_{\chi}^{EG} = F^{EG} \int_{E_{min}}^{E_{max}} dE \int_0^{\infty} dz \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_{\Lambda} + \Omega_m (1+z)^3}} \frac{dN_{\chi}}{dE_{\chi}} [(1+z)E_{\chi}] \tag{3}
$$

with

$$
F^{EG} = 1.4 \times 10^{-8} cm^{-2} s^{-1} \times \frac{10^{26} s}{\tau_{\phi}} \times \frac{1 TeV}{m_{\phi}} cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}.
$$
 (4)

where z represents the red-shift of the source, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.685$ and $\Omega_{m} = 0.315$ from the PLANCK experiment [\[1\]](#page-5-0). $\frac{dN_{\chi}}{dE}$ dE_χ $=\delta(E_\chi-\frac{m_\phi}{2})$ $\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}$, where E_χ and N_χ are the energy and number of UHE WIMP, respectively.

3 UHE WIMP and neutrino interactions with nuclei

In the present paper, I take a Z' portal dark matter model [\[28,](#page-6-5) [29\]](#page-6-6) for WIMPs to interact with nuclei within the IceCube zone (see Fig.1). In this model, a new Z' gauge boson is considered as a simple and well-motivated extension of SM (see Fig.1(a) in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-5-6)). And the parameters in the model are taken to be the same as the ones in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-5-6), that is, the interaction vertexes $(\chi \chi Z'$ and qqZ') are assumed to be vector-like, the coupling constant G $(G = g_{\chi \chi Z} g_{qq}g)$ is chosen to be 0.05 and the Z' and χ masses are taken to be 5 TeV, 10 GeV, respectively. Theoretical models that encompass WIMP spectrum have been discussed in the literature in terms of Z or Z' portal sectors with Z' vector boson typically acquiring mass through the breaking of an additional $U(1)$ gauge group at the high energies (see Ref. [\[28,](#page-6-5) [29\]](#page-6-6)). The UHE WIMP interaction cross section with nucleus is obtained by the following function(see Fig.1(b) in Ref. $[11]$:

$$
\sigma_{\chi N} = 6.13 \times 10^{-43} \, \text{cm}^2 \left(\frac{E_\chi}{1 \, \text{GeV}}\right)^{0.518} \tag{5}
$$

For neutrinos at the energy range of 10 TeV - 10 PeV, the interaction cross sections with nucleus are are given by simple power-law forms from Ref. [\[30\]](#page-6-7):

$$
\sigma_{\nu N}(CC) = 1.17 \times 10^{-36} cm^2 \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{1 GeV}\right)^{0.459}
$$
 (6)

$$
\sigma_{\nu N}(NC) = 3.78 \times 10^{-37} cm^2 \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{1 GeV}\right)^{0.472}
$$
 (7)

where E_{ν} is the neutrino energy.

Then the above equations show that $\sigma_{\gamma N}$ is smaller by 6-7 orders of magnitude, compared to $\sigma_{\nu N}$, at the same energies. The WIMP and neutrino interaction length can be obtained by

$$
L_{\nu,\chi} = \frac{1}{N_A \rho \sigma_{\nu,\chi N}}
$$
(8)

where N_A is the Avogadro constant, and ρ is the density of matter, which WIMPs and neutrinos interact with.

4 Evaluation of the numbers of UHE WIMPs and neutrinos detected by IceCube

IceCube is a km³ neutrino telescope and can detect three flavour neutrinos via detecting the secondary particles, that in turn emit Cherenkov photons, produced by the interaction between neutrinos and the Antarctic ice [\[23\]](#page-6-2). UHE WIMPs reach the Earth and pass through the Earth and ice, meanwhile these particles interact with matter of the Earth and ice. Cherenkov Photons are produced by cascades due to UHE WIMPs interaction with nuclei within the IceCube (see Fig. 1). A small part of these photons will be detected by the IceCube detector. The number of UHE WIMPs, N_{det} , detected by IceCube can be obtained by the following function:

$$
N_{det} = R \times T \times (A\Omega)_{eff} \times \Phi_{\chi}
$$
\n⁽⁹⁾

where R and T are the duty cycle and lifetime of the IceCube experiment, respectively. And $(A\Omega)_{eff}$ = the observational area \times the effective solid angle \times $P(E,D_e,D)$. Here

$$
P(E, D_e, D) = exp\left(-\frac{D_e}{L_{earth}}\right) \left[1 - exp\left(-\frac{D}{L_{ice}}\right)\right]
$$
 (10)

where $P(E, D_e, D)$ is the probability that UHE WIMPs interacts with ice after traveling a distance between D_e and $D_e + D$, where D is the effective length in the IceCube detecting zone in the ice, D_e are the distances through the Earth, and $L_{earth,ice}$ are the UHE WIMP interaction lengths with the Earth and ice, respectively. In the present paper, I assume that all WIMPs and UHE neutrinos detected by IceCube interact with the ice within its volume. In what follows, I will make a rough study of $(A\Omega)_{eff}$ and then evaluate the numbers of UHE WIMPs detected by IceCube. Here I make an assumption that the observational area of IceCube is regarded as a point in the calculation of the effective solid angle Ω . Under this approximation,

$$
(A\Omega)_{eff} \approx P(E, D_e, D)A \int_0^{\theta_{max}} \frac{2\pi R_e^2 \sin\theta}{D_e^2} d\theta. \tag{11}
$$

where A is the observational area, R_e is the radius of the Earth, θ is the polar angle for the Earth (see Fig. 1), θ_{max} is the maximum of θ and $D_e =$ $R_e(1 + cos\theta)$ cos θ 2 .

And the observational area $\approx 1km^2$, $D \approx 1$ km and $R \approx 100\%$ at IceCube.

The background is mainly two sources: astrophysical and atmospheric neutrinos. The astrophysical neutrinos is estimated with a diffuse neutrino flux of $\Phi_{\nu} = 0.9^{+0.30}_{-0.27} \times (E_{\nu}/100 TeV) \times 10^{-18} GeV^{-1} cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}$ [?], where Φ_{ν} represents the per-flavor flux, by the above method. And The atmospheric neutrinos is estimated with a flux of $\Phi_{\nu} = 4.896 \times 10^{-3} \times (E_{\nu}/1GeV)^{-(\gamma_0+\gamma_1y+\gamma_2y^2)}$ by the same method [\[31\]](#page-6-8), where Φ_{ν} represents the atmospheric neutrino flux, $y = lg(E_{\nu}/1GeV)$, $\gamma_0 = 2.198$, $\gamma_1 = 1.648 \times 10^{-1}$ and $\gamma_2 = 1.46 \times 10^{-3}$.

5 Results

In my work, the UHE WIMP and neutrino event rates are evaluated at energy range of 10 TeV - 10 PeV at IceCube. Fig. 2 - 7 compare the galactic and extragalactic UHE WIMP event rates to the astrophysical and atmospheric neutrino event rates corresponding to different θ_{max} , respectively. We find that an energy threshold has to be set to reject neutrinos, since neutrinos are more dominant in the events detected by IceCube at low energy(see Fig. 2 - 7).

Fig. 2 shows the WIMP and neutrino event rates at θ_{max} = π 6 . In Fig. 2, we find that UHE WIMPs can be detected by IceCube at energies above about 50 TeV, and their event rates are \sim 2 event/year and \sim 2 event/10 years at 50 TeV and 10 PeV, respectively. Fig. 3 shows the WIMP and neutrino event rates at $\theta_{max} =$ $\bar{\pi}$ 3 . In Fig. 3, we find that UHE WIMPs can be detected by IceCube at energies above about 50 TeV, and their event rates are ∼10 event/year and ∼8 event/10 years at 50 TeV and 10 PeV, respectively. Fig. 4 shows the WIMP and neutrino event rates at θ_{max} = π 2 . In Fig. 4, we find that UHE WIMPs can be detected by IceCube at energies above about 50 TeV, and their event rates are \sim 20 event/year and \sim 2 event/year at 50 TeV and 10 PeV, respectively. Fig. 5 shows the WIMP and neutrino event rates at $\theta_{max} =$ 2π 3 . In Fig. 5, we find that UHE WIMPs can be detected by IceCube at energies above about 50 TeV, and their event rates are ∼50 event/year and ∼4 event/year at 50 TeV and 10 PeV, respectively. Fig. 6 shows the WIMP and neutrino event rates at $\theta_{max} =$ 5π 6 . In Fig. 6, we find that UHE WIMPs can be detected by IceCube at energies above about 60 TeV, and their event rates are ∼90 event/year and ∼8 event/year at 60 TeV and 10 PeV, respectively. Fig. 7 shows the WIMP and neutrino event rates at $\theta_{max} = \pi$. In Fig. 7, we find that UHE WIMPs can be detected by IceCube at energies above about 70 TeV, and their event rates are ∼2000 event/year and ∼200 event/year at 70 TeV and 10 PeV, respectively.

6 Conclusion and Discussion

According to the results described above, it is possible that UHE WIMPs are directly detected with a detector like IceCube. Especially, the WIMPs with ∼50 TeV, from the other side of the earth (that is θ_{max} < π 2), can be detected by IceCube.

Since Φ_{χ} is proportional to $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ τ_{ϕ} , WIMP event rates are actually depended on the lifetime of superheavy dark matter. If we assume the superheavy dark matter ϕ can decay to SM particles, τ_{ϕ} is constrained to be larger than $O(10^{26}-10^{28})$ s [\[19\]](#page-5-11). Fig. 8 shows the WIMP and neutrino event rates at $\theta_{max} = \pi$ when $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{26}$ s. We find that WIMPs could not be detected at energies 10 TeV - 10 PeV since neutrinos are more dominant in the events by IceCube.

If $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{25} s$ and the lifetime of the IceCube experiment is ten years, the results are showed in Fig. 9. We find the WIMPs can be detected by IceCube only at $O(1 \text{PeV})$ and their event rate is $O(1)$ events/10 years. This is consistent with the observations that there are three anomalous events at $O(1PeV)$ in nine years of IceCube data [\[22,](#page-6-1)[23\]](#page-6-2). If they are actually UHE WIMPs, the lifetime of superheavy dark matter maybe be $\sim 10^{25}$ s.

7 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the contract No. 11235006, the Science Fund of Fujian University of Technology under the contract No. GY-Z14061 and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province in China under the contract No. 2015J01577.

References

- [1] P.A.R. Ade, et al., Planck collaboration, A&A 594, A13, [arXiv:1502.01589](http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01589)
- [2] G. Bertone, D. Hooper, and J. Silk, Physics Reports, 405, 279 (2005)
- [3] R.Agnese, et al., SuperCDMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 92, 072003 (2015)
- [4] K.J. Kang, et al.,CDEX Collaboration, Front. Phys. 8(4),412-437 (2013)
- [5] E.Aprile, et al., XENON1T Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 181301 (2017)
- [6] D.S.Akerib, et al., LUX Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 251302 (2017)
- [7] X.Y. Cui, et al., PandaX-II Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 181302 (2017)
- [8] M.Aguilar et al., AMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 221102 (2014)
- [9] G.Ambrosi, et al., DAMPE Collaboration, Nature, 552, 63-66 (2017)
- [10] A.Albert, et al., Fermi-LAT, DES Collaborations, Astrophysical Journal 834, 110 (2017)
- [11] A. Bhattacharya, R. Gandhi and A. Gupta, JCAP 03, 027 (2015), [arXiv:1407.3280](http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.3280)
- [12] Ye Xu, JCAP 05,055 (2018), arXiv: 1801.06781
- [13] M.Yu.Khlopov, V.M.Chechetkin, Sov. J. Part. Nucl 18, 267-288 (1987)
- [14] D. J. Chung, E. W. Kolb, and A. Riotto, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81, 4048 (1998), arXiv: [hep-ph/9805473](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9805473)
- [15] D. J. Chung, E. W. Kolb, and A. Riotto, Phys.Rev. D59, 023501 (1999), arXiv: [hep-ph/9802238](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9802238)
- [16] L. Covi, M. Grefe, A. Ibarra, and D. Tran, JCAP 1004, 017 (2010), arXiv: 0912.3521
- [17] B. Feldstein, A. Kusenko, S. Matsumoto, and T. T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. D 88, 015004 (2013), arXiv: 1303.7320
- [18] X.Q. Li and Z.J. Tao, Commun.Theor.Phys., 34, 123-128 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9710383](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9710383)
- [19] A. Esmaili, A. Ibarra, and O.L. Peres, JCAP, 1211, 034 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5281](http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.5281)
- [20] Y. Bai, R. Lu, and J. Salvado, JHEP, 01, 161 (2016) [arXiv:1311.5864](http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.5864)
- [21] P.S. Bhupal Dev, et al.,JCAP 1608, 034 (2016) [arXiv:1606.04517](http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.04517)
- [22] Derek B. Fox et al., The ANITA Anomalous Events as Signatures of a Beyond Standard Model Particle, and Supporting Observations from IceCube, arXiv: 1809.09615
- [23] M.G.Aartsen, et al., IceCube Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 98, 062003 (2018), [arXiv:1807.01820](http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.01820)
- [24] K. Ichiki, M. Oguri, and K. Takahashi, Phys.Rev.Lett. 93 (2004) 071302, [arXiv:astro-ph/0403164](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0403164)
- [25] K. M. Nollett and G. Steigman, Phys. Rev. D 91, 083505 (2015), [arXiv:1411.6005](http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.6005)
- [26] P. Bhupal Dev, A. Mazumdar, and S. Qutub, Physics 2, 26 (2014), [arXiv:1311.5297](http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.5297)
- [27] A. Del Popolo, Astron.Rep. 51, 169¨C196 (2007), [arXiv:0801.1091](http://arxiv.org/abs/0801.1091)
- [28] A. Alves, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, JHEP 1404 (2014) 063, [arXiv:1312.5281](http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.5281)
- [29] D. Hooper, Phys. Rev. D 91, 035035, [arXiv:1411.4079](http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.4079)
- [30] Martin M. Block, Phuoc Ha, Douglas W. McKay, Phys. Rev. D 82, 077302 (2010), arXiv: 1008.4555
- [31] T.S.Sinegovskaya, A.D.Morozova and S.I.Sinegovsky, Phys. Rev. D 91, 063011(2015), arXiv: 1407.3591

Fig. 1: UHE WIMPs pass through the Earth and ice and can be detected by a detector like IceCube, via Cherenkov photons due to the development of cascades in the ice. θ is the polar angle for the $\mathrm{Earth}.\theta_{max}$ is the maximum of θ

Fig. 2: The UHE WIMPs and neutrino event rates are evaluated at $\theta_{max} = \frac{\pi}{c}$ 6 at IceCube

Fig. 3: The UHE WIMPs and neutrino event rates are evaluated at $\theta_{max} = \frac{\pi}{2}$ 3 at IceCube

Fig. 4: The UHE WIMPs and neutrino event rates are evaluated at $\theta_{max} = \frac{\pi}{2}$ 2 at IceCube

Fig. 5: The UHE WIMPs and neutrino event rates are evaluated at $\theta_{max} = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ 3 at IceCube

Fig. 6: The UHE WIMPs and neutrino event rates are evaluated at $\theta_{max} = \frac{5\pi}{c}$ 6 at IceCube

Fig. 7: The UHE WIMPs and neutrino event rates are evaluated at $\theta_{max} = \pi$ at IceCube

Fig. 8: The UHE WIMPs and neutrino event rates detected are evaluated at $\theta_{max} =$ π at IceCube, if $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{26} s$.

Fig. 9: The UHE WIMPs and neutrino event rates are evaluated at $\theta_{max} = \pi$ at IceCube, if $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{25} s$ and the lifetime of the IceCube experiment is ten years