About the k-Error Linear Complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of sequences of length $2p$ with optimal three-level autocorrelation

Vladimir Edemskiy

November 26, 2018

Abstract

We investigate the k-error linear complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of binary sequences of length 2p with optimal three-level autocorrelation. These balanced sequences are constructed by cyclotomic classes of order four using a method presented by Ding et al.

Keywords: binary sequences, linear complexity, cyclotomy Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 94A55, 94A60, 11B50.

1 Introduction

Autocorrelation is an important measure of pseudo-random sequence for their application in code-division multiple access systems, spread spectrum communication systems, radar systems and so on [\[7\]](#page-8-0). An important problem in sequence design is to find sequences with optimal autocorrelation. In their paper, Ding et al. [\[4\]](#page-8-1) gave several new families of binary sequences of period 2p with optimal autocorrelation $\{-2, 2\}.$

The linear complexity is another important characteristic of pseudo-random sequence, which is significant for cryptographic applications. It is defined as the length of the shortest linear feedback shift register that can generate the sequence [\[10\]](#page-8-2). The linear complexity of above-mention sequences over the finite field of order two was investigated in [\[11\]](#page-8-3) and in [\[6\]](#page-8-4) over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p of p elements and other finite fields. However, high linear complexity can not guarantee that the sequence is secure. For example, if changing one or

few terms of a sequence can greatly reduce its linear complexity, then the resulting key stream would be cryptographically weak. Ding et al. [\[5\]](#page-8-5) noticed this problem first in their book, and proposed the weight complexity and the sphere complexity. Stamp and Martin [\[12\]](#page-8-6) introduced the k-error linear complexity, which is the minimum of the linear complexity and sphere complexity. The k-error linear complexity of a sequence r is defined by $L_k(r) = \min_t L(t)$, where the minimum of the linear complexity $L(t)$ is taken over all N-periodic sequences $t = (t_n)$ over \mathbb{F}_p for which the Hamming distance of the vectors $(r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_{N-1})$ and $(t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{N-1})$ is at most k. Complexity measures for sequences over finite fields, such as the linear complexity and the k-error linear complexity, play an important role in cryptology. Sequences that are suitable as keystreams should possess not only a large linear complexity but also the change of a few terms must not cause a significant decrease of the linear complexity.

In this paper we derive the k-error linear complexity of binary sequences of length 2p from [\[4\]](#page-8-1) over \mathbb{F}_p . These balanced sequences with optimal three-level autocorrelation are constructed by cyclotomic classes of order four. Earlier, the linear complexity and the k-error linear complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of the Legendre sequences and series of other cyclotomic sequences of length p were investigated in [\[1,](#page-8-7) [2\]](#page-8-8).

2 Preliminaries

First, we briefly repeat the basic definitions from [\[4\]](#page-8-1) and the general information.

Let p be a prime of the form $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and let θ be a primitive root modulo p [\[9\]](#page-8-9). By definition, put $D_0 = \{ \theta^{4s} \text{ mod } p; s = 1, ..., (p-1)/4 \}$ and $D_n = \theta^n D_0, n = 1, 2, 3$. Then these D_n are cyclotomic classes of order four [\[8\]](#page-8-10).

The ring of residue classes $\mathbb{Z}_{2p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ under the isomorphism $\phi(a) =$ $(a \mod 2, a \mod p)$ [\[9\]](#page-8-9). Ding et al. considered balanced binary sequences defined as

$$
u_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \mod 2p \in C, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \mod 2p \notin C, \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

for $C = \phi^{-1}(\{0\} \times (\{0\} \cup D_m \cup D_j) \cup \{1\} \times (D_l \cup D_j))$ where m, j , and l are pairwise distinct integers between 0 and 3 [\[4\]](#page-8-1). Here we regard them as sequences over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p .

By [\[4\]](#page-8-1), if $\{u_i\}$ has an optimal autocorrelation value then $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and $p = 1+4y^2$, $(m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2), (0, 3, 2), (1, 0, 3), (1, 2, 3)$ or $p = x^2+4$, $y =$ $-1, (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 3), (1, 2, 0), (1, 3, 0).$ Here x, y are integers and $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

It is well known [\[3\]](#page-8-11) that if r is a binary sequence with period N , then the linear complexity $L(r)$ of this sequence is defined by

$$
L(r) = N - \deg \gcd(x^N - 1, S_r(x)),
$$

where $S_r(x) = r_0 + r_1x + ... + r_{N-1}x^{N-1}$. Let's assume we investigate the linear complexity of u over \mathbb{F}_p and with a period 2p. So,

$$
L(u) = 2p - \deg \gcd((x^2 - 1)^p, S_u(x)).
$$

The weight of $f(x)$, denoted as $w(f)$, is defined as the number of nonzero coefficients of $f(x)$. From our definitions it follows that if the Hamming distance of the vectors $(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{2p-1})$ and $(t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{2p-1})$ is at most k then there exists $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $w(f) \leq k$ such that $S_t(x) = S_u(x) + f(x)$ and the reverse is also true. Therefore

$$
L_k(u) = 2p - \max_{f(x)}(m_0 + m_1)
$$
 (2)

where $0 \le m_j \le p$, $S_u(x) + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}(x+1)^{m_1}}$ and $f(x) \in$ $\mathbb{F}_p[x], w(f) \leq k.$

Let g be an odd number in the pair θ , $\theta + p$, then g is a primitive root modulo 2p [\[9\]](#page-8-9). By definition, put $H_0 = \{g^{4s} \mod 2p; s = 1, ..., (p-1)/4\}.$ Denote by H_n a set $g^n H_0$, $n = 1, 2, 3$. Let us introduce the auxiliary polynomial $S_n(x) = \sum_{i \in H_n} x^i$. The following formula was proved in [\[6\]](#page-8-4).

$$
S_u(x) \equiv (x^p + 1)S_j(x) + x^p S_m(x) + S_l(x) + 1 \pmod{(x^{2p} - 1)}.
$$
 (3)

By [\(3\)](#page-2-0) we have

$$
\begin{cases}\nS_u(x) \equiv 2S_j(x) + S_m(x) + S_l(x) + 1 \pmod{(x-1)^p}, \\
S_u(x) \equiv S_l(x) - S_m(x) + 1 \pmod{(x+1)^p}.\n\end{cases} (4)
$$

Let the sequences $\{q_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ be defined by

$$
q_i = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } i \mod p \in D_j, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \mod p \in \{0\} \cup D_m \cup D_l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } v_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \mod p \in \{0\} \cup D_m, \\ -1, & \text{if } i \mod p \in D_l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (5)

By definition, put $S_q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} q_i x^i$ and $S_v(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} v_i x^i$. Then by the choice of g we obtain that

$$
\begin{cases} 2S_j(x) + S_m(x) + S_l(x) + 1 \equiv S_q(x) \pmod{(x-1)^p}, \\ S_m(x) - S_l(x) + 1 \equiv S_v(x) \pmod{(x-1)^p}. \end{cases}
$$
(6)

As noted above, the k-error linear complexity of cyclotomic sequences was investigated in [\[2\]](#page-8-8). With the aid of methods from [\[2\]](#page-8-8) it is an easy matter to prove the following

$$
L_k(q) = \begin{cases} 3(p-1)/4 + 1, & \text{if } 0 \le k \le (p-1)/4, \\ (p-1)/2 + 1, & \text{if } (p-1)/4 + 1 \le k < (p-1)/3, \\ 1, & \text{if } k = (p-1)/2, \end{cases} \tag{7}
$$

and $(p-1)/4 + 1 \le L_k(q) \le (p-1)/2 + 1$ if $(p-1)/3 \le k \le (p-1)/2$.

$$
L_k(v) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 3(p-1)/4 + 1, & \text{if } 1 \le k < (p-1)/4, \\ (p-1)/2 + 1, & \text{if } (p-1)/4 + 1 \le k < (p-1)/3, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \ge (p-1)/2 + 1. \end{cases} \tag{8}
$$

and $9(p-1)/16 \le L_{(p-1)/4}(v) \le 3(p-1)/4+1$, $(p-1)/4 \le L_k(v) \le (p-1)/2$ if $(p-1)/3 \leq k < (p-1)/2$.

The following statements we also obtain by [\[2\]](#page-8-8) or by Lemma 3 from [\[6\]](#page-8-4).

Lemma 1. 1. $S_n(x) = -1/4 + (x - 1)^{(p-1)/4} E_n(x)$ and $E_n(1) \neq 0, n =$ 0, 1, 2, 3;

2.
$$
S_n(x) = -1/4 + (x+1)^{(p-1)/4} F_n(x)
$$
 and $F_n(-1) \neq 0, n = 0, 1, 2, 3;$

3. Let $S_l(x) + S_m(x) + g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/4+1}}$ and $|l - m| \neq 2$. Then $w(g(x)) \ge (p-1)/4$.

Let us introduce the auxiliary polynomial $R(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} c_i S_i(x)$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote a formal derivative of order *n* of the polynomial $R(x)$ by $R^{(n)}(x)$.

Lemma 2. Let $R^{(n)}(x)|_{x=\pm 1} = 0$ if $0 \le n \le (p-1)/4$. Then $R^{(n)}(x)|_{x=\pm 1} = 0$ for $(p-1)/4 + 1 < n < (p-1)/2$.

Proof. We consider the sequences $\{r_t\}$ of length p defined by

$$
r_t = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t = 0, \\ c_i, & \text{if } t \in D_i \end{cases}
$$

.

By the definition of the sequence, $S_r(x) \equiv R(x) \pmod{(x^p-1)}$, so that by the condition of this lemma $L(r) < 3(p-1)/4$. By Theorem 1 from [\[2\]](#page-8-8) for the cyclotomic sequences $L(r) = p - c(p-1)/4, 1 \leq c \leq 3$. Hence, $L(r) \leq p - (p-1)/2$. This completes the proof of Lemma [2.](#page-3-0) \Box

This lemma can also be proved using Lemma 2 and 3 from [\[6\]](#page-8-4).

3 The exact values of the k-error linear complexity of u for $1 \leq k < (p-1)/4$

In this section we obtain the upper and lower bounds of the k-error linear complexity and determine the exact values for the k-error linear complexity $L_k(u)$, $1 \leq k < (p-1)/4$.

First of all, we consider the case $k = 1$. Our first contribution in this paper is the following.

Lemma 3. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $p > 5$. Then $L_1(u) = (7p + 1)/4$.

Proof. Since $L_1(u) \le L(u)$ and $L(u) = (7p+1)/4$ [\[6\]](#page-8-4), it follows that $L_1(u) \le L(u)$ $(7p+1)/4$. Assume that $L_1(u) < L(u)$. Then there exists $f(x) = ax^b, a \neq 0$ such that $S_u(x)+ax^b \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}(x+1)^{m_1}}$ for $m_0+m_1 > (p-1)/4$. By [\(4\)](#page-2-1) the last comparison is impossible for $p \neq 5$. \Box

If $p = 5$ then $L_1(u) = 8$.

Lemma 4. Let $\{u_i\}$, $\{q_i\}$, $\{v_i\}$ be defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(5\)](#page-2-2), respectively. Then $L_k(q) + L_k(v) \leq L_k(u)$.

Proof. Suppose $S_u(x) + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}(x+1)^{m_1}}, w(f) \leq k$ and $m_0 + m_1 = 2p - L_k(u)$. Combining this with [\(4\)](#page-2-1) and [\(6\)](#page-3-1) we get $S_q(x)$ + $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}}$ and $S_l(x) - S_m(x) + 1 + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{m_1}}$ or $S_m(x) - S_l(x) + 1 + f(-x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_1}}$ Hence $m_0 \leq p - L_k(q)$ and $m_1 \leq p - L_k(v)$. This completes the proof of Lemma [4.](#page-4-0) \Box **Lemma 5.** Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and $k \geq 2$. Then $L_k(u) \leq 3(p-1)/4 +$ $1 + L_{k-2}(q)$.

Proof. From our definition it follows that there exists $h(x)$ such that $S_q(x)$ + $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{p-L_{k-2}(q)}}$, $w(h) \leq k-2$. Then, by Lemma 1 $h(x) \equiv 0$ (mod $(x-1)^{(p-1)/4}$). Let $h(x) = \sum h_i x^{a_i}$. We consider $f(x) = \sum f_i x^{b_i}$ where $b_i =$ $\int a_i$, if a_i is an even, $a_i + p$, if a_i is an odd.

By definition $f(x) \equiv h(x) \pmod{(x-1)^p}$, hence $S_q(x) + f(x) \equiv 0$ (mod $(x-1)^{p-L_{k-2}(q)}$). Further, since $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/4}}$ and $f(x) = f(-x)$, it follows that $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{(p-1)/4}}$.

Using [\(3\)](#page-2-0), we obtain that $S_u(x) + (x^p - 1)/2 + f(x) \equiv (x^p - 1)(S_j(x) +$ $S_m(x) + 1/2$ + $S_q(x) + f(x)$ (mod $(x^2 - 1)^p$). From this by Lemma 1 we can establish that $S_u(x)+(x^p-1)/2+f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{p-L_{k-2}(q)}(x+1)^{(p-1)/4}}$. The conclusion of this lemma then follows from [\(2\)](#page-2-3). \Box

Theorem 6. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and $2 \leq k \leq (p-1)/4$. Then $L_k(u) = 3(p-1)/2 + 2.$

Proof. By Lemmas [3](#page-4-1) and [4](#page-4-0) it follows that $L_k(v) + L_k(q) \leq L_k(u) \leq 3(p 1/4 + 1 + L_{k-2}(q)$. To conclude the proof, it remains to note that $L_k(v) =$ $L_k(q) = L_{k-2}(q) = 3(p-1)/4 + 1$ for $2 \le k \le (p-1)/4$ by $(7),(8)$ $(7),(8)$. \Box

4 The estimates of k -error linear complexity

In this section we determine the exact values of the k-error linear complexity of u for $(p-1)/4+2 \leq k < (p-1)/3$ and we obtain the estimates for the other values of k. Farther, we consider two cases.

4.1 Let $(m, j, l) = (0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 3), (1, 2, 0), (1, 3, 0)$

Lemma 7. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Then $21(p-1)/16+1 ≤ L_{(p-1)/4}(u) ≤$ $3(p-1)/2+2$ and $p+1 \leq L_{(p-1)/4+1}(u) \leq 3(p-1)/2+2$ for $p > 5$.

The statement of this lemma follows from Lemmas [4,](#page-4-0) [5](#page-5-0) and [\(7\)](#page-3-2), [\(8\)](#page-3-3).

Theorem 8. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $(m, j, l) = (0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 3), (1, 2, 0),$ (1, 3, 0) and $(p-1)/4 + 2 \leq k < (p-1)/3$. Then $L_k(u) = p+1$.

Proof. We consider the case when $(m, j, l) = (0, 1, 3)$. Let $f(x) = x^p/2$ $(\rho+3)/4 - (\rho+1)x^p S_0(x)$ where $\rho = \theta^{(p-1)/4}$ is a primitive 4-th root of unity modulo p. Then $w(f) = 2 + (p-1)/4$. Denote $S_u(x) + f(x)$ by $h(x)$. Under the conditions of this theorem we have

$$
h(x) = (x^{p} + 1)S_{1}(x) + x^{p}S_{0}(x) + S_{3}(x) + 1 + x^{p}/2 - (\rho + 3)/4 - (\rho + 1)x^{p}S_{0}(x).
$$
\n(9)

Hence $h(1) = 0$. Let $h^{(n)}(x)$ be a formal derivative of order *n* of the polynomial $h(x)$. By Lemmas 2 and 3 from [\[6\]](#page-8-4) we have that $h^{(n)}(1) = 0$ if $1 \le n <$ $(p-1)/4$ and by Lemma 3 from [\[6\]](#page-8-4) $h^{(p-1)/4}(1) = (2\rho + 1 + \rho^3 - (\rho + 1)) (p-1)$ $1)/4 = 0$. Hence, by Lemma [2](#page-3-0) $h^{(n)}(1) = 0$ if $(p-1)/4 < n < (p-1)/2$ and $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/2}}.$

Further, $h(-1) = -1/4 + 1/4 + 1 - 1/2 - (\rho + 3)/4 + (\rho + 1)/4 = 0$ and $h^{(p-1)/4}(-1) = (-1 + \rho^3 + (\rho + 1))(p-1)/4 = 0$. So, by Lemma [2](#page-3-0) $h^{(n)}(1) = 0$ if $1 < n < (p-1)/2$ and $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{(p-1)/2}}$. Therefore, by [\(2\)](#page-2-3) we see that $L_{(p-1)/4+2} \leq p+1$. On the other hand, by Lemma [4](#page-4-0) $L_k(u) \geq L_k(v) +$ $L_k(q)$. To conclude the proof, it remains to note that $L_k(v) + L_k(q) = p + 1$ for $(p-1)/4+2 < k < (p-1)/3$ by [\(7\)](#page-3-2), [\(8\)](#page-3-3). The other cases may be considered similarly. \Box

Farther, if $(p-1)/3 \le k \le (p-1)/2$ then by Lemmas [4,](#page-4-0) Theorem [8](#page-5-1) and [\(7\)](#page-3-2), [\(8\)](#page-3-3) we have that $(p-1)/2 + 1 \leq L_k(u) \leq p+1$. It is simple to prove that $L_{(p-1)/2+2}(u) \leq (p-1)/2+2$.

4.2 Let $(m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2), (0, 3, 2), (1, 0, 3), (1, 2, 3)$

Similarly as in subsection [4.1,](#page-5-2) we have that $21(p-1)/16 + 1 \le L_{(p-1)/4}(u) \le$ $3(p-1)/2+2$.

Theorem 9. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $(m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2), (0, 3, 2), (1, 0, 3),$ (1, 2, 3) and $(p-1)/4 + 1 \le k < (p-1)/3$ then $L_k(u) = 5(p-1)/4 + 2$.

Proof. We consider the case when $(m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2)$. Let here $f(x) =$ $-1/2 - 2S_2(x)$ and $h(x) = S_u(x) + f(x)$. Since $(m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2)$ it follows that

$$
h(x) = (xp + 1)S1(x) + xpS0(x) + S2(x) + 1 - 1/2 - 2S2(x).
$$
 (10)

Hence $h(1) = 0$. By Lemma 2 from [\[6\]](#page-8-4) we have that $h^{(n)}(1) = 0$ if $1 \le n <$ $(p-1)/4$. Hence $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/4}}$.

Further, $h(-1) = 0$ and $h^{(p-1)/4}(-1) = (-1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho^2)(p-1)/4 = 0$. So, $h^{(n)}(-1) = 0$ if $1 < n < (p-1)/2$ and $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{(p-1)/2}}$. Therefore, by [\(2\)](#page-2-3) we see that $L_{(p-1)/4+2} \leq 2p - 3(p-1)/4$.

Suppose $L_{(p-1)/4+2} < 2p-3(p-1)/4$; then by [\(2\)](#page-2-3) there exist m_0, m_1 such that $m_0 + m_1 > 3(p-1)/4$ and $S_u(x) + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}(x+1)^{m_1}}$, $w(f) \leq k < (p-1)/3$.

We consider two cases.

(i) Let $m_0 \le (p-1)/4$ or $m_1 \le (p-1)/4$. Then $m_1 > (p-1)/2$ or $m_0 > (p-1)/2$ and by [\(4\)](#page-2-1) and [\(6\)](#page-3-1) we obtain $L_k(q) < (p+1)/2$ or $L_k(v) < (p+1)/2$. This is impossible for $k < (p-1)/3$ by [\(7\)](#page-3-2) or [\(8\)](#page-3-3).

(ii) Let $\min(m_0, m_1) > (p-1)/4$. We can write that $f(x) = f_0(x^2) +$ $xf_1(x^2)$. Therefore, since $2S_1(x)+S_0(x)+S_2(x)+1+f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}}$ and $S_2(x) - S_0(x) + 1 + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x+1}^m$ or $-S_2(x) + S_0(x) + 1 +$ $f_0(x^2) - xf_1(x^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_1}}$ we see that $S_1(x) + S_0(x) + 1 + f_0(x^2) \equiv 0$ (mod $(x-1)^{\min(m_0,m_1)}$). Hence, $w(f_0) \ge (p-1)/4$ by Lemma 1.

Similarly, $-2S_1(x) - S_0(x) - S_2(x) + 1 + f_0(x^2) - xf_1(x^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{x}$ 1)^{m₁</sub>)) and $S_2(x) - S_0(x) + 1 + f_0(x^2) + xf_1(x^2) \equiv 0 \mod (x+1)^{m_1}$ so $S_1(x)$ +} $S_2(x) + 1 + xf_1(x^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{\min(m_0, m_1)}}$. Hence, $w(f_1) \geq (p-1)/4$ by Lemma 1. This contradicts the fact that $w(f) < (p-1)/3$. \Box

Similarly, if $(p-1)/3 \leq k < (p-1)/2$ then by Lemmas [4,](#page-4-0) Theorem [8](#page-5-1) and [\(7\)](#page-3-2), [\(8\)](#page-3-3) we have that $(p-1)/2 + 1 \le L_k(u) \le 2p - 3(p-1)/4$. Here $L_{(p-1)/2+2}(u) \leq 3(p-1)/4+2.$

In the conclusion of this section note that we can improve the estimate of Lemma [5](#page-5-0) for $k \ge (p-1)/2+1$. With similar arguments as above we obtain the following results for u .

Lemma 10. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and $k = (p-1)/2 + f, f \ge 0$. Then $L_k(u) \leq L_{[f/2]}(v) + 1$ where $[f/2]$ is the integral part of number $f/2$.

5 Conclusion

We investigated the k-error linear complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of sequences of length 2p with optimal three-level autocorrelation. These balanced sequences are constructed by cyclotomic classes of order four using a method presented by Ding et al. We obtained the upper and lower bounds of k -error linear complexity and determine the exact values of the k-error linear complexity $L_k(u)$ for $1 \le k < (p-1)/4$ and $(p-1)/4 + 2 \le k < (p-1)/3$.

References

- [1] H. Aly, A. Winterhof, On the k-error linear complexity over F_p of Legendre and Sidelnikov sequences, Des. Codes Crypt., 40 (2006), 369–374.
- [2] H. Aly, W. Meidl and A. Winterhof, On the k-error linear complexity of cyclotomic sequences, J. Math. Crypt., 1 (2007), 1–14.
- [3] T. Cusick, C. Ding and A. Renvall, Stream Ciphers and Number Theory, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1998.
- [4] C. Ding, T. Helleseth and H. Martinsen, New families of binary sequences with optimal three-level autocorrelation, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, 47 (2001), $428 - 433$.
- [5] C. Ding, G. Xiao and W. Shan, The Stability Theory of Stream Ciphers, in Lecture Notes in Computer Science 561, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1991.
- [6] V.Edemskiy, A.Palvinskiy, The linear complexity of binary sequences of length 2p with optimal three-level autocorrelation, Inf. Process. Lett., 116(2)(2015), 153-156
- [7] S.W. Golomb, G. Gong, Signal Design for Good Correlation: For Wireless Communications, Cryptography and Radar Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [8] M. Hall, Combinatorial Theory, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [9] Ireland, M.Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Springer, Berlin,1982.
- [10] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- [11] J. Zhang, C. Zhao, The linear complexity of a class of binary sequences with period 2p, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput., 26(5) (2015), 475–491.
- [12] Stamp, C. F. Martin, An algorithm for the k-error linear complexity of binary sequences with period 2^n , IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 39 (1993), 1398–1401.