About the k-Error Linear Complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of sequences of length 2p with optimal three-level autocorrelation

Vladimir Edemskiy

November 26, 2018

Abstract

We investigate the k-error linear complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of binary sequences of length 2p with optimal three-level autocorrelation. These balanced sequences are constructed by cyclotomic classes of order four using a method presented by Ding et al.

Keywords: binary sequences, linear complexity, cyclotomy Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 94A55, 94A60, 11B50.

1 Introduction

Autocorrelation is an important measure of pseudo-random sequence for their application in code-division multiple access systems, spread spectrum communication systems, radar systems and so on [7]. An important problem in sequence design is to find sequences with optimal autocorrelation. In their paper, Ding et al. [4] gave several new families of binary sequences of period 2p with optimal autocorrelation $\{-2, 2\}$.

The linear complexity is another important characteristic of pseudo-random sequence, which is significant for cryptographic applications. It is defined as the length of the shortest linear feedback shift register that can generate the sequence [10]. The linear complexity of above-mention sequences over the finite field of order two was investigated in [11] and in [6] over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p of p elements and other finite fields. However, high linear complexity can not guarantee that the sequence is secure. For example, if changing one or few terms of a sequence can greatly reduce its linear complexity, then the resulting key stream would be cryptographically weak. Ding et al. [5] noticed this problem first in their book, and proposed the weight complexity and the sphere complexity. Stamp and Martin [12] introduced the k-error linear complexity, which is the minimum of the linear complexity and sphere complexity. The k-error linear complexity of a sequence r is defined by $L_k(r) = \min_t L(t)$,

where the minimum of the linear complexity L(t) is taken over all N-periodic sequences $t = (t_n)$ over \mathbb{F}_p for which the Hamming distance of the vectors $(r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_{N-1})$ and $(t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{N-1})$ is at most k. Complexity measures for sequences over finite fields, such as the linear complexity and the k-error linear complexity, play an important role in cryptology. Sequences that are suitable as keystreams should possess not only a large linear complexity but also the change of a few terms must not cause a significant decrease of the linear complexity.

In this paper we derive the k-error linear complexity of binary sequences of length 2p from [4] over \mathbb{F}_p . These balanced sequences with optimal three-level autocorrelation are constructed by cyclotomic classes of order four. Earlier, the linear complexity and the k-error linear complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of the Legendre sequences and series of other cyclotomic sequences of length p were investigated in [1, 2].

2 Preliminaries

First, we briefly repeat the basic definitions from [4] and the general information.

Let p be a prime of the form $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and let θ be a primitive root modulo p [9]. By definition, put $D_0 = \{\theta^{4s} \mod p; s = 1, ..., (p-1)/4\}$ and $D_n = \theta^n D_0, n = 1, 2, 3$. Then these D_n are cyclotomic classes of order four [8].

The ring of residue classes $\mathbb{Z}_{2p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ under the isomorphism $\phi(a) = (a \mod 2, a \mod p)$ [9]. Ding et al. considered balanced binary sequences defined as

$$u_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \mod 2p \in C, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \mod 2p \notin C, \end{cases}$$
(1)

for $C = \phi^{-1}(\{0\} \times (\{0\} \cup D_m \cup D_j) \cup \{1\} \times (D_l \cup D_j))$ where m, j, and l are pairwise distinct integers between 0 and 3 [4]. Here we regard them as

sequences over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p .

By [4], if $\{u_i\}$ has an optimal autocorrelation value then $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and $p = 1+4y^2$, (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2), (0, 3, 2), (1, 0, 3), (1, 2, 3) or $p = x^2+4, y = -1, (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 3), (1, 2, 0), (1, 3, 0)$. Here x, y are integers and $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

It is well known [3] that if r is a binary sequence with period N, then the linear complexity L(r) of this sequence is defined by

$$L(r) = N - \deg \gcd \left(x^N - 1, S_r(x) \right),$$

where $S_r(x) = r_0 + r_1 x + ... + r_{N-1} x^{N-1}$. Let's assume we investigate the linear complexity of u over \mathbb{F}_p and with a period 2p. So,

$$L(u) = 2p - \deg \gcd((x^2 - 1)^p, S_u(x)).$$

The weight of f(x), denoted as w(f), is defined as the number of nonzero coefficients of f(x). From our definitions it follows that if the Hamming distance of the vectors $(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{2p-1})$ and $(t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{2p-1})$ is at most k then there exists $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $w(f) \leq k$ such that $S_t(x) = S_u(x) + f(x)$ and the reverse is also true. Therefore

$$L_k(u) = 2p - \max_{f(x)}(m_0 + m_1)$$
(2)

where $0 \le m_j \le p$, $S_u(x) + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}(x+1)^{m_1}}$ and $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x], w(f) \le k$.

Let g be an odd number in the pair θ , $\theta + p$, then g is a primitive root modulo 2p [9]. By definition, put $H_0 = \{g^{4s} \mod 2p; s = 1, ..., (p-1)/4\}$. Denote by H_n a set $g^n H_0, n = 1, 2, 3$. Let us introduce the auxiliary polynomial $S_n(x) = \sum_{i \in H_n} x^i$. The following formula was proved in [6].

$$S_u(x) \equiv (x^p + 1)S_j(x) + x^p S_m(x) + S_l(x) + 1 \pmod{(x^{2p} - 1)}.$$
 (3)

By (3) we have

$$\begin{cases} S_u(x) \equiv 2S_j(x) + S_m(x) + S_l(x) + 1 \pmod{(x-1)^p}, \\ S_u(x) \equiv S_l(x) - S_m(x) + 1 \pmod{(x+1)^p}. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Let the sequences $\{q_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ be defined by

$$q_i = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } i \mod p \in D_j, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \mod p \in \{0\} \cup D_m \cup D_l, \text{ and } v_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \mod p \in \{0\} \cup D_m, \\ -1, & \text{if } i \mod p \in D_l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
(5)

By definition, put $S_q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} q_i x^i$ and $S_v(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} v_i x^i$. Then by the choice of g we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} 2S_j(x) + S_m(x) + S_l(x) + 1 \equiv S_q(x) \pmod{(x-1)^p}, \\ S_m(x) - S_l(x) + 1 \equiv S_v(x) \pmod{(x-1)^p}. \end{cases}$$
(6)

As noted above, the k-error linear complexity of cyclotomic sequences was investigated in [2]. With the aid of methods from [2] it is an easy matter to prove the following

$$L_k(q) = \begin{cases} 3(p-1)/4 + 1, & \text{if } 0 \le k \le (p-1)/4, \\ (p-1)/2 + 1, & \text{if } (p-1)/4 + 1 \le k < (p-1)/3, \\ 1, & \text{if } k = (p-1)/2, \end{cases}$$
(7)

and $(p-1)/4 + 1 \le L_k(q) \le (p-1)/2 + 1$ if $(p-1)/3 \le k < (p-1)/2$.

$$L_k(v) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 3(p-1)/4 + 1, & \text{if } 1 \le k < (p-1)/4, \\ (p-1)/2 + 1, & \text{if } (p-1)/4 + 1 \le k < (p-1)/3, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \ge (p-1)/2 + 1. \end{cases}$$
(8)

and $9(p-1)/16 \le L_{(p-1)/4}(v) \le 3(p-1)/4 + 1$, $(p-1)/4 \le L_k(v) \le (p-1)/2$ if $(p-1)/3 \le k < (p-1)/2$.

The following statements we also obtain by [2] or by Lemma 3 from [6].

Lemma 1. 1. $S_n(x) = -1/4 + (x-1)^{(p-1)/4} E_n(x)$ and $E_n(1) \neq 0, n = 0, 1, 2, 3;$

2.
$$S_n(x) = -1/4 + (x+1)^{(p-1)/4} F_n(x)$$
 and $F_n(-1) \neq 0, n = 0, 1, 2, 3;$

3. Let $S_l(x) + S_m(x) + g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/4+1}}$ and $|l-m| \neq 2$. Then $w(g(x)) \ge (p-1)/4$.

Let us introduce the auxiliary polynomial $R(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} c_i S_i(x), c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote a formal derivative of order *n* of the polynomial R(x) by $R^{(n)}(x)$.

Lemma 2. Let $R^{(n)}(x)|_{x=\pm 1} = 0$ if $0 \le n \le (p-1)/4$. Then $R^{(n)}(x)|_{x=\pm 1} = 0$ for (p-1)/4 + 1 < n < (p-1)/2.

Proof. We consider the sequences $\{r_t\}$ of length p defined by

$$r_t = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t = 0, \\ c_i, & \text{if } t \in D_i. \end{cases}$$

By the definition of the sequence, $S_r(x) \equiv R(x) \pmod{(x^p - 1)}$, so that by the condition of this lemma L(r) < 3(p - 1)/4. By Theorem 1 from [2] for the cyclotomic sequences $L(r) = p - c(p - 1)/4, 1 \le c \le 3$. Hence, $L(r) \le p - (p - 1)/2$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

This lemma can also be proved using Lemma 2 and 3 from [6].

3 The exact values of the k-error linear complexity of u for $1 \le k < (p-1)/4$

In this section we obtain the upper and lower bounds of the k-error linear complexity and determine the exact values for the k-error linear complexity $L_k(u), 1 \leq k < (p-1)/4$.

First of all, we consider the case k = 1. Our first contribution in this paper is the following.

Lemma 3. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by (1) for p > 5. Then $L_1(u) = (7p+1)/4$.

Proof. Since $L_1(u) \leq L(u)$ and L(u) = (7p+1)/4 [6], it follows that $L_1(u) \leq (7p+1)/4$. Assume that $L_1(u) < L(u)$. Then there exists $f(x) = ax^b, a \neq 0$ such that $S_u(x) + ax^b \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}(x+1)^{m_1}}$ for $m_0 + m_1 > (p-1)/4$. By (4) the last comparison is impossible for $p \neq 5$.

If p = 5 then $L_1(u) = 8$.

Lemma 4. Let $\{u_i\}, \{q_i\}, \{v_i\}$ be defined by (1) and (5), respectively. Then $L_k(q) + L_k(v) \leq L_k(u)$.

Proof. Suppose $S_u(x) + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}(x+1)^{m_1}}, w(f) \leq k$ and $m_0 + m_1 = 2p - L_k(u)$. Combining this with (4) and (6) we get $S_q(x) + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}}$ and $S_l(x) - S_m(x) + 1 + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{m_1}}$ or $S_m(x) - S_l(x) + 1 + f(-x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_1}}$ Hence $m_0 \leq p - L_k(q)$ and $m_1 \leq p - L_k(v)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

Lemma 5. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by (1) and $k \ge 2$. Then $L_k(u) \le 3(p-1)/4 + 1 + L_{k-2}(q)$.

Proof. From our definition it follows that there exists h(x) such that $S_q(x) + h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{p-L_{k-2}(q)}}$, $w(h) \leq k-2$. Then, by Lemma 1 $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/4}}$. Let $h(x) = \sum h_i x^{a_i}$. We consider $f(x) = \sum f_i x^{b_i}$ where $b_i = \begin{cases} a_i, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is an even,} \\ a_i + p, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is an odd.} \end{cases}$

By definition $f(x) \equiv h(x) \pmod{(x-1)^p}$, hence $S_q(x) + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{p-L_{k-2}(q)}}$. Further, since $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/4}}$ and f(x) = f(-x), it follows that $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{(p-1)/4}}$.

Using (3), we obtain that $S_u(x) + (x^p - 1)/2 + f(x) \equiv (x^p - 1)(S_j(x) + S_m(x) + 1/2) + S_q(x) + f(x) \pmod{(x^2 - 1)^p}$. From this by Lemma 1 we can establish that $S_u(x) + (x^p - 1)/2 + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x - 1)^{p - L_{k-2}(q)}(x + 1)^{(p-1)/4}}$. The conclusion of this lemma then follows from (2).

Theorem 6. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by (1) and $2 \le k < (p-1)/4$. Then $L_k(u) = 3(p-1)/2 + 2$.

Proof. By Lemmas 3 and 4 it follows that $L_k(v) + L_k(q) \le L_k(u) \le 3(p-1)/4 + 1 + L_{k-2}(q)$. To conclude the proof, it remains to note that $L_k(v) = L_k(q) = L_{k-2}(q) = 3(p-1)/4 + 1$ for $2 \le k < (p-1)/4$ by (7),(8). □

4 The estimates of k-error linear complexity

In this section we determine the exact values of the k-error linear complexity of u for $(p-1)/4 + 2 \le k < (p-1)/3$ and we obtain the estimates for the other values of k. Farther, we consider two cases.

4.1 Let (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 3), (1, 2, 0), (1, 3, 0)

Lemma 7. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by (1). Then $21(p-1)/16+1 \le L_{(p-1)/4}(u) \le 3(p-1)/2+2$ and $p+1 \le L_{(p-1)/4+1}(u) \le 3(p-1)/2+2$ for p > 5.

The statement of this lemma follows from Lemmas 4, 5 and (7), (8).

Theorem 8. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by (1) for (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 3), (0, 2, 3), (1, 2, 0), (1, 3, 0) and $(p-1)/4 + 2 \le k < (p-1)/3$. Then $L_k(u) = p + 1$.

Proof. We consider the case when (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 3). Let $f(x) = x^p/2 - (\rho+3)/4 - (\rho+1)x^pS_0(x)$ where $\rho = \theta^{(p-1)/4}$ is a primitive 4-th root of unity modulo p. Then w(f) = 2 + (p-1)/4. Denote $S_u(x) + f(x)$ by h(x). Under the conditions of this theorem we have

$$h(x) = (x^{p}+1)S_{1}(x) + x^{p}S_{0}(x) + S_{3}(x) + 1 + x^{p}/2 - (\rho+3)/4 - (\rho+1)x^{p}S_{0}(x).$$
(9)

Hence h(1) = 0. Let $h^{(n)}(x)$ be a formal derivative of order n of the polynomial h(x). By Lemmas 2 and 3 from [6] we have that $h^{(n)}(1) = 0$ if $1 \le n < (p-1)/4$ and by Lemma 3 from [6] $h^{(p-1)/4}(1) = (2\rho + 1 + \rho^3 - (\rho + 1))(p-1)/4 = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2 $h^{(n)}(1) = 0$ if (p-1)/4 < n < (p-1)/2 and $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/2}}$.

Further, $h(-1) = -1/4 + 1/4 + 1 - 1/2 - (\rho + 3)/4 + (\rho + 1)/4 = 0$ and $h^{(p-1)/4}(-1) = (-1 + \rho^3 + (\rho + 1))(p-1)/4 = 0$. So, by Lemma 2 $h^{(n)}(1) = 0$ if 1 < n < (p-1)/2 and $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{(p-1)/2}}$. Therefore, by (2) we see that $L_{(p-1)/4+2} \le p+1$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4 $L_k(u) \ge L_k(v) + L_k(q)$. To conclude the proof, it remains to note that $L_k(v) + L_k(q) = p + 1$ for (p-1)/4 + 2 < k < (p-1)/3 by (7), (8). The other cases may be considered similarly.

Farther, if $(p-1)/3 \le k < (p-1)/2$ then by Lemmas 4, Theorem 8 and (7), (8) we have that $(p-1)/2 + 1 \le L_k(u) \le p+1$. It is simple to prove that $L_{(p-1)/2+2}(u) \le (p-1)/2 + 2$.

4.2 Let (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2), (0, 3, 2), (1, 0, 3), (1, 2, 3)

Similarly as in subsection 4.1, we have that $21(p-1)/16 + 1 \le L_{(p-1)/4}(u) \le 3(p-1)/2 + 2$.

Theorem 9. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by (1) for (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2), (0, 3, 2), (1, 0, 3), (1, 2, 3) and $(p-1)/4 + 1 \le k < (p-1)/3$ then $L_k(u) = 5(p-1)/4 + 2$.

Proof. We consider the case when (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2). Let here $f(x) = -1/2 - 2S_2(x)$ and $h(x) = S_u(x) + f(x)$. Since (m, j, l) = (0, 1, 2) it follows that

$$h(x) = (x^{p} + 1)S_{1}(x) + x^{p}S_{0}(x) + S_{2}(x) + 1 - 1/2 - 2S_{2}(x).$$
(10)

Hence h(1) = 0. By Lemma 2 from [6] we have that $h^{(n)}(1) = 0$ if $1 \le n < (p-1)/4$. Hence $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{(p-1)/4}}$.

Further, h(-1) = 0 and $h^{(p-1)/4}(-1) = (-1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho^2)(p-1)/4 = 0$. So, $h^{(n)}(-1) = 0$ if 1 < n < (p-1)/2 and $h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{(p-1)/2}}$. Therefore, by (2) we see that $L_{(p-1)/4+2} \le 2p - 3(p-1)/4$.

Suppose $L_{(p-1)/4+2} < 2p - 3(p-1)/4$; then by (2) there exist m_0, m_1 such that $m_0 + m_1 > 3(p-1)/4$ and $S_u(x) + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}(x+1)^{m_1}}$, $w(f) \le k < (p-1)/3$.

We consider two cases.

(i) Let $m_0 \leq (p-1)/4$ or $m_1 \leq (p-1)/4$. Then $m_1 > (p-1)/2$ or $m_0 > (p-1)/2$ and by (4) and (6) we obtain $L_k(q) < (p+1)/2$ or $L_k(v) < (p+1)/2$. This is impossible for k < (p-1)/3 by (7) or (8).

(ii) Let $\min(m_0, m_1) > (p-1)/4$. We can write that $f(x) = f_0(x^2) + xf_1(x^2)$. Therefore, since $2S_1(x) + S_0(x) + S_2(x) + 1 + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_0}}$ and $S_2(x) - S_0(x) + 1 + f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{m_1}}$ or $-S_2(x) + S_0(x) + 1 + f_0(x^2) - xf_1(x^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_1}}$ we see that $S_1(x) + S_0(x) + 1 + f_0(x^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{m_1(m_0,m_1)}}$. Hence, $w(f_0) \ge (p-1)/4$ by Lemma 1.

Similarly, $-2S_1(x) - S_0(x) - S_2(x) + 1 + f_0(x^2) - xf_1(x^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x+1)^{m_1}}$ and $S_2(x) - S_0(x) + 1 + f_0(x^2) + xf_1(x^2) \equiv 0 \mod{(x+1)^{m_1}}$ so $S_1(x) + S_2(x) + 1 + xf_1(x^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x-1)^{\min(m_0,m_1)}}$. Hence, $w(f_1) \ge (p-1)/4$ by Lemma 1. This contradicts the fact that w(f) < (p-1)/3.

Similarly, if $(p-1)/3 \le k < (p-1)/2$ then by Lemmas 4, Theorem 8 and (7), (8) we have that $(p-1)/2 + 1 \le L_k(u) \le 2p - 3(p-1)/4$. Here $L_{(p-1)/2+2}(u) \le 3(p-1)/4 + 2$.

In the conclusion of this section note that we can improve the estimate of Lemma 5 for $k \ge (p-1)/2 + 1$. With similar arguments as above we obtain the following results for u.

Lemma 10. Let $\{u_i\}$ be defined by (1) and $k = (p-1)/2 + f, f \ge 0$. Then $L_k(u) \le L_{\lfloor f/2 \rfloor}(v) + 1$ where $\lfloor f/2 \rfloor$ is the integral part of number f/2.

5 Conclusion

We investigated the k-error linear complexity over \mathbb{F}_p of sequences of length 2p with optimal three-level autocorrelation. These balanced sequences are constructed by cyclotomic classes of order four using a method presented by Ding et al. We obtained the upper and lower bounds of k-error linear complexity and determine the exact values of the k-error linear complexity $L_k(u)$ for $1 \le k < (p-1)/4$ and $(p-1)/4 + 2 \le k < (p-1)/3$.

References

- [1] H. Aly, A. Winterhof, On the k-error linear complexity over F_p of Legendre and Sidelnikov sequences, Des. Codes Crypt., 40 (2006), 369–374.
- [2] H. Aly, W. Meidl and A. Winterhof, On the k-error linear complexity of cyclotomic sequences, J. Math. Crypt., 1 (2007), 1–14.
- [3] T. Cusick, C. Ding and A. Renvall, Stream Ciphers and Number Theory, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1998.
- [4] C. Ding, T. Helleseth and H. Martinsen, New families of binary sequences with optimal three-level autocorrelation, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, 47 (2001), 428 – 433.
- [5] C. Ding, G. Xiao and W. Shan, The Stability Theory of Stream Ciphers, in Lecture Notes in Computer Science 561, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1991.
- [6] V.Edemskiy, A.Palvinskiy, The linear complexity of binary sequences of length 2p with optimal three-level autocorrelation, Inf. Process. Lett., 116(2)(2015), 153-156
- [7] S.W. Golomb, G. Gong, Signal Design for Good Correlation: For Wireless Communications, Cryptography and Radar Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [8] M. Hall, Combinatorial Theory, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [9] Ireland, M.Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [10] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- [11] J. Zhang, C. Zhao, The linear complexity of a class of binary sequences with period 2p, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput., 26(5) (2015), 475–491.
- [12] Stamp, C. F. Martin, An algorithm for the k-error linear complexity of binary sequences with period 2^n , IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 39 (1993), 1398–1401.