

Engel Manifolds and Contact 3-Orbifolds

Koji Yamazaki

November 26, 2018

Abstract

In early study of Engel manifolds from R. Montgomery, the Cartan prolongation and the development map are central figures. However, the development map can be globally defined only if the characteristic foliation is "nice". In this paper, we introduce the Cartan prolongation of a contact 3-orbifold and the development map associated to a more general Engel manifold, and we give necessary and sufficient condition for the Cartan prolongation to be a manifold. Moreover, we explain the Cartan prolongation of a 3-dimensional contact étale Lie groupoid and the development map associated to any Engel manifold, and we prove that all Engel manifolds obtained as the Cartan prolongation of a "space" with contact structure are obtained from a contact 3-orbifold.

0 Introduction

A 2-distribution \mathcal{D} on a 4-manifold E is an Engel structure if $\mathcal{D}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{D} + [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}]$ is rank 3, and $\mathcal{D}^3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{D}^2 + [\mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{D}^2]$ is rank 4. The pair (E, \mathcal{D}) is called an Engel manifold. An Engel manifold is very similar and closely related to a contact manifold. For example, the projection $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$ of any contact structure ξ on a contact 3-manifold has an Engel structure. This is called the Cartan prolongation. On the other hand, any "nice" Engel manifold has a bundle structure over a contact manifold. We explain it. Any Engel manifold has a 1-foliation \mathcal{L} , called the characteristic foliation, such that $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}^2] \subset \mathcal{D}^2$. The above word "nice" means that E/\mathcal{L} is a manifold. Then E/\mathcal{L} has a contact structure ξ . Moreover, E has a natural Engel morphism $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\xi)$ called the development map. This discussion can be easily generalized to an orbifold. That is, if E/\mathcal{L} is an orbifold, then E/\mathcal{L} has a contact structure, and then E has the development map. However, the Cartan prolongation of a contact 3-orbifold is an Engel orbifold generally.

Question. When is the Cartan prolongation of a contact 3-orbifold an Engel manifold?

The answer is the following result.

Theorem (3.1). *Let (Σ, ξ) be a contact 3-orbifold. Then, the Cartan prolongation of (Σ, ξ) is a manifold if and only if (Σ, ξ) is positive, and $|G_x|$ is odd for all $x \in \Sigma$, where G_x is the isotropy group at x .*

A contact orbifold is a standard object in various fields of mathematics and physics. If a contact manifold has a "symmetric property", (in mathematics, that is an action of a Lie group,) then we obtain the "quotient" called the contact reduction [4]. Such an object is a contact orbifold. So we are rich in examples of contact orbifolds, and we can obtain more examples of Engel manifolds. And this construction is functorial.

In fact, an orbifold can be defined as proper étale Lie groupoid. In this context, the leaf space E/\mathcal{L} can be regarded as an étale Lie groupoid.

Corollary (4.24). *Let \mathcal{G} be a 3-dimensional contact étale Lie groupoid. Then, the Cartan prolongation of \mathcal{G} is a manifold if and only if \mathcal{G} is proper, positive, and $|G_x|$ is odd for all objects $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$, where G_x is the isotropy group at x .*

This corollary means that all Engel manifolds obtained as the Cartan prolongation of a "space" with contact structure are obtained as above.

Furthermore, we can define the development map associated to any Engel manifold as a "map" (called generalized map) among Lie groupoids. This means that we can "approximate" any Engel manifold to the Cartan prolongation by the universality of the development map.

Acknowledgements

This article is established thanks to much support from my advisor Hisaaki Endo and helpful discussions with Jiro Adachi. I grateful and would like to thank them.

1 Engel Manifolds

First, we define the *Engel manifold*. In this section, we describe the *Cartan prolongation* of a contact 3-manifold.

1.1 Engel manifolds and the characteristic foliation

Definition 1.1. Let E be a 4-manifold. An *Engel structure* on E is a smooth rank 2 distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset TE$ with following condition:

$$\mathcal{D}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{D} + [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}] \text{ is rank 3, and } \mathcal{D}^3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{D}^2 + [\mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{D}^2] \text{ is rank 4.}$$

The pair (E, \mathcal{D}) is called an *Engel manifold*.

Let $(E_1, \mathcal{D}_1), (E_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$ be Engel manifolds. A *Engel morphism* $f : (E_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \rightarrow (E_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$ is a local diffeomorphism $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ with $df(\mathcal{D}_1) \subset \mathcal{D}_2$.

Propositoin 1.2 ([8] , [1] , [10]). *Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold. Then, there exists a unique rank 1 distribution $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}^2] \subset \mathcal{D}^2$.*

The above \mathcal{L} is called the *characteristic foliation* of (E, \mathcal{D}) .

Any 3-dimensional submanifold intersecting transversally the characteristic foliation is a contact manifold.

Definition 1.3. Let M be an odd dimensional manifold. A *contact structure* on M is a corank 1 distribution $\xi \subset TM$ such that, for any local differential form α with $\xi = \text{Ker}(\alpha)$, $d\alpha|_{\xi} : \xi \otimes \xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nondegenerate.

Then, the pair (M, ξ) is called a *contact manifold*. and α is called a *contact form*.

Let $(M_1, \xi_1), (M_2, \xi_2)$ be contact manifolds. A *contact morphism* $f : (M_1, \xi_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \xi_2)$ is a local diffeomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ with $df(\xi_1) \subset \xi_2$.

Propositoin 1.4 ([8] , [1] , [10]). *Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, and let $M \subset E$ be a 3-dimensional submanifold intersecting transversally the characteristic foliation \mathcal{L} of (E, \mathcal{D}) . Then, $\xi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} TM \cap \mathcal{D}^2$ is a contact structure on M .*

1.2 trivial characteristic foliation

Any vector field tangent to the characteristic foliation \mathcal{L} preserves the “even contact structure” \mathcal{D}^2 . In particular, any holonomy of \mathcal{L} is a germ of contact morphism.

We describe the leaves and the holonomy for a rank 1 foliation. Let E be a manifold, and let \mathcal{L} be a rank 1 foliation on E .

A *leaf* of \mathcal{L} is the connected injectively immersed submanifold $L \subset E$ tangent to \mathcal{L} such that, for any other connected injectively immersed submanifold $A \subset E$ tangent to \mathcal{L} , if $L \cap A \neq \emptyset$ then $A \subset L$.

The set of the leaves of \mathcal{L} with quotient topology is called the *leaf space* of \mathcal{L} .

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ be a smooth path from $x = \gamma(0)$ to $y = \gamma(1)$ tangent to \mathcal{L} . For two submanifolds $S, T \subset E$ intersecting \mathcal{L} transversally with $x \in S, y \in T$, the *holonomy* $hol_{S,T}(\gamma)$ of γ for S, T is a germ of diffeomorphisms $hol_{S,T}(\gamma) = [h] : (S, x) \rightarrow (T, y)$ at x such that there exists a open neighborhood $S' \subset S$ of x and an embedding $\mathcal{H} : S' \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ with following conditions: $\mathcal{H}(S' \times \{1\}) \subset T$, $\mathcal{H}(z, -) : [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ is tangent to \mathcal{L} , and $h \sim \mathcal{H}(-, 1)$ as germs $(S, x) \rightarrow (T, y)$. The *holonomy* $hol(\gamma)$ of γ is the map $(S, T) \mapsto hol_{S,T}(\gamma)$.

$Hol(E, \mathcal{L}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{hol(\gamma)|\gamma\}$ has a groupoid structure. This is called the *holonomy groupoid* of \mathcal{L} .

There exists a manifold structure on the leaf space such that the quotient map is proper submersion if and only if all leaves are compact, and the holonomy groupoid is free (i.e. for any leaf $L \subset E$ and any object $x, y \in L$, the morphism set $Hol(E, \mathcal{L})(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{hol(\gamma)|x = \gamma(0), y = \gamma(1)\}$ is an one point set).

Definition 1.5. Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, and let \mathcal{L} be the characteristic foliation of (E, \mathcal{D}) . If all leaves of \mathcal{L} are compact, and the holonomy groupoid of \mathcal{L} is free, then we says that (E, \mathcal{D}) *has the trivial characteristic foliation*.

Remark 1.6. Suppose all leaves of \mathcal{L} are compact. Then, the above definition is the special case of (4.18).

Propositoin 1.7 ([8] , [1]). *Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold that has the trivial characteristic foliation, let M be the leaf space of the characteristic foliation, and let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be the quotient map. Then, $\xi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d\pi(\mathcal{D}^2)$ is well-defined, and it is a contact structure on M . Moreover, $(E, \mathcal{D}) \mapsto (M, \xi)$ is functorial.*

1.3 Cartan prolongation

Conversly, If there exists a contact 3-manifold, then we obtain an Engel manifold called the *Cartan prolongation*.

Let (M, ξ) be a contact 3-manifold, let $E = \mathbb{P}(\xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \coprod_{x \in M} \mathbb{P}(\xi_x) = (\xi - 0)/\mathbb{R}^\times$, and let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is the projective map. Now, we define a rank 2 distribution \mathcal{D} on E in the following way: For each $l \in E$ with $\pi(l) = x$,

$l \subset \mathbb{P}(\xi_x)$ is a line that cross the origin. By the way, we define $\mathcal{D}_l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d\pi_l^{-1}(l) \subset T_l E$.

Similarly, let $E' = \mathbb{S}(\xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\xi - 0)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then E' is a 2-covering on E . Now, we define a rank 2 distribution \mathcal{D}' on E' as the pull-back of \mathcal{D} .

Propositoin 1.8 ([8] , [1] , [10]). *The above $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}'$ is an Engel structure on E, E' . Moreover, $(M, \xi) \mapsto (E, \mathcal{D})$ and (E', \mathcal{D}') are functorial.*

Definition 1.9. The above (E, \mathcal{D}) is called *Cartan prolongation*, and we denote this $\mathbb{P}(M, \xi)$.

The above (E', \mathcal{D}') is called *oriented Cartan prolongation*, and we denote this $\mathbb{S}(M, \xi)$.

Any Cartan prolongation has the trivial characteristic foliation. In fact, the Cartan prolongation is the “minimal” object of such Engel manifolds.

Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold that has the trivial characteristic foliation, let (M, ξ) be the leaf space of the characteristic foliation, and let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be the quotient map. Now, we define $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\xi)$ as $\phi(e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d\pi(\mathcal{D}_e) \subset \xi_{\pi(e)}$.

Propositoin 1.10 ([8] , [1]). *The above ϕ is an Engel morphism $(E, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(M, \xi)$. Moreover, this satisfies the universality: For any contact 3-manifold (N, ν) and any Engel morphism $\psi : (E, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(N, \nu)$, there exists a unique contact morphism $\tilde{\psi} : (M, \xi) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ such that $\psi = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\psi}) \circ \phi$.*

Definition 1.11. The above ϕ is called the *development map* associated to (E, \mathcal{D}) .

In this case, ϕ is proper, hence ϕ is a finite covering.

By the functor \mathbb{P} , the category of contact 3-manifolds and contact morphisms is reflectively embedded in the category of Engel manifolds that have the trivial characteristic foliation and Engel morphisms.

Propositoin 1.12 ([8]). *The functor \mathbb{P} is fully faithful.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{L} : (E, \mathcal{D}) \mapsto (M, \xi)$ be the functor defined in (1.7). Immediately, \mathbb{L} is locally a left inverse of \mathbb{P} . Hence, \mathbb{P} is faithful. We will show that \mathbb{L} is locally also a right inverse of \mathbb{P} .

Let $(M_1, \xi_1), (M_2, \xi_2)$ be contact manifolds, and let $\psi : \mathbb{P}(M_1, \xi_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(M_2, \xi_2)$ be an Engel morphism. Then, $\mathbb{L}(\psi) : (M_1, \xi_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \xi_2)$ is the

unique map such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{P}(M_1, \xi_1) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}(M_2, \xi_2) \\
\pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\
(M_1, \xi_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}(\psi)} & (M_2, \xi_2)
\end{array}$$

Take any $l_0 \in \mathbb{P}(M_1, \xi_1)$ with $\pi_1(l_0) = x_0 \in M_1, \pi_2(\psi(l_0)) = \mathbb{L}(\psi)(x_0) = y_0 \in M_2$. On open neighborhoods $U_1 \subset M_1, U_2 \subset M_2$ of the x_0, y_0 , there exist local vector fields $X, Y \in \xi_1, Z, W \in \xi_2$ such that $\xi_1 = \langle X, Y \rangle, \xi_2 = \langle Z, W \rangle$. Then $U_1 \times S^1 \cong \mathbb{P}(M_1, \xi_1); (x, \theta) \mapsto \langle (\cos \frac{\theta}{2})X_x + (\sin \frac{\theta}{2})Y_x \rangle$ and $U_2 \times S^1 \cong \mathbb{P}(M_2, \xi_2); (y, \theta) \mapsto \langle (\cos \frac{\theta}{2})Z_y + (\sin \frac{\theta}{2})W_y \rangle$. In this identification, we denote $l_0 = (x_0, \theta_0)$ and $\psi(x, \theta) = (\mathbb{L}(\psi)(x), v(x, \theta)) \in U_2 \times S^1$. Moreover, the Engel structures $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$ on $\mathbb{P}(M_1, \xi_1), \mathbb{P}(M_2, \xi_2)$ are represented as $\mathcal{D}_1 = \langle \partial_\theta, (\cos \frac{\theta}{2})X + (\sin \frac{\theta}{2})Y \rangle, \mathcal{D}_2 = \langle \partial_\theta, (\cos \frac{\theta}{2})Z + (\sin \frac{\theta}{2})W \rangle$.

Because of $d\psi(\mathcal{D}_1) \subset \mathcal{D}_2$, So $d\mathbb{L}(\psi)((\cos \frac{\theta}{2})X_x + (\sin \frac{\theta}{2})Y_x) \in \langle (\cos \frac{v(x, \theta)}{2})Z_{\mathbb{L}(\psi)(x)} + (\sin \frac{v(x, \theta)}{2})W_{\mathbb{L}(\psi)(x)} \rangle = (\mathbb{L}(\psi)(x), v(x, \theta)) = \psi(x, \theta)$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{L}(\psi)(l_0) = \psi(l_0)$. \square

On the other hand, the functor \mathbb{S} is faithful but not full. However, \mathbb{S} is full as 2-functor because it is covering on \mathbb{P} .

Definition 1.13. Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, and let $f : (E, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{D})$ be an Engel automorphism. If $f(L) \subset L$ for any leaf L of the characteristic foliation of (E, \mathcal{D}) , then we say that f *preserves the leaves*.

Let $(E_1, \mathcal{D}_1), (E_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$ be Engel manifolds, and let $f, g : (E_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \rightarrow (E_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$ be Engel morphisms. A *2-morphism* $f \rightarrow g$ is a pair (α, β) of two Engel automorphisms $\alpha : (E_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \rightarrow (E_1, \mathcal{D}_1), \beta : (E_2, \mathcal{D}_2) \rightarrow (E_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$ that preserve the leaves such that $\beta \circ f = g \circ \alpha$.

Propositoin 1.14. Let $(M_1, \xi_1), (M_2, \xi_2)$ be contact manifolds. Then $\mathbb{S} : \{(M_1, \xi_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \xi_2)\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{S}(M_1, \xi_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(M_2, \xi_2)\}$ is a categorical equivalent.

2 Contact Orbifolds

There exists a orbifold structure on the leaf space of a foliation such that the quotient map is proper submersion if and only if all leaves are compact and the holonomy groupoid is locally finite (i.e. for any leaf $L \subset E$ and any

object $x, y \in L$, the morphism set $Hol(E, \mathcal{L})(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{hol(\gamma) | x = \gamma(0), y = \gamma(1)\}$ is a finite set). In this section, we generalize the contents of section 2.

Definition 2.1. Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, and let \mathcal{L} be the characteristic foliation of (E, \mathcal{D}) . If all leaves of \mathcal{L} are compact, and the holonomy groupoid of \mathcal{L} is locally finite, then we says that (E, \mathcal{D}) has the *proper characteristic foliation*.

Remark 2.2. The above word *proper* means that the holonomy groupoid is *proper*. (ref. (4.18).)

2.1 orbifolds

Recall, a *manifold* is a topological space to be locally Euclidean. On the other hand, an *orbifold* is a topological space to be locally Euclidean with a finite group action. In general, a “structure” on an orbifold is a family of equivariant “structures” on each orbifold chart such that any two “structures” are compatible. Then, a contact structure on an orbifold is defined so.

Definition 2.3. Let Σ be a locally connected topological space. a n -dimensional orbifold chart on Σ is a triple (V, G, p) of a connected open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a finite group with a faithful smooth action $G \curvearrowright V$, and a continuous map $p : V \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that p induces an open embedding $V/G \rightarrow \Sigma$.

Let $(V_1, G_1, p_1), (V_2, G_2, p_2)$ be orbifolds charts on Σ with $U = p_1(V_1) = p_2(V_2)$. An *isomorphism* $(\phi, \hat{\phi}) : (V_1, G_1, p_1) \rightarrow (V_2, G_2, p_2)$ is a pair $(\phi, \hat{\phi})$ of a diffeomorphism $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ and a group isomorphism $\hat{\phi} : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_1 \times V_1 & \xrightarrow{act.} & V_1 & \xrightarrow{p_1} & U \\ \hat{\phi} \times \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & & \parallel \\ G_2 \times V_2 & \xrightarrow[act.]{} & V_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & U \end{array}$$

Then, we say that Two orbifold charts $(V_1, G_1, p_1), (V_2, G_2, p_2)$ on Σ with $U = p_1(V_1) = p_2(V_2)$ are *isomorphic*.

Example 2.4. Let (V, G, ϕ) be an orbifold chart, and fix an element $\sigma \in G$. Define $\phi_\sigma(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma x, \hat{\phi}_\sigma(\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$. Then, $(\phi_\sigma, \hat{\phi}_\sigma) : (V, G, \phi) \rightarrow (V, G, \phi)$ is isomorphism. In fact, any autmorphism is this form.

Let (V, G, p) be an orbifold chart on Σ with $U = p(V)$, and let $U' \subset U$ be a connected open set. We define the restriction of (V, G, p) to U' . In this situation, $G \curvearrowright p^{-1}(U')$, and p induces a isomorphism $p^{-1}/G \cong U'$. But $p^{-1}(U')$ is not connected. So choice a connected component $V' \subset p^{-1}(U')$, and let $G' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g \in G | gV' \subset V'\}$. Now, we define $(V, G, p)|_{U'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (V', G', p|_{V'})$.

Lemma 2.5 ([2]). *Take another connected component $V'' \subset p^{-1}(U')$, and let $G'' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\sigma \in G | \sigma V'' \subset V''\}$. Then, there exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $\phi_\sigma : (V', G', p|_{V'}) \cong (V'', G'', p|_{V''})$.*

Definition 2.6. Two orbifold charts $(V_1, G_1, p_1), (V_2, G_2, p_2)$ with $U_1 = p_1(V_1), U_2 = p_2(V_2)$ are *compatible* if $(V_1, G_1, p_1)|_U \cong (V_2, G_2, p_2)|_U$ for any connected component $U \subset U_1 \cap U_2$. Then, the isomorphism is called *transformation map*.

A *n-dimensional orbifold atlas* on Σ is a family of n-dimensional orbifold charts $\mathcal{A} = \{(V_\lambda, G_\lambda, p_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $\Sigma = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$ with $U_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_\lambda(V_\lambda)$, and any two orbifold chart $(V_\lambda, G_\lambda, p_\lambda)$ and $(V_{\lambda'}, G_{\lambda'}, p_{\lambda'})$ ($\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$) are compatible.

Then, the pair (Σ, \mathcal{A}) is called a *n-dimensional orbifold*.

Let Σ be an orbifold and $x \in \Sigma$. Take an orbifold chart (V, G, p) with $x \in U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p(V)$, and take a point $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(x)$. Now, we define $G_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\sigma \in G | \sigma\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}\}$.

Lemma 2.7. *The above G_x is independent of the choice of an orbifold chart and a point $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(x)$.*

Definition 2.8. The above G_x is called the *isotropy group* at x .

Remark 2.9. Because G_x is finite, there exists an orbifold chart (W, H, q) such that $x \in q(W), H \cong G_x$.

Definition 2.10. Let Σ_1, Σ_2 be orbifolds.

Let $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ be a continuous map and $S = (V_S, G_S, p_S), T = (V_T, G_T, p_T)$ be orbifold charts on Σ_1, Σ_2 , respectively, with $f(U_S) \subset U_T$ ($U_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_S(V_S), U_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_T(V_T)$). A *lifting* of f for S and T is a pair $\tilde{f}_{S,T} = (\tilde{f}_{S,T}, \hat{f}_{S,T})$ of a smooth map $\tilde{f}_{S,T} : V_S \rightarrow V_T$ and a group homomorphism $\hat{f}_{S,T} : G_S \rightarrow$

G_T such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
G_S \times V_S & \xrightarrow{act.} & V_S & \xrightarrow{ps} & U_S \\
\hat{f}_{S,T} \times \tilde{f}_{S,T} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{f}_{S,T} & & \downarrow f \\
G_T \times V_T & \xrightarrow{act.} & V_T & \xrightarrow{p_T} & U_T
\end{array}$$

Then, we denote $\tilde{f}_{S,T} : S \rightarrow T$.

Two liftings $\tilde{f}_{S_1,T_1} : S_1 \rightarrow T_1, \tilde{f}'_{S_2,T_2} : S_2 \rightarrow T_2$ are *isomorphic* if there exist two isomorphisms $S_1 \cong S_2$ and $T_1 \cong T_2$ such that the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{S_1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{S_1,T_1}} & V_{T_1} \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
V_{S_2} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}'_{S_2,T_2}} & V_{T_2}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
G_{S_1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{S_1,T_1}} & G_{T_1} \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
G_{S_2} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}'_{S_2,T_2}} & G_{T_2}
\end{array}$$

Then, we denote $\tilde{f}_{S_1,T_1} \cong \tilde{f}'_{S_2,T_2}$.

Two liftings $\tilde{f}_{S_1,T_1} : S_1 \rightarrow T_1, \tilde{f}'_{S_2,T_2} : S_2 \rightarrow T_2$ are *equivalent* at $x \in U_{S_1} \cap U_{S_2}$ if there exist two open sets $U_x \subset U_{S_1} \cap U_{S_2}$ around x and $U_{f(x)} \subset U_{T_1} \cap U_{T_2}$ around $f(x)$ with $f(U_x) \subset U_{f(x)}$ such that $\tilde{f}_{S_1,T_1}|_{U_x} \cong \tilde{f}'_{S_2,T_2}|_{U_x}$ as $S_1|_{U_x} \rightarrow T_1|_{U_{f(x)}}$ and $S_2|_{U_x} \rightarrow T_2|_{U_{f(x)}}$.

An *orbifold map* $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ is a pair $f = (f, \tilde{f})$ of following dates:

- a continuous map $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$.
- a family $\tilde{f} = \{\tilde{f}_{S,T} : S \rightarrow T\}_{S,T}$ of liftings of f indexed by the sufficiently small orbifold charts S, T on Σ_1, Σ_2 , respectively, with $f(U_S) \subset U_T$.

such that

- for any S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2 with $f(U_{S_1}) \subset U_{T_1}, f(U_{S_2}) \subset U_{T_2}$, and for any $x \in U_{S_1} \cap U_{S_2}$, the two liftings $\tilde{f}_{S_1,T_1} : S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ and $\tilde{f}_{S_2,T_2} : S_2 \rightarrow T_2$ are equivalent at x .

Then, the above \tilde{f} is called a *lifting* of f .

Two orbifold maps $f, g : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ are *isomorphic* if, for any S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2 with $f(U_{S_1}) \subset U_{T_1}, g(U_{S_2}) \subset U_{T_2}$, and for any $x \in U_{S_1} \cap U_{S_2}$, the two liftings $\tilde{f}_{S_1,T_1} : S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ and $\tilde{g}_{S_2,T_2} : S_2 \rightarrow T_2$ are equivalent at x .

Then, we denote $f \cong g$.

Let $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ be an orbifold map, and let $x \in \Sigma_1$. The homomorphism $\hat{f}_x : G_x \rightarrow G_{f(x)}$ induced by $\hat{f}_{S,T}$ is called *local homomorphism* at x .

An orbifold map f is called a *singular locally diffeomorphism* if any $\tilde{f}_{S,T}$ is a locally diffeomorphism.

A singular locally diffeomorphism f is called a *locally diffeomorphism* if, for any $x \in \Sigma_1$, the local homomorphism \hat{f}_x is an isomorphism.

2.2 orbifold bundles

Next, we define an *orbifold bundle*.

Definition 2.11. Let Σ be an orbifold, and let F be a manifold. An *orbifold bundle over Σ with standard fiber F* is a orbifold map $f : E \rightarrow \Sigma$ with an orbifold atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{(V_\lambda, G_\lambda, p_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ on Σ and an orbifold atlas $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{(V_\lambda \times F, G_\lambda, q_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ on E ($U_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_\lambda(V_\lambda)$, $\tilde{U}_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_\lambda(V_\lambda \times F)$) such that $\tilde{U}_\lambda = f^{-1}(U_\lambda)$, $\tilde{f} = pr_1$ as $V_\lambda \times F \rightarrow V_\lambda$, and $\tilde{f} = id$ as $G_\lambda \rightarrow G_\lambda$.

Then, the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ is called a *bundle atlas*.

Let \mathcal{G} be a lie group, and suppose that $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright F$. An *orbifold \mathcal{G} -bundle* is an orbifold bundle $f : E \rightarrow \Sigma$ with $\{\rho_\lambda : G_\lambda \times V_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $\sigma(x, y) = (\sigma x, \rho(\sigma, x)y)$ and $\rho(\tau, \sigma x) \circ \rho(\sigma, x) = \rho(\tau\sigma, x)$ for any $x \in V_\lambda, y \in F, \sigma, \tau \in G_\lambda$.

If $F = \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathcal{G} = GL_k(\mathbb{R})$, then the orbifold \mathcal{G} -bundle is called an *orbifold vector bundle*.

Example 2.12. Let Σ be an orbifold, $\{(V_\lambda, G_\lambda, p_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an orbifold atlas on Σ , and $\phi_{\lambda\mu}$ be the transformation map for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. Then, $G_\lambda \curvearrowright V_\lambda$ induces the lift $G_\lambda \curvearrowright TV_\lambda \cong V_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\phi_{\lambda\mu}$ induces the lift $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda$. Let $\tilde{U}_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} TV_\lambda/G_\lambda$, and E be the gluing of \tilde{U}_λ by $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda$. Then, E is an orbifold vector bundle over Σ . E is called the *tangent bundle* of Σ , and denoted by $T\Sigma$.

Example 2.13. Let $f : E \rightarrow \Sigma$ be an orbifold vector bundle, $(\{(V_\lambda, G_\lambda, p_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \{(V_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^k, G_\lambda, q_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$ be a bundle atlas, and $\tilde{\phi}_{\lambda\mu}$ be the transformation map on E for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. Then, $G_\lambda \curvearrowright V_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^k$ induces the $G_\lambda \curvearrowright V_\lambda \times \mathbb{P}^k$, $G_\lambda \curvearrowright V_\lambda \times \mathbb{S}^k$ ($\mathbb{P}^k = \mathbb{R}^k - 0/\mathbb{R}^\times$, $\mathbb{S}^k = \mathbb{R}^k - 0/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$). Let $U'_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_\lambda \times \mathbb{P}^k/G_\lambda$, $U''_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_\lambda \times \mathbb{S}^k/G_\lambda$, E' be the gluings of U'_λ by $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda$, and E'' be the gluings of U''_λ by $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda$. Then, E' is an orbifold $GL_k(\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}^\times$ -bundle over Σ with standard fiber \mathbb{P}^k , and E'' is an orbifold $GL_k(\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -bundle over Σ with standard fiber \mathbb{S}^k . E' is called the *projection* of E , and denoted by $\mathbb{P}(E)$, and E'' is called the *oriented projection* of E , and denoted by $\mathbb{S}(E)$.

2.3 contact orbifolds

Now, we define a contact orbifold.

Definition 2.14. Let Σ be an orbifold, $\{(V_\lambda, G_\lambda, p_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an orbifold atlas on Σ , and $\phi_{\lambda\mu}$ be the transformation map for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. A *contact structure* on Σ is a family $\{\xi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of contact structures on each V_λ such that all $G_\lambda \curvearrowright V_\lambda$ are contact actions, and all $\phi_{\lambda\mu}$ are contact morphisms. In analogy with (2.12), the orbifold vector bundle over Σ is obtained by the gluing of $\{\xi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, this is called same expression the *contact structure* on Σ . The pair of an orbifold and a contact structure is called a *contact orbifold*.

Let $(\Sigma_1, \xi_1), (\Sigma_2, \xi_2)$ be contact orbifolds. A *contact morphism* $f : (\Sigma_1, \xi_1) \rightarrow (\Sigma_2, \xi_2)$ is a singular local diffeomorphism $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ with the lifts are contact morphisms.

Similarly, we define an *Engel structure* on an orbifold, an *Engel orbifold*, and an *Engel morphism*.

In analogy with (1.7), we just obtain the following proposition.

Propositoin 2.15. *Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold that has the proper characteristic foliation, let Σ be the leaf space of the characteristic foliation, and let $\pi : E \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the quotient map. Then, $\xi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d\pi(\mathcal{D}^2) \subset T\Sigma$ is well-defined, and it is a contact structure on Σ . Moreover, $(E, \mathcal{D}) \mapsto (\Sigma, \xi)$ is 2-functorial.*

Let (Σ, ξ) be a contact 3-orbifold. By (2.13), $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$ and $\mathbb{S}(\xi)$ are orbifolds. By (1.8), these are Engel orbifolds.

Definition 2.16. The above $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$ with the Engel structure is called *Cartan prolongation*, and we denote this $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma, \xi)$.

The above $\mathbb{S}(\xi)$ with the Engel structure is called *oriented Cartan prolongation*, and we denote this $\mathbb{S}(\Sigma, \xi)$.

Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold that has the proper characteristic foliation, let (Σ, ξ) be the leaf space of the characteristic foliation, and let $\pi : E \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the quotient map. Now, we define $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\xi)$ as $\phi(e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d\pi(\mathcal{D}_e) \subset \xi_{\pi(e)}$. By (1.10), we obtain the following proposition immediately.

Propositoin 2.17. *The above ϕ is an Engel morphism $(E, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Sigma, \xi)$. Moreover, this satisfies the universality: For any contact 3-orbifold (Υ, ν) and any Engel morphism $\psi : (E, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Upsilon, \nu)$, there exists a unique up to isomorphic contact morphism $\tilde{\psi} : (\Sigma, \xi) \rightarrow (\Upsilon, \nu)$ such that $\psi \cong \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\psi}) \circ \phi$.*

Definition 2.18. The above ϕ is called the *development map* associated to (E, \mathcal{D}) .

At last, we describe *equivariant Darboux's Theorem*.

$\xi_{std} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ker}(dz + xdy - ydx)$ is a contact structure on \mathbb{R}^3 . We define $\phi_n, \psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ as $\phi_n(x, y, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n}) - y \sin(\frac{2\pi}{n}), x \sin(\frac{2\pi}{n}) + y \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n}), z)$, $\psi(x, y, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x, -y, -z)$. Then, $G_{n, std} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \phi_n, \psi \rangle \curvearrowright (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$ and $H_{n, std} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \phi_n \rangle \curvearrowright (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$ are contact actions of finite groups. These are called *standard model*. In fact, any contact 3-orbifold is locally isomorphic to a standard model.

Let (Σ, ξ) be a contact 3-orbifold, and let $x \in \Sigma$. By (2.9), we can take an orbifold chart (V, G_x, p) around x . Now, we can take a metric on V invariable for the action $G_x \curvearrowright V$. (For example, take a metric, and average it for the action $G_x \curvearrowright V$.) Then, $G_x \curvearrowright T_x V$ preserves the metric. Hence, $G_x \subset O(3)$. And $G_x \curvearrowright T_x V$ preserves the rank 2 subspace $\xi_x \subset T_x V$. So, $G_x = G_{n, std}$ or $G_x = H_{n, std}$. By *Moser's trick*, we can proof the following theorem.

Theorem 2.19 ([5], [4]). *Let (Σ, ξ) be a contact 3-orbifold, and let $x \in \Sigma$. Then, there exists an orbifold chart (V, G, p) around x such that (V, G) is isomorphic to an open neighborhood of $0 \in (\mathbb{R}^3, H)$ where $H = G_{n, std}$ or $H = H_{n, std}$.*

3 Main Result

In general, the Cartan prolongation of a contact 3-orbifold is an Engel orbifold. The main result in this paper is a necessary and sufficient condition for the Cartan prolongation to be a manifold.

Theorem 3.1 (Main). *Let (Σ, ξ) be a contact 3-orbifold. Then,*

- (1) $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma, \xi)$ is a manifold if and only if (Σ, ξ) is positive, and $|G_x|$ is odd for all $x \in \Sigma$.
- (2) $\mathbb{S}(\Sigma, \xi)$ is a manifold if and only if (Σ, ξ) is positive.

Definition 3.2. A contact orbifold (Σ, ξ) is *positive* if all $G_x \curvearrowright \xi_x$ preserve the orientation.

Example 3.3. The quotient space of $G_{n, std} \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^3$ is not positive. And the quotient space of $H_{n, std} \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^3$ is positive.

Because of (2.19), we have to only consider standard models. That is to say, (3.1) follows the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4.

- (1) *The actions $G_{n,std} \frown \mathbb{P}(\xi_{std}), G_{n,std} \frown \mathbb{S}(\xi_{std})$ are not free.*
- (2) *The action $H_{n,std} \frown \mathbb{P}(\xi_{std})$ is free if and only if n is odd.*
- (3) *The action $H_{n,std} \frown \mathbb{S}(\xi_{std})$ is free for any n .*

Proof. Recall $\xi_{std} = Ker(dz + xdy - ydx), G_{n,std} = \langle \phi_n, \psi \rangle, H_{n,std} = \langle \phi_n \rangle, \phi_n(x, y, z) = (x \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n}) - y \sin(\frac{2\pi}{n}), x \sin(\frac{2\pi}{n}) + y \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n}), z)$, and $\psi(x, y, z) = (x, -y, -z)$. In particular, $\xi_{std,(0,0,z)} = Ker(dz) = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle$.

(1) $d\psi(\partial_x) = \partial_x, \partial_x \in \xi_{std,0}$. The claim follows it immediately.

(2),(3) If $(x, y) \neq 0, H_{n,std} \frown \mathbb{R}^3$ is free at (x, y, z) . So we have to only consider the case of $x = y = 0$.

Let $v_\theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\cos \theta)\partial_x + (\sin \theta)\partial_y$. Then, $\mathbb{P}(\xi_{std,(0,0,z)})$ and $\mathbb{S}(\xi_{std,(0,0,z)})$ are generated by v_θ . Moreover, $v_{\theta_1} \equiv v_{\theta_2}$ in $\mathbb{P}(\xi_{std,(0,0,z)})$ if and only if $\theta_1 \equiv \theta_2 \pmod{\pi}$. And $v_{\theta_1} \equiv v_{\theta_2}$ in $\mathbb{S}(\xi_{std,(0,0,z)})$ if and only if $\theta_1 \equiv \theta_2 \pmod{2\pi}$.

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi_n(v_\theta) &= (\cos \theta \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n}) - \sin \theta \sin(\frac{2\pi}{n}))\partial_x + (\cos \theta \sin(\frac{2\pi}{n}) + \sin \theta \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n}))\partial_y \\ &= \cos(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{n})\partial_x + \sin(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{n})\partial_y \\ &= v_{\theta + \frac{2\pi}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi_n^k(v_\theta) \equiv v_\theta \text{ in } \mathbb{P}(\xi_{std,(0,0,z)}) &\Leftrightarrow 2k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}. \\ d\phi_n^k(v_\theta) \equiv v_\theta \text{ in } \mathbb{S}(\xi_{std,(0,0,z)}) &\Leftrightarrow k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}. \end{aligned}$$

So, The action $H_{n,std} \frown \mathbb{S}(\xi_{std})$ is free for any n . And, if n is odd, then The action $H_{n,std} \frown \mathbb{P}(\xi_{std})$ is free, otherwise the action $H_{n,std} \frown \mathbb{P}(\xi_{std})$ is not free

□

4 Generalization to Lie groupoids

Roughly speaking, a *lie groupoid* is a groupoid object in the category of manifolds and smooth maps. For example, any holonomy groupoid is a lie groupoid. In fact, an orbifold can be defined as a *proper, étale lie groupoid*. In this section, we proof that the Cartan prolongation of a contact étale lie groupoid \mathcal{G} is a manifold only if \mathcal{G} is proper, and we define the *development map* associated to any Engel manifold.

4.1 Lie groupoids and morphisms

Definition 4.1. A *groupoid* is a 7-tuple $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t, i, inv, comp)$ of the following dates:

- two sets \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{G}_1 with called *objects* and *arrows* respectively.
- four maps $s, t : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0, i : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1, inv : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ with called *sauce*, *target*, *unit*, and *inverse* respectively.
- one operator $comp : \mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ where $\mathcal{G}_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 = \{(g, h) \in \mathcal{G}_1^2 \mid s(g) = t(h)\}$

such that the following diagrams are commutative;

- (1) *the sauce and the target of each units*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}_0 & \xleftarrow{s} & \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{G}_1 \\ & \searrow & \uparrow i & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathcal{G}_0 & & \end{array}$$

- (2) *unit law*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}_0 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{i \times id} & \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xleftarrow{id \times i} & \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_0 \\ pr_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow comp & & \downarrow pr_1 \\ \mathcal{G}_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{G}_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{G}_1 \end{array}$$

- (3) *the sauce and the target of each composites*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xleftarrow{pr_2} & \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{pr_1} & \mathcal{G}_1 \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow comp & & \downarrow t \\ \mathcal{G}_0 & \xleftarrow{s} & \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{G}_0 \end{array}$$

(4) *associative law*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{comp} \times \text{id}} & \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 & & \\
 \text{id} \times \text{comp} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \text{comp} \\
 \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} & \mathcal{G}_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

(5) *the source and the target of each inverse elements*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{inv}} & \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{inv}} & \mathcal{G}_1 \\
 s \downarrow & & t \downarrow & & s \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{G}_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{G}_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{G}_0
 \end{array}$$

(6) *inverse law*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xleftarrow{\text{inv} \times \text{id}} & \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \text{inv}} & \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1 \\
 \text{comp} \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \text{comp} \\
 \mathcal{G}_1 & \xleftarrow{\text{ios}} & \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{iots}} & \mathcal{G}_1
 \end{array}$$

Suppose that \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{G}_1 are manifolds, and that s or t is a submersion. Then, \mathcal{G}_2 is a manifold.

Definition 4.2. A *Lie groupoid* is a groupoid $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t, i, \text{inv}, \text{comp})$ such that \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{G}_1 are manifolds, that s and t are submersions, and that i, inv and comp are smooth.

Then, $\mathcal{G}_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (s \times t)^{-1}(x, x)$ is called the *isotropy group* at $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$.

Let \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} be Lie groupoids. A *homomorphism* $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a pair $f = (f_0, f_1)$ of two smooth maps $f_0 : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ and $f_1 : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$ such that the following diagrams are commutative:

(1) *the source and the target of each images*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{G}_0 & \xleftarrow{s} & \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{G}_0 \\
 f_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_0 \\
 \mathcal{H}_0 & \xleftarrow{s} & \mathcal{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{H}_0
 \end{array}$$

(2) *compatibility*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{G}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} & \mathcal{G}_1 \\
 f_1 \times f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\
 \mathcal{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} & \mathcal{H}_1
 \end{array}$$

Let $f, g : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be homomorphisms. A *natural transformation* $T : f \rightarrow g$ is a smooth map $T : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$ such that the following diagrams are commutative:

- (1) *the source and the target of each arrow*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{G}_0 & & \\ & f_0 \swarrow & \downarrow T & \searrow g_0 & \\ \mathcal{H}_0 & \xleftarrow{s} & \mathcal{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{H}_0 \end{array}$$

- (2) *naturality*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1 \times (T \circ s)} & \mathcal{H}_2 \\ (T \circ t) \times f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{comp} \\ \mathcal{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} & \mathcal{H}_1 \end{array}$$

Example 4.3. Let M be a manifold. Then, \mathcal{G} defined as $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M$ is a Lie groupoid.

Example 4.4. Let $G \curvearrowright M$ be a smooth action of a Lie group. Then, \mathcal{G} defined as $\mathcal{G}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M, \mathcal{G}_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G \times M, s(\sigma, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x, t(\sigma, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma x$ is a Lie groupoid.

Example 4.5 ([7]). Let M be a n -manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a rank k foliation on M . Then, the holonomy groupoid $Hol(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a Lie groupoid with $\dim Hol(M, \mathcal{F})_0 = n, \dim Hol(M, \mathcal{F})_1 = n + k$.

The family of Lie groupoids, homomorphisms, and natural transformations is a 2-category. Furthermore, we often consider more generalized morphisms among lie groupoids.

Definition 4.6 ([3] , [7] , [9]). A homomorphism $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a *weak equivalence* if it saticefies the following condition:

- (1) *essentially surjective*

$t \circ pr_1 : \mathcal{H}_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ is a surjective submersion where $\mathcal{H}_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{G}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\sigma, x) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_0 \mid s(\sigma) = f(x)\}$.

- (2) *fully faithful*

The following diagram is a pull-back.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{H}_1 \\ s \times t \downarrow & & \downarrow s \times t \\ \mathcal{G}_0 \times \mathcal{G}_0 & \xrightarrow{f \times f} & \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0 \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} be groupoids. A *generalized map* $f : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a triple $f = (e_f, \mathcal{G}_f, f')$ of a groupoid \mathcal{G}_f and two homomorphisms $e_f : \mathcal{G}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $f' : \mathcal{G}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that the e_f is a weak equivalence.

A *generalized weak equivalence* $f : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a generalized map such that $f' : \mathcal{G}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a weak equivalence. Then, we say that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are *weak equivalent*.

Next, we introduce the *weak pull-back* to define the composition of generalized maps.

Let $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}, g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be homomorphisms. Now, $P_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{G}_0 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{K}_1 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{H}_0 = \{(x, \sigma, y) \in \mathcal{G}_0 \times \mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_0 \mid f(x) = s(\sigma), t(\sigma) = g(y)\}$ is *not* always a manifold. But, if $f \times g : \mathcal{G}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_0 \times \mathcal{K}_0$ and $s \times t : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_0 \times \mathcal{K}_0$ intersect transversally, then P_0 is a manifold. In this case, $P_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{K}_1 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{H}_1 = \{(\gamma, \sigma, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}_0 \times \mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_0 \mid f(s(\gamma)) = s(\sigma), t(\sigma) = g(s(\delta))\}$ is also a manifold. Moreover, $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1)$ is a Lie groupoid.

Remark 4.7. The above condition involves the cases that either f_0 or g_0 is a submersion, and that either f or g is an essentially surjective. Because of $\mathcal{G}_0 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{K}_1 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{H}_0 \cong \mathcal{G}_0 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} (\mathcal{K}_1 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{H}_0) \cong (\mathcal{G}_0 \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{K}_1) \times_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathcal{H}_0$.

Definition 4.8. The above Lie groupoid \mathcal{P} is the *weak pull-back* of f and g . And the following diagram is called *weak pull-back diagram*.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{pr_3} & \mathcal{H} \\ pr_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

Remark 4.9. Weak pull-back diagrams are *not* commutative but the above $f \circ pr_1$ and $g \circ pr_3$ are isomorphic.

Propositoin 4.10 ([7]). *In above case, if f is a weak equivalence, then $pr_3 : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is also weak equivalence.*

Let $g : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}, f : \mathcal{H} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be generalized maps. And, take the weak pull-back \mathcal{P} of e_f and g' . The diagram is the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G} & \overset{g}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathcal{H} & \overset{f}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathcal{K} \\ e_g \swarrow & & \swarrow e_f & & \searrow f' \\ & \mathcal{G}_g & & \mathcal{H}_f & \\ & \swarrow e & & \swarrow g'' & \\ & \mathcal{P} & & & \end{array}$$

Now we define $f \circ g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (e_g \circ e, \mathcal{P}, f' \circ g'')$.

Remark 4.11. If f, g , and h are homomorphisms, then strictly $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$. On the other hand, If f, g , and h are generalized maps, then $(f \circ g) \circ h \cong f \circ (g \circ h)$.

Definition 4.12 ([3]). Let $f, g : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be generalized maps. We say that f and g are *isomorphic* if there exists two weak equivalences $p : \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_f, q : \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_g$ such that the following diagram is commutative up to isomorphic:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathcal{G}_f & & \\
 & e_f \swarrow & \uparrow p & \searrow f' & \\
 \mathcal{G} & & \mathcal{G}' & & \mathcal{H} \\
 & e_g \swarrow & \downarrow q & \searrow g' & \\
 & & \mathcal{G}_g & &
 \end{array}$$

Then we denote $f \cong g$.

4.2 foliations and orbifolds as Lie groupoids

Definition 4.13. A Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} is *étale* if $\dim \mathcal{G}_0 = \dim \mathcal{G}_1$. Equivalently, \mathcal{G} is *étale* if s and t are local diffeomorphisms.

Example 4.14 ([7]). Let M be a n -manifold, \mathcal{F} be a rank k foliation on M , and $S \subset M$ be a *global slice*. (i.e. S is a *not necessarily connected* $(n-k)$ -submanifold intersecting all leaves of \mathcal{F} transversally, and the intersection of S and each leaves is not empty.) Then, $s \times t : \text{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})_1 \rightarrow M \times M$ intersects $S \times S \subset M \times M$ transversally. Now, we define a Lie groupoid $\text{Hol}_S(M, \mathcal{F})$ as $\text{Hol}_S(M, \mathcal{F})_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S$ and $\text{Hol}_S(M, \mathcal{F})_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (s \times t)^{-1}(S \times S)$. This is an étale Lie groupoid. Moreover, the inclusion map $\text{Hol}_S(M, \mathcal{F}) \subset \text{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a weak equivalence.

Definition 4.15 ([3], [7], [9]). A Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} is *proper* if $s \times t : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0 \times \mathcal{G}_0$ is proper. A proper étale Lie groupoid is called an *orbifold*.

A Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} is *free* if $s \times t : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0 \times \mathcal{G}_0$ is an injective. A proper free étale Lie groupoid is called a *manifold*.

Let \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} be orbifold groupoids. A *orbifold map* $f : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a generalized map $f : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

Propositoin 4.16 ([7]). *Suppose that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are weak equivalent. Then;*

(1) If \mathcal{G} is proper, then \mathcal{H} is also proper.

(2) If \mathcal{G} is free, then \mathcal{H} is also free.

Example 4.17. Let M be a manifold, \mathcal{F} be a foliation on M , and $S \subset M$ be a global slice. $Hol_S(M, \mathcal{F})$ is an orbifold if and only if $Hol(M, \mathcal{F})$ is proper. $Hol_S(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a manifold if and only if $Hol(M, \mathcal{F})$ is proper and free.

Suppose that all leaves of \mathcal{F} are compact. Then, $Hol(M, \mathcal{F})$ is proper if and only if $Hol(M, \mathcal{F})$ is locally finite. In particular, if $Hol(M, \mathcal{F})$ is free, then it is proper.

Now, we generalize the definitions (1.5) and (2.1).

Definition 4.18. Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, and let \mathcal{L} be the characteristic foliation of (E, \mathcal{D}) .

If $Hol(E, \mathcal{L})$ is proper, then we says that (E, \mathcal{D}) has the proper characteristic foliation.

If $Hol(E, \mathcal{L})$ is proper and free, then we says that (E, \mathcal{D}) has the trivial characteristic foliation.

4.3 Engel manifolds and contact étale Lie groupoids

Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, \mathcal{L} be the characteristic foliation of (E, \mathcal{D}) , and $S \subset E$ be a global slice of \mathcal{L} . Then, $Hol_S(M, \mathcal{F})$ have a contact structure.

Definition 4.19. A *contact étale Lie groupoid* is an étale Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} such that \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{G}_1 are contact manifolds, and that s and t are contact morphisms.

Let \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} be contact étale Lie groupoids A *strict contact morphism* $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a homomorphism $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that f_0 and f_1 are contact morphism. A *(generalized) contact morphism* $f : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a generalized map $f : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that \mathcal{G}_f is a contact étale Lie groupoid, and that e_f and f' are contact morphism.

Definition 4.20. An *Engel étale Lie groupoid* is an étale Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} such that \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{G}_1 are Engel manifolds, and that s and t are Engel morphisms.

Let \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} be Engel étale Lie groupoids A *strict Engel morphism* $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a homomorphism $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that f_0 and f_1 are Engel morphism. A *(generalized) Engel morphism* $f : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a generalized map $f : \mathcal{G} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that \mathcal{G}_f is a Engel étale Lie groupoid, and that e_f and f' are Engel morphism.

Remark 4.21. If \mathcal{G} is a contact étale Lie groupoid, then there exists a unique contact structure on \mathcal{G}_2 such that $pr_1, pr_2 : \mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ are contact morphisms. Moreover, by (1), (3), (5) of (4.1), the structure maps i, inv , and $comp$ are contact morphisms. An Engel étale Lie groupoids is similar.

Immediately, we obtain the following proposition.

Propositoin 4.22. *Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, \mathcal{L} be the characteristic foliation of (E, \mathcal{D}) , and $S \subset E$ be a global slice of \mathcal{L} . Then, $Hol_S(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a contact étale Lie groupoid.*

Conversely, we can define the *Cartan prolongation* of a 3-dimensional contact étale Lie groupoid.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t, i, inv, comp)$ be a 3-dimensional contact étale Lie groupoid. Then, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} \mathcal{G}_1) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_1) \times_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_0)} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_1)$. In this identification, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_0), \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_1), \mathbb{P}(s), \mathbb{P}(t), \mathbb{P}(i), \mathbb{P}(inv), \mathbb{P}(comp))$ is an Engel étale Lie groupoid. Similarly, we define $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{G})$.

Definition 4.23. The above $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ is called the *Cartan prolongation* of \mathcal{G} .

The above $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{G})$ is called the *oriented Cartan prolongation* of \mathcal{G} .

Now, each $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{G})$ is proper if and only if \mathcal{G} is proper, because of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_1 \\ s \times t \downarrow & & \downarrow s \times t \\ \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_0) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_0 \times \mathcal{G}_0 \end{array}$$

From (3.1), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.24. *Let \mathcal{G} be a 3-dimensional contact étale Lie groupoid. Then,*

- (1) $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ is a manifold if and only if \mathcal{G} is proper, positive, and $|\mathcal{G}_x|$ is odd for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$.
- (2) $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{G})$ is a manifold if and only if \mathcal{G} is proper and positive.

At last, we define the development map.

Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, \mathcal{L} be the characteristic foliation of (E, \mathcal{D}) , and $S \subset E$ be a global slice of \mathcal{L} . Take the weak pull-back \mathcal{P} of $E \rightarrow Hol(E, \mathcal{L})$ and $Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow Hol(E, \mathcal{L})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L}) \\ e_\phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \longrightarrow & Hol(E, \mathcal{L}) \end{array}$$

Then, $e_\phi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow E$ is a local diffeomorphism. Hence, the Engel structure on \mathcal{P} is induced by e_ϕ . Moreover, $Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L})_0, Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L})_1$ is the leaf space of $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1$, and π_0, π_1 is the quotient map, respectively. So, when we denote $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, s, t, i, inv, comp)$, we obtain $Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L}) = (\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{P}_0), \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{P}_1), \mathbb{L}(s), \mathbb{L}(t), \mathbb{L}(i), \mathbb{L}(inv), \mathbb{L}(comp))$ where \mathbb{L} is the functor defined in (1.7).

Now, we define $\phi' : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L}))$ as ϕ'_0, ϕ'_1 is the development map associated to $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1$, respectively. Then, $\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (e_\phi, \mathcal{P}, \phi')$ is a generalized map $\phi : E \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L}))$.

Propositoin 4.25. *The above ϕ' is a strict Engel morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L}))$. That is to say, The above ϕ is a (generalized) Engel morphism $(E, \mathcal{D}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L}))$. Moreover, this satisfies the universality: For any 3-dimensional contact étale Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} and any Engel morphism $\psi : (E, \mathcal{D}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$, there exists a unique up to isomorphic contact morphism $\tilde{\psi} : Hol_S(E, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $\psi \cong \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\psi}) \circ \phi$.*

Definition 4.26. The above ϕ is called the *development map* associated to (E, \mathcal{D}) .

Remark 4.27. e_ϕ is essentially surjective, and E is a manifold. So, $(e_\phi)_0$ is surjective.

This means that any Engel manifold is locally isomorphic to the Cartan prolongation of a contact manifold. From *Darboux's Theorem* for contact manifolds (and the lifting $S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$ of the rotary action $S^1 \curvearrowright (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$), we obtain the Engel version of that. (This is well-known.)

Corollary 4.28. *Let (E, \mathcal{D}) be an Engel manifold, and let $p \in E$. Then, there exists a chart $(U; x, y, z, w)$ with $p \in U$ such that $\mathcal{D}|_U = Ker(dy - zdx) \cap Ker(dz - wdx)$.*

References

- [1] Jiro Adachi. Engel structures with trivial characteristic foliations. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 2(1):239–255, 2002.
- [2] Weimin Chen and Yongbin Ruan. Orbifold gromov-witten theory. *arXiv preprint math/0103156*, 2001.
- [3] Hellen Colman. On the 1-homotopy type of lie groupoids. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 19(1):393–423, 2011.

- [4] Hansjörg Geiges. *An introduction to contact topology*, volume 109. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [5] Daniel Herr. *Open Books on Contact Three Orbifolds*. PhD thesis, 2013.
- [6] Mirko Klukas and Bijan Sahamie. On prolongations of contact manifolds. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 141(9):3257–3263, 2013.
- [7] Ieke Moerdijk and Janez Mrcun. *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, volume 91. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [8] Richard Montgomery. Engel deformations and contact structures. *Translations of the American Mathematical Society-Series 2*, 196:103–118, 1999.
- [9] Matteo Tommasini. Orbifolds and groupoids. *Topology and its Applications*, 159(3):756–786, 2012.
- [10] Thomas Vogel. Existence of engel structures. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 79–137, 2009.